- 1 Title: Frontal noradrenergic and cholinergic transients exhibit distinct spatiotemporal dynamics
- 2 during competitive decision-making
- 3
- 4 **Abbreviated Title:** NE and ACh signals during matching pennies
- 5
- 6 **Author List**: Hongli Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Heather K. Ortega<sup>1,\*</sup>, Emma B. Kelly<sup>2,3</sup>, Jonathan Indajang<sup>3</sup>, Jiesi
- 7 Feng<sup>4</sup>, Yulong Li<sup>4,5,6,7</sup>, Alex C. Kwan<sup>2,3,8</sup>
- 8
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Interdepartmental Neuroscience Program, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven,
- 10 Connecticut, 06511, USA
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, 06511,
- 12 USA
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>3</sup>Meinig School of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 14853, USA
- <sup>4</sup>State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Peking University School of Life Sciences, Beijing,
- 15 China
- <sup>5</sup>PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China
- <sup>17</sup> <sup>6</sup>Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies,
- 18 Peking University, Beijing, China
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>7</sup>Chinese Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China
- <sup>8</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, 10065, USA
- 21 \*These authors contributed equally to the work
- 22
- Author Contributions: H.W., H.K.O., and A.C.K. designed research; H.W., H.K.O., E.B.K., and
- J.I. performed research. H.W., H.K.O., and A.C.K. analyzed data. J.F. and Y.L. contributed new
- reagents. H.W. and H.K.O. wrote the first draft of the paper; H.W., H.K.O., E.B.K., J.I., and
- A.C.K. edited the paper; H.W., H.K.O., and A.C.K. wrote the paper.
- 27
- 28 **Correspondence:** Alex C. Kwan at alex.kwan@cornell.edu

### 29 Abstract

30 Norepinephrine (NE) and acetylcholine (ACh) are neuromodulators that are crucial for learning 31 and decision-making. In the cortex, NE and ACh are released at specific sites along neuromodulatory axons, which would constrain their spatiotemporal dynamics at the subcellular 32 scale. However, how the fluctuating patterns of NE and ACh signaling may be linked to 33 34 behavioral events is unknown. Here, leveraging genetically encoded NE and ACh indicators, we 35 use two-photon microscopy to visualize neuromodulatory signals in the superficial layer of the mouse medial frontal cortex during decision-making. Head-fixed mice engage in a competitive 36 37 game called matching pennies against a computer opponent. We show that both NE and ACh 38 transients carry information about decision-related variables including choice, outcome, and 39 reinforcer. However, the two neuromodulators differ in their spatiotemporal pattern of task-40 related activation. Spatially, NE signals are more segregated with choice and outcome encoded at distinct locations, whereas ACh signals can multiplex and reflect different behavioral 41 42 correlates at the same site. Temporally, task-driven NE transients were more synchronized and 43 peaked earlier than ACh transients. To test functional relevance, using optogenetics we found 44 that evoked elevation of NE, but not ACh, in the medial frontal cortex increases the propensity of 45 the animals to switch and explore alternate options. Taken together, the results reveal distinct 46 spatiotemporal patterns of rapid ACh and NE transients at the subcellular scale during decision-47 making in mice, which may endow these neuromodulators with different ways to impact neural 48 plasticity to mediate learning and adaptive behavior.

49

### 50 Introduction

51 Neuromodulators including acetylcholine (ACh) and norepinephrine (NE) play pivotal roles in

various behavioral functions (Everitt and Robbins, 1997; Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005;

53 Picciotto et al., 2012). One function associated with central cholinergic tone is arousal and

vigilance (Buzsaki et al., 1988), which relate to sensory sensitivity and selective attention

(Dalley et al., 2001; Yu and Dayan, 2002). These functions are supported by many experiments

that manipulated cholinergic signaling using pharmacology, lesions, and optogenetics

57 (McGaughy et al., 2002; Chudasama et al., 2004; Parikh et al., 2007; Herrero et al., 2008; Pinto

et al., 2013; Gritton et al., 2016). Classically, perceptual effects are associated with slow

59 fluctuation of ACh levels, although recent evidence indicates control can also occur at more

rapid timing (Parikh et al., 2007; Goard and Dan, 2009). Relatedly, NE has also been implicated

in arousal and vigilance (Jouvet, 1969; McCormick et al., 1991), and improved sensitivity to

62 sensory cues (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003). This is possibly achieved by NE elevating the

signal-to-noise ratio and/or gain in neural networks (Servan-Schreiber et al., 1990; Eldar et al.,
2013). The neuromodulatory effects on behavior, such as those exerted by NE, exhibit an
inverted U-shaped curve (Aston-Jones et al., 1999). Indeed, activity of cortical cholinergic and
noradrenergic axons correlate well with pupil diameter, which is an indicator of the arousal level
of an animal (Reimer et al., 2016).

68

69 In addition to arousal and vigilance, it is established that ACh and NE may be important for 70 learning and decision-making (Hasselmo and Bower, 1993; Doya, 2002; Bouret and Sara, 2005; Dayan and Yu, 2006; Sara, 2009). For instance, cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain 71 72 exhibit fast and transient increase in spiking activity after primary reinforcements including rewards and punishments (Lin and Nicolelis, 2008; Hangya et al., 2015). In support, optogenetic 73 74 activation of cortical cholinergic axons could substitute for actual rewards in associative learning 75 (Liu et al., 2015). Memory deficits have been observed when cholinergic transmission was 76 abolished in animals (Chudasama et al., 2004; Croxson et al., 2011). NE may be similarly 77 crucial for learning and decision-making because a loss of adrenergic receptors in the prefrontal 78 cortex contributes to the memory loss in aged animals (Arnsten and Goldman-Rakic, 1985; 79 Arnsten et al., 2012). More specifically, locus coeruleus neurons fire at specific epochs during 80 decision tasks (Clayton et al., 2004) and may be sensitive to reward values (Bouret and 81 Richmond, 2015). Presumably, neuronal firing changes in cholinergic or noradrenergic nuclei 82 reflect the altered phasic release of these neuromodulators that have been observed in the cortex (Teles-Grilo Ruivo et al., 2017), which may drive long-term synaptic plasticity in cortical 83 circuits (Kilgard, 1998; Froemke et al., 2007; Martins and Froemke, 2015). Therefore, growing 84 evidence indicate functions of ACh and NE signaling in higher cognitive functions. 85

86

Despite the large body of literature showing that ACh and NE can have multiple behavioral 87 88 functions and act at multiple timescales, less is known about the spatial pattern of the 89 neuromodulatory signal. At the level of brain regions, the neuromodulatory signals come from different sources and have distinct projection patterns. The major source of ACh in the 90 neocortex comes from the basal forebrain. The axonal projections are organized topographically 91 92 (Saper, 1984; Zaborszky et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016), and exhibit rich spatiotemporal 93 dynamics across regions (Lohani et al., 2022). By contrast, a major source of NE is locus coeruleus, which sends axons to innervate much of the forebrain - though with some 94 95 exceptions, such as the basal ganglia (Amaral and Sinnamon, 1977; Moore and Bloom, 1979). 96 Unlike the cholinergic system, each locus coeruleus neuron projects broadly to many brain

97 regions (Loughlin et al., 1982; Schwarz et al., 2015) with a high divergence of >20,000 terminals

- 98 (Descarries and Lapierre, 1973). At a finer spatial scale, within a single brain region, ACh and
- 99 NE signaling must be heterogeneous in space because neuromodulator levels elevate at
- 100 locations of release sites of the respective neuromodulatory axonal fiber terminals (Zhu et al.,
- 101 2020). However, the extent to which the spatial patterns of ACh and NE signals at the
- 102 subcellular resolution may reflect behavioral events is unknown.
- 103
- In this study, we address this knowledge gap by leveraging the latest generation of genetically 104 105 encoded fluorescent indicators of ACh (Jing et al., 2020) and NE (Feng et al., 2019; Feng et al., 106 2023), which permit sensitive and spatially resolved imaging of neuromodulatory signals. We 107 trained head-fixed mice to play a competitive game called matching pennies against a computer 108 opponent (Wang et al., 2022). In the medial frontal cortex, we found that although both NE and 109 ACh transients encoded the same set of task-related variables on a trial-by-trial basis, their 110 spatiotemporal dynamics are different. NE at a location would encode often only one decision 111 variable, whereas ACh at one site tends to multiplex and be driven by different behavioral events. To determine behavioral relevance, we activate cholinergic or noradrenergic fibers in the 112 113 medial frontal cortex using optogenetics to show that increased NE availability selectively 114 promotes exploration during decision-making.
- 115

### 116 Results

117

### 118 Head-fixed mice play matching pennies against a computer opponent

119 Matching pennies is a competitive game that involves social and strategic decision-making (Lee, 120 2008; Wang and Kwan, 2023). We previously developed a behavioral paradigm for head-fixed. fluid-restricted mice to play matching pennies against a computer opponent and characterized 121 122 the behavioral performance in detail (Wang et al., 2022). Briefly, in this iterated version of 123 matching pennies, for each trial, the animal and the computer chose simultaneously the left or 124 right option (Fig. 1A). Outcome was determined by a payoff matrix: if the mouse chose the same option as the computer, the mouse received a water reward; otherwise, there was no 125 126 reward (Fig. 1B). The computer opponent was programmed to predict the animal's upcoming 127 choice using the choice and outcome history over the session (see **Methods**). Based on the 128 prediction, the computer aimed to provide competitive pressure by selecting the option that the 129 mouse is less likely to pick. At the beginning of each trial, a 0.2-s, 5-kHz sound cue was played 130 to initiate a 2-s response window, during which the mouse could indicate its choice by licking

either the left or right spout with its tongue (Fig. 1C). Based on the choices of the animal and
the computer, a water reward might be delivered at the chosen spout according to the payoff
matrix. A random intertrial interval was presented to suppress pre-cue licks, which would be

- prolonged if the animal emitted one or more licks during the interval (see **Methods**).
- 135

In preparation for characterizing noradrenergic and cholinergic transients, adult C57BL/6J mice 136 were injected with AAV9-hSyn-GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> or AAV9-CaMKII-GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> to express genetically 137 encoded fluorescent indicators of NE (Feng et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2023) and ACh (Jing et al., 138 139 2020) in the medial secondary motor cortex (M2) region of the medial frontal cortex (Fig. 1E). We focused on the medial M2 region because of its role in flexible decision-making (Siniscalchi 140 et al., 2016; Barthas and Kwan, 2017; Yang and Kwan, 2021). Headplate and cranial glass 141 window were implanted to enable head fixation and cellular-resolution optical imaging. Animals 142 were trained to reach a stable performance of >40% reward rate for 3 consecutive sessions. 143 144 The Nash equilibrium of matching pennies suggests that the optimal play is a mixed strategy: players should choose left and right with equal probabilities, which would yield a 50% reward 145 rate in the long run. Indeed, the animals made choices with a high degree of stochasticity in a 146 147 session (Fig. 1D). In total, the data set involving two-photon imaging during matching pennies 148 included 47 sessions from 5 animals expressing GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> and 38 sessions from 4 animals 149 expressing GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub>. On average, animals expressing GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors 150 performed 550±25 and 459±17 trials per session respectively (mean±s.e.m.; Fig. 1F). Both 151 groups exhibited a high level of stochasticity in choice behavior, exemplified by the mean 152 entropy values of 2.93±0.01 and 2.91± 0.01 for the NE and ACh groups. Accordingly, the animals received reward rates of 46.2±0.5% and 46.2±0.5%, which were near but lower than 153 the optimal reward rate of 50% ( $p=4.5\times10^{-10}$  for NE;  $p=4.5\times10^{-10}$  for ACh; Wilcoxon rank sum 154 test). Post hoc histology showed the spatial extent of the GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> expression 155 in the medial frontal cortex (Fig. 1G). Together, these results showed that animals undergoing 156 two-photon imaging can play matching pennies at an expert level. 157 158



(A) Schematic of the competitive game. The addrived modes licks left of hight should to indicate left of hight choices. A computer tracks the animal's previous choices and outcomes, and chooses the side that the mouse is less likely to pick. (B) The payoff matrix of the game. The mouse receives a water reward only if it and the computer choose the same action in a trial. (C) Each trial, a sound cue signals the start of a response window. The first lick emitted by the animal within the window is logged as the response for that trial, and the outcome is delivered immediately based on the payoff matrix. A random intertrial interval follows the outcome. (D) An example session in which the mouse performed at a 50.3% reward rate. Top: the mouse's choices and outcomes. Bottom: the computer's choices. Blue and red bars indicate right and left choices, respectively. Black bars indicate rewards. (E) Schematic of the injection site. (F) Summary from 38 GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and 47 GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow) sessions. Left: the average number of trials performed each session. Middle: the average entropy of 3-choice sequences. Right: the average reward rate. (G) Post hoc widefield fluorescence image of GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub>-expressing neurons, immunostained with an anti-GFP antibody, in the medial M2 region of the frontal cortex.

159

### 160 Visualizing frontal cortical NE and ACh transients using genetically encoded fluorescent

### 161 indicators

162 We used a two-photon microscope to record fluorescence signals from GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and

163 GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors at a depth of 100-150 µm below the dura (Fig. 2A). The fluorescence

- signals were diffuse across the field of view (Fig. 2B, C), presumably because the sensors
- 165 express densely in cell bodies as well as neuropil. There were typically several dark areas in a
- 166 field of view, which were likely blood vessels and capillaries. Because of the diffuse signal, we
- 167 decided to divide the field of view in an unbiased manner by using an evenly spaced grid of
- 168 28×28 regions of interest (ROI). Each ROI had an area of 4.46×4.46 µm. We tested several

169 coarser and finer grid spacings, and found that it did not affect the conclusions of the170 subsequent analyses.

