## LETTER TO THE EDITOR



# Activation of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  is protective against cisplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy

Dear Editor,

Platinum-based therapeutics are commonly used for cancer treatment, but often lead to peripheral neuropathy. Peripheral neuropathy is characterised by nerve damage in the peripheral nervous system (PNS). It can cause various sensory alterations, such as paresthesia, allodynia, or hyperalgesia, and significantly impact patients' quality of life. $1$  Multiple factors, including chemotherapy, diabetes, and autoim-munity, contribute to peripheral neuropathy.<sup>[2](#page-6-0)</sup> Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) affects a substantial number of cancer patients even after the treatment completion. In a post-chemotherapy follow-up study, it was observed that up to 30% of the patients continued to suffer from CIPN. $3$  Despite information regarding molecular events underlying peripheral neuropathy, there are no effective treatments available to prevent or reverse CIPN, consequently resulting in dose reduction or cessation of chemotherapy, which may compromise patient survival. Currently, the main focus is on prevention and alleviating symptoms, while clinical trials addressing the underlying mechanisms of the disorder continue to be limited. For example, a phase II study in 2012 investigated the effectiveness of tetrodotoxin for pain relief related to chemotherapy (NCT01655823), and a phase III study probed the neuroprotective effects of amifostine in treating peripheral neuropathy (NCT00058071). However, there have been no significant updates on the progress of these two drugs since then, highlighting the gap in our understanding of the disease's mechanisms and emphasising the urgent need for further research to develop viable treatment options for this condition. This underscores the importance of identifying therapeutic targets, such as the  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  receptor, which presents promising avenues for developing interventions to mitigate or prevent the neurotoxic effects of platinum-based chemotherapy drugs.

Building on our previous research, we have discovered that activating the  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  receptor can counteract the behavioural changes, myelin defects, and satellite glial cell activation in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) induced by cisplatin, a platinum-based chemotherapy drug. In this study, we focus on cisplatin and investigate the mechanistic basis for the neuroprotective effects of activating the  $51P_2$ receptor. The results demonstrate that cisplatin treatment in vivo leads to significant alterations in major neuronal-associated pathways within the DRG, a site of peripheral nerve damage. Importantly, cotreatment with CYM-5478, an  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activator, restores these pathway

changes. These findings highlight the potential of targeting the  $S1P_2$ receptor as a pharmacological approach for rescuing CIPN.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals and drug treatment

Twelve female Sprague–Dawley (S.D.) rats (InVivos, Singapore) were used with four rats per treatment group. The experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the National University of Singapore.

Cisplatin (Sigma, USA) was dissolved in sterile saline prior to each use. The treatment, starting on Day  $-1$  (Figure [1A\)](#page-1-0), followed a dosage previously shown to induce peripheral neuropathy in rats.<sup>4</sup>

## RNA-sequencing and analysis

RNA was isolated from rat DRG using RNeasy Micro Kit (QIAGEN, USA) and analysed with NanoDrop (ThermoScientific, USA). The RNAsequencing library was prepared with rRNA-depleted RNA by NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA). Clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using PE Cluster Kit cBot-HS (Illumina, USA). Raw base call files from Bowtie v2.0.6 were demultiplexed with bcl2fastq v2.20, and FastQC was used for quality control. Alignment to Rnor 6.0 (Rattus norvegicus, Norway rat, genome) was done with STAR-2.7.8a and read counts were quantified with -quantMode GeneCounts enabled. Gene read count tab-delimited files (TSV) were consolidated into a commadelimited file (CSV) with Modern CSV v1.3.23. iDep0.91 and DESeq2 were used for differential expression analysis, considering genes with a fold change of  $\pm 1.5$  (q-value <0.05) as differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Functional analysis of DEGs utilised Gene ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms through ShinyGO v0.61 (q-value <0.05). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed with the GSEA software (Broad Institute), and gene symbols were converted from rat to human using the 'Rat\_Gene\_Symbol\_Remapping\_Human\_Orthologs\_MSigDB.v7.2.chip'. Gene set permutations were performed 100,000 times to determine p-values.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. Cell Proliferation published by Beijing Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIGURE 1 Legend on next page.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>Primary DRG neuronal cell isolation and treatment

Primary DRG neurons were isolated from 4- to 6-week-old C57BL/6 female mice (InVivos) as previously described.<sup>[5](#page-6-0)</sup> Cisplatin (40 mM; Sigma) was dissolved in dimethylformamide (Sigma) prior to each use. CYM-5478 (1 mM) was dissolved in fatty acid-free fetal bovine serum (Sigma).

