## Quantitative Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Biofilms by Real-Time PCR

Morgan Guilbaud,<sup>1</sup> Pierre de Coppet,<sup>2</sup> Fabrice Bourion,<sup>3</sup> Cinta Rachman,<sup>1</sup> Hervé Prévost,<sup>1</sup>\* and Xavier Dousset<sup>1</sup>

Laboratoire de Microbiologie Alimentaire et Industrielle, ENITIAA,<sup>1</sup> and Unité des Fonctions Digestives et Nutrition Humaine, INRA,<sup>2</sup> Nantes, and Association ASEPT, Laval,<sup>3</sup> France

Received 3 June 2004/Accepted 9 November 2004

A quantitative method based on a real-time PCR assay to enumerate *Listeria monocytogenes* in biofilms was developed. The specificity for *L. monocytogenes* of primers targeting the listeriolysin gene was demonstrated using a SYBR Green I real-time PCR assay. The number of *L. monocytogenes* detected growing in biofilms was  $6 \times 10^2$  CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In the food industry, Listeria monocytogenes represents an important health risk. The consumption of food products contaminated with this gram-positive bacterium can cause listeriosis, a serious disease with a 30% mortality rate. Raw material can contain L. monocytogenes, but contamination of food products can also occur during processing. The rapid methods currently available for identifying L. monocytogenes are limited by a threshold of approximately 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml and consequently require enrichment procedures (22). Rapid quantification of L. monocytogenes is important for many reasons, such as in the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point method program to verify critical limits and monitor contamination levels and for quantitative risk assessment. L. monocytogenes, like several food-borne pathogens, can attach to the surfaces in contact with the products, leading to the formation of biofilms (12, 14). Adherent bacteria can acquire new physiological properties compared with planktonic cells conferring resistance to disinfectants or sanitizers, leading to hygiene problems, particularly for pathogens that can participate in the recontamination of products (7, 19). Rapid enumeration of L. monocytogenes in biofilms could thus be very useful in the identification of sources of food contamination. DNA-based methods, such as PCR, have been considerably developed to detect food-borne pathogens like L. monocytogenes (1, 6, 8, 21). Real-time PCR has previously been proposed to detect and quantify L. monocytogenes in food products like milk, cheese, and cabbage (10, 13, 18). However, to our knowledge, no study has been reported concerning real-time PCR for the detection and quantification of L. monocytogenes in biofilms.

The aim of this work was to develop a quantitative method to evaluate the population of *L. monocytogenes* in artificially made biofilms. The real-time PCR can be used to estimate the number of copies of a target gene in a sample and is reported to be more sensitive than conventional qualitative PCR. Realtime PCR is based on the detection and quantification of a fluorescent reporter, whose emission is directly proportional to the quantity of amplicons generated during the PCR. The fluorescent reporter used in this study was SYBR Green I, a nonspecific double-stranded DNA-binding dye. SYBR Green I has the advantage of not requiring the design of specific probes, and its binding is not affected by potential mutations of the target gene. The specificity and sensitivity of the primers used were determined, and then the effectiveness of four methods of extracting DNA from *L. monocytogenes* growing in biofilms was evaluated. Finally, the detection and quantification of *L. monocytogenes* in biofilms with the real-time PCR protocol developed in this paper were demonstrated.

Quantification of *L. monocytogenes* with the SYBR Green I real-time PCR assay. A region of the *L. monocytogenes* listeriolysin O gene (*hly*) was used as a target for PCR amplification. The forward primer (5'-GGGAAATCTGTCTCAGGTG ATGT-3') and the reverse primer (5'-CGATGATTTGAACT TCATCTTTGC-3') (13) were used to amplify a 106-bp segment of the *hly* gene from bp 973 to 1078 (GenBank accession number AF253320). The primers were synthesized by Invitrogen (Cergy Pontoise, France).

