Hearing Impairment and Cognitive Function: Mediating Role of Social Isolation and Depression

American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias® Volume 39: 1-8 © The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/15333175241227318 journals.sagepub.com/home/aja



Fan Wu, PhD¹ ⁽¹⁾ and Chenxi Zhou, PhD² ⁽¹⁾

Abstract

Objectives: To examine the relationship between hearing impairment and cognitive function and the mediating role of social isolation and depression. **Methods:** Data came from the 2018 China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study wave. A self-reported item, a composite index, the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale, and the Mini-Mental State Exam were used to measure hearing impairment, social isolation, depression, and cognitive function, respectively. Mediation analysis was performed. **Results:** 6799 participants were included. For participants reporting mild hearing impairment and severe hearing impairment, there were significant direct and indirect effects on cognitive function. Social isolation mediated 2.75% and 6.33% of the relationship between mild hearing impairment, severe hearing impairment, and cognitive function, respectively. The direct effect of hearing impairment outweighed the mediation effect of social isolation on cognitive function. **Conclusions:** Decreased cognitive function linked to hearing impairment might benefit from addressing hearing impairment and social isolation in older adults.

Keywords

self-reported hearing impairment, cognitive function, social isolation, depression

Significance Statement

- Older adults with self-reported mild or severe hearing impairment are at high risk for decreased cognitive function.
- Social isolation is a mediator linking self-reported hearing impairment to cognitive function.
- No mediating effect of depression on the relationship between self-reported hearing impairment and cognitive function.

Introduction

Cognitive function is crucial for maintaining the independence of older adults.¹ With the global population aging, the number of cognitive impairment among older adults is increasing. According to a national epidemiological study, the prevalence of dementia was reported to be 6.0% and mild cognitive impairment was 15.5% among Chinese older adults aged 60 years and above.² Dementia has not only decreased the quality of life for older adults but has also imposed a significant burden on families and society as a whole. A multicenter survey conducted in China, involving 3098 individuals diagnosed with dementia, revealed that the average annual cost of care per person amounted to \$19,144.³ It also

²Department of Nursing, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Corresponding Author:

Chenxi Zhou, Doctoral degree, Department of Nursing, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1095, Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, China. Email: zcxwendy@whu.edu.cn

Data Availability Statement included at the end of the article



¹College of Medicine and Health Science, Wuhan Polytechnic University, Wuhan, China

indicated that the annual total costs would reach \$1.89 trillion by 2050.

Hearing impairment, a common sensory disorder in older adults, is now ranked as the fourth leading contributor of disability-related years lived, posing a significant public health problem.⁴ It is estimated that 157 billion people experienced hearing impairment in 2019 globally, 62.1% of whom were over 50 years old.⁵ For Chinese older adults, the prevalence of hearing impairment was 44% or higher in different provinces.⁶ These discrepancies could be due to several factors, such as sex, age, region, and different criteria that could be used to define hearing loss. Due to population growth and aging, the prevalence of hearing impairment will continue to be on the rise. Hearing impairment could lead to increased physical disability and the risk of adverse mental and psychological outcomes.⁴ Growing evidence has suggested that hearing impairment and cognitive function appear to be linked and that individuals with hearing impairment suffer from accelerated cognitive decline.^{7,8} However, the underlying mechanism needs further clarification.

Research suggests 1 potential mechanism between hearing impairment and cognitive function may be through psychosocial factors, in which social isolation and depression might be involved.^{9,10} Social isolation represents objective social relationships, which consist of social network size, communication frequency, and relationship quality.¹¹ In contrast, depression, characterized by low mood and low self-worth, is prevalent and disabling in late life. Previous studies indicated that social isolation predicted depression and cognitive impairment in older adults.^{12,13} Jiang and colleagues revealed that social isolation partially explained the link between hearing loss and depression.⁶ In addition, research also showed that there was a significant association between depression and cognitive function.¹⁴ Hearing impairment can make communication more challenging for older adults, increasing the possibility of social withdrawal, social isolation, depression, and consequent cognitive decline.^{15,16} From the neural perspective, hearing impairment involves a series of complex pathological changes that induce atrophy in brain regions and may thus provoke depression and cognitive dysfunction.¹⁷ So far, social isolation and depression have often been examined individually in their relationship with cognitive function. However, evidence for the psychosocial mechanisms underlying hearing impairment and cognitive function remains limited.

