ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Microbial-Dependent Recruitment of Immature Myeloid Cells Promotes Intestinal Regeneration

Zhengyu Jiang[,](#page-1-0) 1,2,* 1,2,* 1,2,* 1,2,* 1,2,* 1,2,* Quin T. Waterbury, 1,2,3,* 1,2,3,* 1,2,3,* 1,2,3,* 1,2,3,* 1,2,3,* Ermanno Malagola, 1,2 Na Fu, 1,2,4 1,2,4 1,2,4 1,2,4 Woosook Kim, 1,2 Yosuke Ochiai,^{[1](#page-0-0),[2](#page-0-1)} Feijing Wu,^{[1,](#page-0-0)2,[5](#page-0-3)} Chandan Guha,^{[6](#page-0-4),[7](#page-0-4)} Carrie J. Shawber,^{[8](#page-0-5)} Kelley S. Yan,^{1[,9](#page-0-6),[10](#page-0-7)} and Timothy C. Wang^{[1](#page-0-0)[,2](#page-0-1)}

¹Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases Medicine, Irving Cancer Research Center, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York; ²Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York; ³Institute of Human Nutrition, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York;
⁴Department of Traditional and Western Medical Hepatology, Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Department of Traditional and Western Medical Hepatology, Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China; ⁵Department of Thyroid and Breast Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, Fujian, China; ⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York; ⁷Department of Pathology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York; ⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York; ⁹Columbia Center for Human Development, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; and ¹⁰Department of Genetics and Development, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York

SUMMARY

This work presents a novel pathway of intestinal regeneration wherein infiltrating microbial signals promote the recruitment of granulocytic immature myeloid cells that activate proregenerative lymphatic cells. Ablation of the gut microbiome or immature myeloid cells reduces intestinal regeneration after irradiation injury.

BACKGROUND & AIMS: The intestinal epithelium functions both in nutrient absorption and as a barrier, separating the luminal contents from a network of vascular, fibroblastic, and immune cells underneath. After injury to the intestine, multiple cell populations cooperate to drive regeneration of the mucosal barrier, including lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs). A population of granulocytic immature myeloid cells (IMCs), marked by Hdc, participate in regeneration of multiple organs such as the colon and central nervous system, and their contribution to intestinal regeneration was investigated.

METHODS: By using male and female histidine decarboxylase (Hdc) green fluorescent reporter (GFP) mice, we investigated the role of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs in intestinal regeneration after exposure to 12 Gy whole-body irradiation. The movement of IMCs was analyzed using flow cytometry and immunostaining. Ablation of Hdc^+ cells using the Hdc^{CreERT2} tamoxifen-inducible recombinase Cre system, conditional knockout of Prostaglandin-endoperoxidase synthase 2 (Ptgs2) in Hdc⁺ cells using Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2 floxed mice, and visualization of LECs using Prox1^{tdTomato} mice also was performed. The role of microbial signals was investigated by knocking down mice gut microbiomes using antibiotic cocktail gavages.

RESULTS: We found that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs infiltrate the injured intestine after irradiation injury and promote epithelial regeneration in part by modulating LEC activity. Hdc⁺ IMCs express *Ptgs2* (encoding cyclooxygenase-2/COX-2), and enables them to produce prostaglandin E_2 . Prostaglandin E_2 acts on the prostaglandin E_2 receptor 4 receptor (EP4) on LECs to promote lymphangiogenesis and induce the expression of proregenerative factors including R-spondin 3. Depletion of gut microbes leads to reduced intestinal regeneration by impaired recruitment of IMCs.

CONCLUSIONS: Altogether, our results unveil a critical role for IMCs in intestinal repair by modulating LEC activity and implicate gut microbes as mediators of intestinal regeneration. (Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2024;17:321–346; [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2023.10.007) doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2023.10.007)

Keywords: Myeloid; Endothelial Cell; PGE2; RSPO3; Microbiome.

E pithelial tissues such as the skin or intestine un-
dergo constant stress and injury and must contin-
relative more property function uously regenerate to maintain proper barrier function. Compromise of the intestinal barrier leads to penetration of numerous microbial products from commensal bacteria, such as the endotoxin class lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which affects tissues locally and systemically. LPS exposure generally is considered harmful, so regeneration of the epithelium is tightly regulated to limit endotoxin exposure and maintain proper healing after injury without excessive growth.^{[1](#page-23-0)} In the intestine, epithelial regeneration relies on complex crosstalk between intestinal stem cells (ISCs), and surrounding niche cells, including endothelial cells, fibro-blasts, and immune cells.^{[2](#page-23-1)-[4](#page-23-1)} These niche cells produce several signals, including R-spondins, wingless-related integration site factors (Wnts), and epidermal growth factor (EGF) family ligands, that are essential for ISC maintenance and epithelial regeneration. $5-8$ $5-8$ $5-8$

One population of niche cells, lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), have been shown to be an essential source of Rspondin 3 (RSPO3) in the intestine. $9,10$ $9,10$ RSPO3 is the major ligand for the leucine rich repeat containing G protein coupled receptors 4 and 5 (LGR4/5)4/5 receptors on ISCs and progenitor cells and is necessary for proper regeneration after injury. 11 LECs exist in a network in the intestine that consists of a central lacteal located in the center of the villus, which connects to precollectors in the submucosa. 12 Precollector LECs surround the crypt base and associate with ISCs to regulate their activity. 10 This LEC population expands in the intestine after whole-body irradiation (WB-IR), and conditional knockout of the Rspo3 gene in LECs hinders intestinal regeneration, highlighting the importance of LECderived RSPO3 in regenerating the intestine. $13,14$ $13,14$ Lymphatics also expand in the bone after WB-IR and participate in bone regeneration.^{[15](#page-23-9)} Although the expansion of LECs and increased RSPO3 production are necessary for intestinal regeneration, it is unclear how LECs are activated and RSPO3 secretion is induced in response to intestinal injury. In addition to secreting niche factors, LECs are important mediators of inflammatory signaling by regulating the trafficking of immune cells from lymphoid organs to inflamed tissues. LECs are known to secrete several chemokines that modulate lymphocyte and dendritic cell migration during inflammation, such as CC motif chemokine ligand 21 (CCL21), CCL27, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12), and CXCL14. $16-19$ $16-19$ Although the role of LECs in immune regulation has been well studied in adaptive immunity, 20 how LECs regulate innate immune cells is less understood.

Myeloid cells, a type of innate immune cells, have been shown to play essential roles in intestinal homeostasis and regeneration.^{[21](#page-23-12)–[23](#page-23-12)} During injury, lymphocyte antigen 6 family member C1 positive $(Ly6C⁺)$ monocytes accumulate rapidly in the intestine and integrate signals coming from gut microbes to the injured epithelium through Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling.^{[24,](#page-23-13)[25](#page-23-14)} Infiltrating monocytes are a vital source of Wnts that promote survival of $Lgr5^+$ cells during injury and epithelial repair.^{[3](#page-23-15)} Myeloid-derived Wnt signaling also plays an important role in regulating LEC proliferation in the dermal epithelium.^{[26](#page-23-16)} Myeloid cells also can regulate lymphatic cell angiogenesis through the production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A and VEGF-C.^{[27](#page-23-17)[,28](#page-23-18)} In addition to Wnt and Vegf signaling, myeloid cells in the intestine also express Ptgs2 (the gene encoding the cyclooxygenase-2 [COX-2] enzyme), which catalyzes the rate-limiting step in producing prostaglandin E_2 (PGE2).^{29[,30](#page-23-20)} PGE2 is a bioactive lipid that mediates inflammatory signals through several different types of receptors, including the Etype prostanoid receptors $(EP).³¹$ $(EP).³¹$ $(EP).³¹$ PGE2 has been known to protect mice against IR injury–induced death since the $1980s^{32}$ $1980s^{32}$ $1980s^{32}$ Specifically, pretreating mice with PGE2 protects epithelial cells from IR-induced apoptosis by inhibiting Bcl-2-associated X protein (Bax) signaling. 33 Moreover, PGE2 also is able to promote intestinal epithelium repair by expanding the pool of regenerative progenitor cells through prostaglandin E_2 receptor 4 (EP4)-receptor signaling.^{[29,](#page-23-19)[34](#page-24-1)[,35](#page-24-2)} Notably, in breast tissue, PGE2 is a known activator of LEC lymphangiogenesis through the EP4 receptor,^{[36](#page-24-3)} but its role in regulating intestinal LECs is unknown.

A certain subset of immature myeloid cells (IMCs) expresses histidine decarboxylase (encoded by the gene *Hdc*).^{[37](#page-24-4),[38](#page-24-5)} Histidine decarboxylase (Hdc)⁺ IMCs are primarily granulocytic, marked by $CD11b^+$ Ly6G⁺, with a smaller subset being monocytic and Ly6C⁺. During homeostasis, these cells comprise $\geq 80\%$ of IMCs in the bone marrow and rarely are found in the circulation or peripheral tissues.^{[39](#page-24-6)} In addition to maintaining the bone marrow hematopoietic stem cell niche through histamine production, this IMC population is mobilized rapidly from the bone marrow to

Abbreviations used in this paper: BrdU, bromodeoxyuridine; cDNA, complementary DNA; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; CreERT2, Cre recombinase - estrogen receptor T2; CXCL, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand; dmPGE2, 16,16 dimethylprostaglandin E₂; DTA, diptheria toxin subunit A; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EP4, prostaglandin E₂ receptor 4; EP4i, prostaglandin E_2 receptor 4 inhibitor; GFP, green fluorescent protein; HBSS, Hank's balanced salt solution; Hdc, histidine decarboxylase; HDLEC, human dermal lymphatic endothelial cell; IMC, immature myeloid cell; ISC, intestinal stem cell; LEC, lymphatic endothelial cell; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; LYVE1, lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1; Ly6C⁺, lymphocyte antigen 6 family
member C1; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; Ptgs2, prostaglandin-endoperoxidase synthase 2; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; RSPO3, R-spondin 3; TLR, Toll-like receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; WB-IR, whole-body irradiation; Wnt, winglessrelated integration site.

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the AGA Institute. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

2352-345X <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2023.10.007>

^{*}Authors share co-first authorship.

Most current article

damaged tissues in response to inflammatory conditions such as acute colitis, cancer, or LPS endotoxemia. $37,39,40$ $37,39,40$ $37,39,40$ $37,39,40$

Here, we studied the role of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs in intestinal regeneration after IR injury. We found that after damage to the intestinal barrier, LECs are exposed to microbial-derived signals, which trigger their up-regulation of the chemokine Cxcl1. This in turn recruits Hdc^+ IMCs near intestinal LECs in a CXCR2-dependent manner. Within the intestine, Hdc^+ IMCs support mucosal regeneration by secreting PGE2, which activates the LECs through EP4 and promotes their up-regulation of proregenerative factors including Rspo3, Ccl21a, and Wnt2a. Using transgenic mouse models, we show that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are essential for mediating the response to microbial signals and orchestrating LECs' role in intestinal regeneration.