The real-time PCR amplification reaction mixture (15  $\mu$ l) contains a 4.5- $\mu$ l DNA sample, 1.5  $\mu$ l of each primer (2.5  $\mu$ M), and 7.5  $\mu$ l of QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). Before amplification, the PCR mixture was heated to 95°C for 15 min followed by 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and then 1 min at 62°C. The final step consisted of a decrease of 0.5°C every 10 s (80 times). The amplification results were visualized and analyzed using the software iCycler provided with the thermocycler (iCycler iQ real-time PCR detection system; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Planktonic cultures used for the determination of the specificity of the real-time PCR assay were grown in conditions and medium suitable for each bacterium.

The specificity of the real-time PCR assay was evaluated by testing 22 strains belonging to 18 different species. The culture collection contained five strains of L. monocytogenes. Other strains were tested for their DNA sequence relatedness to L. monocytogenes or their occurrence in meat or fish products (Table 1). Bacterial DNA was extracted using the DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen) as described below. In order to determine the primer specificity, the *hly* DNA fragment was amplified

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: QM2A, LMAI, ENITIAA, rue de la Géraudière, BP 82225, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France. Phone: 33-2-51785524. Fax: 33-2-51785520. E-mail: prevost @enitiaa-nantes.fr.

TABLE 1. List of strains used in this study

Species	Strain designation <sup>a</sup>		
Listeria monocytogenes	157 (serotype 1/2a) (ASEPT)		
Listeria monocytogenes	1421 (serotype 1/2a) (ASEPT)		
Listeria monocytogenes	CIP 78.35 (serotype 3b)		
Listeria monocytogenes	ATCC 35152 (serotype 1/2a)		
Listeria monocytogenes	Scott A CIP 103575 (serotype 4a)		
Listeria innocua	ATCC 33090 (serotype 6a)		
Listeria welshimeri	ATCC 35897 (serotype 6b)		
Listeria seeligeri	ATCC 35967 (serotype 1/2b)		
Listeria ivanovii	ATCC 19119 (serotype 5)		
Listeria gravi	ATCC 19120		
Carnobacterium divergens	ENITIAA V41		
Carnobacterium piscicola	ATCC 35586		
Lactobacillus sakei	ATCC 15521		
Brochothrix thermosphacta	ATCC 11509		
Photobacterium phosphoreum	ATCC 11040		
Vibrio fischeri	ATCC 14546		
Enterococcus faecalis	ATCC 19433		
Enterobacter cloacae	ATCC 23355		
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923		
Pseudomonas fluorescens	ATCC 13525		
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922		
Bacillus subtilis	ATCC 9372		

<sup>*a*</sup> ASEPT, Association ASEPT, Laval, France; CIP, Collection de l'Institut Pasteur, Paris, France; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Va. ENITIAA, Ecole Nationale d'Ingénieurs des Techniques des Industries Agricoles et Alimentaires, Nantes, France.

using DNA extracted from the 22 bacterial strains as a template (50 ng). Among all the bacteria tested, only *L. monocytogenes* strains produced a specific amplification reaction (data not shown). To determine the specificity of the real-time PCR assay, a melting-curve analysis was done (Fig. 1). The melting temperature of each product is defined as the temperature at which the corresponding peak maximum occurs. In this realtime PCR assay, the melt curves revealed peaks at a melting temperature of 76°C corresponding to the melting temperature of the specific amplified product. No other product has been detected, neither with melting curves nor after migration of the PCR products on an agarose gel. So there is no interference in the reading of the fluorescence, guaranteeing the specificity of the signal.



FIG. 2. Standard curve representing the detection of L. monocytogenes DNA (number of log genome copies). The means of eight determinations are shown with the corresponding standard deviations.

The sensitivity of DNA detection was determined by diluting L. monocytogenes Scott A DNA extracted with the DNeasy tissue kit. DNA was quantified at 260 nm with a UV spectrophotometer, UVIKON XS (Bio-Tek Instruments, Saint Quentin Yvelines, France). Positive amplification results were achieved from eight replicates with  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-13}$  g of L. monocytogenes DNA corresponding to  $3.5 \times 10^6$  to approximately 35 copies of the genome, considering that the genome mass of L. monocytogenes is estimated to be  $2.9 \times 10^{-15}$  g (9). No amplification was observed in negative controls containing no DNA template. The relationship between cycle threshold  $(C_T)$  values and the L. monocytogenes DNA quantities added to the PCR is illustrated in Fig. 2. The  $C_T$  is defined as the PCR cycle at which the fluorescence signal of a sample rises above the determined baseline signal. The baseline is fixed by the user above the background, and the  $C_T$  corresponds to the intersection point of each fluorescent curve with the baseline. The relationship was linear over 6 log cycles from  $3.5 \times 10^6$  to



**Temperature (Celsius)** 

FIG. 1. Melt curves corresponding to the amplification of L. monocytogenes DNA to  $3.5 \times 10^6$  to approximately 35 copies of the genome.

