## Association analysis of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmic variants: methods and

## application

Xianbang Sun<sup>1</sup>, Katia Bulekova<sup>2</sup>, Jian Yang<sup>1</sup>, Meng Lai<sup>1</sup>, Achilleas N. Pitsillides<sup>1</sup>, Xue Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yuankai Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiuqing Guo<sup>3</sup>, Qian Yong<sup>4</sup>, Laura M. Raffield<sup>5</sup>, Jerome I. Rotter<sup>3</sup>, Stephen S. Rich<sup>6</sup>, Goncalo Abecasis<sup>7</sup>, April P. Carson<sup>8</sup>, Ramachandran S. Vasan<sup>9,10</sup>, Joshua C. Bis<sup>11</sup>, Bruce M. Psaty<sup>11,12</sup>, Eric Boerwinkle<sup>13,14</sup>, Annette L. Fitzpatrick<sup>15</sup>, Claudia L. Satizabal,<sup>10,17</sup> Dan E. Arking<sup>19</sup>, Jun Ding<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Levy<sup>10,20</sup>, TOPMed mtDNA working group, Chunyu Liu<sup>1,10,</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Boston University, Boston, MA 02118, USA. <sup>2</sup>Research Computing Services, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA.

<sup>3</sup>The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA 90502, USA. 4 Longitudinal Studies Section, Translational Gerontology Branch, NIA/NIH, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA <sup>5</sup>Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27514, USA.<br><sup>6</sup>Department of Bublic Hoalth Services, Conter for Bublic Hoalth Consmiss, University of Virginia  $6$ Department of Public Health Services, Center for Public Health Genomics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA.

<sup>7</sup>TOPMed Informatics Research Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.<br><sup>8</sup> Department of Modicine, University of Micriesippi Modical Center, Jackson, MS 30216, US

<sup>8</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA.

<sup>9</sup>Sections of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, and Cardiovascular Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02118, USA<br><sup>10</sup> Framingham Heart Study, NHLBI/NIH, Framingham, MA 01702, USA.

<sup>11</sup>Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA.

12Departments of Epidemiology, and Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA.<br><sup>13</sup>Human Genetics Center, Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences,

The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA.<br><sup>14</sup>Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, 77030, USA.

<sup>15</sup>Departments of Family Medicine, Epidemiology, and Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA;

<sup>16</sup>Cardiovascular Health Research Unit and Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA;

<sup>17</sup>Glenn Biggs Institute for Alzheimer's and Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA;

<sup>18</sup>Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS 39216, USA.

<sup>19</sup>McKusick-Nathans Institute, Department of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, MD 21205, USA.

<sup>20</sup>Population Sciences Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

## **Corresponding to**

Chunyu Liu Department of Biostatistics 801 Massachusetts Avenue, 3rd floor School of Public Health, Boston University Boston, MA 02118, USA Email: liuc@bu.edu

## **Declaration of interests**

The authors have nothing to disclose, except for the disclosure from following authors. Dr. Abecasis reports grants from National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NIH), during the conduct of the study; personal fees and other from Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work; Dr. Psaty serves on the Steering Committee of the Yale Open Data Access Project funded by Johnson & Johnson; outside the submitted work.

1 **ABSTRACT**<br>2 We rigorously<br>heteroplasmy<br>4 a variant alle<br>5 robust identif<br>6 demonstrated 2 We rigorously assessed a comprehensive association testing framework for<br>
2 heteroplasmy, employing both simulated and real-world data. This framewor<br>
2 a variant allele fraction (VAF) threshold and harnessed multiple g heteroplasmy, employing both simulated and real-world data. This framework employed<br>a variant allele fraction (VAF) threshold and harnessed multiple gene-based tests for<br>robust identification and association testing of he a variant allele fraction (VAF) threshold and harnessed multiple gene-based tests for<br>robust identification and association testing of heteroplasmy. Our simulation studies<br>demonstrated that gene-based tests maintained an a Frobust identification and association testing of heteroplasmy. Our simulation studies<br>
demonstrated that gene-based tests maintained an appropriate type I error rate at<br>  $\alpha$ =0.001. Notably, when 5% or more heteroplasmic demonstrated that gene-based tests maintained an appropriate type I error rate at  $\alpha$ =0.001. Notably, when 5% or more heteroplasmic variants within a target region v<br>linked to an outcome, burden-extension tests (includin  $\alpha$ =0.001. Notably, when 5% or more heteroplasmic variants within a target region were<br>
linked to an outcome, burden-extension tests (including the adaptive burden test,<br>
variable threshold burden test, and z-score weig linked to an outcome, burden-extension tests (including the adaptive burden test,<br>variable threshold burden test, and z-score weighting burden test) outperformed the<br>sequence kernel association test (SKAT) and the original variable threshold burden test, and z-score weighting burden test) outperformed the<br>sequence kernel association test (SKAT) and the original burden test. Applying this<br>framework, we conducted association analyses on wholesequence kernel association test (SKAT) and the original burden test. Applying this<br>
framework, we conducted association analyses on whole-blood derived heteroplasn<br>
17,507 individuals of African and European ancestries (3 11 framework, we conducted association analyses on whole-blood derived heteroplasmy in<br>12 17,507 individuals of African and European ancestries (31% of African Ancestry, mean<br>13 age of 62, with 58% women) with whole genome 17,507 individuals of African and European ancestries (31% of African Ancestry, mean<br>
13 age of 62, with 58% women) with whole genome sequencing data. We performed both<br>
14 cohort- and ancestry-specific association analyse 13 age of 62, with 58% women) with whole genome sequencing data. We performed both<br>
14 cohort- and ancestry-specific association analyses, followed by meta-analysis on both<br>
15 pooled samples and within each ancestry group cohort- and ancestry-specific association analyses, followed by meta-analysis on both<br>pooled samples and within each ancestry group. <mark>Our results suggest that mtDNA-<br>encoded genes/regions are likely to exhibit varying rate</mark> 15 pooled samples and within each ancestry group. <mark>Our results suggest that mtDNA-</mark><br>20 **encoded genes/regions are likely to exhibit varying rates in somatic aging, with the<br>20 <b>or allow provide in the provide provide in th** encoded genes/regions are likely to exhibit varying rates in somatic aging, with the<br>notably strong associations observed between heteroplasmy in the *RNR1* and *RNF*<br>genes (*p*<0.001) and advance aging by the Original Bur notably strong associations observed between heteroplasmy in the *RNR1* and *RNR2*<br>genes (p<0.001) and advance aging by the Original Burden test. In contrast, SKAT<br>identified significant associations (p<0.001) between diab 18 genes (*p*<0.001) and advance aging by the Original Burden test. In contrast, SKAT<br>identified significant associations (*p*<0.001) between diabetes and the aggregated<br>effects of heteroplasmy in several protein-coding g identified significant associations (*p*<0.001) between diabetes and the aggregated<br>effects of heteroplasmy in several protein-coding genes. Further research is warrar<br>to validate these findings. In summary, our proposed s 20 effects of heteroplasmy in several protein-coding genes. Further research is warranted<br>21 to validate these findings. In summary, our proposed statistical framework represents a<br>22 valuable tool for facilitating associa 21 to validate these findings. In summary, our proposed statistical framework represents a<br>22 valuable tool for facilitating association testing of heteroplasmy with disease traits in<br>23 large human populations.<br>23 large h 22 valuable tool for facilitating association testing of heteroplasmy with disease traits in<br>23 large human populations.<br>23 large human populations. 23 large human populations.<br>|-<br>|-

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

- $\begin{array}{c}\n2 \\
3\n\end{array}$ 2 Keywords: mitochondrial DNA sequencing, heteroplasmy, association analysis, gene-<br>based test<br> $\frac{1}{2}$
- 3 based test

1 **INTRODUCTION**<br>2 Mitochondria are i<br>13 phosphorylation, c<br>14 death and stem ce<br>15 circular molecule of<br>16 base pairs and is Mitochondria are important organelles producing cellular energy through oxidative<br>phosphorylation, calcium homeostasis, regulation of innate immunity, programmed<br>death and stem cell regulation.(1) The maternally inherited phosphorylation, calcium homeostasis, regulation of innate immunity, programmed cell<br>death and stem cell regulation.(1) The maternally inherited mitochondrial genome is a<br>circular molecule of double-stranded DNA (mtDNA). H death and stem cell regulation.(1) The maternally inherited mitochondrial genome is a<br>sincular molecule of double-stranded DNA (mtDNA). Human mtDNA consists of 16,569<br>base pairs and is essential for proper mitochondrial fu 5 circular molecule of double-stranded DNA (mtDNA). Human mtDNA consists of 16,569<br>base pairs and is essential for proper mitochondrial function. mtDNA encodes 22 tRNAs<br>and 2 rRNAs, and 13 proteins that are involved in the base pairs and is essential for proper mitochondrial function. mtDNA encodes 22 tRNAs<br>and 2 rRNAs, and 13 proteins that are involved in the energy production pathway (1)<br>Hundreds to thousands of mtDNA molecules are present and 2 rRNAs, and 13 proteins that are involved in the energy production pathway (1)<br>
Hundreds to thousands of mtDNA molecules are present per human cell, depending of<br>
the cell's energy requirement.(2) Heteroplasmy refers 8 Hundreds to thousands of mtDNA molecules are present per human cell, depending on<br>
9 the cell's energy requirement.(2) Heteroplasmy refers to a phenomenon where two or<br>
9 more alleles coexist at the same site in a mixtur 9 the cell's energy requirement.(2) Heteroplasmy refers to a phenomenon where two or<br>
9 more alleles coexist at the same site in a mixture of mtDNA molecules within a cell or<br>
9 individual.(3) Based on our previous studies more alleles coexist at the same site in a mixture of mtDNA molecules within a cell or an<br>individual.(3) Based on our previous studies(4) and other studies,(5-7) 98% of mtDNA<br>heteroplasmic variants are rare, only present i 11 individual.(3) Based on our previous studies(4) and other studies,(5-7) 98% of mtDNA<br>
12 heteroplasmic variants are rare, only present in one (i.e., singleton) or a few individuals<br>
13 In addition, most heteroplasmic va 12 heteroplasmic variants are rare, only present in one (i.e., singleton) or a few individuals.<br>
13 In addition, most heteroplasmic variants display low variant allele fractions (VAFs) in the<br>
14 general human population.( 13 In addition, most heteroplasmic variants display low variant allele fractions (VAFs) in the<br>14 general human population.(4-7) Nonetheless, the increase in both their number and<br>15 VAFs of heteroplasmy during aging may c

queneral human population.(4-7) Nonetheless, the increase in both their number and<br>15 VAFs of heteroplasmy during aging may contribute to age-related diseases, including<br>16 cardiovascular disease and cancer.(2, 8)<br>17 With VAFs of heteroplasmy during aging may contribute to age-related diseases, including<br>
cardiovascular disease and cancer.(2, 8)<br>
With a greatly reduced cost in next generation sequencing technologies,<br>
hundreds of thousands cardiovascular disease and cancer.(2, 8)<br>17 With a greatly reduced cost in next<br>18 hundreds of thousands of human genome<br>19 sequenced. The availability of mtDNA sec<br>10 in large human populations(4) provides fo<br>11 variants With a greatly reduced cost in next generation sequencing technologies,<br>18 hundreds of thousands of human genome samples, including mtDNA, have bee<br>19 sequenced. The availability of mtDNA sequences with high coverage (e.g. 18 hundreds of thousands of human genome samples, including mtDNA, have been<br>19 sequenced. The availability of mtDNA sequences with high coverage (e.g., > 2000<br>10 in large human populations(4) provides for the detection of 19 sequenced. The availability of mtDNA sequences with high coverage (e.g., > 2000-fold)<br>
20 in large human populations(4) provides for the detection of rare, low-level heteroplasmic<br>
21 variants that are potentially assoc in large human populations(4) provides for the detection of rare, low-level heteroplasmic<br>
variants that are potentially associated with disease traits. The commonly used<br>
statistical methods to analyze rare variants in th 21 variants that are potentially associated with disease traits. The commonly used<br>22 statistical methods to analyze rare variants in the nuclear genome, e.g., burden<br>23 and the sequence kernel association test (SKAT),(10) 22 statistical methods to analyze rare variants in the nuclear genome, e.g., burden tests (9)<br>23 and the sequence kernel association test (SKAT),(10) have not been evaluated for their<br>23 and the sequence kernel association 23 and the sequence kernel association test (SKAT),(10) have not been evaluated for their<br>4

performance in the context of ultra-rare variants such as mitochondrial heteroplasmy. In<br>addition, there is no standard procedure or approach for the analysis of heteroplasmy.<br>Therefore, it is important to develop a novel addition, there is no standard procedure or approach for the analysis of heteroplasmy.<br>
Therefore, it is important to develop a novel framework for testing the association of<br>
heteroplasmic variants with disease traits.<br>
W 3 Therefore, it is important to develop a novel framework for testing the association of<br>
4 heteroplasmic variants with disease traits.<br>
5 We propose a statistical framework for association analysis of heteroplasmic<br>
16 va A heteroplasmic variants with disease traits.<br>
5 We propose a statistical framework<br>
6 variants with a trait. This framework incorp<br>
17 true heteroplasmy and performs association<br>
9 Original Burden test(9) and its extensio We propose a statistical framework for association analysis of heteroplasmic<br>
solutions with a trait. This framework incorporates a pre-specified threshold for identif<br>
true heteroplasmy and performs association analyses w variants with a trait. This framework incorporates a pre-specified threshold for identifying<br>true heteroplasmy and performs association analyses with a few methods, including the<br>Original Burden test(9) and its extensions, True heteroplasmy and performs association analyses with a few methods, including the<br>
28 Original Burden test(9) and its extensions, (11, 12) and SKAT. (10) This framework also<br>
29 uses an aggregated Cauchy association te 8 Original Burden test(9) and its extensions,(11, 12) and SKAT.(10) This framework also<br>9 uses an aggregated Cauchy association test (ACAT-O)(13) and SKAT-optimal (SKAT-<br>0)(14) to combine information from multiple gene-bas 9 uses an aggregated Cauchy association test (ACAT-O)(13) and SKAT-optimal (SKAT-<br>
0)(14) to combine information from multiple gene-based methods applied in associatio<br>
analyses. Furthermore, this framework can easily inco 0)(14) to combine information from multiple gene-based methods applied in association<br>analyses. Furthermore, this framework can easily incorporate different types of weights<br>(e.g., the variant allele fraction and the predi 11 analyses. Furthermore, this framework can easily incorporate different types of weights<br>
12 (e.g., the variant allele fraction and the predicted functional score). In this study, we<br>
13 evaluate the performance of these 12 (e.g., the variant allele fraction and the predicted functional score). In this study, we<br>
13 evaluate the performance of these methods using simulated and real data to assess<br>
14 association of heteroplasmy with contin evaluate the performance of these methods using simulated and real data to assess the<br>association of heteroplasmy with continuous and binary traits.<br>15<br>**METHODS**<br>**Definition of mitochondrial DNA sequence variants**<br>18 Metat association of heteroplasmy with continuous and binary traits.<br>15<br>**METHODS**<br>**Definition of mitochondrial DNA sequence variants**<br>18 Variant alleles are identified by comparing sequence reads in r<br>19 Sequence 2.9 the revised

# 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20

16 **METHODS**<br>17 **Definition c**<br>18 Variant allel<br>19 sequence, e<br>20 Reconstruct<br>21 is the propo **Definition of mitochondrial DNA sequence variants**<br>18 Variant alleles are identified by comparing sequence re-<br>19 sequence, e.g., the revised Cambridge Reference Sequ<br>10 Reconstructed Sapiens Reference Sequence (RSRS)<br>12

18 Variant alleles are identified by comparing sequence reads in mtDNA to reference<br>
19 sequence, e.g., the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS)(15) or<br>
120 Reconstructed Sapiens Reference Sequence (RSRS)(16). A va 19 sequence, e.g., the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS)(15) or<br>
20 Reconstructed Sapiens Reference Sequence (RSRS)(16). A variant allele f<br>
12 is the proportion of the variant alleles over all sequence reads ob

- 20 Reconstructed Sapiens Reference Sequence (RSRS)(16). A variant allele fraction (VAF)<br>
21 is the proportion of the variant alleles over all sequence reads observed at a mtDNA site<br>
22 in an individual. To minimize false
- 
- 21 is the proportion of the variant alleles over all sequence reads observed at a mtDNA site<br>
22 in an individual. To minimize false positive findings, a heteroplasmy is defined by a pre-<br>
23 specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1,$ 22 in an individual. To minimize false positive findings, a heteroplasmy is defined by a pre-<br>
23 specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $VAF_{ij}$  be the VAF of a variant at mtDNA site  $f^{\text{th}}$  in the specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $VAF_{ij}$  be the VAF of a variant at mtDNA site  $j^{\text{th}}$ <br>specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $VAF_{ij}$  be the VAF of a variant at mtDNA site  $j^{\text{th}}$  $\sqrt{1}$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$ 23 specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $VAF_{ij}$  be the VAF of a variant at mtDNA site  $j^{\text{fn}}$  in the specified threshold  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $VAF_{ij}$  be the VAF of a variant at mtDNA site  $j^{\text{fn}}$  in the

*i* th considere  $\tau_1$  in individual *i*; it is considered as a heteroplasmy if  $\tau_1 \leq VAF_{ij} \leq \tau_2$ <br>ed as a homoplasmy if  $VAF_{ij} > \tau_2$ .