Results

$Hdc⁺$ IMCs Are Recruited to the Damaged Intestine After Irradiation Injury

We previously have shown that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are mobilized from the bone marrow to the colon during dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis and promote regeneration.^{[39](#page-24-6)} To test if $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are involved in intestinal regeneration, we used a model of 12-Gy WB-IR, which induces acute damage to the intestinal epithelial stem and progenitor zone cells. 41 To track the movement of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs, we took advantage of our previously generated Hdc-green fluorescent protein reporter (Hdc^{GFP}) mice, which fluorescently label the majority of $CD11b^{+}$ Ly6G⁺ granulocytic IMCs.^{[38](#page-24-5)} Immunofluorescent visualization of the jejunum, and flow cytometry analyses of the jejunum and ileum, revealed that Hdc^{GFP+} cells are virtually absent from the small intestine of unirradiated mice, but infiltrate the tissue 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR exposure [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)A–C). Within 10 days after IR, when regeneration is mostly finished, 42 Hdc^{GFP+} cells were absent in the intestine. Importantly, immunophenotypic analysis of Hdc^{GFP+} cells in the intestine after irradiation confirmed that these cells are $CD45⁺$ immune cells, and further analysis showed that approximately 95% are CD11b⁺ Ly6G⁺ granulocytic IMCs, with the remaining 5% consisting of $CD11b^+$ Ly6C+ monocytic IMCs ([Figure 1](#page-3-0)D). Hdc also is expressed by other cell types such as basophils and mast cells, 43 however, we found that 0% of Hdc^{GFP+} cells in the intestine after irradiation were mast cells or basophils [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)D). This finding aligns with our previously published data that mast cells and basophils are not marked in our HdcGFP mice, likely because of their expression of Hdc being lower than IMCs.³

$Hdc⁺$ IMCs Are Indispensable for Intestinal Regeneration After IR

Because the gut-homing kinetics of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs after WB-IR aligns with the temporal dynamics of intestinal regeneration, we hypothesized that these IMCs may be important for intestinal regeneration after IR. To test this hypothesis, we selectively ablated Hdc^+ cells in vivo using a tamoxifen-inducible diptheria toxin A mouse model (HdcCreERT2; R26^{DTA}).³⁷ After Cre induction via a tamoxifen-containing diet, HdcCreERT2; $R26^{DTA}$ mice showed an up to 10-fold reduction in IMCs infiltrating the intestine 3 days post-IR, relative to wild-type controls (Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{WT}), confirming the cell depletion in this model [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)A-C). We found no difference in the number of mast cells or basophils in the intestines of $Hdc^{CreERT2}$: $R26^{DTA}$ after irradiation compared with controls (Figure $2D-E$). Ablation of Hdc^+ cells in the setting of IR reduced intestinal regeneration, as evidenced by reduced villus length, epithelial cell proliferation, and fewer regenerating crypts in the jejunum of $Hdc^{CreERT2}$; R26^{DTA} mice relative to controls [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)F-I). This impairment of intestinal regeneration was associated with decreased survival [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)). To confirm the role of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs in intestinal regeneration, we performed adoptive transfer of $CD11b^+$; Hdc^{GFP+} or Hdc^{GFP-} bone marrow cells from nonirradiated Hdc^{GFP} mice into irradiated Hdc^{CreERT}; R26^{DTA} mice. Mice receiving Hdc^{GFP+} cells had significantly more IMCs accumulated in the intestine 6 days after IR and adoptive transfer, confirming that the transferred cells can home to the intestine (Figure $2K-L$). Transfer of Hdc^{GFP+}, but not Hdc^{GFP-}, cells increased intestinal regeneration, suggesting that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs contribute to intestinal regeneration more than their Hdc⁻ counterparts (Figure $2M-O$). Together, these results suggest that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are indispensable for intestinal regeneration after IR injury.

Hdc ⁺ IMCs Promote Epithelial Regeneration via Production of PGE2

Myeloid cells in the intestine are known to be sources of $PGE2,^{29,44}$ $PGE2,^{29,44}$ $PGE2,^{29,44}$ $PGE2,^{29,44}$ $PGE2,^{29,44}$ which has been shown to promote intestinal epithelial regeneration. $34,35$ $34,35$ We previously reported that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs express *Ptgs2*, encoding COX-2, a key enzyme responsible for PGE2 production. 40 Interestingly, we found that intestinally recruited $Hdc⁺$ IMCs in irradiated mice significantly up-regulate Ptgs2 expression compared with those found in the bone marrow of healthy mice ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)A). However, $Hdc⁺$ IMCs sorted from the bone marrow of irradiated mice do not up-regulate Ptgs2, suggesting that there may be specific signals in the intestine that promote this upregulation. Sorted $Hdc⁺$ IMCs from the intestine of irradiated mice express Ptgs2 significantly more than their Hdc coun-terparts, as previously reported ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)B).^{[40](#page-24-7)} Finally, we performed immunostaining for COX-2 in the intestines of irradiated Hdc^{GFP} mice and found that a subset of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs that infiltrate the intestine are positive for COX-2 (Figure $3*C*$). Together, these data suggests that recruited $Hdc⁺$ IMCs supply PGE2 to the regenerating intestine.

To study the role of Hdc^+ IMC-derived PGE2, we selectively abrogated Prostaglandin-endoperoxidase synthase 2 (Ptgs2) expression in Hdc⁺ cells using Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice.^{[45](#page-24-12)} After WB-IR exposure, overall *Ptgs2* expression increases within whole-jejunum tissue, but ablation of Hdc^+ cells or conditional knockout of Ptgs2 in $Hdc⁺$ cells significantly reduced this increase, indicating that infiltrating Hdc^+ IMCs are a major source of Ptgs2 expression in the regener-ating intestine ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)D). Similarly, ablation of Hdc⁺ cells or conditional knockout of *Ptgs2* in $Hdc⁺$ cells significantly lowered the amount of $COX-2^+$ area in the jejunum via immunostaining (Figure $3E$ and F). These data suggests that during regeneration, infiltrating IMCs are a major source of

infiltrate the intestine after IR. (A) Representative $100 \times$ images of H&E staining (top) and immunofluorescence (bottom) from the proximal jejunum
of Hdc^{GFP} mice that were unirradiated, or mice 3 and 10 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. (B) Bar plot showing quantification of $GFP+$ cells of images in panel A. $n = 3$. (C) Bar plot showing quantification of flow cytometric analysis of Hdc^{GFP+} cells isolated from the jejunum and ileum of unirradiated Hdc^{GFP} mice or mice 3 and 10 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 3$. (D) Representative FACS plots of 4',6-diamidino-2phenylindole (DAPI)⁻ cells isolated from the jejunum
and ileum of Hdc^{GFP} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. (B and C) Statistical analysis was performed using an ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. $*P < .005$, *** $P < .0005$. Baso, basophil; FSC-A, forward scatter area; G-IMC, granulocytic IMC; HPF, high-power field; M-IMC, monocytic IMC.

COX-2. In line with this, after 12-Gy WB-IR challenge, Hdc^{Cre} ; Ptgs2 f^f mice showed significantly fewer proliferating bromodeoxyuridine-positive $(BrdU^+)$ cells per crypt, reduced villus length, and fewer regenerating crypts in the jejunum compared with Hdc^{Cre} controls, mirroring what we observed in the setting of Hdc^+ cell ablation [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)A–E). Together, these results suggest that the regenerative capabilities of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs can be attributed, at least in part, to their expression of Ptgs2 and secretion of PGE2.

PGE2 Promotes Epithelial Cell Expansion via the EP4 Receptor

PGE2 has been reported previously to promote intestinal regeneration by acting directly on epithelial cells through

the EP4 receptor. $34,35$ $34,35$ We confirmed these findings by treating intestinal organoids with the stable PGE2 analog 16,16 dimethylprostaglandin E_2 (dmPGE2) in vitro. Organoids treated with dmPGE2 showed increased diameter but not number, suggesting PGE2 may act as a growth factor for epithelial cells, consistent with previous literature.^{[29,](#page-23-19)[35](#page-24-2)} The effects of dmPGE2 on organoids was reversed by adding a selective inhibitor for the prostaglandin receptor EP4 (EP4i) ([Figure 4](#page-7-0)F–H).

Given the importance of IMC-derived PGE2 in intestinal epithelial regeneration after IR, we tested the effects of dmPGE2 in vivo on mice challenged with 12-Gy WB-IR. To distinguish from the reported function of dmPGE2 in protecting epithelial cells against IR-induced apoptosis, we

began treatment 2 days after IR, when apoptosis of epithelial cells already has occurred. $33,41$ $33,41$ Five days after irradiation, dmPGE2-treated mice had increased BrdU⁺ cells per crypt, villus length, and regenerating crypts compared with vehicle-treated mice, but these effects could be abrogated by pretreatment with EP4i ([Figure 5](#page-9-0)A–E). Intriguingly, unirradiated mice that received dmPGE2 also showed an increased number of $BrdU^+$ cells per crypt, but no difference in villus length or regenerating crypt number, suggesting that the promitogenic activity of PGE2 is independent of tissue damage [\(Figure 5](#page-9-0)F–I). Altogether, these data indicate that IMC-derived PGE2 promotes intestinal regeneration, at least in part, by regulating epithelial proliferation through EP4 signaling.

$Hdc⁺$ IMCs Activate LECs via a PGE2/EP4 Axis

Intestinal LECs are resistant to IR-induced apoptosis and expand during regeneration, $13,46$ $13,46$ where they serve as an essential source of proregenerative niche factors such as RSPO3, Wnt2a, and Ccl21a. $9,10,14$ $9,10,14$ $9,10,14$ $9,10,14$ $9,10,14$ Given that LEC expansion is most pronounced 3 days after IR, coinciding with the timing of intestinal infiltration of IMCs, we sought to investigate if there could be crosstalk between these cell types. To simultaneously track these cell populations, we crossed our Hdc^{GFP} mice with the $Prox1^{tdTomato}$ allele, which labels LECs. 47 Three days after exposing these mice to 12- Gy WB-IR, $Hdc⁺$ IMCs were found to localize within 20 μ m of LECs in the jejunum, suggesting these cells may interact during intestinal regeneration [\(Figure 6](#page-10-0)A and B).

A population of RSPO3-secreting LECs in the intestine can be marked as $CD31^+$ CD[9](#page-23-3)0.2^{+ 9} As previously published, this population of LECs expands 3 days after irradiation (Figure $6C$). We further confirmed this population to be LECs by sorting them from the jejunum and ileum of healthy mice using fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS), and then analyzing their expression of Lyve1, Pdpn, Prox1, and Vegfr3, which distinguishes them from sorted blood endothelial cells $(CD31⁺ CD90.2⁻)$ ([Figure 6](#page-10-0)D).^{[48](#page-24-15)} Ablation of Hdc^+ cells during WB-IR in $Hdc^{CreERT2}$; R26^{DTA} mice diminished LEC expansion in the jejunum and ileum compared with controls (Figure $6E$). Adoptive transfer of Hdc^{GFP+} IMCs (but not Hdc^{GFP-} IMCs) partially rescued this reduction, suggesting that Hdc^+ IMCs can regulate the expansion of LECs after irradiation (Figure $6F$). Because our data indicate that Hdc^+ IMC-derived PGE2 is important for regeneration, we hypothesized that the reduction of LECs in the absence of IMCs may be owing to the lack of IMCderived PGE2. In support of this hypothesis, PGE2 previously was described to induce lymphangiogenesis of LECs in vitro through the EP4 receptor.^{[36](#page-24-3)} We also found that intestinal LECs isolated via FACS primarily express Ptger4, the gene encoding the EP4 receptor (Figure 66).