35 copies of the genome with a correlation coefficient of 0.9972. The standard deviations of the eight determinations were low (Fig. 2). In this assay, 35 copies of genomes  $(10^{-13} \text{ g} \text{ of } L. monocytogenes DNA)$  were detected. Previously, Hough et al. (13), using the same primers in a TaqMan real-time PCR protocol, reported the detection of nine genomes of *L. monocytogenes*. The difference could be explained by the techniques of fluorescence used. Such differences have been observed for the quantification of *Staphylococcus aureus* (11) with a slightly lower sensitivity with the SYBR Green I than with the TaqMan probe. This was probably due to an accumulation of dimers of oligonucleotide primers and nonspecific products to which SYBR Green I molecules bound.

DNA extraction from L. monocytogenes growing in biofilms. The procedure used for the preparation of clean stainless steel coupons (CSSC) has previously been described (16) and was slightly modified. Stainless steel coupons (AISI 304 L with two bright annealing finishes; diameter, 12 mm) were sonicated at room temperature in a vessel (Aerosec Industrie, Fécamp, France) for 10 min with a frequency of 28 kHz and a power of 300 W. Coupons were decontaminated in a peracetic acid bath (1%, vol/vol) for 30 min at room temperature, rinsed twice in distilled water for 5 min, and then washed using a 30-min immersion at 50°C with agitation in an 2% alkaline detergent RBS 35 solution (Traitement des surfaces; SARL, Frelinghien, France). Next, the coupons were rinsed with distilled water at 50°C for 30 min with agitation, followed by four further rinses in distilled water at room temperature, before they were dried in a laminar airflow hood and finally autoclaved (121°C, 15 min).

The biofilms were grown according to the procedure previously described (16) by inoculating the CSSC with 100  $\mu$ l of an L. monocytogenes Scott A preculture (24 h at 30°C) in TSBYE (tryptone soy broth supplemented with yeast extract [6 g/liter]). The inoculated coupons were then incubated for 3 h at 30°C to allow cell adhesion, and then the nonadherent bacteria were removed by rinsing the coupons with 20 ml of peptone saline (tryptone, 1 g/liter; sodium chloride, 8.5 g/liter). To allow growth of adherent cells, 100 µl of sterile TSBYE was deposited on the inoculated coupons and then incubated at 30°C for 24 h. The nonadherent bacteria were removed by a rinse with 20 ml of peptone saline before sonication treatment or extraction directly on the coupons. Cells were detached from the stainless steel coupons by sonication for 4 min at a frequency of 28 kHz and 300 W of power in a flask containing 10 ml of peptone saline containing 0.5% (wt/vol) Tween 80. Epifluorescence microscopy with acridine orange as previously described (17) enabled us to verify that after sonication the cells were detached from the coupons. The viability of the cells was tested by submitting a cell suspension to sonication for different times. Cell enumeration was done before and after sonication; the maximum time for which there was no decrease in CFU number was chosen. Enumeration of L. monocytogenes from the biofilms growing on the coupons was performed by plating the detached cells on polymyxin-acriflavine-LiCl-ceftazidimeesculin-mannitol (PALCAM) agar, a selective medium for detection of L. monocytogenes or TSAYE (tryptone soy agar plus yeast extract [6 g/liter]), a nonselective medium.

