Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Polymorphisms of Estrogen Receptor α Genes rs9340799 and rs2234693 and Alzheimer's Disease: Evidence From 23 Articles

American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias[®] 2014, Vol. 29(8) 704-711 [®] The Author(s) 2014 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1533317514534760 aja.sagepub.com

(S)SAGE

Yuanmei Pan, MD¹, Yansheng Li, MD¹, and Huojian Shen, MD²

Abstract

Objective: A meta-analysis was performed to better clarify the association between polymorphisms of estrogen receptor α genes rs9340799 and rs2234693 and risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD). **Methods:** Pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) from fixed and random effect models were calculated. Heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the I². Meta-regression was used to explore the potential sources of between-study heterogeneity. **Results:** A total of 23 studies about rs9340799 and 24 studies about rs2234693 were included in this meta-analysis. The combined evidence suggested that the x allele of rs9340799 had a significant protective effect on the risk of AD in codominant model (ORs = 0.893, 95%CIs = 0.822-0.970), especially for AD in Asia and sporadic AD (SAD). The p allele of rs2234693 was associated with decreased risk of AD in codominant model for patients with SAD. No publication bias was detected. **Conclusions:** This meta-analysis suggested that x allele of rs9340799 might have a protective effect on the risk of AD in Asia and in patients with SAD. In addition, the p allele of rs2234693 might decrease the risk of patients with SAD.

Keywords

estrogen receptor α gene, polymorphism, Alzheimer's disease, meta-analysis

Introduction

As the world population is aging, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is becoming a major public health problem.¹ Alzheimer's disease is an age-associated neurodegenerative disease that is related to the combined interaction between environmental factors and gene variants.^{2,3} Genetic variation has been postulated to influence the risk of AD. Mutations in apolipoprotein E4, PLAU, CR1, CLU, and PICALM are known to contribute to AD risk.⁴⁻⁶ As a complex disorder, the genes mentioned earlier cannot explain the overall genetic susceptibility, and it is supposed that some other genes may participate in the development of AD. The estrogen receptor α (ESR- α) gene or estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) gene islocated throughout the brain, especially in regions involved in learning and memory, such as the hippocampus and amygdale.⁷ The mutation of ESR genes may influence the effects of estrogen on AD.⁸ Estrogen's neuroprotective action could also be more direct in response to degenerative disease or injury. Because estrogen exerts its action through nuclear receptors located in the brain regions also involved in cognitive functions,⁹ its neuroprotective effect is probably at least in part mediated by ESRs.⁸

The gene for the ESR- α has several single-nucleotide polymorphisms, the rs9340799 (XbaI) and rs2234693 (PvuII), which may be associated with expression and function of receptor,^{10,11} and most of the studies are focused on these 2 polymorphisms with AD. Four studies¹²⁻¹⁵ suggested that x allele of rs9340799 polymorphism might have a protective effect on risk of AD, while no associations were found in 16 articles.^{1,11,16-29} Five studies^{12-14,17,18} showed that p allele of rs2234693 polymorphism might decrease the risk of AD, and no associations were found in 16 articles.^{1,11,15,16,19-24,26-31} Thus, these results are inconsistent, and individual studies have relatively small power to confirm this association. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to test the hypothesis that the mutant

Corresponding Author:

¹ Department of Neurology, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

² Department of General Surgery, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Yansheng Li, MD, Department of Neurology, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200127, China. Email: shanghailiys@163.com