Therefore, the main objective of this study was to examine the relationship between self-reported hearing impairment and cognitive function among older Chinese community dwellers. We also aimed to explore the role of both social isolation and depression in the relationship in the hope of preventing and alleviating cognitive decline among older adults.

Material and Methods

Participants

The baseline survey of CHARLS includes people aged 45 years or older and living in communities, 17708 individuals in total.¹⁸ This study involved community-dwelling older adults aged 60 and above from the 2018 wave of the CHARLS. Harmonized Cognitive and Dementia Assessment (HCAP) was first added in the 2018 wave of CHARLS. The CHARLS HCAP is the first nationally representative sample in China that could support the assessment of cognitive function for respondents aged 60 and over. The 2018 wave of CHARLS national follow-up data (including CHARLS HCAP) was officially released publicly on September 23, 2020 and is the most recent public data available from CHARLS. Ethics board approval for the CHARLS waves was granted from the Institutional Review Board of the University (No. IRB00001052-11015). Informed consent was obtained from all participants and the privacy rights were ensured. The 2018 wave of CHARLS has sufficient information to support the assessment of hearing impairment, social isolation, depression, and cognitive function. We used the STROBE cross sectional checklist when writing our report.¹⁹

Measures

Self-Reported Hearing Impairment. To assess hearing impairment, participants were asked to rate their hearing as very good, good, fair, poor, or very poor by a single item. We classified participants into 3 categories (no hearing impairment = 'very good' or 'good,' mild hearing impairment = 'fair,' severe hearing impairment = 'poor' or 'very poor').

Cognitive Function. Cognitive function was measured by a popular and valid neurocognitive screening instrument: the Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE).²⁰ MMSE was selected as 1 of the tests of the HCAP. MMSE is a set of 11 questions, and the score ranges between 0 and 30. A cut-off point of 24 was used to identify cognitive impaired individuals. A higher score indicated better cognitive function.

Social Isolation. One point was assigned to each participant if they were not in a marriage or not living with a partner, less than monthly contact was made with children, other family members, and friends (each scored 1), or non-participation in any social activity (played Ma-jong, played chess, played cards, or went to community club, or went to a sport, social, or other kind of club, et al). A higher score on a scale of 0 to 5 indicated greater social isolation.²¹

Depression. Depression was measured by the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CESD-10). This shorter version contained 10 items on a four-point scale (0-3) that indicate the frequency of each depressive symptom in the past week from "rarely or none" to "most or all the time." The item 5 and item 8 were reversely scored. The cut-off point was 10. A higher score implied greater depression. In Chinese older adults, the CESD-10 demonstrated acceptable validity and reliability.²²

Potential Covariates. A sociodemographic profile, including age, sex, education, and diseases (hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, heart diseases, stroke), was obtained as potential confounding factors. The diseases were self-reported by answering the questions "Have you been diagnosed with hypertension/dyslipidemia (elevated of low density lipoprotein, triglycerides, and total cholesterol, or a low high density lipoprotein level)/diabetes (or high blood sugar)/heart disease (heart attack, coronary heart disease, angina, congestive heart failure, or other heart problems)/stroke by a doctor?." Education was classified as a dichotomous variable (less than lower secondary, upper secondary and vocational training).

Statistical Analysis

Data processing and analysis were performed using SPSS for Windows (Version 26) and the Process add-on for SPSS (Version 4.1). Missing values were imputed using predictive mean matching with numeric variables, binary variables by logistic regression, and factor variables by polytomous logistic regression. Continuous and categorical variables were presented as means (SDs) or medians (interquartile ranges) and frequencies and proportions, respectively. A mediation model with a multi-category independent variable, as defined by Hayes and Preacher, was developed and verified.²³ Relative mediation effect, relative direct effect, and relative total effect were used to illustrate and understand the process of mediation analysis for multi-category independent variables. The 95% confidence interval (CI) was generated from 5000 bootstrap samples. Adjustments were made for covariates if they demonstrated statistical significance at a threshold of P < .05.

