REVIEW

[Technological tools for the measurement of sensory](https://f1000research.com/articles/12-340/v2)

[characteristics in food: A review](https://f1000research.com/articles/12-340/v2) [version 2; peer review: 2

approved, 1 approved with reservations]

José D Martinez-Velasco ^{[1](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0562-5773)}, Annamaria Filomena-Ambrosio ¹2, Claudia L Garzón-Castro ¹

¹Engineering Faculty - Research Group CAPSAB, Universidad de La Sabana, Campus del Puente del Común, Km 7 Autopista Norte de Bogotá, Chia, Cundinamarca, 250001, Colombia

²International School of Economics and Administrative Science - Research Group Alimentación, Gestión de Procesos y Servicio de la Universidad de La Sabana Research Group, Universidad de La Sabana, Campus del Puente del Común, Km 7 Autopista Norte de Bogotá, Chía, Cundinamarca, 250001, Colombia

First published: 27 Mar 2023, **12**:340 **v2** <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.131914.1> **Latest published:** 01 Feb 2024, **12**:340 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.131914.2>

Abstract

The use of technological tools, in the food industry, has allowed a quick and reliable identification and measurement of the sensory characteristics of food matrices is of great importance, since they emulate the functioning of the five senses (smell, taste, sight, touch, and hearing). Therefore, industry and academia have been conducting research focused on developing and using these instruments which is evidenced in various studies that have been reported in the scientific literature. In this review, several of these technological tools are documented, such as the e-nose, e-tongue, colorimeter, artificial vision systems, and instruments that allow texture measurement (texture analyzer, electromyography, others). These allow us to carry out processes of analysis, review, and evaluation of food to determine essential characteristics such as quality, composition, maturity, authenticity, and origin. The determination of these characteristics allows the standardization of food matrices, achieving the improvement of existing foods and encouraging the development of new products that satisfy the sensory experiences of the consumer, driving growth in the food sector. However, the tools discussed have some limitations such as acquisition cost, calibration and maintenance cost, and in some cases, they are designed to work with a specific food matrix.

1. Itala M. G. Marx U.[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7049-2114) University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

- **Zahir Al-Attabi** , Sultan Qaboos 2. University, Al-Khodh, Oman
- **Mustapha Muhammad Nasir[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7281-4802)** , Federal 3. University Dutsin-Ma, Dutsin-Ma, Nigeria

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

Sensorial characteristic, technological tools, electronic nose, electronic tongue, artificial vision, texture analyzer, acoustic analysis, food sector

This article is included in the [Agriculture, Food](https://f1000research.com/gateways/agriculture-food-nutrition)

[and Nutrition](https://f1000research.com/gateways/agriculture-food-nutrition) gateway.

Corresponding author: Claudia L Garzón-Castro [\(claudia.garzon@unisabana.edu.co](mailto:claudia.garzon@unisabana.edu.co))

Author roles: Martinez-Velasco JD: Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Filomena-Ambrosio A**: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Garzón-Castro CL**: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Universidad de La Sabana ING-257-2020 "Prototipo electrónico para el análisis de las principales características sensoriales en alimentos representativos de México y Colombia".

Copyright: © 2024 Martinez-Velasco JD *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Martinez-Velasco JD, Filomena-Ambrosio A and Garzón-Castro CL. **Technological tools for the measurement of sensory characteristics in food: A review [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2024, **12**:340 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.131914.2>

First published: 27 Mar 2023, **12**:340 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.131914.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

Considering the reviewers' suggestions, the changes made in the new version were as follows:

Abstract: The word colorimeter was mentioned and some of the limitations of technological tools were named.

Table of abbreviations: It was supplemented with CP, MOS, QCM, SAW.

Introduction: Four investigations that make use of more than one technological tool for the analysis of food matrices were highlighted in the last paragraph.

Electronic nose: We was added information with the following technologies: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME). The existing correlation with the human sense was added. Table 1 was updated with 5 research's carried out between 2022 and 2023.

Electronic tongue: The existing correlation with the human sense was added. Table 2 was updated with 4 research's carried out between 2022 and 2023.

Artificial Vision System: We added a) subsection referring to colorimeter, b) Table 3 with relevant studies on the use of colorimeter in foods, c) existing correlation with human sense. Table 4 (previously Table 3) was updated with research's carried out between 2022 and 2023.

Texture Analyzer: The existing correlation with human sense was added. Table 5 (previously Table 4) was updated with 4 research's carried out between 2022 and 2023.

Electromyographic analysis: Table 6 (previously Table 5) was updated with 1 article carried out in 2023.

Acoustic analysis: The existing correlation with the human sense was added and the explanation of the technical functioning of acoustic systems and their relationship with food matrices was improved.

A new section was added (other considerations), which mentions have some limitations such as acquisition cost, calibration, and maintenance cost, and in some cases, they are designed to work with a specific food matrix. All the changes made involved the addition of 44 new references.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Abbreviations

a.u.: Acoustic Energy ANN: Artificial Neural Networks AVS: Artificial Vision System CP: Conductive Polymers CVS: Computer Vision System DFA: Discriminant Function Analysis EMG: Electromyography GC-MS: Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry GC-O: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry HS-SPME: Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction ICA: Imperialist Competitive Algorithm LDA: Linear Discriminant Analysis LEDs: Light Emitting Diodes MOS: Metal Oxide Semiconductors MSE: Mean Square Error PCA: Principal Component Analysis PLS-DA: Partial least square-discriminant analysis PVC: Polyvinyl chloride QCM: Quartz Crystal Microbalance RGB: Red Green Blue RSM: Response Surface Methodology SAW: Surface Acoustic Waves SVM: Support Vector Machines VOCs: Volatile Organic Compounds

1. Introduction

The world of the food industry search to ensure satisfactory multisensory experiences for consumers through the consolidation of quality standards for food products [\(Blissett & Fogel, 2013;](#page-23-0) [Tuorila & Hartmann, 2020](#page-27-0)). The first approach to each food matrix allows the consumer to identify attributes related to size, shape, color, and brightness. A second approach allows more direct interactions related to the perception of smell, aroma, taste, temperature, and texture of the product [\(Fine & Riera, 2019;](#page-24-0) [Isogai & Wise, 2016;](#page-25-0) [Moding](#page-25-0) et al., 2020; [Nederkoorn](#page-26-0) et al., 2018). Recognizing these sensory characteristics determines the acceptance or rejection of the food ([Costell](#page-23-0) et al., 2009; [Torres](#page-27-0) [Gonzalez](#page-27-0) et al., 2015; [Wadhera & Capaldi-Phillips, 2014](#page-27-0)). One of the disciplines that study the sensory characteristics of food is sensory analysis. This term became a field of study in the 17th century when Jean Anthelme Brillat-Savarin, in 1825, wrote his first book entitled Philosophy of Taste, in which he established the basis for the analysis of food and how it is perceived ([Chong, 2012\)](#page-23-0). The constant evolution of the concept and applicability of sensory analysis has consolidated its study using trained panelists or instrumental methods. Although the analyses carried out by these panelists constitute an essential source of information for the acceptance or rejection of a food product, this can be subjective due to biological, social, and other external factors surrounding the subject [\(Buratti](#page-23-0) et al., 2018; Loutfi et al.[, 2015;](#page-25-0) [Tan & Xu,](#page-27-0) [2020\)](#page-27-0).

One of the main limitations when implementing sensory tests is the number of required panelists, ranging from 7 to 100 depending on the test type ([Lawless & Heymann, 2010](#page-25-0); O'[Mahony, 2017](#page-26-0)). This implies an investment of human and economic resources, raw materials, and/or time. This limitation has motivated researchers to generate technologies to identify and quantify some sensory characteristics of foods with greater precision ([Akimoto](#page-22-0) *et al.*, 2017; [Kusumi](#page-25-0) *et al.*, [2020;](#page-25-0) [Pascual](#page-26-0) et al., 2018).

Such developments search to mimic the functioning of the five senses, such is the case of electronic noses (e-noses) and tongues (e-tongues), which upon contact with food, generate an electronic response from a chemical interaction, which is interpreted by a digital information processing system ([Banerjee](#page-23-0) et al., 2019; [Bonah](#page-23-0) et al., 2020). Similarly, image analysis through devices such as cameras seek to simulate the sense of eyesight [\(Ansari](#page-23-0) *et al.*, 2021; [Barbon](#page-23-0) *et al.*, 2017; [Kakani](#page-25-0) et al., 2020; [Khojastehnazhand & Ramezani, 2020](#page-25-0)); concerning touch and hearing, some reports show various technological tools that measure force and sound, seeking to imitate the behavior of these senses [\(Akimoto](#page-22-0) et al., 2019; Kato et al.[, 2017;](#page-25-0) [Kusumi](#page-25-0) et al., 2020).

Each of the technological tools mentioned above contributes a description of the primary sensory characteristics of the food matrix to be evaluated. Few works show the use of more than one technological tool, despite the fact that the combination of these tools allows for better management of different types of resources (scientific personnel, economic resources, time, raw materials). However, Huang et al. [\(2023\),](#page-23-0) Chen et al. (2023), Gao et al. [\(2022\)](#page-24-0) and [Martínez-Velasco](#page-25-0) et al. [\(2022\)](#page-25-0) made use of more than one technological tool. This article consolidates information on some technological tools reported in the literature for sensory analysis in various food matrices.

2. Electronic nose (e-nose)

Odor is one of the most representative attributes of food. This can be expressed as one of the qualities of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), so unique and distinctive that they are considered fingerprints ([Bonah](#page-23-0) et al., 2020; [Tan & Xu,](#page-27-0) [2020\)](#page-27-0).

Generally, the sensory analysis method to identify such components is performed by panelists who rate and classify on different scales the odor perceived in the sample ([Barbieri](#page-23-0) et al., 2021; [Giungato](#page-24-0) et al., 2018; Niu et al.[, 2019](#page-26-0); Swia[der &](#page-27-0) [Marczewska, 2021\)](#page-27-0). On the other hand, different methods have been developed for the identification of VOCs, such as: 1) Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O): this methodology is to assess the odor impact of volatile compounds present in a sample extract and assign a degree of significance to each individual compound. GC-olfactometry, or GC-O, encompasses a range of techniques that rely on human assessors to detect and assess the volatile compounds released during a gas chromatography separation [\(Delahunty](#page-24-0) et al., 2006). 2) Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS): is an analytical technique that integrates the capabilities of both gas chromatography and mass spectrometry to detect and identify various substances present in a given test sample. This technique is utilized within flavor research to identify the aroma-contributing compounds in various food products. These methodologies encompass approaches such as dilution analysis, detection frequency techniques, posterior intensity assessments, and time-intensity evaluations ([Van Ruth,](#page-27-0) [2001\)](#page-27-0). 3) Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME), is a modern and highly sensitive sample preparation technique that does not require solvents. HS-SPME has emerged as a potent sample preparation method that efficiently enables the isolation and concentration of analytes from intricate matrices. It utilizes a coated fiber to concentrate volatile and semi-volatile compounds from a sample, operating on the principles of adsorption/absorption and subsequent desorption ([Lancioni](#page-25-0) et al., 2022).

These methods (GC-O, GC-MS, HS-SPME) are characterized by high accuracy and reliability, as some of the most used methods [\(Attchelouwa](#page-23-0) et al., 2020; Chen et al.[, 2021](#page-23-0)). However, these methods usually require sample conditioning, which involves investing many different types of resources (Shi et al.[, 2018](#page-26-0)). Considering the above, devices such as the e-nose have been developed, consisting of an array of electrochemical sensors articulated with a pattern recognition system that identifies, groups, and discriminates the VOCs ([Gliszczy](#page-24-0)ńska-Świgł[o & Chmielewski, 2017](#page-24-0); [Loutfi](#page-25-0) et al., 2015). This has become an alternative to generating fast and reliable results in the food industry [\(Barbosa-Pereira](#page-23-0) et al., [2019;](#page-23-0) Conti et al.[, 2021;](#page-23-0) [Wasilewski](#page-27-0) et al., 2019).

2.1 The internal structure of the e-nose

The e-nose is a device that seeks, like humans, to perceive, identify and classify odors. The process carried out by an e-nose compared to the human nose can be described as follows: the odor molecules are exposed to the e-nose (which corresponds to the human nose), the chemical patterns present in the sample of the aroma are detected by sensors (which are equivalent to the olfactory receptor neurons), which transform this chemical input into an electrical signal, producing, for each aroma, a unique response pattern, designated as an olfactory fingerprint (function performed by the olfactory bulb). Finally, pattern recognition techniques are applied to this response to discriminate, classify and/or predict the type of aroma being analyzed (action developed in the brain thanks to neurons) ([Moreno](#page-26-0) *et al.*, 2009). Thus, the e-nose is characterized by the articulation of three fundamental systems: sensing, electrical conditioning, and pattern recognition; see Figure 1.

The sensing system is composed of a matrix of sensors that can be of different types such as: conductivity, polymers, Conductive Polymers (CP), Metal Oxide Semiconductors (MOS), Surface Acoustic Waves (SAW), and Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM), which allow the detection of VOCs through absorption, adsorption, or chemical reaction methods. Depending on the characteristics of the food matrix to be evaluated, the sensors that make up the e-nose must be carefully considered, as they will react more efficiently to certain particles ([Tan & Xu, 2020;](#page-27-0) [Wilson & Baietto, 2009](#page-27-0)). This detection produces an electronic signal, from which it is possible to characterize the VOCs.

The electrical conditioning system is responsible for matching the signal emitted by each of the sensors. Signal matching consists of amplification and filtering to identify the analyzed food matrix sample (Shi *et al.*[, 2018](#page-26-0)).