				Mean Are	Females	Genotypes XX/Xx/xx		
Author	Year	Country	Diagnostic Criteria	(Case/Control)	(Case/Control), %	Case	Control	P for HWE ^a
Hou	2009	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	79.21/76.07	72.41/64.49	19/95/89	18/48/72	.04
Li	2004	China	DSM-III-R	60-93/60-93	56.06/54.55	10/32/24	16/65/62	1.00
Xu	2003	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	68/66	30.66/45.45	2/31/16	2/16/37	1.00
Dresner-Pollak	2009	Israel	NINCDS-ADRDA	83.5/81	100/100	20/57/41	3/34/2	1.00
Usui	2006	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	69.1/62.8	54/36	18/59/128	2/36/54	.22
Corbo	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	78/80	69/60	43/152/82	31/106/75	.57
Monastero	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	73.7/72.9	65/65	18/89/65	33/98/41	.09
Porrello	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	75/71	56/53	15/61/55	14/48/47	.83
den Heijer	2004	Netherlands	NINCDS-ADRDA	NA	70/59	25/107/98	700/2592/2452	.71
Lin	2003	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	NA	80/50	6/9/14	8/32/85	.08
Maruyama I	2000	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	70/68.1	70/64	3/49/131	8/32/93	.04
Maruyama 2	2000	ŬK	NINCDS-ADRDA	79.2/76.6	55/48	20/84/52	18/54/48	.70
Prince	2001	Sweden	NINCDS-ADRDA	NA	61/58	4/76/ 4	6/56/111	1.00
Lambert	2001	UK	NINCDS-ADRDA	67.9/62.6	61/53	21/88/77	43/197/165	.19
Brandi	1999	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	76.1/74.6	NA	43/82/68	21/97/84	.44
Elcoroaristizabal MartÕn	2011	Spain	NINCDS-ADRDA	72.2/71.6	51.9/57.2	4/47/ 8	16/83/39	.01
Ma	2009	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	81.4/73	84/76	21/84/123	22/99/113	1.00
Ji	2000	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	74.2/75.7	NA	23/90/121	6/41/87	.60
Mattila I	2000	Sweden	NINCDS-ADRDA	61.6/71.3	63/67	4/37/43	7/32/42	.79
Mattila 2	2000	Sweden	DSM-III-R	80.4/88.6	100/100	8/36/35	17/69/64	.86
Mattila 3	2000	Finland	NINCDS-ADRDA	80.3/76.8	69/44	5/27/19	6/27/26	1.00
lose	1997	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	76.2/74.9	70/86	6/38/42	2/13/36	.61
Deng	2013	China	DSM-III-R	67.3/66.4	66.5/66.5	22/93/121	35/105/96	.49

Table 1. The Characteristics of rs9340799 Polymorphism Genotype Distributions in this Meta-Analysis.

Abbreviations: NINCDS-ADRDA, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria; DSM-III-R, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Third Edition Revised); NA, not available; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. ^aP for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in control groups.

of the 2 polymorphisms in ESR- α may be a protective effect on AD susceptibility.

Materials and Methods

Literature Search

The available articles published in English or Chinese (up to December, 2013) were identified by extended computer-based searches from the following databases: PubMed, Web of Science, and Wan Fang Med Online. The following key words were used: "estrogen receptor alpha" or "estrogen receptor 1" or "ESR-a" or "ESR1" or "rs9340799" or "rs2234693" or "Xbal" or "PvuII" and "polymorphism" or "mutation" and "Alzheimer's disease" or "AD". We also reviewed the references cited in the studies and reviewed articles to identify additional studies not captured by our database searches.

Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) case–control, cohort, or cross-sectional study published as original study to evaluate the association between (rs9340799 and rs2234693) polymorphisms in ESR- α gene and risk of AD; (2) numbers of each genotype were reported in case and control groups for case–control studies, or exposed and unexposed groups for cohort

studies, or data provided from which numbers could be calculated; and (3) patients in each study should come from the same ethnicity and period. The most recent and complete articles were chosen if 1 data from the same population had been published more than once. Two investigators carefully reviewed all identified studies independently to determine whether an individual study was eligible for inclusion criteria in this meta-analysis.

Data Extraction

Two investigators collected the data independently and reached a consensus on all items. The following basic information was extracted from the eligible studies: first author, journal, sample size, year of publication, country, ethnicity of studied population, mean age, male sex percentage, and distributions of allele and genotype. When it came to conflicting evaluations, it was resolved by a third reviewer.

Statistical Analysis

Departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for the rs2234693 and rs9340799 genotype distribution of ESR- α gene in controls was tested by chi-square (χ^2) analysis with exact probability (HWE: P > .05). Odds ratio (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to assess the strength of the