Results

A cohort of 17708 participants aged 45 and over from the 2018 wave of CHARLS was collected, and 6799 participants aged 60 and over were eligible for this study. Among the participates included in the study, data were found to be missing for 2154 individuals, while complete data were available for 4645 individuals. Through a process of imputation, a final dataset comprising information from 6799 participants was obtained for subsequent analysis. A comparative analysis between the subset of missing data and the subset of complete data is presented in Supplementary Table 1. As displayed in Table 1, the median age was 69 years, and 50.29% were women. Only 28.67% of older adults reported no hearing impairment, while 53.00% reported mild hearing impairment, and 18.34% reported severe hearing impairment. The median score for cognitive function was 17.00, indicating

Characteristics	Participants
Age, in years, median (P25, P75)	69.00 (64, 75)
Sex, female, n (%)	3419 (50.29)
Education level, n (%)	
Less than lower secondary	5122 (75.33)
Upper secondary and vocational training	1677 (24.67)
Hypertension, n (%)	1380 (20.30)
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	792 (11.65)
Diabetes, n (%)	454 (6.68)
Heart diseases, n (%)	696 (10.24)
Stroke, n (%)	490 (7.21)
Self-reported hearing impairment, n (%)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
No	1949 (28.67)
Mild	3603 (53.00)
Severe	1247 (18.34)
MMSEª, median (P25, P75)	17.00 (13, 19)
CESD-10 ^b , median (P25, P75)	8.00 (4, 14)
Social isolation, median (P25, P75)	3.00 (2, 4)

Notes: ^aMMSE: the mini-mental state exam; ^bCESD-10: the center for epidemiological studies depression scale.

a relatively high level of cognitive impairment among the participants. For social isolation, the median score was 3.00 on a scale ranging from 0 to 5, indicating a moderate level of social isolation within the participants. Regarding depression, the median score was 8.00, suggesting a relatively low level of depression. There were significant differences between participants with different levels of self-reported hearing impairment in terms of cognitive function, depression, social isolation, age, sex, education level, and diseases (Table 2).

Table 3 shows that in Equation 1, mild hearing impairment and severe hearing impairment were positively related to social isolation (b = .111, P < .05; b = .466, P < .001). In Equation 2, depression was positively associated with mild hearing impairment (b = 2.030, P < .001), severe hearing impairment (b = 4.380, P < .001), and social isolation (b = .630, P < .001). In Equation 3, mild hearing impairment (b = -1.108, P < .001), severe hearing impairment (b = -1.108, P < .001), severe hearing impairment (b = -1.921, P < .001), and social isolation (b = -.275, P < .001) were negatively related to cognitive function, while depression (b = .006, P > .05) was not significantly associated with cognitive function. In Equation 4, mild hearing impairment (b = -1.127, P < .001) and severe hearing impairment (b = -2.023, P < .001) were negatively related to cognitive function.

Table 4 displays the results of the total, direct, and indirect effects of the chain mediating effect. The results showed that the significant total indirect effect (-.031) accounted for 2.75% of the total effect (-1.127) and 2.80% of the direct effect (-1.108) in the relationship between mild hearing impairment and cognitive function. This result indicated that 2.75% of the negative effect that mild hearing impairment