#### Immunofluorescence

Primary DRG neuronal cells were fixed in 4% ice-cold paraformaldehyde (Sigma) overnight. Cells were blocked with 2.5% bovine serum albumin (Biowest, France) and 0.1% Triton-X-100 (Sigma) for 1 h, followed by overnight incubation with anti-beta-tubulin antibody (Tuj1; R&D Systems, MAB1195) at 25 μg/mL in blocking buffer at 4°C. Cells were washed three times with  $1 \times$  PBS (ThermoScientific) before incubation with goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, USA) diluted 1:250 in blocking buffer for 2 h. Cells were counter-stained with Hoechst 33342 (ThermoScientific) at 1:500, and washed three times in  $1 \times$ PBS. The slides were mounted with anti-fade mounting media (Vector Laboratories, USA). Images were captured at  $40\times$  magnification with an epifluorescence microscope (Leica DM 6B) and scored for neurite growth using ImageJ by a blinded researcher.

## Statistical analysis

An ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test in GraphPad Prism version 8 was used to determine the significance of differences between the treated samples and controls for values resulted from immunofluorescence. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant based on at least three independent replicates. For DGE and GO analysis, the q-value of any enrichment was calculated with DESeq2 within iDep0.91.

## RESULTS

## $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation rescued molecular pathology associated with cisplatin treatment in vivo

To determine the pathways underlying the protective effect of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$ on CIPN, DRGs were collected from rats treated with cisplatin alone or co-treated with CYM-5478 (Figure [1A\)](#page-1-0). RNA from the DRGs was extracted, purified, and subjected to RNASeq analysis (Figure [1B](#page-1-0)). The RNA samples were of high quality, free of contamination, and passed quality assessment by Novogene.

The transcriptomic patterns of DRGs collected from CP rats differed from VEH rats, while co-treatment with CYM-5478 (CPCYM) resulted in transcriptomic patterns resembling those of VEH rats (Figure  $1C$ ). To further validate the variation in the expression pattern between CPCYM and CP rats, we performed t-SNE analysis. Overall, the expression profile of DRGs from CPCYM and VEH rats were more closely clustered to each other as compared to CP rats (Figure [1D](#page-1-0)).

Out of the 660 genes that were meaningfully (fold change  $\geq 2$ ) and significantly (q-value <0.05) differentially expressed in DRGs of rats following CP treatment, 581 genes were upregulated, and 79 genes were downregulated (Figure  $1E$ ). Notably, co-administration of cisplatin with CYM-5478 reduced the differences in gene expression, with only nine genes showing meaningfully (fold change ≥2) and significantly (q-value <0.05) differential expression (Figure [1E\)](#page-1-0). Among the top downregulated genes, several were associated with mitochondrial function (ckmt2 and ckmt1b) and neurite growth (csmd3, cdh4, and slitrk4), both of which are relevant to CIPN. $6-8$  $6-8$  Collectively, these data suggest that  $\text{S1P}_2$  activation in DRGs can restore cisplatininduced gene dysregulation associated with mitochondrial functions and neurite growth.