				Mean Age	Females	Genotyp	es PP/Pp/pp	
Author	Year	Country	Diagnostic Criteria	(Case/ Control)	(Case/Control), %	Case	Control	P for HWE ^a
Boada	2012	Spain	NINCDS-ADRDA	78.81/49.86	69.98/52.77	197/546/326	212/498/384	.03
Hou	2009	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	79.21/76.07	72.41/64.49	19/103/81	22/68/48	.86
Li	2004	China	DSM-III-R	60-93/60-93	56.06/54.55	4/25/37	6/37/100	.37
Xu	2003	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	68/66	30.66/45.45	3/42/4	9/25/21	.78
Dresner-Pollak	2009	Israel	NINCDS-ADRDA	83.5/81	100/100	24/58/36	17/34/17	1.00
Combarros	2007	Spain	NINCDS-ADRDA	74.2/79.7	71/74	5/49/129	5/48/116	1.00
Usui	2006	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	69.1/62.8	54/36	43/91/71	19/41/32	.40
Corbo	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	78/80	69/60	54/146/77	34/113/65	.21
Monastero	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	73.7/72.9	65/65	32/92/48	41/91/40	.54
Porrello	2006	Italy	NINCDS-ADRDA	75/71	56/53	23/62/46	24/45/40	.12
den Heijer	2004	Netherlands	NINCDS-ADRDA	NA	70/59	48/113/69	1250/2848/	.79
1.5-	2002	China		NIA	00/50	7/17/2		05
LIN Mammuna I	2003	China			80/50	7/17/0		.85
Maruyama 1	2000	Japanese		70/68.1	70/64	24/85/74	27/34/32	.07
Maruyama 2	2000			/9.2//6.6	22/48	36/79/41	10/37/33	.33
Lambert	2001			67.9/62.6	01/33	30/90/54	77/200/128	1.00
Brandi	1999	Italy		76.1/74.6		49/90/54	29/9///6	.88
Elcoroaristizabal MartÕn	2011	Spain	NINCDS-ADRDA	/2.2//1.6	51.9/57.2	21/37/21	24/76/38	.23
Ma	2009	China	NINCDS-ADRDA	81.4/73	84/76	24/113/82	34/110/71	.48
li	2000	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	74.2/75.7	NA	59/114/61	21/61/52	.72
Mattila I	2000	Sweden	NINCDS-ADRDA	61.6/71.3	63/67	10/41/33	14/40/27	1.00
Mattila 2	2000	Sweden	DSM-III-R	80.4/88.6	100/100	15/43/21	31/74/45	1.00
Mattila 3	2000	Finland	NINCDS-ADRDA	80.3/76.8	69/44	7/35/9	15/26/18	.43
lose	1997	Japanese	NINCDS-ADRDA	76.2/74.9	70/86	19/47/20	5/27/19	.38
Deng	2013	China	DSM-III-R	67.3/66.4	66.5/66.5	46/123/67	53/127/56	.30

Table 2. The Characteristics of rs2234693 Polymorphism Genotype Distributions in this Meta-Analysis.

Abbreviations: NINCDS-ADRDA, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria; DSM-III-R, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Third Edition Revised); NA, not available; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. ^aP for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in control groups.

association of the polymorphism of rs2234693 and rs9340799 with the risk of AD. We conducted analysis for 3 polymorph $isms^{32}$ considering dominant (pp + Pp vs PP; in this study, P allele mutated into p allele), (xx + Xx vs XX; in this study,X allele mutated into x allele); recessive (pp vs Pp + PP), (xx vs Xx + XX; and codominant (p vs P) and (x vs X) models for rs2234693 and rs9340799, respectively. I² values of Higgins and Thompson³³ was used to assess heterogeneity among studies, and I² values of 0%, 25%, 50%, and 75% represent no, low, moderate, and high heterogeneity,³⁴ respectively. The DerSimonian and Laird random effect model was adopted as the pooling method if substantial heterogeneity was present $(I^2 > 50\%)^{34}$; otherwise, the fixed effect model ($I^2 < 50\%$) was used as the pooling method. Subgroup analyses by types of AD and ethnicity were also carried out to explore the associations between polymorphism of rs2234693 and rs9340799 and AD risk. Meta-regression with restricted maximum likelihood estimation was performed to explore the potentially important covariates: country, age (ratio of age or mean age in case group to that in control group), and sex (ratio of male percentage in case group to that in control group) that might exert substantial impacts on between-study heterogeneity. If no significant covariates were found to be heterogeneous, the "leave-one-out" sensitive analysis³⁵ was carried out to evaluate the key contributor of the studies impact on between-study heterogeneity for 2 polymorphisms. Influence analysis was conducted³⁶ to describe how robust the pooled estimator is while we remove one of the individual studies. An individual study is suspected of excessive influence if the point estimate of its omitted analysis lies outside the 95%CI of the combined analysis. Begg's test³⁷ was used to estimate publication bias.