1  $t^n$  individual. Here  $j = 1, ..., m$ , and  $i = 1, ..., n$ . A site  $j$  is not considered as a variant if  $VAF_{ij} < t_1$  in individual  $t_i$ , it is considered as a heteroplasmy if  $\tau_1 \leq VAF_{ij} \leq \tau_2$ ; and it is considered as a homopla  $VAF_{ij} < t_1$  in individual *i*; it is considered as a heteroplasmy if  $\tau_1 \le VAF_{ij} \le \tau_2$ ; and it is<br>
considered as a homoplasmy if  $VAF_{ij} > \tau_2$ .<br>
Let  $G_{ijt}$  be the coding of the heteroplasmy at the *j*<sup>th</sup> site of *i*<sup>th</sup> considered as a homoplasmy if  $VAR_{ij} > \tau_2$ <br>Let  $G_{ijt}$  be the coding of the heteror 3 considered as a homoplasmy if  $VAR_{ij} > \tau_2$ .<br>
4 Let  $G_{ijt}$  be the coding of the heteropl<br>
5 VAF threshold  $\tau$ . We consider two coding so<br>
6 First, we define a heteroplasmic variant by a<br>
7 heteroplasmy is not incorporat Let  $G_{ijt}$  be the coding of the heteroplasmy at the  $j^{th}$  site of  $i^{th}$ <br>reshold  $\tau$ . We consider two coding schemes for variants in as 4 Let  $G_{ijt}$  be the coding of the heteroplasmy at the  $j^{th}$  site of  $i^{th}$  individual with a<br>
4 VAF threshold  $\tau$ . We consider two coding schemes for variants in association testing.<br>
6 First, we define a heteroplasmic 5 VAF threshold  $\tau$ . We consider two coding schemes for variants in association testing.<br>
6 First, we define a heteroplasmic variant by an indicator function in which the VAF of a<br>
7 heteroplasmy is not incorporated:<br>
8 6 First, we define a heteroplasmic variant by an indicator function in which the VAF of a<br>
7 heteroplasmy is not incorporated:<br>
8  $G_{ij\tau} = 1_{\tau}(VAF_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } VAF_{ij} \in \tau \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$  (Definition 1)<br>
9 Second, we define

8 
$$
G_{ij\tau} = 1_{\tau}(VAF_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } VAF_{ij} \in \tau \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}
$$
 (Definition 1)  
Second, we define a heteroplasmy by incorporating its VAF:

 $\mathbf{Q}_i$  by incorpe<br> $\mathbf{Q}_i$   $\in$   $\tau$ 

10 
$$
G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} VAF_{ij} & if \; VAF_{ij} \in \tau \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}
$$
 (Definition 2)

7 heteroplasmy is not incorporated:<br>
8  $G_{ij\tau} = 1_{\tau} (VAF_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ <br>
9 Second, we define a heteroplasmy<br>  $G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} VAF_{ij} & if \; VAF_{ij} \end{cases}$ <br>
1 Because definition 2 results in dist 8  $G_{ij\tau} = 1_{\tau} (VAF_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \neq j \end{cases}$   $VHI_{ij} \subset V$  (Definition 1)<br>
9 Second, we define a heteroplasmy by incorporating its VAF:<br>  $G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} VAF_{ij} & if \ VAF_{ij} \in \tau \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$  (Definition 2)<br>
1 Because definition 2 result 9 Second, we define a heteroplasmy by incorporating its VAF:<br>
0  $G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} VAF_{ij} & if \; VAR_{ij} \in \tau \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$ <br>
1 Because definition 2 results in distinct scales between heterc<br>
2 standardize the coding of each heteroplasmy,  $G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq j \neq j \end{cases}$ <br>inition 2 results in distinct sults in disti:<br>of each het 10  $G_{ij\tau} = \begin{cases} v_{11} & v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$  (Definition 2)<br>
11 Because definition 2 results in distinct scales between heteroplasmic sites, v<br>
12 standardize the coding of each heteroplasmy, which is equivalent t 11 Because definition 2 results in distinct scales between heteroplasmic sites, we<br>
12 standardize the coding of each heteroplasmy, which is equivalent to the inverse<br>
13 variance weight using VAF.(10)<br>
14 **A phenotype mo** 

12 standardize the coding of each heteroplasmy, which is equivalent to the inverse<br>
13 variance weight using VAF.(10)<br>
14 **A phenotype model**<br>
15 For subject *i*, let  $y_i$  denote a phenotype with mean  $\mu_i$ . Let  $X_i = (X_{i1$ 13 variance weight using VAF.(10)<br>
14 **A phenotype model**<br>
15 For subject *i*, let  $y_i$  denote a phe<br>
16 vector of covariates, and let  $G_{i\tau}$ <br>
17 heteroplasmic sites in a region c<br>
18 (GLMM) framework to investigat **4 A phenotype model**<br>
15 **For subject** *i***, let**  $y_i$  **de<br>
16 vector of covariates, a<br>
17 <b>heteroplasmic sites in**<br>
18 (GLMM) framework to<br>
19 **heteroplasmic variant** For subject *i*, let  $y_i$  denote a phenotype with mean  $\mu_i$ . Let  $X_i = (X_{i1}, ..., X_{iq})^T$ <br>vector of covariates, and let  $G_{i\tau} = (G_{i1\tau}, ..., G_{im\tau})^T$  be a vector of the coding 15 For subject *i*, let  $y_i$  denote a phenotype with mean  $\mu_i$ . Let  $X_i = (X_{i1}, ..., X_{iq})^T$  denote a<br>
16 vector of covariates, and let  $G_{i\tau} = (G_{i1\tau}, ..., G_{i m \tau})^T$  be a vector of the coding of *m* mtDN<br>
17 heteroplasmic sites vector of covariates, and let  $G_{i\tau}$  =<br>heteroplasmic sites in a region or<br>(GLMM) framework to investigate  $(G_{i1\tau},...,G_{im\tau})^T$ gene. We cons 16 vector of covariates, and let  $G_{it} = (G_{i1t}, ..., G_{imt})^T$  be a vector of the coding of *m* mtDNA<br>
17 heteroplasmic sites in a region or gene. We consider a generalized linear mixed model<br>
18 (GLMM) framework to investigate 17 heteroplasmic sites in a region or gene. We consider a generalized linear mixed model<br>
18 (GLMM) framework to investigate the relationship between a set of mtDNA<br>
19 heteroplasmic variants in a region or gene and a phe 18 (GLMM) framework to investigate the relationship between a set of mtDNA<br>
19 heteroplasmic variants in a region or gene and a phenotype.(17)<br>
20  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha + M_i + N_i + G_{i\tau}^T \beta$  (Equation 1)<br>
121 where  $g(\mu_i) = \mu_i$  f 19 heteroplasmic variants in a region or gene and a phenotype.(17)<br>
20  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha + M_i + N_i + G_{i\tau}^T \beta$  (Ec<br>
21 where  $g(\mu_i) = \mu_i$  for a continuous trait and  $g(\mu_i) = logit(\mu_i)$  for a<br>
22 more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be

$$
g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} + M_i + N_i + G_{i\tau}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \qquad \text{(Equation 1)}
$$

 $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha + M_i + N_i + G_{i\tau}^T$ <br>ontinuous trait and  $g(\mu_i) = logit$ 20  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha + M_i + N_i + G_{ir}^T \beta$  (Equation 1)<br>
21 where  $g(\mu_i) = \mu_i$  for a continuous trait and  $g(\mu_i) = logit(\mu_i)$  for a binary transport of the mtDNA, and<br>
22 more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic component of t where

 $g(\mu_i) = \mu_i$  for a continuous trait and  $g(\mu_i) = logit(\mu_i)$  for a binary trait. To be <br>jeneralizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic component of the mtDNA, and  $N_i$  be a 22 more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic compared that  $M_i$  be a polygenic compared to the state s more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic component of the mtDNA, and  $N_i$ <br> $N_i$ 22 more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic component of the mtDNA, and  $N_i$  be a more generalizable, we let  $M_i$  be a polygenic component of the mtDNA, and  $N_i$  be a

polygenic component of the nuclear genome. In Equation 1,  $\alpha_0$ <br>  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q)^T$  is a column vector of the effects from covariates, a<br>
solumn vector of the effects from a set of beteroplesmic verient polygenic component of the nuclear genome. In Equation 1,  $\alpha_0$  is an intercept,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q)^T$  is a column vector of the effects from covariates, and  $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m)^T$ <br>column vector of the effects from a set of heterop polygenic component of the nuclear genome. In Equation 1,  $\alpha_0$  is an intercept,  $\alpha$  =  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q)^T$  is a column vector of the effects from covariates, and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} =$ <br>column vector of the effects from a set of heteroplasmic variants. In th column vector of the effects from a set of heteroplasmic variants. In this study, we only )'<br>'e<br>of  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_q)^T$  is a column vector of the effects from covariates, and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m)^T$  is a<br>column vector of the effects from a set of heteroplasmic variants. In this study, we only<br>focus on mtDNA sequence variations, 3 column vector of the effects from a set of heteroplasmic variants. In this study, we only<br>
4 focus on mtDNA sequence variations, and therefore, we set  $N_i = 0$ . The testing of null<br>
1 hypothesis of no association between 4 focus on mtDNA sequence variations, and therefore, we set  $N_i$  =<br>
4 hypothesis of no association between mtDNA sequence variation<br>
4 equivalent to testing  $H_0: \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) = \mathbf{0}$ . The score statistic for<br>
4 as<br>
4 focus on mtDNA sequence variations, and therefore, we set  $N_i = 0$ . The testing of null<br>hypothesis of no association between mtDNA sequence variations and a trait is 5 hypothesis of no association between mtDNA sequence variations and a trait is<br>
6 equivalent to testing  $H_0: \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) = \mathbf{0}$ . The score statistic for mutation *j* is<br>
13 as<br>  $U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ij\tau}(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)$  (Equa equivalent to testing  $H_0: \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_m) = \mathbf{0}$ . The score statistic for mutation *j* is defined as  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ij\tau}(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)
$$
 (Equation 2)

7 as<br>
8  $U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ij\tau}$ <br>
9 where  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is the estimated mean of  $y_i$  u<br>
0 null model  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha$ .  $\begin{array}{ccc} 7 & \text{as} \ \text{8} \ & \text{9} & \text{wh} \ \text{1} & \text{Ge} \ & \text{1} \end{array}$  $U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ij\tau} (y_i - \mu_i)$ <br>mean of  $y_i$  under the <sup>8</sup>  $U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ij\tau}(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)$  (Equation 2)<br>
9 where  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is the estimated mean of  $y_i$  under the null hypothesis (<br>
1 **Gene-based tests**<br>
2 *The Original Burden and SKAT*<br>
1 **In this study, we only considered bet** where  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is the estimated mean of  $y_i$  under the null hypothesis ( $H_0: \beta = 0$ ) by fitting the<br>null model  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha$ . null model  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T$  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

## **Gene-based tests**

o null model  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha$ .<br>
1 **Gene-based tests**<br>
2 The Original Burden and SKAT<br>
1 In this study, we only considered heteroplasmic variants with population<br>
4  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{ijx} < 0.01$  in association a 10 null model  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + X_i^T \alpha$ .<br>
11 **Gene-based tests**<br>
12 *The Original Burden and SKA*<br>
13 In this study, we only consider<br>
14  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{ij\tau} < 0.01$  in as<br>
15 in the study and  $MAF_{Hj}$  refers 11 **Gene-based tests**<br>
12 *The Original Burdel*<br>
13 In this study, we on<br>
14  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} G_{ij\tau} <$ <br>
15 in the study and *MA*<br>
16 variant (H) *j*. The O 12 *The Original Burden and SKAT*<br>13 In this study, we only considered<br>14  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} G_{ij\tau} < 0.01$  in assent<br>15 in the study and  $MAF_{Hj}$  refers to<br>16 variant (H) *j*. The Original Burde<br>17 used to aggregate t 13 In this study, we only considered heteroplasmic variants with population level frequency<br>
14  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} G_{ij\tau} < 0.01$  in association analyses. Here, *n* is the number of participants<br>
15 in the study and  $MA$  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} G_{ij\tau}$ <br>in the study and  $M$  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$  $MAF_{Hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} G_{ij\tau} < 0.01$  in association analyses. Here, *n* is the number of participants<br>in the study and  $MAF_{Hj}$  refers to the minor allele frequency (MAF) of a heteroplasmic<br>variant (H) *j*. The Original B in the study and  $\mathit{MAF}_{Hj}$ <br>variant (H) *j*. The Origir 15 in the study and  $MAF_{Hj}$  refers to the minor allele frequency (MAF) of a heteroplasmic<br>16 variant (H) *j*. The Original Burden test(9) (referred as Burden) and SKAT(10) are ofter<br>17 used to aggregate the effects of ra 16 variant (H) *j*. The Original Burden test(9) (referred as Burden) and SKAT(10) are often<br>
17 used to aggregate the effects of rare variants in a genetic region in autosome. The<br>
18 corresponding test statistic for Burd 17 used to aggregate the effects of rare variants in a genetic region in autosome. The<br>
18 corresponding test statistic for Burden is  $Q_{burden} = (\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j U_j)^2$ . Under the null,  $Q_{bn}$ <br>
19 follows a chi-square distribution corresponding test statistic for Burden is  $Q_{burden} = (\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j U_j)^2$ <br>follows a chi-square distribution asymptotically with 1 degree of 18 corresponding test statistic for Burden is  $Q_{burden} = (\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j U_j)^2$ . Under the null,  $Q_{burden}$  follows a chi-square distribution asymptotically with 1 degree of freedom. The SKAT method(10) uses variance component framew 19 follows a chi-square distribution asymptotically with 1 degree of freedom. The SKAT<br>
20 method(10) uses variance component framework and the corresponding test statistic<br>
21  $Q_{SKAT} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2 U_j^2$ . This test statis 20 method(10) uses variance component framework and the corresponding test statistic is<br>
21  $Q_{SKAT} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2 U_j^2$ . This test statistic follows a mixture of independent chi-square<br>
32  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2 U_j^2$ .  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2 U_j^2$  $\sum_{j=1}^{n}$  $Q_{SKAT} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2 U_j^2$ . This test statistic follows a mixture of independent chi-square

distributions asymptotically with 1 degree of freedom under the null. In both statistics for<br>
Burden and SKAT,  $w_j$  is a weight(18) that an investigator may choose for mutation *j.*<br>
Extensions to the Original Burden test Burden and SKAT,  $w_j$  is a weight(18) that an investigator may choose for mutation *j.*<br>Extensions to the Original Burden test<br>Burden has larger power than SKAT when rare variants in a gene/region display the 2 Burden and SKAT,  $w_j$  is a weight(18) that an investigator may choose for mutation *j.*<br> *Extensions to the Original Burden test*<br>
4 Burden has larger power than SKAT when rare variants in a gene/region display the<br>
sam Extensions to the Original Burden test<br>
4 Burden has larger power than SKAT w<br>
5 same effect direction in an association<br>
6 burden test(11) (denoted as **Burden-A**<br>
7 when variants have different effect dire<br>
6 of rare vari 4 Burden has larger power than SKAT when rare variants in a gene/region display the<br>same effect direction in an association testing with a trait(14). Therefore, the adaptive<br>burden test(11) (denoted as **Burden-A**) was pro 5 same effect direction in an association testing with a trait(14). Therefore, the adaptive<br>6 burden test(11) (denoted as **Burden-A**) was proposed to improve power for Burden<br>7 when variants have different effect directio 6 burden test(11) (denoted as **Burden-A**) was proposed to improve power for Burden<br>when variants have different effect directions in an association testing. The coding si<br>of rare variants are changed based on an arbitrary 7 when variants have different effect directions in an association testing. The coding signs<br>
8 of rare variants are changed based on an arbitrary threshold of  $p$  value in the single<br>
9 variant model:<br>
9  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\$ 

$$
g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Sex_i\beta_2 + G_{i,j}\beta_{3,j}
$$
 (Equation 3)