	Self-R				
Factors	No	Mild	Severe	χ^2/H	Р
Age, in years, median (P25, P75)	67 (63, 73)	68 (64, 74)	72 (67, 80)	267.81	<.001
Sex, female, n (%)	981 (50.3)	1753 (48.7)	685 (54.9)	14.61	.001
Education level				86.35	<.001
Less than lower secondary	1342 (68.9)	2743 (76.1)	1037 (83.2)		
Upper secondary and vocational training	607 (31.1)	860 (23.9)	210 (16.8)		
Hypertension, n (%)	385 (19.8)	693 (19.2)	302 (24.2)	14.72	.001
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	187 (9.6)	455 (12.6)	150 (12.0)	11.53	.003
Diabetes, n (%)	117 (6.0)	250 (6.9)	87 (7.0)	2.00	.369
Heart diseases, n (%)	159 (8.2)	376 (10.4)	161 (12.9)	19.03	<.001
Stroke, n (%)	128 (6.6)	249 (6.9)	3 (9.1)	8.08	.018
MMSE ^a , median (P25, P75)	18 (14, 20)	16 (13, 19)	16 (12, 19)	193.20	<.001
CESD-10 ^b , median (P25, P75)	6 (3, 11)	8 (4, 14)	(6, 8)	345.91	<.001
Social isolation, median (P25, P75)	3 (2, 4)	3 (2, 4)	3 (2, 4)	113.14	<.001

Table 2. Participant's Characteristics and Differences in Variables by Hearing Impairment (n = 6799).

Notes: ^aMMSE: the mini-mental state exam; CESD-10^b: the center for epidemiological studies depression scale.

Table 3. Regression Results of the Chain Effects Models (n = 6799).

Outcome Variable	Predictive Variable	R ²	F	Ь	SEs	t	LLCI	ULCI
Equation I		.017	58.472					
Social isolation	Hearing impairment_I			.111*	.034	3.241	.044	.178
	Hearing impairment_2			.466***	.044	10.547	.379	.553
Equation2		.063	153.035					
Depression	Hearing impairment_I			2.030***	.189	10.732	1.659	2.401
	Hearing impairment_2			4.380**	.246	17.826	3.898	4.862
	Social isolation			.630**	.067	9.411	.499	.761
Equation3		.033	57.589					
Cognitive function	Hearing impairment_I			-I.I08**	.119	-9.306	-I.342	875
0	Hearing impairment_2			- I.92I **	.157	- I 2.238	-2.229	-1.614
	Social isolation			275 **	.042	-6.54I	358	193
	Depression			.006	.008	.758	009	.021
Equation4	I	.027	93.224					
Cognitive function	Hearing impairment_I			−1.127 **	.118	-9.520	-1.359	895
0	Hearing impairment_2			-2.023**	.153	-I3.250	-2.322	-1.723

Notes: All estimated coefficients are unstandardized. LLCI: the Lower limit of 95% CI; ULCI: the Upper limit of 95% CI; and number of bootstrap samples for percentile. Bootstrap confidence intervals is 5000.

Hearing impairment_1: mild hearing impairment vs normal hearing (reference); Hearing impairment_2: severe hearing impairment vs normal hearing (reference).

*P < .05, **P < .001.

All models were adjusted for age, gender, education level, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, heart diseases, and stroke.

exerted on cognitive function worked through social isolation. Besides, the results showed that the significant total indirect effect (-.128) accounted for 6.33% of the total effect (-2.023) and 6.67% of the direct effect (-1.921) in the relationship between severe hearing impairment and cognitive function. This finding indicated that social isolation contributed to 6.33% of the negative effect that severe hearing impairment had on cognitive function. However, there was no significant mediating effect of depression or chain mediation in the relationship between mild or severe hearing impairment

and cognitive function. In the link between mild or severe hearing impairment and cognitive function, the direct effect of hearing impairment was noticeably stronger than the mediation effect of social isolation.

Discussion

This study primarily suggested the link between self-reported hearing impairment and cognitive function, as well as a possible psychosocial pathway. This study suggests that

	Effect	Boot SE	Boot LLCI	Boot ULCI	Ratio of Indirect to Total Effect	Ratio of Indirect to Direct Effect
Hearing impairm	ent_l					
Total effect	-I.I27	.118	-1.359	—.895	—	—
Direct effect	-1.108	.119	-1.342	875	—	—
Ind I_I	03 I	.011	054	—.011	2.75%	2.80%
Ind2_I	.012	.016	020	.043	—	—
Ind3_I	.0004	.001	00 I	.002	—	—
Hearing impairm	ent_2					
Total effect	-2.023	.153	-2.322	-1. 723	_	
Direct effect	- I.92 I	.157	-2.230	-1.614	_	
Ind I_2	128	.024	177	084	6.33%	6.67%
Ind2_2	.025	.034	042	.094	_	—
Ind3_2	.002	.002	003	.007		_

Table 4. Results and Comparison of Chain Mediating Effect (n = 6799).

