Epigenetic Regulation of Ameloblast Differentiation by HMGN Proteins

B. He^{1,2}, V. Kram³, T. Furusawa¹, O. Duverger², E.Y. Chu⁴, R. Nanduri¹, M. Ishikawa⁵, P. Zhang⁶, B.A. Amendt⁷, J.S. Lee², and M. Bustin¹ Journal of Dental Research 2024, Vol. 103(1) 51–61 © International Association for Dental, Oral, and Craniofacial Research and American Association for Dental, Oral, and Craniofacial Research 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/00220345231202468 journals.sagepub.com/home/jdr

Abstract

Dental enamel formation is coordinated by ameloblast differentiation, production of enamel matrix proteins, and crystal growth. The factors regulating ameloblast differentiation are not fully understood. Here we show that the high mobility group N (HMGN) nucleosomal binding proteins modulate the rate of ameloblast differentiation and enamel formation. We found that HMGN1 and HMGN2 proteins are downregulated during mouse ameloblast differentiation. Genetically altered mice lacking HMGN1 and HMGN2 proteins show faster ameloblast differentiation and a higher rate of enamel deposition in mice molars and incisors. In vitro differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells to dental epithelium cells showed that HMGN proteins modulate the expression and chromatin accessibility of ameloblast-specific genes and affect the binding of transcription factors epiprofin and PITX2 to ameloblast-specific genes. Our results suggest that HMGN proteins regulate ameloblast differentiation and enamel mineralization by modulating lineage-specific chromatin accessibility and transcription factor binding to ameloblast regulatory sites.

Keywords: developmental biology, enamel biomineralization/formation, genomics, molecular biology, transcription factor(s), amelogenin

Introduction

As the hardest biological substance in the human body, dental enamel serves as the major protective layer of tooth crowns, insulating the dentin and pulp as well as being the site of most occlusal contacts during chewing. Dental enamel is formed from mature ameloblast cells during tooth development. The establishment of ameloblast cell identity is a stepwise process in which dental epithelium stem cells expressing the pluripotent marker SOX2 transdifferentiate into highly proliferative transit-amplifying cells (TACs), followed by terminal differentiation into functional ameloblasts that secrete the enamel matrix proteins amelogenin and ameloblastin (Sasaki et al. 2005; Juuri et al. 2012; Juuri et al. 2013; He et al. 2019).

The differentiation of stem cells into ameloblasts is regulated by multiple signaling cascades, transcription factors, microRNAs (miRNAs), and chromatin remodelers (Yoshizaki et al. 2020; Hermans et al. 2021). For example, *miR-200* represses NOGGIN expression and modulates the BMP signaling pathway during ameloblast differentiation (Cao et al. 2013). Transcription factor AmeloD regulates early stage ameloblast migration, while transcription factor epiprofin regulates ameloblast terminal differentiation and transcription activation (Nakamura et al. 2008; Chiba et al. 2019; Miao et al. 2022). Additional transcription factors, including PITX2, LEF1, DLX3, and CIP2/BCL11b, also play significant roles during ameloblast differentiation (Amen et al. 2008; Golonzhka et al. 2009; Li et al. 2014; Duverger et al. 2017; Eliason et al. 2022). ¹Protein Section, Laboratory of Metabolism, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA ²Craniofacial Anomalies and Regeneration Section, National Institute of

Dental and Craniofacial Research, Bethesda, MD, USA ³Molecular Biology of Bones & Teeth Section, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁴Department of General Dentistry, Operative Division, University of Maryland, School of Dentistry, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁵Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine and Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁶Molecular Biology Section, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA ⁷Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, and the Craniofacial Anomalies Research Center, Carver College of Medicine, the University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

A supplemental appendix to this article is available online.

Corresponding Authors:

B. He, Protein Section, Laboratory of Metabolism, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 37 Convent Drive, MSC 4255, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Email: bing.he@nih.gov

M. Bustin, Protein Section, Laboratory of Metabolism, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bldg 37, Room 3122, 37 Convent Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

Email: bustinm@mail.nih.gov

These studies greatly enhanced our knowledge of amelogenesis, yet the regulatory mechanisms that establish ameloblastspecific cell identity are still not fully understood.

Specific cell identity is established by lineage-specific transcription factors that bind to chromatin regulatory sites, thereby facilitating the recruitment of transactivation complexes, which leads to cell type-specific gene expression (Andersson and Sandelin 2020). Since cell type-specific regulatory sites are embedded into the 3-dimensional chromatin, the efficiency of transcription factors gaining access to regulatory sites plays a crucial role in gene transcription. The chromatin epigenetic landscape is known to play an important role in facilitating the binding of regulatory factors to regulatory sites. For example, transcriptionally active chromatin is enriched in H3K27acmodified histones and DNase I hypersensitivity sites, 2 epigenetic marks known to be directly related to a higher level of gene transcription (Li et al. 2011; Arvey et al. 2012; Klemm et al. 2019). Therefore, deciphering the factors that modulate chromatin status and the efficiency of gene transcription is crucial to understanding how ameloblast cell identity is established.