171

We performed two experiments to confirmed that the fluorescence signals arising from 172 GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors reflect NE and ACh transients in the medial frontal cortex. 173 One, we recorded auditory evoked response, because previous studies showed that both 174 175 noradrenergic neurons in LC and cholinergic neurons in basal forebrain respond to auditory stimuli, particularly novel and unexpected cues (Moore and Bloom, 1979; Maho et al., 1995). 176 Indeed, presentation of 4-kHz, 50-ms auditory cues led to a sharp-rising fluorescent transient 177 178 from animals that expressed GRAB<sub>NE2b</sub> or GRAB<sub>ACb3.0</sub> sensor (Fig. 2D-F). Two, cortical cholinergic and noradrenergic axonal activities are correlated with pupillary fluctuations (Reimer 179 180 et al., 2016). We measured spontaneous fluctuations in pupil diameter while imaging GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> signals in the medial frontal cortex (Fig. 2G). As expected, periods of pupil 181 182 dilation corresponded roughly to periods of elevated fluorescence signals (Fig. 2H). Collating 183 data from all ROIs across all fields of view, pupil size and fluorescence signals were positively correlated in most ROIs for both GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (Fig. 2I). These results provided 184 185 evidence that the fluorescence signals from GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors acquired with 186 two-photon microscopy reported fluctuations of NE and ACh levels in the medial frontal cortex. 187



# Figure 2. Visualizing frontal cortical NE and ACh transients using genetically encoded fluorescent indicators.

(A) Schematic of the imaging site. (B) Example field of view of  $GRAB_{NE2h}$ -expression in layer 2/3 of M2 imaged *in vivo* with two-photon microscopy. A 28x28 grid was used to divide the field of view into regions of interest (ROIs). (C) Similar to (B) for  $GRAB_{ACh3.0}$ . (D) Schematic of setup to record auditory evoked response. A 4-kHz, 50-ms auditory stimulus was presented 200 times per session, with simultaneous two-photon imaging. (E) Auditory evoked responses averaged across all ROIs and sessions for  $GRAB_{NE2h}$  (green) and  $GRAB_{ACh3.0}$  (yellow). Shading represents the 95% confidence interval. (F) Temporal parameters of the auditory evoked responses. Left: median time-to-peak from cue time.  $GRAB_{ACh}$ : 0.43 (0.18 – 0.53) s;  $GRAB_{NE}$ : 0.18 (0.18 – 0.45) s. Data are reported as median (25th – 75th percentile). p = 0.7, Wilcoxon rank sum test. Right: median decay time.  $GRAB_{NE:}$ -0.01 (-0.04 – -0.01) s<sup>-1</sup>;  $GRAB_{ACh}$ : -0.04 (-0.05 – -0.03) s<sup>-1</sup>. p = 0.16, Wilcoxon rank sum test. n.s., not significant. Circle, median. Thick bar, 25th and 75th percentiles. Thin bar, maximum and minimum values. (G) Schematic of setup to record pupil diameter. Pupil and two-photon images were recorded simultaneously. (H) Spontaneous pupil diameter in z-score (purple) and GRAB\_{NE2h} (green) or  $GRAB_{ACh3.0}$  (yellow) signal.

188

### 189 Frontal cortical NE and ACh transients contain choice- and outcome-related signals

- 190 We imaged fluorescence signals to determine NE and ACh transients in the medial frontal
- 191 cortex as mice played matching pennies against a computer opponent (Fig. 3A). We observed
- 192 NE and ACh transients that differed for rewarded versus unrewarded trials and contralateral
- 193 versus ipsilateral choices (Fig. 3B). To determine more quantitatively how NE and ACh
- transients in all ROIs relate to behavioral events, we fitted a multiple linear regression model
- 195 (see **Methods**) for each ROI to determine how its fluorescence signal may be explained by

196 choices, outcomes, and reinforcers (choice-outcome interactions) of past two, current, and next

- trials as well as recent reward rate and cumulative reward sum in a session. The results
- 198 revealed that NE and ACh transients in a sizable fraction of ROIs were modulated by choice,
- 199 outcome, and reinforcer of the current trial (Fig. 3C). We noted several differences between NE
- and ACh. For choice, the ACh signal rose before the cue, while it was detected in NE only after
- the cue onset. This is consistent with our earlier finding that pupil-related arousal contained
- 202 choice information prior to cue (Wang et al., 2022) and indicates that cortical ACh, but not NE,
- 203 may be involved in the preparation of the upcoming action. There were also differences in the
- temporal profiles of the outcome-related ACh and NE signals, which will be examined
- 205 quantitatively in the next sections. To a lesser degree, frontal cortical ACh and NE levels were
- 206 modulated by other behavioral predictors including previous choice, previous outcome, recent
- reward rate, and cumulative reward sum (**Fig. 3D**). This analysis showed that NE and ACh
- transients in the medial frontal cortex vary with decisions during the competitive game.



(C) The proportion of ROIs with significant regression coefficient for choice in the current that  $c_n$ , outcome in the current trial  $r_n$ , and reinforcer (choice-outcome interaction) in the current trial  $x_n$  in GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow) data, determined by fitting a multiple linear regression model. Red shading indicates the p-value from the chi-square test. (**D**) The fraction of ROIs with significant regression coefficient for choice in the next trial  $c_{n+1}$ , choice in the previous trial  $c_{n-2}$ , choice in the next trial  $r_{n+1}$ , outcome in the previous trial  $r_{n-1}$ , outcome in the trial before the previous trial  $r_{n-2}$ , reinforcer in the next trial  $x_{n+1}$ , reinforcer in the previous trial  $x_{n-1}$ , reinforcer in the trial before the previous trial  $x_{n-2}$ , recent reward rate  $r_n^{MA}$ , calculated as a moving average over last 20 trials, and the cumulative reward  $r_n^{cum}$  from start of session to current trial for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow) data determined from the same fit as (B). Red shading indicates the *p*-value from the chi-square test.

### 209

### 210 Spatial organization of the decision-related NE and ACh transients

211 A key advantage of two-photon imaging is to obtain micron-scale maps of the ACh and NE signals (Fig. 4A). We can average coefficients obtained from multiple linear regression across 212 213 time and ask how fluorescent transients at different subcellular locations in the medial frontal cortex were linked to behavioral variables (Fig. 4B). Fig. 4C shows such analysis applied to one 214 215 field of view, revealing heterogeneity in the spatial distribution of the task representations in the ACh and NE fluctuations. Across all sessions, we found that 81.9% and 83.3% of the ROIs had 216 217 GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> transients that were modulated by at least one of the behavioral variables in the multiple linear regression model (Fig. 4D). Focusing on the choice, outcome, 218 219 and reinforcer in the current trial that constitute the most predictive behavioral variables, 19.2% 220 and 26.9% of the NE and ACh ROIs were significantly modulated by choice (Fig. 4E). Meanwhile, more locations encoded outcomes, encompassing 46.6% and 60.6% of the ACh 221 222 and NE ROIs. Finally, a minority of 18.0% and 9.9% of the NE and ACh ROIs were associated with reinforcer. A single ROI may be significantly modulated by more than one behavioral 223 variable. This might happen because (1) locations represent behavioral variables with 224 225 independent probabilities and overlap by chance or (2) locations may preferentially have 226 correlated representation of multiple behavioral variables. The second explanation was 227 supported by statistical tests, because the overlap of ROIs modulated by different behavioral 228 variables occurred at a rate higher than chance for both ACh and NE (p=0, p=0, p=0, for overlap 229 between choice- and outcome-, choice- and reinforcer-, and outcome-and reinforcer-modulated 230 ROIs respectively, Pearson independent test; **Supplementary Table 4-1**).

231

To gain insight into the spatial integration of behaviorally relevant ACh and NE signals, we

calculated the conditional probabilities that an ROI encoded one variable  $v_1$  given that it also

encoded another variable  $v_2$  ( $Pr(v_1|v_2)$ ). A head-to-head comparison of these conditional

probabilities between NE and ACh highlighted a significantly higher degree of multiplexed

coding of task information by ACh transients, as evident from the higher  $Pr(r_n|c_n)$ ,  $Pr(r_n|x_n)$ , and

- 237  $Pr(c_n|x_n)$  values (p=0.044, p=0.034, and p=0.030; median test; **Fig. 4F, G; Supplementary**
- Table 4-2). We did not detect a difference in  $Pr(c_n|r_n)$  (*p*=0.864; median test. Fig. 4G). The
- 239  $Pr(x_n|c_n)$  and  $Pr(x_n|r_n)$  values were shown for completeness (*p*=0.002 and *p*=0.072; median test.

Fig. 4H), but they represented a small number of ROIs due to fewer locations encoding

241 reinforcer. Taken together, frontal cortical ACh transients are more likely to multiplex task-

### 242 related information, where NE transients encode behavioral events in a more spatially

### segregated manner.



task-related variable. (E) Venn diagrams showing the number and percentage of ROIs that were significantly modulated by the current choice, outcome, and reinforcer. (F) Boxplot of the conditional probabilities in GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow) data. Median test,  $Pr(r_n|c_n)$ : p=0.044;  $Pr(r_n|x_n)$ : p=0.034. \*p<0.05; \*rp<0.01; n.s.,

not significant. Large circles, medians. Thick bars denote 25th and 75th percentiles. Lines end at maximum and minimum value. Small circles: outliers. **(G)** Same as (F) for  $Pr(c_n|x_n)$ : p=0.002; and  $Pr(c_n|r_n)$ : p = 0.864. **(H)** Same as (F) for  $Pr(x_n|c_n)$ : p=0.002; and  $Pr(x_n|r_n)$ : p = 0.072.

244

### 245 Distinct temporal dynamics of the task-related NE and ACh signals

The most prominent behavioral readout linked to frontal cortical NE and ACh transients was 246 247 outcome, therefore we asked how the reward-related signals evolve over time at different locations. To understand the spatiotemporal dynamics, we used the regression coefficient for 248 outcome extracted for each ROI that was significantly modulated by outcome. These traces 249 were sorted using hierarchical clustering based on Pearson correlation (Fig. 5A). The 250 251 correlation matrices of the sorted regression coefficients revealed two clusters of ROIs for NE 252 and ACh (Fig. 5B). For group 1, which captured 92.4% and 93.4% of the ROIs for NE and ACh 253 respectively, the occurrence of a reward increased fluorescence signal (Fig. 5C). The temporal dynamics of NE and ACh signals differ, because NE signals rose faster and were more 254 255 temporally aligned than ACh as reflected by the shorter median time-to-peak and smaller variance of time-to-peak ( $p = 1.54 \times 10^{-13}$ , and p = 0.002, respectively; Wilcoxon rank sum test; 256 Fig. 5D, left and middle; Supplementary Table 5-1). The peak value of the regression 257 coefficient was larger in NE than ACh (p = 0.003; Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 5F, right; 258 259 **Supplementary Table 5-1**), although this magnitude depended on experimental factors such as fluorophore expression level (Ali and Kwan, 2020) and therefore should be interpreted with 260 caution. For group 2, which only captured 7.6% and 6.6% of the ROIs for NE and ACh 261 respectively, the occurrence of a reward reduced fluorescence signal (Fig. 3E). There were 262 similar differences in temporal dynamics for ROIs in group 2 as for those in group 1, except the 263 difference in variance of time-to-peak was not statistically significant (median time-to-peak, p =264  $1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ ; variance time-to-peak, p = 0.108; median peak-value, p = 0.002; Wilcoxon rank sum 265 test; Fig. 5F; Supplementary Table 5-1). 266

267

268 We clustered the ROIs based on their regression coefficient for outcome, but what about the 269 choice-related signals, given that there is spatially correlated encoding of behavioral variables? 270 We plotted the subset of ROIs with significant choice encoding using the same grouping and sorted ranking (Fig. 5G, I). The timing of the choice-related ACh and NE signals was also 271 different: the choice-related NE signals emerged earlier and were more synchronized than ACh 272 (group 1: median time-to-peak,  $p=1.06\times10^{-7}$ ; variance time-to-peak, p=0.003; median peak-273 value,  $p=3.53 \times 10^{-14}$ ; group 2: median time-to-peak, p=0.022; variance time-to-peak, p=0.001; 274 median peak-value, p=1.88×10<sup>-7</sup>; Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fig. 5H, J; Supplementary Table 5-275

- **1**). Strikingly, the sign of the regression coefficients for choice was opposite for NE and ACh.
- Although choice led to elevations of both NE and ACh in the medial frontal cortex, NE was
- 278 preferentially driven by ipsilateral choice whereas ACh was more responsive to contralateral
- choice (Fig. 5H). These results reveal that decision-related NE signals were more synchronized
- and peaked earlier than ACh transients in the medial frontal cortex.