8 of rare variants are changed based on an arbitrary threshold of *p* value in the single<br>
9 variant model:<br>  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Sex_i\beta_2 + G_{i,j}\beta_{3,j}$  (Equation 3)<br>
1 where  $g(.)$  is the identity function for a continuous tra 9 variant model:<br>0<br>1 where  $g(.)$  is tl<br>2 binary trait. The<br>3 genetic dosage<br>4 changing the s  $\log_{\epsilon_1} P_1 \sim \log_{\epsilon_1} P_2 \sim \epsilon_{i,j} P_{3,j}$ <br>dentity function for a continuous trait and 10  $g(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Sex_i\beta_2 + G_{i,j}\beta_{3,j}$  (Equation 3)<br>
11 where  $g(.)$  is the identity function for a continuous trait and is the logist<br>
12 binary trait. The signs of the other variants remain the same. This leads<br>
13 gene where  $g(.)$  is the identity function for a continuous trait and is the logistic function for a<br>
binary trait. The signs of the other variants remain the same. This leads to a new<br>
genetic dosage matrix  $G^{new}$  that contains 12 binary trait. The signs of the other variants remain the same. This leads to a new<br>
13 genetic dosage matrix  $G^{new}$  that contains variants with original signs and the ones<br>
14 changing the signs. Then, the Original Bur genetic dosage matrix  $G^{new}$  that contains variants with original signs and the ones after  $G^{new}$ en, tl quaretic dosage matrix  $G^{new}$  that contains variants with original signs and the ones after<br>
changing the signs. Then, the Original Burden test is performed with  $G^{new}$ . By<br>
permuting the phenotype we generate an empirica changing the signs. Then, the Original Burden test is performed with  $\frac{G^{new}}{P_{new}}$ changing the signs. Then, the Original Burden test is performed with  $G^{new}$ . By<br>15 permuting the phenotype we generate an empirical null distribution of  $p_{new}$ : { $p^{(i)}$ <br>16 b=1,..., *B* based on a large number of  $G^{new}$  m permuting the phenotype we generate an empirical null distribution of *pnew*: 15 permuting the phenotype we generate an empirical null distribution of  $p_{new}$ : { $p^{(b)}$ } with<br>
16 b=1,..., *B* based on a large number of *G*<sup>new</sup> matrices. The empirical p value of the test<br>
17 calculated as  $\sum_{b=1}^{$ b=1,..., *B* based on a large number of  $G^{new}$ <br>dere 16 b=1,..., *B* based on a large number of  $G^{new}$  matrices. The empirical p value of the test is<br>
17 calculated as  $\sum_{b=1}^{B} I(p^{(b)} < p^{new})/B$ . Here  $p^{(b)}$  or  $p^{new}$  is the *p* value based on the<br>
18 observed *G* matrix or calculated as  $\sum_{b=1}^B I(p^{(b)} < p^{new})/B$ . Here  $p^{(b)}$  or  $\sum_{b=1}^{B} I(p^{(b)} < p^{new})/B$ . Here  $p^{(b)}$  or  $p^{new}$ <br>atrix or the new matrix after switching the  $v - 1$ calculated as  $\sum_{b=1}^{B} I(p^{(b)} < p^{new})/B$ . Here  $p^{(b)}$  or  $p^{new}$  is the *p* value based on the<br>observed *G* matrix or the new matrix after switching the signs of certain heteroplasr<br>sites. We choose *B*=50000 for  $\alpha$  leve the signs of certain heteroplasmic<br>
18 observed *G* matrix or the new matrix after switching the signs of certain heteroplasmic<br>
19 sites. We choose *B*=50000 for  $\alpha$  level of 0.001 (**Supplemental Methods**).<br>
19 Burden-A 19 sites. We choose *B*=50000 for α level of 0.001 (**Supplemental Methods**).<br>
20 Burden-A(11) uses the same weight by including both non-causal va<br>
21 causal variants in an association testing, which may lead to power los 20 Burden-A(11) uses the same weight by including both non-causal variants and<br>21 causal variants in an association testing, which may lead to power loss. Sha and<br>22 Zhang(12) proposed a z-score weighting approach (referre

21 causal variants in an association testing, which may lead to power loss. Sha and<br>22 Zhang(12) proposed a z-score weighting approach (referred as **Burden-S**) to min<br><sup>22</sup> 22 Zhang(12) proposed a z-score weighting approach (referred as **Burden-S**) to minimize

 $SE(\beta_j)$ 

this limitation: the z-score of j<sup>th</sup> variant is calculated by  $z_j = \frac{B_j}{SE(\tilde{f})}$ <br>and the  $z_j$  score is used as weight in analysis with Burden-A. I this limitation: the z-score of j<sup>th</sup> variant is calculated by  $z_j = \frac{\mu_j}{SE(\overline{\beta}_j)}$  based on Equation 3,<br>and the  $z_j$  score is used as weight in analysis with Burden-A. However, due to rareness<br>of heteroplasmic variants, and the  $z_j$  score is used as weight in analysis with Burden-A. However, due to rareness<br>of heteroplasmic variants, extreme z-scores may occur, which may lead to bias in<br>association analyses. Therefore, we modify the z-sc 2 and the  $z_j$  score is used as weight in analysis with Burden-A. However, due to rareness<br>
3 of heteroplasmic variants, extreme z-scores may occur, which may lead to bias in<br>
4 association analyses. Therefore, we modify 3 of heteroplasmic variants, extreme z-scores may occur, which may lead to bias in<br>association analyses. Therefore, we modify the z-score weights to have lower (z=-<br>and upper (z=1.5) bounds. That is, we set  $w_j = 1$  if  $|z$ 4 association analyses. Therefore, we modify the z-score weights to have lower (z=-1.5)<br>
and upper (z=1.5) bounds. That is, we set  $w_j = 1$  if  $|z_j| < Z_{0.05}$  where  $Z_{0.05} \approx 1.65$ . If<br>  $z_j \ge Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to b and upper (z=1.5) bounds. That is, we set  $w_j = 1$  if  $|z_j| < Z_{0.05}$ <br>  $z_j \ge Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to be  $z_j - Z_{0.05} + 1$ , with an upper lim and upper (z=1.5) bounds. That is, we set  $w_j = 1$  if  $|z_j| < Z_{0.05}$  where  $Z_{0.05} \approx 1.65$ . If<br>  $z_j \ge Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to be  $z_j - Z_{0.05} + 1$ , with an upper limit of 1.5. Similarly, if<br>  $z_j \le -Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is ass  $z_j \leq -Z_{0.05}, w_j$ <br>Methods).

 $z_j \geq Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to be  $z_j - Z_{0.05} + 1$ , with an upper limit of 1.5. Similarly, if  $z_j \leq -Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to be  $z_j + Z_{0.05} - 1$ , with a lower limit of -1.5 (**Suppleme**<br>**Methods**).<br>Another limitat  $z_j \le -Z_{0.05}$ ,  $w_j$  is assigned to be  $z_j + Z_{0.05} - 1$ , with a lower limit of -1.5 (**Supplemental**<br>**Methods**).<br>Another limitation of Burden-A is that the cutoff  $p_c$  based on marginal models is<br>chosen arbitrarily. To over 8 **Methods**).<br>9 Anot<br>0 chosen arb<br>1 approach(1<br>2 Equation 3.<br>thresholds, Another limitation of Burden-A is that the cutoff  $p_c$  based on marginal models is<br>chosen arbitrarily. To overcome this, Sha and Zhang proposed the variable threshold<br>approach(12) (Burden-V) that searches for an optimal c 9 Another limitation of Burden-A is that the cutoff  $p_c$  based on marginal models is<br>
0 chosen arbitrarily. To overcome this, Sha and Zhang proposed the variable threshold<br>
4 approach(12) (**Burden-V**) that searches for an 10 chosen arbitrarily. To overcome this, Sha and Zhang proposed the variable threshold<br>
11 approach(12) (**Burden-V**) that searches for an optimal cutoff in Burden-A based on<br>
12 Equation 3. This approach searches for all 11 approach(12) (**Burden-V**) that searches for an optimal cutoff in Burden-A based on<br>
12 Equation 3. This approach searches for all possible p values as the candidate<br>
13 thresholds, (12) which leads to an intensive comp 12 Equation 3. This approach searches for all possible p values as the candidate<br>
13 thresholds, (12) which leads to an intensive computational burden. To decreas<br>
14 computational burden, we propose to use 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup> thresholds,(12) which leads to an intensive computational burden. To decrease<br>
computational burden, we propose to use 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 70<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentile<br>
(denoted by  $q_{15}^s$ ,  $q_{30}^s$ ,  $q_{50}^s$ ,  $q_{$ computational burden, we propose to use 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 70<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> 14 computational burden, we propose to use 15<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 70<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentiles<br>
15 (denoted by  $q_{15}^s$ ,  $q_{30}^s$ ,  $q_{50}^s$ ,  $q_{70}^s$ ,  $q_{85}^s$ ) to be the thresholds with a continuous trait. We<br>
16 (denoted by  $q_{15}^S$ ,  $q_{30}^S$ ,  $q_{50}^S$ ,  $q_{70}^S$ ,  $q_{85}^S$ <br>perform the Original Burden test (denoted by  $q_{15}^s$ ,  $q_{30}^s$ ,  $q_{50}^s$ ,  $q_{70}^s$ ,  $q_{85}^s$ ) to be the thresholds with a continuous trait. We also 15 (denoted by  $q_{15}^S$ ,  $q_{30}^S$ ,  $q_{50}^S$ ,  $q_{90}^S$ ,  $q_{85}^S$ ) to be the thresholds with a continuous trait. We also<br>
16 perform the Original Burden test and obtain the p value  $p_0$ . Based on these six p values<br>
1 perform the Original Burden test and obtain the p value  $p_0$ . Based on these six p values<br>  $K = \{p_0, p_{q_{1s'}^S}, p_{q_{30}^S}, p_{q_{70}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S}, p_{q_{8s}^S},$ and  $T_2 = \sum_{p \in K} \tan ((0.5 - p)\pi)$  $^{5}_{15}$ ,  $Pq_{30}^{3}$ ,  $Pq_{50}^{3}$ ,  $Pq_{7}^{3}$ 17  $K = \{p_0, p_{q_{15}^s}, p_{q_{50}^s}, p_{q_{50}^s}, p_{q_{50}^s}, p_{q_{55}^s}, p_{q_{55}^s}\}$ , we define two test statistics:  $T_1 = minK$  (Burden-V1)<br>
18 and  $T_2 = \sum_{p \in K} tan ((0.5 - p)\pi) / |K|$  (Burden-V2).  $T_2$  is the test statistic of ACAT(13)<br>
19 and  $T_2$ <br>(Supp  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ (Supplemental Methods). *an*  $((0.5 - p)\pi) / |K|$  (Burden-V2).  $T_2$ <br>Methods).<br>mest of the beteroplesmic variants of

Because most of the heteroplasmic variants are singletons, a logistic regression 18 and  $T_2 = \sum_{p \in K} \tan ((0.5 - p)\pi) / |K|$  (Burden-V2).  $T_2$  is the test statistic of ACAT(13)<br>
(Supplemental Methods).<br>
20 Because most of the heteroplasmic variants are singletons, a logistic regressic<br>
21 with a binary trai **19 (Supplemental Methods).**<br>
20 Because most of the<br>
21 with a binary trait leads to b<br>
22 (>80% of the p values>0.9). 20 Because most of the heteroplasmic variants are singletons, a logistic regression<br>21 with a binary trait leads to biased estimates and an extremely conservative p value  $p_{3,j}$ <br>22 (>80% of the p values>0.9). Hence, for 21 with a binary trait leads to biased estimates and an extremely conservative p value  $p_{3,j}$ <br>
22 (>80% of the p values>0.9). Hence, for Burden-V1 and Burden-V2 with a binary trait, w 22 (>80% of the p values>0.9). Hence, for Burden-V1 and Burden-V2 with a binary trait, we  $\frac{9}{9}$ 

$$
logit(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Sex_i\beta_2
$$

1 modify the single mutation model, and fit a logistic regression under the null hypothesis<br>
2 of no genetic effect on the trait and obtain the residuals:<br>  $logit(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Sex_i\beta_2$ <br>
These residuals are rank-base invers 2 of no genetic effect on the trait and obtain the residuals:<br>  $logit(\mu_i) = \alpha_0 + Age_i\beta_1 + Se$ <br>
2 These residuals are rank-base inverse normalized. Then<br>
1 residuals on each of the heteroplasmic variant to get the<br>
2 addition, bec  $\frac{d}{dx}$ <br>werse normalized. Then we 3 These residuals are rank-base inverse normalized. Then we regress the transformed<br>residuals on each of the heteroplasmic variant to get the p value and beta coefficient.<br>addition, because a logistic regression with few residuals on each of the heteroplasmic variant to get the p value and beta coefficient. In<br>addition, because a logistic regression with few rare mutations may lead to conservative<br>results, we set the thresholds to be 50<sup>t</sup> addition, because a logistic regression with few rare mutations may lead to conservative<br>results, we set the thresholds to be 50<sup>th</sup>, 70<sup>th</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentiles.<br>The weights<br>The weights<br>beta (MAF, 1, 25), are used to p results, we set the thresholds to be  $50<sup>th</sup>$ , 70<sup>th</sup> and  $85<sup>th</sup>$  percentiles. Frameworks, we set the thresholds to be 50<sup>m</sup>, 70<sup>m</sup> and 85<sup>m</sup> percentiles.<br>
16 weights<br>
16 weights,  $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$ , are used to put more weight on rar<br>
16 association analyses.(10) However, 98% of mtDNA heteroplasmic<br>
16

The weights,  $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$ , are used to put more weight on rarer variants in<br>association analyses.(10) However, 98% of mtDNA heteroplasmic variants only present<br>in one (i.e., singleton) or a few individuals.(4-7) Due to t

 $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$  weights play a minimum role in the association analysis of rare<br>heteroplasmic variants. For example, applying the  $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$  weights to

7 *The weights*<br>8 The weights,<br>association a<br>0 in one (i.e., s<br>1 *beta* (*MAF*, 1,<br>heteroplasmi 8 The weights, *bet*<br>9 association analy<br>0 in one (i.e., singl<br>1 *beta* (*MAF*, 1, 25)<br>2 heteroplasmic va<br>analyzing a singl

association analyses.(10) However, 98% of mtDNA heteroplasmic variants only present<br>in one (i.e., singleton) or a few individuals.(4-7) Due to this extreme rareness, the<br> $beta (MAF, 1, 25)$  weights play a minimum role in the a 10 in one (i.e., singleton) or a few individuals.(4-7) Due to this extreme rareness, the<br>
11  $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$  weights play a minimum role in the association analysis of rare<br>
12 heteroplasmic variants. For example, applying 13 ana<br>14 rise<br>15 indi<br>16 cal heteroplasmic variants. For example, applying the *bet*<br>analyzing a singleton heteroplasmy or a heteroplasmic<br>rise to almost identical weights (24.8 versus 24.0, resp<br>individuals (**Supplemental Results**). Simulation studic analyzing a singleton heteroplasmy or a heteroplasmic variant of five individuals gives<br>rise to almost identical weights (24.8 versus 24.0, respectively) in a cohort of 3,000

213 analyzing a singleton heteroplasmy or a heteroplasmic variant of five individuals gives<br>
214 rise to almost identical weights (24.8 versus 24.0, respectively) in a cohort of 3,000<br>
215 individuals (**Supplemental Resul** calculations (Supplemental Figure 6). Therefore, the  $beta(MAF, 1, 25)$  weights are not<br>discussed in evaluating type I error and power.<br>Combing multiple gene-based tests in a given gene/region

14 rise to almost identical weights (24.8 versus 24.0, respectively) in a cohort of 3,000<br>15 individuals (**Supplemental Results**). Simulation studies confirms the theoretical<br>16 calculations (**Supplemental Figure 6**). Ther 15 individuals (**Supplemental Results**). Simulation studies confirms the theoretical<br>
16 calculations (**Supplemental Figure 6**). Therefore, the *beta*(*MAF*, 1, 25) weights a<br>
17 discussed in evaluating type I error and po calculations (**Supplemental Figure 6**). Therefore, the *bet*<br>discussed in evaluating type I error and power.<br>**Combing multiple gene-based tests in a given gene/re**<br>We adopt two omnibus tests (ACAT-O and SKAT-O) to co<br>assoc 17 discussed in evaluating type I error and power.<br>
18 **Combing multiple gene-based tests in a give**<br>
19 We adopt two omnibus tests (ACAT-O and SKA<br>
20 association testing of heteroplasmic variants wi<br>
121 SKAT (SKAT-O)(1 18 **Combing multiple gene-based tests in a given gene/region**<br>19 **We adopt two omnibus tests (ACAT-O and SKAT-O) to combine**<br>19 **association testing of heteroplasmic variants with Burden and S<br>19 <b>SKAT (SKAT-O)(14)** is co