The efficiencies of four different methods of DNA extraction from L. monocytogenes cells growing in a biofilm were compared. The extractions were tested either on cells adherent to

TABLE 2. Comparison of the real-time PCR results for DNA extracted from biofilms by four methods

DNA extraction method	$C_T \pm \mathrm{SD}^a$		
	Adherent cells <sup>c</sup>	Nonadherent cells	value <sup>b</sup>
Phenol-chloroform (3) DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen) Boiling (4) Potassium acetate (23)	$\begin{array}{c} 19.27(\mathrm{A}) \pm 0.91 \\ 20.94(\mathrm{AB}) \pm 0.81 \\ 21.71(\mathrm{AB}) \pm 1.46 \\ 22.70(\mathrm{B}) \pm 2.58 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.47 \pm 1.70 \\ 23.53 \pm 1.29 \\ 28.75 \pm 2.87 \\ 31.28 \pm 1.77 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ 0.014 \\ 0.004 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$

<sup>*a*</sup> Values are means of four determinations.

 $^{b}$  Results of a Student *t* test for comparison of the means between DNA extraction methods for adherent and nonadherent biofilms.

<sup>c</sup> Means with no common letters are statistically different at the 0.05 significance level according to Fisher's least significant difference procedure.

the coupons or on cells detached from the coupons before extraction. The four methods used were (i) the DNeasy tissue kit with the manufacturer's instructions for gram-positive bacteria in a final volume of 200 µl of elution buffer, (ii) the previously described method with potassium acetate (23), (iii) the phenol chloroform method (3), and (iv) the boiling method as previously described (4). In the last three methods, the DNA was resuspended in a final volume of 190 µl of distilled water and 10 µl of DNase-free RNase (1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). For the adherent cells, the lysis was performed directly on the coupons. Consequently, the preliminary centrifugation steps were not necessary and excluded from the protocols. For the DNeasy tissue kit, the potassium acetate, and the phenol chloroform methods, the first step of extraction of adherent cells consisted of adding the lysis buffer on the coupons covered by cells. The coupons were incubated at 37°C. Then the lysis suspension was removed from the coupons and placed in a 1.5-ml tube, and the extractions were performed as described for the protocols above. For the extraction of adherent cells by the boiling method, sterile water was added on the surface of the coupons. The coupons were incubated at 100°C and then chilled on ice. The lysis suspension was placed into a 1.5-ml tube, and the extraction was performed as described for the protocol above. In regard to cells detached from the coupons by sonication (nonadherent cells), DNA extractions were performed as described above for the protocols. The effectiveness of the four DNA extraction methods was determined by comparing the  $C_T$  (defined as the number of cycles after which fluorescence is significantly different from the background) obtained in a real-time PCR assay with 4.5  $\mu$ l of purified DNA as a template. A Student *t* test was used to compare the  $C_T$  of adherent and nonadherent bacteria (Table 2). At the 95% confidence level, the DNA extractions performed using adherent cells gave significantly different results from those with nonadherent cells. Thus, the  $C_T$  values obtained with adherent cells were lower than those obtained with nonadherent cells. An analysis of variance with Fisher's least significant difference procedure among the four DNA extraction methods with adherent cells (Table 1) showed a statistical difference between the method using phenol chloroform and that using potassium acetate. The DNeasy tissue kit, which gave a low  $C_T$  for adherent cells, was chosen for its ease of use and its reproducibility in the experiments designed to quantify L. monocytogenes in biofilms.



FIG. 3. Standard curve representing  $C_T$  values obtained with realtime PCR for the different quantities of *L. monocytogenes* in the biofilms.