### Figure 5. Distinct temporal dynamics of the task-related NE and ACh signals.

(A) Schematic illustrating the analysis: regression coefficients for current outcome were clustered into different groups using hierarchical clustering based on Pearson correlation. (B) Correlation matrices showing the clustering results for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (left) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (right). (C) Top: heat map of regression coefficients for current outcome for ROIs in group 1. Bottom: average regression coefficient for current outcome for ROIs in group 1 for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow). (D) Temporal parameters of outcome-related activity in group 1 for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (green) and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> (yellow) data. Wilcoxon rank sum test. Left: median time-to-peak,  $p=1.54 \times 10^{-13}$ . Middle: variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Right: median peak-value, p=0.003. \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; n.s., not significant. Large circle, median. Thick bar, 25th and 75th percentiles. Thin line, maximum and minimum values. Small circle, outlier. (E, F) Similar to (E) for ROIs in group 2. Median time-to-peak,  $p=1.43 \times 10^{-4}$ . Variance time-to-peak, p=0.108. Median peak-value, p=0.002. (G, H) Similar to (E) for regression coefficient for current choice for ROIs in group 1. Median time-to-peak,  $p=1.06 \times 10^{-7}$ . Variance time-to-peak, p=0.003. Median peak-value,  $p=3.53 \times 10^{-14}$ . (I, J) Similar to (E) for regression coefficient for current choice for ROIs in group 2. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.001. Median time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Nedian time-to-peak, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.001. Median peak-value, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.002. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.001. Median peak-value, p=0.022. Variance time-to-peak, p=0.001. Median peak-value,

281

## 282 Optogenetic elevation of frontal cortical NE increases switch probability

283 Given that NE and ACh transients exhibit task-related signals with distinct spatial and temporal 284 dynamics, we wanted to know if their levels in the medial frontal cortex may differentially 285 contribute to behavioral performance. To causally test the roles of the neuromodulators, we used optogenetics to stimulate noradrenergic and cholinergic axons in the medial frontal cortex 286 as mice engaged in the matching pennies game (Fig. 6A, B). The payoff matrix and trial 287 288 structure were the same as the one used in imaging experiments except for the additional laser 289 photostimulation on select trials (Fig. 6C). Photostimulation (473 nm, 40 Hz) would start at cue 290 onset and sustain until 1 s after the mouse makes a choice (i.e., the first lick within the response 291 window). This was designed to roughly mimic the time course of the observed NE and ACh 292 transients in the medial frontal cortex. We used a laser steering system that can rapidly re-293 position the laser beam and mice were implanted with a clear-skull cap (see **Methods**), which 294 allowed us to photostimulate a different region in the dorsal cortex on each trial. To target noradrenergic neurons, we crossed a knock-in Dbh-Cre mouse (Tillage et al., 2020) with the 295 296 Ai32 strain (Madisen et al., 2012) for Cre-dependent expression of ChR2. To target 297 noradrenergic neurons, we crossed a ChAT-Cre mouse (Rossi et al., 2011) with the Ai32 strain for Cre-dependent expression of ChR2. Post hoc immunostaining and confocal microscopy of 298 299 fixed coronal sections confirmed ChR2 expression in axons in the mouse medial frontal cortex 300 (Fig. 6D).

301

302 Initially, we tested how optogenetic stimulation of noradrenergic and cholinergic axons in 303 different brain regions may contribute to performance in matching pennies. We stimulated four 304 regions including left and right secondary motor cortex and left and right primary visual cortex 305 (left M2, right M2, left V1, and right V1; 40% chance of photostimulation on a given trial, equally allocated to each region) in a single session, while fixing the power at one of three levels for the 306 307 session (0, 1.5, and 3 mW) (Fig. 6E). The most obvious effect of photostimulation was to alter 308 the probability of a choice switch on the subsequent trial (i.e., if the mouse chose left and received a photostimulation, then the next trial it would choose right, and vice versa). With 309 310 increasing power, we observed that evoking NE release in medial frontal cortex increased the tendency for the mouse to change its choice (main effect of power: F(75, 2) = 7.57, p = 0.001, 311 312 two-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey test) (Fig. 6F; Supplementary Table 6-1). Curiously, this 313 consequence of NE manipulation was equally effective for all regions stimulated (main effect of region: F(75, 4) = 0.11, p = 0.98; interaction of region and power: F(75, 8) = 0.05, p = 1.00). 314 315 Similarly, there was a significant effect of photostimulation power on the switch probability (F(90, 316 2) = 8.39, p = 0.0005). We wanted to know if this photostimulation-induced propensity to

317 alternate choices affected performance. Comparing sessions with increasing laser power, we

- did not detect any difference on performance metrics including entropy (NE: F(41, 2) = 0.90, p =
- 319 0.42; ACh: F(43, 2) = 0.20, p = 0.82; one-way ANOVA), number of trials performed per session
- 320 (NE: F(44, 2) = 1.64, p = 0.21; ACh: F(43, 2) = 0.48, p = 0.62), or reward rate (NE: F(44, 2) =
- 321 1.09, p = 0.35; ACh: F(43, 2) = 0.009, p = 0.99) (Fig. 6G; Supplementary Table 6-2).
- 322 Collectively, this photostimulation protocol increases the switch probability for both the Dbh-
- 323 Cre;Ai32 and ChAT-Cre;Ai32 mice. The behavioral alterations lacked region and temporal
- 324 specificity, because the choice behavior was altered on trials when any region was stimulated or
- 325 even when photostimulation was absent.
- 326

We speculated that the lack of region and temporal specificity may be because the 327 328 photostimulation trials were too frequent. Therefore, we modified the protocol to activate only one region at 3 mW on 10% of the trials per session (Fig. 6H). With this revised 329 330 photostimulation protocol, we observed a within-session difference for NE between the photostimulation and control trials (main effect of photostimulation: F(40, 1) = 5.62, p = 0.02, 331 two-way ANOVA), with the mouse switching its choice significantly more on trials following 332 333 optogenetic stimulation of noradrenergic axons compared to trials without (p = 0.022, post-hoc 334 Tukey test; Fig. 6I; Supplementary Table 6-3). There was difference across region (main effect 335 of region; F(40, 3) = 4.03, p = 0.01), with mice switching more during sessions when left M2 was 336 stimulated compared to left and right V1 sessions (p = 0.06 and 0.01 respectively, post-hoc 337 Tukey test). Although it was clear that within V1 sessions, photostimulation trials increased 338 switching relative to control trials, suggesting that there is regional preference but not 339 exclusivity. This is likely due to the interconnected, branching afferents of NE neurons, and our photostimulation is activating collaterals to project to multiple other cortical regions (Schwarz et 340 al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016). With this protocol, we did not detect behavioral changes when 341 manipulating ACh levels (main effect of region: F(48, 3) = 0.99, p = 0.40; main effect of 342 stimulation: F(48, 1) = 0.07, p = 0.80). There was likewise no impact of the photostimulation on 343 the whole-session performance metrics (Fig. 6J; Supplementary Table 6-4). Altogether, 344 considering the results from both photostimulation protocols, we concluded that optogenetic 345 346 stimulation of noradrenergic axons increases the switch probability on the subsequent trial, with regional preference and temporal specificity if the photostimulation was applied sparsely. 347 348



(A) Schematic of the mouse playing matching pennies while noradrenergic and cholinergic axons were stimulated via optogenetics using a laser-steering system. (B) The payoff matrix of the game. (C) The timing of each trial. On trials with photostimulation, laser turns on at cue onset and sustains until 1 s after choice. (D) Confocal micrographs of immunostained axons in coronal section of medial frontal cortex of Dbh-Cre;Ai32 (left) and ChAt-Cre;Ai32 (right) mice. (E) Schematic of protocol to test effects of region within session and power across sessions. Multiple regions were tested per session, with maximum of one region tested per trial. 40% of trials are stimulated with 10% allocated to each region (M2-L, M2-R, V1-L, V1-R). One power level is tested per session. (F) Probability of switch on trial after photostimulation by region and power. (G) Session-based performance metrics, including entropy (left), number of trials (middle) and reward rate (right). (H) Schematic of protocol to test effects of region-specific photostimulation across sessions. One region was tested per session. 10% of trials stimulated at 3 mW. (I) Probability of switch on trial after photostimulation by region. (J) Session-based performance metrics, including entropy (left), number of trials (middle) and reward rate (right). Statistical analyses were performed using two-way ANOVA for (F) and (I) and one-way ANOVA for (G) and (J), and are included in Supplementary Table.

349

### 350 Optogenetic elevation of frontal cortical NE in a simple choice task

351 Because optogenetic activation of frontal cortical noradrenergic axons promoted switching

- 352 without improving reward rate, we wondered if the impact of the perturbation was specific to
- 353 decision-making with competitive pressure like matching pennies or if the effect would
- 354 generalize to a simplified task. We trained the same mice, after matching pennies experiments,
- on a simple choice task where there is no inherent benefit to switching. The structure and timing
- of each trial was nearly identical to matching pennies (Fig. 7A, B). Photostimulation (473 nm, 40
- Hz, 3 mW) applied to M2 occurred on select trials starting at the choice and sustained for 1 s.
- 358 Different from matching pennies, instead of a payoff matrix, reward availability followed a block
- 359 structure (Fig. 7C). In block 1, left choices have a 50% chance of water reward while right

360 choices have a 50% chance of water reward paired with photostimulation. After a random 361 number of trials, without external cue informing the mouse of the block reversal, block 2 began 362 with the opposite action-outcome contingencies. Each mouse was tested on multiple sessions with either sham photostimulation (0 mW) or photostimulation at 3 mW in a randomized order. 363 Example sessions illustrated the typical behavior: without photostimulation, Dbh-Cre;Ai32 and 364 ChAT-Cre;Ai32 mice tended to stick to one option and would persist in making the same choice 365 repeatedly (Fig. 7D, F). However, a Dbh-Cre; Ai32 mouse switched more frequently when the 366 367 water reward was paired with photostimulation than control (Fig. 7E). By contrast, a ChAt-368 Cre;Ai32 mouse switched rarely even when photostimulation was active (Fig. 7G). Summarizing the data across all animals, mice completed a similar number of trials per session regardless of 369 photostimulation (Dbh-Cre;Ai32: t(11) = 0.28, p = 0.79; ChAt-Cre;Ai32: t(8) = 0.88, p = 0.40; Fig. 370 **7H.** left). Dbh-Cre:Ai32 mice overall explored the options more by switching choices during a 371 session with photostimulation (t(11) = -2.68, p = 0.02), while ChAt-Cre:Ai32 mice switched 372 infrequently in both conditions (t(8) = 0.65, p = 0.53; Fig. 7H, right). Analyzing the data on a 373 per-trial basis, neither strain showed a preference for the side designated for photostimulation 374 (Dbh-Cre;Ai32: t(11) = -1.45, p = 0.18); ChAt-Cre;Ai32: t(8) = -1.24, p = 0.25, Fig. 7I, left). Dbh-375 376 Cre:Ai32 mice were more likely to switch on any given trial in sessions with photostimulation 377 (t(11) = -2.55, p = 0.03) while ChAt-Cre;Ai32 mice showed no difference (t(8) = 0.30, p = 0.77;378 Fig. 71, right). These results indicate that the evoked elevation of NE in the medial frontal cortex 379 causes the mouse to switch choices more frequently, even though there is no preference for 380 photostimulation per se and there is no incentive in this simple choice task for exploring. 381



### Figure 7. Optogenetic elevation of frontal cortical NE increases switching in a simple choice task.

(A) Schematic of simple choice task. (B) Each trial, a sound cue signals the start of a response window. The first lick emitted by the animal within the window is logged as the response for that trial, and the outcome is delivered immediately according to the trial block structure. A random intertrial interval follows the outcome. On trials with photostimulation, laser turns on at time of choice and sustains until 1 s after choice. (C) Schematic of the trial block structure. For trials in block 1, a left lick leads to water 50% of the time and a right lick leads to water paired with photostimulation 50% of the time. For trials in block 2, action-outcome contingencies are reversed. The first block is randomly selected. The block type reverses after a random number of choices (drawn from a truncated exponential distribution, with a minimum number of 10 trials). (D) Example session for a Dbh-Cre;Ai32 mouse with sham stimulation. (E) The same mouse as in (D) but in a session with 3 mW photostimulation. (F) Example session for a ChAt-Cre;Ai32 mouse with sham stimulation. (G) Same mouse as in (F) but in a session with 3 mW stimulation. (H) Quantification for session-based metrics including number of responses (left) and switches (right).
(I) Quantification for trial-based metrics including probability of choosing side designated for photostimulation (left) and probability of switching (right).

384 This study yielded three main findings. First, during a competitive game, both NE and ACh in 385 the mouse medial frontal cortex encode task-relevant information including choice and outcome. 386 The noradrenergic representation is more spatially segregated at the subcellular scale, whereas the cholinergic representation tends to multiplex multiple behavioral variables at the same 387 location. Second, the decision-related NE transients are more synchronized and peak earlier 388 389 than the ACh signals. Third, elevating NE levels in the medial frontal cortex promotes 390 exploratory behavior by spurring the animal to switch choices on the subsequent trial. Together, these findings reveal distinct spatiotemporal dynamics for NE and ACh signaling in the frontal 391 392 cortex, which may underpin their differential contributions to learning and decision-making. 393

### 394 Imaging considerations

395 We can visualize the dynamic fluctuation of neuromodulator levels at subcellular resolution owing to advances in novel genetically encoded fluorescent sensors of NE and ACh. However, 396 397 there are limitations to consider. Two-photon-excited fluorescence enables deep-tissue imaging, 398 but the dense expression and relatively weak brightness of the current generation of sensors restrict the imaging depth. Therefore, we are only sampling NE and ACh transients in the 399 400 supragranular layers of the medial frontal cortex. For NE, the majority of the LC inputs to the 401 cortex resides in layer I (Swanson and Hartman, 1975). However, we are likely missing a 402 substantial fraction of cholinergic inputs because afferents from the basal forebrain 403 predominantly reside in infragranular layers, with 77% found in layers V and VI, and only 14% 404 and 9% in layer I and layer II/III respectively (Henny and Jones, 2008). We obtained ~10% 405 change in fractional fluorescence from the most responsive ROIs and ~1-2% change in 406 fractional fluorescence averaged across a field of view. We were concerned that fluorescence 407 signals may arise from motion artifact, rather than biological sources. This is why we performed the auditory evoked response and spontaneous pupillary measurements to confirm that the 408 fluorescence signals agree with known physiological correlates of cortical NE and ACh levels. 409 410

A main finding of this study is the difference in timing, where task-related elevation of NE was significantly more aligned and peaked shortly after the decision. The  $\tau_{on}$  and  $\tau_{off}$  are 0.11 s and 0.58 s for GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> for 100 µM ACh (Jing et al., 2020), while the  $\tau_{on}$  and  $\tau_{off}$  are 0.09 s and 1.93 s for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> for 100 µM NE (Feng et al., 2023). The sensors have similar rise times and GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> has slower decay time than GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub>, therefore the intrinsic kinetics of the sensors cannot account for the temporal dynamics observed in this study. There is effort to expand the color palette of the genetically encoded fluorescent sensors. Red-shifted sensors are available

now for dopamine (Patriarchi et al., 2020; Zhuo et al., 2023) and has just been developed for

419 NE (Kagiampaki et al., 2023). Future studies may leverage wavelength-shifted sensors to

- 420 simultaneously monitor multiple neuromodulators at the same time, to further determine whether
- 421 the spatial organization of ACh and NE transients may be coordinated and the potential
- 422 interplay between different neuromodulators.
- 423

# 424 Spatial organization of decision-related NE and ACh transients in the medial frontal

- 425 **cortex**
- 426 Our results reveal that NE and ACh transients in the mouse medial frontal cortex occur when
- 427 animals made choices and received rewards during a competitive decision-making task.
- 428 Fluctuations of cholinergic and noradrenergic activities are intimately linked to pupil-associated
- 429 arousal state (Reimer et al., 2016), therefore our results are consistent with prior works showing
- that pupil size changes are correlated with choice, outcome, and reward prediction error
- 431 (Einhauser et al., 2010; de Gee et al., 2014; Van Slooten et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2022).
- 432 Moreover, the finding of this study is in agreement with a recent study reporting that cholinergic
- basal forebrain neurons provide reinforcement signals to its axonal targets (Sturgill et al., 2020).