- 19 We adopt two omnibus tests (ACAT-O and SKAT-O) to combine information from<br>
20 association testing of heteroplasmic variants with Burden and SKAT. A generalize<br>
21 SKAT (SKAT-O)(14) is constructed as a linear combinati
- 20 association testing of heteroplasmic variants with Burden and SKAT. A generalized<br>
21 SKAT (SKAT-O)(14) is constructed as a linear combination of the Original Burden te<br>
22 and a SKAT(14). The test statistic is  $Q_{\rho} =$
- 21 SKAT (SKAT-O)(14) is constructed as a linear combination of the Original Burden test<br>22 and a SKAT(14). The test statistic is  $Q_{\rho} = \rho Q_{burden} + (1 \rho) Q_{SKAT}$ , where  $\rho \in [0,1]$  is a 22 and a SKAT(14). The test statistic is  $Q_{\rho} =$ and a SKAT(14). The test statistic is  $Q_{\rho} = \rho Q_{burden} + (1 - \rho)Q_{SKAT}$ , where  $\rho \in [0,1]$  is a<br>10

weight for the Original Burden test. Under the null hypothesis,  $Q_{\rho}$  follows a mixture of<br>independent chi-square distributions asymptotically with 1 degree of freedom. The<br>SKAT-O can be constructed if we choose the min 2 independent chi-square distributions asymptotically with 1 degree of freedom. The<br>
3 SKAT-O can be constructed if we choose the minimum p value of the different choi<br>
16 of p,. The test statistic of SKAT-O is  $Q_{SKAT-O} = \min$ 3 SKAT-O can be constructed if we choose the minimum p value of the different choices<br>
of ρ,. The test statistic of SKAT-O is  $Q_{SKAT-O} = \min \{p_{p_1}, ..., p_{p_k}\}$ . The significance of<br>  $Q_{SKAT-O}$  can be assessed by a one-dimensional of *ρ*,. The test statistic of SKAT-O is  $Q_{SKAT-O} = \min \{p_{\rho_1}, ..., p_{\rho_k}\}$ <br>  $Q_{SKAT-O}$  can be assessed by a one-dimensional numerical inte of  $\rho$ . The test statistic of SKAT-O is  $Q_{SKAT-O} = \min \{p_{\rho_1}, ..., p_{\rho_k}\}$ . The significance of  $Q_{SKAT-O}$  can be assessed by a one-dimensional numerical integration.<br>
In ACAT-O(13), the test statistic of a given region/gene is  $Q_{SKAT-O}$  can be assessed by a one-dimensional numerical integration.<br>
In ACAT-O(13), the test statistic of a given region/gene is define<br>  $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2} [\tan\{(0.5 - p_{burden,i})\} + \tan\{(0.5 - p_{SKAT,i})\}]$ . Here  $p_{burden,i}$  and  $p_{\text{in}}$ <br>
d 6 In ACAT-O(13), the test statistic of a given region/gene is defined as  $Q_{om}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2} [\tan\{(0.5 - p_{burden,i})\} + \tan\{(0.5 - p_{SKAT,i})\}]$ . Here  $p_{burden,i}$  and  $p_{SKAT,i}$  (i=1<br>
denote the p values from Burden test and the SKAT. Bec nwus  $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}[tan\{(0.5-p_{burden,i})\}+tan\{(0.5-p_{SKAT,i})\}].$  Here  $p_{burden,i}$  and  $p_{SKAT,i}$ <br>denote the p values from Burden test and the SKAT. Because this test statis ,  $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}[\tan\{(0.5 - p_{burden,i})\} + \tan\{(0.5 - p_{SKAT,i})\}]$ . Here  $p_{burden,i}$  and  $p_{SKAT,i}$  (*i*=1, 2)<br>denote the p values from Burden test and the SKAT. Because this test statistic<br>approximately follows a standard Cauchy distributio 8 denote the p values from Burden test and the SKAT. Because this test statistic<br>approximately follows a standard Cauchy distribution (13), the p value of the tes<br>statistic can be approximated by  $p_{omnibus} \approx \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arctan (Q$ 9 approximately follows a standard Cauchy distribution (13), the p value of the test<br>statistic can be approximated by  $p_{omnibus} \approx \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arctan (Q_{omnibus})}{\pi}$ . The ACAT-O meth<br>computational efficient and it efficiently combine statistic can be approximated by  $p_{om}$ <br>computational efficient and it efficien nwas m<br>.  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arctan \left(\frac{Gamma}{D}\right)}{\pi}$ <br>bines p values from - $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ statistic can be approximated by  $p_{omnibus} \approx \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\arctan((Qomnibus))}{\pi}$ . The ACAT-O method is<br>
11 computational efficient and it efficiently combines p values from individual tests of<br>
12 different methods when multiple weigh 11 computational efficient and it efficiently combines p values from individual tests of<br>
12 different methods when multiple weighting schemes are applied. In our study, to m<br>
13 comparable to ACAT-O, we take  $ρ=0$  and 1 different methods when multiple weighting schemes are applied. In our study, to make it<br>
comparable to ACAT-O, we take  $\rho=0$  and 1 to combine Burden and SKAT. That is,<br>  $0 = \rho_1 < \rho_2 = 1$ . Based on previous studies, we use 13 comparable to ACAT-O, we take *ρ*=0 and 1 to combine Burden and SKAT. That is,<br>
14 0 =  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  = 1. Based on previous studies, we use α=0.001 to control for multiple<br>
15 testing in association analyses across mult 16 **As**<br>17 Acc<br>18 to h<br>19 Eur testing in association analyses across multiple genes/regions in simulation studies.(19) A simulation study  $0 = \rho_1 < \rho_2 = 1$ . Based on previous studies, we use  $\alpha = 0.001$  to control for multiple testing in association analyses across multiple genes/regions in simulation studies.(19)<br> **A simulation study**<br>
According to Equation 1, we simulated a continuous trait and a binary trait in response<br>
to heteroplasmic site **A simulation study**<br>17 According to Equatio<br>18 to heteroplasmic site<br>19 European American<br>20 (ARIC) Study(20) (Su<br>21 base pairs, and it is t According to Equation 1, we simulated a continuous trait and a binary trait in response<br>to heteroplasmic sites located in the mitochondrial Cytochrome b (MT-CYB) gene in<br>European American participants (N=3,415) of Atherosc to heteroplasmic sites located in the mitochondrial Cytochrome b (MT-CYB) gene in<br>
19 European American participants (N=3,415) of Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities<br>
(ARIC) Study(20) (**Supplemental Information**). The CYB

19 European American participants (N=3,415) of Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities<br>
20 (ARIC) Study(20) (**Supplemental Information**). The CYB gene has a length of 114<br>
21 base pairs, and it is the fourth longest gene among

- 
- 20 (ARIC) Study(20) (**Supplemental Information**). The CYB gene has a length of 1141<br>base pairs, and it is the fourth longest gene among the 13-mtDNA coding genes.<br>Heteroplasmy was identified by a widely-used software packa 21 base pairs, and it is the fourth longest gene among the 13-mtDNA coding genes.<br>
22 Heteroplasmy was identified by a widely-used software package, MToolBox,(21)<br>
23 WGS data by TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, 22 Heteroplasmy was identified by a widely-used software package, MToolBox,(21) with<br>WGS data by TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, GRCH38.(22) The<br>WGS data by TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, GRCH38.(2
- 23 WGS data by TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, GRCH38.(22) The

rCRS(15) was used to identify heteroplasmic variants (**Supplemental Methods**). This gene contains 121 heteroplasmic sites in European American participants in ARIC. Of those, 68 are nonsynonymous and rare variants. The sim quare contains 121 heteroplasmic sites in European American participants in ARIC. Of<br>those, 68 are nonsynonymous and rare variants. The simulated traits were in response<br>to these 68 nonsynonymous mutations (**Supplemental** to these 68 are nonsynonymous and rare variants. The simulated traits were in response<br>to these 68 nonsynonymous mutations (**Supplemental Table 1**) according to Equation<br>1. We simulated 50,000 replicates to evaluate the p to these 68 nonsynonymous mutations (**Supplemental Table 1**) according to Equation<br>
1. We simulated 50,000 replicates to evaluate the performance of the proposed<br>
methods with empirical type I error rate and power at  $\Box$ 1. We simulated 50,000 replicates to evaluate the performance of the proposed<br>
methods with empirical type I error rate and power at  $\square$ =0.001.<br>
Type I error rate<br>
To evaluate type I error rate, we simulated a phenotype, methods with empirical type I error rate and power at  $\square$ =0.001.

6 methods with empirical type I error rate and power at  $\Box$ <br>
7 Type I error rate<br>
8 To evaluate type I error rate, we simulated a phenotype<br>
hypothesis:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 0.7)$ .<br>
9 quantile to the simul 7 Type *I error rate*<br>8 To evaluate type<br>9 hypothesis:  $y = 0$ <br>0 quantile to the sin<br>1 prevalence rate.<br>2 replicates with p 8 To evaluate type I error rate, we simulated a phenotype, y, by Equation 1 under the null<br>hypothesis:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 0.7)$ . We applied a cutoff of 80%<br>quantile to the simulated continuous phenotype t 9 hypothesis:  $y =$ <br>0 quantile to the s<br>1 prevalence rate<br>2 replicates with p<br>ratio of the obse<br>4 thumb to evalue hypothesis:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 0.7)$ . We applied a cutoff of 80%<br>quantile to the simulated continuous phenotype to obtain a binary phenotype with 20%<br>prevalence rate. The observed type I error rate is def quantile to the simulated continuous phenotype to obtain a binary phenotype with 20%<br>prevalence rate. The observed type I error rate is defined as the proportion of simulatio<br>replicates with p values  $\leq 0.001$  under the 11 prevalence rate. The observed type I error rate is defined as the proportion of simulation<br>
12 replicates with p values  $\leq 0.001$  under the null. We evaluated type I error rate with a<br>
13 ratio of the observed type I 12 replicates with p values ≤ 0.001 under the null. We evaluated type I error rate with a<br>13 ratio of the observed type I error rate divided by 0.001. We used an arbitrary rule of<br>14 thumb to evaluate if type I error rat 13 ratio of the observed type I error rate divided by 0.001. We used an arbitrary rule of<br>
14 thumb to evaluate if type I error rate is conservative or inflated based on the ratio: T<br>
15 type I error rate is conservative i 14 thumb to evaluate if type I error rate is conservative or inflated based on the ratio: The<br>15 type I error rate is conservative if the ratio is less than 0.4 and it is moderately<br>16 conservative if the ratio is between type I error rate is conservative if the ratio is less than 0.4 and it is moderately<br>
16 conservative if the ratio is between 0.4 and 0.69. The type I error rate is approp<br>
17 controlled if the ratio is between 0.7 and 1.3 16 conservative if the ratio is between 0.4 and 0.69. The type I error rate is appropriately<br>17 controlled if the ratio is between 0.7 and 1.3. It is slightly inflated if the ratio is between<br>18 1.31 and 1.6 and inflated i

17 controlled if the ratio is between 0.7 and 1.3. It is slightly inflated if the ratio is between<br>
1.31 and 1.6 and inflated if the ratio is above 1.6.<br>
19 Power estimation<br>
10 evaluate power, we simulated a continuous p 18 1.31 and 1.6 and inflated if the ratio is above 1.6.<br>
19 *Power estimation*<br>
20 To evaluate power, we simulated a continuous ph<br>
19 special case of Equation 1, with a genetic effect fr<br>
19 gene:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + G_{\varphi}^{CT}B +$ 19 *Power estimation*<br>20 To evaluate powe<br>21 special case of Ec<br>22 gene:  $y = 0.08Ag$ <br>23 includes of the coo 20 To evaluate power, we simulated a continuous phenotype by the following model, a<br>
21 special case of Equation 1, with a genetic effect from sequence variations in the CYI<br>
22 gene:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + G_{\varphi}^C T \beta + \varepsilon$ , where 21 special case of Equation 1, with a genetic effect from sequence variations in the CYB<br>
22 gene:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + G_{\varphi}^c{}^T \beta + \varepsilon$ , where  $G_{\varphi}^c{}^T = (G_{1\varphi}^c, ..., G_{K\varphi}^c)$  is a vector that<br>
23 includes of the coding fo gene:  $y=0.08Age+Sex+{\bm G}_{\omega}^{c\;T}{\bm \beta}+\varepsilon$ , where  ${\bm G}_{\omega}^{c\;T}=(G_{1\omega}^c, ..., G_{k\omega}^c)$  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + G_{\varphi}^{CT}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon$ , where  $G_{\varphi}^{CT} = (G_{1\varphi}^c, ..., G_{k\varphi}^c)$ <br>es of the coding for *k* randomly chosen causal heteroplasr qene:  $y = 0.08Age + Sex + G_{\varphi}^{CT}\beta + \varepsilon$ , where  $G_{\varphi}^{CT} = (G_{1\varphi}^c, ..., G_{k\varphi}^c)$  is a vector that<br>includes of the coding for *k* randomly chosen causal heteroplasmic variants in the<br>includes of the coding for *k* randomly cho

23 includes of the coding for *k* randomly chosen causal heteroplasmic variants in the CYB

gene.  $\pmb{\beta}^T = (\beta_1)$ also applied a disponent 1 gene.  $\beta^T = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_k)$  is a vector of fixed effects for the selected causal mutations. We<br>
2 also applied a cutoff of 80% quantile to the simulated continuous phenotype to obtain a<br>
3 binary phenotype. The effect size also applied a cutoff of 80% quantile to the simulated continuous phenotype to obtain a<br>
binary phenotype. The effect size of heteroplasmic variant *j* is specified by  $|\beta_j| =$ <br>  $\sqrt{\frac{c}{var(G_{j\tau})}}$ , where *c* is a constant d binary phenotype. The effect size of heteroplasmic variant *j* is specified by  $|\beta_j|$ <br> $\sqrt{\frac{c}{var(G_{j\tau})}}$ , where *c* is a constant defined as  $c = \frac{R^2}{V^TDV}$ . Here  $var(G_{j\tau})$  is the vari 4  $\sqrt{\frac{c}{var(G_{j\tau})}}$ , where c is a constant defined as  $c = \frac{R^2}{v^T D v}$ . Here  $var(G_{j\tau})$  is the variance<br>heteroplasmic variant j,  $R^2$  is the proportion of variance explained by all of the ca<br>mutations, D is the correlati binary phenotype. The effect size of heteroplasmic variant *j* is specified by  $|\beta_i|$  =  $\sqrt{\frac{v}{var(t)}}$ <br>heter  $\mathfrak{u}_1 \left( \mathfrak{u}_1 \mathfrak{x}_2 \right)$ , where *c* is a constant defined as  $c = \frac{R^2}{nT R}$  $\circ$  of  $\cdot$  $V^{\perp}$  DV  $\sqrt{\frac{c}{var(G_{jt})}}$ , where c is a constant defined as  $c = \frac{\kappa}{v T_{DV}}$ . Here  $var(G_{jt})$  is the variance of the<br>heteroplasmic variant j,  $R^2$  is the proportion of variance explained by all of the causal<br>mutations, D is the correl heteroplasmic variant *j*, mutations,  $D$  is the correlation matrix between mutations, and  $V$  is a vector of the signs by all of the causal<br>set the proportion of variance explained by all of the causal<br>mutations, *D* is the correlation matrix between mutations, and *V* is a vector of the sign<br>of  $\beta$ . The proportion of variance  $(R^2)$  exp 6 mutations, *D* is the correlation matrix between mutations, and *V* is a vector of the signs<br>of  $\beta$ . The proportion of variance  $(R^2)$  explained by the causal heteroplasmic variants<br>was set be 1% for the continuous phe of  $\beta$ . The proportion of variance (R<sup>2</sup><br>was set be 1% for the continuous pl<br>variance explained by age, sex and of  $\beta$ . The proportion of variance  $(R^2)$  explained by the causal heteroplasmic variants<br>
was set be 1% for the continuous phenotype, and 2% for the binary phenotype. The<br>
variance explained by age, sex and random error

was set be 1% for the continuous phenotype, and 2% for the binary phenotype. The<br>variance explained by age, sex and random error were around 99%.<br>Scenarios in simulation<br>In practice, it is possible that a proportion of het variance explained by age, sex and random error were around 99%.<br>
Scenarios in simulation<br>
In practice, it is possible that a proportion of heteroplasmic variants ir<br>
posits effects on a phenotype while the rest of heterop Scenarios in simulation<br>11 In practice, it is possible<br>12 posits effects on a phen<br>13 have no effects or displa<br>14 25%, 50% and 80% of t<br>15 be causal and 80% of th 11 In practice, it is possible that a proportion of heteroplasmic variants in a mtDNA gene<br>12 posits effects on a phenotype while the rest of heteroplasmic variants in the same ger<br>13 have no effects or display opposite ef 12 posits effects on a phenotype while the rest of heteroplasmic variants in the same gene<br>
13 have no effects or display opposite effects on the phenotype. We considered that 5%,<br>
14 25%, 50% and 80% of the nonsynonymous 13 have no effects or display opposite effects on the phenotype. We considered that 5%,<br>
14 25%, 50% and 80% of the nonsynonymous heteroplasmic variants in the CYB gene to<br>
15 be causal and 80% of these causal heteroplasmi 25%, 50% and 80% of the nonsynonymous heteroplasmic variants in the CYB gene to<br>
15 be causal and 80% of these causal heteroplasmic variants to have the same effect<br>
16 direction with a phenotype. We further considered 50% 15 be causal and 80% of these causal heteroplasmic variants to have the same effect<br>16 direction with a phenotype. We further considered 50%, 100% of the causal mutatic<br>17 to have the same directionality in their associati direction with a phenotype. We further considered 50%, 100% of the causal mutations<br>to have the same directionality in their associations with a phenotype. Therefore, we<br>evaluated the power under 12 scenarios that vary the 17 to have the same directionality in their associations with a phenotype. Therefore, we<br>
18 evaluated the power under 12 scenarios that vary the proportion of heteroplasmic<br>
19 variants to be causal and vary the proporti evaluated the power under 12 scenarios that vary the proportion of heteroplasmic<br>variants to be causal and vary the proportion of the causal mutations to have the s<br>directionality. To account for an inflated or conservati variants to be causal and vary the proportion of the causal mutations to have the same<br>directionality. To account for an inflated or conservative type I error rate, we estimate<br>empirical power as the proportion of p value 20 directionality. To account for an inflated or conservative type I error rate, we estimate<br>
21 empirical power as the proportion of p values that is smaller than 0.1 quantile from all<br>
22 the simulation replicates. We a 21 empirical power as the proportion of p values that is smaller than 0.1 quantile from all of<br>
22 the simulation replicates. We also present the power based on the nominal α level of<br>
23 0.001. That is the proportion of the simulation replicates. We also present the power based on the nominal α level of<br>0.001. That is the proportion of simulation replicates with p values≤0.001.<br> $\frac{1}{2}$ <br> $\frac{1}{2}$ 0.001. That is the proportion of simulation replicates with p values≤0.001.<br>
∴ 0.001. That is the proportion of simulation replicates with p values≤0.001.