Finally, the pattern recognition system receives the already conditioned electrical signal and is in charge of processing it. For this procedure, extraction methods are used, which aim to obtain reliable and robust information from the electrical signal, guaranteeing greater measurement efficiency. Some extraction methods are: Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Support Vector Machines (SVM), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), Linear Discrimination Analysis (LDA), Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA), decision trees, and other machine learning classifiers [\(Tan & Xu, 2020](#page-27-0); [Yan](#page-28-0) et al.[, 2015](#page-28-0)).

2.2 E-nose applications

E-nose is used in several food matrices to identify their authenticity due to the growing number of counterfeit products that represent a significant risk to the health of consumers [\(Gliszczy](#page-24-0)n[ska-](#page-24-0)Świgł[o & Chmielewski, 2017\)](#page-24-0). Additionally, this device also allows users to identify and group according to their specifications some food matrices such as: alcoholic

Figure 1. Fundamental stages of operation of an electronic nose.

F1000Research 2024, 12:340 Last updated: 09 FEB 2024

beverages, dairy products, and juices [\(Sanaeifar](#page-26-0) *et al.*, 2017); the ripeness of fruits and vegetables; quality of meats; shelf life of grains, among others (Du et al.[, 2019;](#page-24-0) [Tan & Xu, 2020](#page-27-0); Wang et al.[, 2019](#page-27-0)).

For example, the e-nose of the Alpha MOS FOX family has been used to identify possible adulteration of olive oil with hazelnut and sunflower oils ([Mildner-Szkudlarz & Jele](#page-25-0)n[, 2008](#page-25-0)). Also, in the analysis of flaxseed oil detecting adulteration with other similar components (Wei et al.[, 2015\)](#page-27-0).

In research conducted by [Nurjuliana \(2011\),](#page-26-0) the volatile compounds in pork, beef, lamb, and chicken sausages were analyzed. The samples taken from each of the sausages were analyzed by mass spectrometry, gas chromatography, and zNose™ electronic nose, which allowed the identification of the type of meat from which the sausages were made. Although the results of the tests carried out by all the instruments were highly efficient, the speed and low cost of using the zNose™ e-nose were highlighted.

Additionally, in the research by [Ghasemi-Varnamkhasti](#page-24-0) *et al.* (2019), an e-nose was custom designed using five types of MOS sensors to classify two pieces of cheese: Roquefort and Camembert. This classification was carried out by taking into account the milk (sheep, goat, or cow) with which it was made, the degree of pasteurization, and the maturity of these cheeses.

Other reports show the use of e-noses to analyze fish. [Güney and Atasoy \(2015\)](#page-24-0), used a low-cost e-nose developed at Karadeniz University, composed of 8 metal oxide gas sensors, to classify three fish species (Horse mackerel (*Trachurus* murphyi), Anchovy (*Engraulidae*) and Whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*). In addition, *Zhang et al.* [\(2012a\)](#page-28-0), analyzed VOCs during the storage and freezing process of sawfish (Scomberomorus niphonius), finding a linear relationship between a volatile nitrogen base with triethylamine. A separate investigation reports the use of the commercial e-nose Alpha MOS FOX 3000, composed of 18 MOS-type sensors, to establish the sensory profile of the active aromatic compounds of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum L.*) (Ravi et al.[, 2013](#page-26-0)).

[Table 1](#page-5-0) shows some relevant studies using e-nose in the food, specifying: product, purpose of the analysis, e-nose model, type of sensor, extraction method, and main result obtained.

3. Electronic tongue (e-tongue)

The human tongue can identify five basic tastes: sour, salty, sweet, bitter, and umami [\(Beauchamp, 2019\)](#page-23-0). Usually, the evaluation and classification of the basic flavors of a product are done through trained panelists and sometimes consumers (Jiang et al.[, 2018\)](#page-25-0). However, these measurements can be subjective, which can be reduced by using technological tools such as the e-tongue, thus ensuring repeatability and reproducibility of the results ([Schlossareck & Ross, 2019\)](#page-26-0). [Ross](#page-26-0) [\(2021\)](#page-26-0) showed that combining different electrodes makes it possible to identify different flavors, such as fatty, metallic, and others. Different investigations have shown that by using the e-tongue, it is possible to determine the quality, adulteration, classification, or origin of food ([de Morais](#page-24-0) et al., 2019; [Elamine](#page-24-0) et al., 2019; Jiang et al.[, 2018](#page-25-0); [Sobrino-](#page-26-0)[Gregorio](#page-26-0) et al., 2018). The previously mentioned characteristics have allowed the e-tongue to become a fast, economical and impartial detection alternative [\(Titova & Nachev, 2018](#page-27-0)); this is because it allows the characterization of the flavor of the food matrix ([di Rosa](#page-24-0) et al., 2017). Additionally, the e-tongue has a matrix of electrodes that, according to their combination and characteristics, produce potentiometric, voltametric, and impedimetric signals (Jiang et al.[, 2018\)](#page-25-0).

3.1 The internal structure of the e-tongue

The process carried out by an e-tongue compared to the human tongue can be described as follows: the liquid or food comes into contact with the sensor system (which corresponds to the taste cells spread on the tongue), the chemical patterns present in the sample are detected by the sensors (which is equivalent to the stimulation of taste cells), which transform this chemical input into an electrical signal, producing to which a pattern analysis algorithm is applied to discriminate, classify and/or predict the type of flavor being analyzed (action developed by the neurons in the brain where the flavor is recognized) ([Tan & Xu, 2020;](#page-27-0) [Arrieta](#page-23-0) et al., 2010). Thus, e-tongue is characterized by articulating three fundamental systems: sensing, electrical conditioning, and pattern recognition [\(di Rosa](#page-24-0) et al., 2017) (see [Figure 2\)](#page-9-0).

E-tongue sensing system is composed of two or more electrodes, each electrode has a membrane that upon contact with the analyte generates a chemical interaction causing a reversible change in the electronic properties, which allows the characterization of the food matrix [\(Tan & Xu, 2020](#page-27-0)).

Potentiometric-type electrodes measure the voltage differences between the working and the reference electrodes ([Wasilewski](#page-27-0) et al., 2019). The voltage change in the measurement given by the working electrode will have a proportional relationship to the concentration of the analyte (Jiang et al.[, 2018](#page-25-0); [W. Wang & Liu, 2019](#page-27-0)). Some of the membranes used in

Figure 2. Fundamental stages of operation of an electronic tongue.

potentiometric electrodes can be multi-channel lipid with a reference electrode made of a silver/silver carbon alloy (Ag/AgC), chalcogenide glass with a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) film, liquid or polymeric, which allow the detection of the voltage generated when in contact with the food matrix [\(Tan & Xu, 2020](#page-27-0)).

Regarding voltametric electrodes, these are used in conjunction with a minimum electrode configuration in which one must have a working, a reference, and an auxiliary electrode (Jiang et al.[, 2018;](#page-25-0) [Wasilewski](#page-27-0) et al., 2019). Generally, these working electrodes are constituted by a bare or modified metal, which contemplates any of the following compounds: copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), palladium (Pd), silver (Ag), tin (Sn), titanium (Ti), zirconium (Zr), gold (Au), platinum (Pt) and radium (Ra) (Jiang et al.[, 2018\)](#page-25-0). Its operation encourages the transfer of electrons through the food matrix, measuring the resulting polarization current, which has a direct relationship with the concentration of certain components present in the food (Wei et al.[, 2018\)](#page-27-0).

Another group of electrodes is those of impedimetric type, characterized by being coated with different polymeric materials, which, upon receiving an alternating signal of variable frequency and constant amplitude, produce an alteration in the impedance value ([Garcia-Hernandez](#page-24-0) et al., 2018). This impedance change allows for characterizing, detecting, and discriminating different components such as: sucrose (C $_{12}$ H $_{22}$ O $_{11}$), sodium chloride (NaCl), potassium chloride (KCl), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) ([Podrazka](#page-26-0) *et al.*, 2017). According to the literature, the most used electrodes on the market are potentiometric and voltametric electrodes due to advanced development ([Wang & Liu, 2019](#page-27-0)).

[Tan and Xu \(2020\)](#page-27-0) indicated that electrodes in the development phase incorporate biomaterials such as enzymes, whole cells, tissues, receptors, or antibodies, whose chemical interaction with the food generates a transfer of electrons, ions, or molecules. This transfer modifies the characteristics of the electronic signal, like those produced by potentiometric and voltametric electrodes. It is expected that these biosensors will be a technology that will contribute to improving results in the future.

The electrical conditioning and pattern recognition systems of the e-tongue present particularities closely like those of the e-nose. The only substantial difference between these two technological tools is presented in the sensing system in terms of the characteristics specific to the internal and structural design of the sensors [\(Tan & Xu, 2020;](#page-27-0) [Wasilewski](#page-27-0) *et al.*, [2019\)](#page-27-0).

3.2 E-tongue applications

The use of the e-tongue in the food industry encompasses a wide range of applications, including discrimination by type and place of origin, verification of authenticity, adulteration or counterfeiting, and quantification of food matrix components [\(Titova & Nachev, 2018;](#page-27-0) [Wasilewski](#page-27-0) et al., 2019).

A clear example of the use of such technology for classifying products by type and place of origin is evidenced in the research developed by [Souayah \(2017\),](#page-27-0) where a potentiometric e-tongue was used to classify 60 samples of olive oil. Moreover, [Elamine](#page-24-0) et al. (2019) discriminated 31 samples of honey from Portugal by botanical origin using an impedimetric e-tongue.

[Cetó and Pérez \(2020\)](#page-23-0) used an inset voltametric e-tongue from Bas Inc. configured with three electrodes of gold (Au), platinum (Pt), and glassy carbon (C), to carry out the process of identification of authenticity and classification of Table 2. Results of relevant studies using electronic tongues in the characterization and identification in the food matrices. Table 2. Results of relevant studies using electronic tongues in the characterization and identification in the food matrices.

Table 2. Continued Table 2. Continued

44 samples of six different varieties of vinegar. The measurement results of the equipment were subjected to the PCA and LDA extraction methods, which allowed the discriminating and categorizing of the total of the analyzed samples with 100% accuracy. This research allowed it to generate records of the electrochemical fingerprints of the vinegar.

Furthermore, a voltametric-type e-tongue was custom-developed to identify adulteration in roasted ground coffee ([de](#page-24-0) [Morais](#page-24-0) et al., 2019). This research analyzed 90 cups of coffee (60 unadulterated and 30 adulterated). LDA, SPA, and PLS-DA identification methods were applied to the measurements obtained; as a result, the adulterated beverages were identified and the purity percentage in each sample was quantified.

Another example is the investigation of the evolution process of taste compounds in the chicken stew at different cooking times, which focused on detecting nucleotides and free amino acids using a commercial e-tongue (TS-5000Z, Insent). As a result, the proportion of the components detected in each cooking stage and the identification of inosine monophosphate (IMP), glutamic acid (Glu), lysine (Lys), and sodium chloride (NaCl) as the main compounds highlighted the final flavor attributes of the chicken were evidenced (Liu *et al.*[, 2017\)](#page-25-0). [Table 2](#page-10-0) shows some relevant studies in which e-tongues in different food matrices.

4. Colorimeter and Artificial Vision System

4.1 Colorimeter

A colorimeter is a sensor device used to measure color in different surfaces and liquids [\(Anzalone](#page-23-0) et al., 2013). According to [Millikan \(1993\)](#page-25-0), a colorimeter can detect different shades of colors by analyzing the reflection of light in different objects. The principle by which the colorimeter works is the emission of light over the material that must be analyzed and the corresponding reading of the reflection of color. The device then emits a code, representing the exact shade measured. [Anzalone \(2013\)](#page-23-0), mentions that colorimeters are widely used in food industry, medical procedures, and demographic measurement of protozoa.

An essential aspect of working with digital images revolves around information processing. This is because cameras capture RGB (Red, Green, and Blue) values that must be converted into the CIELAB color space.

Table 3 shows some relevant studies using colorimeter in the food, specifying: product, device, and results.

Table 3. Results of relevant studies using colorimeter in the characterization and identification in the food matrices.

Table 3. Continued

4.2 Artificial Vision System

Computer Vision System (CVS) also known as Artificial Vision System (AVS), is an image analysis tool used to obtain information about objects through them ([Bhargava & Bansal, 2018;](#page-23-0) [Wu & Sun, 2013\)](#page-27-0). This is due to its ability to characterize: shape, size, color, and other particularities of the object, which can be static or moving (Zhu et al.[, 2021](#page-28-0)). Therefore, the CVS can be used in both continuous and static production lines, achieving a real-time analysis, as it allows fast, accurate, and non-invasive captures, with reliable and reproducible results [\(Barbon](#page-23-0) et al., 2017; [Patrício & Rieder,](#page-26-0) [2018\)](#page-26-0). Due to its flexibility and technological development, a CVS can store information about an object to perform further analysis using new images ([Taheri-Garavand](#page-27-0) et al., 2019; [Wu & Sun, 2013](#page-27-0)). Thus, the CVS becomes an alternative to avoid the possible errors of quality inspection of the objects which the human eye can incur (Patrício $\&$ [Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0)).