Notes: $IndI_I$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_I \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_I$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_I \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_I$ is the mediation \rightarrow cognitive function. $IndI_2$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_2 \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_2$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_2 \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_2$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_2 \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_2$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_2 \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function, $Ind2_2$ is the mediation effect model of hearing impairment_2 \rightarrow social isolation \rightarrow cognitive function. Boot SE, boot LLCI, and boot ULCI are estimated SE under bias-corrected percentile bootstrap method, and 95% CI lower and 95% CI upper, and boot LLCI and boot ULCI do not overlap with zero.

Hearing impairment_1: mild hearing impairment vs normal hearing (reference); hearing impairment_2: severe hearing impairment vs normal hearing (reference).

self-reported mild and severe hearing impairment are directly and negatively related to cognitive function. Social isolation, rather than depression, is an individual mediator linking selfreported mild and severe hearing impairment to decreased cognitive function in Chinese older adults.

The magnitude of the direct relationship between selfreported hearing impairment and cognitive function is large regardless of the positive mediating effect, consistent with prior studies. According to a longitudinal study using English Longitudinal Study on Ageing (ELSA), loneliness and social isolation did not counteract the direct relationship between hearing impairment and episodic memory.²⁴ Through a metaanalysis, Loughery and colleagues found a significant correlation between age-related hearing impairment and all areas of cognitive function, including global cognition, episodic memory, and visuospatial ability.²⁵ Researchers have hypothesized that the direct association between hearing impairment and cognitive function could be attributed to that hearing impairment may alter brain structure and function directly by reducing auditory input. The audibility and purity of sound are impacted by hearing loss, which weakens and deprives high-quality sensory information the brain receives, ultimately leading to changes in the structure and function of the central auditory and cognitive systems.²⁶ Over a 6 years follow-up, 1 study discovered MRI evidence of brain atrophy and volume decline in the right temporal lobe in people with hearing impairment in comparison to people with normal hearing, indicating the independent relationship between hearing impairment and cognitive function-related brain atrophy.²⁷ Studies on hearing interventions have also shown that hearing treatment has a beneficial impact on general or specific cognitive function, which may potentially point to the connection.²⁸⁻³¹ The ACHIEVE study suggested that hearing intervention in older adults at higher risk for cognitive decline might significantly reduce cognitive changes over 3 years, whereas it might not have notable effects in those at lower risk for cognitive decline.³² Two other potential explanations for the association are the resource allocation hypothesis, which suggests that more cognitive processing resources may be allocated to deal with degraded auditory signals, and the common cause hypothesis, which proposes that age-related changes in both hearing and cognitive function result from widespread neurodegeneration, implying a common underlying mechanism. While these hypotheses provide plausible explanation, further studies are needed to validate the mechanisms linking hearing loss and cognitive decline.^{33,34}

This study shows a small but significant mediating effect of social isolation linking self-reported mild and severe hearing impairment to decreased cognitive function, thus supporting an indirect pathway, and enhancing the multifactorial explanation for hearing impairment and cognitive decline. The indirect association between hearing impairment and cognitive function through social isolation has been also indicated by several sizable cohort studies.^{24,35} As suggested, the impaired auditory processing of hearing impairment may hamper the ability to understand auditory information and to interact in social contexts. Barker and colleagues have concluded that people with hearing impairment might feel embarrassed or distressed about communication, leading them to retreat from once-enjoyable social interactions and resulting in subsequent social isolation.³⁶ Besides, poor auditory processing could directly limit cognitive reserve for social interactions along with the rising cognitive load.³⁷ Furthermore, reduced social engagement, as revealed by accumulating literature, can

decrease cognitive function in late life by diminishing protective factors such as cognitive stimulation, cognitive reserve, and physical activities.^{38,39}