Here we focus on the role of the high mobility group N (HMGN) proteins in ameloblast differentiation. HMGN are chromatin architectural proteins ubiquitously expressed in all vertebrate cells and are known to bind to chromatin without DNA sequence specificity. Previous studies revealed that HMGN proteins modulate chromatin accessibility, transcription factor binding, and promoter-enhancer interactions, and they stabilize cell identity (He et al. 2018; Garza-Manero et al. 2019; He et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2022). We now use $Hmgn1^{-/-}$; Hmgn2^{-/-} double-knockout (DKO) mice to explore whether HMGN proteins regulate ameloblast differentiation. We found that HMGN1 and HMGN2 depletion accelerates ameloblast differentiation and dental enamel mineralization. By differentiation of wild-type (WT) and DKO induced pluripotent cells (iPSCs) into the ameloblast lineage, we found that HMGN proteins modulate chromatin accessibility, histone modification levels, and chromatin binding of lineage-specific transcription factor binding during ameloblast differentiation. Our study suggests that in mice, HMGN proteins act as epigenetic factors that modulate ameloblast differentiation and enamel mineralization. Since the HMGN amino acid sequence is highly conserved and HMGN proteins are expressed in all vertebrate cells, it is likely that these nucleosome binding proteins affect tooth development in other organisms than just mice.

Materials and Methods

Mice

All animal experiments complied with the protocols for animal use, treatment, and euthanasia approved by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Animal Care and Use Committees (protocols: LMC-030 and LM-096). WT and DKO mice were from C57 BL/6 strain. Genotypes were verified by DNA sequencing and Western blot analysis. Procedures for generating *Hmgn1*^{-/-}; *Hmgn2*^{-/-}DKO were as described (Deng et al. 2015).

Tissue Sections and Immunofluorescence Staining

Mouse embryonic mice mandibles were fixed in 4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight, followed by 15% and 30% sucrose treatment and embedding in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound on dry ice. Postnatal mice mandibles were fixed in 4% PFA overnight, followed by embedding in O.C.T. Compound on dry ice. Procedures for immunofluorescence staining of mouse tooth frozen section were described in detail in our previous publications (He et al. 2019).

Data Availability

The RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data in this article are available with the accession number GSE226942 (private token: kdijsuaobbgnzkp). The ATAC-seq and chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data were downloaded from previously published data sets: PRJNA481982 and SRA: SRP154652.

Additional methods related to this study are in the Appendix.

Results

HMGN Proteins Regulate Ameloblast Differentiation

HMGN1 and HMGN2 are the 2 major variants of the HMGN protein family (Kugler et al. 2012). During ameloblast differentiation, HMGN1 and HMGN2 expression levels are slightly downregulated, as evidenced by immunofluorescence staining of mouse molars at various developmental stages, showing that HMGN1 and HMGN2 proteins are highly expressed at embryonic days 13.5 (E13.5) and E17.5 and gradually downregulated at postnatal day 1 (P1) and P3, while the enamel matrix protein amelogenin starts to express at P3 (Fig. 1A-C). Similarly, immunofluorescence staining of P3 mouse incisor sagittal sections showed higher HMGN protein expression in the cervical loop region and relatively lower HMGN protein expression in presecretory ameloblasts (Appendix Fig. 1A, B). In addition, transcription analysis of molar dental epithelium cells at developmental stages ranging from E15.5 to P7 revealed that Hmgn1 and *Hmgn2* expression showed a reverse tendency with the expression of ameloblast differentiation markers Amelx and Ambn (Fig. 1D). These results suggest that HMGN expression is downregulated during ameloblast differentiation.

To study the role of HMGN proteins during ameloblast differentiation, we used $Hmgn1^{-/-}$; $Hmgn2^{-/-}$ (DKO) mice as a model (Deng et al. 2015). We first analyzed enamel matrix gene expression in the first molar dental epithelium cells at P5, which is a developmental stage that *Amelx* and *Ambn* reach their peak levels, as indicated by our quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) data (Fig. 1D). RNA-seq analysis of P5 first molar dental epithelium cells showed significantly higher levels of *Amelx*, *Ambn*, *Enam*, and *Mmp20* expression in the DKO mice as compared to the WT mice (Fig. 1E). Immunofluorescence staining of enamel matrix proteins amelogenin and ameloblastin in mice incisor and molar sections



Figure 1. High mobility group N (HMGN) proteins regulate ameloblast differentiation. (**A**) Immunofluorescence staining of HMGN1 (green) and E-cadherin (red) in E13.5, E17.5, P1, and P3 mouse molar sections. E-cadherin serves as an epithelium marker. White arrowheads point to the tooth bud at E13.5, inner dental epithelium cells at E17.5, and ameloblast at P1 and P3. (**B**) Immunofluorescence staining of HMGN2 (green) and E-cadherin (red) in E13.5, E17.5, P1, and P3 mouse molar sections. E-cadherin serves as an epithelium marker. White arrows point to dental epithelium cells. (**C**) Immunofluorescence staining of amelogenin protein in mouse molar sections at different developmental stages. (**D**) Quantitative polymerase chain reaction evaluation of *Hmgn1*, *Hmgn2*, *Amelx*, and *Ambn* expression levels in the mouse first molar dental epithelium cells at various developmental stages. (**E**) RNA sequencing analysis shows higher expression levels of ameloblast differentiation marker genes in P5 double-knockout (DKO) mouse molar s(statistical method: Student's t test, ***P<0.01). (**F**) Immunofluorescence staining shows elevated amelogenin expression in the sagittal section of the P3 DKO mouse incisor. RPKM, Reads per kilobase per million mapped reads.

showed that indeed, the DKO group has a higher level of amelogenin and ameloblastin expression and a much thicker layer of amelogenin and ameloblastin protein deposition nearby the secretory ameloblast (Fig. 1F and Appendix Fig. 1C–E). Together, these results suggest that loss of HMGN proteins accelerate ameloblast differentiation.