435 A notable conclusion of this study is that the decision-related signals carried by NE is more 436 spatially distributed at the subcellular scale, whereas ACh can be modified by multiple 437 behavioral variables at the same location. This has important implications because it suggests 438 that ACh can influence neural plasticity and cortical computation specifically and only following 439 more complex events that involve a conjunction of behavioral conditions. By contrast, a more 440 segregated representation, like NE, would transmit task-related information in parallel to distinct 441 elements of the cortical microcircuit. Representation of different behavioral variables at the subcellular scale has been observed previously in the dopamine system. Using two-photon 442 443 microscopy to visualize calcium transients, individual dopaminergic axons in dorsal striatum were found to encode either locomotion onset or reward (Howe and Dombeck, 2016). The 444 heterogeneity in behavioral correlates mapped onto genetically defined subtypes of 445 dopaminergic neurons (Azcorra et al., 2023). Recent studies have likewise revealed subtypes of 446 447 noradrenergic neurons in the LC, with distinct firing patterns during decision-making (Su and 448 Cohen, 2022) and preferential long-range projection targets (Uematsu et al., 2017; Totah et al., 2018). There are also subtypes of cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain, which differ in 449 450 physiological properties and behavioral correlates (Laszlovszky et al., 2020). It is plausible that

the spatial organization of ACh and NE transients arises due to various degree of spatial
overlap of axons in the medial frontal cortex from different subtypes of NE or ACh neurons.

453

### 454 Noradrenergic system promotes switching and exploratory behavior

After photostimulation of frontal cortical NE axons, animals increased tendency to switch their 455 456 choice during both matching pennies and a simple choice task. Our results echo the central 457 conclusion of an earlier study that used chemogenetics to activate LC inputs into the anterior cinqulate cortex in rats, which increased behavioral variability (Tervo et al., 2014). However, 458 unlike the earlier work, in our task there is no incentive to switch in the simple choice task, 459 460 suggesting that this causally evoked behavioral change was not adaptive for improving 461 performance. Recent study has shown that silencing the mouse anterior cingulate cortex 462 decreases stochasticity in a foraging task (Vertechi et al., 2020), suggesting that the impact of 463 frontal cortical NE on exploratory behavior may be bidirectional, in agreement with a theoretical 464 proposal that NE may relate to the inverse temperature parameter in reinforcement learning 465 (Doya, 2002).

466

467 One may ask: given the prominent task-related ACh transients, why was it that stimulating the 468 cholinergic axons yielded no detectable change in behavior? This can be due to technical 469 limitations, because photostimulation was applied broadly to entire brain regions. We cannot 470 recapitulate the precise fine-scale spatiotemporal patterns observed for the neuromodulatory 471 transients. Unlike NE, we show that ACh transients are staggered with varying peak times at 472 different locations, which could not be mimicked by wide field optogenetic stimulation. Moreover, 473 ACh and medial frontal cortex have roles in decision making and learning that are not captured 474 by the behavioral tasks in this study. ACh contributes to cue-guided responses (Gritton et al., 2016) and working memory (Chudasama et al., 2004). Furthermore, medial frontal cortex 475 476 including anterior cingulate cortex is involved in tracking volatility and uncertainty (Behrens et 477 al., 2007), as well as risk aversion (van Holstein and Floresco, 2020) and belief or strategy updating (Starkweather et al., 2018; Tervo et al., 2021; Atilgan et al., 2022). These are aspects 478 of decision-making that are not emphasized in matching pennies, which may be why 479 480 optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic axons in medial frontal cortex yielded a null effect. 481

### 482 Conclusion

483 Norepinephrine and acetylcholine are major neurotransmitters in the brain. Here, taking

484 advantage of novel fluorescent sensors and *in vivo* two-photon microscopy, we characterized

485 noradrenergic and cholinergic signaling in subcellular resolution in the medial frontal cortex in

- 486 mice while they were engaging in a competitive decision-making game. We uncovered that
- decision-related events are associated with NE and ACh transients with distinct spatiotemporal
- 488 dynamics. Causal manipulation of frontal cortical NE heightened exploratory behavior. Our
- 489 study contributes to the emerging understanding of the functions of these neuromodulators in
- 490 value-based decision-making and provides clues into why their dysfunction may underlie
- 491 cognitive symptoms of neuropsychiatric disorders.
- 492

# 493 Acknowledgements

- 494 We thank Patricia Jensen for generating and sharing the Dbh-Cre mice, Neil Savalia for help
- with the two-photon microscope, and Stephan Thiberge, Lucas Pinto, and David Tank forsharing the design of the laser steering system.
- 497

# 498 Conflict of Interest

- 499 A.C.K. has been a scientific advisor or consultant for Empyrean Neuroscience, Freedom
- 500 Biosciences, and Psylo. A.C.K. has received research support from Intra-Cellular Therapies.
- 501 These duties had no influence on the content of this article.
- 502

# 503 Funding source

- 504 This work was supported by NIH/NIMH R01MH112750 (A.C.K.), NIH/NIMH R21MH118596
- 505 (A.C.K.), China Scholarship Council-Yale World Scholars Fellowship (H.W.), Gruber Science
- 506 Fellowship (H.K.O.), NIH training grant T32NS007224 (H.K.O.), and Cornell Engineering
- 507 Learning Initiatives award (J.I.). The work used the Cornell Institute of Biotechnology's Imaging
- 508 Facility, supported by NIH 1S10RR025502 for the Zeiss LSM 710 Confocal Microscope.

### 509 Methods

### 510

### 511 Animal

512 All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with procedures approved by the

513 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at Yale University and Cornell University. For

imaging, adult male C57BL/6J mice were used (postnatal day 56 or older; #000664, Jackson

Laboratory). For photostimulation, adult male and female Dbh-Cre;Ai32 and ChAT-Cre;Ai32

516 mice were used (postnatal day 42 or older). Dbh-Cre;Ai32 mice were generated by crossing

517 B6.Cg-Dbh<sup>tm3.2(cre)Pjen</sup>/J (Tillage et al., 2020) and B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor<sup>tm32(CAG-</sup>

518 <sup>COP4\*H134R/EYFP)Hze</sup>/J (#024109, Jackson Laboratory) (Madisen et al., 2012). ChAt-Cre;Ai32 mice

519 were generated by crossing B6.129S-*Chat<sup>tm1(cre)Lowl</sup>*/MwarJ (#031661, Jackson Laboratory)

520 (Rossi et al., 2011) and B6.Cg-*Gt(ROSA)*26Sor<sup>tm32(CAG-COP4\*H134R/EYFP)Hze</sup>/J (#024109, Jackson

521 Laboratory). Mice were housed in groups of three to five animals with 12/12 h light/dark cycle

522 control (lights off at 7 P.M.).

523

### 524 Surgical procedures

To prepare for imaging, animals underwent surgery for viral injection and cranial window 525 526 implant. At the start of surgery, the animal was anesthetized with 2% isoflurane, which was 527 reduced to 1-1.2% as the surgery progressed. The mouse was placed on a water-circulating heating pad (TP-700, Gaymar Stryker) in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments). After 528 injecting carprofen (5 mg/kg, s.c.; #024751, Butler Animal Health) and dexamethasone (3 mg/kg, 529 530 s.c.: Dexaject SP, #002459, Henry Shein Animal Health), the scalp of the animal was removed to expose the skull, which was cleaned with 70% ethanol and povidone-iodine three times. For 531 532 the first part of procedure, a custom-made stainless-steel head plate was glued to the skull with 533 transparent Metabond (C&B, Parkell Inc.). For the second part of procedure, a 3-mm-diameter 534 craniotomy was made over the longitudinal fissure (centered on AP + 1.5 mm, ML 0.0 mm relative to Bregma) using a high-speed rotatory drill (K.1070; Foredom). The dura was left intact 535 and irrigated frequently with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF; in mM: 5 KCI, 5 HEPES, 135 536 NaCl, 1 MgCl2 and 1.8 CaCl2; pH 7.3) over the remainder of the procedure. The injection sites 537 538 were located on the 4 vertices of a square with 0.2 mm side length, centered on a medial target within M2 (AP + 1.5 mm, ML ± 0.5 mm relative to Bregma). Either AAV9-CaMKII-GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> or 539 AAV9-hSyn-GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> (titer >10<sup>13</sup> GC/mL, WZ Biosciences Inc.) was infused at the four-540 injection site through a glass micropipette attached to a microinjection unit (Nanoject II; 541

542 Drummond). Each site was injected with 46 nL of the aforementioned viruses 8 times over 2 543 min, at a depth of 0.4 mm from the dura. To minimize backflow of the injected solution, the 544 micropipette was left in place for 5 min after each infusion. The cranial window consisted of one piece of 4-mm-diameter, #1 thickness prefabricated glass coverslip (#64-0720-CS-4R; Warner 545 546 Instruments) and three pieces of 3-mm-diameter, #1 thickness prefabricated glass coverslips (#64-0720-CS-3R; Warner Instruments), glued together concentrically with UV-activated optical 547 adhesive (NOA 61; Norland Products, Inc.). The window was placed on the cortical surface with 548 the glass plug facing down with gentle downward pressure provided by a wooden stick attached 549 550 to the stereotaxic frame. The window was then secured by cyanoacrylate glue and Metabond. 551 Post-operative analgesia (carprofen, 5mg/kg, s.c.) was provided immediately and for three 552 consecutive days following surgery. For most animals, the first and second parts of procedure 553 were done in the same surgery, prior to behavioral training and imaging. We were concerned that this sequence prolongs the time of viral-mediated expression which may affect the signal. 554 555 Therefore, for a few animals, the first and second parts of the procedure were done in separate 556 surgeries, each with its own set of pre- and post-operative steps. The initial head plate implant allowed for training, then once the animals were proficient, we injected viruses and prepared 557 558 cranial window for imaging. We did not detect differences in the two approaches and therefore 559 present only the combined data set.

560

561 To prepare for photostimulation, the steps closely followed procedures described previously 562 (Pinto et al., 2019). At the start of surgery, the animal was anesthetized with 2% isoflurane, 563 which was reduced to 1-1.2% as the surgery progressed. The mouse was placed on a watercirculating heating pad (TP-700, Gaymar Stryker) in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf 564 Instruments). After injecting carprofen (5 mg/kg, s.c.; #024751, Butler Animal Health) and 565 566 dexamethasone (3 mg/kg, s.c.; Dexaject SP, #002459, Henry Shein Animal Health), the scalp of 567 the animal was removed to expose the skull, which was cleaned with 70% ethanol and 568 povidone-iodine for three times. After removing the scalp, the skull was lightly polished using 569 acrylic polish kit (S23-0735, Pearson Dental) to remove residual tissue. A custom-made 570 stainless steel headplate (eMachineShop) was glued onto the skull with Vetbond and the center 571 well filled with transparent Metabond (1 scoop purple powder, 7 drops base, 2 drops catalyst; C&B, Parkell) to obtain a ~1 to 2 mm thick layer. After waiting for about 20 minutes for the 572 Metabond to cure, the surface of the Metabond layer was polished with progressively finer bits 573 574 from the acrylic polish kit. After polishing, the well was covered with a very thin layer of clear nail 575 polish (72180, Electron Microscopy Services) and allowed to dry fully. Post-operative analgesia

(carprofen, 5mg/kg, s.c.) was provided immediately and for three consecutive days following
surgery. Animals were implanted with this clear skull cap for at least 2 weeks before the start of
behavioral training.

579

### 580 Behavioral setup

The same training apparatus was used in our prior studies (Siniscalchi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 581 582 2022). Detailed instruction to construct the apparatus is available at https://github.com/Kwan-Lab/behavioral-rigs. Briefly, the mouse with a head plate implant was head-fixed to a stainless-583 584 steel holder (eMachineShop). The animal, restrained by an acrylic tube (8486K433; McMaster-585 Carr), was able to adjust its posture with limited gross movements. Two lick spouts made of 586 blunted 20-gauge stainless-steel needles were positioned in front of the subject near its mouth. 587 The animal indicated its choice by licking the spout with its tongue. The contact with the lick 588 spout formed a closed loop with wires that were soldered onto the spout and a battery-powered 589 lick detection electronic circuit, which generated an output electrical signal. A computer received 590 the signal via a data acquisition unit (USB-201, Measurement Computing) and logged it with the Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems). Two solenoid fluid valves (MB202-V-A-3-0-591 592 L-204; Gems Sensors & Controls) controlled the water delivery from the two lick ports 593 independently. The amount of water was calibrated to  $\sim 4 \mu l$  per delivery by adjusting the duration of the electrical pulse sent by the Presentation software through a second data 594 595 acquisition unit (USB-201, Measurement Computing). The sound cue signaling the trial start was played by two speakers (S120, Logitech) placed in front of the mouse. The whole setup 596 597 was placed inside an audiovisual cart with walls covered by soundproof acoustic foams 598 (5692T49, McMaster-Carr).