- 
- 
- 
- **Application of the proposed framework in real data**<br>2 *Study participants*<br>3 *We applied the framework to analyze heteroplasmy with*<br>1 including ARIC(20), Framingham Heart Study (FHS)(23<br>5 *Study (CHS)(26), Jackson Heart* 2 *Study participants*<br>3 We applied the fram<br>4 including ARIC(20)<br>5 Study (CHS)(26), c<br>4 Atherosclerosis (M<br>1 prospective cohort We applied the framework to analyze heteroplasmy with traits in five large cohorts,<br>including ARIC(20), Framingham Heart Study (FHS)(23-25), Cardiovascular Health<br>Study (CHS)(26), Jackson Heart Study (JHS)(27) and Multi-Et including ARIC(20), Framingham Heart Study (FHS)(23-25), Cardiovascular Health<br>
Study (CHS)(26), Jackson Heart Study (JHS)(27) and Multi-Ethnic Study of<br>
Atherosclerosis (MESA) (**Table 2, Supplemental information**).(28) Th Study (CHS)(26), Jackson Heart Study (JHS)(27) and Multi-Ethnic Study of<br>
46 Atherosclerosis (MESA) (**Table 2, Supplemental information**).(28) These<br>
47 prospective cohort studies that are aimed to investigate cardiovascul
- 
- 
- Atherosclerosis (MESA) (**Table 2**, **Supplemental information**).(28) These cohorts are<br>prospective cohort studies that are aimed to investigate cardiovascular disease and its<br>risk factors across different US populations. Pa prospective cohort studies that are aimed to investigate cardiovascular disease and its<br>
risk factors across different US populations. Participants in these five cohorts received<br>
whole genome sequencing (WGS) with an aver risk factors across different US populations. Participants in these five cohorts received<br>whole genome sequencing (WGS) with an average coverage of 39-fold from the Trans-<br>Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) program, spo
- whole genome sequencing (WGS) with an average coverage of 39-fold from the Trans-<br>
Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) program, sponsored by the National Institutes<br>
of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung and Blood Institu
- 
- 
- 

20 Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) program, sponsored by the National Institutes<br>
21 of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (**Supplemental**<br>
22 **Methods**). (22) We excluded eleven duplicated 11 of Health (NIH) National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) (**Supplemental**<br> **Methods**).(22) We excluded eleven duplicated participants between the cohorts<br>
(**Supplemental Methods**).<br> *Identification of mtDNA heter* **Methods**).(22) We excluded eleven duplicated participants between the cohorts<br>
(**Supplemental Methods**).<br> *Identification of mtDNA heteroplasmy*<br>
Quality control of WGS sequencing was described previously(4) and was also **13 (Supplemental Methods).**<br>14 *Identification of mtDNA hete*<br>15 Quality control of WGS seq<br>16 described in **Supplemental**<br>17 cohorts (WGS TOPMed Fre<br>18 rCRS.(15) We applied the 3 If *Identification of mtDNA heteroplasmy*<br>15 Quality control of WGS sequencing way<br>16 described in **Supplemental Methods**<br>17 cohorts (WGS TOPMed Freeze 8, rele<br>18 rCRS.(15) We applied the 3%-97% of<br>19 of the 3%-97% thresho Quality control of WGS sequencing was described previously(4) and was also briefly<br>described in **Supplemental Methods**. We applied MToolBox(21) to all participating<br>cohorts (WGS TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, described in **Supplemental Methods**. We applied MToolBox(21) to all participating<br>cohorts (WGS TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, GRCH38)(29) with<br>rCRS.(15) We applied the 3%-97% of thresholds to identify heteropl 17 cohorts (WGS TOPMed Freeze 8, released in February 2019, GRCH38)(29) with<br>18 rCRS.(15) We applied the 3%-97% of thresholds to identify heteroplasmy. The se<br>19 of the 3%-97% threshold with TOPMed WGS data and the detaile 18 rCRS.(15) We applied the 3%-97% of thresholds to identify heteroplasmy. The selection<br>19 of the 3%-97% threshold with TOPMed WGS data and the detailed information for<br>19 quality control of mtDNA sequence variations was 19 of the 3%-97% threshold with TOPMed WGS data and the detailed information for<br>20 quality control of mtDNA sequence variations was described previously (**Suppleme**<br>21 **Methods**).(4)<br>22 20 quality control of mtDNA sequence variations was described previously (**Supplemental**<br>21 **Methods**).(4)<br>22<br>23 21 **Methods**).(4)<br>22<br>23

--<br>23<br>|

- 1 *Traits in real data application*<br>2 **We applied our methods in as<br><mark>using gene-based tests. The f</mark><br><sup>3</sup> <b>inconsistent findings were rep**<br>6 **burden. We also included a p**
- 2 We applied our methods in association analyses of two pair of traits with heteroplasmy<br>3 using gene-based tests. The first pair of variables included age and sex. Advancing age<br>was known to be associated with a higher le
- 
- using gene-based tests. The first pair of variables included age and sex. Advancing age<br>was known to be associated with a higher level of heteroplasmic burden. In contrast,<br>inconsistent findings were reported in the associ
- 
- 
- 
- was known to be associated with a higher level of heteroplasmic burden. In contrast,<br>inconsistent findings were reported in the association analysis of sex with heteroplasr<br>burden. We also included a pair of clinical trai inconsistent findings were reported in the association analysis of sex with heteroplasmic<br>
burden. We also included a pair of clinical traits, fasting blood glucose (FBG, mg/dL)<br>
and diabetes. Morning fasting blood glucos 6 burden. We also included a pair of clinical traits, fasting blood glucose (FBG, mg/dL)<br>and diabetes. Morning fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) was measured in each<br>participating cohorts. In the analysis of FBG, we removed p and diabetes. Morning fasting blood glucose (mg/dL) was measured in each<br>participating cohorts. In the analysis of FBG, we removed participants with m<br>FBG levels≥126 mg/dL or with diabetes treatment. Diabetes was defined participating cohorts. In the analysis of FBG, we removed participants with measured<br>FBG levels≥126 mg/dL or with diabetes treatment. Diabetes was defined as having a<br>fasting blood glucose level of ≥126 mg/dL or currently
- 
- 
- 11 blood glucose levels to treat diabetes.<br>
12 Association analysis of heteroplasmy v<br>
13 We applied two coding definitions to ev<br>
14 methods to analyze heteroplasmy in cr<br>
15 traits (age and sex, and FBG and diabetical)<br>
- 
- 9 FBG levels≥126 mg/dL or with diabetes treatment. Diabetes was defined as having a<br>1 fasting blood glucose level of ≥126 mg/dL or currently receiving medications to lower<br>1 blood glucose levels to treat diabetes.<br>4 Assoc 10 fasting blood glucose level of ≥126 mg/dL or currently receiving medications to lower<br>11 blood glucose levels to treat diabetes.<br>12 Association analysis of heteroplasmy with traits<br>13 We applied two coding definitions
- 
- 
- Association analysis of heteroplasmy with traits<br>13 We applied two coding definitions to evaluate th<br>14 methods to analyze heteroplasmy in cross-sect<br>15 traits (age and sex, and FBG and diabetes) with<br>16 genes/regions with 13 We applied two coding definitions to evaluate these gene-based tests and omnibus<br>14 methods to analyze heteroplasmy <mark>in cross-sectional association analyses of two pai<br>15 traits (age and sex, and FBG and diabetes) with </mark> 14 methods to analyze heteroplasmy in cross-sectional association analyses of two pair of<br>15 traits (age and sex, and FBG and diabetes) with rare heteroplasmy ( $MAF_{Hj} < 0.01$ ) in 16<br>16 genes/regions with the GLMM(17) fram traits (age and sex, and FBG and diabetes) with rare heteroplasmy (MAF<sub>Hj</sub> < 0.01) in 16<br>genes/regions with the GLMM(17) framework. In association analyses, we used the<br>heteroplasmic variants in sixteen genes/regions as th genes/regions with the GLMM(17) framework. In association analyses, we used the<br>
heteroplasmic variants in sixteen genes/regions as the predictor variables while the<br> **pair of traits** as outcome variables. Covariates inclu 17 heteroplasmic variants in sixteen genes/regions as the predictor variables while the two pair of traits as outcome variables. Covariates include batch variables for all models. In analysis of age as the outcome, we adju
- 
- 18 pair of traits as outcome variables. Covariates include batch variables for all models. In<br>19 analysis of age as the outcome, we adjusted for sex; in analysis of sex as the outcome,<br>10 we adjusted for age. In analyses o 19 analysis of age as the outcome, we adjusted for sex; in analysis of sex as the outcome,<br>20 we adjusted for age. In analyses of FBG and diabetes, age, sex, and body mass index<br>21 were adjusted in addition to batch variab
- 
- were adjusted in addition to batch variables. We performed cohort-specific and<br>ancestry-specific association analyses with the gene-based tests and omnibus<br>(Supplemental Methods). Based on our simulation results, we applie
- 
- we adjusted for age. In analyses of FBG and diabetes, age, sex, and body mass index<br>
were adjusted in addition to batch variables. We performed cohort-specific and<br>
ancestry-specific association analyses with the gene-base ancestry-specific association analyses with the gene-based tests and omnibus tests<br>23 (Supplemental Methods). <mark>Based on our simulation results, we applied the Burden-9</mark><br>Complemental Methods). Based on our simulation result 23 (**Supplemental Methods**). Based on our simulation results, we applied the Burden-S



22 by the Fisher's method that does not employ weight in meta-analyses.(31) In addition,<br>
23 we performed a meta-analysis using the fixed-effects inverse variance method(32) to<br>
23 and the fixed-effects inverse variance me we performed a meta-analysis using the fixed-effects inverse variance method(32) to

combine the summary statistics of the Original Burden test. Here, we hypothesized that<br>there is only one true treatment effect for association of heteroplasmy with a trait<br>between studies. We presented meta-analysis of all there is only one true treatment effect for association of heteroplasmy with a trait<br>between studies. We presented meta-analysis of all participants as the main resu<br>real data analyses, we used Bonferroni correction p<0.05 3 between studies. We presented meta-analysis of all participants as the main result. For<br>real data analyses, we used Bonferroni correction p<0.05/16~0.003 for significance in<br>association testing. All analyses in simulatio real data analyses, we used Bonferroni correction p<0.05/16~0.003 for significance in<br>association testing. All analyses in simulation and application used R software version<br>3.6.0.(33)<br>**RESULTS**<br>We simulated a continuous t 5 association testing. All analyses in simulation and application used R software version<br>5 3.6.0.(33)<br>7<br>RESULTS<br>We simulated a continuous trait and a binary trait based on heteroplasmic sites located<br>7<br>1 in the mitochondr

6 3.6.0.(33)<br>7<br>8 **RESULTS**<br>9 We simula<br>0 in the mito<br>1 mtDNA (**M**  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 9 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 8 **RESULTS**<br>9 We simulat<br>0 in the mitod<br>1 mtDNA (Me<br>2 studies to e<br>8 omnibus te We simulated a continuous trait and a binary trait based on heteroplasmic sites located<br>in the mitochondrial Cytochrome b (MT-CYB) gene which is the forth longest gene of<br>mtDNA (**Methods & Supplemental Table 1**). Below we in the mitochondrial Cytochrome b (MT-CYB) gene which is the forth longest gene of<br>
11 mtDNA (Methods & Supplemental Table 1). Below we present results from simulation<br>
12 studies to evaluate type I error rate and power fo 11 mtDNA (**Methods & Supplemental Table 1).** Below we present results from simulation<br>12 studies to evaluate type I error rate and power for several gene-based tests and the two<br>13 omnibus tests. We also presented the find It studies to evaluate type I error rate and power for several gene-based tests and the two<br>It commibus tests. We also presented the findings from the application of these methods to<br>It call data in the five large cohorts omnibus tests. We also presented the findings from the application of these methods to<br>
14 real data in the five large cohorts with WGS.<br> **Empirical Type I Error Rate of simulation studies**<br>
16 We employ two coding definit real data in the five large cohorts with WGS.<br> **Empirical Type I Error Rate of simulation** :<br>
We employ two coding definitions of heteropl<br>
methods section. By definition 1, for a continual<br>
appropriately controlled for Bu

**Empirical Type I Error Rate of simulation studies**<br>16 We employ two coding definitions of heteroplasmy wh<br>17 methods section. By definition 1, for a continuous trai<br>18 appropriately controlled for Burden (ratio=0.88), Bur methods section. By definition 1, for a continuous trait, type I error rate was<br>appropriately controlled for Burden (ratio=0.88), Burden-A (ratio=1.22), Burc<br>(ratio=1.06), and Burden-V1 (ratio=1.24); it was slightly inflat

appropriately controlled for Burden (ratio=0.88), Burden-A (ratio=1.22), Burden-S<br>
(ratio=1.06), and Burden-V1 (ratio=1.24); it was slightly inflated for the Burden-V2<br>
(ratio=1.56) while moderately conservative for SKAT (

(ratio=1.06), and Burden-V1 (ratio=1.24); it was slightly inflated for the Burden-V2<br>
(ratio=1.56) while moderately conservative for SKAT (ratio = 0.64) (**Table 1**). For t<br>
two omnibus tests, type I error rate was slightly

- We employ two coding definitions of heteroplasmy which are described thoroughly in<br>
17 methods section. By definition 1, for a continuous trait, type I error rate was<br>
218 appropriately controlled for Burden (ratio=0.88), 20 (ratio=1.56) while moderately conservative for SKAT (ratio = 0.64) (**Table 1**). For the<br>21 two omnibus tests, type I error rate was slightly conservative for SKAT-O (ratio=0.64)<br>22 while appropriately controlled for ACA
- 
- 21 two omnibus tests, type I error rate was slightly conservative for SKAT-O (ratio=0.64)<br>22 while appropriately controlled for ACAT-O (ratio=0.72). For a binary trait with a<br>23 prevalence of 20%, type I error rate was app while appropriately controlled for ACAT-O (ratio=0.72). For a binary trait with a<br>prevalence of 20%, type I error rate was appropriately controlled for Burden tes<br>prevalence of 20%, type I error rate was appropriately cont 23 prevalence of 20%, type I error rate was appropriately controlled for Burden test (ratio



for all gene-based tests, power was improved when the proportion of causal variants (of<br>all variants) increased and/or when the proportion of causal variants with the same<br>effect direction increased for both definitions ( all variants) increased and/or when the proportion of causal variants with the same<br>effect direction increased for both definitions (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1-3**).<br>When 100% of heteroplasmic variants had the same ef effect direction increased for both definitions (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1-3**).<br>
When 100% of heteroplasmic variants had the same effect direction, Burden<br>
the burden extension methods displayed comparable power, ad When 100% of heteroplasmic variants had the same effect direction, Burden and<br>the burden extension methods displayed comparable power, adjusting for empirical<br>alpha rate (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1**). However, when a the burden extension methods displayed comparable power, adjusting for empirical<br>
alpha rate (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1**). However, when any proportion of the<br>
causal variants displayed different effect directions, alpha rate (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1**). However, when any proportion of the<br>causal variants displayed different effect directions, the burden extension methods, in<br>general, outperformed the Burden method. Among the causal variants displayed different effect directions, the burden extension methods, in<br>general, outperformed the Burden method. Among these burden extension methods,<br>Burden-V1 and Burden-V2 had comparable power under all general, outperformed the Burden method. Among these burden extension methods,<br>Burden-V1 and Burden-V2 had comparable power under all scenarios; Burden-S,<br>Burden-V1/V2 outperformed Burden-A when any proportion of heteropla Burden-V1 and Burden-V2 had comparable power under all scenarios; Burden-S,<br>
Burden-V1/V2 outperformed Burden-A when any proportion of heteroplasmic varia<br>
display different effect directions; and Burden-V1/V2 outperforms 10 Burden-V1/V2 outperformed Burden-A when any proportion of heteroplasmic variants<br>
11 display different effect directions; and Burden-V1/V2 outperforms Burden S for most<br>
12 scenarios. For example, by definition 1, when display different effect directions; and Burden-V1/V2 outperforms Burden S for most<br>scenarios. For example, by definition 1, when 25% of heteroplasmic variants were<br>causal, and 80% of these causal variants had the same eff scenarios. For example, by definition 1, when 25% of heteroplasmic variants were<br>
causal, and 80% of these causal variants had the same effect direction, Burden ha<br>
low power (=0.29) while Burden-A (=0.63), Burden-S (=0.7

causal, and 80% of these causal variants had the same effect direction, Burden had a<br>
14 low power (=0.29) while Burden-A (=0.63), Burden-S (=0.76), Burden-V1/V2 (=0.85)<br>
15 had much higher power (**Figure 1**).<br>
16 For a c 14 low power (=0.29) while Burden-A (=0.63), Burden-S (=0.76), Burden-V1/V2 (=0.85)<br>
15 had much higher power (**Figure 1**).<br>
16 For a continuous trait, if other conditions were held constant, SKAT outperform<br>
17 all burde 15 had much higher power (**Figure 1**).<br>
16 For a continuous trait, if other<br>
17 all burden methods if 5% or less of  $\theta$ <br>
18 (**Figure 1**). Of note, the power was a<br>
19 variants was causal. If the proportion<br>
20 or higher, 16 For a continuous trait, if other conditions were held constant, SKAT outperformed<br>
17 all burden methods if 5% or less of heteroplasmic variants were causal in a region<br>
18 (Figure 1). Of note, the power was also low ( 17 all burden methods if 5% or less of heteroplasmic variants were causal in a region<br>
18 (Figure 1). Of note, the power was also low (<0.6) for SKAT if < 25% of heteroplas<br>
19 variants was causal. If the proportion of cau 18 (**Figure 1**). Of note, the power was also low (<0.6) for SKAT if < 25% of heteroplasmic<br>19 variants was causal. If the proportion of causal heteroplasmic variants increased to 25%<br>18 or higher, all burden methods displa variants was causal. If the proportion of causal heteroplasmic variants increased to 25%<br>or higher, all burden methods displayed comparable or higher power than SKAT. For<br>example, when 50% of the heteroplasmic variants wer 20 or higher, all burden methods displayed comparable or higher power than SKAT. For<br>21 example, when 50% of the heteroplasmic variants were causal and 50% of the causa<br>22 variants had the same effect direction, SKAT had a 21 example, when 50% of the heteroplasmic variants were causal and 50% of the causal<br>22 variants had the same effect direction, SKAT had a power of 0.63, Burden-S had a<br>23 power of 0.65, and Burden-V1/V2 had a power of 0.8 variants had the same effect direction, SKAT had a power of 0.63, Burden-S had a<br>power of 0.65, and Burden-V1/V2 had a power of 0.89.<br>The same of 0.89. 23 power of 0.65, and Burden-V1/V2 had a power of 0.89.