STATA version 10.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas) was used to perform statistical analyses. All reported probabilities (P values) were 2-sided, with P value less than .05 considered representative of statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of Studies

We identified 23 articles^{1,11-31} with 25 eligible studies for this meta-analysis. A total of 20 articles that contained 23 studies about rs9340799 with 3487 cases and 8998 controls and 21 articles that contained 24 studies about rs2234693 with 4597 cases and 10 090 controls were enrolled in the meta-analysis with the risk of AD. In all, 21 articles with 24 studies were of case–control design and 1 study was of cohort design. General characteristics, genotype distributions, and the results for HWE test in

			All Included Articl	es		After Excluding for DH	WE and	Sensitivity Analysis ^a
Polymorphism	Inherited Model	Num	Pooled OR (95% CI) ^b	I ² , %	Num	Pooled OR (95% CI) ^b	l ² , %	Articles Excluded
rs9340799								
Overall	Dominant	23	0.975 (0.835-1.137)	47.8	20	0.954 (0.810-1.122)	46.3	11,16,27
	Recessive	23	0.879 (0.752-1.028)	58.0	18	0.919 (0.826-1.021)	43.2	11,16,18,21,27
	Codominant	23	0.909 (0.803-1.029)	63.3	17	0.893 (0.822-0.970)	43.I	1,11,16,18,21,27
Asia	Dominant	11	0.892 (0.585-1.359)	54.I	8	0.697 (0.496-0.980)	23.9	1,11,16
	Recessive	11	0.797 (0.589-1.079)	73.0	5	0.639 (0.488-0.837)	38.6	1,11,16,18,23,28
	Codominant	11	0.839 (0.661-1.065)	73.8	6	0.635 (0.523-0.77I)	41.7	1,11,16,23,28
Europe	Dominant	12	0.959 (0.793-1.160)	45.7	11	0.987 (0.811-1.202)	47.0	27
•	Recessive	12	0.930 (0.820-1.054)	28.4	11	0.938 (0.825-1.066)	32.9	27
	Codominant	12	0.954 (0.871-1.044)	49.4	10	0.915 (0.830-1.009)	2.6	21,27
SAD	Dominant	9	0.695 (0.429-1.128)	56.6	8	0.639 (0.479-0.854)	41.3	11
	Recessive	9	0.786 (0.664-0.930)	47.0	8	0.753 (0.629-0.900)	47.I	11
	Codominant	9	0.786 (0.647-0.955)	52.6	8	0.768 (0.672-0.877)	43.2	11
rs2234693			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			· · · ·		
Overall	Dominant	24	1.015 (0.910-1.131)	45.0	23	0.998 (0.880-1.132)	47.I	30
	Recessive	24	0.894 (0.818-0.978)	46.0	23	0.923 (0.833-1.023)	46.5	30
	Codominant	24	0.942 (0.858-1.034)	51.4	22	0.983 (0.917-1.055)	47.0	13,30
Asia	Dominant	11	1.072 (0.784-1.467)	52.8	10	1.229 (0.988-1.528)	36.5	14
	Recessive	11	0.806 (0.598-1.085)	68.2	9	1.019 (0.857-1.210)	48.4	14,18
	Codominant	11	0.910 (0.746-1.109)	68.3	8	1.106 (0.981-1.248)	34.4	12,14,15
Europe	Dominant	13	0.981 (0.863-1.116)	39.1	12	0.938 (0.799-1.101)	41.7	30
•	Recessive	13	0.887 (0.797-0.988)	0.0	12	0.932 (0.816-1.065)	0.0	30
	Codominant	13	0.942 (0.877-1.012)	22.3	12	0.950 (0.870-1.038)	28.3	30
SAD	Dominant	8	1.031 (0.691-1.537)	62.4	7	1.116 (0.862-1.445)	44.I	13
	Recessive	8	0.708 (0.517-0.969)	56.6	7	0.816 (0.672-0.991)	22.7	18
	Codominant	8	0.871 (0.768-0.988)	49.0		· _ /	_	

Table 3. Pooled Measures on the Relation of Polymorphisms of Estrogen Receptor α Gene rs9340799 and rs2234693 to Alzheimer's Disease.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; DHWE, deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in controls; Num, number of studies; SAD, sporadic Alzheimer's disease.

^aSensitivity analysis for reducing heterogeneity by omitting study using the STATA module when $l^2 > 50\%$.

^bThe random effect model was adopted the pooling method if $l^2 > 50\%$; otherwise, the fixed effect model ($l^2 < 50\%$) was used as the pooling method. Significance of boldface value: p < 0.05.

control groups of the above-mentioned polymorphisms are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Quantitative Synthesis

The results of the pooled analysis are summarized in Table 3.