Quantification of L. monocytogenes growing in biofilms. A set of 25 CSSC were inoculated with L. monocytogenes concentrations from 1 to 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> and then incubated at 30°C for 24 h. A standard curve was determined using DNA extracted from adherent cells with different L. monocytogenes population levels after 24 h of growth (Fig. 3). This standard curve allowed validation of the real-time PCR assay on 24-h biofilms by showing whether there was a linear relationship between realtime PCR results and enumerations. DNA extraction and enumeration of L. monocytogenes on TSAYE were performed on two different coupons inoculated and incubated in similar conditions. The hly gene fragment of L. monocytogenes DNA extracted from each biofilm was quantified by real-time PCR. The correlation between the  $C_T$  and the L. monocytogenes enumeration is shown in Fig. 3. A linear regression analysis gave a correlation coefficient of 0.9699. This result revealed a linear relationship between the quantification of L. monocytogenes growing in a biofilm by the real-time PCR protocol and enumeration on an agar plate. A growth of the biofilm population of 1 logarithm corresponded to four cycles of real-time PCR. The sensitivity limit of detection of L. monocytogenes biofilm cells was estimated at  $6 \times 10^2$  CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>. However, in practice considering the dispersal of the points, below a concentration of  $10^4$  cells per cm<sup>2</sup>, the detection was more difficult. Considering that only 4.5 µl of the 200 µl extracted was used for the PCR, this assay allowed approximately 14 CFU of L. monocytogenes to be detected per reaction. With the same primers, Hough et al. detected  $1.4 \times 10^2$  CFU of L. monocytogenes per reaction in 25 g of cabbage. The detection limit of the real-time PCR assay in dairy products was approximately 6 to 60 CFU of L. monocytogenes per reaction (18). The 16S rRNA gene method developed to detect Enterococcus faecalis in water biofilms showed a detection limit of 6 CFU per reaction (20).

In conclusion, to our knowledge, the method developed in this paper is the first real-time PCR approach reported to quantify *L. monocytogenes* in an artificial biofilm. The real-time PCR assay with SYBR Green I enabled 35 genome copies of planktonic cultured *L. monocytogenes* to be detected. The DNA extraction method specially designed for biofilms does not require a detachment step for the microorganisms, like sonication, scraping, vortexing, or shaking with beads. When *L. monocytogenes* bacteria are cultured in a biofilm,  $6 \times 10^2$ CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> can be detected. Other methods have been successfully used for the quantification of bacteria growing in biofilms, such as in situ hybridization (15), microtiter plate assay (5), or confocal scanning laser microscopy (2). Real-time PCR offers the advantages of being quite fast and easy to use.

The technique described in this paper is a first laboratory step to develop a method to study L. monocytogenes biofilms in the food industry where they can constitute a contamination source for food products. To apply this technique to surfaces, further experiments will be necessary, such as testing DNA extraction directly on biofilms sampled from the industry. In our research, real-time PCR will help us to study the behavior of L. monocytogenes in a biofilm in the presence of other bacteria showing inhibitory activity against this pathogen.

We thank M. Amgar for welcoming M.G. to the ASEPT company. We also thank P. Courcoux for his support in statistical analyses and are very grateful to C. Cherbut and M. Champ (UFDNH INRA) for allowing access to the real-time PCR thermocycler.