599

### 600 **Two-photon imaging**

The behavioral setup described above was placed under the two-photon microscope. The two-601 photon microscope (Movable Objective Microscope, Sutter Instrument) was controlled using 602 ScanImage software 5.1. The excitation source was a Ti:Sapphire femtosecond laser 603 604 (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent). Laser intensity was controlled by a Pockels cell (350-80-LA-02, Conoptics) and an optical shatter (LS6ZM2; Uniblitz/Vincent Associates). The beam was 605 606 focused onto the sample with a 20×, N.A. 1.00 water immersion objective (N20X-PFH, Thorlabs 607 via Olympus). The time-averaged excitation laser intensity was 120–180 mW after the objective. 608 To image fluorescence transients from GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> or GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors, excitation wavelength 609 was set at 920 nm and emission was collected from 475–550 nm with a GaAsP photomultiplier

tube. Time-lapse images were acquired at a resolution of 256 × 256 pixels and a frame rate of

611 30.03 Hz using bidirectional scanning with resonant scanners. To synchronize behavioral and

612 imaging data, a TTL pulse was sent by the Presentation software at the beginning of each trial

from the USB-201 board of the behavioral system that controlled the water valves. The imaging

- system used the TTL pulse as an external trigger to initiate the imaging acquisition.
- 615

### 616 **Photostimulation**

The photostimulation apparatus had a design based on earlier work (Pinto et al., 2019) and is

the exact same configuration used in previous study (Atilgan et al., 2022). Briefly, a 473nm

619 fiber-coupled laser (473 nm, 75mW; Obis LX, Coherent) was controlled by a pulse sequence

620 generator (Pulse Pal, Sanworks). The fiber output was directed to a galvanometer-galvanometer

- scanner (6210H, Cambridge Technologies), which were driven by power supplies (SPD-3606,
- 622 Cole-Parmer) and installed in a 60-mm cage system (ThorLabs). The excitation beam then
- passes through an F-theta scan lens (f = 160 mm; FTH160-1064-M39, ThorLabs) and is

directed onto the animal's head. Calibration of the laser beam's position relative to bregma is

achieved by visualizing the cortical surface using a monochromatic camera (Grasshopper3;

626 GS3-U3-23S6M-C, Point Grey) with a telecentric lens (TEC-55, Computar). A blue LED (470

nm) aimed at the animal's head was used as a masking light. Control of the laser, scanner,

- camera, and LED was executed through a data acquisition board (PCIe-6343, National
- 629 Instruments) utilizing custom software written in MATLAB (Mathworks). The behavior setup

630 described above was placed under the photostimulation apparatus. The entire system is housed

631 inside a custom T-slot frame box (80/22 LLC), shielded with soundproof foam panels, on a

vibration isolation table (CleanTop 781-651-02R, TMC).

633

## 634 Matching pennies

Animals were trained to play the matching pennies game with a component opponent (Wang et

al., 2022). All procedures were written using the programming language in the Presentation

637 software. The animals were fluid restricted with water provided during the daily behavioral

638 session. On the days when the subjects were not trained (typically 1 day per week), a water

- bottle was placed in the home cage, allowing for ad libitum water access for 5 minutes.
- 640

Animals were trained in 3 phases. For phase 1 (2 days), the animals were habituated to the

behavior apparatus. They may lick either spout for water. A water reward would be delivered

after every lick at the corresponding spout with a minimal time interval of 1 s. The session would

644 terminate after the animal collected 100 rewards. For phase 2 (approximately 4 weeks), the 645 animals were trained to follow the trial structure and withhold impulsive licks before the trial 646 started. In each trial, a 5-kHz sound cue lasting for 0.2 s signaled the start of the trial. Then the animal was given a 2-s window to lick either port. The 2-s response window would give a naïve 647 648 mouse more time to act when they had not learnt the trial timing, therefore helping the animals to acquire the task faster. Once the first lick was detected, the 2-s response window would be 649 650 terminated immediately. A water reward would be presented at the corresponding spout, following which a fixed 3-s period was presented for the animal to collect the reward. In the trials 651 when the animal did not lick, the 3-s interval was still presented in full. A random intertrial 652 interval (ITI) began after the 3-s consumption window. A number was drawn from a truncated 653 exponential distribution with lambda=0.333 and boundaries of 1 and 5, which was used as the 654 655 duration of the ITI in seconds. If one or more licks were detected during the ITI, an additional ITI with duration redrawn from the same distribution would be appended to the end of current ITI, 656 657 with a maximum of 5 ITIs. After the ITIs ended, the next trial would begin. The animal would be 658 advanced into phase 3 to play the matching pennies game when the average number of ITI 659 draws per trial was lower than 1.2 for 3 consecutive sessions. In phase 3 (approximately 4 weeks), the animals were trained to play the matching pennies game against a computer 660 661 opponent whose behavior was controlled by a script written in the programming language of the 662 Presentation software. The trial timing is the same as phase 2: each trial begins with a 5-kHz, 663 0.2-s sound cue. Within a 2-s response window, the animal indicated its choice by licking either the left or right spout. A water reward would be delivered in the corresponding spout if the 664 665 animal chose the same choice as the computer. Otherwise, there would be no reward. The 666 computer opponent was programmed to provide competitive pressure in a way the same as "algorithm 2" described in previous studies (Barraclough et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Wang et 667 al., 2022). Specifically, the computer opponent kept a record of all the animal's past choices and 668 669 outcomes within the current session and ran 9 binomial tests on the conditional probability of the 670 animal choosing left given the sequence of previous N choices (N=0-4) and previous M choices and outcomes (M = 1-4), against the null hypotheses that the conditional probabilities of the 671 animal choosing left was 0.5. If at least one of the tests rejected the null hypotheses with alpha 672 673 < 0.05, the computer then chose right with the significant conditional probability that was most 674 biased from 0.5. If none of the null hypothesis was rejected, the computer randomly generated 675 either choice with equal probabilities. The animal could play for as many trials as it desires, and 676 a session would terminate when no response was detected for 10 consecutive trials. Mice

reached stable performance when they played matching pennies for 3 consecutive sessionswith a minimum of 40% reward rate.

679

Initially, mice were trained in dedicated behavioral setups. After reaching criterion, animals were trained to play the same matching pennies game in the behavioral setup within the two-photon imaging or photostimulation rig. They would be deemed to have adapted when mice played matching pennies for 3 consecutive sessions with a minimum of 40% reward rate, which was when imaging or photostimulation experiments would commence.

685

### 686 Matching pennies and photostimulation

687 During matching pennies, laser was turned on for photostimulation (frequency: 40 Hz; pulse 688 duration: 0.1 ms) on select trials from onset of cue to 1 s after choice was made (i.e., first lick within response window). Photostimulation was applied to one of four possible locations: left 689 690 secondary motor cortex (M2-L; +1.5 mm AP, -0.3 mm ML from bregma), right secondary motor cortex (M2-R; +1.5, +0.3), left primary visual cortex (V1-L; -3.0, -2.0), or right primary visual 691 cortex (V1-R; -3.0, +2.0). On trials without photostimulation, the masking blue LED would be 692 693 turned on from onset of cue to 1 s after choice was made. For the "varying power" paradigm, 694 photostimulation occurred on 40% of the trials randomly with 10% allocated to each region (M2-695 L, M2-R, V1-L, and V1-R). One power was used for a session, but power changed across 696 sessions in a pseudorandom order between 0, 1.5, and 3 mW. For the "varying region" 697 paradigm, photostimulation occurred on 10% of the trials at 3 mW. One region was tested for a 698 session, but region changed across sessions in a pseudorandom order between M2-L, M2-R, V1-L, and V1-R. 699

700

### 701 Simple choice task

Each trial begins with a 5-kHz, 0.2-s sound cue. Within a 2-s response window, the animal 702 indicated its choice by licking either the left or right spout. In trial type 1, left spout has 50% 703 chance of delivering water and photostimulation (from choice to 1 s after choice was made) 704 while right spout has 50% chance of delivering only water. In trial type 2, left spout has 50% 705 706 chance of delivering only water while right spout has 50% chance of delivering photostimulation 707 and water. A session begins with a first trial of trial type 1 or 2 randomly. The next trial has a 708 1/11 probability to switch trial type. There were no external stimuli beyond the probabilistic 709 photostimulation and water delivery to inform the mouse of the trial type switch. The animal

could play for as many trials as it desires, and a session would terminate when no responsewas detected for 20 consecutive trials.

712

### 713 Pupillometry

714 A monochrome camera (GigE G3-GM11-M1920, Dalsa) with a 55 mm telecentric lens (TEC-55, Computar) was aimed at the eye of the animal contralateral to the hemisphere where imaging 715 716 was performed. Video was acquired at 20 Hz. The computer running the Presentation software 717 sent TTL pulses every 30 s to another computer controlling the camera through a USB data acquisition device (USB-201; Measurement Computing). The timestamp of the TTL pulse was 718 logged by MATLAB 2019b (MathWorks) with a custom script, such that the video could be 719 aligned to behavioral events post hoc. The computer running the Presentation software sent 720 721 TTL pulses every 30 s to the two-photon microscope to trigger imaging. Each session lasted 30 minutes. Animals were tested either naïve or after going through the entire behavioral training 722 723 protocol for matching pennies.

724

## 725 Auditory evoked responses

This measurement relied on the same behavioral setup as the matching pennies. A 4-kHz, 50ms auditory stimulus was played at the beginning of each trial. A random ITI was presented
following the stimulus. The duration of the ITI in seconds was drawn from a continuous uniform
distribution with boundaries of 1 and 4. The next trial would begin after the ITI. Each session
lasted 200 trials.

731

## 732 Histology

Following imaging experiments, mice were transcardially perfused with chilled phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) followed by formaldehyde solution (4% in PBS). The brains were then

fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for 1 hour before they were transferred to 30% sucrose

solution at 4°C. After about 24 hours, the brains were cut into 50-µm thick coronal sections with

a vibratome (VT 1000S, Leica). The brain sections were washed 3 times with PBS solution

before being immersed with chicken anti-GFP antibody (1:500; ab13970, Abcam) for 12 hours

at 4°C. Then Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-chicken secondary antibody (1:50; ab209487,

Abcam) was used to label the primary antibody for 3 hours at room temperature. The sections

741 were then mounted with DPX and imaged with an inverted wide-field fluorescence microscope.

743 Following photostimulation experiments, mice were transcardially perfused with chilled PBS 744 then formaldehyde solution (4% in PBS). The brains were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for 745 24 hours at 4°C before being transferred to PBS. Then brains were processed using the vibratome into 30-µm thick coronal sections. Sections were washed 5 times with PBS and 746 747 incubated in PBS with 0.3% Triton X (PBST) for 20 minutes at room temperature. Slices were blocked with 10% normal goat serum in PBST for 1 hour at room temperature followed by 748 749 incubation with primary anti-GFP antibody (1:200, ab290, Abcam) in 10% normal goat serum in 750 PBST at 4°C overnight. Sections were washed 3 times in PBS then incubated with Alexa 488conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:500; ab150077, Abcam) for 2 hours at room 751 temperature. Slices were mounted with Vectashield Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (H-752 1200-10, VectorLabs) and imaged with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. 753

754

## 755 Preprocessing of matching pennies data

To quantify the randomness in the animals' choices, the 3-choice entropy of the choice sequence is calculated by:

758

$$Entropy = -\sum_{k} p_k \log_2 p_k$$

760

where  $p_k$  is the frequency of occurrence of a 3-choice pattern in a session. Because there were 761 2 options to choose from, there were  $2^3 = 8$  potential patterns possible (e.g., left-left, left-left) 762 right, left-right-left, etc.). The maximum value for entropy is 3 bits. For matching pennies, the 763 animals tended to select the same option for around 30 trials towards the end of each session. 764 The 3-choice entropy over a moving 30-trial window was calculated for each session, and the 765 766 MATLAB function *ischange* was used to fit with a piecewise linear function. The trials after the 767 fitted curve fell below a value of 1 were discarded to exclude the repetitive trials in the analyses. 768 In cases where the curve recovered to a value greater than 1 after it dropped below 1, or if it 769 never fell below a value of 1, the entire session was used for analysis.

770

## 771 Preprocessing of pupillometry data

The preprocessing of pupillometry data was similar to a previous work (Wang et al., 2022). To extract the diameters of the pupil from the video recordings, we used DeepLabCut (DLC) 2.0

(Mathis et al., 2018; Nath et al., 2019). Five labels including the central, uppermost, leftmost,

lowermost, and rightmost points of the pupil were manually labelled by the experimenter on a

776 small subset of the video frames. The annotated frames were used to train DLC to automatically 777 label the 5 points on the remainder of the video. The absolute pupil diameter was calculated by 778 taking the distance between the leftmost and rightmost labels. The other labels were not considered because we found the labelling of the lowermost points were interfered by the lower 779 evelid, resulting in an inaccurate estimation. The absolute pupil diameter was passed through a 780 4 Hz lowpass filter with the MATLAB function *lowpass*. Using the MATLAB function *isoutlier*, we 781 782 detected and deleted any data points that were greater than 3 scaled median absolute deviation (MAD) from the median. The baseline of the signal was computed with a 10-minute moving 783 784 window, which was used to convert the signal to z-score to account for drift over a session.