An unexpected finding from the study is the absence of a mediating role of depression in the relationship between selfreported hearing impairment and cognitive function. More specifically, the link between depression and cognitive function is not significant in the mediation analysis. Few studies have examined the association of hearing impairment, social isolation, depression, and cognitive function as a full model. Existing evidence partly suggested the association of hearing impairment, social isolation and depression or depression and cognitive function,⁴⁰ with little direct evidence supporting depression as a mediator between hearing impairment and cognitive function in older adults. Maharani et al, for instance, looked at the mediation of social isolation and depression connecting hearing impairment and cognitive function individually.²⁴ One explanation for our findings could be that, when hearing impairment, social isolation, and depression were included in the mediation model, the pathway was adjusted by the former 2, which accounted for the majority of decreased cognitive function. Alternatively, rather than a diagnosis of depression, our measure of depressive symptoms as a continuous variable may have contributed to this insignificant association. To provide further clarity, additional empirical research is necessary to support this finding.

The strengths of this study are the large national random sample of older adults in China and the quantitative mediation analysis concerning both social isolation and depression. Nonetheless, several limitations should be noticed. First, the relatively low prevalence of severe hearing impairment may indicate existing stigma about hearing impairment or other social and psychological factors that prevent older adults from self-labeling as having hearing impairment with a selfreported measure. The measurement of hearing impairment in this study relied on self-reported data, given the lack of available objective auditory measures in CHARLS, which may introduce underestimation or overestimation of the association. Nevertheless, self-reported measures of hearing impairment are widely used in the literature as a cost-effective and accessible tool for studying older adults. Second, the CHARLS enrolled community-dwelling older adults in households, limiting the applicability of our findings to institutionalized older adults, who may suffer from worse status in terms of hearing, social engagement, depression, and cognitive decline. Third, The absence of information regarding hearing aid usage restricts the ability to fully explore the potential effects of hearing aid usage on social isolation, depression, or cognitive function. Future research should consider incorporation the assessment of hearing aid usage to further elucidate the relationships between hearing impairment and the mentioned measures. Finally, the cross-sectional design provides current associations rather than causality between self-reported hearing impairment and cognitive function. To validate the underlying causal relationships and effective strategies for mitigating cognitive decline in older persons, prospective and experimental studies regarding the effects of hearing on social functioning, depression, and cognitive function in different settings are highly encouraged.

Conclusions

This study has shown that self-reported mild and severe hearing impairment is negatively associated with cognitive function, and social isolation, rather than depression, is a mediator linking self-reported mild and severe hearing impairment to decreased cognitive function in Chinese older adults. Given that hearing impairment, even mild hearing impairment as self-reported, represents a risk factor for cognitive decline, early detection of hearing impairment should be emphasized for older adults. Significantly, social isolation related to hearing impairment may be reversible through non-pharmacological interventions and thus, together with hearing enhancement, represent candidate efforts to maximize cognitive function and reduce late-life burden in older adults.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the generosity of the CHARLS team as well as all the participants for the time and efforts that they have devoted to this project.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Education Department of Hubei Province under the Science and Technology Research Project [number Q20211607]; Research and Innovation Initiatives of Wuhan Polytechnic University [number 2021Y62]; The 2023 Nursing Research Fund (General Project) of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology [number 2023D49]. The funders had no role in the study design, data analysis, or decision to publish.