## REFERENCES

- Almeida, P. F., and R. C. C. Almeida. 2000. A PCR protocol using inl gene as a target for specific detection of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Food Control 11:97–101.
- Chae, M. S., and H. Schraft. 2000. Comparative evaluation of adhesion and biofilm formation of different *Listeria monocytogenes* strains. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 62:103–111.
- de los Reyes-Gavilan, C. G., G. K. Y. Limsowtin, P. Tailliez, L. Sechaud, and J. Accolas. 1992. A *Lactobacillus helveticus*-specific DNA probe detects restriction fragment length polymorphisms in this species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:3429–3432.
- 4. De Medici, D., L. Croci, E. Delibato, S. Di Pasquale, E. Filetici, and L. Toti. 2003. Evaluation of DNA extraction methods for use in combination with SYBR Green I real-time PCR to detect *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis in poultry. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:3456–3461.
- Djordjevic, D., M. Wiedmann, and L. A. McLandsborough. 2002. Microtiter plate assay for assessment of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilm formation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:2950–2958.
- Ericsson, H., and P. Stalhandske. 1997. PCR detection of *Listeria monocy-togenes* in 'gravad' rainbow trout. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 35:281–285.
- Frank, J. F., and R. Koffi. 1990. Surface-adherent growth of *Listeria mono-cytogenes* is associated with increased resistance to surfactant sanitizers and heat. J. Food Prot. 53:550–554.
- Gilot, P., and J. Content. 2002. Specific identification of *Listeria welshimeri* and *Listeria monocytogenes* by PCR assays targeting a gene encoding a fibronectin-binding protein. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:698–703.
- 9. Glaser, P., L. Frangeul, C. Buchrieser, C. Rusniok, A. Amend, F. Baquero, P. Berche, H. Bloecker, P. Brandt, T. Chakraborty, A. Charbit, F. Chetouani, E. Couve, A. de Daruvar, P. Dehoux, E. Domann, G. Dominguez-Bernal, E. Duchaud, L. Durant, O. Dussurget, K. D. Entian, H. Fsihi, F. Garcia-del Portillo, P. Garrido, L. Gautier, W. Goebel, N. Gomez-Lopez, T. Hain, J. Hauf, D. Jackson, L. M. Jones, U. Kaerst, J. Kreft, M. Kuhn, F. Kunst, G. Kurapkat, E. Madueno, A. Maitournam, J. M. Vicente, E. Ng, H. Nedjari, G. Nordsiek, S. Novella, B. de Pablos, J. C. Perez-Diaz, R. Purcell, B. Remmel, M. Rose, T. Schlueter, N. Simoes, A. Tierrez, J. A. Vazquez-Boland, H. Voss, J. Wehland, and P. Cossart. 2001. Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. Science 294:849–852.
- Hein, I., D. Klein, A. Lehner, A. Bubert, E. Brandl, and M. Wagner. 2001. Detection and quantification of the *iap* gene of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* by a new real-time quantitative PCR assay. Res. Microbiol. 152:37–46.
- Hein, I., A. Lehner, P. Rieck, K. Klein, E. Brandl, and M. Wagner. 2001. Comparison of different approaches to quantify *Staphylococcus aureus* cells by real-time quantitative PCR and application of this technique for examination of cheese. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:3122–3126.
- Hood, S. K., and E. A. Zottola. 1997. Adherence to stainless steel by foodborne microorganisms during growth in model food systems. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 37:145–153.
- Hough, A. J., S. A. Harbison, M. G. Savill, L. D. Melton, and G. Fletcher. 2002. Rapid enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* in artificially contami-

nated cabbage using real-time polymerase chain reaction. J. Food Prot. 65:1329-1332.

- 14. Kumar, C. G., and S. K. Anand. 1998. Significance of microbial biofilms in food industry: a review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. **42**:9–27.
- La Cono, V., and C. Urzi. 2003. Fluorescent in situ hybridization applied on samples taken with adhesive tape strips. J. Microbiol. Methods 55:65–71.
- Leriche, V., D. Chassaing, and B. Carpentier. 1999. Behaviour of L. monocytogenes in an artificially made biofilm of a nisin-producing strain of Lactococcus lactis. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 51:169–182.
- Lunden, J., M. Miettinen, T. Autio, and H. Korkeala. 2000. Persistent Listeria monocytogenes strains show enhanced adherence to food contact surface after short contact times. J. Food Prot. 63:1204–1207.
- Nogva, H. K., K. Rudi, K. Naterstad, A. Holck, and D. Lillehaug. 2000. Application of 5'-nuclease PCR for quantitative detection of *Listeria mono cytogenes* in pure cultures, water, skim milk, and unpasteurized whole milk. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:4266–4271.

- Peng, J.-S., W.-C. Tsai, and C.-C. Chou. 2002. Inactivation and removal of Bacillus cereus by sanitizer and detergent. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 77:11–18.
- Santo Domingo, J. W., S. C. Siefring, and R. A. Haugland. 2003. Real-time PCR method to detect *Enterococcus faecalis* in water. Biotechnol. Lett. 25:261–265.
- Simon, M. C., D. I. Gray, and N. Cook. 1996. DNA extraction and PCR methods for the detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cold-smoked salmon. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:822–824.
- Sutherland, P. S., and R. J. Porritt. 1997. Listeria monocytogenes, p. 333–378. In A. D. Hocking, G. Arnold, I. Jenson, K. Newton, and P. Sutherland (ed.), Foodborne microorganisms of public health significance, 5th ed. AIFST (NSW Branch), Sydney, Australia.
- Tudor, J. J., L. Marri, P. J. Piggot, and L. Daneo-Moore. 1990. Size of the Streptococcus mutans GS-5 chromosome as determined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Infect. Immun. 58:838–840.