# $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation restored dysregulated neuronalassociated pathways in cisplatin-treated rats

The GSEA for up- and down-regulated genes were also performed for Gene Ontology (GO) terms for biological process. Cisplatintreated rats revealed a significant downregulation of synaptic function-related processes, including anterograde trans-synaptic signalling  $(q\nu$ alue = 9.85E-38), synaptic signalling  $(q\nu$ alue  $= 9.85E-38$ ), and cell–cell signalling (q-value  $= 3.91E-27$ ) (Figure [2A\)](#page-3-0). We also observed significant downregulation of processes associated with ion transports, such as ion transmembrane transport  $(q$ -value = 2.02E-30), ion transport  $(q$ -value = 6.06E-29), inorganic transmembrane transport  $(q-value = 4.38E-28)$ , cation transport  $(q$ -value = 5.51E-26), and metal ion transport (q-value = 5.51E-26). However, co-administration CYM-5478 reversed these effects and resulted in upregulation of these processes (Figure [2B](#page-3-0)).

FIGURE 1  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation rescued transcriptomic changes induced by cisplatin treatment. (A) Graphical depiction of the in vivo treatment. (B) Graphical depiction of the RNA-Seq workflow to explore the relationship between samples. (C) Differential expression analysis was performed to obtain differentially expressed genes with a fold change cut-off of ±1.5 and q-value <0.05, depicted as a heat map. Upregulated genes are depicted in red, while downregulated genes are depicted in green. (D) t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE) projection was used to visualise clustering of the RNASeq gene sets. Blue represents vehicle-treated group. Red represents cisplatin-treated group. Green represents cisplatin and CYM-5478 co-administered group. (E) Volcano plots were used to highlight the statistical significance and magnitude of change of RNASeq between two treatment groups. Red dots represent genes, which were significantly upregulated (q-value <0.05, fold change ≥1.5). Blue dots represent genes, which were significantly downregulated (q-value <0.05, fold change ≤-1.5). CP, samples from cisplatin-treated rats; CPCYM, samples from rats receiving co-administration of cisplatin and CYM-5478; VEH, samples from vehicle-treated rats.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIGURE 2 S1P<sub>2</sub> activation restored dysregulated neuronal-associated pathways in cisplatin-treated rats. Gene ontology analysis for canonical biological processes was carried out based on the differential gene analysis and illustrated using network. (A) Comparison between cisplatin versus vehicle-treated rats. (B) Comparison between cisplatin and CYM-5478. Red represents more significantly enriched. Green represents less significantly enriched (fold change ≥1.5, q-value <0.05). The larger circle in each plot represents most significantly enriched. (C) Ingenuity pathway analysis was carried out based on the differential gene analysis. The top 30 canonical pathways, which are significantly changed (-2 ≤ z-score ≥ 2) in cisplatintreated rats, are illustrated as heatmap. (D) The top 30 diseases/functions associated pathways which are significantly changed (-2 ≤ z-score ≥ 2) in cisplatin-treated rats are also illustrated as heatmap. CP, cisplatin; CPCYM, co-administration of cisplatin with CYM-5478; VEH, control rat.

We used IPA to identify canonical pathways, and disease function annotations associated with the differentially regulated genes with q-value <0.05. Consistent with the GO analysis, synaptogenesis signalling pathway, related to synaptic function, was the most inactivated biological process in DRGs of cisplatin-treated rats (Figure 2C). Calcium signalling, known for its important roles

in maintaining neuronal functions,  $9-11$  $9-11$  was activated in DRGs following cisplatin treatment. Interestingly, the pathway associated with neuropathic pain signalling in dorsal horn neurons was significantly activated in cisplatin-treated rats. Notably, all these dysregulated pathways were corrected with co-administration of CYM-5478.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIGURE 3 S1P<sub>2</sub> activation reduced cisplatin-induced neurite damage in rat dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and primary mouse DRG neurons. (A) The top 10 neurite-associated pathways by IPA, which changed ( $-2 \le z$ -score  $\ge 2$ ) in cisplatin-treated rats. (B, C) Primary DRG neurons were isolated from 4- to 6-week-old C57BL/6 mice and cultured for 5 days before treatment. Cells were fixed and stained with Hoechst 33342 (HO) and anti-beta-tubulin-III (Tuj1). The length of neurites was quantified using ImageJ from  $n = 3$  mice per group. scale bar: 200 µm.  $*p$  < 0.001. Error bars represent standard error of mean.