To determine if $Hdc⁺$ IMC-derived PGE2 is important for LEC activity during regeneration, we returned to the Hdc^{Cre} ; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice. After WB-IR, Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice had diminished lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1 (LYVE1+) area near the intestinal crypts in the jejunum compared with Hdc^{Cre} controls via immunostaining, and a smaller percentage of LECs via FACS analysis ([Figure 7](#page-12-0)A–C). FACS-isolated LECs from the jejunum and ileum of Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice after WB-IR also had reduced expression of the activation marker Icam1, and the proregenerative genes Rspo3, Ccl21a, and Wnt2a compared with controls ([Figure 7](#page-12-0)D). Together, these data indicate that $Hdc⁺$ IMCderived PGE2 is important for activating LECs after IR injury.

Given the importance of Hdc^+ IMC-derived PGE2 on LECs during intestinal regeneration, we then asked if the observed increase in intestinal regeneration in irradiated mice treated with dmPGE2 could be owing to increased LEC activity. Mice that received dmPGE2 after 12-Gy WB-IR had an increased LEC percentage as well as lymphatic expansion near the small intestine crypt bases in the jejunum compared with controls, as shown by LYVE1 immunostaining and FACS analysis, and this effect was blocked by EP4i pretreatment [\(Figure 7](#page-12-0)E–G). FACS-isolated intestinal LECs from mice treated with dmPGE2 showed a higher gene expression of activation and proregenerative factors ([Figure 7](#page-12-0)H).

Figure 2. (See previous page). Hdc⁺ IMCs are indispensable for intestinal regeneration. (A) Experimental scheme of $Hdc + cell$ ablation experiment. (B) Representative FACS plot of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), CD45⁺, cells isolated
from the jejunum and ileum of Hdc^{CreERT2} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. (C) Bar plot showing quan 4, or 5. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of mast cells out of live cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel A. $n = 3$, 4, or 5. (E) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of basophils of live cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel A. $n = 3, 4$, or 5. (F) Representative 100 \times images of H&E (top) and BrdU (bottom) staining from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel A. (G) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length from panel \bar{F} . n = 3, 4, or 5. (H) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from panel F . n = 3, 4, or 5. (i) Bar plot showing quantification of the average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm from panel F. n = 3, 4, or 5. (J)
Survival curve of mice from panel D. Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} no IR median, >10 days. Hdc^{CreERT2} $Hdc^{CreERT2}$; R26^{DTA} with IR median, 5.75 days. n = 5 (Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} no IR) or 10 (Hdc^{CreERT2} with IR and Hdc^{CreERT2};
Rec^{DTA} in IR) (40 F R26^{DTA} with IR). (K) Experimental scheme of adoptive transfer study. (L) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of Hdc^{GFP+} cells out of live (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]-) cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel K. n = 3. (M) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length of mice from panel K. n = 3. (N) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining of mice from panel K. $n = 3$. (O) Bar plot showing quantification of the average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm of mice from panel K. n = 3. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using an (C–E, G–I, and L–O) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group, or a (J) Kaplan–Meier simple survival analysis. $*P < .05$, $*P < .005$, $**P < .0005$, $***P < .00005$. A.T., adoptive transfer; TAM, tamoxifen.

Figure 3. Hdc⁺ IMCs are a major source of intestinal COX-2 during regeneration. (A) Real time qPCR analysis of sorted Hdc⁺ IMCs from the bone marrow (BM) of unirradiated mice (No IR), or the BM, or jejunum and ileum of mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR (IR). $n = 3$. (B) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted CD45⁺ Hdc⁻ or CD45⁺ Hdc⁺ cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 3$. (C) Representative $100 \times$ image of the proximal jejunum of unirradiated Hdc^{GFP} mice, or Hdc^{GFP} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. (D) Real-time qPCR for Ptgs2 from whole jejunum tissue of wild-type (WT), Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA}, or Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice either with no irradiation or 3 days after receiving 12-Gy WB-IR. n = 3. (E) Representative 100 x images of COX-2 staining from the proximal jejunum of mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. (F) Bar plot showing quantification of the average COX-2–positive area per 100 x field from images in panel E. n = 3. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using an (A, D) , and F) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group, or a (B) 2-sided Student t test. *P $<$.05, ** P $<$.005, *** P $<$.0005, **** P $<$.00005. DAPI, 4′,6diamidino-2-phenylindole.

Figure 4. PGE2 from Hdc ⁺ IMCs promotes intestinal regeneration. (A) Experimental scheme of conditional Ptgs2 knockout irradiation experiment. (B) Representative $100 \times$ images of H&E staining (top) and BrdU immunostaining (bottom) from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel A. (C) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length from panel B. $n = 4$. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from panel B. $n = 4$. (E) Bar plot showing
quantification of the quantification average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm from panel B. n = 3. (F) Representative 200×1 images of intestinal organoids in culture treated with various concentrations of dmPGE2 with or without EP4i. (G) Bar plot showing quantification of the average sphere number in panel F. $n = 3$. (H) Bar plot showing quantification of the average sphere size in panel $F. n = 3$. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using a $(C, D, \text{ and } E)$ 2sided Student t test or an (G and H) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. $*P < .05$, $*P < .005$. Ctrl. .005, $*^{*}P < .0005$. Ctrl, control. DAPI, 4^{\prime} ,6diamidino-2-phenylindole.

To further investigate the direct effects of PGE2 on LECs, we used human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells (HDLECs), an in vitro model of LECs. 49 We performed bulk RNA sequencing on HDLECs treated with dmPGE2 or vehicle, and found that analysis of the pathways that are upregulated by dmPGE2 treatment revealed genes involved in endothelial cell adhesion, wound healing, and angiogenesis

([Figure 8](#page-13-0)A). To confirm if PGE2 can induce lymphangiogenesis of HDLECs, we performed an in vitro endothelial cell bead sprouting assay. 50 HDLECs sprouted when treated with dmPGE2, but this was inhibited by EP4i treatment ([Figure 8](#page-13-0)B and C). In addition, dmPGE2-treated HDLECs had a higher expression of activation and regenerative factors, and RSPO3 secretion, compared with cells treated with a

vehicle, but this effect could be diminished by co-treating the cells with EP4i (Figure $8D$ and E). These results indicate that PGE2 promotes LEC activation, sprouting, and expression of proregenerative factors through the EP4 receptor in vitro.

Taken together, these data indicate that PGE2 from infiltrating $Hdc⁺$ IMCs, besides acting directly upon the epithelium, also exerts effects on LECs via the EP4 receptor to promote activation, lymphangiogenesis, and expression of proregenerative factors.

$Hdc⁺$ IMCs Are Recruited to the Damaged Intestine via CXCR2 and CXCR4 Signaling

To better understand the connection between intestinal damage, Hdc⁺ IMCs, and LECs, we then investigated how Hdc⁺ IMCs initially are recruited to the damaged tissue. To this aim, we performed the RT^2 Profiler polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Qiagen) array for chemokine receptors on Hdc^{GFP+} cells isolated from the jejunum and ileum of Hdc^{GFP} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. Results showed that these cells express high levels of the chemokine-receptor genes Cxcr2 and Cxcr4, consistent with previous literature on granulocytic IMCs [\(Figure 9](#page-14-0)A).⁵¹ LECs are known to secrete CXCL1 and CXCL12, ligands for CXCR2 and CXCR4, respectively, thus these chemokine receptors likely play a role in the recruitment of IMCs near intestinal LECs. $19,52$ $19,52$ To determine if CXCR2 and CXCR4 signaling are important for Hdc^+ IMC recruitment to the damaged intestine, we treated Hdc^{GFP} mice with a CXCR2 or CXCR4 inhibitor before and after exposure to IR [\(Figure 9](#page-14-0)B). Inhibition of CXCR2 or CXCR4 was sufficient to significantly reduce the number of $Hdc⁺$ cells infiltrating the intestine via histologic and FACS analysis of $GFP⁺$ cells, suggesting that these receptors are important for trafficking IMCs to the regenerating intestine [\(Figure 9](#page-14-0)C–E). In addition, we found that mice treated with a CXCR2 or CXCR4 inhibitor had reduced expansion of LECs in the jejunum and ileum 3 days after IR compared with controls, as measured by LYVE1 staining and flow cytometry (Figure $9C$, F, and G). Inhibitortreated mice also showed reduced regeneration in the jejunum 5 days after IR, as shown by reduced villus length, a lower number of BrdU^{$+$} cells per crypt, and fewer regenerating crypts in the jejunum compared with controls [\(Figure 9](#page-14-0)H–K).

The Microbiome Modulates $Hdc⁺$ IMC Recruitment and LEC Expansion

Next, we asked how CXCR2 and CXCR4 signaling could be initiated during intestinal injury. During intestinal barrier disruption, commensal microbes that reside in the lumen breach the barrier and contact the epithelium and underlying mesenchyme, initiating a variety of different responses from the niche. $53,54$ $53,54$ $53,54$ LPS is a major component of the cell walls of gram-negative bacteria, and mediate intestinal inflammatory responses.⁵⁵ Previous studies have reported that LECs express the LPS receptor TLR4,^{[56](#page-24-23)} and exposing lymph node LECs to LPS causes them to secrete CXCL1. 52 We validated these findings in vitro by exposing HDLECs to LPS and found that these cells significantly up-regulate CXCL1 and CXCL8 (encoding interleukin 8, the humanspecific CXCR2 ligand) compared to vehicle-treated controls [\(Figure 10](#page-16-0)A). In addition, LPS-stimulated HDLECs actively promoted migration of sorted $Hdc⁺$ IMCs in a coculture migration assay, but this migration could be abrogated using a CXCR2 inhibitor or the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2) antagonist U0126, which has been shown to inhibit CXCR2 signaling ([Figure 10](#page-16-0)B and C).⁵⁷ We therefore hypothesized that LPS exposure from barrier disruption could serve as a trigger for $Hdc⁺$ IMC recruitment via LECs into the intestine through a CXCL1/CXCR2 axis. In support of this, we found that FACSsorted intestinal LECs up-regulate their expression of Cxcl1 compared with controls 3 days after WB-IR ([Figure 10](#page-16-0)D).

To investigate if microbial signals can induce recruitment of IMCs in vivo, we used an endotoxemia model in which LPS was injected into unirradiated Hdc^{GFP} mice, which has been shown to mimic the entrance of microbes into the circulation during intestinal barrier disruption (Figure $10E$).^{[58](#page-24-25)} After 3 days of LPS exposure, LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice significantly up-regulated Cxcl1 compared with controls, and this was associated with more $Hdc⁺$ IMCs infiltrating the jejunum mucosa [\(Figure 10](#page-16-0)F–I). These data suggest that LPS signaling can act upon intestinal LECs to trigger recruitment of Hdc^+ IMCs, and this recruitment can occur independently of barrier damage.

Next, to test if gut microbes are necessary for recruiting IMCs after WB-IR, we gavaged Hdc^{GFP} mice twice daily with an antibiotic cocktail to deplete the intestinal microbiome, as previously reported. 59 Four days of antibiotic cocktail treatment significantly reduced the number of fecal bacteria compared with controls [\(Figure 11](#page-17-0)A). Three days after exposure to WB-IR ([Figure 11](#page-17-0)B), antibiotic-treated mice had significantly fewer Hdc^{GFP+} cells infiltrating the jejunum and ileum (Figure $11C-E$). Addition of LPS to the water of the antibiotic-treated mice after IR rescued the recruitment of Hdc^{GFP+} cells into the intestine, highlighting the importance of microbial signals in this recruitment pathway. FACSsorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of antibiotictreated mice showed reduced expression of Cxcl1, which was rescued in mice supplied with LPS water (Figure $11F$). Antibiotic-treated mice also had reduced expansion of LECs in the jejunum 3 days after IR, as measured by LYVE1 staining and flow cytometry, but this was rescued by LPS water [\(Figure 11](#page-17-0)G and H). Five days after WB-IR [\(Figure 12](#page-18-0)A), mice treated with antibiotics had significantly reduced villus length, number of BrdU⁺ cells per crypt, and regenerating crypts in the jejunum compared with controls, but these were all at least partially rescued by the addition of LPS to their drinking water (Figure $12B-E$). These results suggest that signals coming from gut microbes activate LECs to promote IMC recruitment and initiate intestinal repair after epithelial barrier disruption. Together, these data suggest that microbial signals are a crucial trigger for LEC expression of Cxcl1 and consequent recruitment of IMCs.

Discussion

The mammalian intestine has co-evolved with the gut microbiome and has developed mechanisms of interactions

Figure 5. PGE2 promotes intestinal epithelial regeneration. (A) Experimental scheme of dmPGE2 treatment after irradiation experiment. (B) Representative $100 \times$ images of H&E staining (top) and BrdU immunostaining (bottom) from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel A. (C) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length from panel B. $n = 4$. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from panel B. $n = 4$. (E) Bar plot showing quantification of the average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm from panel B. $n = 4$. (F) Experimental scheme of dmPGE2 treatment experiment on healthy mice. (G) Representative 100 \times images of H&E (top) and BrdU (bottom) staining from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel F. (H) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length of images in panel G. $n = 3$. (I) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from panel G. n = 3. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using an $(C, D, \text{ and } E)$ ordinary 1-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons with each group or a $(U \text{ and } K)$ 2-sided Student t test. $*P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005$. IP, intraperitoneal injection of vehicle, dmPGE2, or dmPGE2 with EP4i pretreatment. DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.

with these microbes to modulate host metabolism, immunity, and physiology. Breaches of the intestinal barrier cause disruptions to the balance of normal microbial interactions,

requiring a response from the host to restore homeostasis. Lymphatic endothelial cells have been shown to be central to the intestinal regeneration program because they expand

during injury and are a major source of RSPO3 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 9,10,13,14 Here, we have shown a crucial role for enteric microbes and immature myeloid cells in regulating intestinal regeneration, in part through modulating LEC activity.

Understanding the mechanism of mucosal repair is crucial for improving therapy for tissue injury. Until now, the involvement of IMCs as a regenerative cell type had been understudied. We report that $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are rapidly recruited to the IR-injured intestine, where they are necessary for proper regeneration. Moreover, we found that the proregenerative effects of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs can be attributed, at least in part, to their secretion of PGE2. In line with previous reports, we found that IMC-derived PGE2 exerts direct mitogenic effects on intestinal epithelial cells. $34,35$ $34,35$ Furthermore, we found that PGE2 can act directly on LECs via the EP4 receptor to stimulate lymphangiogenesis and upregulate the expression of proregenerative genes, such as Rspo3, Ccl21a, and Wnt2a.

Intriguingly, we found that the recruitment of $Hdc⁺$ IMCs to the intestine is initiated by exposure of intestinal lymphatics to invading microbial signals. Specifically, LPS triggers LECs to up-regulate Cxcl1, the ligand for CXCR2, which is highly expressed on Hdc^+ IMCs. Treatment of healthy mice with LPS can enforce this recruitment pathway; conversely, clearance of the intestinal microbiome abrogated Hdc ⁺ IMC recruitment after IR injury, confirming the key role of microbes in triggering IMC migration. These findings suggest that loss of barrier integrity and bacterial infiltration per se are not solely consequences of epithelial damage, but constitute a pathway that initiates intestinal regeneration. Although severe injury and bacterial infiltration may lead to sepsis, moderate levels of microbial exposure appear to promote regenerative signals needed to restore intestinal health and homeostasis. Indeed, the necessity of TLR4 signaling in maintaining intestinal epithelial homeostasis has been described previously, although not in the context of regeneration. 60 Thus, at least in the intestine, microbial signals serve as physiologic signals that can initiate mucosal repair.

Limitations of the Study

In our work, we propose that microbial signals drive a proregenerative axis between intestinal LECs and IMCs

after acute whole-body irradiation. It has been reported previously that after exposure to radiation, the bone marrow is suppressed and may fail at higher doses. 61 Given that IMCs originate from the bone marrow and have a crucial role in regulating hematopoiesis, 37 it is possible that some of the effects we observed may be the result of alterations to the bone marrow after IR, especially at the high dose of 12 Gy we used in this study. Thus, the WB-IR model may not fully reflect the circumstances of other types of intestinal injury, and more work should be performed to observe the role of IMCs in other models, such as abdominal irradiation with bone marrow shielding.

In addition, Hdc is expressed in other cell types in the body, such as mast cells, enterochromaffin-like cells in the gastric mucosa, and histaminergic neurons in the brain.^{[43](#page-24-10)[,62](#page-24-29),[63](#page-24-30)} Thus, our *Hdc*-driven ablation and conditional knockout models may have produced off-target effects by depleting these cell populations or knocking out their expression of Ptgs2. Further studies will need to better parse out the impact on depleting other Hdc-expressing cells on intestinal biology.

Another limitation to this study is the use of LPS to simulate the breach of enteric microbes during intestinal barrier disruption. Although this is an established model that has been widely used to study endotoxemia,^{[58](#page-24-25)} LPS does not fully recapitulate the diverse signals delivered to the body by invading microbes. It is possible that other bacterial toxins and metabolites are important for signaling the intestinal mesenchyme during barrier disruption, so further studies investigating the crosstalk between microbes and other niche cell populations should be performed to better understand this pathway.

Finally, this study did not investigate the contribution of PGE2 by other cell populations on intestinal lymphatics. Although our data suggest that infiltrating $Hdc⁺$ IMCs are a major source of Ptgs2 expression and COX-2 signal in the injured intestine, other mesenchymal cell types also express Ptgs2, such as tuft cells, 64 pericryptal fibroblasts, $34,35$ $34,35$ $34,35$ and macrophages.^{[29](#page-23-19)} The contribution of PGE2 from these cells also may signal to lymphatics and promote regeneration, so more experiments investigating the role of PGE2 from each cell population may provide more

Figure 6. (See previous page). Hdc⁺ IMCs promote the expansion of LECs after injury. (A) Representative 200× images of
Hdc^{GFP} and Prox1^{tdTomato} visualization from the proximal jejunum of Hdc^{GFP}; Prox1^{tdTomato} mic 12-Gy WB-IR. (B) Bar plot showing the percentage of Hdc^{GFP} cells in proximity to Prox1^{tdTomato} cells in Hdc^{GFP}; Prox1^{tdTomato} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 3$. (C) Representative FACS plots showing gating strategy for blood endothelial cells (BECs) and LEC isolation from unirradiated mice or mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. (D) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted BECs and LECs from the jejunum and ileum of unirradiated mice for LEC-related genes. $n = 4$. (E) Quantification of the percentage of CD90.2+ LECs out of all 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)⁻ CD45⁻ EpCAM⁻ cells from the jejunum and ileum
CLU STERT2, Rec^{DTA} of Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} or Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{WT} mice with no irradiation (No IR), and Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{WT} or Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR obtained via FACS. [Figure 2](#page-4-0)A shows the experimental scheme. n = 3. (F) Bar plot showing
quantification of the percentage of LECs from the jejunum and ileum of Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} mice after received adoptive transfer of Hdc^{GFP-} bone marrow cells (GFP⁻ adoptive transfer [A.T.]) or Hdc^{GFP+} bone marrow cells (GFP⁺ A.T.) from healthy Hdc^{GFP} mice twice after irradiation, obtained via FACS. [Figure 2](#page-4-0)K shows the experimental scheme. $n = 3$. (G) Real-time qPCR analysis of Ptger genes of sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of unirradiated mice. $n = 3$. Scale bars: 50 μ m. $^{\star}P$ < .05, $^{\star\star}P$ < .005, $^{\star\star}P$ < .0005. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using a (B) 2sided Student t test or an (E and F) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. FSC-A, forward scatter area. EpCAM, epithelial cellular adhesion molecule.

Figure 7. PGE2 from Hdc ⁺ IMCs promotes the activation of LECs after injury. (A) Representative $100 \times$ images of LYVE1 staining from the proximal jejunum of Hdc^{Cre} or Hdc^{Cre}; Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice after 12-Gy WB-IR. [Figure 4](#page-7-0)A shows the experimental scheme. (B) Bar plot showing quantification of the average percentage of LYVE1+ area per $100\times$ field of images in panel A. $n = 4$. (C) Quantification of the percentage of LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 4$. (D) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 4$. (E) Representative $100 \times$ images of LYVE1 staining from the proximal jejunum of mice after 12- Gy WB-IR. (F) Bar plot showing quantification of the average $LYVE1+$ area per $100 \times$ field of images in panel E . n = 4. (G) Quantification of the percentage of LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice after 12- Gy WB-IR obtained via FACS. $n = 4$. (*H*) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 3$. Scale bars: 50 μ m. * $P < .05$, ** $P <$.005. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using a $(B, C, D, \text{ and } H)$ 2sided Student t test or an (F and G) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. DAPI, 4',6diamidino-2-phenylindole.

insight into how lymphatics are regulated during regeneration.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Mouse crosses and care. All mouse experiments were conducted under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols AABE1556 and AABT7651 at the Columbia University Irving Medical Center, and all mouse studies were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. For all experiments, male and female mice were used at 8–12 weeks of age at the beginning of each experiment. Hdc^{GFP} and $Hdc^{CreERTZ}$ mice have been described previously.^{[37](#page-24-4),[38](#page-24-5)} HdcCreERT2 was mated

Figure 8. PGE2 promotes lymphangiogenesis of lymphatics. (A) Pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes obtained from bulk RNA sequencing of cultured HDLECs treated with PGE2 compared with control. $n = 4$. (B) Representative $200\times$ images of HDLECs on microcarrier beads treated with vehicle, dmPGE2, or dmPGE2 with EP4i. (C) Bar plot showing quantification of the average sprout length of panel B. $n = 3$. (D) Real-time qPCR analysis of activation and regenerative genes of cultured HDLECs treated with vehicle or dmPGE2 for 6 hours. $n = 3$. (E) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay analysis of supernatant RSPO3 isolated from cultured HDLECs treated with vehicle, dmPGE2, or dmPGE2 $+$ EP4i for 16 hours. n = 3. Scale bar: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using an (C and E) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group or a (D) 2-sided Student t test. p.adjust, false discovery rate-adjusted p value. $*P < .05$, $*P < .005$.

to *Rosa26-DTA* (007909; Jackson Labs) (R26^{DTA}) mice for generating Hdc^{CreERT2}; R26^{DTA} mice. Hdc^{Cre} mice were purchased from Jackson Labs (021198).⁶⁵ Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice were

gifted by Harvey Herschman.^{[45](#page-24-12)} Hdc^{Cre} was mated to Ptgs2^{fl/fl} to generate Hdc^{Cre} ; Ptgs2 fl/fl mice. All mice were maintained in a C57BL/6J background.

Mouse drug treatments. Mice were given the CXCR2 inhibitor SB225002 (S7651; Selleck Chemicals) at a dose of 1 mg/ kg in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) $+$ 0.33% Tween 80, or vehicle, intraperitoneally daily for 4 consecutive days. The CXCR4 inhibitor MSX-122 (S6617; Selleck Chemicals) was administered at a dose of 10 mg/kg in PBS $+$ 10% dimethyl sulfoxide + 45% (2-hydroxypropyl)- β -cyclodextrin (332593; Sigma) vehicle, or vehicle, intraperitoneally daily for 4 consecutive days. dmPGE2 (14750; Cayman Chemical Company) was administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 1 mg/kg in PBS $+$ 10% ethanol, or just this vehicle, daily for 3 consecutive days. The EP4-receptor inhibitor L-161,982 (10011565; Cayman Chemical Company) was administered intraperitoneally at a dose of 10 mg/kg in PBS $+$ 10% ethanol 1 hour before dmPGE2 administration. For LPS injections, LPS (tlrl-3pelps; Invivogen) was dissolved in PBS, and this or just PBS was injected intraperitoneally at a dose of 2 mg/kg. For LPS treatment in drinking water, LPS was dissolved in sterile water (10 ug/mL) and given to mice for 7 days. For Hdc^+ cell ablation and Cre activation, mice were fed a tamoxifen diet (delivering 80 mg/kg body weight daily, TD.130858; Envigo) for 2 days before irradiation, and continued the diet until the end of the experiment. The mass of food was monitored daily to ensure that the mice were consuming the proper amount of food to deliver the desired concentration of tamoxifen. For BrdU proliferation experiments, 100 μ L BrdU (10 mg/mL, 423401; BioLegend) was injected intraperitoneally into the mice 2 hours before being killed.

Irradiation experiments. Mice were challenged with 12- Gy WB-IR delivered by either a cesium 137 irradiator or a Multirad 350 X-Ray irradiator (Precision X-Ray). Tissue was collected on various days after irradiation.

Antibiotic treatments. Mice were gavaged with an antibiotic cocktail twice a day for 4 days, as published previously.⁵⁹ The cocktail consisted of ampicillin $(1 g/L, A1593;$ Sigma), neomycin sulfate (1 g/L, N6386; Sigma), metronidazole (1 g/L, 9002409; Cayman Chemical Company), and vancomycin hydrochloride (0.5 g/L, SBR00001; Sigma). Bacterial depletion was measured using the QIAmp DNA stool mini kit (Qiagen) and following the manufacturer's instructions.

Methods

Tissue collection and preparation for microscopy. For all microscopy experiments, the jejunum was collected, cleaned with PBS, then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4° C for 24 hours. For sectioning, fixed tissues were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT) before being sectioned to a thickness of 4 μ m.

Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, and BrdU labeling. For immunofluorescent studies, frozen slides were permeabilized with 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate in PBS at room temperature for 5 minutes, blocked with 10% normal goat serum in 0.1% Tween 20 at room temperature for 1 hour, and stained with primary antibody (rat monoclonal anti-LYVE1, 1:100, 14-0443-82; Thermo Fisher Scientific; rabbit polyclonal anti–COX-2, 1:200, BS-8538R; Bioss Antibodies) at 4° C overnight. Then, sections were incubated with secondary antibodies at room temperature for 2 hours (goat polyclonal anti-rat IgG conjugated to AF 555, 1:400, A-21434; or goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to AF 555, 1:400, A-21428; Thermo Fisher Scientific). All slides were counterstained and mounted with VECTASHIELD Antiface Mounting Medium with 4',6diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector Laboratories). Fluorescent images were acquired with an X-Cite Series 120 illuminator (Excelitas) on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-IJ microscope stand (Nikon Instruments). H&E images were acquired with an AT2 microscope slide scanner (Leica), and then processed using QuPath v0.4. 66 66 66

For BrdU fluorescent staining, slides were incubated with 10 mg/mL DNAse I in PBS at 37° C for 20 minutes. Then, BrdU staining was performed as described previously using an anti-BrdU antibody (rat monoclonal anti-BrdU, 1:100, ab6326; Abcam).

Quantification of staining. All image analysis was per-formed using Fiji software.^{[67](#page-25-2)} The average number of Hdc GFP+ cells per high-power field was quantified by counting the number of $Hdc^{\text{GFP}+}$ cells for 5 randomly selected image fields per mouse, and then averaged. The average number of BrdU⁺ cells per crypt was quantified by counting the number of BrdU⁺ cells per crypt for every crypt in 5 separate $100\times$ image fields, and then calculating the mean for each mouse. For the mean villus length, the length of every villus was measured in 5 separate $100 \times$ image fields per mouse, and then averaged. The number of regenerating crypts was calculated by counting the number of BrdU⁺ crypts per 5 different 1 mm continuous stretches per mouse, and then averaged. Lymphatic vessel density was quantified by

Figure 9. (See previous page). Hdc⁺ IMCs are recruited to the injured intestine via CXCR[2](#page-23-1) and CXCR4. (A) RT² gene expression analysis for chemokine receptors on isolated $Hdc⁺$ cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. n = 3. (B) Experimental scheme of CXCR2/CXCR4 inhibition experiment. (C) Representative 100 \times images of GFP visualization (top) or LYVE1 staining (bottom) from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel B. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of GFP+ cells of images in panel C. $n = 3$. (E) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of Hdc^{GFP+} cells out of live (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]-) cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel B. n = 3 or 5. (F) Bar plot showing quantification of the average percentage of LYVE1+ area per 100 x field of images in panel C. n = 3. (G) Quantification of the percentage of LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel B obtained via FACS. $n = 3$. (H) Representative 100 \times images of H&E staining (top) and BrdU immunostaining (bottom) from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel B. (I) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length from panel H. n = 3. (J) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from panel H. $n = 3$. (K) Bar plot showing quantification of the average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm from panel H. n = 3. Mice were analyzed (C–G) 3 days after WB-IR or (H–K) on day 5. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. (D–G and I–K) Statistical analysis was performed using an ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. Scale bars: 50 μ m. *P < .05, **P < .005, and ***P < .0005. HPF, high-power field; IP, intraperitoneal injection of vehicle, SB225002 (CXCR2i), or MSX-122 (CXCR4i).

Figure 10. Microbial signals promote LEC recruitment of Hdc⁺ IMCs. (A) Real-time qPCR for CXCL1 and CXCL8 in cultured HDLECs treated with various LPS concentrations for 6 hours. $n = 3$. (B) Scheme of Transwell migration assay. (C) Quantification of results of Transwell migration assay. n = 3. CXCR2i, SB225002; MEK1/2i, U0126. (D) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of unirradiated mice or mice 3 days after 12-Gy WB-IR. $n = 3$. (E) Experimental scheme of LPS injection experiment. (F) Real-time qPCR analysis of sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel E. $n = 3$. (G) Representative 100 \times images of GFP visualization from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel E. (H) Bar plot showing quantification of Hdc^{GFP+} cells of images in panel G. $n = 3$. (i) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of Hdc^{GFP+} cells of mice from panel E. n = 3. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using an (A and C) ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group or a (D, F, H, and I) 2-sided Student t test. *P < .05, **P < .005, ***P < .0005, ****P < .00005. DAPI, 4⁰ ,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; HPF, high-power field; IP, intraperitoneal injection of vehicle or LPS. MEK1/2, mitogen-activated kinase kinase 1/2.

measuring the average LYVE-1–positive area out of the total area for 5 separate $100 \times$ image fields per mouse. Images seen in figures are all composites of different channels unless otherwise stated.

Small intestine tissue digestion and flow cytometry analysis. Lymphatic cells were isolated from the small intestine as previously described.^{[14](#page-23-8),[68](#page-25-3)} Briefly, the entire small intestine from adult male and female mice was

Figure 11. Microbial signals modulate the crosstalk between Hdc⁺ IMCs and LECs. (A) Bar plot showing quantification of fecal bacterial load from mice gavaged with vehicle or an antibiotic cocktail
(ABX). (B) Experimental (B) Experimental scheme of antibiotic and LPS experiment. ABX indicates an antibiotic gavage was administered twice daily. LPS H₂O indicates that LPS was added to the drinking water. $n = 4$. (C) Representative $100 \times$ images of GFP visualization from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel B. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of GFP $+$ cells of images in panel C. $n = 4$. (E) Bar plot showing quantification of FACS analysis of the per-
centage of Hdc^{GFP+} cells out of live (4',6-diamidino-2phenylindole [DAPI]-) cells from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel B. $n = 4$. (F) Real-time qPCR analysis of Cxcl1 in sorted LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel B. $n = 4$. (G) Representative $100 \times$ images of LYVE1 staining from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel B. (H) Bar plot showing quantification of the average $LYVE1+area$ per $100 \times$ field of images in panel G. $n = 4$. (*I*) Quantification of FACS analysis of the percentage of LECs from the jejunum and ileum of mice from panel B. $n = 4$. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using a (A) 2-sided Student t test or an $(D-F, H,$ and $I)$ ordinary 1way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. $P < .05$, ** $P \, < \, .005, \, \text{ and } \, \text{***} P \, < \,$.0005. EpCAM, epithelial cellular adhesion molecule; HPF, high-power field.

Figure 12. Microbial signals promote intestinal regeneration after injury. (A) Experimental scheme of antibiotic and LPS experiment. Antibiotic gavage was administered twice daily. LPS was added to the drinking water (LPS $H₂O$). (B) Representative 100 \times images of H&E (top) and BrdU (bottom) staining from the proximal jejunum of mice from panel A. (C) Bar plot showing quantification of the average villus length from images in panel B. $n = 4$. (D) Bar plot showing quantification of BrdU staining from images in panel B. $n = 4$. (E) Bar plot showing quantification of the average number of regenerating crypts per 1 mm from images in panel B. $n = 4$. Scale bars: 50 μ m. Bar graph data are means \pm SEM. (C–E) Statistical analysis was performed using an ordinary 1-way analysis of variance with multiple comparisons with each group. $P < .05$, ** P < .005, and *** P < .0005. ABX, antibiotic ABX, antibiotic cocktail.

collected, the duodenum was removed, and then the tissue was flushed with cold PBS and dissected to remove mesentery and fat tissue. Then, the tissue was turned inside out, the mucus was removed with a paper towel, and the tissue was cut into approximately 1-mm pieces. The pieces were put into 30 mL dissociation solution (RPMI 1640 with Lglutamine, 11875-119; Thermo Fisher) + 1% nonessential amino acids (11140050; Thermo Fisher), 2.5% HEPES (H3662; Sigma), 1% antibiotic–antimycotic (15240062; Thermo Fisher), 5 mmol/L EDTA (11575-038; Thermo Fisher), 3% fetal bovine serum (900-108; Gemini Bio-Products) and 1 μ mol/L dithiothreitol (43816; Sigma), and incubated on a rotator at 37° C for 30 minutes. Then, the tissues were strained through a $100-\mu m$ cell strainer, washed twice with Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS), and minced finely using scissors. The minced tissue then was placed in 25 mL digestion media (HBSS $+$ 1% Gluta-MAX, 1% nonessential amino acids, and 2.5% HEPES with 2.5 mg/mL Collagenase II, LS004176; Worthington), 1 U/mL Dispase II (D4693; Sigma), and 30 μ g/mL DNAse I (10104159001; Sigma), and incubated at 37° C for 15 minutes on a rotator. The solution was passed through a 14 gauge needle 5 times using a syringe, then placed back on the rotator to digest for 15 more minutes at 37° C. The digested cells were strained through a 100 - μ m strainer, and any remaining tissue was digested again for 30 more

minutes. The cell suspensions were mixed, pelleted, then placed in 5 mL $1 \times$ RBC lysis buffer (420301; BioLegend) for 5 minutes on ice. The reaction was quenched with PBS, then spun down, and the remaining single-cell suspension was incubated with TruStain FcX (101319; BioLegend) for 5 minutes on ice. Finally, the suspension was stained with the following antibody cocktail: CD45-Pacific Blue (1:200, 103125; BioLegend), TER119-Pacific Blue (1:200, 116231; BioLegend), Ep-CAM-APC-Cy7 (1:200, 118217; BioLegend), CD31-PE (1:500, 160203; BioLegend), Lyve1-PE-CY7 (1:300, 25-0443-82; eBioscience), and CD90.2-BV605 (1:300, 105343; BioLegend). Propidium iodide (421301; BioLegend) or 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (422801; BioLegend) was used as a viability dye to exclude dead cells from the analysis. Cells were analyzed on a NovoCyte Quanteon or sorted using a Sony MA900 with a 100-micron nozzle. GFP⁺ cells were defined as prodidium iodide (PI)⁻, , GFP^{+} . Lymphatic endothelial cells were defined as PI, Ep-CAM, CD45, CD31⁺, CD90.2⁺, LYVE1⁺. For RNA isolation, cells were sorted directly into TRIzol LS (10296028; Thermo Fisher). The data were analyzed using FlowJo software (version 10; TreeStar).

Bone marrow cell isolation. $HDC⁺$ bone marrow cells were obtained from Hdc^{GFP} mice by crushing leg, arm, and pelvic bones in HBSS containing 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (900-108; Gemini). Single-cell suspensions were purified on a Ficoll gradient (17544202; Cytiva), and then red blood cells were lysed using $1 \times RBC$ lysis buffer (420301; BioLegend). The cells were stained with the following antibody cocktail: CD45-AF 700 (1:800, 103127; BioLegend), CD11b-PE-Cy7 (1:800, 101215; BioLegend), and Gr-1–APC (1:400, 108411; BioLegend). Propidium iodide was used as a viability dye to exclude dead cells. Cells were sorted using a Sony MA900 with a 100-micron nozzle. $CD45^+$, $CD11b^+$, $Gr-1^+$, and GFP^+ cells were sorted directly into X-VIVO 15 media (04-418Q; Lonza) for further culture experiments, or directly into TRIzol LS for RNA isolation.

Adoptive transfer. HdcCre $ERT2$; R26^{DTA} mice were placed on a tamoxifen diet for 2 days, then were challenged with 12-Gy WB-IR. $CD11b^+$ Hdc^{GFP+} or $CD11b^+$ Hdc^{GFP-} myeloid cells were isolated from the bone marrow of 3 healthy Hdc^{GFP} gender-matched, littermate donor mice and pooled. Six hours after WB-IR, HdcCre^{ERT2}; R26^{DTA} recipient mice were injected with 3 million CD11b⁺ Hdc^{GFP+} or CD11b⁺ Hdc^{GFP-} cells via the tail vein, and this was repeated 72 hours later. Samples were collected 6 days after WB-IR.

In vitro organoids. The entire small intestine from 8- to 12-week-old male and female mice was collected, and then the duodenum was removed. The remaining tissue was flushed with cold PBS, cleaned of the mesentery, and opened lengthwise. EDTA-based (15575020; Invitrogen) dissocia-tion was performed as previously described.^{[69](#page-25-4)} After EDTA dissociation, crypts were resuspended in Advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium/F12 (12634-010; Gibco) supplemented with GlutaMAX (35050061; Thermo Fisher Scientific), HEPES (15-630-080; Thermo Fisher Scientific), antibiotic–antimycotic (15240062; Fisher Scientific), 10% fetal bovine serum (900-108; Gemini Bio-Products), B27 (17504044; Thermo Fisher Scientific), N-2 supplement (17502048; Fisher Scientific), N-acetyl-L-cysteine (A9165; Sigma-Aldrich), EGF (PMG8043; Thermo Fisher Scientific), Noggin (250-38; PeproTech), Rspo1 (3474-RS-050; R&D Systems), and CHIR (SML1046; Sigma-Aldrich). Crypts were counted under a microscope, and then 200 crypts were seeded into 20 uL GFR Matrigel (356231; Corning) and plated in a prewarmed 24-well plate as domes. dmPGE2 and/or EP4i treatment began 24 hours after plating, and media was replaced every 2 days. Organoid size and number data were collected on day 6 after plating.

HDLEC cell culture. HDLECs from juvenile foreskin were purchased from Promocell (C-12216) and cultured in Endothelial Cell Growth Media MV2 (C22121; Promocell). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator set to 37° C and 5% CO₂. For PGE2 stimulation experiments, 3×10^5 cells per well were plated in a 12-well plate and allowed to seed overnight. dmPGE2 was added to a concentration of 500 nmol/L or 1 μ mol/L, and L-161,982 was added to a concentration of 2 μ mol/L. For gene expression analysis, the cells were treated for 6 hours, lysed with Buffer RLT Plus (Qiagen), and then RNA was collected using the RNEasy Plus Mini Kit (74134; Qiagen). For quantification of supernatant RSPO3, the cells were treated for 18 hours and then the supernatant was harvested for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay analysis. For LPS stimulation, 3×10^5 cells per well were plated in a 12-well plate and allowed to seed overnight. Ultrapure LPS (tlrl-3pelps; Invivogen) was added at a concentration of 1, 10, or 100 ng/mL and the cells were incubated for 6 hours before being harvested for RNA isolation or migration analysis.

HDLEC sprouting assay. An endothelial cell assay was performed as described previously.⁵⁰ Briefly, Cytodex 3 microcarrier beads (17048502; Cytiva) were coated by adding 10^6 detached HDLECs to 1200 beads suspended in PBS. The bead–cell suspension was incubated at 37° C for 4 hours, agitating every 20 minutes. Then, the coated beads were plated on a 6-cm plate in Endothelial Cell Growth Media MV2 overnight. The next morning, the beads were inspected under a microscope to ensure consistent coating of the beads. The beads were washed, resuspended in a fibrinogen solution (F8630; Sigma), and then plated into thrombin (T4648; Sigma) to form a fibrin gel in a 24-well glass-bottomed plate. Once the gel was fully solidified, the cells were treated with control media or media containing dmPGE2 at a concentration of 1 μ mol/L with or without L-161,982, at a concentration of 2 μ mol/L. The media was changed after 24 hours, and then the cells were inspected for sprouting under a microscope 48 hours after implantation into the gel. The average sprout length per group was calculated by measuring the length of every sprout coming off 5 different beads per well, and then calculating the mean. HDC^+ cell and HDLEC co-culture and migration assays. For migration analyses, HDLECs that had been stimulated with 0, 1, 10, or 100 ng/mL LPS were detached using a DetachKit (C-41200; PromoCell), pelleted, then washed twice with PBS. Cells (5×10^4) were plated in the bottom well of a 96-well Transwell plate with $5.0\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ pores (3387; Corning), and allowed to seed overnight. The CXCR2 inhibitor SB225002 was added to some of the HDLEC-

containing wells at a concentration of 200 nmol/L, or the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1/2 (MEK1/2) inhibitor U0126 (S1102; Selleck Chemicals) was added to some wells at a concentration of 5 μ mol/L. Sorted HDC⁺ immature myeloid bone marrow cells (10^4) (10^4) (10^4) from Hdc^{GFP} mice were placed in the upper insert of the Transwell, and the plate was incubated for 12 hours. The top insert was discarded, and the average number of migratory cells per $200\times$ field was calculated by counting the number of GFP⁺ cells for 5 independent $200 \times$ fields per bottom well and then calculating the average.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The concentration of RSPO3 was measured using the human R-Spondin-3 Picokine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay Kit (EK1512; Boster Bio) according to the manufacturer's instructions. HDLEC-conditioned media (18 hours after treatment with vehicle or dmPGE2 with or without L-161,982) was used at 1, 1:2, and 1:4 serial dilutions. The protein concentration was measured at 450 nm on a SpectraMax iD3 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices).

RNA isolation and complementary DNA synthesis. RNA was isolated from fresh whole tissue, tissue that had been preserved in RNAlater (AM7020; Invitrogen), or

cell pellets. A total of 5–10 mg distal jejunum tissue from control or irradiated HdcGFP mice treated with dmPGE2 with or without L-161,982 was homogenized using metal beads in a Bullet Blender Tissue Homogenizer (Next Advance). The resulting homogenate was dissolved in Buffer RLT Plus (Qiagen) and RNA was isolated using the RNEasy Plus Micro kit (74030; Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, including genomic DNA elimination. The final elution volume was 14 or 30 μ L, and RNA quantity and quality were measured on a NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher). For RNA isolation from sorted bone marrow or small intestinal lymphatic endothelial cells, $1-5 \times 10^5$ cells were sorted directly into TRIzol LS. RNA then was isolated via chloroform phase separation and isopropanol precipitation. RNA from cultured HDLECs was collected by lysing 80%–90% confluent cells seeded on a 12-well plate in 500 mL Buffer RLT Plus, and then homogenized using a QIAshredder column. The same amount of RNA (up to 1 ug) was loaded per sample for subsequent complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis. cDNA was synthesized by loading at least 100 ng RNA per sample and using the qScript cDNA Supermix (Quantabio). Briefly, in a final volume of 20 μ L, the qScript Supermix (5 \times) was mixed with

Table 3.Materials Table

co's Phosphate-Buffered Saline; DTT, dithiothreitol; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; Ep-CAM, epithelial cellular adhesion molecule; MEK1/2i, mitogen-activated kinase kinase 1/2; PE, phycoerythrin; Ptgs2fl/fl, prostaglandin-endoperoxidase synthase 2 flox/flox; Rspo, R-spondin; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

RNA template and molecular biology grade water (46-000- CV; Corning). cDNA was synthesized using the following PCR program: 5 minutes at 25° C, 30 minutes at 42° C, and then 5 minutes at 85° C. For all reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) reactions, cDNA was diluted to a final concentration of 5 ng/ μ L.

Reverse transcriptase qPCR. Reverse transcriptase qPCR was performed by adding equal amounts of cDNA template to a mix of validated gene-specific primers, molecular biology grade water, and PerfeCta SYBR Green FastMix Low ROX $(2\times)$ (84073; Quantabio). Real-time qPCR was performed with 3 technical replicates using a QuantStudio3 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher). Relative expression was calculated as the fold change using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Primer sequences are shown in [Tables 1](#page-20-0) and [2](#page-20-1).

 RT^2 Profiler PCR assay. cDNA from isolated Hdc^{GFP} cells was used in the RT^2 RT^2 Profiler PCR Array (Qiagen) for Mouse Chemokines and Receptors. The manufacturer's protocols were followed.

Bulk RNA sequencing and data processing. Isolated total RNA from HDLECs was measured for purity and integrity (RNA integrity number [RIN], \geq 8) on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and then sent to the JP Sulzberger Columbia Genome Center for sequencing. Poly-A pulldown was used to enrich messenger RNAs from samples, and then libraries were constructed using Illumina TruSeq chemistry. RNA sequencing experiments were run on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 with a sequencing depth of 20 million reads per sample and a targeted number of paired-end 100–base pair reads for each sample. RTA (Illumina) was used for base calling and bcl2fastq2 version 2.19 (Illumina) was used to create FASTQ files and trim adaptors. Pseudoalignment was performed to a kallisto index created from transcriptomes (Ensembl v96, GRGm38.p6) using kallisto version $0.44.0.^{72}$ $0.44.0.^{72}$ $0.44.0.^{72}$ Differentially expressed genes were determined using DESeq2 version $1.24.0^{73}$ $1.24.0^{73}$ $1.24.0^{73}$ Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using clusterProfiler version 4.0 .⁷⁰

Statistical Analysis

Statistical testing was performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, Inc). For experiments with 2 groups, unless otherwise specified, the differences between the means were compared using the 2-sided Student t test. For experiments with 3 or more groups, 1-way analysis of variance with post hoc Tukey multiple comparisons was performed. For survival experiments, the Kaplan–Meier simple survival analysis was used. Significance was defined as a P value $<$.05.

References

- 1. [Blanpain C, Fuchs E. Stem cell plasticity. Plasticity of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref1) [epithelial stem cells in tissue regeneration. Science 2014;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref1) [344:1242281.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref1)
- 2. [McCarthy N, Kraiczy J, Shivdasani RA. Cellular and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref2) [molecular architecture of the intestinal stem cell niche.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref2) [Nat Cell Biol 2020;22:1033](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref2)–[1041.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref2)
- 3. [Saha S, Aranda E, Hayakawa Y, et al. Macrophage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref3)[derived extracellular vesicle-packaged WNTs rescue in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref3)[testinal stem cells and enhance survival after radiation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref3) [injury. Nat Commun 2016;7:13096](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref3).
- 4. [Antanaviciute A, Kusumbe A, Simmons A. Lymphatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref4) [endothelia stakeout cryptic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref4) [2022;29:1292](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref4)–[1293](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref4).
- 5. [Yan KS, Janda CY, Chang J, et al. Non-equivalence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref5) Wnt and R-spondin ligands during $Lgr5(+)$ intestinal [stem-cell self-renewal. Nature 2017;545:238](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref5)–[242](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref5).
- 6. [McCarthy N, Manieri E, Storm EE, et al. Distinct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref6) [mesenchymal cell populations generate the essential](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref6) [intestinal BMP signaling gradient. Cell Stem Cell 2020;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref6) [26:391](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref6)–[402 e5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref6).
- 7. [Abud HE, Watson N, Heath JK. Growth of intestinal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref7) [epithelium in organ culture is dependent on EGF sig](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref7)[nalling. Exp Cell Res 2005;303:252](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref7)–[262](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref7).
- 8. [Jarde T, Chan WH, Rossello FJ, et al. Mesenchymal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref8) [niche-derived neuregulin-1 drives intestinal stem cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref8) [proliferation and regeneration of damaged epithelium.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref8) [Cell Stem Cell 2020;27:646](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref8)–[662 e7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref8).
- 9. [Ogasawara R, Hashimoto D, Kimura S, et al. Intestinal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref9) [lymphatic endothelial cells produce R-Spondin3. Sci Rep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref9) [2018;8:10719](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref9).
- 10. [Niec RE, Chu T, Schernthanner M, et al. Lymphatics act](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref10) [as a signaling hub to regulate intestinal stem cell activity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref10) [Cell Stem Cell 2022;29:1067](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref10)–[1082 e18](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref10).
- 11. [Harnack C, Berger H, Antanaviciute A, et al. R-spondin 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref11) [promotes stem cell recovery and epithelial regeneration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref11) [in the colon. Nat Commun 2019;10:4368](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref11).
- 12. [Choe K, Jang JY, Park I, et al. Intravital imaging of in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref12)[testinal lacteals unveils lipid drainage through contrac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref12)[tility. J Clin Invest 2015;125:4042](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref12)–[4052](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref12).
- 13. [Goto N, Goto S, Imada S, et al. Lymphatics and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref13) fibro[blasts support intestinal stem cells in homeostasis and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref13) [injury. Cell Stem Cell 2022;29:1246](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref13)–[1261 e6.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref13)
- 14. [Palikuqi B, Rispal J, Reyes EA, et al. Lymphangiocrine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref14) [signals are required for proper intestinal repair after](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref14) [cytotoxic injury. Cell Stem Cell 2022;29:1262](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref14)–[1272 e5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref14).
- 15. [Biswas L, Chen J, De Angelis J, et al. Lymphatic vessels](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref15) [in bone support regeneration after injury. Cell 2023;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref15) [186:382](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref15)–[397 e24.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref15)
- 16. [Farnsworth RH, Karnezis T, Maciburko SJ, et al. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref16) [interplay between lymphatic vessels and chemokines.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref16) [Front Immunol 2019;10:518](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref16).
- 17. [Vaahtomeri K, Brown M, Hauschild R, et al. Locally](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref17) [triggered release of the chemokine CCL21 promotes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref17) [dendritic cell transmigration across lymphatic endothelia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref17) [Cell Rep 2017;19:902](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref17)–[909.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref17)
- 18. [Wick N, Haluza D, Gurnhofer E, et al. Lymphatic pre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref18)[collectors contain a novel, specialized subpopulation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref18) [podoplanin low, CCL27-expressing lymphatic endothe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref18)[lial cells. Am J Pathol 2008;173:1202](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref18)–[1209.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref18)
- 19. [Steele MM, Jaiswal A, Delclaux I, et al. T cell egress via](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref19) [lymphatic vessels is tuned by antigen encounter and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref19) [limits tumor control. Nat Immunol 2023;24:664](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref19)–[675.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref19)
- 20. [Card CM, Yu SS, Swartz MA. Emerging roles of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref20) [lymphatic endothelium in regulating adaptive immunity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref20) [J Clin Invest 2014;124:943](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref20)–[952](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref20).
- 21. [Bain CC, Mowat AM. Macrophages in intestinal ho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref21)meostasis and infl[ammation. Immunol Rev 2014;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref21) [260:102](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref21)–[117](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref21).
- 22. [De Schepper S, Verheijden S, Aguilera-Lizarraga J, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref22) [Self-maintaining gut macrophages are essential for in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref22)[testinal homeostasis. Cell 2018;175:400](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref22)–[415 e13.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref22)
- 23. [Shaw TN, Houston SA, Wemyss K, et al. Tissue-resident](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref23) [macrophages in the intestine are long lived and de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref23)fined [by Tim-4 and CD4 expression. J Exp Med 2018;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref23) [215:1507](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref23)–[1518](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref23).
- 24. [Smith PD, Smythies LE, Shen R, et al. Intestinal macro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref24)[phages and response to microbial encroachment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref24) [Mucosal Immunol 2011;4:31](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref24)–[42.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref24)
- 25. [Kim M, Galan C, Hill AA, et al. Critical role for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref25) microbiota in $CX3CR1(+)$ intestinal mononuclear [phagocyte regulation of intestinal T cell responses. Im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref25)[munity 2018;49:151](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref25)–[163 e5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref25).
- 26. [Muley A, Odaka Y, Lewkowich IP, et al. Myeloid Wnt li](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref26)[gands are required for normal development of dermal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref26) [lymphatic vasculature. PLoS One 2017;12:e0181549](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref26).
- 27. [Machnik A, Neuhofer W, Jantsch J, et al. Macrophages](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref27) [regulate salt-dependent volume and blood pressure by a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref27) [vascular endothelial growth factor-C-dependent buff](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref27)[ering mechanism. Nat Med 2009;15:545](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref27)–[552](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref27).
- 28. [Cursiefen C, Chen L, Borges LP, et al. VEGF-A stimu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref28)[lates lymphangiogenesis and hemangiogenesis in in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref28)fl[ammatory neovascularization via macrophage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref28) [recruitment. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1040](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref28)–[1050](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref28).
- 29. [Ishikawa TO, Oshima M, Herschman HR. Cox-2 deletion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref29) [in myeloid and endothelial cells, but not in epithelial cells,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref29) [exacerbates murine colitis. Carcinogenesis 2011;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref29) [32:417](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref29)–[426](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref29).
- 30. [He X, Smith SE, Chen S, et al. Tumor-initiating stem cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref30) [shapes its microenvironment into an immunosuppressive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref30) [barrier and pro-tumorigenic niche. Cell Rep 2021;36:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref30) [109674.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref30)
- 31. [Hull MA, Ko SC, Hawcroft G. Prostaglandin EP re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref31)[ceptors: targets for treatment and prevention of colo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref31)[rectal cancer? Mol Cancer Ther 2004;3:1031](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref31)–[1039](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref31).
- 32. [Walden TL Jr, Patchen M, Snyder SL. 16,16-Dimethyl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref32) [prostaglandin E2 increases survival in mice following](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref32) [irradiation. Radiat Res 1987;109:440](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref32)–[448](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref32).
- 33. [Tessner TG, Muhale F, Riehl TE, et al. Prostaglandin E2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref33) [reduces radiation-induced epithelial apoptosis through a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref33) [mechanism involving AKT activation and bax trans](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref33)[location. J Clin Invest 2004;114:1676](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref33)–[1685.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref33)
- 34. [Roulis M, Kaklamanos A, Schernthanner M, et al. Para](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref34)[crine orchestration of intestinal tumorigenesis by a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref34) [mesenchymal niche. Nature 2020;580:524](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref34)–[529.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref34)
- 35. [Miyoshi H, VanDussen KL, Malvin NP, et al. Prosta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref35)[glandin E2 promotes intestinal repair through an adap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref35)[tive cellular response of the epithelium. EMBO J 2017;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref35) [36:5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref35)–[24.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref35)
- 36. [Nandi P, Girish GV, Majumder M, et al. PGE2 promotes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref36) [breast cancer-associated lymphangiogenesis by activa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref36)[tion of EP4 receptor on lymphatic endothelial cells. BMC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref36) [Cancer 2017;17:11.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref36)
- 37. [Chen X, Deng H, Churchill MJ, et al. Bone marrow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref37) [myeloid cells regulate myeloid-biased hematopoietic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref37) [stem cells via a histamine-dependent feedback loop. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref37) [Stem Cell 2017;21:747](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref37)–[760 e7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref37).
- 38. [Yang XD, Ai W, Asfaha S, et al. Histamine de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38)ficiency promotes infl[ammation-associated carcinogenesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38) [through reduced myeloid maturation and accumulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38) [of CD11b](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38)+[Ly6G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38)+ [immature myeloid cells. Nat Med](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38) [2011;17:87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38)–[95.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref38)
- 39. [Fu N, Wu F, Jiang Z, et al. Acute intestinal in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref39)flammation [depletes/recruits histamine-expressing myeloid cells from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref39) [the bone marrow leading to exhaustion of MB-HSCs. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref39) [Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;11:1119](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref39)–[1138.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref39)
- 40. [Chen X, Takemoto Y, Deng H, et al. Histidine decar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref40)[boxylase \(HDC\)-expressing granulocytic myeloid cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref40) induce and recruit $F\alpha p3(+)$ regulatory T cells in murine [colon cancer. Oncoimmunology 2017;6:e1290034](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref40).
- 41. [Booth C, Tudor G, Tudor J, et al. Acute gastrointestinal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref41) [syndrome in high-dose irradiated mice. Health Phys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref41) [2012;103:383](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref41)–[399.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref41)
- 42. [Liang L, Shen L, Fu G, et al. Regulation of the regener](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref42)[ation of intestinal stem cells after irradiation. Ann Transl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref42) [Med 2020;8:1063.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref42)
- 43. [Kuramasu A, Saito H, Suzuki S, et al. Mast cell-/basophil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref43)specifi[c transcriptional regulation of human L-histidine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref43) [decarboxylase gene by CpG methylation in the promoter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref43) [region. J Biol Chem 1998;273:31607](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref43)–[31614.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref43)
- 44. [Crittenden S, Goepp M, Pollock J, et al. Prostaglandin E2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref44) promotes intestinal infl[ammation via inhibiting microbiota](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref44)[dependent regulatory T cells. Sci Adv 2021;7:eabd7954](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref44).
- 45. [Ishikawa TO, Herschman HR. Conditional knockout mouse](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref45) for tissue-specifi[c disruption of the cyclooxygenase-2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref45) [\(Cox-2\) gene. Genesis 2006;44:143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref45)–[149.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref45)
- 46. [Sung HK, Morisada T, Cho CH, et al. Intestinal and peri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref46)[tumoral lymphatic endothelial cells are resistant to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref46) [radiation-induced apoptosis. Biochem Biophys Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref46) [Commun 2006;345:545](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref46)–[551](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref46).
- 47. [Truman LA, Bentley KL, Smith EC, et al. ProxTom](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref47) [lymphatic vessel reporter mice reveal Prox1 expression](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref47) [in the adrenal medulla, megakaryocytes, and platelets.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref47) [Am J Pathol 2012;180:1715](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref47)–[1725.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref47)
- 48. [Murtomaki A, Uh MK, Choi YK, et al. Notch1 functions as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref48) [a negative regulator of lymphatic endothelial cell differ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref48)[entiation in the venous endothelium. Development 2013;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref48) [140:2365](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref48)–[2376](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref48).
- 49. [Podgrabinska S, Braun P, Velasco P, et al. Molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref49) [characterization of lymphatic endothelial cells. Proc Natl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref49) [Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:16069](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref49)–[16074](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref49).
- 50. [Azam SH, Smith M, Somasundaram V, et al. Incorpo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref50)[rating pericytes into an endothelial cell bead sprouting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref50) [assay. J Vis Exp 2018;132:57309](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref50).
- 51. [Katoh H, Wang D, Daikoku T, et al. CXCR2-expressing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref51) [myeloid-derived suppressor cells are essential to pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref51)[mote colitis-associated tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref51) [2013;24:631](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref51)–[644.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref51)
- 52. [Xu J, Zhang C, He Y, et al. Lymphatic endothelial cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref52)[secreted CXCL1 stimulates lymphangiogenesis and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref52) [metastasis of gastric cancer. Int J Cancer 2012;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref52) [130:787](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref52)–[797](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref52).
- 53. [Nighot M, Al-Sadi R, Guo S, et al. Lipopolysaccharide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53)[induced increase in intestinal epithelial tight permeability is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53) [mediated by Toll-like receptor 4/myeloid differentiation pri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53)[mary response 88 \(MyD88\) activation of myosin light chain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53) [kinase expression. Am J Pathol 2017;187:2698](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53)–[2710](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref53).
- 54. [Ghosh SS, Wang J, Yannie PJ, et al. Intestinal barrier](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref54) [dysfunction, LPS translocation, and disease develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref54)[ment. J Endocr Soc 2020;4:bvz039](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref54).
- 55. [Guo S, Nighot M, Al-Sadi R, et al. Lipopolysaccharide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref55) [regulation of intestinal tight junction permeability is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref55) [mediated by TLR4 signal transduction pathway activation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref55) [of FAK and MyD88. J Immunol 2015;195:4999](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref55)–[5010](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref55).
- 56. [Kang S, Lee SP, Kim KE, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref56) [lymphatic endothelial cells contributes to LPS-induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref56) [lymphangiogenesis by chemotactic recruitment of mac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref56)[rophages. Blood 2009;113:2605](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref56)–[2613.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref56)
- 57. [Yang C, Yu H, Chen R, et al. CXCL1 stimulates migration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref57) [and invasion in ER-negative breast cancer cells via](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref57) [activation of the ERK/MMP2/9 signaling axis. Int J Oncol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref57) [2019;55:684](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref57)–[696.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref57)
- 58. Raduolovic K, Mak'[Anyengo R, Kaya B, et al. Injections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref58) [of lipopolysaccharide into mice to mimic entrance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref58) [microbial-derived products after intestinal barrier breach.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref58) [J Vis Exp 2018;135:57610.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref58)
- 59. [Tirelle P, Breton J, Riou G, et al. Comparison of different](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref59) [modes of antibiotic delivery on gut microbiota depletion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref59) effi[ciency and body composition in mouse. BMC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref59) [Microbiol 2020;20:340](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref59).
- 60. [Rakoff-Nahoum S, Paglino J, Eslami-Varzaneh F, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref60) [Recognition of commensal micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref60)flora by Toll-like re[ceptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. Cell 2004;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref60) [118:229](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref60)–[241](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref60).
- 61. [Green DE, Rubin CT. Consequences of irradiation on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref61) [bone and marrow phenotypes, and its relation to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref61) [disruption of hematopoietic precursors. Bone 2014;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref61) [63:87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref61)–[94.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref61)
- 62. [Prinz C, Zanner R, Gerhard M, et al. The mechanism of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref62) [histamine secretion from gastric enterochromaf](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref62)fin-like [cells. Am J Physiol 1999;277:C845](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref62)–[C855](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref62).
- 63. [Lin W, Xu L, Zheng Y, et al. Whole-brain mapping of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref63) [histaminergic projections in mouse brain. Proc Natl Acad](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref63) [Sci U S A 2023;120:e2216231120](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref63).
- 64. [Bezencon C, Furholz A, Raymond F, et al. Murine in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref64)[testinal cells expressing Trpm5 are mostly brush cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref64) [and express markers of neuronal and in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref64)flammatory cells. [J Comp Neurol 2008;509:514](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref64)–[525.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref64)
- 65. [Zecharia AY, Yu X, Gotz T, et al. GABAergic inhibition of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref65) [histaminergic neurons regulates active waking but not](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref65) [the sleep-wake switch or propofol-induced loss of con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref65)[sciousness. J Neurosci 2012;32:13062](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref65)–[13075](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref65).
- 66. [Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, et al. QuPath:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref66) [open source software for digital pathology image anal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref66)[ysis. Sci Rep 2017;7:16878](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref66).
- 67. [Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, et al. Fiji: an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref67) [open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref67) [Methods 2012;9:676](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref67)–[682.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref67)
- 68. [Couter CJ, Surana NK. Isolation and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref68) flow cytometric [characterization of murine small intestinal lymphocytes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref68) [J Vis Exp 2016;111:54114.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref68)
- 69. [Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, et al. Single Lgr5 stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref69) [cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref69) [mesenchymal niche. Nature 2009;459:262](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref69)–[265.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref69)
- 70. [Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, et al. clusterPro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref72)filer 4.0: a universal [enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. Innovation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref72) [\(Camb\) 2021;2:100141.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref72)
- 71. [Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, et al. Fiji: an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/optIP8eHCG0WZ) [open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Na](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/optIP8eHCG0WZ)[ture Methods 2012;9:676](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/optIP8eHCG0WZ)–[682](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/optIP8eHCG0WZ).
- 72. [Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, et al. Near-optimal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref70) [probabilistic RNA-seq quanti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref70)fication. Nat Biotechnol [2016;34:525](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref70)–[527.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref70)
- 73. [Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref71) [fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref71) [DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014;15:550.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2352-345X(23)00186-8/sref71)

Received August 3, 2023. Accepted October 18, 2023.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Timothy C. Wang, MD, Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, Columbia University Medical Center, 1130 St. Nicholas Avenue, Room 901, New York, New York 10032. e-mail: tcw21@cumc.columbia.edu.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Harvey Herschman for the generous gift of the Ptgs2^{fl/fl} mice. The authors thank Leah Zamechek, Jonathan LaBella, and Harry Nagendra for their support of their animal colony. The authors are grateful for the support received by Columbia University shared resources, and would like to thank Sun Dajiang "Kevin" (Molecular Pathology Shared Resource), Michael Kissner (Columbia Stem Cell Initiative Flow Cytometry Core Facility), and Erin Bush (Genomics and High Throughput Screening) for their expertise and help during this project. The authors also would like to thank Viki Fernandez for help with manuscript revisions.

CRediT Authorship Contributions

Zhengyu Jiang (Conceptualization: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Writing – original draft: Equal; Writing – review & editing: Equal)

Quin T Waterbury (Conceptualization: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Writing – original draft: Equal; Writing – review & editing: Equal)

Ermanno Malagola (Methodology: Supporting; Writing – review & editing: Supporting)

Na Fu (Investigation: Supporting)

Woosook Kim (Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting)

Yosuke Ochiai (Investigation: Supporting)

Feijing Wu (Investigation: Supporting)

Chandan Guha (Methodology: Supporting; Writing - review & editing: Supporting)

Carrie J Shawber (Methodology: Supporting; Writing – review & editing: Supporting)

Kelley S Yan (Methodology: Supporting; Supervision: Supporting; Writing – review & editing: Supporting)

Timothy Cragin Wang, MD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Funding acquisition: Lead; Supervision: Lead; Writing – review & editing: Supporting)

Current address of Z.J.: J&J Innovative Medicine, Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, Pennsylvania.

Conflicts of interest

The authors disclose no conflicts.

Funding

This research was funded in part through the National Institutes of Health/ National Cancer Institute Cancer Center support grant P30CA013696 and used the resources of the Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center Flow Cytometry Shared Resources, Molecular Pathology Shared Resources (MPSR), and Genomics and High-Throughput Screening. This research was supported in part by the Columbia University Digestive and Liver Disease Research Center (CU-DLDRC) grant 1P30DK132710-02. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute grants R01DK48077 and R35CA210088 (T.C.W.); as well as National Cancer Institute Outstanding Investigator Award R35CA197745 (T.C.W). Also supported in part by Columbia University Institute of Human Nutrition training grant T32 DK007647-32 (Q.T.W.).

Data Availability

Bulk RNA sequencing data can be found at GEO: GSE223854. The data have been made public. Requests for methods, resources, and reagents should be directed to Timothy C. Wang. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this article is available from Timothy C. Wang upon request.