22 other conditions were fixed. For example, when 5% of the heteroplasmic variants were<br>
23 causal and 80% of these causal mutations had the same effect direction, the power of<br>
2 causal and 80% of these causal mutations had the same effect direction, the power of



**Tables 9-16**). In meta-analysis of all participants by the Fisher's method, *RNR*1, *RNR*2,





The Catern Completical Table 11-52).<br>18 Discussion<br>20 We proposed a framework that incorporates a pre-sp<br>21 heteroplasmic variants and several gene-based tests<br>22 between heteroplasmic variants and a trait. We used 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 19 **Discussion**<br>20 We proposed<br>21 heteroplasmi<br>22 between hete<br>23 proposed fra We proposed a framework that incorporates a pre-specified threshold for identifying true<br>
heteroplasmic variants and several gene-based tests to perform association analyses<br>
between heteroplasmic variants and a trait. We 21 heteroplasmic variants and several gene-based tests to perform association analyses<br>
22 between heteroplasmic variants and a trait. We used simulation studies to evaluate the<br>
23 proposed framework in association analys 22 between heteroplasmic variants and a trait. We used simulation studies to evaluate the<br>23 proposed framework in association analyses of mtDNA heteroplasmic variants and<br>23 23 proposed framework in association analyses of mtDNA heteroplasmic variants and<br>
123 proposed framework in association analyses of mtDNA heteroplasmic variants and<br>
125 proposed framework in association analyses of mtDNA

applied this framework to analyze age and sex with rare heteroplasmic variants in five<br>large TOPMed cohorts with WGS.<br>Simulations studies<br>The proposed framework incorporates several gene-based methods and omnibus test<br>to p 2 large TOPMed cohorts with WGS.<br>
3 Simulations studies<br>
4 The proposed framework incorpora<br>
to provide a comprehensive evalua<br>
6 extension tests outperformed the S<br>
extreme unfavorable situations in v 3 *Simulations studies*<br>4 The proposed frame<br>5 to provide a compre<br>6 extension tests outp<br>7 extreme unfavorable<br>8 heteroplasmic variar The proposed framework incorporates several gene-based methods and omnibus test<br>to provide a comprehensive evaluation of trait-heteroplasmy association. The burden-<br>extension tests outperformed the SKAT method for all simu to provide a comprehensive evaluation of trait-heteroplasmy association. The burden-<br>extension tests outperformed the SKAT method for all simulation scenarios except for<br>extreme unfavorable situations in which a very small Externsion tests outperformed the SKAT method for all simulation scenarios except for<br>extreme unfavorable situations in which a very small proportion ( $\leq$ 5%) of the<br>heteroplasmic variants were causal and/or half of thes 27 extreme unfavorable situations in which a very small proportion (≤5%) of the<br>
28 heteroplasmic variants were causal and/or half of these causal variants displare<br>
29 directions. Under such unfavorable situations, the 8 heteroplasmic variants were causal and/or half of these causal variants display opposite<br>9 directions. Under such unfavorable situations, the Original Burden had almost no power<br>1 while the burden-extension tests had com directions. Under such unfavorable situations, the Original Burden had almost no power<br>while the burden-extension tests had comparable power to SKAT. The Original Burden<br>showed comparable power to burden extension methods while the burden-extension tests had comparable power to SKAT. The Original Burden<br>
showed comparable power to burden extension methods only when ~100% of<br>
heteroplasmic variants showed consistent effect direction. Of the showed comparable power to burden extension methods only when ~100% of<br>12 heteroplasmic variants showed consistent effect direction. Of the two omnibus<br>13 ACAT-O easily combines a large number of test p-values and it was m 12 heteroplasmic variants showed consistent effect direction. Of the two omnibus tests,<br>
13 ACAT-O easily combines a large number of test p-values and it was more powerful the<br>
14 SKAT-O for most situations when combining ACAT-O easily combines a large number of test p-values and it was more powerful than<br>14 SKAT-O for most situations when combining SKAT and the Original Burden test.<br>15 It is worth noting that the widely used weights, i.e., SKAT-O for most situations when combining SKAT and the Original Burden test.<br>
It is worth noting that the widely used weights, i.e., beta (MAF, 1, 25), in<br>
association testing of nDNA rare variants showed no effects in tes It is worth noting that the widely used weights, i.e., beta (MAF, 1, 25), in<br>16 association testing of nDNA rare variants showed no effects in testing of rare<br>17 heteroplasmic variants, owing to the extreme rareness of het 16 association testing of nDNA rare variants showed no effects in testing of rare<br>
11 heteroplasmic variants, owing to the extreme rareness of heteroplasmic varian<br>
11 human population. While these methods outperformed the 17 heteroplasmic variants, owing to the extreme rareness of heteroplasmic variants in<br>
18 human population. While these methods outperformed the Original Burden test, the<br>
19 burden-extension tests provided only p values w 18 human population. While these methods outperformed the Original Burden test, the<br>19 burden-extension tests provided only p values without computing effect size for a ge<br>10 The burden-extension tests use permutation to d 19 burden-extension tests provided only p values without computing effect size for a gene.<br>
20 The burden-extension tests use permutation to derive p values, which is<br>
21 computationally extensive. These extension methods 20 The burden-extension tests use permutation to derive p values, which is<br>21 computationally extensive. These extension methods are challenging to<br>22 large number genes in nuclear DNA while they are feasible in analyzing<br> 21 computationally extensive. These extension methods are challenging to analyzing a<br>22 large number genes in nuclear DNA while they are feasible in analyzing a small num<br>23 of genes in mtDNA. The utilization of multiple b 22 large number genes in nuclear DNA while they are feasible in analyzing a small number<br>23 of genes in mtDNA. The utilization of multiple burden methods offers valuable insights<br>24 23 of genes in mtDNA. The utilization of multiple burden methods offers valuable insights







1 Acknowledgments<br>2 We included detailed<br>1 thank the staff and pa<br>1 phenotype data colled<br>5 Whole genome seque<br>16 program was support We included detailed acknowledgment for each cohort in Supplemental Materials. We<br>
thank the staff and participants of the ARIC, CHD, FHS, JHS, and MESA cohorts for<br>
phenotype data collections and providing biological samp thank the staff and participants of the ARIC, CHD, FHS, JHS, and MESA cohorts for<br>phenotype data collections and providing biological samples and data for TOPMed.<br>Whole genome sequencing (WGS) for the Trans-Omics in Precis phenotype data collections and providing biological samples and data for TOPMed.<br>
Whole genome sequencing (WGS) for the Trans-Omics in Precision Medicine (TOP<br>
program was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood In Whole genome sequencing (WGS) for the Trans-Omics in Precision Medicine (TOPMed)<br>
program was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI).<br>
Centralized read mapping and genotype calling, along with va program was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI).<br>
Centralized read mapping and genotype calling, along with variant quality metrics<br>
filtering were provided by the TOPMed Informatics Research Centralized read mapping and genotype calling, along with variant quality metrics and<br>
iltering were provided by the TOPMed Informatics Research Center (R01HL-117626-<br>
02S1; contract HHSN268201800002I). Phenotype harmoniza filtering were provided by the TOPMed Informatics Research Center (R01HL-117626-<br>
9 02S1; contract HHSN268201800002I). Phenotype harmonization, data management,<br>
sample-identity QC, and general study coordination were prov 9 02S1; contract HHSN268201800002I). Phenotype harmonization, data management,<br>sample-identity QC, and general study coordination were provided by the TOPMed Da<br>Coordinating Center (R01HL-120393-02S1; contract HHSN26820180 10 sample-identity QC, and general study coordination were provided by the TOPMed Data<br>
11 Coordinating Center (R01HL-120393-02S1; contract HHSN2682018000011). Method<br>
12 development and statistical analysis was supported 11 Coordinating Center (R01HL-120393-02S1; contract HHSN2682018000011). Method<br>12 development and statistical analysis was supported by R21HL144877 (X.S., K.B., A.F<br>13 and C.L.), R01AG059727 (X.L, C.L., and C.S.) and R01HL development and statistical analysis was supported by R21HL144877 (X.S., K.B., A.P.,<br>and C.L.), R01AG059727 (X.L, C.L., and C.S.) and R01HL15569 (M.L.). The views<br>expressed in this manuscript are. those of the authors and and C.L.), R01AG059727 (X.L, C.L., and C.S.) and R01HL15569 (M.L.). The views<br>expressed in this manuscript are. those of the authors and do not necessarily repres<br>the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; expressed in this manuscript are. those of the authors and do not necessarily represent<br>the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; the National Institutes of<br>Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and Hu

15 the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; the National Institutes of<br>16 Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.<br>17 Author contributions<br>18 **Data preparation**, X.S., M.L., A.P., X.L Health; or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.<br>
Author contributions<br> **Data preparation**, X.S., M.L., A.P., X.L., T.B., X.G., L.M.R.<br> **IntDNA heteroplasmy identification:** X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P<br> **analyses:** X 17 Author contributions<br>18 **Data preparation**, X.S.<br>**19 mtDNA heteroplasmy**<br>20 **analyses**: X.S., K.B., M.<br>21 J.C.B., A.L.F., S.R.H.; I<br>M.F., B.M.P., E.B., J.G.\ 18 **Data preparation**, X.S., M.L., A.P., X.L., T.B., X.G., L.M.R. X.G., Y.Z., G.A., J.C.B.;<br> **19 mtDNA heteroplasmy identification**: X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P. Q.Y. J.D.; Statistical<br> **20 analyses**: X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P.; Man mtDNA heteroplasmy identification: X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P. Q.Y. J.D.; Statistical<br>
analyses: X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P.; Manuscript preparation and revision: X.L., C.L. Y.Z.,<br>
J.C.B., A.L.F., S.R.H.; D.A., D.L.; Funding support 20 **analyses**: X.S., K.B., M.L., A.P.; **Manuscript preparation and revision**: X.L., C.L. Y.Z.,<br>21 J.C.B., A.L.F., S.R.H.; D.A., D.L.; **Funding support**: C.L., C.L.S., J.I.R., S.S.R., A.C.,<br>22 M.F., B.M.P., E.B., J.G.W.<br>28 21 J.C.B., A.L.F., S.R.H.; D.A., D.L.; **Funding support**: C.L., C.L.S., J.I.R., S.S.R., A.C., 22 M.F., B.M.P., E.B., J.G.W.

# **REFERENCES**

Level. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J.<br>
1 Wallace, D.C. (2013) A mitochondrial bioenergetic etiology of disease. J Clin Invest, 123, 1405-<br>
1412. Wallace, D.C. (2015) Mitochondrial DNA variation in human radiation and disease. Cell, Ever. Willace, D.C. (2013<br>2 Vallace, D.C. (2013<br>1412. Vallace, D.C. (2015<br>38. Liu, C., Fetterman,<br>Raffield, L.M., Lange, L.A. e<br>mutations in human popula<br>5 Ding, J., Sidore, C.,<br>Maschio, A., Angius, A. *et a*<br>Lymphocytes o Wallace, D.C. (2015) A information bioenergetic etiology or disease. *7 cmi micsi*, 123, 1405<br>
21412.<br>
22 Wallace, D.C. (2015) Mitochondrial DNA variation in human radiation and disease. *Cell*, 163, 3<br>
38.<br>
23 Liu, C., Fe

3<br>188.<br>Aaffiel<br>mutat<br>5<br>Lympł<br>6<br>seque<br>genes.<br>2 38.<br>
38.<br>
38.<br>
Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Qian, Y., Sun, X., Blackwell, T.W., Pitsillides, A., Cade, B.E., Wang, H.,<br>
Raffield, L.M., Lange, L.A. et al. (2021) Presence and transmission of mitochondrial heteroplasmic<br>
mutat - - -<br>4 Rafi<br>5 Mas<br>5 Mas<br>5 Lyn<br>6 seq<br>8 Phet Raffield, L.M., Lange, L.A. et al. (2021) Presence and transmission of mitochondrial heteroplasmic<br>mutations in human populations of European and African ancestry. *Mitochondrial* heteroplasmic<br>mutations in human populatio Nafficial properties in the properties and an equilibrations in the Unitations in human populations of European and African ancestry. Mitochondrian, 60, 33-42.<br>
5 Ding, J., Sidore, C., Butler, T.J., Wing, M.K., Qian, Y., M mutations in human populations of European and African ancestry. Mitochondrion, 60, 33-42.<br>
5 Ding, J., Sidore, C., Butler, T.J., Wing, M.K., Qian, Y., Meirelles, O., Busonero, F., Tsoi, L.<br>
Maschio, A., Angius, A. et al. Maschio, A., Angius, A. *et al.* (2015) Assessing Mitochondrial DNA Variation and Copy Number in<br>Lymphocytes of ~2,000 Sardinians Using Tailored Sequencing Analysis Tools. *PLoS Genet*, **11**, e100<br>Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Maschio, A., Anglis, A., Let al. (2013) Assessing Mitochondrial DNA Variation and Copy Number In<br>Lymphocytes of ~2,000 Sardinian Using Tailored Sequencing Analysis Tools. PloS Genet, 11, e10<br>6 Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Liu Example Capture of Capture of Capture and Society and Society and Sequencing of the mitochondrial genome reveals common heteroplasmic sites in NADH dehydrogenase sequencing of the mitochondrial genome reveals common hetero sequencing of the mitochondrial genome reveals common heteroplasmic sites in NADH dehydrogenase<br>genes. Hum Genet, 137, 203-213.<br>7 Ye, K., Lu, J., Ma, F., Keinan, A. and Gu, Z. (2014) Extensive pathogenicity of mitochondria

genes. *Hum Genet,* 137, 203-213.<br>
7 Ye, K., Lu, J., Ma, F., Keina<br>
heteroplasmy in healthy human in<br>
8 Stewart, J.B. and Chinnery<br>
implications for human health and<br>
9 Li, B. and Leal, S.M. (2008<br>
diseases: application to

The the must be the sequence and Scilling and Scilling Meteroplasmy in healthy human individuals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **111**, 10654-10659.<br>
Stewart, J.B. and Chinnery, P.F. (2015) The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA h heteroplasmy in healthy human individuals. Proc Natl Actua Sch U S A, 111, 10654-10659.<br>
8 Stewart, J.B. and Chinnery, P.F. (2015) The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA heter<br>
implications for human health and disease. Nat Rev

senes. Hum Genet, 137, 203-213.<br>
7 Ye, K., Lu, J., Ma, F., Keinan, A. and Gu, Z. (2014) Extensive pathogenicity of mitochondrial<br>
heteroplasmy in healthy human individuals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 111, 10654-10659.<br>
St

implications for human health and disease. *Not Rev Genet*, **16**, 530-542.<br>
9 Li, B. and Leal, S.M. (2008) Methods for detecting associations with rare variants for comm<br>
diseases: application to analysis of sequence data. is and Lealth and disease. Not Rev Genet, 18, 530-542.<br>
19 Li, B. and Leal, S.M. (2008) Methods for detecting associations w<br>
diseases: application to analysis of sequence data. Am J Hum Genet, 83,<br>
10 Wu, M.C., Lee, S., C diseases: application to analysis of sequence data. Am J Hum Genet, 83, 311-321.<br>
10 Wu, M.C., Lee, S., Cai, T., Li, Y., Boehnke, M. and Lin, X. (2011) Rare-variant association testing<br>
for sequencing data with the sequenc diseases: application to analysis of sequence data. Am J Hum Genet, 83, 311-321.<br>10 Wu, M.C., Lee, S., Cai, T., Li, Y., Boehnke, M. and Lin, X. (2011) Rare-varian<br>for sequencing data with the sequence kernel association te 10 General association test. Am J Hum Gener, 89, 82-93.<br>
11 Han, F. and Pan, W. (2010) A data-adaptive sum test for disease association with multiple<br>
11 Han, F. and Pan, W. (2010) A data-adaptive sum test for disease asso for sequencing data with the sequence kerner association test. Am J Hum Genet, 89, 82-93.<br>
11 Han, F. and Pan, W. (2010) A data-adaptive sum test for disease association with mi<br>
common or rare variants. Hum Hered, 70, 42-