ORCID iDs

Fan Wu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0803-2915 Chenxi Zhou https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7212-3881

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting this study's findings are available on request from the corresponding author.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Jung D, De Gagne JC, Lee H, Lee M. Factors associated with eating performance in older adults with dementia in long-term care facilities: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2021;21(1): 365. doi:10.1186/s12877-021-02315-6.
- Jia L, Du Y, Chu L, et al. Prevalence, risk factors, and management of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in adults aged 60 years or older in China: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020;5(12):e661-e671. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30185-7.
- Jia J, Wei C, Chen S, et al. The cost of alzheimer's disease in China and re-estimation of costs worldwide. *Alzheimer's Dementia*. 2018;14(4):483-491. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2017.12.006.
- Wilson BS, Tucci DL, Merson MH, O'Donoghue GM. Global hearing health care: new findings and perspectives. *Lancet*. 2017; 390(10111):2503-2515. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31073-5.
- Haile LM, Kamenov K, Briant PS, GBD 2019 Hearing Loss Collaborators. Hearing loss prevalence and years lived with disability, 1990-2019: findings from the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10278):996-1009. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)00516-X.
- Jiang F, Kuper H, Zhou C, Qin W, Xu L. Relationship between hearing loss and depression symptoms among older adults in China: the mediating role of social isolation and loneliness. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatr.* 2022;37(6). doi:10.1002/gps.5729.
- Hardy CJD, Marshall CR, Golden HL, et al. Hearing and dementia. J Neurol. 2016;263(11):2339-2354. doi:10.1007/s00415-016-8208-y.
- Diao T, Ma X, Zhang J, Duan M, Yu L. The correlation between hearing loss, especially high-frequency hearing loss and cognitive decline among the elderly. *Front Neurosci.* 2021;15: 750874. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.750874.
- Yorgason JB, Tanner CT, Richardson S, et al. The longitudinal association of late-life visual and hearing difficulty and cognitive function: the role of social isolation. *J Aging Health*. 2022; 34(6-8):765-774. doi:10.1177/08982643211063338.
- Powell DS, Brenowitz WD, Yaffe K, et al. Examining the combined estimated effects of hearing loss and depressive symptoms on risk of cognitive decline and incident dementia. *J Gerontol Ser B* 2022;77(5): 839-849. doi:10.1093/geronb/ gbab194.
- Fakoya OA, McCorry NK, Donnelly M. Loneliness and social isolation interventions for older adults: a scoping review of reviews. *BMC Publ Health*. 2020;20(1):129. doi:10.1186/ s12889-020-8251-6.
- Noguchi T, Saito M, Aida J, et al. Association between social isolation and depression onset among older adults: a crossnational longitudinal study in England and Japan. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(3):e045834. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045834.
- Huang Y, Zhu X, Liu X, Li J. The effects of loneliness, social isolation, and associated gender differences on the risk of developing cognitive impairment for Chinese oldest old. *Aging Ment Health.* 2023;27(7):1360-1367. doi:10.1080/13607863. 2022.2116396.

- Golub J, Brewster K, Hu M-C, et al. Age-related hearing loss, late-life depression, and risk for incident dementia in older adults. *Innov Aging*. 2022;6(Supplement_1):245-245. doi:10. 1093/geroni/igac059.972.
- Cuoco S, Cappiello A, Scarpa A, et al. Neuropsychological profile of hearing-impaired patients and the effect of hearing aid on cognitive functions: an exploratory study. *Sci Rep.* 2021; 11(1):9384. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-88487-y.
- Rutherford BR, Brewster K, Golub JS, Kim AH, Roose SP. Sensation and psychiatry: linking age-related hearing loss to late-life depression and cognitive decline. *Am J Psychiatr.* 2018; 175(3):215-224. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17040423.
- Brewster KK, Deal JA, Lin FR, Rutherford BR. Considering hearing loss as a modifiable risk factor for dementia. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2022;22(9):805-813. doi:10.1080/14737175.2022. 2128769.
- Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43(1):61-68. doi:10.1093/ije/dys203.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(8): 573-577. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1975;12(3):189-198. doi:10.1016/ 0022-3956(75)90026-6.
- Shankar A, McMunn A, Demakakos P, Hamer M, Steptoe A. Social isolation and loneliness: prospective associations with functional status in older adults. *Health Psychol.* 2017;36(2): 179-187. doi:10.1037/hea0000437.
- Boey KW. Cross-validation of a short form of the CES-D in Chinese elderly. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatr*. 1999;14(8):608-617. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1166(199908)14:8<608::AID-GPS991>3.0.CO;2-Z.
- Hayes AF, Preacher KJ. Statistical mediation analysis with a multicategorical independent variable. *Br J Math Stat Psychol*. 2014;67(3):451-470. doi:10.1111/bmsp.12028.
- Maharani A, Pendleton N, Leroi I. Hearing impairment, loneliness, social isolation, and cognitive function: longitudinal analysis using english longitudinal study on ageing. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatr*. 2019;27(12):1348-1356. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2019.07.010.
- Loughrey DG, Kelly ME, Kelley GA, Brennan S, Lawlor BA. Association of age-related hearing loss with cognitive function, cognitive impairment, and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Otolaryngol Neck Surg.* 2018;144(2): 115-126. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2017.2513.
- Li J, Men W, Gao J-H, et al. Functional connectivity alteration of the deprived auditory regions with cognitive networks in deaf and inattentive adolescents. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2022;16(2): 939-954. doi:10.1007/s11682-022-00632-x.
- Lin FR, Ferrucci L, An Y, et al. Association of hearing impairment with brain volume changes in older adults. *Neuroimage*. 2014;90:84-92. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.12.059.