785

### 786 **Preprocessing of imaging data**

787 Time-lapse images were processed for x-y motion correction using customized MATLAB scripts based on NoRMCorre (Pnevmatikakis and Giovannucci, 2017). The field of view (FOV) spans 788 789 142.66×142.66 µm, or 256×256 in pixels. For analysis, we only use the 124.83×124.83 µm, or 224×224 pixels, portion at the center of the FOV to avoid artifacts near the FOV edges due to 790 motion correction processing. The analyzed region was divided into 28×28 grids. Each grid was 791 792 a 4.46×4.46 µm, or 8×8 pixels, square, which was considered as a region of interest (ROI). We 793 decided to use grids to subdivide the FOV as an unbiased way to analyze spatial dependence, 794 rather than neural morphology because the GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> sensors labeled primarily 795 neuropils that are indistinct for manual drawing of ROIs. For each ROI, the fractional change in 796 fluorescence,  $\Delta F/F(t)$ , was calculated as:

- 797
- 798

$$\frac{\Delta F}{F}(t) = \frac{F(t) - F_0(t)}{F_0(t)}$$

799

800 where  $F_0(t)$  is the baseline fluorescence as a function of time, which was the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of 801 the fluorescent intensity within a 2-minute running window centered at time *t*.

802

## 803 Analysis of imaging data – Peristimulus time histogram

To obtain trial-averaged activity traces (peristimulus time histogram, PSTH) aligned to the cue onset for different trial types, we first aligned  $\Delta F/F(t)$  traces based on their timing relative to the cue onset of the corresponding trials and then took the mean across traces. We then estimated the average and 95% confidence interval over mean traces of all recorded ROIs with bootstrapping procedure to get the average PSTH for a single session.

### 809

### 810 Analysis of imaging data – Linear regression

811 To determine how fluorescence signals may relate to task-related variables such as choices and

- 812 outcomes, we used a multiple linear regression equation adapted from our previous study
- 813 (Wang et al., 2022):
- 814

815

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Delta F}{F}(t) &= b_0 + b_1 c_{n+1} + b_2 r_{n+1} + b_3 c_{n+1} r_{n+1} \\ &+ b_4 c_n + b_5 r_n + b_6 c_n r_n \\ &+ b_7 c_{n-1} + b_8 r_{n-1} + b_9 c_{n-1} r_{n-1} \\ &+ b_{10} c_{n-2} + b_{11} r_{n-2} + b_{12} c_{n-2} r_{n-2} \\ &+ b_{13} \overline{r_n^{\text{MA}}} + b_{14} r_n^{\text{Cum.}} + \varepsilon(t) \end{split}$$

816

where  $\frac{\Delta F}{r}(t)$  is the fractional changes in fluorescence at time t in trial n,  $c_{n+1}, c_n, c_{n-1}, c_{n-2}$  are 817 the choices made on the next trial, the current trial, the previous trial, and the trial before the 818 previous trial, respectively,  $r_{n+1}$ ,  $r_n$ ,  $r_{n-1}$ ,  $r_{n-2}$  are the outcomes for the next trial, the current trial, 819 the previous trial, and the trial before the previous trial, respectively,  $b_0, \ldots, b_{14}$  are the 820 regression coefficients, and  $\varepsilon(t)$  is the error term. Choices were dummy-coded as 0 for 821 ipsilateral responses and 1 for contralateral responses. Outcomes were dummy-coded as 0 for 822 no-reward and 1 for reward. For the last 2 predictors,  $\overline{r_n^{\text{MA}}}$  is the average reward over the 823 824 previous 20 trials, given by the equation: 825

826 
$$\overline{r_n^{\text{MA}}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{19} r_{n-i}}{20}$$

827

And  $r_n^{\text{Cum.}}$  is the normalized cumulative reward during the session, calculated by:

829

830 
$$r_n^{\text{Cum.}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N r_i}$$

831

832 where n denotes the current trial number and N is the total number of trials in the session.

833

For each session, the regression coefficients were determined by fitting the equations to data using the MATLAB function *fitIm*. The fit was done in 100-ms time bins that span from -3 to 5 s

relative to cue onset, using mean  $\Delta F/F$  within the time bins. For a given predictor and an ROI, if

the regression coefficients were significant (P < 0.01) for at least 3 consecutive or 10 total time

- points, the ROI was considered significantly modulated by the predictor. To summarize the
- results, for each predictor, we calculated the proportion of ROIs in which the regression
- set coefficient was significantly different from zero (P < 0.01). To determine if the proportion was
- significantly different from chance, we performed a chi-square test against the null hypothesis
- that there was a 1% probability that a given predictor was mischaracterized as significant by
- 843 chance in a single session.
- 844

### 845 Analysis of imaging data – Hierarchical clustering

846 To analyze the degree of similarity in task-related activity across ROIs, within each session, we 847 applied hierarchical clustering on the regression coefficients for the current outcome for the ROIs that were significantly modulated by the current outcome. We clustered the ROIs within 848 849 each session into 2 clusters based on the cross-correlation of the regression coefficients within -850 3 to 5 seconds from cue using the MATLAB function *clusterdata*. The optimal number of clusters 851 was validated with silhouette analysis. Note that the *clusterdata* function would always cluster any given dataset into two clusters, yet in some sessions the data were better fitted with only 852 853 one cluster. Therefore, we identified two distinct groups post hoc based on the direction of 854 modulation, then placed every cluster identified by *clusterdata* into either of these two groups as 855 follows: first we calculated the mean coefficients as a function of time of each cluster over 856 different ROIs; we then calculated the area under the curve of the average coefficients between 857 0-2 s from cue. Clusters with a positive area under the curve were considered Group 1, and 858 clusters with a negative area under the curve were considered Group 2. Once all the ROIs from all sessions were categorized into either group, we then identified the ROIs that were 859 significantly modulated by current choice and/or current reinforcer (choice-outcome interaction) 860 within the groups. For visualization, the ROIs were sorted by the center-of-mass of the 861 regression coefficients for the current outcome. The hierarchical clustering procedures 862 863 described above were performed for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> data separately.

864

## 865 Analysis of imaging data – Temporal dynamics of the task-related activity

866 To quantify the temporal dynamics of the task-related activity, the time-to-peak value was

calculated for each ROI as the duration from cue onset time to the peak coefficient time (the

- time when the regression coefficient reached the maximum magnitude). Afterwards, the median
- and variance of time-to-peak and the median of peak-value were taken for each session. This

quantification was performed for different regression coefficients (e.g., choice, outcome, and

871 reinforcer) separately.

872

### 873 Analysis of imaging data – Information encoding of single ROIs

874 To examine the spatial patterns of task-related activity, we calculated the average regression 875 coefficients over time for the current choice, current outcome, and current reinforcer (choice-876 outcome interaction) separately for each session. We used the Pearson's chi-square test for 877 independence to test whether the event that a given ROI was modulated by one predictor was independent from the event that the same ROI was modulated by another predictor. 878 To compare the level of information integration between GRAB<sub>NE2b</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACb3.0</sub> data, we 879 calculated 6 conditional probabilities that an ROI is modulated by one variable ( $v_1$ ) given that the 880 same ROI is modulated by another variable  $v_2$  for each session, given by the equation: 881

882

$$Pr(v_1|v_2) = \frac{N(v_1 \& v_2)}{N(v_2)}$$

884

883

where  $N(v_1 \& v_2)$  denotes the number of ROIs that were modulated by  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ;  $N(v_2)$  denotes the number of ROIs that were modulated by  $v_2$ ;  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  can be either  $c_n$ ,  $r_n$ , or  $x_n$  ( $v_1 \neq v_2$ ). The median test was used to determine if the conditional probabilities of an ROI was modulated by one predictor given the ROI was modulated by another predictor were significantly different between the GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> data, because the median test is more robust with small sample size and less sensitive to asymmetry.

891

## 892 Analysis for auditory evoked responses

To obtain the mean auditory evoked responses, we first aligned the  $\Delta F/F(t)$  based on the times 893 of auditory stimulus onset, then calculated the peri-stimulus time histogram (PSTH) over all 200 894 trials for each ROI. To examine the temporal dynamics of the auditory evoked response, the 895 896 time-to-peak- $\Delta F/F$  value was calculated for each ROI as the duration from cue onset time to the peak- $\Delta F/F$  time (the time when the PSTH reached the highest value). The decay time was the 897 898 decay constant obtained by fitting the PSTH from the peak time to the end of trial (3 s after the 899 cue time) to single exponential decay. Afterwards, the median of time-to-peak and decay time 900 were taken for each session.

901

## 902 Analysis for pupillary fluctuation

For plotting example traces of fluorescent and pupil signals, we smoothed the  $\Delta F/F(t)$  and pupil

- 2.5 z-score traces using a Gaussian kernel with the MATLAB function *smooth*. To characterize the
- relationship between  $\Delta F/F(t)$  and pupil z-score, we first determine the cross-correlation between
- 906  $\Delta F/F(t)$  and pupil z-score from lag of -2 to 2 seconds for each ROI, then determine the
- 907 maximum cross-correlation value within this lag range, and finally tabulate a histogram for the
- 908 maximum coefficients for all ROIs separately for GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> data.
- 909

### 910 Analysis for photostimulation

- 911 For the mouse's probability to stay on the next trial, a multi-variate ANOVA was performed for
- 912 each condition (ChAt-Cre;Ai32 or Dbh-Cre;Ai32) to determine the effect of region and power or
- stimulation. Post-hoc Tukey test was used as needed. For analyzing session-wide metrics such
- as entropy, number of trials completed, and reward rate, a one-way ANOVA was performed for
- each condition to determine the effect of power or region. Post-hoc Tukey test was used as
- needed. For the simple choice task, a t-test was performed to compare sessions with and
- 917 without stimulation (3 mW vs. 0 mW) for each condition on metrics of number of
- responses/switches, probability of choosing side with stimulation, and probability of switching onthe next trial.
- 920

### 921 Animal numbers

- For two-photon imaging, the data set for matching pennies included 47 sessions from 5 animals
- 923 expressing GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> and 38 sessions from 4 animals expressing GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub>. Auditory evoked
- responses included 7 sessions from 5 animals expressing GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> and 7 sessions from 7
- animals expressing GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub>. Spontaneous pupil fluctuation included 10 sessions from 5
- animals expressing GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> and 7 sessions from 7 animals expressing GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub>. For
- photostimulation, the data set came from 7 ChAt-Cre;Ai32 and 6 Dbh-Cre;Ai32 mice. The
- varying power paradigm included 91 sessions from 7 ChAt-Cre;Ai32 mice and 76 sessions from
- 929 6 Dbh-Cre;Ai32 mice. The varying region paradigm included 49 sessions from the same 7 ChAt-
- 930 Cre;Ai32 mice and 41 sessions from the same 6 Dbh-Cre;Ai32 mice. The simple choice task
- included 8 sessions from 4 ChAt-Cre;Ai32 mice and 12 sessions from 6 Dbh-Cre;Ai32.
- 932

### 933 Code accessibility

The data and code that support the findings of this study will be made publicly available at

- 935 <u>https://github.com/Kwan-Lab</u>.
- 936

#### 937 Reference

- 938 Ali F, Kwan AC (2020) Interpreting in vivo calcium signals from neuronal cell bodies, axons, and 939 dendrites: a review. Neurophotonics 7:011402.
- Amaral DG, Sinnamon HM (1977) The locus coeruleus: neurobiology of a central noradrenergic 940 941 nucleus. Prog Neurobiol 9:147-196.
- Arnsten A, Goldman-Rakic PS (1985) Alpha 2-adrenergic mechanisms in prefrontal cortex 942
- associated with cognitive decline in aged nonhuman primates. Science (New York, NY) 943 230:1273-1276. 944
- 945 Arnsten AF, Wang MJ, Paspalas CD (2012) Neuromodulation of thought: flexibilities and 946 vulnerabilities in prefrontal cortical network synapses. Neuron 76:223-239.
- Aston-Jones G, Cohen JD (2005) An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine 947 function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. Annu Rev Neurosci 28:403-450.
- 948
- Aston-Jones G, Rajkowski J, Cohen J (1999) Role of locus coeruleus in attention and 949 950 behavioral flexibility. Biological psychiatry 46:1309-1320.
- Atilgan H, Murphy CE, Wang H, Ortega HK, Pinto L, Kwan AC (2022) Change point estimation 951 by the mouse medial frontal cortex during probabilistic reward learning. bioRxiv. 952
- 953 Azcorra M, Gaertner Z, Davidson C, He Q, Kim H, Nagappan S, Hayes CK, Ramakrishnan C,
- 954 Fenno L, Kim YS, Deisseroth K, Longnecker R, Awatramani R, Dombeck DA (2023)
- 955 Unique functional responses differentially map onto genetic subtypes of dopamine 956 neurons. Nat Neurosci 26:1762-1774.
- Barraclough DJ, Conroy ML, Lee D (2004) Prefrontal cortex and decision making in a mixed-957 958 strategy game. Nat Neurosci 7:404-410.
- Barthas F, Kwan AC (2017) Secondary Motor Cortex: Where 'Sensory' Meets 'Motor' in the 959 Rodent Frontal Cortex. Trends Neurosci 40:181-193. 960
- 961 Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Walton ME, Rushworth MF (2007) Learning the value of information in an uncertain world. Nat Neurosci 10:1214-1221. 962
- Berridge CW, Waterhouse BD (2003) The locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system: modulation of 963 behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. Brain Research Reviews 964 965 42:33-84.
- 966 Bouret S, Sara SJ (2005) Network reset: a simplified overarching theory of locus coeruleus 967 noradrenaline function. Trends Neurosci 28:574-582.
- Bouret S, Richmond BJ (2015) Sensitivity of locus ceruleus neurons to reward value for goal-968 969 directed actions. J Neurosci 35:4005-4014.

