

A scoping review of surgical care for people experiencing homelessness: prevalence, access, and disparities

Caroline Hircock, HBSc
Peter Huan, MPL
Christina Pizzola, MSc
Madeline McDonald, MD, MSc

This work has been presented at the 2023 Bethune Round Table in Global Surgery as a poster presentation, the 2023 AWS Canadian Regional Conference as a virtual presentation, and the 2022 McMaster Global Health Conference: Reimagining Global Health Toward Sustainability and Equity as a poster presentation.

Accepted Nov. 9, 2023

Correspondence to:

C. Hircock
Michael G. DeGroote School of Medicine
McMaster University
1280 Main St. W.
Hamilton ON L8S 4L8
caroline.hircock@medportal.ca

Cite as: *Can J Surg* 2024 January 26; 67(1). doi: 10.1503/cjs.004023

Background: Numerous studies have highlighted the inequitable access to medical and psychiatric care that people experiencing homelessness (PEH) face, yet the surgical needs of this population are not well understood. We sought to assess evidence describing surgical care for PEH and to perform a thematic analysis of the results.

Methods: Ovid MEDLINE, Embase, and Web of Science were searched using the terms “surgery” AND “homelessness.” Grey literature was also searched. We used a stepwise scoping review methodology, followed by thematic analysis using an inductive approach.

Results: We included 104 articles in our review. Studies were included from 5 continents; 63% originated in the United States. All surgical specialties were represented with varying surgical conditions and procedures for each. Orthopedic surgery (21%) was the most frequently reported specialty. Themes identified included characteristics of PEH receiving surgical care, homeless-to-housed participants, interaction with the health care system, educational initiatives, barriers and challenges, and interventions and future strategies.

Conclusion: We identified significant variation and gaps, representing opportunities for further research and interventions. Further addressing the barriers and challenges that PEH face when accessing surgical care can better address the needs of this population.

Contexte : Si de nombreuses études ont souligné l'accès inéquitable aux soins médicaux et psychiatriques pour les personnes en situation d'itinérance (PSI), les besoins chirurgicaux de cette population ne sont pas bien compris. Nous avons cherché à évaluer les données décrivant les soins chirurgicaux pour les PSI afin de faire une analyse thématique des résultats.

Méthodes : Les bases de données Ovid MEDLINE, Embase, et Web of Science ont été interrogées avec les termes « surgery » [chirurgie] ET « homelessness » [itinérance]. La littérature grise a aussi été interrogée. Nous avons utilisé une méthode de synthèse exploratoire par étapes, suivie d'une analyse thématique par approche inductive.

Résultats : Nous avons inclus 104 articles dans notre revue. Les études sélectionnées provenaient de 5 continents; 63 % venaient des États-Unis. Toutes les spécialités chirurgicales étaient représentées, avec diverses conditions et interventions chirurgicales pour chacune. La chirurgie orthopédique (21 %) était la spécialité la plus souvent rapportée. Les thèmes relevés comprenaient les caractéristiques des PSI recevant des soins chirurgicaux, les personnes participantes passées de l'itinérance au logement, l'interaction avec le système de santé, les initiatives d'éducation, les obstacles et défis, et les interventions et stratégies futures.

Conclusion : Nous avons décelé une variation et des lacunes considérables, qui représentent des occasions de recherche et d'interventions. Il faudra s'attaquer davantage aux obstacles et aux défis rencontrés par les PSI dans l'accès aux soins chirurgicaux pour mieux répondre aux besoins de cette population.

Homelessness is a major social and health-related concern globally. In Canada alone, there are 25 000–35 000 people experiencing homelessness (PEH) on any single night.¹ The circumstances surrounding an individual's homelessness are unique, complex, and multifactorial. Primary individual factors include poverty,² adverse experiences in early childhood,³

mental health and substance use,^{2,4} personal history of violence,⁵ and association with the criminal justice system.⁶ Structural factors reflect inadequate safety nets, including lack of affordable housing, reduced employment opportunities for low-skilled workers, low minimum wage, and no income support programs.⁷ Inherent systemic income inequality promotes homelessness, as countries with greater levels of income inequality also have higher rates of homelessness.⁸ The COVID-19 pandemic further exacerbated the housing instability crisis through its negative impact on the economy and the reduced access to social services. Studies have noted an increase in PEH during the pandemic.^{9,10}

The associations between experiencing homelessness and negative health outcomes are well documented. Individuals with mental health disorders are at a higher risk of homelessness, and a large proportion of PEH are also living with mental illness.¹¹ Specifically, homelessness has been linked to schizophrenia,¹² bipolar disorder,¹² anxiety,¹³ post-traumatic stress disorder,¹³ and personality disorders.¹⁴ In addition, PEH are more likely to experience acute and chronic medical illnesses such as infectious diseases,¹⁵ skin and soft tissue infections,¹⁵ cardiovascular disease,¹⁶ diabetes,^{17,18} and hypertension.¹⁸ The age-matched standardized mortality rates for PEH in high-income countries are typically 2–5 times those of the general population.¹⁵

Housing instability is associated with increased exposure to violence, assault, and unintentional injury,^{15,19} and PEH are overrepresented among populations with traumatic and exposure injuries such as traumatic brain injury,²⁰ burns,²¹ and facial fractures,¹⁹ all of which are conditions that often require surgical care. The surgical needs of PEH are not well documented, yet housing status affects the approach to surgical care along its entire continuum from preoperative preparation to surgical decision-making and post-operative care.²²

To date, there has been 1 scoping review on the topic of surgical care for PEH, which focused on the phases of care pertaining to operative management in the United States, United Kingdom, and Canada.²² To further investigate the surgical needs and state of surgical care for PEH, we sought in the present review to assess evidence describing surgical care for PEH and to perform a thematic analysis

of the findings. Operative and nonoperative aspects of care that fall under the responsibilities of surgeons were specifically included.

METHODS

We chose to perform a scoping review to identify the nature and extent of the literature on surgical care for PEH.²³ The Arksey and O’Malley framework²⁴ was followed, in addition to the select suggestions made by Levac and colleagues.²⁵ A health research librarian was consulted to refine the research question and inform the search strategy.

Literature search

We searched Ovid MEDLINE, Embase, and Web of Science from database inception to Mar. 19, 2022. Table 1 shows the keywords and subject headings applied in each database. A forward snowballing strategy was also used to identify relevant literature from other published studies on this topic.²² The inclusion criteria were studies published in English that described a direct connection between surgical care and PEH. Studies that referred to dentistry, emergency/disaster response and refugees were excluded.

One reviewer (C.P.) searched the grey literature with guidance from the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) Grey Matters checklist (2019).²⁶ Sources included search engines, database searches, clinical practice guidelines, and health economics databases. The timeframe of the search was from Jan. 1, 2000, to Apr. 5, 2022, as a majority of the studies from the main literature were published in the 2000s. The main search terms were “homeless*,” “surg*,” and “operat*.”

Two independent reviewers (C.H., P.H.) screened the titles and abstracts of articles in duplicate according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Reviewers met at the beginning, midpoint, and final stages to discuss study selection and refine the search strategy if necessary. Interrater reliability was calculated using Cohen κ, with 0 representing no agreement and 1 representing perfect agreement.²⁷ When conflict arose, a third reviewer (M.M.) was consulted to make the final decision. Full-text

Table 1: Search terms/MESH headings per database

Category	Main concept	Keywords	Subject headings, Web of Science	Subject headings, MEDLINE	Subject headings, Embase
Population	Homelessness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homeless* • Precariously housed • Unsheltered • Emergency shelter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transitional housing • No fixed address 	See keywords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exp homeless persons/ • Exp emergency shelter/ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exp homeless person/ • Exp homelessness/ • Exp emergency shelter/
Concept	Surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surg* • Operat* 	See keywords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exp specialties, surgical/ • Exp surgical procedure, operative/ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exp surgery/

screening was done independently by the same 2 reviewers (C.H., P.H.). Covidence was used for text/abstract and full-text screening.²⁸

Data were extracted into a data collection form on Covidence by 2 reviewers (C.H., P.H.). The details of the extraction table were reviewed by all authors and edited before and during extraction as needed (Appendix 1, available at www.canjsurg.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cjs.004023/tab-related-content).

Data analysis

Included studies were assessed using a stepwise scoping review methodology and analyzed using descriptive statistics. Articles underwent thematic analysis using an inductive approach. The preliminary results were reviewed with an advisory panel including 3 physicians (2 surgeons and 1 shelter health physician) as well as a consultant with lived experience in homelessness. Feedback led to further search for guidelines from local and national organizations specific to surgical care for PEH. Further directions for research mentioned were included in the discussion.

RESULTS

Literature search

Our search produced 2714 studies, from which 95 studies were included (Figure 1 and Appendix 2, available at www.canjsurg.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cjs.004023/tab-related-content).²⁹ Cohen κ was 0.72 for title/abstract screening, showing substantial agreement. An additional 4 studies were included from the grey literature search (Appendix 3, available at www.canjsurg.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cjs.004023/tab-related-content) and 5 from forward snowballing (Appendix 4, available at www.canjsurg.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cjs.004023/tab-related-content), giving this study a final sample of 104 articles.

Most of the articles were published within the last 5 years (70/103 [68%]). Additionally, most of the studies were conducted in the United States (74/117 [63%]) and Canada (16/117 [14%]), with some studies occurring in more than 1 country. Publications from around the world were included, with articles from Kenya, Australia, and South Korea.




Fig. 1. Study selection based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020.²⁹ PEH = people experiencing homelessness.

Half of the studies were retrospective chart reviews or retrospective cohort studies (52/104 [50%]). The second most frequent publications were abstracts from conference presentations (17/104 [16%]). Five studies used qualitative methods or participant-reported outcomes in the form of semistructured interviews and surveys. Of these, 4 investigated the opinions of physicians and other health care professionals (Table 2).³⁰⁻³⁴

Definition of homelessness

The definition of homelessness varied among studies (Table 3). Sixty-eight (65%) studies used the term “homelessness” without explanation or specific criteria for how a

patient would be classified as experiencing homelessness. Thirty-six (35%) studies provided explicit definitions that ranged from using *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-9/10) codes, no fixed address/shelter during registration in hospital, or expanded criteria to include the hidden homeless or those at risk of homelessness. A few studies had definitions that were vague, too broad, or not further elaborated by the authors. These findings are in keeping with the scoping review by Abel and colleagues, which also considered the definition of homelessness for the 23 articles that were included.²²

Surgical procedures: prevalence and disparities

All surgical specialties were mentioned at least once within the 104 included articles (Appendix 1, Table 2). The most frequent surgical specialties pertaining to the care of PEH were orthopedic surgery (25/121 [21%]), plastic surgery (23/121 [19%]), and general surgery (20/121 [17%]).

A variety of conditions and procedures were described for each specialty (Table 4). Many discussed an increased prevalence of specific conditions and procedures among PEH (Table 5), albeit with some conflicting findings among studies.

Zuccaro and colleagues³⁵ investigated surgical referrals for PEH at a hospital in Ottawa, Canada. The majority of surgical referrals at the study sites were for traumatic injuries, and the most frequently consulted service was orthopedic surgery followed by plastic surgery.³⁵ Titan and colleagues³⁶ reported that PEH had more emergent procedures than individuals with housing. The surgeries were less complex, with shorter operation times but longer postoperative lengths of stay. They also found that PEH were more likely to have orthopedic surgeries.³⁶ Goodwin and Brown³⁷ reported

Table 2: List of study classification and corresponding number

Type of study	No. of studies
Abstract	17
Case-control	1
Case series	2
Cost analysis	1
Cross-sectional	5
Descriptive postprogram evaluation	1
Dissertation/thesis (grey literature)	1
Editorial	2
Ethical essay	1
Historical analysis	1
Literature review	1
Prospective	6
Survey	3
Pilot intervention	1
Poster presentation	1
Retrospective chart review/cohort	52
Orthopedic society-affiliated news magazine article	1
Scoping review	1
Systematic review	5

Table 3: Summary of definitions of homelessness among studies

Definition	No. (%) of studies	Descriptions used in addition to homelessness
No definition/description provided	68 (65)	NA
Explicit definitions or exact criteria for PEH provided	36 (35)	
ICD-9/10 codes using modifiers	8 (8)	ICD-9 or 10 modifiers include problems related to housing and economic circumstances (z59), lack of housing (v60.0), inadequate housing (v60.1), other specified housing or economic circumstances (v60.89), and unspecified housing or economic circumstances (v60.9)
Patient’s address upon registration identified as lack of access to stable housing or in places not meant for human habitation	16 (15)	Lack of access to stable housing includes utilization of shelter within past 12 months, listing a shelter as a current address, listing no fixed address, or a combination of these definitions
Definitions expanded to include hidden homelessness or those at risk of homelessness	4 (4)	Hidden homelessness included definitions such as temporarily living with friends or family
Homelessness definitions that were either vague, too broad, or did not provide details on specific indicators to qualify their specific terms of homelessness	8 (8)	Some examples included “marginalized,” “socioeconomically deprived” without further definition, and “lack access to health care or health insurance”

ICD = *International Classification of Diseases*; NA = not applicable; PEH = people experiencing homelessness.

similar results to those of Titan and colleagues, with PEH being more likely to experience trauma or deep tissue infection.³⁷ Blakely and colleagues³⁸ specified that in their cohort of trauma patients PEH experienced more penetrating trauma or assault, but had significantly fewer motor vehicle collisions and were less likely to have moderate or severe injury.³⁸

Eight primary studies^{39–41,43–47} and 1 abstract⁴² noted that PEH received fewer operations than individuals with housing for orthopedic trauma injury, burns, eye surgery, valve surgery, and coronary artery bypass graft (CABG); however, findings related to CABG varied, with a study

finding no significant difference.^{39–46} Another study described a lower prevalence of cesarean delivery among PEH.⁴⁷ These studies noted this potential discrepancy but did not analyze the reasons behind it.

Cancer surgery for PEH

Three primary studies^{48–50} and 1 invited article⁵¹ described differences in operative management for cancer treatment among PEH. Festa and colleagues⁴⁸ investigated women experiencing homelessness who were diagnosed with breast cancer. Most women received

Table 4 (part 1 of 2): Summary of surgical conditions, procedures, and associated studies described for each specialty

Specialty	Condition	Procedure	No. of studies*
Orthopedic surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ankle fracture • Bone, native joint, or soft tissue infection • Diabetes-related foot ulcer • Foot pathologies (in general) • Inflammatory arthritis • Hand infections • Osteomyelitis • Tibia or femur fracture • Trauma 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amputation • Ankle fracture surgery: ORIF, ankle fusion, ankle arthroplasty • Arthroscopy • Aspiration • Bone resection • Débridement • Fasciotomy • Incision and drainage (abscess) • Lavage • Metalwork removal • Surgery for hand infections: arthrotomy; exploration tendon sheath; bursotomy; incision and drainage palmar/thenar space; incision soft tissue NEC; aspiration bursa of hand; aspiration soft tissue NEC • Tibia or femur fracture surgery: ORIF or IMN • Total hip arthroplasty • Total knee arthroplasty • Unicondylar knee replacement 	25
Plastic surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Burns • Dermatological conditions • Facial fractures • Frostbite • Hand infections • NEC • Soft tissue infection • Trauma 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amputation • Débridement • Escharotomy • Excision • Incision/drainage • Skin grafts • Surgical repair of facial fractures • Surgery for hand infections: arthrotomy, exploration tendon sheath, bursotomy, incision and drainage palmar/thenar space, incision soft tissue hand NEC, aspiration bursa of hand, aspiration soft tissue hand NEC 	23
General surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cancer (breast, lung, pancreatic, colon) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appendectomy • Colonoscopy • Distal splenorenal shunts • Emergency general surgery (unspecified) • Gastrointestinal endoscopy • Lung resection for cancer 	20
Cardiac surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valvular endocarditis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CABG • Pediatric orthotopic heart transplantation • Valve surgery (single, multiple, replacement) • Ventricular assist device insertion 	13
Vascular surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traumatic hemorrhagic shock 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arteriovenous access creation 	9
Ophthalmology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advanced cataracts • Diabetic retinopathy • Glaucoma • Ocular pathologies • Uncorrected visual impairment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cataract removal • Eye surgery (unspecified) 	8

Table 4 (part 2 of 2): Summary of surgical conditions, procedures, and associated studies described for each specialty

Specialty	Condition	Procedure	No. of studies*
Obstetrics and gynecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preterm labour • Fetal distress • Pelvic inflammatory disease 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abortion • Cesarean delivery 	7
Otolaryngology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abscess • Deviated nasal septum • External ear issues • Head and neck cancer • Hearing loss • Nasal fracture • Otitis • Pharyngotonsillitis • Rhinitis • Sinusitis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flexible endoscope • Otoscopy 	6
Urology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prostate cancer • Prepuce 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Circumcision • Open perineal prostate biopsies • Prostatectomy • Surgical castration 	6
Neurosurgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intracranial hemorrhage • Traumatic head injury 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decompressive surgery 	4

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; NEC = necrotizing fasciitis; ORIF = open reduction and internal fixation.
 *For further descriptions of the studies, see Appendix 1, Tables 2–4, available at www.canjsurg.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cjs.004023/tab-related-content.

surgery as their first-line treatment (92%) but experienced significant delays (30–90 days) in receiving treatment.⁴⁸ For PEH with lung cancer, Suh and colleagues⁴⁹ found that most PEH presented with advanced disease (78%) and passed away shortly after. Of the few PEH who presented with early-stage disease, 80% received curative surgery and all completed follow-up, with no recurrences or deaths.⁴⁹ Additionally, Concannon and colleagues⁵⁰ reported that PEH with lung cancer were less likely to undergo definitive treatment than patients with housing and experienced significant delays. A similar disparity was noted for pancreatic cancer, with Louie and colleagues⁵¹ reporting that patients who had pancreatic cancer and lower socioeconomic status were less likely to receive treatment compliant with National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines and were more likely to die from their cancer.

Three primary studies discussed the low cancer screening rates among PEH contributing to advanced disease upon presentation.^{31,52,53} With regards to colon cancer screening, for example, one-quarter of PEH surveyed had undergone colonoscopy in the last 10 years, and approximately three-quarters had never had a conversation with a health care provider about cancer screening.^{31,52} Bingham and colleagues⁵³ found an overall disparity in the volume of published data about cancer screening and treatment in PEH.

Characteristics of PEH receiving surgical care

Four primary studies^{36,47,54,55} reported that the average age of PEH was younger than that of individuals with housing for

similar disease processes, and another primary study³⁸ reported fewer elderly PEH. Four primary studies^{47,56–58} also reported that PEH were more likely to be from racialized groups, including Black, Hispanic, and Native American.

Comorbidities

Surgical patients experiencing homelessness presented with multiple medical comorbidities, including infections (hepatitis C virus [HCV], HIV), cardiovascular conditions, lung disease, liver disease, osteoarticular disease, dermatologic disease, and head and neck conditions.^{47,59–63} These were all reported in primary studies^{57,59–61,63} and in 1 abstract.⁶² Titan and colleagues,³⁶ in another primary study, noted that compared to patients with housing, PEH had fewer medical comorbidities but more psychiatric conditions for general, orthopedic, and vascular surgery admissions. Psychiatric comorbidities included mood disorders, psychotic disorders (reported in pregnancy), and high rates of substance use (smoking, alcohol use, intravenous drug use, multidrug use), reported in primary studies.^{58,64,65}

Return to housing

Several primary studies reported that hospital admission was an opportunity to connect PEH with supportive services, including social work and housing assistance. A case series by Bennett and colleagues⁶⁶ reported that 73% of PEH were permanently housed at final follow-up, and the other 17% were in temporary housing after receiving joint replacement surgery and extensive and coordinated medical and social services. The employment status of

Table 5: Surgical procedures and conditions with increased prevalence among PEH

Specialty	Procedure/condition
Orthopedic surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetes-related foot ulcer • Osteomyelitis • Lower-extremity amputation
Plastic surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frostbite • Burn • Amputation • Cellulitis • Facial fracture requiring surgery • Necrotizing fasciitis • Infestations and bacterial dermatological conditions
Ophthalmology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diabetic retinopathy* • Ocular findings and uncorrected visual impairment • Ocular pathologies including glaucoma and advanced cataracts • Ocular impairments requiring surgery
Obstetrics and gynecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abortion • Fetal distress
Neurosurgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traumatic head injury
Cardiac surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Endocarditis
Vascular surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traumatic hemorrhagic shock requiring surgery
Miscellaneous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sutures/staples in laceration-related injuries • Sutures/staples following drainage of infection
PEH = people experiencing homelessness.	
*Studies report conflicting data.	

participants in their study also increased from 9% before surgery to 27% after surgery. There were minimal complications and no known readmissions, reoperations, infections, or deaths among the participants.⁶⁶ Lawson and colleagues⁶⁷ described a recuperative care pilot project for 23 PEH who were housed in a motel where the second most common admitting diagnosis (17%) was for postoperative recovery. The PEH were referred to services including case management, housing resources, and public assistance. Average length of stay was 37 days, and 22% were discharged to stable housing, whereas 30% had no identifiable housing at discharge.⁶⁷ Podymow and colleagues⁶⁸ documented a shelter-based convalescence unit where 12% of patients stayed postoperatively. In total, 60% of patients in the study applied for housing and 24.3% of them received housing.⁶⁸

Interaction with health care systems

Within all phases of hospital treatment, increased obstacles were described in the care of PEH. These were documented in 5 primary studies,^{36,50,55,69,72} 2 abstracts,^{37,38} a magazine article,⁷⁰ and a thesis.⁷¹ After admission to hospital, PEH were reported to have a longer length of stay and to experience delayed discharge,^{36,37,45,55,69–71} although some studies found no difference.^{38,50} Kiwanuka and colleagues⁴⁵ noted an increase in adjusted cost for inpatient care for PEH with burns, likely because of the significantly increased comorbidity burden in this population,

such as psychiatric illness, chronic liver disease, chronic pulmonary disease, AIDS, and low body weight.⁴⁵ Hwang and colleagues⁵⁵ noted a similar increase in inpatient care costs for PEH on medical, psychiatric, and surgical services owing to increased length of stay for acute care and level of care days. Cai and colleagues⁶⁹ also discussed how veterans experiencing homelessness were more likely to be discharged to some form of institutional care, primarily nursing homes; however, this is contradicted by the findings of Blakely and colleagues,³⁸ who reported lower rates of discharge to rehabilitation or nursing facilities.³⁸ Finally, PEH were reported to be more likely than people with housing to leave against medical advice.^{38,45,72}

Outpatient follow-up

Reports regarding outpatient follow-up included 7 primary studies,^{35,41,50,59,73,75,76} a thesis,⁷¹ a systematic review,⁷⁴ and an abstract.⁷⁷ PEH were found to have fewer surgical visits and were less likely to be referred to outpatient care.^{73,74,59} They were also reported to be less likely to attend follow-up, with as many as 45%–55% missing their appointments.^{35,41,59,71,74–77} Zuccaro and colleagues³⁵ reported that just under half of referred patients attended at least 1 outpatient appointment and only one-third completed follow-up.³⁵ Surprisingly, Concannon and colleagues⁵⁰ found that PEH were not significantly more likely to miss appointments, but lost contact with the medical team twice as often as patients with housing owing to a combination of missed appointments, relocation, and admission to hospice. Kay and colleagues⁴¹ found that PEH who received an operation were more likely to return to the orthopedic clinic than nonoperative patients.

Visits to the emergency department

The retrospective chart review by Zuccaro and colleagues³⁵ reported that the number of emergency department encounters per PEH for any reason was 7.9 visits over a period of 2 years, with a wide standard deviation of 1–106 visits. Other primary studies^{41,69,75,78} and a thesis⁷¹ noted an increased use of emergency departments by PEH compared to individuals with housing. In contrast, the retrospective chart review by Concannon and colleagues⁵⁰ found no significant difference in the number of emergency department visits for PEH compared to counterparts with housing.

Readmission

Homelessness was described as a risk factor for readmission by several primary studies^{36,55,69,72,79} and a thesis.⁷¹ Titan and colleagues³⁶ showed that the most notable predictor for readmission was discharge destination, as homeless veteran patients were significantly more likely to be readmitted when discharged to the community rather than a domiciliary or

nursing home.³⁶ Conversely, an abstract by Blakely and colleagues³⁸ found no difference in 30-day readmission.

Complications

As with other outcomes, findings were inconsistent for complications among PEH. These were described in several primary studies,^{36,41,45,56,57,66,80,81,83,85–88} abstracts,^{38,42,82,84} and a thesis.⁷¹ Several studies reported that PEH were more likely to experience complications and treatment failure,^{71,80–84} whereas others reported no difference or the opposite.^{36,38,41,66} For example, 1 study found homelessness to be a risk factor for Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in soft tissue infection, while another study found homelessness was not associated with MRSA in hand infections.^{85,86} With appropriate support, the majority of PEH were able to complete their course of antibiotics and were not at increased risk for antibiotic failure.^{87,88} Five studies found that homelessness was not associated with increased mortality.^{38,42,45,56,57}

Educational initiatives

Two studies reported educational initiatives for surgical residents regarding PEH. Freimane and colleagues⁸⁹ described a virtual conference that included teaching on homelessness and pregnancy. After the conference, there was a reported 78.2% increase in self-assessed “very good” or “excellent” knowledge on homelessness and pregnancy among the participants.⁸⁹ Lupicki and colleagues⁹⁰ described a community tour for residents that included a homeless shelter, and after the intervention a significantly larger percentage of participants reported feeling highly prepared to work with impoverished patients. However, less than 13.3% of residents who participated were in surgical specialties.⁹⁰ Both of these studies were published only as abstracts at the time of our review. A primary study by Sayal and colleagues⁹¹ explored the attitudes of medical students and ophthalmology residents working with PEH. Residents had more negative attitudes, less interest, and less confidence working with PEH than medical students.⁹¹

Barriers/challenges

Many studies discussed barriers or challenges PEH face when it comes to surgical care, including 48 primary studies,^{19,31,34–36,39–41,43–45,47–50,54,55,57–64,66,69,72,73,75,79–81,86,91,96,98,100,102–105,107–109,111,112,114,116} 9 abstracts,^{37,38,42,52,77,84,101,106,118} 5 literature reviews,^{22,74,95,99,110} 1 invited article,⁵¹ 1 magazine article,⁷⁰ 1 thought piece,⁹² 1 ethical essay,¹¹⁵ 1 thesis,⁷¹ and 1 historical analysis.¹¹⁷ The majority of comments presented concerns regarding living conditions. The lack of stable housing was described as a possible reason for leaving against medical advice,⁵⁷ readmission,

extended hospital stay,^{55,58,67,87,93,94} and missing follow-up appointments.^{36,64,79,95–97} In addition, authors commented on how the lack of a regular address leaves home nursing services inaccessible,⁹⁷ terminal care impossible,⁴⁹ and deters patients from procedures.^{80,98} Furthermore, the need to find shelter and food can come as a priority over pursuing health care.^{22,43,48,50,59,60,71,98,99} Food insecurity can also pose difficulties in following postoperative diets.^{84,97} Limited access to transportation prevents obtaining both initial and follow-up care.^{36,41,50,59,70,71,77,97,100}

The living conditions of PEH were described as unsafe^{43,69,71,97,98,101} and unhygienic^{36,92,95,97} places to recover after surgery. Prior to surgery, being homeless can contribute to surgical admission; e.g., through an increased risk of traumatic injury due to violence,⁹⁵ cold exposure leading to frostbite,¹⁰² and burns from fire hazards.⁵⁸ In Canada and other countries that use health cards, living in shelters or on the streets puts people at risk of losing their health cards or having them stolen.⁹⁹

Higher rates of mental health or psychiatric conditions, including substance use and other comorbidities, can present as a challenge in all phases of surgical care.^{22,38–40,43,45,51,57,58,63,70,79,86,95–97,100,103–106} PEH can present with more progressed disease and serious conditions affecting indications for and outcomes of surgery.^{36,43,44,58,60,61,81,92,100,106,107} Treatment compliance following surgery was also documented as a challenge.^{35,48,103}

Systematic barriers within the health care system can impact PEH receiving surgical care³⁹ and lengthen their time in hospital.^{19,69} Studies commented on how PEH can have difficulty accessing medical care, resulting in fragmented or atypical health care utilization.^{22,43,49,51,59,73,74,84,100,108–110} Specifically, 11 studies commented on challenges for PEH accessing specialty care.³ 6,41,43,60,75,92,99,100,103,111,112 Four studies mentioned their overreliance on visits to the emergency department.^{41,75,95,103} Two studies commented on how a hospital’s limited funding, resources, space, and personnel can prevent PEH from receiving the care they need while admitted,^{30,47} and 1 study commented on how PEH can experience more discharge concerns.¹¹³ Lack of insurance was noted frequently, even in countries with public coverage but missed certain services.^{57,59,72,77,99}

Thirteen studies mentioned how negative experiences with physicians and other health care providers can be a barrier to PEH obtaining surgical care. Physicians may view homelessness as a contraindication for certain procedures.^{39,42,66} They may also fail to screen PEH for conditions like colon cancer^{31,52} or social needs.⁴⁷ Stigma against PEH can lead to discrimination and power imbalance in decision making.^{59,80,100,114,115} Overall, PEH can have negative interactions with the health care system that lead to mistrust.^{38,50,70,91,92,115} Additionally, studies commented on how the perceptions of PEH

concerning social pressure in clinics,⁴¹ embarrassment with procedures,^{51,80} inability to pay,^{70,72} and necessity of the operation³⁹ can act as barriers.

Other factors contributing to the vulnerability of PEH were documented as additional challenges. These included marital status,⁷³ race and ethnicity,⁷³ residential history,⁷³ incarceration,⁹⁵ language,¹¹⁶ immigration,¹¹⁶ gender,⁷⁷ and education.^{50,99} In particular, low income/poverty was the most reported contributing factor, with comments in 13 papers.^{37,43,45,48,51,58,59,72,73,79,98–100} Lack of social support was also mentioned frequently, with comments in 8 articles.^{34,36,50,71,79,96–98} Finally, the 2 historical articles highlighted the potential for PEH to be abused for research.^{117,118}

Interventions and future strategies

A few articles were thought pieces aimed at addressing these barriers and challenges. From these articles, suggested future strategies were collected (Box 1). Four

Box 1: Future strategies suggested in included articles

- Trauma-informed care and sensitively stewarding informed consent
- Development of supportive housing/respite facilities
- Routine screening for social determinants of health
- Multidisciplinary clinics
- Adjustment of care (e.g., alternative operative methods, prolonged period of immobilization and non-weight-bearing for PEH with ankle fracture fixation)
- Psychosocial after-care program focusing on anxiety, legal concerns, and depression
- Contributing to research on surgical outcomes for PEH
- Engaging with local social safety nets by donating surgical supplies and services
- Improving medical education and continuing professional development on identifying and caring for PEH

PEH = people experiencing homelessness.

articles also described interventions specifically designed to provide better surgical care to PEH (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

This scoping review identified 104 papers describing how PEH interact with surgical care. Homelessness is a complex social issue that reduces access to medical care and further exacerbates barriers within it.^{11–18} As the scope of this paper indicates, every surgical specialty provides care for PEH. It is important for surgeons to understand how to care for PEH, especially with the rates of homelessness increasing postpandemic.^{9,10}

We chose to broadly investigate the literature surrounding surgical care and PEH. Expanding on the study by Abel and colleagues,²² we included studies performed around the globe, investigated grey literature, and had an enlarged definition of surgical care that advanced past procedures done under anesthesia. The studies included in our review were mainly descriptive in nature. Most studies investigated whether there was an association between homelessness and a specific condition that required surgical intervention. The second-largest type of study was those available only as abstracts, demonstrating difficulty in completing or disseminating this type of research. There were also few qualitative studies identified. As qualitative research seeks to incorporate patients' voices and perspectives into scientific literature, it can be a useful tool to bring marginalized voices to the forefront of discussion.¹¹⁹

Interestingly, the specialties included within this review matched the distribution of surgical needs of PEH. The study by Zuccaro and colleagues³⁵ was the only one to investigate how PEH interact with different surgical specialties, albeit without the inclusion of ophthalmology, oral surgery, and obstetrics and gynecology. The most fre-

Table 6: Summary of interventions and their outcomes

Study	Intervention	Outcomes
Das and Drolet ⁹²	Recurring plastic surgery clinic and wound care service	• NA
Harris and Young ¹¹⁴	Specialized clinic for soft tissue infection	• 30% of patients were PEH • Clinic reduced surgical service admission by 47% in its first year of operation
Hennein and de Alba Campomanes ¹¹⁶	Health coaching and transportation voucher with ophthalmology clinic care	• Follow-up difference was 54% in the postintervention group compared to the preintervention group
Kibel et al. ⁹⁶ and Galarraga et al. ¹⁰⁹	10-day retreat including circumcision, healing, education, and coming of age	• Positive feedback on provision of basic needs (including food, shelter, and security) and education • Few participants commented on the circumcision procedure, but the ones who did found it a positive experience • Peers influenced the participants' decision to attend the program and be circumcised • Support during the healing process was also crucial to the program's acceptability • Circumcision outside of an exclusively clinical setting was meaningful to many patients • Average cost per individual was \$108 USD, of which \$9 USD was attributed to the circumcision procedure

NA = not applicable; PEH = people experiencing homelessness.

quently contacted services for emergency department referral were orthopedic surgery and plastic surgery. This matched the spread of literature, with most articles referring to those specialties. It is possible that the degree to which a specialty interacts with PEH is being reflected within the literature. However, the study by Zuccaro and colleagues³⁵ was conducted specifically in Ottawa, Canada, and may not reflect the surgical needs of PEH in other parts of the world.

The experience of homelessness is complex and multifactorial, and the circumstances vary from individual to individual. PEH can be found along a spectrum of temporary housing instability owing to life circumstances such as fleeing from domestic violence to chronically sheltering in places unfit for human habitation. When the term homelessness is used, the common understanding is of individuals experiencing housing instability and/or on the lower socioeconomic spectrum. Hence, it was not unexpected that many studies included in our review did not formally define homelessness prior to using the term or describing their study population. When study authors tried to formally define the conditions that qualified as housing instability, the task became increasingly complex as individual authors used varying criteria to capture invisible homelessness through the use of time (e.g., length of stay in a homeless shelter per calendar year) or appropriateness of shelter (e.g., staying with friends). Other circumstances leading to homelessness, such as disaster evacuation and refugee status, can be placed on the spectrum of homelessness but were excluded from our review. Both scenarios were deemed to be outside of the scope of this research. Finally, homelessness is considered to be a transient state; Bennett and colleagues⁶⁶ continued follow-up of their patients, and 73% of their study population found housing at some point in their postoperative period, demonstrating that homelessness can be transient and not necessarily chronic.

It is also worthwhile to discuss the differences in health insurance around the world, which can be a determining factor in whether a costly surgical procedure or intervention is available to patients. For example, American patients with government insurance or without insurance had lower odds of receiving breast reconstruction postmastectomy in comparison to those with private insurance.¹²⁰ Even in countries with universal health care, there are medical and surgical options that are not covered by public insurance. Ramsay and colleagues¹²¹ described how affordability acts as a barrier for PEH to adhere to physician advice. Given that various aspects of surgical care involve choosing treatments with different costs, it prompts a reflection on the equity of care distribution.

Medical education around PEH is another area of concern. The single study that explored students' and residents' perceptions of working with PEH determined that attitudes appeared to become more negative as trainees progressed through training.⁹¹ The teaching surrounding working with PEH has been called into question for reinforcing stereotypes and lacking applicability.¹²²

However, although only available in the form of abstracts, the studies that investigated educational initiatives found positive responses among the learners involved.^{89,90} As interacting with patients with complex social needs without "quick-fix" solutions can be emotionally draining for medical professionals and learners, more interventions to properly prepare students and professionals should be put in place. This, in turn, has the potential to reduce the negative interactions PEH face when interacting with the medical system for there is a negative association between burnout and empathy.¹²³

Limitations

The strength of this study includes its comprehensive approach to the literature search. Our search strategy involved multiple databases, grey literature, and forward snowballing. We also used a definition of surgical care that included all procedures, referrals, and consultations that surgeons may see, as not all surgical care involves the operating room or use of anesthesia. Although a major strength of this study, the broad approach to the topic also acted as a limitation. Owing to the broad search terms used and the general focus on surgery, we cannot claim to have comprehensively reviewed the literature available within each surgical specialty as we did not use specialty-specific search terms. Additionally, as homelessness is a complex experience on the spectrum from transient to chronic, the use of the definition of homelessness varied among articles. It is possible that those experiencing chronic homelessness compared to a brief period of homelessness may have different surgical needs, and it is unclear which populations were included within this review.

Another limitation of this study is the concept of unconscious or implicit bias, in which existing data are informed by ascribing stereotypical characteristics to certain groups without realization.¹²⁴ For example, PEH being reported as more likely to leave against medical advice and being over-represented in traumatic injuries can contribute to a negative perception of PEH.^{19–21,38,45,72} Data suggest the phenomenon of negative counter-transference in caring for PEH can develop as early as during training.^{124,125} If left unchecked, negative stereotypes, bias, and perceptions can affect patient care, research, and advocacy efforts for this population. Thus, action must be taken to educate clinicians and researchers on implicit bias and counter-transference, and tools to address these are needed to reduce the impact on future research, perceptions of the interaction between surgical care and PEH, and consequently the health outcomes of PEH.

CONCLUSION

This scoping review captured a broad array of literature examining the surgical care of PEH. It identified studies

within all surgical specialties. Although PEH often present with advanced medical conditions or traumatic injuries that require surgical operation or management, their access to such care can be limited by various barriers and challenges. Future research stratifying and comparing different health care systems, elective compared with nonelective procedures among PEH, cost-analysis projects, and surveys of the opinions of providers and PEH can provide valuable insight to this topic.

Affiliations: From the Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ont. (Hircock, Huan); the Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ont. (McDonald); and the Department of Surgery, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ont. (McDonald).

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Sadaf Ullah, clinical outreach librarian at McMaster University, for her assistance with search development.

Competing interests: None declared.

Contributors: C. Hircock, P. Huan, and M. McDonald designed the study. C. Pizzola acquired the data, which all authors analyzed. All authors wrote and reviewed the article and approved the final version for publication.

Funding: M. McDonald is supported through a Global Surgery Scholar Bursary awarded by the McMaster University Global Health Office and Departments of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Anesthesia, and Surgery (MacGIObAS).

Content licence: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original publication is properly cited, the use is noncommercial (i.e., research or educational use), and no modifications or adaptations are made. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

References

- Gaetz S, Dej E, Richter T. The state of homelessness in Canada 2016. *Canadian Observatory on Homelessness Press*; 2016.
- Thompson RG, Wall MM, Greenstein E, et al. Substance-use disorders and poverty as prospective predictors of first-time homelessness in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2013;103:S282-8.
- Herman DB, Susser ES, Struening EL, et al. Adverse childhood experiences: Are they risk factors for adult homelessness? *Am J Public Health* 1997;87:249-55.
- Patterson ML, Somers JM, Moniruzzaman A. Prolonged and persistent homelessness: multivariable analyses in a cohort experiencing current homelessness and mental illness in Vancouver, British Columbia. *Ment Health Subst Use* 2012;5:85-101.
- Greenberg GA, Rosenheck RA. Mental health correlates of past homelessness in the National Comorbidity Study Replication. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2010;21:1234-49.
- Greenberg GA, Rosenheck RA. Jail incarceration, homelessness, and mental health: a national study. *Psychiatr Serv* 2008;59:170-7.
- Burt MR, Aron LY, Lee E. *Helping America's homeless: emergency shelter or affordable housing?* Washington: the Urban Institute; 2001.
- Shinn M. International homelessness: policy, socio-cultural, and individual perspectives. *J Soc Issues* 2007;63:657-77.
- Finnigan R. Self-reported impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic for people experiencing homelessness in Sacramento, California. *J Soc Distress Homeless* 2022;31:72-80.
- Irwin MD, Amanuel Y, Bickers B, et al. Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on preexisting racial and ethnic disparities, and results of an integrated safety net response in Arlington County, Virginia. *Health Secur* 2021;19:S-62.
- Fazel S, Khosla V, Doll H, et al. The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in western countries: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *PLoS Med* 2008;5:e225.
- Folsom DP, Hawthorne W, Lindamer L, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for homelessness and utilization of mental health services among 10,340 patients with serious mental illness in a large public mental health system. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005;162:370-6.
- Washington DL, Yano EM, McGuire J, et al. Risk factors for homelessness among women veterans. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2010;21:82-91.
- Edens EL, Kaspro W, Tsai J, et al. Association of substance use and VA service-connected disability benefits with risk of homelessness among veterans. *Am J Addict* 2011;20:412-9.
- Fazel S, Geddes JR, Kushel M. The health of homeless people in high-income countries: descriptive epidemiology, health consequences, and clinical and policy recommendations. *Lancet* 2014;384:1529-40.
- Hwang SW, Wilkins R, Tjepkema M, et al. Mortality among residents of shelters, rooming houses, and hotels in Canada: 11 year follow-up study. *BMJ* 2009;339:b4036.
- White BM, Logan A, Magwood GS. Access to diabetes care for populations experiencing homelessness: an integrated review. *Curr Diab Rep* 2016;16:112.
- Bernstein RS, Meurer LN, Plumb EJ, et al. Diabetes and hypertension prevalence in homeless adults in the United States: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Public Health* 2015;105:e46-60.
- Nguyen AB, Grimes B, Neuhaus J, et al. A cross-sectional study of the association between homelessness and facial fractures. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2019;7:e2254.
- Zeiler KJ, Gomez A, Mathieu F, et al. Health determinants among North Americans experiencing homelessness and traumatic brain injury: a scoping review. *Neurotrauma Rep* 2021;2:303-21.
- Vrouwe SQ, Johnson MB, Pham CH, et al. The homelessness crisis and burn injuries: a cohort study. *J Burn Care Res* 2020;41:820-7.
- Abel MK, Schwartz H, Lin JA, et al. Surgical care of patients experiencing homelessness: a scoping review using a phases of care conceptual framework. *J Am Coll Surg* 2022;235:350-60.
- Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Info Libr J* 2009;26:91-108.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005;8:19-32.
- Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci* 2010;5:69.
- Grey Matters: a practical tool for searching health-related grey literature.* Ottawa; CADTH. Available: <https://www.cadth.ca/grey-matters-practical-tool-searching-health-related-grey-literature> (accessed 2022 Oct. 31).
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 1977;33:159-74.
- Covidence - Better systematic review management.* Melbourne: Covidence. Available: <https://www.covidence.org/> (accessed 2022 Dec. 11).
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71.
- Zazzali JL, Marshall G, Shetty V, et al. Provider perceptions of patient psychosocial needs after orofacial injury. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65:1584-9.
- Marron TU, Weiner A, Rabiner M. Barriers to colonoscopy among New York City homeless. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2014;80:745-6.
- Patel A, Pahl E, Gossett JG. Variations in criteria and practices for heart transplantation listing among pediatric transplant cardiologists. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2015;34:S322.
- Trent M, Recto M, Qian Q, et al. Please be careful with me: discrepancies between adolescent expectations and clinician perspectives on the management of pelvic inflammatory disease. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol* 2019;32:363-7.
- Knoepke CE, Siry B, Mayton C, et al. Structural inequities in the psychosocial evaluation for LVAD: a mixed-method survey of LVAD social workers. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:551.

35. Zuccaro L, Champion C, Bennett S, et al. Understanding the surgical care needs and use of outpatient surgical care services among homeless patients at the Ottawa Hospital. *Can J Surg* 2018;61:424-9.
36. Titan A, Graham L, Rosen A, et al. Homeless status, postdischarge health care utilization, and readmission after surgery. *Med Care* 2018;56:460-9.
37. Goodwin M, Brown C. Surgery in the homeless population: patient characteristics and impact on length of stay. *Can J Surg* 2008;51(4 Suppl 1):1-24.
38. Blakely AM, Merritt R, Stephen AH, et al. Homeless trauma patients—a unique challenge. *J Surg Res* 2013;179.
39. Balla S, Alqahtani F, Alhajji M, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes and rehospitalization rates in homeless patients admitted with acute myocardial infarction. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020;95:660-8.
40. Khan MZ, Munir M, Khan M, et al. Burden of infective endocarditis in homeless patients in the United States: a national perspective. *Am J Med Sci* 2021;362:39-47.
41. Kay HF, Sathiyakumar V, Archer K, et al. The homeless orthopaedic trauma patient: follow-up, emergency room usage, and complications. *J Orthop Trauma* 2014;28:e128-32.
42. Odeh T, Raybon-Rojas E, Kumar G. Is low socio-economic status an obstacle to receiving a valve replacement in an otherwise indicated patient with infective endocarditis? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;77:1717.
43. Wadhwa RK, Khatana S, Choi E, et al. Disparities in care and mortality among homeless adults hospitalized for cardiovascular conditions. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020;180:357-66.
44. Liauw SSL, Luong L, Liu S, et al. Clinical presentation and outcome of patients experiencing homelessness presenting with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Can J Cardiol* 2021;37:1555-61.
45. Kiwanuka H, Maan Z, Rochlin D, et al. Homelessness and inpatient burn outcomes in the United States. *J Burn Care Res* 2019;40:633-8.
46. Bernhisel A, Hekzco JB, Stagg B, et al. Comparing eye care at a tertiary eye care clinic to a free homeless clinic. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2019;60.
47. Yamamoto A, Gelberg L, Needleman J, et al. Comparison of child-birth delivery outcomes and costs of care between women experiencing vs not experiencing homelessness. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e217491.
48. Festa K, Hirsch AE, Cassidy MR, et al. Breast cancer treatment delays at an urban safety net hospital among women experiencing homelessness. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2020;29:B124.
49. Suh KJ, Kim K, Lim J, et al. Lung cancer in homeless people: clinical outcomes and cost analysis in a single institute. *Can Respir J* 2016;2016:3727689.
50. Concannon KF, Thayer JH, Wu QV, et al. Outcomes among homeless patients with non-small-cell lung cancer: a county hospital experience. *JCO Oncol Pract* 2020;16:e1004-14.
51. Louie AD, Nwaiwu CA, Rozenberg J, et al. Providing appropriate pancreatic cancer care for people experiencing homelessness: a surgical perspective. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2021;41:1-9.
52. Foreman L, Green DA, Thorpe B, et al. A demonstration project: providing colon cancer screening to homeless people - capitalizing on community partnerships. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35:e18009.
53. Bingham BS, Facer B, Ivey C, et al. Treatment of cancer for patients experiencing homelessness. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019;105:e445.
54. Adams J, Rosenheck R, Gee L, et al. Hospitalized younger: a comparison of a national sample of homeless and housed inpatient veterans. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2007;18:173-84.
55. Hwang SW, Weaver J, Aubry T, et al. Hospital costs and length of stay among homeless patients admitted to medical, surgical, and psychiatric services. *Med Care* 2011;49:350-4.
56. Chen JH, Nosanov L, Carney B, et al. Patient and social characteristics contributing to disparities in outcomes after burn injury: application of database research to minority health in the burn population. *Am J Surg* 2018;216:863-8.
57. Endorf FW, Nygaard RM. Social determinants of poor outcomes following frostbite injury: a study of the national inpatient sample. *J Burn Care Res* 2021;42:1261-5.
58. Huang S, Choi K, Pham C, et al. Homeless tent fires: a descriptive analysis of tent fires in the homeless population. *J Burn Care Res* 2021;42:886-93.
59. Wu V, Noel C, Forner D, et al. Otolaryngology needs among an adult homeless population: a prospective study. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2020;49:47.
60. Ralli M, Marinelli A, De-Giorgio F, et al. Prevalence of otolaryngology diseases in an urban homeless population. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2022;166:1022-7.
61. Mahure SA, Bosco J, Slover J, et al. Risk of complications after THA increases among patients who are coinfecting with HIV and hepatitis C. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2018;476:356-69.
62. Schwarzkopf R, Mahure S, Slover J, et al. Co-infection with hepatitis C and HIV in total hip arthroplasty: an incremental effect of disease burden. *Hip Int* 2016;26:S47.
63. Mahure SA, Bosco JA, Slover JD, et al. Coinfection with hepatitis C and HIV is a risk factor for poor outcomes after total knee arthroplasty. *JBS Open Access* 2017;2:e0009.
64. Skillman J, Thangaraj R, Nightingale P, et al. Epidemiology of plastic surgery trauma in people with associated drug and alcohol dependence: developing guidelines for optimal treatment. *Eur J Plast Surg* 2011;34:179-85.
65. Levin SR, Farber A, Arinze N, et al. Intravenous drug use history is not associated with poorer outcomes after arteriovenous access creation. *J Vasc Surg* 2021;73:291-300.e7.
66. Bennett CG, Lu LY, Thomas KA, et al. Joint replacement surgery in homeless veterans. *Arthroplast Today* 2017;3:253-6.
67. Lawson LV, Bowie B, Neufeld M. Program evaluation of a recuperative care pilot project. *Public Health Nurs* 2021;38:93-7.
68. Podymow T, Turnbull J, Tadic V, et al. Shelter-based convalescence for homeless adults. *Can J Public Health* 2006;97:379-83.
69. Cai C, Lindquist K, Bongiovanni T. Factors associated with delays in discharge for trauma patients at an urban county hospital. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2020;5:e000535.
70. Issar NM, Jahangir AA, Powell M, et al. Homelessness and orthopaedics: what you need to know. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 2011.
71. Williams S. Comparison of housed and homeless patients with an orthopedic diagnosis. *Electron Thesis Diss Repos* 2014. Available: <https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/2505> (accessed 2022 Apr. 5).
72. Manzano-Nunez R, Zogg C, Bhulani N, et al. Association of Medicaid expansion policy with outcomes in homeless patients requiring emergency general surgery. *World J Surg* 2019;43:1483-9.
73. Gabrielian S, Yuan A, Andersen R, et al. VA health service utilization for homeless and low-income veterans a spotlight on the VA Supportive Housing (VASH) Program in Greater Los Angeles. *Med Care* 2014;52:454-61.
74. Siddiqui N, Urman R. Opioid use disorder and racial/ethnic health disparities: prevention and management. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2022;26:129-37.
75. Nazifi O, Stuart A, Beaver R, et al. The musculoskeletal injury patterns in homeless patients: poor compliance with outpatient follow-up. *J Soc Distress Homeless* 2021;2:184-90.
76. Chang JT, Sewell J, Day L. Prevalence and predictors of patient no-shows to outpatient endoscopic procedures scheduled with anesthesia. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2015;15:123.
77. Moore AN, Carmichael H, Duffy PS, et al. Risk factors associated with lack of patient outpatient follow up at a regional burn center. *J Burn Care Res* 2021;42:S45.
78. Rafael Arceo S, Runner RP, Huynh TD, et al. Disparities in follow-up care for ballistic and non-ballistic long bone lower extremity fractures. *Injury* 2018;49:2193-7.
79. McIntyre LK, Arbabi S, Robinson E, et al. Analysis of risk factors for patient readmission 30 days following discharge from general surgery. *JAMA Surg* 2016;151:855-61.

80. Orlando MS, Vable AM, Holt K, et al. Homelessness, housing instability, and abortion outcomes at an urban abortion clinic in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020;223:892.e1-12.
81. Wolfstadi JI, Pincus D, Kreder H, et al. Association between socioeconomic deprivation and surgical complications in adults undergoing ankle fracture fixation: a population-based analysis. *Can J Surg* 2019;62:320-7.
82. Okeke NC, Barshes NR. Social factors and foot osteomyelitis treatment outcomes. *J Vasc Surg* 2016;63:201S-2S.
83. Barshes NR, Mindru C, Ashong C, et al. Treatment failure and leg amputation among patients with foot osteomyelitis. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2016;15:303-12.
84. Russell C, Kennon J, Hurrell D, et al. Systematic review of diabetes and homelessness. *Diabet Med* 2021;38:2.
85. Imahara SD, Friedrich JB. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in surgically treated hand infections. *J Hand Surg Am* 2010;35:97-103.
86. Young DM, Harris H, Charlebois E, et al. An epidemic of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* soft tissue infections among medically underserved patients. *Arch Surg* 2004;139:947-51.
87. Beiler AM, Dellit T, Chan J, et al. Successful implementation of outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy at a medical respite facility for homeless patients. *J Hosp Med* 2016;11:531-5.
88. Gill AS, Gorski M, Strage KE, et al. Oral versus intravenous antibiotics for residual osteomyelitis after amputation in the diabetic foot. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2022;61:735-8.
89. Freimane K, Kavanagh M, Sodani N. Delivering virtual teaching on providing care to marginalised groups. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol* 2021;128:201.
90. Lupicki K, Parish A, Caldwell C, et al. 223 The impact of a community tour on incoming residents during orientation at an inner-city hospital. *Ann Emerg Med* 2021;78:S90.
91. Sayal AP, Popovic MM, Mustafa M, et al. The attitudes of Canadian ophthalmology residents and pre-clerkship medical students at an Ontario medical school towards homeless individuals: a cross-sectional study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2021;28:330-6.
92. Das RK, Drolet BC. Surgical equity: care for persons experiencing homelessness. *Am J Surg* 2022;223:1220-1.
93. Howell A, Parker S, Tsitskaris K, et al. The burden of bone, native joint and soft tissue infections on orthopaedic emergency referrals in a city hospital. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2016;98:34-9.
94. Endorf FW, Nygaard RM. High cost and resource utilization of frostbite readmissions in the United States. *J Burn Care Res* 2021;42:857-64.
95. Kale NN, Marsh J, Kale NK, et al. Musculoskeletal injuries and conditions among homeless patients. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev* 2021;5:e21.00241.
96. Hanna V, Chan C, Lichter M. Cataract surgery in homeless patients: challenges encountered and strategies for providing care. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2022;57:e19-21.
97. Kushel M. Homelessness: a potent risk factor for readmission. *Med Care* 2018;56:457-9.
98. Kibel M, Shah P, Ayuku D, et al. Acceptability of a pilot intervention of voluntary medical male circumcision and HIV education for street-connected youth in Western Kenya. *J Adolesc Health* 2019;64:43-8.
99. Sayal AP, Slomovic J, Bhambra N, et al. Visual impairment and the prevalence of ocular pathology in homeless children and adults globally: a systematic review. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2021;56:158-65.
100. Ryan TJ, Farber A, Cheng TW, et al. Factors associated with a tunneled dialysis catheter in place at initial arteriovenous access creation. *J Vasc Surg* 2021;73:1771-7.
101. Enayati D, Enayati IH. Emergency department use for laceration injuries among the United States homeless population from 2009-2019. *Acad Emerg Med* 2021;28:S120.
102. Jovic M, Jeremic J, Jovanovic I, et al. Predisposing factors for frostbite — a ten-year retrospective study. *Srp Arb Celok Lek* 2019;147:583-7.
103. Rai B, Ward NZ, Amarnani A, et al. Challenges of caring for patients with inflammatory arthritis experiencing homelessness: preliminary (12-month) follow-up observations and identification of certain barriers to care. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2021;73:1239-40.
104. Kim D, Jwa C, Kim G, et al. An experience of management of homeless neurosurgical patients. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 2007;42:191-4.
105. Conlin S, Littlechild J, Aditya H, et al. Surgical and psychiatric profile of patients who self-harm by burning in a regional burn unit over an 11-year period. *Scott Med J* 2016;61:17-25.
106. Hwabejire J, Nembhard C, Siram S, et al. Homeless and in shock: morbidity and mortality in the homeless after traumatic hemorrhagic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2014;42:A1609.
107. Gurgel RK, Lund G, Gundlapalli A. Role of otolaryngologists in health care for the homeless. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2009;118:471-4.
108. Fournier PE, Lelievre H, Eykyn SJ, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of *Bartonella quintana* and *Bartonella henselae* endocarditis: a study of 48 patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2001;80:245-51.
109. Galarraga O, Shah P, Wilson-Barthes M, et al. Cost and cost-effectiveness of voluntary medical male circumcision in street-connected youth: findings from an education-based pilot intervention in Eldoret, Kenya. *AIDS Res Ther* 2018;15:24.
110. Munro S, Benipal S, Williams A, et al. Access experiences and attitudes toward abortion among youth experiencing homelessness in the United States: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2021;16.
111. Wong LL, Lorenzo C, Limm W, et al. Splenorenal shunt — an ideal procedure in the Pacific. *Arch Surg* 2002;137:1125-9.
112. Wyse JJ, Herreid-O'Neill A, Dougherty J, et al. Perioperative management of buprenorphine/naloxone in a large, National Health Care System: a retrospective cohort study. *J Gen Intern Med* 2022;37:2998-3004.
113. Hudson A, Al Youha S, Samargandi OA, et al. Pre-existing psychiatric disorder in the burn patient is associated with worse outcomes. *Burns* 2017;43:973-82.
114. Harris HW, Young D. Care of injection drug users with soft tissue, infections in San Francisco, California. *Arch Surg* 2002;137:1217-22.
115. Regel EV. How should clinicians help homeless trauma survivors make irreversible surgical care decisions? *AMA J Ethic* 2021;23:E847-51.
116. Hennein L, de Alba Campomanes AG. Association of a health coaching and transportation assistance intervention at a free ophthalmology homeless shelter clinic with follow-up rates. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2021;139:311-6.
117. Aronowitz R. From skid row to main street: the bowery series and the transformation of prostate cancer, 1951-1966. *Bull Hist Med* 2014;88:287-318.
118. Bailey K, Palmer D, Patel S. The bowery biopsies: the exploitation of homeless men to advance prostate cancer detection. *J Urol* 2014;191:e632.
119. Gallo L, Murphy J, Braga LH, et al. Users' guide to the surgical literature: how to assess a qualitative study. *Can J Surg* 2018;61:208-14.
120. Restrepo DJ, Boczar D, Huayllani MT, et al. Influence of race, income, insurance, and education on the rate of breast reconstruction. *Anticancer Res* 2019;39:2969-73.
121. Ramsay N, Hossain R, Moore M, et al. Health care while homeless: barriers, facilitators, and the lived experiences of homeless individuals accessing health care in a Canadian regional municipality. *Qual Health Res* 2019;29:1839-49.
122. To MJ, MacLeod A, Hwang SW. Homelessness in the medical curriculum: an analysis of case-based learning content from one Canadian medical school. *Teach Learn Med* 2016;28:35-40.
123. Wilkinson H, Whittington R, Perry L, et al. Examining the relationship between burnout and empathy in healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *Burn Res* 2017;6:18-29.
124. Astroth K, Jenkins S, Kerber C, Woith W. A qualitative exploration of nursing students' perceptions of the homeless and their care experiences. *Nursing Forum* 2018;53:489-95.
125. Buck DS, King BT. Medical student self-efficacy and attitudes toward homeless patients. *Virtual Mentor* 2009;11:32-7.