The DEGs were also sorted into related diseases and functions using IPA and triaged into the top 30 categories (Figure [2D\)](#page-3-0). Remarkably, the top three significantly altered pathways in cisplatintreated rats were associated with movement disorders. Also, we observed an increased activation of pathways associated with changes in neuronal cytoskeleton structures, such as outgrowth of neurites, body size, and cytoskeleton organisation. These findings

suggest that cisplatin treatment damages neurons, leading to possible compensatory activation of these pathways. Notably, coadministration of CYM-5478 rescued these dysregulated categories associated with diseases and functions.

The results of these two independent analyses indicate that  $S1P_2$ activation has a protective effect against cisplatin-induced neuronal defects in the DRGs.

6 of 8 WILEY Cell Contraction

# $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation reduced cisplatin-induced neurite damage in rat DRG and primary murine DRG neurons

Due to the known role of axonal degeneration in the development of CIPN, IPA terms specific to neurite integrity were evaluated (Figure  $3A$ ).<sup>[12](#page-6-0)</sup> The results supported the notion that cisplatin induced neurite damage as seen from excessive activation of pathways associated with neuritogenesis, morphogenesis of neurons, and branching of neurites (Figure [3A](#page-4-0)). To confirm this, primary C57BL/6 mice DRG neurons were examined using immunofluorescent staining of the neuronal markers beta-tubulin-III (Tuj1). It was observed that cisplatin treatment significantly reduced neurite length (Figure [3B,C\)](#page-4-0). However, co-administration of CYM-5478 fully restored neurite length, indicating that  $S1P_2$  activation can reduce cisplatin-induced neurite damage.

## **DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to further our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the neuroprotective effect of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  in CIPN. CIPN is a common side effect of platinum-based chemotherapy, and currently, there are no approved methods to mitigate this adverse effect other than discontinuing treatment. Previous study from the Herr lab demonstrated that  $\text{S1P}_2$  activation can prevent CIPN.<sup>13</sup> The current study employs next-generation sequencing to understand the molecular changes occurring in the DRG following cisplatin treatment, and to identify the mechanisms by which  $S1P_2$  activation exerts its protective effect.

Our in vivo findings suggest that cisplatin treatment in rats disrupted gene expression patterns related to neuronal growth and survival. This aligns with previous studies that used animal models of CIPN, which demonstrate cell death in the hippocampus and significantly greater DRG neuronal cell death with cisplatin compared to oxaliplatin, a less neuropathic platinum chemotherapeutic.<sup>[14,15](#page-6-0)</sup> They attributed this difference in cell death as a result of greater platinum accumulation in neuronal cells treated with cisplatin. For example, cisplatin treatment led to death of primary cortical neurons in a dosedependent manner. $16$  We also observed that these disrupted pathways could be restored by treatment with CYM-5478, an activator of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$ .

Furthermore,  $\text{S1P}_2$  activation appears to have a rescue effect on cisplatin-induced neuronal defects. We found that CIPN rat model exhibited a significant decrease in genes associated with various neuronal pathways, such as neuronal differentiation, generation of neurons, and synaptic signalling. However, co-treatment with CYM-5478 rescued the gene expression profiles of these pathways. In addition, analysis using the IPA revealed a decrease in the activation of neuronal pathways, including synaptogenesis signalling pathway, cAMP response element-binding (CREB) signalling in neurons, and endocannabinoid neuronal synapse pathway. These data suggest that the impact of cisplatin on synaptogenesis signalling pathway, which has the lowest z-score, might be related to its binding to neuronal tubulins, potentially affecting cell-to-cell communication in the PNS.

Further studies could explore these effects and how  $\text{S1P}_2$  activation helps rescue them.