- Buzsaki G, Bickford RG, Ponomareff G, Thal LJ, Mandel R, Gage FH (1988) Nucleus basalis
  and thalamic control of neocortical activity in the freely moving rat. J Neurosci 8:40074026.
- 973 Chudasama Y, Dalley JW, Nathwani F, Bouger P, Robbins TW (2004) Cholinergic modulation of
   974 visual attention and working memory: dissociable effects of basal forebrain 192-IgG-
- saporin lesions and intraprefrontal infusions of scopolamine. Learn Mem 11:78-86.
- 976 Clayton EC, Rajkowski J, Cohen JD, Aston-Jones G (2004) Phasic activation of monkey locus
- 977 ceruleus neurons by simple decisions in a forced-choice task. J Neurosci 24:9914-9920.
- 978 Croxson PL, Kyriazis DA, Baxter MG (2011) Cholinergic modulation of a specific memory
   979 function of prefrontal cortex. Nat Neurosci 14:1510-1512.
- Dalley JW, McGaughy J, O'Connell MT, Cardinal RN, Levita L, Robbins TW (2001) Distinct
   changes in cortical acetylcholine and noradrenaline efflux during contingent and
- noncontingent performance of a visual attentional task. J Neurosci 21:4908-4914.
- Dayan P, Yu AJ (2006) Phasic norepinephrine: a neural interrupt signal for unexpected events.
  Network 17:335-350.
- de Gee JW, Knapen T, Donner TH (2014) Decision-related pupil dilation reflects upcoming
  choice and individual bias. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:E618-625.
- Descarries L, Lapierre Y (1973) Noradrenergic axon terminals in the cerebral cortex of rat. I.
   Radioautographic visualization after topical application of DL-[3H]Norepinephrine. Brain
   Res 51:141-160.
- Doya K (2002) Metalearning and neuromodulation. Neural Netw 15:495-506.
- Einhauser W, Koch C, Carter OL (2010) Pupil dilation betrays the timing of decisions. Front HumNeurosci 4:18.
- Eldar E, Cohen JD, Niv Y (2013) The effects of neural gain on attention and learning. Nat
   Neurosci 16:1146-1153.
- Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (1997) Central cholinergic systems and cognition. Annu Rev Psychol
  48:649-684.
- Feng J, Dong H, Lischinsky J, Zhou J, Deng F, Zhuang C, Miao X, Wang H, Xie H, Cui G, Lin D,
   Li Y (2023) Monitoring norepinephrine release in vivo using next-generation GRABNE
   sensors. bioRxiv.
- 1000 Feng J, Zhang C, Lischinsky JE, Jing M, Zhou J, Wang H, Zhang Y, Dong A, Wu Z, Wu H, Chen
- 1001 W, Zhang P, Zou J, Hires SA, Zhu JJ, Cui G, Lin D, Du J, Li Y (2019) A Genetically
- 1002 Encoded Fluorescent Sensor for Rapid and Specific In Vivo Detection of
- 1003 Norepinephrine. Neuron 102:745-761 e748.

Froemke RC, Merzenich MM, Schreiner CE (2007) A synaptic memory trace for cortical
 receptive field plasticity. Nature 450:425-429.

- Goard M, Dan Y (2009) Basal forebrain activation enhances cortical coding of natural scenes.
   Nat Neurosci 12:1444-1449.
- 1008 Gritton HJ, Howe WM, Mallory CS, Hetrick VL, Berke JD, Sarter M (2016) Cortical cholinergic 1009 signaling controls the detection of cues. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:E1089-1097.
- Hangya B, Ranade SP, Lorenc M, Kepecs A (2015) Central Cholinergic Neurons Are Rapidly
   Recruited by Reinforcement Feedback. Cell 162:1155-1168.
- 1012 Hasselmo ME, Bower JM (1993) Acetylcholine and memory. Trends Neurosci 16:218-222.
- 1013 Henny P, Jones BE (2008) Projections from basal forebrain to prefrontal cortex comprise
- 1014 cholinergic, GABAergic and glutamatergic inputs to pyramidal cells or interneurons. Eur1015 J Neurosci 27:654-670.
- Herrero JL, Roberts MJ, Delicato LS, Gieselmann MA, Dayan P, Thiele A (2008) Acetylcholine
   contributes through muscarinic receptors to attentional modulation in V1. Nature
   454:1110-1114.
- Howe MW, Dombeck DA (2016) Rapid signalling in distinct dopaminergic axons during
   locomotion and reward. Nature 535:505-510.
- Jing M et al. (2020) An optimized acetylcholine sensor for monitoring in vivo cholinergic activity.
   Nat Methods 17:1139-1146.
- Jouvet M (1969) Biogenic amines and the states of sleep. Science (New York, NY) 163:32-41.
- Kagiampaki Z et al. (2023) Sensitive multicolor indicators for monitoring norepinephrine in vivo.
   Nat Methods 20:1426-1436.
- Kilgard MP (1998) Cortical Map Reorganization Enabled by Nucleus Basalis Activity. Science
   279:1714-1718.
- 1028 Kim JH, Jung AH, Jeong D, Choi I, Kim K, Shin S, Kim SJ, Lee SH (2016) Selectivity of
- 1029Neuromodulatory Projections from the Basal Forebrain and Locus Ceruleus to Primary1030Sensory Cortices. J Neurosci 36:5314-5327.
- 1031 Laszlovszky T, Schlingloff D, Hegedus P, Freund TF, Gulyas A, Kepecs A, Hangya B (2020)
- 1032Distinct synchronization, cortical coupling and behavioral function of two basal forebrain1033cholinergic neuron types. Nat Neurosci 23:992-1003.
- Lee D (2008) Game theory and neural basis of social decision making. Nat Neurosci 11:404-409.
- Lee D, Conroy ML, McGreevy BP, Barraclough DJ (2004) Reinforcement learning and decision
   making in monkeys during a competitive game. Brain Res Cogn Brain Res 22:45-58.

1038 Lin SC, Nicolelis MA (2008) Neuronal ensemble bursting in the basal forebrain encodes

- salience irrespective of valence. Neuron 59:138-149.
- 1040Liu CH, Coleman JE, Davoudi H, Zhang K, Hussain Shuler MG (2015) Selective activation of a1041putative reinforcement signal conditions cued interval timing in primary visual cortex.
- 1042 Curr Biol 25:1551-1561.
- Lohani S, Moberly AH, Benisty H, Landa B, Jing M, Li Y, Higley MJ, Cardin JA (2022)
- 1044 Spatiotemporally heterogeneous coordination of cholinergic and neocortical activity. Nat 1045 Neurosci 25:1706-1713.
- Loughlin SE, Foote SL, Fallon JH (1982) Locus coeruleus projections to cortex: topography,
   morphology and collateralization. Brain Res Bull 9:287-294.
- 1048 Madisen L et al. (2012) A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-1049 induced activation and silencing. Nat Neurosci 15:793-802.
- Maho C, Hars B, Edeline J-M, Hennevin E (1995) Conditioned changes in the basal forebrain:
   Relations with learning-induced cortical plasticity. Psychobiology 23:10-25.
- Martins AR, Froemke RC (2015) Coordinated forms of noradrenergic plasticity in the locus
   coeruleus and primary auditory cortex. Nat Neurosci 18:1483-1492.
- 1054 Mathis A, Mamidanna P, Cury KM, Abe T, Murthy VN, Mathis MW, Bethge M (2018)
- 1055 DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning.1056 Nat Neurosci 21:1281-1289.
- McCormick DA, Pape HC, Williamson A (1991) Actions of norepinephrine in the cerebral cortex
   and thalamus: implications for function of the central noradrenergic system. Progress in
   brain research 88:293-305.
- McGaughy J, Dalley JW, Morrison CH, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (2002) Selective behavioral and
   neurochemical effects of cholinergic lesions produced by intrabasalis infusions of 192
   IgG-saporin on attentional performance in a five-choice serial reaction time task. J
- 1063 Neurosci 22:1905-1913.
- Moore R, Bloom F (1979) Central catecholamine neuron systems: anatomy and physiology of
   the norepinephrine and epinephrine systems. Annual review of neuroscience 2:113-168.
- 1066 Nath T, Mathis A, Chen AC, Patel A, Bethge M, Mathis MW (2019) Using DeepLabCut for 3D
- 1067 markerless pose estimation across species and behaviors. Nat Protoc 14:2152-2176.
- Parikh V, Kozak R, Martinez V, Sarter M (2007) Prefrontal acetylcholine release controls cue
   detection on multiple timescales. Neuron 56:141-154.

- 1070 Patriarchi T, Mohebi A, Sun J, Marley A, Liang R, Dong C, Puhger K, Mizuno GO, Davis CM,
- Wiltgen B, von Zastrow M, Berke JD, Tian L (2020) An expanded palette of dopamine
   sensors for multiplex imaging in vivo. Nat Methods 17:1147-1155.
- Picciotto MR, Higley MJ, Mineur YS (2012) Acetylcholine as a neuromodulator: cholinergic
   signaling shapes nervous system function and behavior. Neuron 76:116-129.
- Pinto L, Rajan K, DePasquale B, Thiberge SY, Tank DW, Brody CD (2019) Task-Dependent
   Changes in the Large-Scale Dynamics and Necessity of Cortical Regions. Neuron
   1077 104:810-824 e819.
- Pinto L, Goard MJ, Estandian D, Xu M, Kwan AC, Lee SH, Harrison TC, Feng G, Dan Y (2013)
   Fast modulation of visual perception by basal forebrain cholinergic neurons. Nat
   Neurosci 16:1857-1863.
- 1081Pnevmatikakis EA, Giovannucci A (2017) NoRMCorre: An online algorithm for piecewise rigid1082motion correction of calcium imaging data. Journal of neuroscience methods 291:83-94.
- Reimer J, McGinley MJ, Liu Y, Rodenkirch C, Wang Q, McCormick DA, Tolias AS (2016) Pupil
   fluctuations track rapid changes in adrenergic and cholinergic activity in cortex. Nat
   Commun 7:13289.
- Rossi J, Balthasar N, Olson D, Scott M, Berglund E, Lee CE, Choi MJ, Lauzon D, Lowell BB,
   Elmquist JK (2011) Melanocortin-4 receptors expressed by cholinergic neurons regulate
   energy balance and glucose homeostasis. Cell Metab 13:195-204.
- Saper CB (1984) Organization of cerebral cortical afferent systems in the rat. II. Magnocellular
   basal nucleus. J Comp Neurol 222:313-342.
- Sara SJ (2009) The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. Nat RevNeurosci 10:211-223.
- 1093 Schwarz LA, Miyamichi K, Gao XJ, Beier KT, Weissbourd B, DeLoach KE, Ren J, Ibanes S,
- 1094 Malenka RC, Kremer EJ, Luo L (2015) Viral-genetic tracing of the input-output 1095 organization of a central noradrenaline circuit. Nature 524:88-92.
- Servan-Schreiber D, Printz H, Cohen JD (1990) A network model of catecholamine effects: gain,
   signal-to-noise ratio, and behavior. Science (New York, NY) 249:892-895.
- Siniscalchi MJ, Wang H, Kwan AC (2019) Enhanced Population Coding for Rewarded Choices
   in the Medial Frontal Cortex of the Mouse. Cereb Cortex.
- 1100 Siniscalchi MJ, Phoumthipphavong V, Ali F, Lozano M, Kwan AC (2016) Fast and slow
- 1101 transitions in frontal ensemble activity during flexible sensorimotor behavior. Nat
- 1102 Neurosci 19:1234-1242.

1103 Starkweather CK, Gershman SJ, Uchida N (2018) The Medial Prefrontal Cortex Shapes 1104 Dopamine Reward Prediction Errors under State Uncertainty. Neuron 98:616-629 e616. 1105 Sturgill J, Hegedus P, Li S, Chevy Q, Siebels A, Jing M, Li Y, Hangya B, Kepecs A (2020) Basal forebrain-derived acetylcholine encodes valence-free reinforcement prediction error. 1106 1107 bioRxiv. Su Z, Cohen JY (2022) Two types of locus coeruleus norepinephrine neurons drive 1108 1109 reinforcement learning. biorxiv. Swanson L, Hartman B (1975) The central adrenergic system. An immunofluorescence study of 1110 the location of cell bodies and their efferent connections in the rat utilizing dopamine-B-1111 hydroxylase as a marker. Journal of Comparative Neurology 163:467-505. 1112 1113 Teles-Grilo Ruivo LM, Baker KL, Conway MW, Kinsley PJ, Gilmour G, Phillips KG, Isaac JTR, 1114 Lowry JP, Mellor JR (2017) Coordinated Acetylcholine Release in Prefrontal Cortex and 1115 Hippocampus Is Associated with Arousal and Reward on Distinct Timescales. Cell Rep 18:905-917. 1116 1117 Tervo DG, Proskurin M, Manakov M, Kabra M, Vollmer A, Branson K, Karpova AY (2014) 1118 Behavioral variability through stochastic choice and its gating by anterior cingulate cortex. Cell 159:21-32. 1119 1120 Tervo DGR, Kuleshova E, Manakov M, Proskurin M, Karlsson M, Lustig A, Behnam R, Karpova AY (2021) The anterior cingulate cortex directs exploration of alternative strategies. 1121 1122 Neuron 109:1876-1887 e1876. Tillage RP, Sciolino NR, Plummer NW, Lustberg D, Liles LC, Hsiang M, Powell JM, Smith KG, 1123 Jensen P, Weinshenker D (2020) Elimination of galanin synthesis in noradrenergic 1124 neurons reduces galanin in select brain areas and promotes active coping behaviors. 1125 1126 Brain Struct Funct 225:785-803. Totah NK, Neves RM, Panzeri S, Logothetis NK, Eschenko O (2018) The Locus Coeruleus Is a 1127 Complex and Differentiated Neuromodulatory System. Neuron 99:1055-1068 e1056. 1128 Uematsu A, Tan BZ, Ycu EA, Cuevas JS, Koivumaa J, Junyent F, Kremer EJ, Witten IB, 1129 Deisseroth K, Johansen JP (2017) Modular organization of the brainstem noradrenaline 1130 1131 system coordinates opposing learning states. Nat Neurosci 20:1602-1611. van Holstein M, Floresco SB (2020) Dissociable roles for the ventral and dorsal medial 1132 1133 prefrontal cortex in cue-guided risk/reward decision making. Neuropsychopharmacology 1134 45:683-693.