The rs9340799 polymorphism. For rs9340799 polymorphism, overall, no significant association was found between the x allele and risk of AD in dominant (ORs = 0.975, 95% CIs = 0.835-1.137), recessive (ORs = 0.879, 95%CIs = 0.752-1.028), and codominant (ORs = 0.909, 95%CIs = 0.803-1.029) models. In subgroup analysis by ethnicity, no evidence indicated the association between rs9340799 and AD susceptibility both for Asians and for Europeans in dominant model (Asian: ORs = 0.892, 95%CIs = 0.585-1.359; European: ORs = 0.959, 95%CIs = 0.793-1.160), recessive model (Asian: ORs = 0.930, 95%CIs = 0.820-1.054), and codominant model (Asian: ORs = 0.934, 95%CIs = 0.839, 95%CIs = 0.661-1.065; European: ORs = 0.954, 95%CIs = 0.871-1.044). Nine studies with 1325 cases and 1173 controls were conducted for sporadic AD (SAD) solely in the overall sample. With regard to patients with SAD, the x allele was found significantly

contributing to decreased AD risk in recessive (ORs = 0.786, 95%CIs = 0.664-0.930) and codominant (ORs = 0.786, 95%CIs = 0.647-0.955) models but not in dominant model (ORs = 0.695, 95%CIs = 0.429-1.128). After excluding 3 studies^{11,16,27} that deviated from HWE (DHWE) in controls, significant associations were also found between x allele and decreased AD risk in SAD subgroup (dominant, ORs = 0.608, 95%CIs = 0.399-0.928).

The rs2234693 polymorphism. For rs2234693 polymorphism, overall, this meta-analysis showed a significant protective effect of the p allele on AD risk in recessive model (ORs = 0.894, 95% CIs = 0.818-0.978) but not in dominant (ORs = 1.015, 95%CIs = 0.910-1.131) and codominant (ORs = 0.942, 95%CIs = 0.858-1.034) models. In the analysis stratified by ethnicity, no evidence indicated the significant association between rs2234693 and AD susceptibility for Asians in 3 inherited models. For Europeans, significant association was found between the p allele and risk of AD in recessive model (ORs = 0.887, 95%CIs = 0.797-0.988) but not in dominant (ORs = 0.981, 95%CIs = 0.863-1.116) and codominant (ORs = 0.942, 95%CIs = 0.877-1.012) models. Eight studies with 1121 cases and 987 controls were conducted for SAD solely in the overall sample. With regard to patients with SAD,



Figure I. Meta-analysis for AD risk depending on the rs9340799 polymorphism in the ESR- α gene. Forest plots of relationship between polymorphism of ESR- α gene rs9340799 and AD risk in codominant model after excluding articles that deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls and sensitivity analysis. White diamond denotes the pooled OR. Black squares indicate the OR in each study, with square sizes inversely proportional to the standard error of the OR. Horizontal lines represent 95% confidence intervals (Cls). AD indicates Alzheimer's disease; ESR- α , estrogen receptor α ; OR, odds ratio.

the p allele was found contributing significantly to decreased AD risk in recessive (ORs = 0.708, 95%CIs = 0.517-0.969) and codominant (ORs = 0.871, 95%CIs = 0.768-0.988) models. However, no association was detected in dominant model (ORs = 1.031, 95%CIs = 0.691-1.537). After excluding the study³⁰ that DHWE in controls, no significant associations were found between the p allele and AD risk considering recessive comparison for overall data (ORs = 0.923, 95%CIs = 0.833-1.023) and European subgroup (recessive: ORs = 0.932, 95%CIs = 0.816-1.065). The other associations were not altered significantly after excluding articles DHWE in controls.

Sources of Heterogeneity and Sensitivity Analysis

Before and after excluding studies DHWE in controls, strong evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$) was found in some inherited models considering the association of 2 polymorphisms

with AD. Univariate meta-regression with the covariates of country, age (ratio of age or mean age in case group to that in control group), and sex (ratio of male percentage in case group to that in control group) showed that no covariates had a significant impact on between-study heterogeneity.

The key contributor of the study to between-study heterogeneity was assessed by the "leave-one-out" sensitive analysis. Low and moderate heterogeneities ($I^2 < 50\%$) were found in any inherited models following the exclusion of certain studies. After excluding for DHWE and sensitivity analysis, the association of ESR- α gene rs9340799 polymorphism with AD risk was found significant in the codominant model for overall data (ORs = 0.893, 95%CIs = 0.822-0.970) and 3 inherited models in Asia (detailed data are shown in Table 3). However, no significant association was found between the ESR- α gene rs2234693 p allele and AD risk in recessive model for overall data (ORs = 0.923, 95%CIs = 0.833-1.023) and