4.2.1 CVS internal structure

A CVS is composed of three fundamental stages: illumination, image detection, and pattern recognition [\(Kakani](#page-25-0) et al., [2020\)](#page-25-0), see Figure 3. The first stage plays an important role in image acquisition, since light has a direct impact on the clarity and color of the images and its improper use can generate shadows and unwanted reflections, cataloged as noise in the images [\(Vithu & Moses, 2016\)](#page-27-0). Therefore, depending on the application of the system, an appropriate selection of the light-generating elements must be made, considering characteristics such as wavelength, intensity, and direction. These light-generating elements can be light bulbs (incandescent, fluorescent, halogen), lasers, light emitting diodes (LEDs), X-ray tubes, and infrared lamps ([Naik & Patel, 2017;](#page-26-0) Sun et al.[, 2019](#page-27-0); Zhu et al.[, 2021](#page-28-0)). These ensure clarity, repeatability, and reliability of the image ([Barbon](#page-23-0) *et al.*, 2017). This process is like that carried out by the human visual system, where light stimuli reach the cornea (the curved front layer of the eye that assists in focusing), which then focuses the light onto the pupil to enter the eye. However, the iris controls the amount of light that enters the pupil [\(Frisby & Stone,](#page-24-0) [2010\)](#page-24-0).

Two of the most used technologies in the second stage are cameras or scanners, which are responsible for taking an image of the object to be analyzed. Cameras capture a two-dimensional image instantaneously, while scanners take a line of

Figure 3. Fundamental stages of operation of a machine vision system.

pixels in an instant of time, so it requires a mechanism that performs a displacement of the scanner or the object to capture a succession of data and thus obtain the two-dimensional image ([Patrício & Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0)). Internally, these devices have specialized sensors that can capture color, monochromatic, thermal, or ultraviolet images depending on their character-istics [\(Patrício & Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0); [Sun, 2016;](#page-27-0) [Vithu & Moses, 2016](#page-27-0); Zhang et al.[, 2014\)](#page-28-0). Other technologies used in this stage are: Hyperspectral, Magnetic Resonance, and X-Ray [\(Sun, 2016;](#page-27-0) [Zhang](#page-28-0) et al., 2014). Drawing an analogy with the behavior of the sense of sight, this stage corresponds to when the lens collects the incoming light beam in the eye. The lens allows for focusing on objects and, along with the cornea, correctly focuses the light on the retina. This beam of light travels through the vitreous cavity (a hollow space filled with a transparent gel-like fluid that serves as the medium through which light travels from the lens to the retina). In the retina (which functions as a projection screen), thanks to the presence of photoreceptors (rods, responsible for peripheral and nighttime vision, and cones, sensitive to the color of light), the light information is converted into a nerve impulse that is sent to the cerebral cortex through the optic nerve ([Frisby & Stone, 2010\)](#page-24-0).

Finally, the third stage aims to extract quantitative and qualitative information from the image using an analysis algorithm usually run on a processor (Zhu *et al.*[, 2021](#page-28-0)). Depending on the application and the complexity of the system, image processing is divided into three different levels: low, medium, and high. At the first level, operations such as cleaning of noise caused by shadows or external elements, quality enhancement, or correction of image illumination errors are performed [\(Patrício & Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0)). Then, at the medium level, segmentation, description, classification of shapes, and image dimensions are performed (Sun et al.[, 2019](#page-27-0); [Taheri-Garavand](#page-27-0) et al., 2019). Finally, at the third level, more complex operations are performed, including classification, comparison, and discrimination of the characteristics of the object in the image. These operations can be applied to the area or regions of interest using analysis methods such as statistical tools or computational models such as neural networks, which are some of the most used extraction methods ([Kakani](#page-25-0) et al., 2020; [Patrício & Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0)). When relating this phase to the sense of sight, the nerve signal that reaches the cerebral cortex is interpreted through a psychochemical process and transformed into an image [\(Frisby & Stone,](#page-24-0) [2010\)](#page-24-0).

Given the versatility and advantages presented by a CVS, the food industry has been implementing these systems to identify properties such as: morphology, color, texture, freshness, and quality [\(Bhargava & Bansal, 2018;](#page-23-0) [Patrício &](#page-26-0) [Rieder, 2018](#page-26-0); [Taheri-Garavand](#page-27-0) et al., 2019; [Vithu & Moses, 2016](#page-27-0)). In general, the information collected is fed into databases to train learning algorithms and establish patterns to build a knowledge base, with which a system for autonomous decision-making can be implemented to provide an agile and flexible solution ([Zareiforoush](#page-28-0) et al., 2015).

4.2.2 CVS applications

The applications that recurrently use CVS are focused on the classification and prediction of the characteristics of a food matrix, whether it is an individual analysis, a production batch, or harvesting [\(Arsalane](#page-23-0) et al., 2020; [Kakani](#page-25-0) et al., 2020; [Velesaca](#page-27-0) *et al.*, 2021). Research such as the one carried out by [Arselane](#page-23-0) *et al.* (2020) in which they were able to successfully evaluate and determine the freshness of beef based on color and texture obtained by a portable customdesigned CVS. The system comprises fluorescent lighting, a GigEPRO camera, and an EVM6678 processing system in which PCA, SVN, PNN, and LDA algorithms were evaluated using Matlab®. In a similar investigation carried out by [Barbin \(2016\)](#page-23-0) to find the relationship between color and quality of chicken meat, a CVS was used with a Doc L-Pix camera.

Researchers such as [Ghyar and Birajdar \(2017\),](#page-24-0) implemented a CVS, with which the state of pests in the rice plants was identified, to determine and discriminate anomalies or disease traits using leaf texture and color as reference parameters. The system developed consists of a Sony F470 camera, LED illumination, and computer analysis where ANN and SVM algorithms were run. Similarly, [Koklu and Ozkan \(2020\)](#page-25-0) carried out the classification of seven different bean varieties to ensure the uniformity and quality of the seeds, identifying the characteristics of each bean species such as: area, perimeter, length of major and minor axes, aspect ratio, roundness, equivalent diameter, among others. The CVS was equipped with a Prosilica GT2000C camera, LED lighting, and a processor where an ANN algorithm was implemented in Matlab®.

The research performed by [Shrestha](#page-26-0) *et al.* (2016) reported a morphological analysis of wheat kernels to segment and classify them into three groups: healthy, damaged, and very damaged, as a consequence of premature germination. The result obtained was the segmentation and classification of the three groups of grains with an accuracy of 95% and 72.8%, respectively. The custom-designed system has two RL04C-OC cameras (Ximea GmbH, Germany), LED lighting system, and ANN implemented in Matlab®.

Other applications of CVS systems are in fruits and vegetables, such as the one carried out by [Santos Pereira \(2018\),](#page-26-0) where he classified the ripeness level of harvested papayas through the identification of color, length, diameter, and

Table 4. Results of relevant studies with CVS in the characterization and identification of food matrices.

weight with an accuracy of 94.3% compared to manual classification. The CVS developed in-house, incorporates a Sony camera (Japan) located in an environment illuminated with white LED light. The pictures of each fruit were analyzed in Matlab[®] using a decision tree algorithm. [Table 4](#page-15-0) shows some relevant investigation where CVS has been used.

5. Texture analyzer

The texture of a food is perceived through the response to the contact between the body part and the food. It is a determining characteristic in the acceptance of the product by the consumer [\(Civille, 2011;](#page-23-0) Liu et al.[, 2019;](#page-25-0) Muthuku-marappan & Karunanithy, 2021). Texture is a quality attribute used in the food industry [\(Torres Gonzalez](#page-27-0) et al., 2015), allowing the parameterization and standardization of food products (Liu et al.[, 2019](#page-25-0)). For example, freshness, a determining characteristic in selecting a vegetable or fruit, can be described by its hardness (Liu & Zhang, 2021). The latter is one of the primary properties of texture, as well as cohesiveness, viscosity, elasticity, and adhesiveness ([Foegeding](#page-24-0) et al., 2011).

To determine some of the main textural characteristics mentioned, Friedman in 1963 established a method called Texture Profile Testing (TPA) [\(Nishinari](#page-26-0) et al., 2019). This method generates characteristic curves from the force measurement performed by the jaw to realize a change in the geometrical property of the product, generating deformation or fracture ([Kohyama, 2020](#page-25-0); [Peleg, 2019\)](#page-26-0). The study of these curves allows for establishing and quantifying texture characteristics such as: brittleness, hardness, adhesiveness, cohesiveness, elasticity, gumminess, and chewiness ([Nishinari](#page-26-0) et al., 2019).

For the measurement of texture characteristics, different methodologies and instruments have been developed, the most widely used technology is centered on texture analyzers or texturometers ([Torres Gonzalez](#page-27-0) *et al.*, 2015), which are based on the TPA principle, this device simulates the bite of the jaw in two cycles (compression and decompression), through a controlled mechanism that vertically displaces a uniaxial compression cell [\(Peleg, 2019](#page-26-0)). When the cell comes into contact with the product, it generates an electrical signal conditioned by a transducer and sent to a computer to be read by operating software ([Taniwaki & Kohyama, 2012\)](#page-27-0). The displacement is carried out until it reaches either a distance threshold or a force level defined by the operator. When this limit is exceeded, the cell moves back and repeats the cycle (Liu et al.[, 2019\)](#page-25-0), simulating the chewing process. Chewing is the first step in the digestion process, and this seeks to prepare food for swallowing. During this process, saliva moistens the chewed food, generating a bolus, which is reduced in size so it can be swallowed. Additionally, saliva helps release flavors and perceive the texture of the food. To achieve this, the intervention of teeth, tongue, saliva, cheeks, and palate is required [\(Pereira](#page-26-0) *et al.*, 2007).

5.1 Texture Analyzer Internal Structure

The texture analyzer usually has three fundamental parts: a moving beam, a load cell, and a control panel ([Schmidt, 2018\)](#page-26-0). The first part has a mechanical system that performs the precise vertical displacement of the beam where the load cell is supported; these mechanisms work with a spindle-type system, which has a motor coupled to it that transmits the controlled circular motion [\(Sussex, 2013\)](#page-27-0). The load cells are electrical elements that generate a voltage signal when they come into contact with a surface (Liu *et al.*[, 2019](#page-25-0)). The cells used are in a range of operation from 100 g to 500 kg ([Schmidt, 2018;](#page-26-0) [Sussex, 2013\)](#page-27-0), which will depend on the design of each manufacturer's analyzer.

With the basic structure of the texture analyzer already mentioned, a variety of probes can be incorporated, which, coupled with the load cell, make it possible to measure a large part of the common texture parameters in foodstuffs ([Liu](#page-25-0) et al.[, 2019](#page-25-0)). Among which are the cylindrical probe, which was used to determine the firming kinetics of breadcrumbs (Jekle et al.[, 2018\)](#page-25-0). The conical probe that allowed me to measure the texture for deep-fried and air-fried French fries ([Gouyo](#page-24-0) et al., 2020), The Spherical probe with which they analyzed the texture of the surface of cured ham ([Fulladosa](#page-24-0) et al.[, 2021](#page-24-0)). Also, there are gel and cut probe, each with properties to perform certain texture tests.

5.2 Texture analyzer applications

Some applications in which the texture analyzer is used are evidenced in investigations such as the one conducted by [Aguirre](#page-22-0) et al. (2018), where texture attributes were validated in the "woody breast" and "cooking methods on the marination" (marinated breast), for which a texture analyzer (TA. XT plus, Texture Technologies, Hamilton, MA) was used. The results were compared with a descriptive test, finding a significant difference in 9 of the 11 texture attributes. Another application is shown in the research conducted by Jiménez et al. (2017), where two lionfish surimi patties were studied to validate the efficiency of high-power ultrasound on textural properties. The measurement was performed with a texture analyzer (TA. XT plus, Texture Technologies, Hamilton, MA) correlated with trained panelists.

Other relevant studies, such as those mentioned above, where the aim is to characterize products and correlate them with sensory tests using a texture analyzer, are shown in [Table 5.](#page-19-0)

Table 5. Results of relevant studies using TPA in in the food industry.

6. Electromyographic analysis

Although TPA is a method that simulates the chewing process, its shear rate is low compared to that of the human bite ([Nishinari & Fang, 2018](#page-26-0)). Therefore, some researchers have focused on finding other mechanisms that allow an understanding of the bite processes of people in a real environment. One of the alternatives is the study of Electromyographic (EMG) signals, which are produced by the nervous system so that the muscles involved during the chewing process react in a certain way producing electrical signals that can be measured ([Besomi](#page-23-0) et al., 2020; [Pereira de Caxias](#page-26-0) et al.[, 2021](#page-26-0)). These signals are captured with an electromyograph, which integrates an instrumentation amplifier that captures and amplifies the EMG signal with the help of three reference electrodes (Fang et al.[, 2020\)](#page-24-0), measuring the activity of the jaw muscles and the coordination between them, as well as the movement of the jaw. This signal is sent through a data acquisition board (DAQ), to a processing system where it is processed and sent to a data acquisition system (DAS) ([Gohel & Mehendale, 2020](#page-24-0)) to a processing system where it is subjected to extraction methods that perform the analysis of the signal ([Ahsan](#page-22-0) et al., 2009; [Zabala](#page-28-0) et al., 2019). Sodhi et al. [\(2019\)](#page-26-0) correlated bite EMG signals with texture variables (instrumental and sensory) of seven Indian sweets, identifying EMG parameters that distinguish the different textured foods. In addition, the PCA determined the significant correlation between hardness (instrumental and sensory) and sensory stickiness. Similarly, [Shimada](#page-26-0) et al. (2012) established intraoral force recordings to analyze the mechanics of human chewing by measuring the force (using strain gauges located on the molars) and the EMG signals (using electrodes located on the masseter muscle) during the biting process of five different products (rice, bread, almonds, banana, and

Table 6. Results of relevant studies on the relationship between EMG and food texture.

apple). Other relevant studies where the effectiveness of the analysis of EMG signals to determine the texture of a food matrix is sought to be validated are shown in Table 6.