- 1135 Van Slooten JC, Jahfari S, Knapen T, Theeuwes J (2018) How pupil responses track value based decision-making during and after reinforcement learning. PLoS Comput Biol
   1137 14:e1006632.
- 1138 Vertechi P, Lottem E, Sarra D, Godinho B, Treves I, Quendera T, Oude Lohuis MN, Mainen ZF
   (2020) Inference-Based Decisions in a Hidden State Foraging Task: Differential
- 1140 Contributions of Prefrontal Cortical Areas. Neuron 106:166-176.e166.
- 1141 Wang H, Kwan AC (2023) Competitive and cooperative games for probing the neural basis of 1142 social decision-making in animals. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 149:105158.
- Wang H, Ortega HK, Atilgan H, Murphy CE, Kwan AC (2022) Pupil correlates of decision
  variables in mice playing a competitive mixed-strategy game. Eneuro 9.
- Yang JH, Kwan AC (2021) Secondary motor cortex: Broadcasting and biasing animal's
  decisions through long-range circuits. Int Rev Neurobiol 158:443-470.
- 1147 Yu AJ, Dayan P (2002) Acetylcholine in cortical inference. Neural Netw 15:719-730.
- 1148 Zaborszky L, Csordas A, Mosca K, Kim J, Gielow MR, Vadasz C, Nadasdy Z (2015) Neurons in
- the basal forebrain project to the cortex in a complex topographic organization that
   reflects corticocortical connectivity patterns: an experimental study based on retrograde
   tracing and 3D reconstruction. Cereb Cortex 25:118-137.
- 1152 Zhu PK, Zheng WS, Zhang P, Jing M, Borden PM, Ali F, Guo K, Feng J, Marvin JS, Wang Y,
- 1153 Wan J, Gan L, Kwan AC, Lin L, Looger LL, Li Y, Zhang Y (2020) Nanoscopic
- 1154 Visualization of Restricted Nonvolume Cholinergic and Monoaminergic Transmission 1155 with Genetically Encoded Sensors. Nano Lett 20:4073-4083.
- 1156 Zhuo Y et al. (2023) Improved green and red GRAB sensors for monitoring dopaminergic1157 activity in vivo. Nat Methods.
- 1158
- 1159

# 1160 Supplementary Material

# 1161 Supplementary Table 4-1. Statistical results for the level of information integration in

## 1162 GRAB<sub>NE2h</sub> and GRAB<sub>ACh3.0</sub> data

	NE (Number of ROIs, %)	<i>p-</i> value (Chi-square test)	ACh (Number of ROIs, %)	<i>p</i> -value (Chi-square test)
Choice-outcome	2,917, 10.0%	0	8,357, 22.7%	0
(Chance level)	(9.0%)		(16.3%)	
Outcome-reinforcer	2,819, 9.5%	0	3,316, 9.0%	0
(Chance level)	(8.4%)		(6.0%)	
Choice-reinforcer	2,153, 7.3%	0	2,252, 6.1%	0
(Chance level)	(3.5%)		(2.7%)	

# 1164 Supplementary Table 4-2. Statistical results for the conditional probabilities $P(v_1|v_2)$

		NE median (25, 75 percentile) (%)	ACh median (25, 75 percentile) (%)	<i>p</i> -value (median test)
Choice-outcome	$P(r_n   c_n)$ (%)	62.3	91.2	0.044
		(28.1, 95.6)	(82.4, 94.0)	
	$P(c_n r_n)$ (%)	48.8	51.9	0.864
		(29.3, 71.0)	(31.4, 91.5)	
Outcome-reinforcer	$P(r_n x_n)$ (%)	54.1	95.6	0.034
		(22.3, 95.9)	(84.3, 97.2)	
	$P(x_n r_n)$ (%)	53.0	30.3	0.072
		(32.2, 60.5)	(26.7, 36.6)	
Choice-reinforcer	$P(c_n x_n)\ (\%)$	50.9	94.4	0.030
		(31.6, 72.3)	(68.3, 98.9)	
	$P(x_n c_n)$ (%)	73.2	35.7	0.002
		(30.2, 88.8)	(28.3, 41.8)	

1165

# 1167 Supplementary Table 5-1. Statistical results for the temporal parameters of different

# 1168 **groups**

			NE median (25, 75 percentile)	ACh median (25, 75 percentile)	<i>p</i> -value (rank sum test)
Outcome	Group	Median time-to-	0.80	1.95	1.54×10 <sup>-13</sup>
	 1	peak (s)	(0.75, 0.85)	(1.35, 2.45)	
		Variance time-	0.21	0.82	0.002
		_to-peak (s <sup>2</sup> )	(0.08, 1.04)	(0.28, 1.37)	
		Median peak-	0.07	0.05	0.003
		value (a.u.)	(0.05, 0.09)	(0.04, 0.06)	
	Group	Median time-to-	0.75	0.95	1.43×10 <sup>-4</sup>
	 2	peak (s)	(0.75, 0.85)	(0.85, 1.15)	
		Variance time-	0.12	0.37	0.108
		to-peak (s <sup>2</sup> )	(0.01, 0.60)	(0.12, 0.58)	
		Median peak-	-0.04	-0.03	0.002
		value (a.u.)	(-0.06, 0.03)	(-0.04, -0.02)	
Choice	Group	Median time-to-	0.55	1.85	1.06×10⁻ <sup>7</sup>
	 1	peak (s)	(0.35, 0.80)	(1.25, 2.95)	
		Variance time-	0.62	1.50	0.003
		to-peak (s <sup>2</sup> )	(0.02, 1.46)	(0.33, 3.15)	
		Median peak-	-0.04	0.03	3.53×10 <sup>-14</sup>
		value (a.u.)	(-0.07, -0.02)	(0.01, 0.04)	
	Group	Median time-to-	0.55	1.55	0.022
	 2	peak (s)	(0.38, 0.65)	(0.80, 2.08)	
		Variance time-	0.01	0.58	0.001
		to-peak (s <sup>2</sup> )	(0.00, 0.15)	(0.21, 1.32)	
		Median time-to-	0.02	-0.03	1.88×10⁻ <sup>7</sup>
		peak (a.u.)	(0.00, 0.05)	(-0.04, -0.02)	

1169

1170

# 1172 Supplementary Table 6-1. Statistical results for the effect of region and power on

# 1173 probability to switch, related to Fig. 6F

## 1174 Dbh-cre;Ai32

Source	Sum Sq.	df	Singular?	Mean Sq.	F	Prob>F
power	0.03526439	2	0	0.01763219	7.56886624	0.00101344
region	0.00098233	4	0	0.00024558	0.10541969	0.98028781
power*region	0.00088444	8	0	0.00011055	0.04745728	0.99994653
Error	0.17471764	75	0	0.00232957		
Total	0.2118488	89	0			

### 1175 Post-Hoc

Group A	Group B	Lower Limit	A-B	Upper Limit	P-value
power=3mW	power=1.5mw	-0.0095731	0.02022529	0.05002365	0.24236299
power=3mw	power=0mW	0.01847739	0.04827575	0.07807411	0.00065928
power=1.5mW	power=0mW	-0.0017479	0.02805046	0.05784882	0.06931062

### 1176

# 1177 ChAt-cre;Ai32

Source	Sum Sq.	df	Singular?	Mean Sq.	F	Prob>F
power	0.02519462	2	0	0.01259731	8.38794621	0.00045669
region	0.00200658	4	0	0.00050165	0.33402191	0.85439824
power*region	0.00156262	8	0	0.00019533	0.13005928	0.99777634
Error	0.13516513	90	0	0.00150183		
Total	0.16392895	104	0			

### 1178 Post-Hoc

Group A	Group B	Lower Limit	A-B	Upper Limit	P-value
power=3mW	power=1.5mW	0.01586284	0.03793956	0.06001628	0.00026894
power=3mW	power=0mW	-0.0026469	0.01942986	0.04150658	0.0960785
power=1.5mw	power=0mW	-0.0405864	-0.0185097	0.00356702	0.11854034

1179

# 1181 Supplementary Table 6-2. Statistical results for the effect of power on overall session,

# 1182 related to Fig. 6G

1183

### 1184 **Dbh-Cre;Ai32**

### 1185 Entropy

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	0.06082996	2	0.03041498	0.89538033	0.41628803
Error	1.39272013	41	0.03396878		
Total	1.4535501	43			

1186

## 1187 Number of Trials

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	43199.4181	2	21599.7091	1.63605203	0.2063718
Error	580902.795	44	13202.3362		
Total	624102.213	46			

1188

### 1189 Reward Rate

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	0.01813336	2	0.00906668	1.08632669	0.34633196
Error	0.36723201	44	0.00834618		
Total	0.38536537	46			

1190

### 1191 ChAt-Cre;Ai32

# 1192 Entropy

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	0.00983532	2	0.00491766	0.19571646	0.82297372
Error	1.08043694	43	0.02512644		

	Total	1.09027226	45			
--	-------	------------	----	--	--	--

1193

### 1194 Number of Trials

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	15025.9321	2	7512.96603	0.48205245	0.62081147
Error	670170.938	43	15585.3706		
Total	685196.87	45			

1195

### 1196 Reward Rate

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Power	5.14E-05	2	2.57E-05	0.00906747	0.99097541
Error	0.12192428	43	0.00283545		
Total	0.1219757	45			

1197

# 1199 Supplementary Table 6-3. Statistical results for the effect of region and stimulation on

# 1200 probability to switch, related to Fig. 6I

1201

### 1202 **Dbh-Cre;Ai32**

Source	Sum Sq.	df	Singular?	Mean Sq.	F	Prob>F
Power	0.00287031	1	0	0.00287031	5.61667048	0.02270168
Region	0.00617679	3	0	0.00205893	4.02895583	0.01353153
power*region	0.000573	3	0	0.000191	0.3737537	0.77237318
Error	0.02044133	40	0	0.00051103		
Total	0.03006143	47	0			

1203

### 1204 Post-Hoc for region

			1		
Group A	Group B	Lower Limit	A-B	Upper Limit	P-value
ereap / t					· value
region-MO I	region-MO D	0.0405206	0.0157022	0.00004207	0.00107406
region=iviz-L	region=iviz-R	-0.0405306	-0.0157933	0.00894397	0.33137490
region=M2-I	region=V/1-I	-0.0490326	-0 0242953	0 00044197	0 05584813
	region vi E	0.0100020	0.02 12000	0.00011107	0.00001010
region-MO I	region-1/1 D	0.0540600	0.0201256	0.0052002	0.01160540
region=iviz-L	region=v1-R	-0.0548628	-0.0301256	-0.0053883	0.01160543
region=M2-R	region=\/1-l	-0 0332393	-0.008502	0.01623526	0 79367161
		0.0002000	0.000002	0.01020020	0.70007101
MO D		0.0000005	0.0440000	0.04040400	0 44047040
region=ivi2-R	region=v1-R	-0.0390695	-0.0143323	0.01040498	0.41647849
-	-				
rogion = 1/1	rogion = 1/1 P	0.0305675	0.0058303	0 01800600	0 02110217
region-vi-L	region-vi-ix	-0.0303073	-0.0030303	0.01090099	0.92119217

1205

### 1206 Post-Hoc for power

Group A	Group B	Lower Limit	A-B	Upper Limit	P-value
Power=0mW	Power=3mW	-0.0304981	-0.0168194	-0.0031406	0.01723266

1207

### 1208 ChAt-Cre;Ai32

Source	Sum Sq.	df	Singular?	Mean Sq.	F	Prob>F
stim	4.60E-05	1	0	4.60E-05	0.06706715	0.79676327
region	0.00204866	3	0	0.00068289	0.99466637	0.40338684

stim*region	0.00115308	3	0	0.00038436	0.55984416	0.64411262
Error	0.03295426	48	0	0.00068655		
Total	0.03620204	55	0			

1209

# 1211 Supplementary Table 6-4. Statistical results for the effect of region on overall session,

# 1212 related to Fig. 6J

1213

### 1214 Dbh-Cre;Ai32

### 1215 Entropy

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	0.18972055	3	0.06324018	2.48832741	0.06710368
Error	1.85527574	73	0.02541474		
Total	2.0449963	76			

### 1216

## 1217 Number of Trials

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	29323.8377	3	9774.61255	0.67280948	0.57131416
Error	1118660.16	77	14528.0541		
Total	1147984	80			

### 1218

### 1219 Reward Rate

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	0.04258502	3	0.01419501	2.64214867	0.05522146
Error	0.41368431	77	0.00537252		
Total	0.45626932	80			

1220

### 1221 ChAt-Cre;Ai32

# 1222 Entropy

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	0.01488469	3	0.00496156	0.32650571	0.80619028
Error	1.77792625	117	0.01519595		

|--|

1223

### 1224 Number of Trials

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	945.888715	3	315.296238	0.02759786	0.99378347
Error	1336685.63	117	11424.6635		
Total	1337631.52	120			

1225

# 1226 Reward Rate

Source	SS	df	MS	F	Prob>F
Region	0.00780693	3	0.00260231	1.23293022	0.30096393
Error	0.24694836	117	0.00211067		
Total	0.25475529	120			

1227

### 1228 Post-Hoc for Reward Rate

Group A	Group B	Lower Limit	A-B	Upper Limit	P-value
M2-L	M2-R	-0.057629	-0.0180601	0.0215088	0.64422361
M2-L	V1-L	-0.0588981	-0.0193292	0.02023972	0.59174233
M2-L	V1-R	-0.030134	0.0079956	0.04612516	0.94957223
M2-R	V1-L	-0.040838	-0.0012691	0.03829984	0.99979959
M2-R	V1-R	-0.0120738	0.02605572	0.06418528	0.29505019
V1-L	V1-R	-0.0108048	0.0273248	0.06545436	0.25405443