As shown in our previous data,  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation reduced gliosis in satellite glial cells (SGCs), which resemble astrocytes in the CNS. $^{13}$  $^{13}$  $^{13}$ SGC and astrocytes activation are indicators of nervous system damage. $17,18$  It has been suggested that aberrant electrical activity in neurons can induce the production of nitric oxide which activates SGCs, possibly through the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signalling pathway. $18,19$  Activated SGCs release inflammatory cytokines which can cause damage to neuronal cells and form a positive feedback loop.<sup>18</sup> Thus, changes in SGCs and neuronal cells induced by cisplatin may be a result of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation.

The ability of CYM-5478 treatment to correct pathways disrupted by cisplatin administration suggests that  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation acts against the molecular pathologies responsible for the disease. Importantly, this suggests that  $S1P_2$  agonists represent potentially diseasemodifying therapeutics rather than merely palliative treatments. Our previous work demonstrated that CYM-5478 can attenuate cisplatininduced generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediated by Rac1-induced NADPH oxidase (NOX) activation.<sup>20</sup> Since NOXmediated oxidative stress is a key driver of neuropathy, $21$  preventing this proximal event through  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation would be expected to prevent downstream pathway dysregulation.

Neurites, projections from neuronal cell bodies, play a crucial role in facilitating proper connectivity between cells in the  $CNS<sup>22</sup>$  $CNS<sup>22</sup>$  $CNS<sup>22</sup>$  Disrupted neurite outgrowth is associated with various neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.<sup>23-26</sup> Several studies have explored regulating neurite outgrowth as a therapeutic approach for peripheral neuropathy. For example, guaifenesin improves neurite outgrowth in cultured DRG neurons. $27$  while donepezil reduces nerve degeneration in rats possibly by regulating neurite growth.<sup>[28](#page-7-0)</sup> Rat adipose-derived stem cells have also been evaluated for peripheral neuropathy treatment based on neurite outgrowth.<sup>29</sup> In murine DRG neurons, we observed that exposure to cisplatin led to reduction in neurite length, but co-administration with CYM-5478 rescued this damage. Interestingly,  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activates Rho/Rhoassociated kinase pathway (ROCK), known to promote neurite retraction, while inhibition of ROCK has been shown to promote neurite outgrowth.<sup>30-32</sup> This suggests that the neuronal protective effect of  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  may involve an indirect pathway, such as reduction in ROS. Inhibiting NOX promotes neurite growth, and activating  $\text{S1P}_2$  can suppress NOX.<sup>20,33</sup> Therefore, the neuroprotective effect of S1P<sub>2</sub> activation may be attributed to inhibiting ROS formation through the RhoA/NOX3 pathway.<sup>34</sup>

In conclusion, the findings of this study suggest that  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  activation can rescue dysregulated genes and pathways associated with neuronal growth and survival, and attenuate neurite damage in cisplatin-treated DRGs. To gain a more precise understanding of how S1P<sub>2</sub> activation operates, conducting RNASeq at an earlier time point could help examine the direct pathways. Additionally, it would be interesting to investigate whether these pathways are specific to certain cell types or consistent across various tissues. Additional in vitro research could shed light on the significance of S1P<sub>2</sub> activation in the

<span id="page-6-0"></span>recovery of neuronal function. Further in vivo investigations will be necessary to fully explore  $S1P<sub>2</sub>$  as a reliable pharmacological target for treating CIPN.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Brenda Wan Shing Lam designed and performed the experiments, and drafted the manuscript. Ping Xiang designed and performed the experiments. Boya Peng drafted the manuscript. Ling Jun Joshua Soon, Amelia Ting Yu Yam, Claudine Ming Hui Lim, and Yu Zheng performed the experiments. Long N. Nguyen supervised the study. Deron R. Herr conceived and supervised the study, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. Minh T. N. Le supervised the study, reviewed and revised the manuscript, and provided funding support.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

National University of Singapore, Grant/Award Number: NUHSRO/2019/076/STARTUP/02

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors do not declare any conflict of interest.