			% Weigh
author	year	OR (95% CI)	(I-V)
Hou	2009	1.24 (0.79, 1.95)	5.20
Li	2004	0.55 (0.30, 1.00)	2.88
Xu	2003	0.14 (0.05, 0.46)	0.78
Dresner-Pollak	2009	1.32 (0.67, 2.59)	2.31
Combarros	2007	• 1.09 (0.69, 1.72)	5.08
Usui	2006	0.99 (0.59, 1.67)	3.93
Corbo	2006	0.87 (0.59, 1.29)	6.80
Monastero	2006	1.28 (0.79, 2.08)	4.44
Porrello	2006	0.93 (0.55, 1.59)	3.74
den Heijer	2004	1.07 (0.80, 1.42)	12.67
Lin	2003	0.34 (0.13, 0.89)	1.13
Maruyama 1	2000	1.06 (0.67, 1.67)	5.03
Maruyama 2	2000	0.76 (0.45, 1.31)	3.64
Lambert	2001	0.89 (0.61, 1.29)	7.28
Brandi	1999	0.64 (0.42, 0.98)	5.84
Elcoroaristizabal Martín	2011	- 0.95 (0.51, 1.78)	2.70
Ma	2009	- 1.21 (0.82, 1.80)	6.74
Ji	2000	0.56 (0.35, 0.88)	5.10
Mattila 1	2000	1.29 (0.68, 2.45)	2.59
Mattila 2	2000	0.84 (0.46, 1.55)	2.83
Mattila 3	2000	0.49 (0.20, 1.21)	1.27
Iose	1997	0.51 (0.24, 1.09)	1.83
Deng	2013	- 1.27 (0.84, 1.92)	6.17
I-V Overall (I-squared = 46	p.5%, p = 0.008)	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)	100.00
D+L Overall		0.89 (0.77, 1.03)	
	Ĭ		
	0.152		

Figure 2. Meta-analysis for AD risk depending on the rs2234693 polymorphism in the ESR- α gene. Forest plots of relationship between polymorphism of ESR- α gene rs2234693 and AD risk in recessive model after excluding articles that deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls and sensitivity analysis. White diamond denotes the pooled OR. Black squares indicate the OR in each study, with square sizes inversely proportional to the standard error of the OR. Horizontal lines represent 95% confidence intervals (Cls). AD indicates Alzheimer's disease; ESR- α , estrogen receptor α ; OR, odds ratio.

European subgroup (ORs = 0.932, 95%CIs = 0.816-1.065). Figure 1 presented the forest plot of ORs for AD in codominant model of rs9340799 polymorphism and AD after excluding articles DHWE in controls and sensitivity analysis. Figure 2 showed the forest plot of ORs in recessive model for rs2234693 polymorphism and the risk of AD after excluding articles DHWE in controls and sensitivity analysis.

Influence Analysis and Publication Bias Evaluation

For both of the polymorphisms, after exclusion of articles DHWE in controls and sensitivity analysis, no individual study was found having excessive influence on the pooled effect in the above-mentioned inherited models with regard to AD. Begg's test was used to assess the publication bias. No individual study was detected to have publication bias.

Discussion

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that begins with mild memory deficit and cognitive decline. Alzheimer's disease could ultimately lead to severe dementia in a few years.³⁰ Finding from this meta-analysis indicated that x allele of rs9340799 polymorphism might have a protective effect on AD in Asia and in patients with SAD. In addition, the p allele of rs2234693 polymorphism might decrease the risk of patients with SAD. Since the gender is a relevant risk factor for developing the disease, we conducted a subgroup analysis by gender, and no associations were found both in male and in female for polymorphisms of rs9340799 and rs2234693, respectively (Supplementary Table S1).

In our meta-analysis, some analyses present moderate or high heterogeneity after excluding the studies departure from HWE. Between-study heterogeneity is common in meta-analysis for genetic association studies,38 and exploring the potential sources of between-study heterogeneity is the essential component of meta-analysis.³⁹ The between-study heterogeneity might arise from an indeterminate number of characteristics that vary among studies. The possibilities related to the disease-effect diversity, such as study quality, genotyping, clinical heterogeneity (diagnosis for AD patients, allelic or locus), lifestyle factors, and so on, could not be ruled out, although no significant individual study influence on the pooled effects was observed with influence analysis. Thus, for polymorphisms of rs9340799 and rs2234693, we used metaregression to explore the causes of heterogeneity for covariates. However, country, mean age, and sex were not found to be important sources of disease-effect heterogeneity in this meta-analysis (the details of the results are listed in Supplementary Table S2). Furthermore, the between-study heterogeneity persisted in some genetic models, especially in Asian and SAD subgroups, suggesting the presence of other unknown confounding factors. Alzheimer's disease is a complex etiology and pathophysiology generated by the combined effects of genes and environment factors. As no covariates had a significant impact on between-study heterogeneity, we used STATA comments for "leave-one-out" sensitive analysis to reduce the between-study heterogeneity to $I^2 < 50\%$. The key contributor of the studies to this low between-study heterogeneity assessed by the "leave-one-out" sensitive analysis was also excluded, and the results are present in Table 3.