7. Acoustic analysis

Food products have the characteristic that when consumed they generate sounds that allow identifying or relating some textural properties such as hardness, crispness, and crunchiness to it [\(Dias-Faceto](#page-24-0) et al., 2020). These sensory properties are related to the freshness of the food. When food is ingested, sound waves are generated that can be perceived through the ears by air conduction or through the jaw by bone conduction. Crispy foods, having a more fragile structure, generate high-frequency sounds; while crunchy foods produce low frequency sounds [\(Tunick](#page-27-0) et al., 2013). Some of the equipment to perform these measurements use devices such as microphones connected to computers o texture analyzers integrated with microphones ([Dias-Faceto & Conti-Silva, 2022\)](#page-24-0), and alternative designs with oscillating tips and piezoelectric

sensors ([Taniwaki](#page-27-0) et al., 2006). All these devices allow capturing the acoustic waves produced by the deformation of the product. These sound waves are captured by microphones which are made up of a diaphragm, a grille, and a transducer. The transducer is responsible for converting the movement generated in the membrane (by detecting a sound wave) into electrical signals. Additionally, it has electronic circuits that help manipulate and improve the electrical signal, among these elements are Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) whose function is to indicate an on/off state, buttons to manipulate the volume amplitude, filters, among others. This electrical signal is sent to a computer where software analyzes and graphs it ([Dias-Faceto](#page-24-0) et al., 2020). In humans, the sound wave reaches the outer ear, where the sound is collected and transmitted to the middle ear through the ear canal. Between the outer ear and the middle ear is the tympanic membrane or eardrum, which vibrates when it detects sound (behavior imitated by the microphone diaphragm). The middle ear, made up of the tympanic cavity, houses the auditory ossicles (malleus, incus, and stapes), which transform high-amplitude, low-intensity sound waves into low-amplitude, high-intensity vibrations (behavior similar to that of amplifiers). In this way, the ossicles are the intermediaries in the transmission of vibrations from the eardrum to the inner ear. The inner ear detects and transmits auditory impulses to the brain (function carried out by the software housed in the computer) through the vestibulocochlear nerve (function carried out by a transmission medium such as cables) [\(Duizer, 2001](#page-24-0)). It is known that soft tissues have a damping effect (a function performed by attenuating circuits) on the sound produced when chewing food. To match what is heard during the consumption of a product, bone-borne noise must be attenuated at a frequency of 160 Hz, while air-borne sound must be attenuated at 160 Hz and amplified at 3.5 kHz ([Dacremont Colas & Sauvageot,](#page-23-0) [1991\)](#page-23-0). Due to these differences in sound contribution, the two sounds must be combined and equalized to fully quantify the acoustic sensations perceived during the consumption of crunchy or crispy products ([Vickers & Bourne, 1976](#page-27-0)).

Researchers such as [B](#page-23-0)łonska et al. (2014) , showed that adding inulin with reduced fat content significantly affected the acoustic parameters of Short-Dough Biscuits. Eight Short-Dough Biscuits with different percentages of inulin addition were compared, determining the impact on the acoustic properties and the decrease in the breaking workforce. For example, the biscuit with 74.1% fat and 18.5% inulin, showed a low acoustic energy level of 1.134 a. u. this compared to a biscuit with 55.6% fat and 9.3% inulin, in which a high acoustic energy level of 17.373 a. u. was found, the former being less brittle and hard compared to the latter. This was achieved using a Zwick 1445 measuring system (Zwick GmbH & Co. KG, Ulm, Germany). Separately, [Jakubczyk](#page-25-0) et al. (2017) studied the acoustic signals generated during puncture tests on some coextruded cereal products with different fillings (toffee, milk, fruit jelly, coconut, and chocolate creams), to perform the analysis of hardness, crunchiness, and texture sound attributes for each product. The results showed that the snacks with jelly filling were perceived as less crunchy and soft, compared to the snack with milk cream filling, which showed high acoustic and mechanical values that link it to crunchiness. The variables were measured with a BC45 cooking extruder (Clextral, Firminy, France). Other relevant investigation, such as those mentioned above, where acoustic analysis was performed to determine some textural properties of certain foods, can be seen in Table 7.

8. Other considerations

Other considerations to take into account when acquiring a technological tool for the study of food matrices are:

- Costs: The acquisition of technological tools, such as those presented in this article, can be expensive. For example, the cost of e-nose ranges from USD 100 to USD 1000; the texturometer at an approximate value of USD 23900; a colorimeter at an approximate value of USD 12000 and an electromyograph (for medical use) at an approximate value of USD 10560. Regarding accessories and/or additional components, these can vary between USD 10 and USD 2300. This aspect must be considered when purchasing any of these technological tools to keep them operational.
- Maintenance and calibration: After a period of use, these technological tools, like any equipment, will require periodic maintenance and regular calibration to ensure their accuracy and reliability. These costs can range from 3% to 20% of the initial value of the equipment per year.

Finally, it must be considered that the study of various food matrices is characterized by the variability of the samples, this being biological material. Thus, some e-tongues and e-noses are designed to identify specific compounds with high precision, thus limiting the analysis of food matrices for which they were not designed. Regarding the use of the colorimeter, lighting conditions and texture of the food matrix can affect the results. All of the above shows the economic and technical limitations that these technological tools may have.

9. General conclusions

As evidenced in this review, some technological tools have been developed to emulate the functioning of the five senses (smell, taste, sight, touch, and hearing), seeking to quantify and characterize some sensory properties of different food matrices, to compare, parameterize and standardize a product. These investigations show that the use of technological tools guarantees the repeatability and reproducibility of the process, compared to the results obtained when working with trained panelists. Therefore, the use of this type of device reduces the number of samples required to perform the analysis, in addition to dispensing with the need for a team of trained panelists, which generates a reduction in costs. In addition, another advantage of these tools is the wider measurement capacity compared to that of human beings. However, most of the tools analyzed only have the property of measuring a single characteristic in a food matrix, this becomes an inconvenience when it comes to characterizing an entire product, for which many tools must be available, samples required and therefore an increase in the time of the analysis and availability of personnel to carry out the process. This is why both the scientific community and the industry, increasing the development of research that seeks to create new technological tools that allow the measurement of two or more sensory characteristics in a food matrix. All the above, seeking to develop new food products and improve existing ones to satisfy the sensory experiences of the consumer, driving growth in the food sector.

Data availability

No data are associated with this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Universidad de La Sabana. Mr. Martinez thanks the Universidad de La Sabana for the "Graduated Assistant Program" Scholarship awarded, and to the master's Process Design and Management Program of Universidad de La Sabana.

References

Aghili NS, Rasekh M, Karami H, et al.: Aromatic Fingerprints: VOC Analysis with E-Nose and GC-MS for Rapid Detection of Adulteration in Sesame Oil. Sensors. 2023; 23(14).

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s23146294)

Akimoto H, Sakurai N, Blahovec |: A swing arm device for the acoustic measurement of food texture. Journal of Texture Studies. 2019; 50(2): 104–113.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30489633)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12381)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12381)

Akimoto H, Sakurai N, Shirai D: A new device for acoustic measurement of food texture using free running probe. Journal of Food Engineering. 2017; 215: 156–160. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.07.030)

Aleixandre A, Benavent-Gil Y, Velickova E, et al.: Mastication of crisp bread: Role of bread texture and structure on texture perception.

Aguirre ME, Owens CM, Miller RK, et al.: Descriptive sensory and instrumental texture profile analysis of woody breast in marinated **chicken.** Poultry Science. 2018; **97**(4): 1456–1461.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29438548)[|](https://doi.org/10.3382/PS/PEX428)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3382/PS/PEX428)</mark>

Ahsan MR, Ibrahimy MI, Khalifa OO: EMG signal classification for human computer interaction: a review. European Journal of Scientific Research. 2009; 33(3): 480–501.

Food Research International. 2021; 147: 110477. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34399473)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2021.110477)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2021.110477)

Altmann BA, Gertheiss J, Tomasevic I, et al.: Human perception of color differences using computer vision system measurements of raw pork loin. Meat Science. 2022; 188: 108766. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35279475)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108766)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108766)

Amraei S, Abdanan Mehdizadeh S, Salari S: Broiler weight estimation based on machine vision and artificial neural network. British Poultry Science. 2017; 58(2): 200-205.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27845565)[|](https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2016.1259530)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2016.1259530)

Ángel-Rendón SV, Filomena-Ambrosio A, Hernández-Carrión M, et al.: Pork meat prepared by different cooking methods. A microstructural, sensorial and physicochemical approach. Meat Science. 2020; 163: 108089.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32078892)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108089)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108089)

Ansari N, Ratri SS, Jahan A, et al.: Inspection of paddy seed varietal purity using machine vision and multivariate analysis. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research. 2021; 3: 100109. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAFR.2021.100109)

Anzalone GC, Glover AG, Pearce JM: Open-source colorimeter. Sensors (Switzerland). 2013; 13(4): 5338–5346.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23604032)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/s130405338)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s130405338)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3673140)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3673140)

Apetrei IM, Apetrei C: Application of voltammetric e-tongue for the detection of ammonia and putrescine in beef products. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2016; 234: 371–379.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SNB.2016.05.005)

Ardita Putri L, Rahman I, Puspita M, et al.: Rapid analysis of meat floss origin using a supervised machine learning-based electronic nose towards food authentication. Science of Food. 2023; 7(31): 31–15.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37328497)[|](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-023-00205-2)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-023-00205-2)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10275922)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10275922)

Arrieta ÁA, Rodríguez-Méndez ML, De Saja JA: Aplicación de una lengua electrónica voltámetrica para la clasificación de vinos y estudio de correlación con la caracterización química y sensorial. Química Nova. 2010; 33(4): 787–793.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422010000400004)

Arsalane A, Klilou A, el Barbri N, et al.: Artificial vision and embedded systems as alternative tools for evaluating beef meat freshness. 6th International Conference on Optimization and Applications, ICOA 2020 - Proceedings. 2020: 2–7.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOA49421.2020.9094503)

Attchelouwa CK, N'guessan FK, Marcotte S, *et al*.: **Characterisation of**
volatile compounds associated to sensory changes during the storage of traditional sorghum beer by HS-GC/FID and SPME-GC/MS. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research. 2020; 2: 100088. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAFR.2020.100088)

Banerjee MB, Roy RB, Tudu B, et al.: Black tea classification employing feature fusion of E-Nose and E-Tongue responses. Journal of Food Engineering. 2019; 244: 55–63.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2018.09.022)

Barbieri S, Aparicio-Ruiz R, Brkic Bubola K, et al.: Performance testing of new artificial olfactory reference materials in virgin olive oil sensory assessment. International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science. 2021; 25: 100402.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJGFS.2021.100402)

Barbin DF, Mastelini SM, Barbon S, *et ɑl.*: **Digital image analyses as an**
alternative tool for chicken quality assessment. Biosyst*ems Engineering.* 2016; 144: 85–93. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.01.015)

Barbon APA d C, Barbon S, GFC C, et al.: Development of a flexible Computer Vision System for marbling classification. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2017; 142: 536–544. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2017.11.017)

Barbosa-Pereira L, Rojo-Poveda O, Ferrocino I, et al.: Assessment of volatile fingerprint by HS-SPME/GC-qMS and E-nose for the classification of cocoa bean shells using chemometrics. Food Research International. 2019; 123: 684–696. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31285018)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2019.05.041)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2019.05.041)

Beauchamp GK: Basic Taste: A Perceptual Concept. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2019; 67(50): 13860–13869.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31362499)[|](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03542)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b03542)

Becerra LD, Quintanilla-Carvajal MX, et al.: Correlation between color parameters and bioactive compound content during cocoa seed transformation under controlled process conditions. Food Bioscience. 2023; 53: 102526. **[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2023.102526)**

Besomi M, Hodges PW, Clancy EA, et al.: Consensus for experimental design in electromyography (CEDE) project: Amplitude normalization **matrix.** Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology. 2020; **53**: 102438.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32569878) [|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JELEKIN.2020.102438) [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JELEKIN.2020.102438)</mark>

Bhargava A, Bansal A: Fruits and vegetables quality evaluation using computer vision: A review. Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences. 2018; 33: 243–257. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.06.002)

Blissett J, Fogel A: Intrinsic and extrinsic influences on children's acceptance of new foods. Physiology & Behavior. 2013; 121: 89–95. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23458629)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2013.02.013)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2013.02.013)

Błońska A, Marzec A, Błaszczyk A: In**strumental Evaluation of Acoustic**
and Mechanical Texture Properties of Short-Dough Biscuits with Different Content of Fat and Inulin. Journal of Texture Studies. 2014; 45(3): 226–234.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/JTXS.12068)

Bodor Z, Benedek C, Behling H, et al.: Fusion of electronic tongue and NIRS for the detection of heat treatment of honey. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 2023; 186: 115219–11. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.115219)

Bonah E, Huang X, Aheto JH , et al.: Application of electronic nose as a non-invasive technique for odor fingerprinting and detection of **bacterial foodborne pathogens: a review.** Journal of Food Science and
Technology. 2020; **57**(6): 1977–1990). Springer. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32431324)[|](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-04143-4)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-04143-4)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7230105)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7230105)

Bougrini M, Tahri K, Haddi Z, et al.: Detection of adulteration in argan oil by using an electronic nose and a voltammetric electronic tongue. Journal of Sensors. 2014; **2014**: 1–10.
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/245831)</mark>

Buratti S, Malegori C, Benedetti S, et al.: E-nose, e-tongue and e-eye for edible olive oil characterization and shelf life assessment: A powerful data fusion approach. Talanta. 2018; 182(February): 131–141. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29501132)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.01.096)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.01.096)