Enhanced rate of enamel mineralization in mice lacking HMGNs. Enamel matrix proteins play a crucial role in the proper assembly and growth of crystals during enamel mineralization (Fukumoto et al. 2014). The different levels of enamel matrix protein expression between the WT and DKO group prompted us to investigate whether HMGN proteins regulate dental enamel mineralization. We analyzed enamel mineralization levels in P10, P18, and adult mouse (2-mo-old) mandibles using micro-computed tomography (µCT). During normal development, at P10, mouse first molars and incisors are in the mineralization process, while the second and third molars are largely unmineralized and not yet erupted. Our µCT analysis showed that loss of HMGN proteins resulted in significantly higher mineralized enamel volume in the first molar and incisor at P10 (red in Fig. 2A, B, Appendix Fig. 2A). At P18, the third molar and incisor are in the mineralization process, and the first and second molar enamel formation is complete. Our µCT results showed the DKO group had significantly higher mineralized enamel volume in the third molar and incisor compared to the WT group, and there were no significant differences in mineralized enamel volume in the first molar or second molar between the WT and DKO groups (Fig. 2C, D, Appendix Fig. 2B). When mice reached adulthood, the WT and DKO groups did not show any differences in total mineralized enamel volume of first molar, second molar, third molar, or incisor (Fig. 2E, F, Appendix Fig. 2C). These results suggest that loss of HMGN proteins accelerates the rate of enamel mineralization but does not affect the final mineralization levels in adult mice.

We also detected differences between the WT and DKO mice in the mineralization patterns of adult incisors. The transition between immature and mature enamel extended more posterior in the DKO group, indicated by the dotted yellow rectangle (Fig. 2E, Appendix Fig. 2C). This observation was further confirmed by reconstructed µCT images of incisor coronal sections (Appendix Fig. 2D), showing a larger area of mineralized dental enamel in the DKO group. We also sectioned the mouse mandible vertically near the mesial root canal of the first molar, followed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis. Our results showed that the coronal section of the DKO incisor has higher mineralized levels than in the WT incisor, as indicated by the brighter signal (Appendix Fig. 2E, upper panel); however, magnified SEM images showed that loss of HMGN proteins does not change the overall enamel rod structure and arrangement pattern (Appendix Fig. 2E, lower panel).

In summary, HMGN proteins regulate the rate of dental enamel mineralization without affecting the mineralization levels of adult, fully developed teeth.

HMGN Proteins Regulate Transcription Dynamics during Mouse Ameloblast Differentiation

During mouse tooth development, E17 is a transition stage between dental epithelium stem cells and preameloblast cells, P0 is a transition stage between preameloblasts and secretory ameloblasts, and at P5, ameloblasts start to mature (Caton and Tucker 2009). To study whether HMGN proteins modulate gene expression dynamics during ameloblast differentiation, we performed RNA-seq analyses of dental epithelium cells prepared from the first molars of E17, P0, and P5 WT and DKO mice. IGV snapshots at the Hmgn1 and Hmgn2 loci in the genome verified the genotype of WT and DKO cells (Appendix Fig. 3A-C). Pearson correlation analysis among replicates showed high R^2 values, indicating high replicate reproducibility and high quality of the RNA-seq libraries. Comparison of averaged WT to averaged DKO transcription profile showed a broader scatterplot with lower R^2 values, suggesting that loss of HMGN proteins alters the overall gene expression levels (Appendix Fig. 4A-C). Volcano plot comparison between the WT and DKO transcripts indicates that loss of HMGN proteins leads to a large number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) at each developmental stage: 299 genes upregulated and 91 genes downregulated at E17, 484 genes upregulated and 290 genes downregulated at P0, and 194 genes upregulated and 783 genes downregulated at P5 (Fig. 3A, C, E).

Examination of the expression levels of select genes that mark unique ameloblast developmental stages (Krivanek et al. 2020) provided further support that loss of HMGNs leads to acceleration of ameloblast differentiation. Thus, at E17, loss of HMGN proteins led to decreased expression of dental epithelium stem cell marker genes but increased expression of preameloblast marker genes, including marker genes of both the highly proliferative transit-amplifying (Sfrp5, Mki67) and the preameloblast (Vwde) populations (Fig. 3B, Appendix Fig. 5A), suggesting that in the DKO group, a higher fraction of dental epithelium stem cells are committed to preameloblasts. At P0, loss of HMGNs led to decreased expression levels of preameloblast marker genes and increased expression of secretory ameloblast marker genes (Fig. 3D and Appendix Fig. 5B, C), suggesting that a larger fraction of the ameloblast cells transited into the secretory stage in the DKO group. At P5, loss of HMGN proteins led to decreased expression levels of the secretory ameloblast marker genes but increased expression of Amtn, Cdkn2b, and Slc34a2, which are marker genes for ameloblast maturation (Krivanek et al. 2020) (Fig. 3F), suggesting a higher efficiency of ameloblast maturation in the DKO group. The differentially expressed genes at the 3 developmental stages are enriched in the developmental process, growth, and other signaling pathways that are important for tooth development. For example, at P0, genes upregulated in the DKO group are enriched in categories of biomineral tissue development, extracellular matrix organization, and metal ion transport, while the downregulated genes are enriched in categories involved in cell-cell surface signaling pathways and epithelial cell differentiation (Fig. 3G, H, Appendix Fig. 6). In summary,



Figure 2. Enhanced rate of enamel mineralization in mice lacking high mobility group N (HMGN). (**A**) Micro–computed tomography (μ CT) analysis of P10 mice mandible shows higher enamel volume (red) in the first molar and incisor of double-knockout (DKO) mice versus wild-type (WT) mice. The upper panel shows 3-dimensional (3D) reconstructed mouse mandibular teeth, and the lower panel (red) highlights enamel distribution. White arrowheads point to the first molar. (**B**) Quantitative analysis of P10 first molar and incisor enamel volume between WT and DKO group. (**C**) μ CT analysis of P18 mice mandible shows higher dental enamel volume in the third molar and incisor of DKO mice versus WT mice. The upper panel shows 3D reconstructed mandibular teeth, and the lower panel (red) highlights enamel distribution. White arrowheads point to the third molar, and the lower panel (red) highlights enamel distribution. White arrowheads point to the third molar, and the lower panel (red) highlights enamel distribution. White arrowheads point to the third molar, third molar, and incisor enamel volume between WT and DKO groups. (**E**) μ CT analysis of P18 first molar, second molar, third molar, and incisor enamel volume between WT and DKO groups. (**E**) μ CT analysis of adult first molar, second molar, third molar molars and incisor of WT and DKO mice; note that the transition from immature to mature enamel in the DKO mice incisor was located more posterior compared to WT (yellow arrowhead). (**F**) Quantitative analysis of adult first molar, second molar, third molar, and incisor enamel VOL groups. Five samples were used for analysis in panels B, D, and F (statistical method: Student's t test, ***P<0.01; N.S., not significant).

the RNA-seq data analysis indicates that HMGN protein depletion enhances ameloblast differentiation and maturation.

HMGN Depletion Enhances the Conversion Efficiency of iPSCs into Dental Epithelium Cells

To gain insights into the mechanisms whereby HMGN proteins modulate ameloblast differentiation, we used WT and DKO iPSCs as in vitro models. The WT and DKO iPSCs colonies are morphologically indistinguishable and show comparable levels of SOX2, SSEA1, OCT4, and NANOG immunofluorescence staining signal (Fig. 4A, B) and similar expression levels of pluripotent marker genes (Fig. 4C). These results further confirm and agree without previous studies showing that HMGN depletion does not compromise the iPSCs' ground state pluripotency (He et al. 2018).



Figure 3. High mobility group N (HMGN) proteins regulate transcription dynamics during mouse ameloblast differentiation. (**A**) Volcano plot showing differences in gene expression between wild-type (WT) and double-knockout (DKO) in E17 dental epithelium cells. (**B**) In E17 first molar dental epithelium cells, loss of HMGN proteins downregulates the expression of dental epithelium stem cell marker genes (*Lrig1*, *Lgr5*, and *Prob1*) but upregulates preameloblast marker gene expression (*Mki67*, *Wa2*, and *Etv4*). (**C**) Volcano plot showing differences in gene expression between WT and DKO in P0 dental epithelium cells. (**D**) In P0 first molar dental epithelium cells, loss of HMGN proteins downregulates the expression of secretory ameloblast marker genes (*Lrig1*, *Lgr5*, and *Sox21*). (**E**) Volcano plot showing differences in gene expression between WT and DKO in P0 dental epithelium cells. (**D**) In P0 first molar dental epithelium cells, loss of HMGN proteins downregulates marker gene expression (*Mki67*, *Wa2*, and *Etv4*) but upregulates the expression of secretory ameloblast marker genes (*Lrig1*, *Satb2*, and *Sox21*). (**E**) Volcano plot showing differences in gene expression between WT and DKO in P5 dental epithelium cells. (**F**) In P5 first molar dental epithelium cells, loss of HMGNs downregulates the expression of secretory ameloblast marker genes (*Lrs1*, *Satb2*, and *Ascl5*) genes but upregulates the expression of marker genes (*Lrs1*, *Satb2*, and *Ascl5*) genes but upregulated genes in P0 DKO first molar dental epithelium cells. (For analysis in panels B, D, and F, we used Student's t test, n=3; **P*<0.05. ****P*<0.01.) RPKM, Reads per kilobase per million mapped reads.



Figure 4. High mobility group N (HMGN) depletion enhances the conversion efficiency of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) into dental epithelium cells (DECs). (**A**) Wild-type (WT) and double-knockout (DKO) iPSC colonies are morphologically indistinguishable (upper panel) and show comparable levels of ALP staining (middle panel) and SOX2 immunofluorescence staining (lower panel). (**B**) The WT and DKO iPSCs show comparable levels of SSEA1 (upper panel), OCT4 (middle panel), and NANOG (lower panel) immunofluorescence staining. (**C**) Quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis of iPSCs shows equal expression of pluripotent marker genes Nanog, Pou5f1, and SoX2 in the WT and DKO iPSCs. Shown is an analysis of 3 biological replicates (Student's t test, n=3; N.S., not significant). (**D**) Diagram of differentiation of iPSCs into DECs. EB, embryoid body. (**E**) Transcriptional changes during differentiation of WT iPSCs into DECs. Shown is the relative expression of the pluripotent marker genes (Nanog, Sox2, Dnmt3l, Dppa3) and DEC marker genes (Krt14, Ambn, Amelx, Epfn) expression in D0 iPSCs and D14 DECs. (**F**) Quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis of DECs showed a higher level of ameloblast marker gene expression in the DKO than in the WT (Student's t test, n=3, ***P<0.01). (**G**) Immunofluorescence intensity. (**H**) DNA and amelogenin fluorescence intensity (FLUI) distribution along a distance of 150 µm. (**I**) Quantitative analysis of DNA and amelogenin fluorescence intensity. Note the similar DNA fluorescence intensity in WT and DKO cells, but the amelogenin fluorescence intensity is significantly higher in the DKO group (Student's t test, ***P<0.01).

We differentiated WT and DKO iPSCs into dental epithelium cells (DECs) (Fig. 4D) by following an established protocol (Kim et al. 2019). The genotype of WT and DKO iPSCs and of DECs was confirmed by immunofluorescence staining of HMGN proteins (Appendix Fig. 7A, B). The DECs derived from iPSCs have high levels of ameloblast differentiation marker gene expression, including Krt14, Ambn, Amelx, and Epfn, and very low levels of pluripotent markers such as, Sox2, Dnmt3l, and Dppa3, suggesting a successful transition from iPSCs to ameloblast lineage (Fig. 4E). Interestingly, gene expression analysis of WT and DKO DECs revealed that the DKO group had significantly higher levels of ameloblast differentiation marker gene expression, such as Krt14, Amelx, and Epfn (Fig. 4F). Likewise, immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 4G) and quantitative analysis of fluorescence intensity (Fig. 4H, I) showed significantly higher amelogenin protein expression in DKO DECs. Together, these results indicate that loss of HMGNs enhances the differentiation efficiency of iPSCs to DECs.

To further verify the roles of HMGN proteins in dental epithelium cell differentiation, we transfected Cervical Loop Derived Dental Epithelial (CLDE) cells (Yoshizaki et al. 2014) with plasmids expressing the transcription factor epiprofin together with plasmids expressing either *Hmgn1/2* DNA or *Hmgn1/2* small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). qPCR analyses showed that *Hmgn1/2* overexpression significantly decreased the epiprofininduced *Amelx* gene expression level while transfection with *Hmgn* siRNAs enhanced the *Amelx* gene expression level (Appendix Fig. 7C). These results support the notion that depletion of HMGN protein enhances ameloblast differentiation.

Epigenetic Remodeling during Differentiation of iPSCs into DECs

HMGNs are epigenetic regulators that affect gene expression by binding to chromatin regulatory sites (Deng et al. 2015). To gain insight into the epigenetic role of HMGNs during iPSC differentiation into DECs, we queried our ChIP-seq data of HMGN proteins and of several histone modifications at iPSCspecific and ameloblast-specific genomic loci (He et al. 2018). We found that in iPSCs, HMGN proteins are preferentially enriched at iPSC-specific sites such as Nanog, Dppa3, and Dnmt3l and colocalize with H3K27ac, H3K4me1, and H3K4me3, epigenetic markers of active chromatin, but not with H3K9me3, a marker of inactive chromatin (Fig. 5A and Appendix Fig. 8, left panel). In contrast, iPSCs do not show HMGN enrichment or histone marks of active chromatin at ameloblast-specific loci such as Amelx, Ambn, and Amtn (Fig. 5B and Appendix Fig. 8, right panel). ChIP-qPCR verified HMGN protein binding and the presence of H3K27ac modifications at the Nanog locus in the iPSCs (Fig. 5C) and at the Amelx locus in DECs (Fig. 5D). Thus, HMGN protein occupancy displays a cell type-specific pattern: in iPSCs, HMGN occupancy levels are relatively high at the Nanog locus and low at the Amelx locus, while in DECs, HMGN occupancy is relatively low at the Nanog locus but high at the Amelx locus (Fig. 5C, D).

Chromatin accessibility is a major epigenetic mark of active chromatin (Klemm et al. 2019). We used Tn5-mediated chromatin tagmentation (Buenrostro et al. 2015) followed by a qPCR assay of chromatin accessibility at a gene-specific locus to compare the chromatin accessibility at the *Amelx* locus in the WT and DKO DECs. Using 5 pairs of primers, which cover the ± 500 bp of the *Amelx* transcription start site, we find that the *Amelx* locus in DKO DECs is significantly more accessible than that in WT DECs, suggesting more efficient differentiation in the DKO cultures (Fig. 5E).

The transcription factors PITX2 and epiprofin are important regulators of ameloblast differentiation (Nakamura et al. 2008; Li et al. 2014). By ChIP-qPCR analysis, we now find that the occupancy levels of PITX2 and epiprofin at the *Amelx* promoter are significantly higher in DKO DECs (Fig. 5F). Thus, our chromatin accessibility assay and ChIP-qPCR results suggest that HMGN depletion in iPSCs leads to increased chromatin accessibility and transcription factor binding at ameloblast-specific sites, as well as to elevated DEC-specific gene expression. Together, these changes enhance the efficiency of differentiation of iPSCs into DECs.

Discussion

Mouse tooth development is initiated by the reciprocal interactions between dental epithelium cells and the underlying mesenchymal cells (Thesleff 2003). Elucidating the molecular mechanisms that regulate embryonic mouse tooth development could provide valuable insights into mammalian odontogenesis and organogenesis. We found that loss of HMGN enhances ameloblast differentiation and dental enamel mineralization, a finding that agrees with previous results showing that overexpression of HMGN2 protein in the dental epithelium cells decreases ameloblast differentiation (Li et al. 2014). By analyzing the phenotype of HMGN1/2 double mutant mice and the in vitro differentiation of iPSCs derived from WT and DKO mice, we now find that HMGN proteins regulate ameloblast differentiation and enamel formation by modulating chromatin accessibility and transcription factor binding at lineage-specific sites.

Our in vitro differentiation analyses also showed that HMGN proteins relocate from iPSC-specific regulatory sites to ameloblast-specific sites during iPSC differentiation. The relocation of HMGNs is accompanied by the deposition of active histone marks such as H3K27ac at ameloblast regulatory sites (Fig. 4). These studies indicate that HMGNs affect the epigenetic chromatin remodeling during iPSC differentiation into DECs.

Cell fate conversion involves an interplay between regulatory factors that maintain the original cell identity and the regulatory factors that establish the new cell identity. At the epigenetic level, this process involves the erasing of the existing epigenetic memory followed by the establishment of a new cell type–specific epigenetic signature (Nashun et al. 2015). HMGN proteins have been shown to affect the rate of cell fate conversions in several biological systems (Furusawa et al.



Figure 5. Epigenetic remodeling during differentiation of wild-type (WT) and double-knockout (DKO) induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) into dental epithelium cells (DECs). (**A**) IGV browser snapshot of high mobility group N (HMGN) protein binding profile at an iPSC-specific site (*Nanog*) in D0 iPSCs. The HMGN binding profile colocalizes with the binding profile of histone modifications that mark active chromatin such as H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and H3K4me1 but not with H3K9me3, a marker of repressive chromatin. Two tracks of HMGN1 and HMGN2 data represent 2 biological replicates. (**B**) IGV browser snapshot of HMGN protein binding profile at a DEC-specific site (*Amelx*) in D0 iPSCs. There are no HMGN enrichment peaks or active histone modification marker enrichment (H3K27ac, H3K4me3, H3K4me1) at the DEC-specific site. (**C**) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)–quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis showing elevated HMGN binding and H3K27ac modification levels at the *Nanog* locus in iPSCs, as compared to DECs. (**D**) ChIP-qPCR analysis showing that beth the HMGN enrichment level and H3K27ac modification levels at the *Amelx* locus in DECs are significantly higher than in iPSCs. (**E**) Five primer pairs were used to amplify the ±500 bp of the *Amelx* locus. Tn5-mediated qPCR chromatin accessibility assay showing that the *Amelx* locus in DKO DECs is more accessible to tagmentation than in the WT DECs. (**F**) ChIP-qPCR of WT and DKO DECs showing higher binding of the DEC-specific transcription factors PITX2 and epiprofin at the *Amelx* locus in the DKO cells. Three technical replicates of 3 biological replicates were used for analysis of panels C to F (Student's t test, *P<0.01).

2006; Zhang et al. 2016; Deng et al. 2017; He et al. 2018). Most likely, HMGNs modulate cellular differentiation by preferentially binding to nucleosomes containing histone marks of active chromatin such as H3K27ac and H3K4me3, thereby modulating the binding of transcription factors to regulatory chromatin (Amen et al. 2008; Eliason et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2022). We postulate that the presence of HMGN at cell typespecific regulatory chromatin sites stabilizes the cell identity (He et al. 2018; Garza-Manero et al. 2019). Consequently, HMGN-depleted cells, devoid of the "stabilizing effect" exerted by HMGNs, display higher efficiency of cell fate conversion, a possibility that agrees with our present finding indicating that HMGN-depleted cells show a higher efficiency of ameloblast differentiation. Thus, our results showing that HMGNs modulate ameloblast differentiation provide further support for the possibility that the ubiquitous HMGN proteins fine-tune the rate of cell fate conversion, a process that may be of particular importance at early stages of embryogenesis and development.

Author Contributions

B. He, contributed to conception, design, data acquisition, drafted and critically revised the manuscript; V. Kram, O. Duverger, R. Nanduri, contributed to data acquisition and analysis, critically revised the manuscript; T. Furusawa, E.Y. Chu, M. Ishikawa, contributed to data acquisition, critically revised the manuscript; P. Zhang, J.S. Lee, contributed to data analysis, critically revised the manuscript; B.A. Amendt, contributed to conception, data interpretation, critically revised the manuscript; M. Bustin, contributed to conception, design, data analysis and interpretation, drafted and critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. Alexei Lobanov, Center for Cancer Research (CCR) Collaborative Bioinformatics Resource, CCR, NCI, National Institutes of Health (NIH), for help with data deposition; Dr. Marian Young (National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research [NIDCR]/NIH) and Dr. Pamela Robey (NIDCR/NIH) for their help with μ CT analysis; and Dr. Yoshihiko Yamada NIDCR/NIH (deceased) for significant contribution to conceptual aspects of this manuscript.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Center for Cancer Research, Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health.

ORCID iD

M. Bustin D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5147-7242

References

- Amen M, Espinoza HM, Cox C, Liang X, Wang J, Link TME, Brennan RG, Martin JF, Amendt BA. 2008. Chromatin-associated HMG-17 is a major regulator of homeodomain transcription factor activity modulated by Wnt/β catenin signaling. Nucleic Acids Res. 36(2):462–476.
- Andersson R, Sandelin A. 2020. Determinants of enhancer and promoter activities of regulatory elements. Nat Rev Genet. 21(2):71–87.
- Arvey A, Agius P, Noble WS, Leslie C. 2012. Sequence and chromatin determinants of cell-type-specific transcription factor binding. Genome Res. 22(9):1723–1734.
- Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ. 2015. ATAC-seq: a method for assaying chromatin accessibility genome-wide. Curr Protoc Mol Biol. 109:21.29.1–21.29.9. doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb2129s109
- Cao H, Jheon A, Li X, Sun Z, Wang J, Florez S, Zhang Z, McManus MT, Klein OD, Amendt BA. 2013. The Pitx2:miR-200c/141:noggin pathway regulates Bmp signaling and ameloblast differentiation. Development. 140(16):3348–3359.
- Caton J, Tucker AS. 2009. Current knowledge of tooth development: patterning and mineralization of the murine dentition. J Anat. 214(4):502–515.
- Chiba Y, He B, Yoshizaki K, Rhodes C, Ishijima M, Bleck CKE, Stempinski E, Chu EY, Nakamura T, Iwamoto T, et al. 2019. The transcription factor AmeloD stimulates epithelial cell motility essential for tooth morphology. J Biol Chem. 294(10):3406–3418.
- Deng T, Postnikov Y, Zhang SF, Garrett L, Becker L, Racz I, Holter SM, Wurst W, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, et al. 2017. Interplay between H1 and HMGN epigenetically regulates OLIG1&2 expression and oligodendrocyte differentiation. Nucleic Acids Res. 45(6):3031–3045.
- Deng T, Zhu ZI, Zhang SF, Postnikov Y, Huang D, Horsch M, Furusawa T, Beckers J, Rozman J, Klingenspor M, et al. 2015. Functional compensation among HMGN variants modulates the DNase I hypersensitive sites at enhancers. Genome Res. 25(9):1295–1308.
- Duverger O, Ohara T, Bible PW, Zah A, Morasso MI. 2017. DLX3-dependent regulation of ion transporters and carbonic anhydrases is crucial for enamel mineralization. J Bone Miner Res. 32(3):641–653.
- Eliason S, Su D, Pinho F, Sun Z, Zhang Z, Li X, Sweat M, Venugopalan SR, He B, Bustin M, et al. 2022. HMGN2 represses gene transcription via interaction with transcription factors Lef-1 and Pitx2 during amelogenesis. J Biol Chem. 298(9):102295.
- Fukumoto S, Nakamura T, Yamada A, Arakaki M, Saito K, Xu J, Fukumoto E, Yamada Y. 2014. New insights into the functions of enamel matrices in calcified tissues. Jpn Dent Sci Rev. 50(2):47–54.
- Furusawa T, Lim JH, Catez F, Birger Y, Mackem S, Bustin M. 2006. Downregulation of nucleosomal binding protein HMGN1 expression during embryogenesis modulates Sox9 expression in chondrocytes. Mol Cell Biol. 26(2):592–604.
- Garza-Manero S, Sindi AAA, Mohan G, Rehbini O, Jeantet VHM, Bailo M, Latif FA, West MP, Gurden R, Finlayson L, et al. 2019. Maintenance of active chromatin states by HMGN2 is required for stem cell identity in a pluripotent stem cell model. Epigenetics Chromatin. 12(1):73.
- Golonzhka O, Metzger D, Bornert JM, Bay BK, Gross MK, Kioussi C, Leid M. 2009. Ctip2/Bcl11b controls ameloblast formation during mammalian odontogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 106(11):4278–4283.
- He B, Chiba Y, Li H, de Vega S, Tanaka K, Yoshizaki K, Ishijima M, Yuasa K, Ishikawa M, Rhodes C, et al. 2019. Identification of the novel tooth-specific transcription factor AmeloD. J Dent Res. 98(2):234–241.
- He B, Deng T, Zhu I, Furusawa T, Zhang S, Tang W, Postnikov Y, Ambs S, Li CC, Livak F, et al. 2018. Binding of HMGN proteins to cell specific enhancers stabilizes cell identity. Nat Commun. 9(1):5240.
- He B, Zhu I, Postnikov Y, Furusawa T, Jenkins L, Nanduri R, Bustin M, Landsman D. 2022. Multiple epigenetic factors co-localize with HMGN proteins in A-compartment chromatin. Epigenetics Chromatin. 15(1):23.
- Hermans F, Hemeryck L, Lambrichts I, Bronckaers A, Vankelecom H. 2021. Intertwined signaling pathways governing tooth development: a give-andtake between canonical Wnt and Shh. Front Cell Dev Biol. 9:758203.
- Juuri E, Jussila M, Seidel K, Holmes S, Wu P, Richman J, Heikinheimo K, Chuong CM, Arnold K, Hochedlinger K, et al. 2013. Sox2 marks epithelial competence to generate teeth in mammals and reptiles. Development. 140(7):1424–1432.
- Juuri E, Saito K, Ahtiainen L, Seidel K, Tummers M, Hochedlinger K, Klein OD, Thesleff I, Michon F. 2012. Sox2+ stem cells contribute to all epithelial lineages of the tooth via Sfrp5+ progenitors. Dev Cell. 23(2):317–328.
- Kim EJ, Yoon KS, Arakaki M, Otsu K, Fukumoto S, Harada H, Green DW, Lee JM, Jung HS. 2019. Effective differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells into dental cells. Dev Dynam. 248(1):129–139.
- Klemm SL, Shipony Z, Greenleaf WJ. 2019. Chromatin accessibility and the regulatory epigenome. Nat Rev Genet. 20(4):207–220.

- Krivanek J, Soldatov RA, Kastriti ME, Chontorotzea T, Herdina AN, Petersen J, Szarowska B, Landova M, Matejova VK, Holla LI, et al. 2020. Dental cell type atlas reveals stem and differentiated cell types in mouse and human teeth. Nat Commun. 11(1):4816.
- Kugler JE, Deng T, Bustin M. 2012. The HMGN family of chromatin-binding proteins: dynamic modulators of epigenetic processes. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1819(7):652–656.
- Li X, Venugopalan SR, Cao H, Pinho FO, Paine ML, Snead ML, Semina EV, Amendt BA. 2014. A model for the molecular underpinnings of tooth defects in axenfeld-rieger syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 23(1):194–208.
- Li XY, Thomas S, Sabo PJ, Eisen MB, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Biggin MD. 2011. The role of chromatin accessibility in directing the widespread, overlapping patterns of drosophila transcription factor binding. Genome Biol. 12(4):R34.
- Miao X, Niibe K, Fu Y, Zhang M, Nattasit P, Ohori-Morita Y, Nakamura T, Jiang X, Egusa H. 2022. Epiprofin transcriptional activation promotes ameloblast induction from mouse induced pluripotent stem cells via the BMP-Smad signaling axis. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 10:890882.
- Nakamura T, de Vega S, Fukumoto S, Jimenez L, Unda F, Yamada Y. 2008. Transcription factor epiprofin is essential for tooth morphogenesis by regulating epithelial cell fate and tooth number. J Biol Chem. 283(8):4825–4833.

- Nashun B, Hill PW, Hajkova P. 2015. Reprogramming of cell fate: epigenetic memory and the erasure of memories past. Embo J. 34(10):1296–1308.
- Sasaki T, Ito Y, Xu X, Han J, Bringas P, Maeda T, Slavkin HC, Grosschedl R, Chai Y. 2005. LEF1 is a critical epithelial survival factor during tooth morphogenesis. Dev Biol. 278(1):130–143.
- Thesleff I. 2003. Epithelial-mesenchymal signalling regulating tooth morphogenesis. J Cell Sci. 116(9):1647–1648.
- Yoshizaki K, Fukumoto S, Bikle DD, Oda Y. 2020. Transcriptional regulation of dental epithelial cell fate. Int J Mol Sci. 21(23):8952.
- Yoshizaki K, Hu LZ, Nguyen T, Sakai K, He B, Fong C, Yamada Y, Bikle DD, Oda Y. 2014. Ablation of coactivator Med1 switches the cell fate of dental epithelia to that generating hair. PLoS One. 9(6):e99991.
- Zhang SF, Postnikov Y, Lobanov A, Furusawa T, Deng T, Bustin M. 2022. H3K27ac nucleosomes facilitate HMGN localization at regulatory sites to modulate chromatin binding of transcription factors. Commun Biol. 5(1):159.
- Zhang SF, Zhu I, Deng T, Furusawa T, Rochman M, Vacchio MS, Bosselut R, Yamane A, Casellas R, Landsman D, et al. 2016. HMGN proteins modulate chromatin regulatory sites and gene expression during activation of naïve B cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 44(15):7144–7158.