11 Common or rare variants. Hum Hered, **70**, 42-54.<br>
12 Sha, Q., Wang, S. and Zhang, S. (2013) Adaptive clustering and adaptive weighting method<br>
12 Sha, Q., Wang, S. and Zhang, S. (2013) Adaptive clustering and adaptive w common or rare variants. *Hum Hered*, 70, 42-54.<br>12 Sha, Q., Wang, S. and Zhang, S. (2013) Ad<br>detect disease associated rare variants. *Eur J Hun*<br>13 Liu, Y., Chen, S., Li, Z., Morrison, A.C., Boe<br>Powerful p Value Combinat detect disease associated rare variants. *Eur J Hum Genet*, **21**, 332-337.<br>
13 Liu, Y., Chen, S., Li, Z., Morrison, A.C., Boerwinkle, E. and Lin, X. (2019) ACAT: A Fast and<br>
Powerful p Value Combination Method for Rare-Var detect disease associated rare variants. *Eur 3 Hum Genet,* 21, 332-337.<br>13 Liu, Y., Chen, S., Li, Z., Morrison, A.C., Boerwinkle, E. and Lin, X.<br>**104**, 410-421.<br>**14** Lee, S., Wu, M.C. and Lin, X. (2012) Optimal tests for Powerful p Value Combination Method for Rare-Variant Analysis in Sequencing Studies. Am J H<br> **104**, 410-421.<br>
Lee, S., Wu, M.C. and Lin, X. (2012) Optimal tests for rare variant effects in sequencing<br>
association studies. Fowerful p where Combination Method for Rare-Variant Analysis in Sequencing Studies. Am J Hum Center,<br>
104, 410-421.<br>
14 Lee, S., Wu, M.C. and Lin, X. (2012) Optimal tests for rare variant effects in sequencing<br>
associatio 14 Lee, S.<br>
association stu<br>
15 Andre<br>
(1999) Reanaly<br> *Genet*, **23**, 147<br>
16 Behar,<br>
Torroni, A. and<br>
from its root.<br>
17 Chen,<br>
Bis, J.C. *et al.* (<br>
in Large-Scale association studies. *Biostatistics*, **13**, 762-775.<br>
15 Andrews, R.M., Kubacka, I., Chinnery, P.F., Lightowlers, R.N., Turnbull, D.M. and Howel<br>
(1999) Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human association studies. Biostatistics, 13, 762-775.<br>15 Andrews, R.M., Kubacka, I., Chinnery, 1<br>(1999) Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridg<br>Genet, 23, 147.<br>16 Behar, D.M., van Oven, M., Rosset, S.,<br>Torroni, A. and Villems,

(1999) Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA<br>
Genet, 23, 147.<br>
16 Behar, D.M., van Oven, M., Rosset, S., Metspalu, M., Loogvali, E.L., Silva, N.M., Kivisild, T.,<br>
Torroni, Genet, 23, 147.<br>16 Behar, I<br>Torroni, A. and<br>from its root. Ar<br>17 Chen, H<br>Bis, J.C. *et al.* (2<sup>1</sup><br>in Large-Scale W<br>18 Lee, S., .<br>designs and stat<br>19 Kraja, A<br>D.E., Chasman, I<br>Genes with Seve

(1999) Reanalysis and revision of the cambinage reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA: Matematic Behar, D.M., van Oven, M., Rosset, S., Metspalu, M., Loogvali, E.L., Silva, N.M., Kivisild, T.,<br>Torroni, A. and Vill Torroni, A. and Villems, R. (2012) A "Copernican" reassessment of the human mitochondrial DNA t<br>from its root. *Am J Hum Genet*, **90**, 675-684.<br>17 Chen, H., Huffman, J.E., Brody, J.A., Wang, C., Lee, S., Li, Z., Gogarten, From its root. *Am J Hum Genet*, **90**, 675-684.<br>
17 Chen, H., Huffman, J.E., Brody, J.A., Wang, C., Lee, S., Li, Z., Gogarten, S.M., Sofer, T., Bielak, L.I<br>
Bis, J.C. *et al.* (2019) Efficient Variant Set Mixed Model Assoc from its root. Am J Hum Genet, 30, 675-684.<br>17 Chen, H., Huffman, J.E., Brody, J.A., V<br>Bis, J.C. *et al.* (2019) Efficient Variant Set Mix<br>in Large-Scale Whole-Genome Sequencing St<br>18 Lee, S., Abecasis, G.R., Boehnke, M. a

Bis, J.C. *et al.* (2019) Efficient Variant Set Mixed Model Association Tests for Continuous and Binary Traits<br>in Large-Scale Whole-Genome Sequencing Studies. Am J Hum Genet, **104**, 260-274.<br>18 Lee, S., Abecasis, G.R., Boe Bis, J.C. et al. (2019) Efficient Variant Set Winker Model Association Tests for Continuous and Binary Traits<br>in Large-Scale Whole-Genome Sequencing Studies. Am J Hum Genet, 104, 260-274.<br>18 Lee, S., Abecasis, G.R., Boehnk Lee, S., Abecasis, G.R., Boehnke, M. and Lin, X. (2014) Rare-variant associatidesigns and statistical tests. Am J Hum Genet, **95**, 5-23.<br>19 Kraja, A.T., Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Graff, M., Have, C.T., Gu, C., Yanek, L.R. designs and statistical tests. Am J Hum Genet, **95**, 5-23.<br>19 Kraja, A.T., Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Graff, M., Have, C.T., Gu, C., Yanek, L.R., Feitosa, M.F., Arkir<br>D.E., Chasman, D.I. *et al.* (2019) Associations of Mito designs and statistical tests. Am J Hum Genet, 33, 5-23.<br>19 Kraja, A.T., Liu, C., Fetterman, J.L., Graff, M., Ha<br>D.E., Chasman, D.I. *et al.* (2019) Associations of Mitoche<br>Genes with Seven Metabolic Traits. Am J Hum Genet 19 D.E., Chasman, D.I. *et al.* (2019) Associations of Mitochondrial and Nuclear Mitochondrial Variants and<br>Genes with Seven Metabolic Traits. *Am J Hum Genet*, **104**, 112-138.<br>29 D.E., Chasman, D.I. et al. (2019) Associations of Mitochondrial and Nuclear Mitochondrial Variants and<br>Genes with Seven Metabolic Traits. Am J Hum Genet, 104, 112-138. Genes with Seven Metabolic Traits. Am J Hum Genet, 104, 112-138.

20 (1987) The Atherosclerosis Risk in Community Community Community (ARIC) Calabrese, C., Simone, D., Diroma, M.A., Santorsola, M., Gutta, C., Gasparre, G., Picardi, E., Pesole, G. and Attimonelli, M. (2014) MToolBox: a hi Investigators. Am J Epidemiol, 129, 687-702.<br>21 Calabrese, C., Simone, D., Diroma, M<br>Pesole, G. and Attimonelli, M. (2014) MToole<br>annotation and prioritization analysis of hum<br>Bioinformatics, 30, 3115-3117.<br>22 Taliun, D., Pesole, G. and Attimonelli, M. (2014) MToolBox: a highly automated pipeline for heteroplasmy<br>
annotation and prioritization analysis of human mitochondrial variants in high-throughput sequenc<br>
Bioinformatics, **30**, 3115-31

annotation and prioritization analysis of human mitochondrial variants in high-throughput sequenting and attimonelli, M. (2015).<br>
Person Attimonelli, M. (2014) M. (2014) M. (2014) Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from

Bioinformatics, 30, 3115-3117.<br>
22 Taliun, D., Harris, D.N., Kessler, M.D., Carlson, J., Szpiech, Z.A., Torres, R., Taliun, S.A.G., Corvelo<br>
A., Gogarten, S.M., Kang, H.M. et al. (2021) Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes Biomformatics, 30, 3113-3117.<br>22 Taliun, D., Harris, D.N.,<br>A., Gogarten, S.M., Kang, H.M. (TOPMed Program. *Nature*, **590**,<br>23 Dawber, T.R., Meadors,<br>disease: the Framingham Study<br>24 Feinleib, M., Kannel, W<br>Framingham Offs 22 Taliun, M., Kang, H.M. *et al.* (2021) Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI<br>
23 Dawber, T.R., Meadors, G.F. and Moore, F.E., Jr. (1951) Epidemiological approaches to heart<br>
disease: the Framingham Study. A., Gogarch, S.M., Kang, H.M., C. ari, 2021) Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI<br>TOPMed Program. Nature, 590, 290-299.<br>23 Dawber, T.R., Meadors, G.F. and Moore, F.E., Jr. (1951) Epidemiological approaches t Tor Med Program. Mature, 330, 250-255.<br>
23 Dawber, T.R., Meadors, G.F. and P<br>
disease: the Framingham Study. Am J Pub<br>
24 Feinleib, M., Kannel, W.B., Garrisc<br>
Framingham Offspring Study. Design and<br>
25 Splansky, G.L., Core disease: the Framingham Study. *Am J Public Health Nations Health*, **41**, 279-281.<br>24 Feinleib, M., Kannel, W.B., Garrison, R.J., McNamara, P.M. and Castelli, W.P. (1975) The<br>5. Framingham Offspring Study. Design and preli disease: the Framingham Study. Am J Public Health Mations Health, 41, 279-201.<br>24 Feinleib, M., Kannel, W.B., Garrison, R.J., McNamara, P.M. and Castelli, W<br>Framingham Offspring Study. Design and preliminary data. Prev Med Framingham Offspring Study. Design and preliminary data. *Prev Med*, 4, 518-525.<br>25 Splansky, G.L., Corey, D., Yang, Q., Atwood, L.D., Cupples, L.A., Benjamin, E.J., D'Agostinc<br>5r., Fox, C.S., Larson, M.G., Murabito, J.M. Framingham Orisping Stady. Design and premining data. Prev Med, 4, 518-525.<br>
Splansky, G.L., Corey, D., Yang, Q., Atwood, L.D., Cupples, L.A., Benjamin, I<br>
Sr., Fox, C.S., Larson, M.G., Murabito, J.M. *et al.* (2007) The T Splansky, G.L., Corey, D., Yang, Q., Atwood, L.D., Cupples, L.A., Benjamin, E.J., D'Agostino, R.B.,<br>Sr., Fox, C.S., Larson, M.G., Murabito, J.M. *et al.* (2007) The Third Generation Cohort of the National<br>Heart, Lung, and

Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study: design, recruitment, and initial examination.<br>
Am J Epidemiol, 165, 1328-1335.<br>
26 Fried, L.P., Borhani, N.O., Enright, P., Furberg, C.D., Gardin, J.M., Kronmal, R manon, 1.3., witchinary, w.e., T., Wiggins, M.D., C. Co., Crump, M.E., Wyatt, S.B., Steffes, M.W.,<br>The Cardionale. Ann Epidemiol, 1, 263-276.<br>27 Wilson, J.G., Rotimi, C.N., Ekunwe, L., Royal, C.D., Crump, M.E., Wyatt, S.B.

Sr., Fox, C.S., Larson, M.C., Marabito, J.M. et al. (2007) The Third Generation Control of the National Heart Jump and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study: design, recruitment, and initial examina<br>
Am J Epidemiol, 165 Am J Epidemiol, 195, 1328-1335.<br>26 Fried, L.P., Borhani, N.O.,<br>Manolio, T.A., Mittelmark, M.B., I<br>rationale. Ann Epidemiol, 1, 263-2<br>27 Wilson, J.G., Rotimi, C.N.,<br>Adeyemo, A., Zhou, J., Taylor, H.A<br>Study. Ethn Dis, 15, S6 Manolio, T.A., Mittelmark, M.B., Newman, A. *et al.* (1991) The Cardiovascular Health Study: design a<br>rationale. *Ann Epidemiol*, **1**, 263-276.<br>27 Wilson, J.G., Rotimi, C.N., Ekunwe, L., Royal, C.D., Crump, M.E., Wyatt, S. rationale. Ann Epidemiol, 1, 203-276.<br>27 Wilson, J.G., Rotimi, C.N., Eku<br>Adeyemo, A., Zhou, J., Taylor, H.A., Jr.<br>Study. *Ethn Dis*, **15**, S6-30-37.<br>28 Bild, D.E., Bluemke, D.A., Burk<br>Jacob, D.R., Jr., Kronmal, R., Liu, K. Adeyemo, A., Zhou, J., Taylor, H.A., Jr. et al. (2005) Study design for genetic analysis in the Jackson<br>Study. Ethn Dis, 15, S6-30-37.<br>28 Bild, D.E., Bluemke, D.A., Burke, G.L., Detrano, R., Diez Roux, A.V., Folsom, A.R., Adeyemo, A., Zhou, J., Taylor, H.A., J. Let al. (2003) Study design for genetic analysis in the Jackson Heart<br>Study. *Ethn Dis*, 15, S6-30-37.<br>28 Bild, D.E., Bluemke, D.A., Burke, G.L., Detrano, R., Diez Roux, A.V., Folsom Study. *Ethn Dis, 15, 30-30-37.*<br>28 Bild, D.E., Bluemke, D.<br>Jacob, D.R., Jr., Kronmal, R., Li<br>design. *Am J Epidemiol*, **156**, 8<br>29 (2021), in press.<br>30 Liu, X., Longchamps<br>A., Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J.,<br>cardiometabolic dis

Cacob, D.R., Jr., Kronmal, R., Liu, K. et al. (2002) Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and<br>
design. Am J Epidemiol, **156**, 871-881.<br>
29 (2021), in press.<br>
Liu, X., Longchamps, R.J., Wiggins, K.L., Raffield, Jacob, D.R., W.R., H.R., K., L.R., K. L.E. I. (2002) Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and<br>design. Am J Epidemiol, 156, 871-881.<br>29 (2021), in press.<br>20 (2021), in press.<br>A., Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J., Guo, design. Am J Epidemiol, 156, 871-881.<br>
29 (2021), in press.<br>
30 Liu, X., Longchamps, R.J., V<br>
A., Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J., Guo, X.<br>
cardiometabolic diseases. Cell Genomi<br>
31 Fisher, R.A. (1925) Statistical m<br>
32 Borenstei 30 Liu, X., Longcha<br>A., Blackwell, T.W., Ya<br>cardiometabolic diseases<br>31 Fisher, R.A. (1925<br>32 Borenstein, M., F<br>fixed-effect and random-<br>33 R Core Team. (20<br>Neutral mitochondrial he<br>35 Scheffler, I.E. (20<br>15 Li, R., Greinw Liu, X., Longchamps, R.J., Wiggins, K.L., Raffield, L.M., Bielak, L.F., Zhao, W., Pitsillides,<br>A., Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J., Guo, X. et al. (2021) Association of mitochondrial DNA copy number with<br>cardiometabolic diseases. A., Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J., Guo, X. et al. (2021) Association of mitochondrial DNA copy number with

, Blackwell, T.W., Yao, J., Guo, X. et al. (2021) Association of mitochondrial DNA copy number with<br>rdiometabolic diseases. *Cell Genomics*, **1.**<br>Fisher, R.A. (1925) Statistical methods for research workers. Oliver and Boy cardiometabolic diseases. Cell definition, 1.<br>
31 Fisher, R.A. (1925) Statistical method<br>
32 Borenstein, M., Hedges, L.V., Higgin<br>
fixed-effect and random-effects models for<br>
33 R Core Team. (2019) R: A language a<br>
34 Sond 31 Fisher, R.A. (1925) Statistical methods for research workers. Orier and Doyal, California, R.B. (2010) A basic introduction to fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods*, 1, 97-111.<br>
3 Fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods, 1, 97-111.<br>
33 R. Core Team. (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Journal, in pr<br>
34 Sondheimer, N., Glatz, C.E., Tiro Fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods, 1, 97-111.<br>
R Core Team. (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Jou<br>
Sondheimer, N., Glatz, C.E., Tirone, J.E., Deardorf 33 Conditeinmer, N., Glatz, C.E., Tirone, J.E., Deardorff, M.A., Krieger, A.M. and Hakonason, H. (2011<br>
234 Sondheimer, N., Glatz, C.E., Tirone, J.E., Deardorff, M.A., Krieger, A.M. and Hakonason, H. (2011<br>
235 Scheffler, Neutral mitochondrial heteroplasmy and the influence of aging. Hum Mol Genet, **20**, 1653-1659.<br>
35 Scheffler, I.E. (2008) Mitochondria. Wiley-Liss, Hoboken, N.J.<br>
36 Li, R., Greinwald, J.H., Jr., Yang, L., Choo, D.I., Wens Neutral mitochondrial mitochondrial mitochondrial heteroplasmy and the immedial politics. So Scheffler, I.E. (2008) Mitochondrial Miley-Liss, Hoboken, N.J. and Guan, M.X. (2004) Mole analysis of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA So Schemer, I.E. (2000) Mitochondrial. Wiley Elss, Hoboken, N.J.<br>36 Li, R., Greinwald, J.H., Jr., Yang, L., Choo, D.I., Wenstrup, R.J. a<br>analysis of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA and tRNASer(UCN) genes in p.<br>syndromic hearing