Meta-analysis that can summarize and review previously published quantitative research has been recognized as an effective method to solve a wide variety of clinical questions. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis conducted to assess the association between polymorphisms of rs9340799 and rs2234693 and AD risk. Our meta-analysis also showed some advantages. First, substantial number of cases and controls were pooled from different studies, which significantly increased the statistical power of the analysis. Second, no publication biases were detected, indicating that the pooled results may be unbiased. However, there were some limitations in this meta-analysis. First, between-study heterogeneity was found in some subgroup and overall analyses in this meta-analysis, and the results were not consistent before and after sensitivity analysis for polymorphisms of rs9340799 and rs2234693 with the risk of AD. This suggested that more studies need to be performed to investigate the association between polymorphisms of rs9340799 and rs2234693 and AD risk. Second, some studies were reported appear to be specific to SAD, while most of the studies were reported overall AD. Further studies should be conducted by subgroups of AD types, such as SAD, lateonset AD, and so on.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis suggested that x allele of rs9340799 might have a protective effect on risk of AD in Asia and in patients with SAD. In addition, the p allele of rs2234693 might decrease the risk of AD for patients with SAD. Further studies are needed to confirm these results.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Supplemental Material

The online supplementary tables are available at http://aja.sagepub. com/supplemental.

References

- Deng J, Shen C, Wang Y, et al. Association between the polymorphism of estrogen receptor alpha and Alzheimer's disease in Chinese population. *Clin Lab.* 2013;59(7-8):741–746.
- Ma JF, Liu LH, Zhang Y, et al. Association study of clusterin polymorphism rs11136000 with late onset Alzheimer's disease in chinese han population. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. 2011; 26(8):627–630.
- Hashimoto R, Hirata Y, Asada T, et al. Effect of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor and the apolipoprotein E polymorphisms on disease progression in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2009;8(1):43–52.
- Wu W, Jiang H, Wang M, Zhang D. Meta-analysis of the association between urokinase-plasminogen activator gene rs2227564 polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen.* 2013;28(5):517–523.
- Jun G, Naj AC, Beecham GW, et al. Meta-analysis confirms CR1, *CLU, and PICALM as Alzheimer disease risk loci and reveals interactions with APOE genotypes. Arch Neurol.* 2010;67(12): 1473–1484.
- 6. Ward A, Crean S, Mercaldi CJ, et al. Prevalence of apolipoprotein E4 genotype and homozygotes (APOE e4/4) among patients diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2012;38(1):1–17.
- Shughrue PJ, Lane MV, Merchenthaler I. Comparative distribution of estrogen receptor-alpha and -beta mRNA in the rat central nervous system. *J Comp Neurol*. 1997;388(4):507–525.
- 8. Garcia-Segura LM, Azcoitia I, DonCarlos LL. Neuroprotection by estradiol. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2001;63(1):29–60.
- Toran-Allerand CD, Miranda RC, Bentham WD, et al. Estrogen receptors colocalize with low-affinity nerve growth factor receptors in cholinergic neurons of the basal forebrain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1992;89(10):4668–4672.
- Albagha OM, McGuigan FE, Reid DM, Ralston SH. Estrogen receptor alpha gene polymorphisms and bone mineral density: haplotype analysis in women from the United Kingdom. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2001;16(1):128–134.
- Maruyama H, Toji H, Harrington CR, et al. Lack of an association of estrogen receptor alpha gene polymorphisms and transcriptional activity with Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol.* 2000;57(2): 236–240.

- Lin GF, Ma QW, Zhang DS, Zha YL, Lou KJ, Shen JH. Polymorphism of alpha-estrogen receptor and aryl hydrocarbon receptor genes in dementia patients in Shanghai suburb. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2003;24(7):651–656.
- Brandi ML, Becherini L, Gennari L, et al. Association of the estrogen receptor alpha gene polymorphisms with sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1999;265(2): 335–338.
- Ji Y, Urakami K, Wada-Isoe K, Adachi Y, Nakashima K. Estrogen receptor gene polymorphisms in patients with Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and alcohol-associated dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2000;11(3):119–122.
- Isoe K, Urakami K, Wakutani Y, Yong J, Adachi Y, Nakashima K. Screening for mutation of the presenilin 2 gene in Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 1997;8(1):60.
- Hou L. Association of Estrogen Receptor Alpha and BDNF Polymorphisms With Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease in Han Population in Southern Chinese. [master's thesis]. China: Guangzhou Medical College; 2009.
- Li HM, Chen SQ, Liu XH, Wang YP, Huang SK. Relationship between estrogen receptor gene polymorphism and Alzheimer disease. *Anatomical Studies*. 2004;26(2):109–111.
- Xu M, Jia JP. Estrogen receptor alpha and apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Natl Med J Chin*. 2003;83(24):2170–2172.
- Dresner-Pollak R, Kinnar T, Friedlander Y, Sharon N, Rosenmann H, Pollak A. Estrogen receptor beta gene variant is associated with vascular dementia in elderly women. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. 2009;13(3):339–342.
- Corbo RM, Gambina G, Ruggeri M, Scacchi R. Association of estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1) PvuII and XbaI polymorphisms with sporadic Alzheimer's disease and their effect on apolipoprotein E concentrations. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2006;22(1): 67–72.
- Monastero R, Cefalu AB, Camarda C, et al. Association of estrogen receptor alpha gene with Alzheimer's disease: a case-control study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2006;9(3):273–278.
- Porrello E, Monti MC, Sinforiani E, et al. Estrogen receptor alpha and APOEepsilon4 polymorphisms interact to increase risk for sporadic AD in Italian females. *Eur J Neurol.* 2006;13(6):639–644.
- Usui C, Shibata N, Ohnuma T, et al. No genetic association between the myeloperoxidase gene-463 polymorphism and estrogen receptor-alpha gene polymorphisms and Japanese sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2006;21 (5-6):296–299.
- den Heijer T, Schuit SC, Pols HA, et al. Variations in estrogen receptor alpha gene and risk of dementia, and brain volumes on MRI. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2004;9(12):1129–1135.

- 25. Prince JA, Feuk L, Sawyer SL, et al. Lack of replication of association findings in complex disease: an analysis of 15 polymorphisms in prior candidate genes for sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2001;9(6):437–444.
- Lambert JC, Harris JM, Mann D, et al. Are the estrogen receptors involved in Alzheimer's disease? *Neurosci Lett.* 2001;306(3): 193–197.
- Elcoroaristizabal Martin X, Fernandez Martinez M, Galdos Alcelay L, et al. Progression from amnesic mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease: ESR1 and ESR2 polymorphisms and APOE gene. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2011;32(5):332–341.
- Ma SL, Tang NL, Tam CW, et al. Polymorphisms of the estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1) gene and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in a southern Chinese community. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2009;21(5): 977–986.
- Mattila KM, Axelman K, Rinne JO, et al. Interaction between estrogen receptor 1 and the epsilon4 allele of apolipoprotein E increases the risk of familial Alzheimer's disease in women. *Neurosci Lett.* 2000;282(1-2):45–48.
- Boada M, Antunez C, Lopez-Arrieta J, et al. Estrogen receptor alpha gene variants are associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(1):198. e115–e124.
- Combarros O, Riancho JA, Arozamena J, et al. Interaction between estrogen receptor-alpha and butyrylcholinesterase genes modulates Alzheimer's disease risk. *J Neurol.* 2007;254(9): 1290–1292.
- Wang M, Jiang X, Wu W, Zhang D. Endothelial NO synthase gene polymorphisms and risk of ischemic stroke in Asian population: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e60472.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* 2002;21(11):1539–1558.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557–560.
- Patsopoulos NA, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP. Sensitivity of between-study heterogeneity in meta-analysis: proposed metrics and empirical evaluation. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2008;37(5): 1148–1157.
- Tobias A. Assessing the influence of a single study in the metaanalysis estimate. *Stata Tech Bull*. 1999;47(8):15–17.
- Begg CB. A comparison of methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis by P. Macaskill, S. D. Walter and L. Irwig, Statistics in Medicine, 2001; 20:641-654. *Stat Med.* 2002;21(12):1803; author reply 1804.
- Manolio TA, Brooks LD, Collins FS. A HapMap harvest of insights into the genetics of common disease. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(5):1590–1605.
- Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH. Summing up evidence: one answer is not always enough. *Lancet*. 1998;351(9096):123–127.