Cavallo D p, Cefola M, Pace B, et al.: Non-destructive and contactless quality evaluation of table grapes by a computer vision system. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2019; 156: 558–564. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2018.12.019)

Cetó X, Pérez S: Voltammetric electronic tongue for vinegar fingerprinting. Talanta. 2020; 219: 121253.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32887144)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2020.121253)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2020.121253)

Chakravartula SSN, Bandiera A, Nardella M, et al.: Computer vision-based smart monitoring and control system for food drying: A study on carrot slices. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2023; 206: 107654. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2023.107654)

Chen HZ, Zhang M, Bhandari B, et al.: Evaluation of the freshness of fresh-cut green bell pepper (Capsicum annuum var. grossum) using electronic nose. LWT. 2018; 87: 77–84. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2017.08.052)

Chen J, Lin B, Zheng F-J, *et al*.: **Characterization of the Pure Black Tea**
Wine Fermentation Process by Electronic Nose and Tongue-Based Techniques with Nutritional Characteristics. ACS Omega. 2023; 8: 12538–12547.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c00862)

Chen J, Tao L, Zhang T, et al.: Effect of four types of thermal processing methods on the aroma profiles of acidity regulator-treated tilapia muscles using E-nose, HS-SPME-GC-MS, and HS-GC-IMS. LWT. 2021; 147: 111585.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2021.111585)

Chong GT s F: **Jean-Anthelme Brillat-Savarin's 1825 treatise on the**
mouth and ingestion. *Singapore Dental Journal.* 2012; **33**(1): 31–36. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23739320)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdj.2012.10.002)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdj.2012.10.002)

Civille GV: **Food texture: Pleasure and pain.** Jo*urnal of Agricultural and*
Food Chemistry. 2011; **59(**5): 1487–1490.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20831247) [|](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf100219h) [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf100219h)</mark>

Conti PP, Andre RS, Mercante LA, et al.: Discriminative detection of volatile organic compounds using an electronic nose based on TiO2
hybrid nanostructures. Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical. 2021; 344(January): 130124.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.130124)

Costell E, Tárrega A, Bayarri S: **Food Acceptance: The Role of Consumer**
Perception and Attitudes. Chemosensory Perception. 2009; **3**(1): 42–50. **[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S12078-009-9057-1)**

Correa AR, Quicazán MC, Cuenca MM, *et al*.: **Effect of dehydration on**
instrumental sensory characteristics of bee pollen. *Afinidad*. 2022; LXXIX: 526–532.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.55815/408303)

Dacremont Colas CB, Sauvageot F: Contribution of air-and bone-conduction to the creation of sounds perceived during sensory evaluation of foods. Journal of Tecture Studies. 1991; 22: 443-456. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.1991.tb00503.x)

da Costa AZ, Figueroa HEH, Fracarolli JA: Computer vision based detection of external defects on tomatoes using deep learning. Biosystems Engineering. 2020; 190: 131–144. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOSYSTEMSENG.2019.12.003)

Dang Y, Gao X, Ma F, et al.: Comparison of umami taste peptides in water-soluble extractions of Jinhua and Parma hams. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 2015; 60(2): 1179-1186. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2014.09.014)

de Morais TCB, Rodrigues DR, de Carvalho Polari Souto UT, et al.: A simple voltammetric electronic tongue for the analysis of coffee adulterations. *Food Chemistry*. 2019; **273**: 31–38.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30292371)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2018.04.136)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2018.04.136)</mark>

Delahunty CM, Eyres G, Dufour J-P: Gas chromatography-olfactometry.

Journal of Separation Science. 2006; 29: 2107–2125. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200500509)

Dhillon B, Sodhi NS, Aneja E, et al.: Physico-chemical and textural (sensorial and electromyographic) evaluation of cookies formulated using different ratios of brown rice flour and refined wheat flour. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization. 2021; 15(1): 219–227. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11694-020-00625-8/TABLES/6)

di Rosa AR, Leone F, Cheli F, et al.: Fusion of electronic nose, electronic tongue and computer vision for animal source food authentication and quality assessment – A review. Journal of Food Engineering. 2017; 210: 62–75.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.04.024)

Dias-Faceto LS, Conti-Silva AC: Texture of extruded breakfast cereals: Effects of adding milk on the texture properties and on the correlations between instrumental and sensory analyses. Journal of Texture Studies. 2022; 53: 220–231.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35184285)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/JTXS.12666)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/JTXS.12666)

Dias-Faceto LS, Salvador A, Conti-Silva AC:Acoustic settings combination **as a sensory crispness indicator of dry crispy food.** Journal of Texture
Studies. 2020; **51**(2): 232–241.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31603526)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12485)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12485)

Ding Q, Zhao D, Liu J, et al.: Detection of fruits in warehouse using electronic nose. MATEC Web of Conferences. 2018; 232: 04035. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1051/MATECCONF/201823204035)

Du D, Wang J, Wang B, et al.: Ripeness Prediction of Postharvest Kiwifruit Using a MOS E-Nose Combined with Chemometrics. Sensors. 2019; 19(2): 419. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30669613)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/S19020419)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/S19020419)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6359568)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6359568)

Duizer L: A review of acoustic research for studying the sensory **perception of crisp, crunchy and crackly textures.** Trends in Food Science
& Technology. 2001; **12**: 17–24. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(01)00050-4)

Durán-Aranguren DD, Muñoz-Daza LF, Castillo-Hurtado LJ, et al.: Design of a baked good using food ingredients recovered from agro-industrial
by-products of fruits. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 2023; 185: 115174.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.115174)

Elamine Y, Inácio PMC, Lyoussi B, et al.: Insight into the sensing mechanism of an impedance based electronic tongue for honey botanic origin discrimination. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2019; 285: 24–33.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SNB.2019.01.023)

Fan S, Li J, Zhang Y, et al.: Online detection of defective apples using **computer vision system combined with deep learning methods.**
Journal of Food Engineering. 2020; **286**: 110102. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFOODENG.2020.110102)

Fang C, He B, Wang Y, *et al.*: **EMG-Centered Multisensory Based**
Technologies for Pattern Recognition in Rehabilitation: State of the
Art and Challenges. *Biosensors.* 2020; 10(8): 85. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32722542)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOS10080085)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOS10080085)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7460307)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7460307)

Ferreira I, Dias T, Mouazen AM, *et ɑl*.: **Using Science and Technology to**
Unveil The Hidden Delicacy Terfezia arenaria, a Desert Truffle
Enhanced Reader*. Food***s. 2023; 12: 3527.** [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12193527)

Fine LG, Riera CE: Sense of Smell as the Central Driver of Pavlovian Appetite Behavior in Mammals. Frontiers in Physiology. 2019; 10: 1151. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31620009)[|](https://doi.org/10.3389/FPHYS.2019.01151)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3389/FPHYS.2019.01151)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6759725)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6759725)

Flórez M, Cazón P, Vázquez M: Active packaging film of chitosan and Santalum album essential oil: Characterization and application as butter sachet to retard lipid oxidation. Food Packaging and Shelf. Life. 2022; 34: 100938.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100938)

Foegeding EA, Daubert CR, Drake MA, et al.: A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO UNDERSTANDING TEXTURAL PROPERTIES OF
SEMI- AND SOFT-SOLID FOODS. Journal of Texture Studies. 2011; 42(2): 103–129.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1745-4603.2011.00286.X)

Frisby JP, Stone JV: Seeing: What Is It? In Seeing second edition: the computational approach to biological vision. 2nd ed. Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Press; 2010; pp. 1–28.

Fujioka K: Comparison of Cheese Aroma Intensity Measured Using an Electronic Nose (E-Nose) Non-Destructively with the Aroma Intensity Scores of a Sensory Evaluation: A Pilot Study. Sensors. 2021; 21(24): 8368.
PubMe

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34960458)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/S21248368)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/S21248368)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8709232)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8709232)

Fulladosa E, Guerrero L, Illana A, et al.: Instrumental texture analysis on the surface of dry-cured ham to define the end of the process.

Meat Science. 2021; 172: 108334. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33059180)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2020.108334)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2020.108334)

Gan Z, Yang Y, Li J, et al.: Using sensor and spectral analysis to classify botanical origin and determine adulteration of raw honey. Journal of Food Engineering. 2016; 178: 151–158. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.01.016)

Gao L-B, Obianwuna U, Zhang H-J, et al.: A Comparison between the Egg Yolk Flavor of Indigenous 2 Breeds and Commercial Laying Hens Based on Sensory Evaluation, Artificial Sensors, and GC-MS. Foods. 2022; 11(24): 4027.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36553769)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11244027)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11244027)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9778236)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9778236)

Garcia-Hernandez C, Salvo Comino C, Martín-Pedrosa F, et al.: Impedimetric electronic tongue based on nanocomposites for the analysis of red wines. Improving the variable selection method. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2018; 277: 365–372. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SNB.2018.09.023)

Garcia-Hernandez C, Salvo-Comino C, Martin-Pedrosa F, et al.: Analysis of red wines using an electronic tongue and infrared spectroscopy. Correlations with phenolic content and color parameters. LWT. 2020; 118: 108785.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2019.108785)

Ghasemi-Varnamkhasti M, Mohammad-Razdari A, Yoosefian SH, et al.: Aging discrimination of French cheese types based on the optimization of an electronic nose using multivariate computational approaches combined with response surface method (RSM). LWT. 2019; 111: 85–98.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2019.04.099)

Ghyar BS, Birajdar GK: **Computer vision based approach to detect rice**
l**eaf diseases using texture and color descriptors.** *International* Conference on Inventive Computing and Informatics (ICICI). 2017; 2017: 1074–1078.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICI.2017.8365305)

Gila A, Bejaoui MA, Beltrán G, et al.: Rapid method based on computer vision to determine the moisture and insoluble impurities content in
virgin olive oils. Food Control. 2020; 113: 107210. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2020.107210)

Gil-Sánchez L, Garrigues J, Garcia-Breijo E, et al.: Artificial neural networks (Fuzzy ARTMAP) analysis of the data obtained with an electronic tongue applied to a ham-curing process with different salt
formulations. *Applied Soft Computing.* 2015; **30**: 421–429. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ASOC.2014.12.037)

Giungato P, di Gilio A, Palmisani J, *et αl.*: **Synergistic approaches for odor**
active compounds monitoring and identification: State of the art, integration, limits and potentialities of analytical and sensorial techniques. TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 2018; 107: 116–129. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.07.019)

Gliszczyn´ska-Świgło A, Chmielewski J: Electronic Nose as a Tool for Monitoring the Authenticity of Food. A Review. Food Analytical Methods. 2017; 10(6): 1800–1816). Springer New York LLC. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-016-0739-4)

Gohel V, Mehendale N: Review on electromyography signal acquisition and processing. Biophysical Reviews. 2020; 12(6): 1361–1367. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33169207)[|](https://doi.org/10.1007/S12551-020-00770-W/TABLES/1)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S12551-020-00770-W/TABLES/1)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7755956)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7755956)

Gouyo T, Mestres C, Maraval I, et al.: Assessment of acoustic-mechanical measurements for texture of French fries: Comparison of deep-fat frying and air frying. Food Research International. 2020; 131: 108947. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32247460)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2019.108947)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2019.108947)

Greco G, Núñez-Carmona E, Genzardi D, et al.: Tailored Gas Sensors as Rapid Technology to Support the Jams Production. Chemosensors. 2023; $11(7)$.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/chemosensors11070403)

Gu S, Chen W, Wang Z, et al.: Rapid detection of Aspergillus spp. infection levels on milled rice by headspace-gas chromatography ion-mobility spectrometry (HS-GC-IMS) and E-nose. LWT. 2020; 132: 109758. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2020.109758)

Güney S, Atasoy A: Study of fish species discrimination via electronic nose. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2015; 119: 83–91. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2015.10.005)

Hayashida S, Hagi T, Kobayashi M, et al.: Comparison of taste characteristics between koji mold-ripened cheese and Camembert cheese using an electronic tongue system. Journal of Dairy Science. 2023; 106(10): 6701–6709.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2023-23277)

Hiranpradith V, Therdthai N, Soontrunnarudrungsri A: Effect of Steaming and Microwave Heating on Taste of Clear Soup with Split-Gill Mushroom Powder. Foods. 2023; 12(8).

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37107479)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12081685)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12081685)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10138041)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10138041)

Hong X, Wang J, Qiu S: Authenticating cherry tomato juices-Discussion of different data standardization and fusion approaches based on electronic nose and tongue. Food Research International. 2014; 60: 173–179.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2013.10.039)

Huang G-L, Liu T-T, Mao X-M, et al.: Insights into the volatile flavor and quality profiles of loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.) during shelf-life via HS-GC-IMS, E-nose, and E-tongue. Food Chemistry: X. 2023; 20: 100886.

Huang L, Liu H, Zhang B, et al.: Application of Electronic Nose with Multivariate Analysis and Sensor Selection for Botanical Origin
Identification and Quality Determination of Honey. *Food and* Bioprocess Technology. 2015; 8(2): 359–370. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-014-1407-6)

Huang L, Meng L, Zhu N, et al.: A primary study on forecasting the days before decay of peach fruit using near-infrared spectroscopy and electronic nose techniques. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 2017; 133: 104–112.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POSTHARVBIO.2017.07.014)

Isogai T, Wise PM: The effects of odor quality and temporal asynchrony on modulation of taste intensity by retronasal odor. Chemical Senses. 2016; 41(7): 557–566.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27143280)[|](https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjw059)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjw059)

Iwatani SI, Akimoto H, Sakurai N: Acoustic vibration method for food texture evaluation using an accelerometer sensor. Journal of Food Engineering. 2013; 115(1): 26–32. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.09.015)

Jakubczyk E, Gondek E, Tryzno E: Application of novel acoustic measurement techniques for texture analysis of co-extruded snacks. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 2017; 75: 582–589. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.10.013)

Jekle M, Fuchs A, Becker T: A normalized texture profile analysis approach to evaluate firming kinetics of bread crumbs independent from its initial texture. Journal of Cereal Science. 2018; 81: 147–152. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCS.2018.04.007)

Jiang H, Zhang M, Bhandari B, et al.: Application of electronic tongue for fresh foods quality evaluation: A review. Food Reviews International 2018; 34(8): 746–769. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2018.1424184)

Jiménez Muñoz LM, Sotelo Díaz I, Salgado Rohner C, et al.: Effectiveness of High Power Ultrasound for Surimi-Based Preparation of Lionfish (Pterois volitans) Patties by Textural, Sensory and Shape Preference. Journal of Culinary Science & Technology. 2017; 17(2): 89–102. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/15428052.2017.1404538)

Jin G, Wang Y, Li L, *et ɑl*.: **Intelligent evaluation of black tea**
fermentation degree by FT-NIR and computer vision based on data fusion strategy. LWT. 2020; 125: 109216. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2020.109216)

Kakani V, Nguyen VH, Kumar BP, et al.: A critical review on computer vision and artificial intelligence in food industry. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research. 2020; 2: 100033. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100033)

Kato S, Wada N, Ito R, et al.: Analysis of Mastication Sound for Development of Food Texture Inference System. Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies. 2017; 13: 833–843. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69835-9_78)

Khojastehnazhand M, Ramezani H: Machine vision system for classification of bulk raisins using texture features. Journal of Food
Engineering. 2020; 271: 109864.
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFOODENG.2019.109864)</mark>

Kohyama K: Food Texture – Sensory Evaluation and Instrumental Measurement. Textural Characteristics of World Foods. 2020: 1–13. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119430902.CH1)

Kohyama K, Hayakawa F, Kazami Y, et al.: Electromyographic texture characterization of hydrocolloid gels as model foods with varying
mastication and swallowing difficulties. Food Hydrocolloids. 2015; 43: 146–152.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2014.05.016)

Koklu M, Ozkan IA: Multiclass classification of dry beans using computer vision and machine learning techniques. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2020; 174: 105507.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2020.105507)

Kurotobi T, Hoshino T, Kazami Y, et al.: Relationship between sensory analysis for texture and instrument measurements in model strawberry jam. Journal of Texture Studies. 2018; 49(4): 359–369. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29935033)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12348)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12348)

Kusumi K, Nakamoto H, Kobayashi F , et al.: Development of Magnetic Food Texture Sensor with Spring and Sliding Mechanism. Proceedings of IEEE Sensors. 2020. 2020-October. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1109/SENSORS47125.2020.9278861)

Lancioni C, Castells C, Candal R, et al.: Headspace solid-phase microextraction: Fundamentals and recent advances. Advances in Sample Preparation. 2022; 3: 100035. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SAMPRE.2022.100035)

Lanza B, Amoruso F: Measurement of kinaesthetic properties of in-brine table olives by microstructure of fracture surface, sensory evaluation and texture profile analysis (TPA). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2018; 98(11): 4142–4150. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29393523)[|](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8932)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8932)

Laureati M, Buratti S, Giovanelli G, et al.: Characterization and differentiation of Italian Parma, San Daniele and Toscano dry-cured hams: A multi-disciplinary approach. *Meat Science*. 2014; 96(1): 288–294.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23927917) [|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.07.014) [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.07.014)</mark>

Lawless HT, Heymann H: Sensory Evaluation of Food. 2010; [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6488-5)

Lee IY, Park YS, Shin WS: **The particle size of rice flour greatly affects the**
**structural, textural and masticatory properties of steamed rice cake
(Baekseolgi).** *Food Science and Biotechnology. 2***021; 30(13): 1657–1666.** [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34925941)[|](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10068-021-01006-7/FIGURES/3)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S10068-021-01006-7/FIGURES/3)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8640008)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8640008)

Leme DS, da Silva SA, Barbosa BHG, *et ɑl.*: **Recognition of coffee roasting**
degree using a computer vision system. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2019; 156: 312–317.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2018.11.029)

Li L, Yu Y, Yang J, et al.: Voltammetric electronic tongue for the qualitative analysis of milk adulterated with urea combined with **multi-way data analysis.** International Journal of Electrochemical Science.
2015; **10**: 5970–5980. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1452-3981(23)17309-3)

Li P, Wu G, Yang D, et al.: Applying sensory and instrumental techniques **to evaluate the texture of French fries from fast food restaurant.**
Journal of Texture Studies. 2020; **51**(3): 521–531. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31955416)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12506)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12506)

Liu D, Li S, Wang N, et al.: Evolution of Taste Compounds of Dezhou-Braised Chicken During Cooking Evaluated by Chemical Analysis and
an Electronic Tongue System. Journal of Food Science. 2017; 82(5): 1076–1082.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28407240)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13693)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13693)

Liu K, Zhang C: **Volatile organic compounds gas sensor based on quartz**
crystal microbalance for fruit freshness detection: A review. *Food* Chemistry. 2021; 334: 127615.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32711261)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2020.127615)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2020.127615)

Liu Y. Liu I, Li X, et al.: Hofmeister anion effects synergize with microbial transglutaminase to enhance the techno-functional properties of pea protein. Food Research International. 2023; 169: 112824. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37254401)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112824)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112824)

Liu YX, Cao MJ, Liu GM: Texture analyzers for food quality evaluation. Evaluation Technologies for Food Quality. 2019: 441–463. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814217-2.00017-2)

Loutfi A, Coradeschi S, Mani GK, et al.: Electronic noses for food quality: **A review**. *Journal of Food Engineering.* 2015; **144**: 103–111. Elsevier Ltd.
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.07.019)</mark>

Lu HC, Tian MB, Han X, *et ɑl.*: <mark>The key role of vineyard parcel in shaping</mark>
flavonoid profiles and color characteristics of Cabernet Sauvignon wines combined with the influence of harvest ripeness, vintage and bottle aging. Food Chemistry: X. 2023; 19: 100772.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37780257)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100772)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100772)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10534108)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10534108)

Majcher MA, Kaczmarek A, Klensporf-Pawlik D, et al.: SPME-MS-Based Electronic Nose as a Tool for Determination of Authenticity of PDO Cheese, Oscypek. Food Analytical Methods. 2015; 8(9): 2211–2217. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-015-0114-x)

Martínez-Velasco JD, Izquierdo-Manrique F, Filomena-Ambrosio A, et al.: Analysis Software for the Principal Physical Properties in Food Matrices. 2022 IEEE 4th International Conference on BioInspired Processing, BIP. 2022.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1109/BIP56202.2022.10032471)

Mezhoudi M, Salem A, Abdelhedi O, et al.: Edible films from triggerfish gelatin and Moringa oleifera extract: Physical properties and
application in wrapping ricotta cheese. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization. 2022; 16(5): 3987–3997. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-022-01472-5)

Mildner-Szkudlarz S, Jelen´HH: The potential of different techniques for volatile compounds analysis coupled with PCA for the detection of the adulteration of olive oil with hazelnut oil. Food Chemistry. 2008; 110(3): 751–761.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.02.053)

Milovanovic B, Tomovic V, Djekic I, et al.: Colour assessment of milk and milk products using computer vision system and colorimeter.
International Dairy Journal. 2021; 120: 105084.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IDAIRYJ.2021.105084)

Millikan GA: A simple photoelectric colorimeter. The Journal of Physiology. 1993; **79**: 152–157.
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1933.sp003036)</mark>

Min J, Lee JW, Bae GS, et al.: Evaluation of umami taste in Hanwoo with different feed sources by chemical analysis, electronic tongue
analysis, and sensory evaluation. Food Chemistry: X. 2023; X, **20**: 100889. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100889)

Moding KJ, Bellows LL, Grimm KJ, et al.: A longitudinal examination of the role of sensory exploratory behaviors in young children's acceptance

of new foods. Physiology & Behavior. 2020; 218: 112821. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32001305)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2020.112821)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2020.112821)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7153486)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7153486)

Moreno I, Caballero R, Galán R, et al.: Electronic nose: State of art. RIAI -Revista Iberoamericana de Automática e Informática Industrial. 2009; 6(3): 76–91.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1697-7912(09)70267-5)

Muthukumarappan K, Karunanithy C: Texture.:; Handbook of Dairy Foods Analysis:CRC Press: 2021 609–618. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429342967-33)

Naik S, Patel B: Machine Vision based Fruit Classification and Grading - A Review. International Journal of Computer Applications. 2017; 170(9): 22–34.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017914937)

Nederkoorn C, Theiβen J, Tummers M, et al.: Taste the feeling or feel the tasting: Tactile exposure to food texture promotes food acceptance. Appetite. 2018; 120: 297-301. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPET.2017.09.010)

Nishinari K, Fang Y: Perception and measurement of food texture: Solid foods. Journal of Texture Studies. 2018; 49(2): 160–201). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29437224)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12327)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12327)

Nishinari K, Fang Y, Rosenthal A: Human oral processing and texture profile analysis parameters: Bridging the gap between the sensory
evaluation and the instrumental measurements. Journal of Texture Studies. 2019; 50(5): 369-380.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31008516)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/JTXS.12404)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/JTXS.12404)

Niu Y, Wang P, Xiao Z, et al.: **Evaluation of the perceptual interaction** among ester aroma compounds in cherry wines by GC–MS, GC–O, odor threshold and sensory analysis: An insight at the molecular level. Food Chemistry. 2019; 275: 143–153.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30724180)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2018.09.102)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2018.09.102)

Nurjuliana M, Che Man YB, Mat Hashim D, et al.: Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer. Meat

Science. 2011; **88**(4): 638–644.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21420795) [|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.022) [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.022)</mark>

Nyalala I, Okinda C, Nyalala L, et al.: Tomato volume and mass estimation using computer vision and machine learning algorithms: Cherry tomato model. Journal of Food Engineering. 2019; 263: 288–298. her Full Text

O'Mahony M: Sensory Evaluation of Food: Statistical Methods and Procedures. Sensory Evaluation of Food. 2017;
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203739884)</mark>

Oroian M, Paduret S, Ropciuc S: Honey adulteration detection:

voltametric e-tongue versus official methods for physicochemical **parameter determination.** Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture.
2018; **98**(11): 4304–4311.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29427329)[|](https://doi.org/10.1002/JSFA.8956)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1002/JSFA.8956)

Pascual L, Gras M, Vidal-Brotóns D, et al.: A voltametric e-tongue tool for the emulation of the sensorial analysis and the discrimination of
vegetal milks. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 2018; **270**: 231–238. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SNB.2018.04.151)

Patrício DI, Rieder R: **Computer vision and artificial intelligence in**
precision agriculture for grain crops: A systematic review. Co*mputers* and Electronics in Agriculture. 2018; 153(August): 69–81. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.08.001)

Peleg M: **The instrumental texture profile analysis revisited**. *Journal of*
Texture Studies. 2019; **50**(5): 362–368. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30714161)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12392)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtxs.12392)

Pereira de Caxias F, Leal Túrcio KH, de Moraes Melo Neto CL, et al.: Effects of rehabilitation with complete dentures on bite force and electromyography of jaw and neck muscles and the correlation with occlusal vertical dimension. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2021; 25(7): 4691–4698.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33442778)[|](https://doi.org/10.1007/S00784-021-03783-1/TABLES/3)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S00784-021-03783-1/TABLES/3)

Pereira LJ, Duarte Gavião MB, Engelen L, *et αl*.: **Mastication and**
swallowing: influence of fluid addition to foods. Journal of Applied Oral Science. 2007; 15(1): 55–60.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19089101)[|](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-77572007000100012)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-77572007000100012)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4327213)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4327213)[|](http://www.ndigital.com)[Reference](http://www.ndigital.com) [Source](http://www.ndigital.com)

Pham QT, Liou NS: Investigating texture and mechanical properties of Asian pear flesh by compression tests. Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology. 2017; 31(8): 3671–3674. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12206-017-0707-y)

Podrazka M, Báczyńska E, Kundys M, et al.: Electronic tongue-A tool for all tastes? Biosensors. 2017; 8(1): 1–24. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29301230)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios8010003)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios8010003)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5872051)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5872051)

Ravi R, Prakash M, Bhat KK: Characterization of aroma active

compounds of cumin (Cuminum cyminum L.) by GC-MS, E-Nose, and sensory techniques. International Journal of Food Properties. 2013; 16(5): 1048–1058.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2011.576356)

Rodrigues DR, de Oliveira DSM, Pontes MJC, *et al.*: **Voltammetric**
e-Tongue Based on a Single Sensor and Variable Selection for
the Classification of Teas. *Food Analytical Methods.* 2018; **11**(7): 1958–1968.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S12161-018-1162-9/FIGURES/6)

Rodríquez JP, Corrales DC, Aubertot JN, et al.: A computer vision system for automatic cherry beans detection on coffee trees. Pattern Recognition Letters. 2020; 136: 142–153. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PATREC.2020.05.034)

Ross CF: Considerations of the use of the electronic tongue in sensory science. Current Opinion in Food Science. 2021; 40: 87-93. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COFS.2021.01.011)

Ruengdech A, Siripatrawan U, Sangnark A, *et ɑl*.: **Rapid evaluation of**
phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of mulberry leaf tea during storage using electronic tongue coupled with chemometrics. Journal of Berry Research. 2019; 9(4): 563–574. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3233/JBR-190395)

Rustagi S, Sodhi NS, Dhillon B: Relationship of electromyography (EMG) masticatory variables with sensory texture and instrumental texture parameters of different textured foods. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization. 2022; 16(1): 391–399. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11694-021-01168-2/TABLES/6)

Sabzi S, Arribas JI: A visible-range computer-vision system for automated, non-intrusive assessment of the pH value in Thomson oranges. Computers in Industry. 2018; 99: 69–82. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPIND.2018.03.016)

Salhuana J, Siche R, Abanto L, Vásquez V: Determination of the color change in frying of four varieties of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) using
computer vision. *Manglar.* 2022; 19(1): 45–52. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.17268/manglar.2022.006)

Salinas-Moreno Y, Ramírez Díaz J, Alemán de la Torre I, et al.: Evaluación de dos procedimientos de medición de color en granos de maíces
pigmentados. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Agrícolas.* 2021; 12(7): 1297–1303.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v12i7.2276)

Sanaeifar A, ZakiDizaji H, Jafari A, et al.: Early detection of contamination and defect in foodstuffs by electronic nose: A review. TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 2017; 97: 257–271. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.09.014)

Santos Pereira LF, Barbon S, Valous NA, et al.: Predicting the ripening of papaya fruit with digital imaging and random forests. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2018; 145: 76–82.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.12.029)

Schlossareck C, Ross CF: Electronic Tongue and Consumer Sensory Evaluation of Spicy Paneer Cheese. Journal of Food Science. 2019; 84(6): 1563–1569. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31042820)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14604)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14604)

Schmidt H: Texture Analyzer FRTS Series. 2018: 1–72.