Brenda Wan Shing Lam<sup>[1,2,3](#page-2-0)</sup> Pin[g](#page-2-0) Xiang<sup>[1](#page-2-0)</sup> Boya Peng<sup>[1,2,3](#page-2-0)</sup> Li[n](#page-2-0)g Jun Joshua Soon<sup>[4](#page-2-0)</sup> A[m](#page-2-0)elia Ting Yu Yam<sup>[1](#page-2-0)</sup> Claudine Ming Hui Lim $1,2,3$ 

Yu Zheng $1,2,3$ 

Long N. Nguyen $5,6,7,8$ 

De[r](#page-2-0)on R. Herr<sup>[1,9](#page-2-0)</sup> D

Minh T. N. Le  $1,2,3,8$   $\bullet$ 

 $^{\rm 1}$ Department of Pharmacology and Institute for Digital Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

 $^2$ Department of Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), Singapore, Singapore

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physiology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

5 Department of Biochemistry, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

<sup>6</sup>Singapore Lipidomics Incubator (SLING), Life Sciences Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

<sup>7</sup>Cardiovascular Disease Research (CVD) Programme, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore <sup>8</sup>Immunology Translational Research Program, Yong Loo Lin School of

Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore <sup>9</sup>Translational Neuroscience Initiative, Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, La Jolla, California, USA

#### Correspondence

Minh T. N. Le and Deron R. Herr, Department of Pharmacology and Institute for Digital Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. Email: [phcltnm@nus.edu.sg](mailto:phcltnm@nus.edu.sg) and [dherr@sbpdiscovery.org](mailto:dherr@sbpdiscovery.org)

## **ORCID**

Boya Peng <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9956-0889> Ling Jun Joshua Soon **b** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1527-9986> Deron R. Herr D <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5755-0896> Minh T. N. Le D <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6077-1566>

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Speck RM, DeMichele A, Farrar JT, et al. Scope of symptoms and selfmanagement strategies for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in breast cancer patients. Support Care Cancer. 2012;20(10):2433-2439.
- 2. Hughes RAC. Peripheral neuropathy. BMJ. 2002;324(7335):466-469.
- 3. Seretny M, Currie GL, Sena ES, et al. Incidence, prevalence, and predictors of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pain. 2014;155(12):2461-2470.
- 4. Authier N, Gillet JP, Fialip J, Eschalier A, Coudore F. An animal model of nociceptive peripheral neuropathy following repeated cisplatin injections. Exp Neurol. 2003;182(1):12-20.
- 5. Fornaro M, Sharthiya H, Tiwari V. Adult mouse DRG explant and dissociated cell models to investigate neuroplasticity and responses to environmental insults including viral infection. J Vis Exp. 2018;133: 56757.
- 6. Canta A, Pozzi E, Carozzi VA. Mitochondrial dysfunction in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). Toxics. 2015; 3(2):198-223.
- 7. Zajączkowska R, Kocot-Kępska M, Leppert W, Wrzosek A, Mika J, Wordliczek J. Mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(6):1451.
- 8. Eldridge S, Guo L, Hamre J. A comparative review of chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy in in vivo and in vitro models. Toxicol Pathol. 2020;48(1):190-201.
- 9. Puri BK. Calcium signaling and gene expression. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020;1131:537-545.
- 10. Brini M, Calì T, Ottolini D, Carafoli E. Neuronal calcium signaling: function and dysfunction. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2014;71(15): 2787-2814.
- 11. Kawamoto EM, Vivar C, Camandola S. Physiology and pathology of calcium signaling in the brain. Front Pharmacol. 2012;3:61.
- 12. Fukuda Y, Li Y, Segal RA. A mechanistic understanding of axon degeneration in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Front Neurosci. 2017;11:481.
- 13. Wang W, Xiang P, Chew WS, et al. Activation of sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 2 attenuates chemotherapy-induced neuropathy. J Biol Chem. 2020;295(4):1143-1152.
- 14. Cankara FN, Günaydın C, Çelik ZB, et al. Agomelatine confers neuroprotection against cisplatin-induced hippocampal neurotoxicity. Metab Brain Dis. 2021;36(2):339-349.
- 15. Ta LE, Espeset L, Podratz J, Windebank AJ. Neurotoxicity of oxaliplatin and cisplatin for dorsal root ganglion neurons correlates with platinum-DNA binding. Neurotoxicology. 2006;27(6):992-1002.
- 16. English K, Shepherd A, Uzor NE, Trinh R, Kavelaars A, Heijnen CJ. Astrocytes rescue neuronal health after cisplatin treatment through mitochondrial transfer. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2020;8(1):36.
- 17. Sheth S, Mukherjea D, Rybak LP, Ramkumar V. Mechanisms of cisplatin-induced ototoxicity and otoprotection. Front Cell Neurosci. 2017;11:338.