- Yang Z, Ni J, Teng Y, et al. Effect of hearing aids on cognitive functions in middle-aged and older adults with hearing loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2022;14:1017882. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2022.1017882.
- Völter C, Götze L, Dazert S, Falkenstein M, Thomas JP. Can cochlear implantation improve neurocognition in the aging population? *Clin Interv Aging*. 2018;13:701-712. doi:10.2147/ CIA.S160517.
- Yeo BSY, Song HJJMD, Toh EMS, et al. Association of hearing aids and cochlear implants with cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol.* 2023; 80(2):134-141. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4427.
- Denham MW, Weitzman RE, Golub JS. Hearing aids and cochlear implants in the prevention of cognitive decline and dementia—breaking through the silence. *JAMA Neurol.* 2023; 80(2):127-128. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4155.
- 32. Lin FR, Pike JR, Albert MS, et al. Hearing intervention versus health education control to reduce cognitive decline in older adults with hearing loss in the USA (achieve): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2023;402(10404):786-797. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01406-X.
- Campbell J, Sharma A. Compensatory changes in cortical resource allocation in adults with hearing loss. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2013;7:71. doi:10.3389/fnsys.2013.00071.
- Phillips NA, Isler L, Kabir R, et al. Hearing and visual acuity predict cognitive function in adults aged 45–85 years: findings

from the baseline wave of the Canadian longitudinal study on aging (CLSA). *Psychol Aging*. 2022;37(8):891-912. doi:10. 1037/pag0000716.

- Chen L, Zhou R. Does self-reported hearing difficulty decrease older adults' cognitive and physical functioning? The mediating role of social isolation. *Maturitas*. 2020;141:53-58. doi:10. 1016/j.maturitas.2020.06.011.
- Barker AB, Leighton P, Ferguson MA. Coping together with hearing loss: a qualitative meta-synthesis of the psychosocial experiences of people with hearing loss and their communication partners. *Int J Audiol.* 2017;56(5):297-305. doi:10.1080/ 14992027.2017.1286695.
- Tun PA, McCoy S, Wingfield A. Aging, hearing acuity, and the attentional costs of effortful listening. *Psychol Aging*. 2009; 24(3):761-766. doi:10.1037/a0014802.
- Evans IEM, Martyr A, Collins R, Brayne C, Clare L. Social isolation and cognitive function in later life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Alzheim Dis*. 2019;70(s1):S119-S144. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180501.
- Kobayashi LC, Steptoe A. Social isolation, loneliness, and health behaviors at older ages: longitudinal cohort study. *Ann Behav Med.* 2018;52(7):582-593. doi:10.1093/abm/kax033.
- Huang H, Wang J, Jiang CQ, et al. Hearing loss and depressive symptoms in older Chinese: whether social isolation plays a role. *BMC Geriatr*. 2022;22(1):620. doi:10.1186/s12877-022-03311-0.