Semenov V, Volkov S, Khaydukova M, et al.: Determination of three **quality parameters in vegetable oils using potentiometric e-tongue.**
Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2019; **75**: 75–80. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFCA.2018.09.015)

Shi H, Zhang M, Adhikari B: **Advances of electronic nose and its**
application in fresh foods: A review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2018; 58(16): 2700–2710. Taylor and Francis Inc. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28665685)[|](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1327419)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1327419)

Shimada A, Yamabe Y, Torisu T, et al.: Measurement of dynamic bite force during mastication. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation. 2012; 39(5): 349–356.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22288929)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2011.02278.x)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2011.02278.x)

Shrestha BL, Kang YM, Yu D, et al.: A two-camera machine vision approach to separating and identifying laboratory sprouted wheat kernels. Biosystems Engineering. 2016; 147: 265–273. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.04.008)

Śliwińska M, Wiśniewska P, Dymerski T, *et al*.: **Application of Electronic** Nose Based on Fast GC for Authenticity Assessment of Polish
Homemade Liqueurs Called Nalewka. Food Analytical Methods. 2016; 9(9): 2670–2681.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S12161-016-0448-Z/FIGURES/7)

Sobrino-Gregorio L, Bataller R, Soto J, *et al.* : **Monitoring honey**
a**dulteration with sugar syrups using an automatic pulse**
voltammetric electronic tongue. *Food Control.* **2018; 91: 254–260.** [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2018.04.003)

Sodhi NS, Singh B, Dhillon B, *et al.* : **Application of electromyography**
(**EMG) in food texture evaluation of different Indian sweets.** *Asian* Journal of Dairy and Food Research. 2019; 38(1): 41–48. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.18805/ajdfr. DR-1357)

Sotelo-Díaz LI, Ramírez B, García-Segovia P, et al.: Cricket flour in a traditional beverage (chucula): emotions and perceptions of Colombian consumers. Journal of Insects as Food and Feed. 2022; 8(6): 659–671.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3920/JIFF2021.0137)

Souayah F, Rodrigues N, Veloso ACA, et al.: Discrimination of Olive Oil by Cultivar, Geographical Origin and Quality Using Potentiometric Electronic Tongue Fingerprints. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society. 2017; 94(12): 1417–1429. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11746-017-3051-6)

Su Q, Kondo N, Li M, et al.: Potato quality grading based on machine vision and 3D shape analysis. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2018; 152: 261–268. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2018.07.012)

Subari N, Saleh JM, Shakaff AYM: Fusion technique for honey purity estimation using artificial neural network. WIT Transactions on Information and Communication Technologies. 2014; 53: 61–68. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.2495/Intelsys130071)

Sun D-W: 2016; Computer vision technology for food quality evaluation: Academic Press.

Sun Q, Zhang M, Mujumdar AS: **Recent developments of artificial**
i**ntelligence in drying of fresh food: A review**. *Critical Reviews in Food*
S*cience and Nutrition.* 2019; **59**(14): 2258–2275. Taylor and Francis Inc. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29493285)[|](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1446900)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1446900)

Sun X, Young J, Liu JH, et al.: Prediction of pork loin quality using online computer vision system and artificial intelligence model. Meat Science. 2018; 140: 72–77.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29533814)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2018.03.005)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2018.03.005)

Surányi J, Zaukuu JLZ, Friedrich L, et al.: Electronic Tongue as a Correlative Technique for Modeling Cattle Meat Quality and
Classification of Breeds. *Foods*. 2021; 10(10): 2283. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34681332)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS10102283)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS10102283)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8535256)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8535256)

Sussex W: TA1 Series Texture Analysis Machine User Manual. 2013; 01: 1–65. [Reference Source](https://www.ametektest.com/-/media/ametektest/download_links/texture_analyzers_ta1_manual_english.pdf?revision=b1a86cff-8145-4112-9b35-580ae9947e79)

Świąder K, Marczewska M: Trends of Using Sensory Evaluation in New Product Development in the Food Industry in Countries That Belong
to the EIT Regional Innovation Scheme. Foods. 2021; 10(2): 446. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33670555)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS10020446)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS10020446)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7922510)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7922510)

Taheri-Garavand A, Fatahi S, Omid M, et al.: Meat quality evaluation based on computer vision technique: A review. Meat Science. 2019: 156: 183–195.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31202093)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2019.06.002)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2019.06.002)

Tan J, Balasubramanian B, Sukha D, et al.: Sensing fermentation degree of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) beans by machine learning classification models based electronic nose system. Journal of Food Process Engineering. 2019; 42(6): e13175. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/JFPE.13175)

Tan J, Xu J: Applications of electronic nose (e-nose) and electronic tongue (e-tongue) in food quality-related properties determination: A review. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture. 2020; 4: 104–115. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.06.003)

Taniwaki M, Hanada T, Sakurai N: Device for acoustic measurement of food texture using a piezoelectric sensor. Food Research International. 2006; 39(10): 1099–1105. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2006.03.010)

Taniwaki M, Kohyama K: Mechanical and acoustic evaluation of potato chip crispness using a versatile texture analyzer. Journal of Food Engineering. 2012; **112**(4): 268–273.
[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFOODENG.2012.05.015)

Tao K, Yu W, Prakash S, et al.: Investigating cooked rice textural properties by instrumental measurements. Food Science and Human Wellness. 2020; 9(2): 130–135. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FSHW.2020.02.001)

Tavares da Silva F, Nardo dos Santos F, Martins Fonseca L, et al.: Oleogels based on germinated and non-germinated wheat starches and orange essential oil: Application as a hydrogenated vegetable fat replacement in bread. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2023; 253: 126610.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37652330)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.126610)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.126610)

Tian X, Li ZJ, Chao YZ, et al.: Evaluation by electronic tongue and headspace-GC-IMS analyses of the flavor compounds in dry-cured
pork with different salt content. Food Research International. 2020; 137: 109456.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33233132)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2020.109456)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2020.109456)

Tian X, Wang J, Cui S: Analysis of pork adulteration in minced mutton using electronic nose of metal oxide sensors. Journal of Food Engineering. 2013; **119**(4): 744–749.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.07.004)

Titova T, Nachev V: **"Electronic tongue" in the Food Industry.** *Food*
Science and Applied. Biotechnology. 2018; **1**(October): 154–164. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.30721/fsab2020.v3.i1.74)

Torres Gonzalez JD, González Morelos KJ, Acevedo Correa D: **Análisis del**
Perfil de Textura en Frutas, Productos Cárnicos y Quesos. *ReCiTeIA.* 2015; 14(2): 63–75.

[Reference Source](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283352303_Analisis_del_Perfil_de_Textura_en_Frutas_Productos_Carnicos_y_Quesos) .

Tunick MH, Onwulata CI, Thomas AE, et al.: Critical evaluation of crispy and crunchy textures: A review. International Journal of Food Properties

2013; 16(5): 949–963. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2011.573116)

Tuorila H, Hartmann C: Consumer responses to novel and unfamiliar foods. Current Opinion in Food Science. 2020; 33: 1–8. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COFS.2019.09.004)

Valente NIP, Rudnitskaya A, Oliveira JABP, *et ɑl*.: **Cheeses Made from Raw**
and Pasteurized Cow's Milk Analysed by an Electronic Nose and an Electronic Tongue. Sensors. 2018; 18(8): 2415. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044422)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/S18082415)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/S18082415)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6112048)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6112048)

Van Ruth SM: Methods for gas chromatography-olfactometry: a review. Biomolecular Engineering. 2001; 17(4–5): 121–128. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11377272)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-0344(01)00070-3)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-0344(01)00070-3)

Veeranagouda Ganganagowdar N, Gundad AV: An intelligent computer vision system for vegetables and fruits quality inspection using soft computing techniques. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal. 2019; 21(3): 171–178. [Reference Source](https://cigrjournal.org/index.php/Ejounral/article/view/5188) .

Velesaca HO, Suárez PL, Mira R, et al.: Computer vision based food grain **classification: A comprehensive survey.** Computers and Electronics in
Agriculture. 2021; **187**: 106287. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPAG.2021.106287)

Vickers ZM, Bourne MC: A Psychoacoustical Theory of Crispness. Journal of Food Science. 1976; **41**: 1158–1164.
<mark>[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1976.tb14407.x)</mark>

Vithu P, Moses JA: Machine vision system for food grain quality evaluation: A review. Trends in Food Science and Technology. 2016; 56: 13–20.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.07.011)

Wadhera D, Capaldi-Phillips ED: A review of visual cues associated with food on food acceptance and consumption. Eating Behaviors. 2014; 15(1): 132–143.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24411766)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EATBEH.2013.11.003)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EATBEH.2013.11.003)

Wagner J, Wilkin JD, Szymkowiak A, *et al.*: **Sensory and affective response**
to chocolate differing in cocoa content: A TDS and facial electromyography approach. Physiology and Behavior. 2023; 270:

114308. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2023.114308)

Wang Q, Li L, Ding W, et al.: Adulterant identification in mutton by electronic nose and gas chromatography-mass spectrometer. Food Control. 2019; 98: 431–438. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2018.11.038)

Wang W, Liu Y: **Electronic tongue for food sensory evaluation.**
Evaluation Technologies for Food Quality. 2019: 23–36. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814217-2.00003-2)

Wang L, Li Y, Guo Z, *et al.*: **Effect of buckwheat hull particle-size on bread**
staling quality. Food Chemistry. 2023; **405**: 134851. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36368105)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134851)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134851)

Wasilewski T, Migon´D, Gębicki J, et al.: Critical review of electronic nose **and tongue instruments prospects in pharmaceutical analysis**.
Analytica Chimica Acta. 2019; **1077**: 14–29). Elsevier B.V.. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31307702)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.05.024)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.05.024)

Wei CQ, Liu WY, Xi WP, et al.: Comparison of volatile compounds of hotpressed, cold-pressed and solvent-extracted flaxseed oils analyzed by SPME-GC/MS combined with electronic nose: Major volatiles can be used as markers to distinguish differently processed oils. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology. 2015; 117(3): 320–330. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400244)

Wei Z, Yang Y, Wang J, et al.: The measurement principles, working parameters and configurations of voltammetric electronic tongues **and its applications for foodstuff analysis.** Journal of Food Engineering.
2018; **217**: 75–92.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.08.005)

Widiasri M, Santoso LP, Siswantoro J: Computer vision system in measurement of the volume and mass of egg using the disc method.
IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2019; **703**(1): 012050.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/703/1/012050)

Wilson AD, Baietto M: Applications and advances in electronic-nose technologies. Sensors. 2009; 9(7): 5099–5148.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22346690)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/s90705099)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s90705099)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3274163)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3274163)

Wiśniewska P, Śliwińska M, Dymerski T, *et al.*: **Differentiation Between**
Spirits According to Their Botanical Origin. *Food Analytical Methods.* 2016; 9(4): 1029–1035.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-015-0280-x)

Wu D, Sun DW: Colour measurements by computer vision for food quality control - A review. Trends in Food Science and Technology. 2013; 29(1): 5–20.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2012.08.004)

Wu G, Morris CF, Murphy KM: Quinoa Starch Characteristics and Their Correlations with the Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) of Cooked Quinoa. Journal of Food Science. 2017; 82(10): 2387–2395. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28869289)[|](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13848)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13848)

Yan J, Guo X, Duan S *, et al.*: Electronic nose feature extraction methods:
A review. *Sensors (Switzerland).* 2015; 15(11): 27804–27831). MDPI AG.
<mark>[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26540056) [|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4701255) [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s151127804) | [Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4701255)</mark>

Yu H, Zhang Y, Zhao J, et al.: Taste characteristics of Chinese bayberry juice characterized by sensory evaluation, chromatography analysis, and an electronic tongue. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2018; 55(5): 1624–1631.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29666514)[|](https://doi.org/10.1007/S13197-018-3059-4/FIGURES/3)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/S13197-018-3059-4/FIGURES/3)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5897279)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5897279)

Yu Y, Zhao H, Yang R, *et al.*: **Pure milk brands classification by means of a**
voltammetric electronic tongue and multivariate analysis. International Journal of Electrochemical Science. 2015; 10: 4381–4392. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1452-3981(23)06630-0)

Zabala L, Vera J, Núñez C, *et ɑl*.: **Analysis and identification of the**
**movements of a human arm using an electromyographic signal
acquistion and processing system**. *Espirales Revista Multidisciplinaria de*
Investiga [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.31876/RE.V3I24.418)

Zareiforoush H, Minaei S, Alizadeh MR, et al.: A hybrid intelligent approach based on computer vision and fuzzy logic for quality measurement of milled rice. Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation. 2015; 66: 26–34. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2015.01.022)

Zaukuu JLZ, Gillay Z, Kovacs Z: Standardized Extraction Techniques for Meat Analysis with the Electronic Tongue: A Case Study of Poultry and Red Meat Adulteration. Sensors. 2021; 21(2): 481. [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33445458)[|](https://doi.org/10.3390/S21020481)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/S21020481)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7827137)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7827137)

Zhang B, Deng SG, Lin HM: **Changes in the physicochemical and volatile**
flavor characteristics of Scomberomorus niphonius during chilled
and frozen storage. *Food Science and Technology Research. 20*12a; 18(5): 747–754.

[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3136/fstr.18.747)

Zhang B, Huang W, LiJ, *et al.*: **Principles, developments and applications**
of computer vision for external quality inspection of fruits and
vegetables: A review. *Food Research International.* 2014; 62: 326–343. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODRES.2014.03.012)

Zhang H, Lopez G, Tao R, et al.: Food texture estimation from chewing **sound analysis.** Proceedings of the International Conference on Health
Informatics (HEALTHINF-2012). 2012b; **1**(1): 213–218. [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.5220/0003771802130218)

Zhu L, Spachos P, Pensini E, *et al.*: **Deep learning and machine vision for**
food processing: A survey. Current Research in Food Science. 2021; **4**: 233–249.

[PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33937871)[|](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRFS.2021.03.009)[Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRFS.2021.03.009)[|](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8079277)[Free Full Text](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8079277)

Open Peer Review

Version 2

Reviewer Report 09 February 2024

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.159245.r243292>

© 2024 Nasiru M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mustapha Muhammad Nasiru

Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Dutsin-Ma, Katsina, Nigeria

Approved as there are no further comments.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Food Processing and Preservation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 05 February 2024

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.159245.r243290>

© 2024 Al-Attabi Z. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zahir Al-Attabi

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khodh, Muscat Governorate, Oman

Authors responses are acceptable.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Food sensory, food analysis, gas chromatography, E-nose

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 September 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.144802.r200391>

© 2023 Nasiru M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

\boldsymbol{z} **Mustapha Muhammad Nasiru**

Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Dutsin-Ma, Katsina, Nigeria

This review explores various technological tools, including e-nose, e-tongue, artificial vision systems, and texture analysis instruments used to analyse and assess food properties like quality, composition, maturity, authenticity, and origin. By standardising these characteristics, they enhance existing food products and create new ones that cater to consumers' sensory preferences. This advancement supports growth in the food sector by delivering satisfying sensory experiences to consumers.

The paper exhibits a well-organised structure, with coherent headings and subheadings that contribute to the overall clarity of the manuscript. The write-up is satisfactory and presented in good English. The figures and tables provided are clear and comprehensible, aiding in understanding the findings.

However, there are some suggestions as follows:

- The abstract should be rewritten to include the findings of the study.
- Colour measurement devices were not discussed sufficiently, so more discussion is needed.

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Food Processing and Preservation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 26 Oct 2023

Claudia Garzón

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

The abstract should be rewritten to include the findings of the study. 1.

Answer. The abstract was adjusted.

2. Colour measurement devices were not discussed sufficiently, so more discussion is needed.

Answer. We have added sub-section 4.1which talks about the colorimeter.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 21 Nov 2023

Jose martinez

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

1. The abstract should be rewritten to include the findings of the study. **Answer.** The abstract was adjusted.

 2. Colour measurement devices were not discussed sufficiently, so more discussion is needed.

Answer. We have added sub-section 4.1which talks about the colorimeter.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 11 September 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.144802.r200385>

© 2023 Al-Attabi Z. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Zahir Al-Attabi

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Agricultural and Marine Sciences, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khodh, Muscat Governorate, Oman

The manuscript described several technologies applied in the field of food sensory. The flow of the manuscript is good and well-structured. The information provided is useful. However, some of these technologies are extensively reviewed like e-nose and e-tongue.

The following would improve the manuscript:

- 1. GC and GC/O were mentioned in the introduction but were not discussed.
- 2. Highlighting the advances in these technologies.
- 3. The colorimeter was not discussed.
- 4. Discuss the correlation between human sensory evaluation and these technologies.
- 5. Disadvantages of these technologies.

Other minor comments are amended in the [manuscript PDF file linked.](http://f1000research.s3.amazonaws.com/supplementary/131914/df8737fb-abab-4018-9371-afa1013917f9.pdf)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Partly

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the review written in accessible language?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Food sensory, food analysis, gas chromatography, E-nose

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 26 Oct 2023

Claudia Garzón

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

1. GC and GC/O were mentioned in the introduction but were not discussed.

Answer. In session 2 the following technologies were discussed: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME).

2. Highlighting the advances in these technologies. 

Answer. In session 2 the following technologies were discussed: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME).

3. The colorimeter was not discussed. Answer. We have added sub-section 4.1 which talks about the colorimeter.

4. Discuss the correlation between human sensory evaluation and these technologies. Answer. We have added in sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.2.1, 5, and 7 information indicating the correlation between human sensory evaluation and the technological tools mentioned in the article.

 5. Disadvantages of these technologies. Answer. To give scope to this recommendation, we have added section 8.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 21 Nov 2023

Jose martinez

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

1. GC and GC/O were mentioned in the introduction but were not discussed.

Answer. In session 2 the following technologies were discussed: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME).

2. Highlighting the advances in these technologies. 

Answer. In session 2 the following technologies were discussed: Gas Chromatography-Olfactometry (GC-O), Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and Headspace Solid Phase Microextraction (HS-SPME).

3. The colorimeter was not discussed. 

Answer. We have added sub-section 4.1 which talks about the colorimeter.

4. Discuss the correlation between human sensory evaluation and these technologies.

Answer. We have added in sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.2.1, 5, and 7 information indicating the correlation between human sensory evaluation and the technological tools mentioned in the article.

5. Disadvantages of these technologies.

Answer. To give scope to this recommendation, we have added section 8.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 07 September 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.144802.r194983>

© 2023 M. G. Marx Í. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

University of Minho, Braga, Braga, Portugal

The manuscript "Technological Tools for Sensory Analysis in the Food Industry" provides an overview of various technological tools used in the food industry to measure and analyze sensory characteristics of food products. The paper covers electronic nose, electronic tongue, artificial vision systems, texture analyzers, electromyographic analysis, and acoustic analysis.

This present manuscript provides a valuable overview of technological tools used for sensory analysis in the food industry. It offers practical insights into how these tools can be applied to assess various sensory attributes of food products. However, it would benefit from a more critical evaluation of the limitations and challenges associated with these tools and a discussion of recent advancements in the field. Additionally, a deeper exploration of data analysis methods would enhance the paper's utility for researchers and practitioners in the food industry.

This article does not demonstrate an innovative character. There are several reviews already published in this area. This manuscript should draw attention to real innovations and aspects that have not yet been addressed in the literature.

Below I detail some positive aspects of the review, but also some points that must be improved so that this manuscript can be accepted.

The paper provides a comprehensive overview of various technological tools used in sensory analysis in the food industry. It covers a wide range of devices and techniques, including e-nose, etongue, artificial vision systems, texture analyzers, electromyographic analysis, and acoustic analysis. This comprehensive coverage is valuable for readers interested in understanding the diversity of tools available for sensory analysis.

This manuscript is well-structured, with each section dedicated to a specific technological tool. This organization makes it easy for readers to navigate and find information on each tool separately. Additionally, the internal structure and applications of each tool are described in detail, providing a clear understanding of their operation and potential uses.

The authors emphasize the practical applications of these technological tools in the food industry. It highlights how these tools can be used to assess various sensory characteristics such as flavor, texture, and appearance. The practical examples and applications mentioned in the paper demonstrate the real-world utility of these tools for quality control and product development.

However, the authors should provide a wealth of information on the various tools, it lacks critical evaluation and discussion of their limitations and challenges. A **review** should not only highlight the strengths but also address potential weaknesses and constraints associated with the use of these tools. For instance, the paper does not discuss the cost, maintenance requirements, and calibration challenges that may arise when implementing these tools in food production settings. The manuscript does not discuss recent advancements and developments in the field of sensory analysis technology. Given the rapid pace of technological innovation, it would be beneficial to include information on any emerging tools or techniques that have been developed since the paper's publication.

Finally, the authors briefly mention some data analysis methods used in conjunction with these tools, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and machine learning classifiers. However, it would be helpful to provide more in-depth discussions on data analysis techniques and how they are applied to interpret the results obtained from these tools.

References

1. Tan WK, Husin Z, Yasruddin ML, Ismail MAH: Recent technology for food and beverage quality assessment: a review.*J Food Sci Technol*. 2023; **60** (6): 1681-1694 [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35463865) | [Publisher Full](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-022-05439-8) [Text](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-022-05439-8)

2. Tan J, Xu J: Applications of electronic nose (e-nose) and electronic tongue (e-tongue) in food quality-related properties determination: A review. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*. 2020; **4**: 104- 115 [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.06.003)

3. Baldwin EA, Bai J, Plotto A, Dea S: Electronic noses and tongues: applications for the food and pharmaceutical industries.*Sensors (Basel)*. 2011; **11** (5): 4744-66 [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22163873) | [Publisher Full](https://doi.org/10.3390/s110504744) [Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s110504744)

4. Calvini R, Pigani L: Toward the Development of Combined Artificial Sensing Systems for Food Quality Evaluation: A Review on the Application of Data Fusion of Electronic Noses, Electronic Tongues and Electronic Eyes.*Sensors (Basel)*. 2022; **22** (2). [PubMed Abstract](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35062537) | [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22020577) 5. Ghasemi-Varnamkhasti M, Apetrei C, Lozano J, Anyogu A: Potential use of electronic noses, electronic tongues and biosensors as multisensor systems for spoilage examination in foods. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 2018; **80**: 71-92 [Publisher Full Text](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.07.018)

Is the topic of the review discussed comprehensively in the context of the current literature?

Partly

Are all factual statements correct and adequately supported by citations? Partly

Is the review written in accessible language? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn appropriate in the context of the current research literature? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Olive oil; Bioactive compounds; Phenolic compounds; Emerging technologies of phenols extraction; electrochemical sensor devices; e-tongue; e-nose; chromatography.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 21 Nov 2023

Claudia Garzón

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

1. However, the authors should provide a wealth of information on the various tools, it lacks critical evaluation and discussion of their limitations and challenges. A review should not only highlight the strengths but also address potential weaknesses and constraints associated with the use of these tools. For instance, the paper does not discuss the cost, maintenance requirements, and calibration challenges that may arise when implementing these tools in food production settings.

Answer. To give scope to this recommendation, we have added section 8.

 2. The manuscript does not discuss recent advancements and developments in the field of sensory analysis technology. Given the rapid pace of technological innovation, it would be beneficial to include information on any emerging tools or techniques that have been developed since the paper's publication.

Answer. Thanks for the recommendation. We have added a new table that contains works where the colorimeter is used to characterize food matrices (table 3). Additionally, we add works developed between 2022 to date in tables 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6.

 3. Finally, the authors briefly mention some data analysis methods used in conjunction with these tools, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and machine learning classifiers. However, it would be helpful to provide more in-depth discussions on data analysis techniques and how they are applied to interpret the results obtained from these tools.

Answer. We appreciate the suggestion made; however, this review is focused on presenting some of the technological tools used for the analysis of sensory characteristics in food matrices. Therefore, data analysis methods used in conjunction with these tools, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and machine learning classifiers, were not discussed in this review. However, we are working with a master's student on a review article which includes the compilation of research studies related to existing data analysis methods that have been used in the study of food matrices.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 21 Nov 2023

Jose martinez

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments made on version 1 of the manuscript. We answer the reviewers' comments as follows:

1. However, the authors should provide a wealth of information on the various tools, it lacks critical evaluation and discussion of their limitations and challenges. A **review** should not only highlight the strengths but also address potential weaknesses and constraints associated with the use of these tools. For instance, the paper does not discuss the cost, maintenance requirements, and calibration challenges that may arise when implementing these tools in food production settings.

Answer. To give scope to this recommendation, we have added section 8.

2. The manuscript does not discuss recent advancements and developments in the field of sensory analysis technology. Given the rapid pace of technological innovation, it would be beneficial to include information on any emerging tools or techniques that have been developed since the paper's publication.

Answer. Thanks for the recommendation. We have added a new table that contains works where the colorimeter is used to characterize food matrices (table 3). Additionally, we add works developed between 2022 to date in tables 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6.

3. Finally, the authors briefly mention some data analysis methods used in conjunction with these tools, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and machine learning classifiers. However, it would be helpful to provide more in-depth discussions on data analysis techniques and how they are applied to interpret the results obtained from these tools.

Answer. We appreciate the suggestion made; however, this review is focused on presenting some of the technological tools used for the analysis of sensory characteristics in food matrices. Therefore, data analysis methods used in conjunction with these tools, such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and machine learning classifiers, were not discussed in this review. However, we are working with a master's student on a review article which includes the compilation of research studies related to existing data analysis methods that have been used in the study of food matrices.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research