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>18. Sofroniew MV, Vinters HV. Astrocytes: biology and pathology. Acta Neuropathol. 2010;119(1):7-35.
- 19. Hanani M. How is peripheral injury signaled to satellite glial cells in sensory ganglia? Cell. 2022;11(3):512.
- 20. Campbell JN, Meyer RA. Mechanisms of neuropathic pain. Neuron. 2006;52(1):77-92.
- 21. Kallenborn-Gerhardt W, Schröder K, Schmidtko A. NADPH oxidases in pain processing. Antioxidants (Basel). 2022;11(6):1162.
- 22. More SV, Koppula S, Kim IS, Kumar H, Kim BW, Choi DK. The role of bioactive compounds on the promotion of neurite outgrowth. Molecules. 2012;17(6):6728-6753.
- 23. He Z, Guo JL, McBride JD, et al. Amyloid-β plaques enhance Alzheimer's brain tau-seeded pathologies by facilitating neuritic plaque tau aggregation. Nat Med. 2018;24(1):29-38.
- 24. Mehra S, Sahay S, Maji SK. α-Synuclein misfolding and aggregation: implications in Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom. 2019;1867(10):890-908.
- 25. Uchida Y, Gomi F. The role of calsyntenin-3 in dystrophic neurite formation in Alzheimer's disease brain. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2016;16- (Suppl 1):43-50.
- 26. Xiong M, Zou L, Meng L, et al. A γ-adducin cleavage fragment induces neurite deficits and synaptic dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neurobiol. 2021;203:102074.
- 27. Hadimani MB, Purohit MK, Vanampally C, et al. Guaifenesin derivatives promote neurite outgrowth and protect diabetic mice from neuropathy. J Med Chem. 2013;56(12):5071-5078.
- 28. Kawashiri T, Shimizu S, Shigematsu N, Kobayashi D, Shimazoe T. Donepezil ameliorates oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy via a neuroprotective effect. J Pharmacol Sci. 2019;140(3):291-294.
- 29. Bucan V, Vaslaitis D, Peck CT, Strauß S, Vogt PM, Radtke C. Effect of exosomes from rat adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells on neurite outgrowth and sciatic nerve regeneration after crush injury. Mol Neurobiol. 2019;56(3):1812-1824.
- 30. Fujita A, Hattori Y, Takeuchi T, Kamata Y, Hata F. NGF induces neurite outgrowth via a decrease in phosphorylation of myosin light chain in PC12 cells. Neuroreport. 2001;12(16):3599-3602.
- 31. Minase T, Ishima T, Itoh K, Hashimoto K. Potentiation of nerve growth factor-induced neurite outgrowth by the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632: a possible role of  $IP_3$  receptors. Eur J Pharmacol. 2010; 648(1–3):67-73.
- 32. Roloff F, Scheiblich H, Dewitz C, Dempewolf S, Stern M, Bicker G. Enhanced neurite outgrowth of human model (NT2) neurons by small-molecule inhibitors of Rho/ROCK signaling. PLoS One. 2015; 10(2):e0118536.
- 33. Munnamalai V, Weaver CJ, Weisheit CE, et al. Bidirectional interactions between NOX2-type NADPH oxidase and the F-actin cytoskeleton in neuronal growth cones. J Neurochem. 2014;130(4):526-540.
- 34. Herr DR, Reolo MJY, Peh YX, et al. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 2 (S1P2) attenuates reactive oxygen species formation and inhibits cell death: implications for otoprotective therapy. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 24541.