analysis of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA and tRNASer(UCN) genes in paediatric subjects with non-<br>syndromic hearing loss. J Med Genet, 41, 615-620.<br>37 Yao, Y.G., Salas, A., Bravi, C.M. and Bandelt, H.J. (2006) A reappraisal o syndromic hearing loss. J Wed Genet, 41, 615-620.<br>37 Yao, Y.G., Salas, A., Bravi, C.M. and Bandelt<br>variation in East Asian families with hearing impairi<br>38 Yen, K., Mehta, H.H., Kim, S.J., Lue, Y., Hoai<br>Brandhorst, S. *et* variation in East Asian families with hearing impairment. *Hum Genet*, **119**, 505-515.<br>38 Yen, K., Mehta, H.H., Kim, S.J., Lue, Y., Hoang, J., Guerrero, N., Port, J., Bi, Q., Navarrete, G<br>Brandhorst, S. *et al.* (2020) The variation in East Asian families with hearing impairment. Hum Genet, 119, 505-515.<br>
38 Yen, K., Mehta, H.H., Kim, S.J., Lue, Y., Hoang, J., Guerrero, N., Port, J., Bi, Q.,<br>
Brandhorst, S. et al. (2020) The mitochondrial de Brandhorst, S. *et al.* (2020) The mitochondrial derived peptide humanin is a regulator of lifespan and<br>healthspan. *Aging (Albany NY)*, **12**, 11185-11199.<br>39 Tajima, H., Niikura, T., Hashimoto, Y., Ito, Y., Kita, Y., Tera Brandhorst, S. et al. (2020) The mitochondral derived peptide humanin is a regulator of lifespan and<br>healthspan. Aging (Albany NY), 12, 11185-11199.<br>Tajima, H., Niikura, T., Hashimoto, Y., Ito, Y., Kita, Y., Terashita, K., healthspan. Aging (Albany NY), 12, 11105-11155.<br>39 Tajima, H., Niikura, T., Hashimoto, Y., Ito,<br>and Nishimoto, I. (2002) Evidence for in vivo prod<br>against Alzheimer's disease-related insults. Neuro 30 and Nishimoto, I. (2002) Evidence for in vivo production of Humanin peptide, a neuroprotective factor against Alzheimer's disease-related insults. *Neurosci Lett*, 324, 227-231.<br>30<br>30 against Alzheimer's disease-related insults. Neurosci Lett, 324, 227-231.<br>
Evidence factor of Humanin peptides, a new peptide, a new peptide, a new peptide, a new peptide, a new peptide<br>
The Mumanin peptides, a new peptide against Alzheimer's disease-related insults. *Neurosci Lett*, **324**, 227-231.<br>Eagle<br>Martin Alzheimer's disease-related insults. Neurosci Lett, **324**, 227-231.<br>Alzheimer's disease-related insults. Neurosci Lett, **324**, 227-

explation. *IUBMB Life*, 60, 557-568.<br>41 Brown, M.D., Yang, C.C., Trounce, I., Torroni, A., Lott, M.T. and Wallace, D.C. (1992) A<br>mitochondrial DNA variant, identified in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy patients, which e regulation. *IOBMB Life*, **00**, 337-308.<br>41 Brown, M.D., Yang, C.C., Trou<br>mitochondrial DNA variant, identified<br>amino acid sequence of cytochrome<br>42 Varlamov, D.A., Kudin, A.P., \<br>Rebstock, J., Heils, A. *et al.* (2002) Me mitochondrial DNA variant, identified in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy patients, which examino acid sequence of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I. Am J Hum Genet, **51**, 378-385.<br>42 Varlamov, D.A., Kudin, A.P., Vielhaber, amino acid sequence of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I. Am J Hum Genet, 51, 378-385.<br>
42 Varlamov, D.A., Kudin, A.P., Vielhaber, S., Schroder, R., Sassen, R., Becker, A., Kunz, D., Haug, K.,<br>
Rebstock, J., Heils, A. et al. amino acid sequence of cytochrome c oxidase subditit I. Am J Hum Genet, 31, 378-385.<br>
42 Varlamov, D.A., Kudin, A.P., Vielhaber, S., Schroder, R., Sassen, R., Becker, A., K.<br>
Rebstock, J., Heils, A. et al. (2002) Metabolic

Rebstock, J., Heils, A. et al. (2002) Metabolic consequences of a novel missense mutation of the mtDNA<br>CO I gene. Hum Mol Genet, 11, 1797-1805.<br>43 Capaldi, R.A. (1990) Structure and function of cytochrome c oxidase. Annu R Rebstock, J., Heils, A. et al. (2002) Witchell Consequences of a novel missense mutation of the mtDNA<br>COI gene. Hum Mol Genet, 11, 1797-1805.<br>43 Capaldi, R.A. (1990) Structure and function of cytochrome c oxidase. Annu Rev Corigene. *Ham Wor* Genet, 11, 1797-1805.<br>43 Capaldi, R.A. (1990) Structure and f<br>596.<br>44 Sharma, L.K., Lu, J. and Bai, Y. (2009<br>and implication in human diseases. *Curr Me*<br>45 Howell, N. (2003) LHON and other contractors Explain, R.A. (1990) Structure and rancelori of cytochrome c oxidate. Anna her Biochem, 33, 309-<br>
44 Sharma, L.K., Lu, J. and Bai, Y. (2009) Mitochondrial respiratory complex l: structure, function<br>
and implication in huma - - -<br>44<br>and i<br>45<br>*Opht*<br>46<br>Four<br>*Biom*<br>47<br>dinic<br>48<br>synth

and implication in human diseases. *Curr Med Chem*, **16**, 1266-1277.<br>
45 Howell, N. (2003) LHON and other optic nerve atrophies: the mitochondrial connection. *Dev*<br> *Ophthalmol*, **37**, 94-108.<br>
46 Alharbi, M.A., Al-Kafaji and implication in human diseases. Curr *Med Chem,* 16, 1200-1277.<br>
45 Howell, N. (2003) LHON and other optic nerve atrophies: the<br> *Ophthalmol*, **37**, 94-108.<br>
46 Alharbi, M.A., Al-Kafaji, G., Khalaf, N.B., Messaoudi, S.A 45 Howell, N. (2003) Librican and other optic nerve atrophies: the intecendental connection. Development, the Alharbi, M.A., Al-Kafaji, G., Khalaf, N.B., Messaoudi, S.A., Taha, S., Daif, A. and Bakhiet, M. (2001) roovel mu Spiritually, 37, 34-100.<br>46 Alharbi, M.A., Al-<br>Four novel mutations in t<br>Biomed Rep, 11, 257-268<br>47 Maassen, J.A., va<br>clinical aspects of mitoch<br>48 King, M.P., Koga,<br>synthesis and respiratory<br>mitochondrial myopathy,<br>490. v Four novel mutations in the mitochondrial ND4 gene of complex I in patients with multiple sclerosis.<br>
Biomed Rep, 11, 257-268.<br>
Maassen, J.A., van Essen, E., van den Ouweland, J.M. and Lemkes, H.H. (2001) Molecular and<br>
cl Biomed Rep, 11, 257-268.<br>
Anassen, J.A., van Essen, E., van den Ouweland, J.M. and Lemkes, H.H. (2001) Molecular and<br>
clinical aspects of mitochondrial diabetes mellitus. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes, 109, 127-134.<br>
As Kin Biomed Rep, 11, 257-268.<br>47 Maassen, J.A., van<br>clinical aspects of mitocho<br>48 King, M.P., Koga, Y<br>synthesis and respiratory (mitochondrial myopathy, 4<br>490.<br>49 van den Ouweland<br>and Maassen, J.A. (1994) M<br>and associates with clinical aspects of mitochondrial diabetes mellitus. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*, **109**, 127-134.<br>48 King, M.P., Koga, Y., Davidson, M. and Schon, E.A. (1992) Defects in mitochondrial protein<br>synthesis and respiratory c clinical aspects of mitochondrial diabetes mellitals. Exp Cilif Endocrinol Diabetes, 109, 127-134.<br>
48 King, M.P., Koga, Y., Davidson, M. and Schon, E.A. (1992) Defects in mitochondrial prot<br>
synthesis and respiratory chai

mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, hactic actubes, and strokelike episodes. Mor Cell Biol, 12, 480-<br>490.<br>490. and den Ouweland, J.M., Lemkes, H.H., Trembath, R.C., Ross, R., Velho, G., Cohen, D., Froguel, P.<br>and Maass

synthesis and respiratory chain activity segregate with the tRNA(Leu(UUR)) mutation associated w<br>mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and strokelike episodes. *Mol Cell Biol*, 12<br>490.<br>van den Ouweland, mitochondrial myopathy, encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and strokelike episodes. *Mol Cell Biol*, 12, 4:<br>490.<br>49 van den Ouweland, J.M., Lemkes, H.H., Trembath, R.C., Ross, R., Velho, G., Cohen, D., Froguel<br>and Maassen, J  $49$ <br>and  $t$ <br>and  $\bar{\epsilon}$ <br>and  $\bar{\epsilon}$ <br> $746$ - $50$ <br>Ange<br>facto<br> $40$  wr<br> $51$ <br>the  $\Lambda$ <br> $52$ and Maassen, J.A. (1994) Maternally inherited diabetes and deafness is a distinct subtype of diabetes<br>and associates with a single point mutation in the mitochondrial tRNA(Leu(UUR)) gene. *Diabetes*, 43,<br>746-751.<br>C. Onmyn, and associates with a single point mutation in the mitochondrial tRNA(Leu(UUR)) gene. *Diabetes*, 43,<br>746-751.<br>50 Chomyn, A., Martinuzzi, A., Yoneda, M., Daga, A., Hurko, O., Johns, D., Lai, S.T., Nonaka, I.,<br>Angelini, C. and associates with a single point initiation in the influencemental throughcales with a single point initiation in the mitochondrial throughcales, D., Lai, S.T., Nonaka, I., Angelini, C. and Attardi, G. (1992) MELAS mutat 50 C<br>Angelini, <sub>1</sub><br>factor cau<br>51 G<br>the MELA<br>52 C<br>(2006) A I<br>diabetes a<br>53 Z<br>mitochon Angelini, C. and Attardi, G. (1992) MELAS mutation in mtDNA binding site for transcription termina<br>factor causes defects in protein synthesis and in respiration but no change in levels of upstream an<br>downstream mature tran

Factor causes defects in protein synthesis and in respiration but no change in levels of upstream and<br>downstream mature transcripts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **89**, 4221-4225.<br>51 Goto, Y., Nonaka, I. and Horai, S. (1990) downstream mature transcripts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **89**, 4221-4225.<br>51 Goto, Y., Nonaka, I. and Horai, S. (1990) A mutation in the tRNA(Leu)(UUR) gene associated v<br>the MELAS subgroup of mitochondrial encephalomyopa

downstream mature transcripts. Proc Matri Acta Scr 0 3 A, 03, 4221-4223.<br>
51 Goto, Y., Nonaka, I. and Horai, S. (1990) A mutation in the tRNA(Li<br>
the MELAS subgroup of mitochondrial encephalomyopathies. *Nature*, **348**<br>
52 the MELAS subgroup of mitochondrial encephalomyopathies. *Nature*, **348**, 651-653.<br>52 Chen, F.L., Liu, Y., Song, X.Y., Hu, H.Y., Xu, H.B., Zhang, X.M., Shi, J.H., Hu, J., Shen, Y., Lu, B. *et al.*<br>(2006) A novel mitochondr the MELAS subgroup of mitochondrial encephalomyopathies. Nature, 348, 651-653.<br>
S2 Chen, F.L., Liu, Y., Song, X.Y., Hu, H.Y., Xu, H.B., Zhang, X.M., Shi, J.H., Hu, J., S<br>
(2006) A novel mitochondrial DNA missense mutation 52 Chen, F.L., Liu, Y., Song, X.Y., Hu, H.Y., Xu, H.B., Zhang, X.M., Shi, J.H., Hu, J., Shen, Y., Lu, B. et al. (2006) A novel mutations: Mutations in the mutations in the human<br>
Adiabetes and deafness. *Mutat Res*, **602**, 26-33.<br>
53 Zhelankin, A.V. and Sazonova, M.A. (2012) [Association of the mutations in the human<br>
mitochondrial diabetes and deamess. *White Res, 602, 20-33.*<br>53 Zhelankin, A.V. and Sazonova, M.A. (20<br>mitochondrial genome with chronic non-inflam<br>different types of cardiomyopathy]. *Patol Fiziol*<br>54 Wortmann, S.B., Champion, M.P., va mitochondrial genome with chronic non-inflammatory diseases: type 2 diabetes, hypertension<br>different types of cardiomyopathy]. *Patol Fiziol Eksp Ter*, in press., 123-128.<br>54 Wortmann, S.B., Champion, M.P., van den Heuvel, different types of cardiomyopathy]. *Patol Fiziol Eksp Ter*, in press., 123-128.<br>54 Wortmann, S.B., Champion, M.P., van den Heuvel, L., Barth, H., Trutnau, B., Craig, K., Lamm<br>M., Schreuder, M.F., Taylor, R.W., Smeitink, J different types of cardiomyopathy]. Putof Fiziol Eksp Ter, in press., 123-128.<br>54 Wortmann, S.B., Champion, M.P., van den Heuvel, L., Barth, H., Trutt<br>M., Schreuder, M.F., Taylor, R.W., Smeitink, J.A. *et al.* (2012) Mitoc M., Schreuder, M.F., Taylor, R.W., Smeitink, J.A. *et al.* (2012) Mitochondrial DNA m.3242G > A mutation, an under diagnosed cause of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and renal tubular dysfunction? *Eur J Med* Genet, **55**, 552-

M., Schreuder, M.H., Taylor, R.W., Shieldink, J.A. et al. (2012) Mitochondrial DNA m.3242G > A mutation,<br>an under diagnosed cause of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and renal tubular dysfunction? *Eur J Med*<br>Genet, **55**, 552-5 an under diagnosed cause of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and renal tubular dystanction? Eur J Med<br>Genet, 55, 552-556.<br>55 Ma, L., Wang, H., Chen, J., Jin, W., Liu, L., Ban, B., Shen, J., Hua, Z. and Chai, J. (2000)<br>Mitochond Genet, 33, 332-336.<br>55 Ma, L., Wan<sub>i</sub><br>Mitochondrial gene<br>maternally inherited Mitochondrial gene variation in type 2 diabetes mellitus: detection of a novel mutation associates maternally inherited diabetes in a Chinese family. *Chin Med J (Engl)*, **113**, 111-116. maternally inherited diabetes in a Chinese family. *Chin Med J (Engl)*, **113**, 111-116.<br>3 maternally inherited diabetes in a Chinese family. Chin Med J (Engl), 113, 111-116.

with type 2 diabetes mellitus associated with mutations in calcium sensing receptor gene and<br>mitochondrial DNA. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, **278**, 808-813.<br>mitochondrial DNA. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, **278**, 808-813. with type 2 diabetes mellions as some associated with mutations in contrast in contrast as the mutation of an and the mutation of an and the mutation sensing receptor generalism in contrast  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  mitochondrial DNA. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 278, 808-813.



# **Table 1. Gene-wide empirical type 1 error rates by coding definition 1 in**

The number in each cell represents the ratio of type I error and expected significance level of 0.001. Burden, original burden test; Burden-A, adaptive burden test; Burden-S, z-score weighting burden test; Burden-V1, variable threshold burden test with minimum p value; Burden-V2, variable threshold burden test with ACAT p value combination method; SKAT, sequence kernel association test; SKAT-O, sequence kernel association test-optimal test; ACAT, aggregated Cauchy association test combining burden and SKAT. We simulated 50,000 replicates for evaluating type I error rate. We simulated a continuous variable and a binary variable in response to heteroplasmies located in the mitochondrial cytochrome b (MT-CYB) gene in European American participants (N=3,415) of Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study.





ARIC, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; FHS, Framingham Heart Study; JHS, Jackson Heart Study; MESA, Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. SD, standard deviation





Cohort-specific association analysis was performed between heteroplasmic variants and age using gene-based tests and omnibus tests. Fisher's method was used to combine p values from individual cohort/ancestry (i.e., meta-analysis) from all participants. This table include genes that yielded p ≤ 0.001 in any gene based tests after meta-analysis. Burden, original burden test; Burden-A, adaptive burden test; Burden-S, z-score weighting burden test; Burden-V1, variable threshold burden test with minimum p value; Burden-V2, variable threshold burden test with ACAT p value combination method; SKAT, sequence kernel association test; SKAT-O, sequence kernel association test-optimal test; ACAT, aggregated Cauchy association test combining burden and SKAT.

# **Table 4. Genes showing significant associations with diabetes in meta-analysis using the Fisher's method in pooled participants by definition 1**



Cohort-specific association analysis was performed between heteroplasmic variants and diabes using gene-based tests and omnibus tests. Fisher's method was used to combine p values from individual cohort/ancestry (i.e., meta-analysis) from all participants. This table include genes that yielded p ≤ 0.003 in any gene based tests after meta-analysis. Burden, original burden test; Burden-A, adaptive burden test; Burden-S, z-score weighting burden test; SKAT, sequence kernel association test; SKAT-O, sequence kernel association test-optimal test; ACAT, aggregated Cauchy association test combining burden and SKAT.



**Figure 1. Simulation-based power comparisons of six gene-based tests and two omnibus tests with a continuous and a binary trait by coding definition 1 (adjusted for empirical type I error rate**. Power estimation for a continuous trait (A) and a binary trait (B) at  $\alpha$ =0.001. Heteroplasmic variants are defined by an indicator function (definition 1). In simulations, we consider 5%, 25%, 50% or 80% of the nonsynonymous heteroplasmies in CYB gene to be causal and consider that 50%, 80% and 100% of the causal heteroplasmic variants have effects with the same directionality. The variance that was explained by causal mutations was set to be 1% for the continuous trait and 2% for the binary trait. Burden, original burden test; Burden-A, adaptive burden test; Burden-S, z-score weighting burden test; Burden-V1, variable threshold burden test with minimum p value; Burden-V2, variable threshold burden test with ACAT p value combination method; SKAT, sequence kernel association test; SKAT-O, sequence kernel association test-optimal test; ACAT, aggregated Cauchy association test combining burden and SKAT. We simulated 50,000 replicates for evaluating power.



**Figure 2**. **Examples of significant age-associated genes in European Americans (EA)**. ARIC, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study; FHS, Framingham Heart Study, CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; MESA, Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis.