# Insights into Peptidoglycan-Targeting Radiotracers for Imaging Bacterial Infections: Updates, Challenges, and Future Perspectives

Palesa C. Koatale, Mick M. Welling,\* Honest Ndlovu, Mankgopo Kgatle, Sipho Mdanda, Amanda Mdlophane, Ambrose Okem, John Takyi-Williams, Mike M. Sathekge, and Thomas Ebenhan\*

| Cite This: ACS Infec | t. Dis. 2024, 10, 270–286 | Read 0 | Online                    |  |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--|
| ACCESS               | III Metrics & More        |        | E Article Recommendations |  |

**ABSTRACT:** The unique structural architecture of the peptidoglycan allows for the stratification of bacteria as either Gram-negative or Gram-positive, which makes bacterial cells distinguishable from mammalian cells. This classification has received attention as a potential target for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Bacteria's ability to metabolically integrate peptidoglycan precursors during cell wall biosynthesis and recycling offers an opportunity to target and image pathogens in their biological state. This Review explores the peptidoglycan biosynthesis for bacteria-specific targeting for infection imaging. Current and potential radiolabeled peptidoglycan precursors for bacterial infection imaging, their development status, and their performance *in vitro* and/or *in vivo* are highlighted. We conclude by providing our thoughts on how to shape this area of research for future clinical translation.



**KEYWORDS**: peptidoglycan, bacteria, precursor, infection, tracer development, nuclear medicine, molecular imaging

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Bacterial infections continue to pose the greatest threat to the human population today. This is primarily due to the emergence of antibiotic resistance, which has continued to be a global health challenge.<sup>1,2</sup> It is worth noting that by the year 2050, antibiotic resistance is estimated to be among the leading causes of death, surpassing cancer, resulting in approximately 10 million deaths annually.<sup>3</sup> Consequently, there is an urgent need to prioritize efforts to preserve antibiotics' effectiveness. Achieving this goal necessitates swift action, including the prompt localization and identification of the bacterial pathogen at an early stage. Advancements in diagnostic accuracy are crucial in this regard.<sup>4</sup> Microbiological cultures and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) are routine diagnostic tools for detecting infections. However, these methods often face challenges in obtaining clinical samples, especially in the case of deeply localized infections.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, culturing pathogens is time-consuming, leading to delays in treatment, especially when dealing with pathogens that are difficult to cultivate.<sup>6,7</sup>

Alternatively, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) offer non-invasive methods for the diagnosis of infection, particularly in musculoskeletal infections. However, these imaging techniques primarily detect anatomical abnormalities and may not always reveal the presence of an infection.<sup>5,6</sup> Moreover, when it comes to early detection of infection, morphologic imaging using ultrasound (US), CT, and MRI are not well-suited, as these modalities

primarily identify tissue architectural distortion that often occurs at an advanced stage of infection.<sup>8</sup> To address the limitations mentioned above, nuclear medicine imaging scans such as positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) have been considered promising alternatives, because they can assess early infection-related physiological abnormalities.<sup>8,9</sup> In hybrid imaging of infection, SPECT and PET are combined with the morphological information afforded by the anatomic imaging with CT or MRI for improved specificity.<sup>10–12</sup> The most recently added imaging modality is the advanced ultrafast large axial field of view (LAFOV)PET/CT, guaranteed to provide high sensitivity at low radiation doses.<sup>13</sup>

These nuclear medicine imaging techniques rely on diagnostic radiotracers, with the most commonly used infection imaging agents being radiolabeled white blood cells, [<sup>67/68</sup>Ga]citrate, [<sup>111</sup>In]oxine/[<sup>99m</sup>Tc]hexamethylpropylene-amine oxime (HMPAO), and 2-deoxy-2-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluoro-D-glucose ([<sup>18</sup>F]FDG). However, despite their wide application in infection imaging, these radiotracers have limitations in

Received:August 28, 2023Revised:December 16, 2023Accepted:December 18, 2023Published:January 30, 2024







Figure 1. Structural architecture of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Adapted with permission from ref 24 Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. Created with BioRender.com.

differentiating infection from inflammation, which can lead to ambiguity in diagnosis.<sup>12,14,15</sup>

The lack of specificity in these radiotracers indicates that there is still an unmet need for clinically differentiating inflammation from infection. This limitation restricts the diagnostic potential that nuclear imaging tools can provide for effectively diagnosing infection.<sup>16</sup> Nuclear medicine imaging technology is rapidly advancing, and improving the selectivity of bacteria-specific radiotracers will revolutionize how infections are diagnosed and treated in clinical settings.<sup>16,17</sup> The ability to detect infections early offers numerous advantages, including patient-tailored antibiotic treatment, treatment response evaluation, and non-responder identification. These capabilities are challenging but crucial in tackling antibacterial resistance.<sup>15</sup> To realize these possibilities, efforts have been undertaken to improve imaging specificity by developing radiotracers that target bacteria-specific biological structures and biochemical processes unique to bacteria. Some examples of these radiotracers are [18F]fluorodeoxysorbitol for carbohydrate metabolism, [68Ga]triacetylfusarinine C for iron transportation, and 1-(2-deoxy-2-fluoro- $\beta$ -D-arabinofuranosyl)-5-[<sup>125</sup>I]iodouracil for nucleic acids.<sup>8,18–20</sup> Another promising radiotracer is the 99mTc- or 68Ga-labeled ubiquicidin fragment UBI(29-41), which binds to negatively charged bacterial cell membranes and has shown specificity and effectiveness in clinical applications.<sup>21</sup>

Over the years, the distinctive structure of the bacterial cell wall has garnered significant interest, as it is absent in mammalian cells. The bacterial cell wall plays a role in the virulence and invasiveness of different bacterial strains.<sup>22</sup> The Gram-negative bacterial cell wall consists of a thinner sheet of peptidoglycan meshed in between the cytoplasmic membrane and an outer membrane compared to Gram-positive bacteria. This includes the attachment of lipopolysaccharides and lipoproteins to the outer membrane. On the other hand, in Gram-positive bacteria, the cell wall consists of a thicker envelope of peptidoglycan harboring teichoic acids and lipoteichoic acids $^{23-26}$  (Figure 1). Therefore, the uniqueness of the bacterial cell wall offers several potential targets that can be used to improve the specificity and selectivity of SPECT and PET radiotracers. The peptidoglycan layer, in particular, is a key distinctive feature of the cell wall used to identify bacteria as either Gram-negative or -positive using Gram-staining.

Furthermore, it continues to serve as a cornerstone in developing target-based antibiotics.<sup>27-30</sup> The heterogeneity of peptidoglycan offers the advantage of establishing whether Gram-negative or Gram-positive bacterial strains caused the infection. For this reason, it presents as an attractive target for designing radiotracers capable of accurately detecting infection with a possible identification of the causative pathogen, especially for pathogens that are difficult to cultivate or target, such as those hiding in biofilms.<sup>23-26,31</sup> This is critical in conditions where multiple species of pathogens are present after initial antimicrobial therapy, as the infection may become more complex. Trying to image and treat all strains simultaneously can lead to challenges in determining the most effective treatment regimen. Hence, targeting specific strains helps simplify the approach and allows for patienttailored antibiotic therapy, a highly advocated strategy for addressing the continuing rise of antibiotic resistance.<sup>32</sup> For example, antibiotic-based radiotracers such as [<sup>99m</sup>Tc]vancomycin, which inhibit the peptidoglycan biosynthesis, have been studied for the selective imaging of Gram-positive bacteria. However, issues of high background activity and low sensitivity have hampered their successful translation into the clinical management of infections.<sup>33</sup>

The many possibilities of peptidoglycan biosynthesis targeting for bacteria-specific imaging have been vastly demonstrated with fluorescence-based peptidoglycan precursors, with recent advances in development approaches outlined in exceptionally detailed reviews.<sup>34–37</sup> These reviews introduce peptidoglycan precursors as a new target of molecular imaging probes for the bacterial cell wall. Herewith, we summarize the development and applications of radiolabeled peptidoglycan precursors for SPECT and PET. In addition, examples from fluorescent-based probes will highlight unexplored peptidoglycan biochemical processes with the potential for specific targeting. We also aim to address the strategic approach to radiolabeled probe design and validation and present the current challenges hampering research into peptidoglycan targeting radiotracers for infection imaging.



**Figure 2.** Proposed pathways of peptidoglycan biosynthesis and potential precursors for designing radiotracers or probes for imaging. Adapted from ref 34 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry. Created with BioRender.com.

# 2. OVERVIEW OF PEPTIDOGLYCAN BIOSYNTHESIS AND RECYCLING PATHWAY AS A POTENTIAL TARGET

The biochemical process of the peptidoglycan biosynthesis begins in the bacterial cytoplasm (Figure 2), where uridine diphosphate-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) is enzymatically transformed to UDP-N-acetylmuramic acid (UDP-MurNAc) by UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase (MurA) and UDP-N-acetylenolpyruvoyl glucosamine reductase (MurB). This reaction is followed by the sequential addition of amino acids to UDP-MurNAc to form a peptide stem of the peptidoglycan facilitated by Mur ligases. First, Lalanine (L-Ala) is covalently attached to the UDP-MurNAc via UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-Ala ligase (MurC), followed by the addition of D-glutamate (D-Glu) in position 2 via UDP-Nacetylmuramoyl-L-alanine-D-glutamate ligase (MurD). Position 3 is then occupied by either meso-diaminopimelate (A2pm or DAP) or L-lysine (L-Lys), facilitated by UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanyl-D-glutamate-2,6-diaminopimelate ligase

(MurE). The addition of a D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide substrate in positions 4 and 5 of the UDP-MurNAc-tripeptide is catalyzed by UDP-*N*-acetylmuramoyl-tripeptide-D-alanyl-D-alanine ligase (MurF) and results in the formation of a UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (L-Ala- $\gamma$ -D-Glu-meso-A2pm/L-Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala) precursor.<sup>38-40</sup>

The second step occurs in the periplasmic membrane, where the phospho-*N*-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide transferase (MraY) attaches the UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide to the membrane-embedded undecaprenyl phosphate carrier lipid (C55-P), resulting in the formation of C55-pyrophosphoryl (PP)-MurNAc-pentapeptide (lipid I).<sup>41,42</sup> Thereafter, lipid I is further processed by *N*-acetylglucosaminyl transferase (MurG), adding a GlcNAc moiety to form a C55-PP-GlcNAc-MurNAcpentapeptide (lipid II), followed by a subsequent flippase (MraJ) transportation to the periplasmic space.<sup>43–45</sup> The third step occurs in the periplasmic space, catalyzed by bifunctional penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). The glycosyltransferases (GTases) and transpeptidases (TPs) add lipid II to the growing peptidoglycan strand by polymerization of alternating sugar moieties<sup>40,46</sup> to form a rigid peptidoglycan macromolecular layer.<sup>39,47</sup>

During cell elongation and proliferation, the bacteria rearrange the cell membrane by enzymatic decomposition of the peptidoglycan chain using transglycosylases and endopeptidases.<sup>48,49</sup> The primary byproducts of this process include GlcNAc-anhMurNAc-tetrapeptides, which enter the cytoplasmic area using AmpG permease for re-use in peptidoglycan synthesis.<sup>50,51</sup> Once inside the cytoplasm, the N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase (AmpD) hydrolyzes the tetrapeptide from the glycan strand.<sup>52,53</sup> Subsequently, LD-carboxypeptidase (LdcA) cleaves the terminal D-Ala in position 4, resulting in a tripeptide.<sup>54,55</sup> The tripeptide is then enzymatically linked to GlcNAc by murein peptide ligase (Mpl), forming a UDP-MurNAc tripeptide.56,57 Alternatively, the tripeptide precursor is further digested into single amino acids by murein peptide amidase (MpaA), which then re-enters the peptidoglycan biosynthesis pathway.58,59

Since the heterogeneity and complexity of the peptidoglycan biosynthesis and its recycling pathway involve multiple steps facilitated by the interaction of numerous precursors and enzymes, multiple strategies may be followed to develop tools for specifically targeting bacterial cell walls. In particular, the possibility for peptidoglycan precursor-based probes using different fluorophores for cell wall studies and diagnostic purposes using modern molecular techniques should be emphasized. The next part of this Review will provide an overview of radiolabeled peptidoglycan-based precursors for infection imaging and highlight potential precursors or derivatives, with examples from fluorescence imaging.

## 3. EMERGING RADIOTRACERS TARGETING PEPTIDOGLYCAN BIOSYNTHESIS

Table 1 summarizes the mechanism of action-based characteristics of relevant radiotracers, discussed in detail in the following sections, including their *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation.

**3.1.** Amino Acids-Based Probes. Amino acids are required for several biochemical processes in mammalian and bacterial cells, with the latter showing more preference for D-amino acids than L-amino acids.<sup>60</sup> A decade of research has been dedicated demonstrating the capability of the bacteria to utilize exogenous D-amino acids for peptidoglycan biosynthesis.<sup>61</sup> This process takes place either in the periplasm by an exchange of D-amino acid at the terminal D-Ala of the peptide stem or in the cytoplasm via *de novo* synthesis of peptide precursors, facilitated by LD-transpeptidase and D-alanine-D-alanine ligase (Ddl) activity, respectively<sup>59,62-65</sup> (Figure 2).

Neumann et al.<sup>66</sup> characterized *in vitro* bacterial uptake of D- $[^{14}C]$ methionine (D-Met), D- $[^{14}C]$ valine (D-Val), and D- $[^{14}C]$ phenylalanine (D-Phe), and significantly more cell incorporation was seen with D- $[^{14}C]$ Met for both *E. coli* (Gram-negative) and *S. aureus* (Gram-positive) bacteria. These results concur with those observed in another study by Kwak.<sup>67</sup> The possible explanation for the 6–10-fold higher accumulation over D-Val/D-Phe might be because the metabolism of D-Met is independent of the bacterial growth rate.<sup>63</sup> Based on this finding, the group further explored D-Met synthesized by reacting [ $^{11}C$ ]CH<sub>3</sub>I with a D-homocysteine thiolactone precursor, for PET/CT imaging using mice bearing *E. coli* and *S. aureus*<sup>66</sup> (Figure 3). High uptake of D-methyl-[ $^{11}C$ ]methionine (D-[ $^{11}C$ ]Met) was reported in both Grampositive (0.96%ID/cc) and Gram-negative (0.78%ID/cc)

bacteria strains, with significant reduction obtained by coincubation with unlabeled D-Met, suggesting that incorporation was specific to activate the metabolic pathway. The PET/CT imaging of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met showed >2.5-fold higher counts and selectivity for infection over inflammation using murine myositis model in comparison to L-[methyl-<sup>11</sup>C]methionine (L-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met). Non-specific D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met accumulation was observed in the lungs and the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts.<sup>66</sup>

Subsequently, the group of Stewart et al.<sup>68</sup> optimized the radiosynthesis yield of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met using D-homocysteine precursor, and specific uptake was observed in various Gramnegative and -positive pathogens. Similarly, Muranaka et al.<sup>69</sup> showed superior detection sensitivity of S-methyl-[<sup>3</sup>H]-Dmethionine  $(D-[^{3}H]Met)$  with >2-fold higher infection/ background ratio over [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG using a lung infection model in mice. Based on these findings, Polvoy et al.<sup>70</sup> successfully reported the first clinical translation of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met in prosthetic joint infections (PJIs), and the data indicated significant (p < 0.0001) focal infection localization with low background signal using PET/MRI scan (Figure 4). Although the results are promising, the probe application might be limited to musculoskeletal infections due to the high background signal observed in soft tissues. Following the positive prospects of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met in a clinical setting, D-[<sup>18</sup>F-CF<sub>3</sub>]-methionine was developed to mitigate the logistics challenges associated with the shorter half-life of the  $[^{11}C]$ . Despite the bacterial uptake assays not being performed, the low radiochemical yield obtained necessitates further optimization.71

The same group synthesized  $D-3-[^{11}C]$ alanine ( $D-[^{11}C]Ala$ ) through the alkylation of a glycine-derived Schiff-base precursor with [<sup>11</sup>C]methyl iodide (Figure 5). Bacterial metabolism of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala was reported in different Gramnegative and positive bacterial strains with high in vivo sensitivity and selectivity (>3.5-fold %ID/g) obtained in comparison to [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG and [<sup>68</sup>Ga]citrate<sup>72</sup> (Figure 6). The study further reported possible applications in detecting spinal infections, pneumonia, and infections with antibiotic-resistant strains, which is currently challenging in the clinical setting. Similar in vitro results were obtained using D-2,3-[<sup>3</sup>H]Ala. However, the group did not further pursue the in vivo biodistribution investigations of the probe.<sup>67,69</sup> Based on these promising results, for the first time, an <sup>18</sup>F-labeled alanine derivative (D-[<sup>18</sup>F-CF<sub>3</sub>]alanine) was reported for infection imaging. Unfortunately, the probe performed less than expected, with low specific activity and bacterial incorporation.<sup>71</sup>

Bacteria can also incorporate D-amino acids with large aromatic substituents, including D-phenylalanine (D-Phe), Dtyrosine (D-Trp), and D-tryptophan (D-Tyr), as substrates for transpeptidation.<sup>59,62</sup> Similarly,<sup>66</sup> Kwak<sup>67</sup> investigated the bacterial uptake of D-[<sup>14</sup>C]Phe, which showed specific uptake despite its lower rate compared to D-[<sup>14</sup>C]Met using *E. coli*. However, this study further synthesized (*R*)-2-amino-3-(4-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluorophenyl)propanoic acid, an analog of D-Phe by substitution of a proton with [<sup>18</sup>F], to test the changes in bacterial uptake studies.<sup>73</sup> According to the results, the replacement of <sup>14</sup>C with <sup>18</sup>F did not affect the uptake of D-Phe, and a significant specificity (>14-fold higher than [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG) was observed in *E. coli* cultures. The study demonstrated the feasibility of D-[<sup>18</sup>F]Phe in bacteria-specific Table 1. Mechanism of Action-Based Characteristics of Relevant Radiotracers Including Their In Vitro and In Vivo Evaluation<sup>a</sup>

| Comment Refs   | han [ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG and L- 66–71<br>for use in clinical settings<br>spected prosthetic joint | [ <sup>18</sup> F]  | $\begin{bmatrix} {}^{18}F \end{bmatrix}$ lation observed, but low 67, 69, ce after ${}^{18}F$ -substitution 71, 72 | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69,</li> <li>ce after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>cate feet feet feet feet feet feet feet f</li></ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69,</li> <li>c. after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>c. after</li> <li>c. after 67</li> <li>on imaging specificity 75</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, ce after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 67</li> <li>an imaging specificity 75</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, 71, 72</li> <li>ce after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>ake 67</li> <li>on imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, ce after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>anke 67</li> <li>on imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>re-targeting labeling with 123</li> <li>CO</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, calter <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>ake 67</li> <li>on imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]EDG 66, 67</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]EDG 66, 67</li> <li>re-targeting labeling with 123</li> <li>20</li> <li>gative results in practice 69, 78</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>Iation observed, but low 67, 69, caller <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>and the four second state of 67</li> <li>and imaging specificity 75</li> <li>and [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>and [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 78</li> <li>ban [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>ban [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>bhan [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>Iation observed, but low 67, 69, calter <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>iake 67</li> <li>in imaging specificity 75</li> <li>in imaging specificity 75</li> <li>f<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>co</li> <lico< li=""> <li>co</li> <li>co</li> <li>co</li></lico<></ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, calter <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>and imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]EDG 66, 67</li> <li>fe-targeting labeling with 123</li> <li>cO</li> <li>gative results in practice 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]EDG 69, 78</li> <li>han and specificity 75</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, calter <sup>18</sup>F-substitution 71, 72</li> <li>ake 67</li> <li>an imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>setargeting labeling with 123</li> <li>CO</li> <li>agtive results in practice 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>han ad specificity 75</li> <li>on trast and specificity 75</li> <li>on trast and specificity 75</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low</li> <li>67, 69,</li> <li>re after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution</li> <li>67, 60,</li> <li>rake</li> <li>67, 60,</li> <li>75</li> <li>an imaging specificity</li> <li>75</li> <li>fF]FDG</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>han and specificity</li> <li>75</li> <li>in log-phase bacteria</li> <li>78</li> <li>culture</li> <li>culture</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>[<sup>18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, 71, 72</li> <li>ake 67</li> <li>an imaging specificity 75</li> <li>nan [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>re-targeting labeling with 123</li> <li>20</li> <li>gative results in practice 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 69, 78</li> <li>in log-phase bacteria 78</li> <li>lation in the stationary- 78</li> <li>culture</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low 67, 69, 71, 72</li> <li>anke 67</li> <li>on imaging specificity 75</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 66, 67</li> <li>re-targeting labeling with 123</li> <li>CO</li> <li>agtive results in practice 69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG 75</li> <li>o calture 78</li> <li>o cell wall</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>18</sup>F-substitution</li> <li><sup>67</sup>, 69, 73</li> <li><sup>67</sup>, 67</li> <li><sup>67</sup></li> <li><sup>68</sup></li> <li><sup>68</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>69</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>69</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>69</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>69</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>69</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>71</sup></li> <li><sup>72</sup></li> <li><sup>73</sup></li> <li><sup>75</sup></li> <li><sup>75</sup></li> <li><sup>75</sup></li> <li><sup>76</sup></li> <li><sup>77</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>78</sup></li> <li><sup>79</sup></li> &lt;</ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low</li> <li>67, 69,</li> <li>re after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution</li> <li>67</li> <li>71, 72</li> <li>an imaging specificity</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>78</li> <li>an imaging labeling with</li> <li>123</li> <li>50</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>78</li> <li>a vide variety of Gram</li> <li>72</li> <li>trains</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low</li> <li>67, 69,</li> <li>re after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution</li> <li>67</li> <li>of</li> <li>an imaging specificity</li> <li>75</li> <li>min [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>64</li> <li>at the terminal before</li> <li>93</li> <li>o cell wall</li> <li>a wide variety of Gram</li> <li>22</li> <li>trains</li> <li>e before integration into</li> <li>93</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low</li> <li>67, 69,</li> <li>re after <sup>18</sup>F-substitution</li> <li>67</li> <li>on imaging specificity</li> <li>75</li> <li>ni maging specificity</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>73</li> <li>han [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>73</li> <li>a vide variety of Gram</li> <li>72</li> <li>trains</li> <li>e before integration into</li> <li>93</li> <li>dia moiety degraded</li> <li>93</li> </ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[18</sup>F]</li> <li>lation observed, but low</li> <li>67, 69,</li> <li>rake</li> <li>67</li> <li>an imaging specificity</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>78</li> <li>man [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>66, 67</li> <li>78</li> <li>man [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG</li> <li>69, 78</li> <li>mater and specificity</li> <li>75</li> <li>76</li> <li>77</li> <li>78</li> <li>79</li> <li>78</li> <li>78</li> <li>78</li> <li>78</li></ul> | <ul> <li><sup>[4</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[4</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[4</sup>F]</li> <li><sup>[4</sup>F-substitution</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7, 69,</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>8</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9</li> <li><sup>7</sup>8</li> <li><sup>13</sup>F]FDG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>8</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>8</sup>8</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>8</sup>8</li> <li><sup>113</sup>F]FDG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>7</li> <li><sup>8</sup>7</li> <li><sup>8</sup>8</li> <li><sup>113</sup>F]FDG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>113</sup>F]FDG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>135</sup>FJEG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>135</sup>FJEG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>113</sup>FJFJG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>135</sup>FJEG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>123</sup></li> <li><sup>135</sup>FJEG</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>132</sup></li> <li><sup>6</sup>9, 78</li> <li><sup>7</sup>1, 79</li> <li><sup>7</sup>1, 99</li> <li><sup>7</sup>1, 97, 99</li> </ul> |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| oad More sensitive tha<br>spectrum [ <sup>1,1</sup> C]Met<br>Shows promise foi<br>Patients with susp<br>infections<br>Low RCY with [ <sup>11</sup><br>oad Highest accumulat<br>spectrum bacterial uptake | oad Highest accumulat<br>spectrum bacterial uptake   |   | coli Non-specific uptal  | coli Bacterial infection  | aureus  | coli Higher uptake tha  | coli, S. D-azidoalanine pre<br>aureus [ <sup>18</sup> F]sulfo-DBCC  | <i>coli</i> Possible false nega  | coli More sensitive tha  | <i>coli</i> Poor imaging cont  | aureus   | coli Highest uptake in culture  | coli Highest accumulat<br>phase bacteria c   |  | coli Dipeptide degrade<br>integration into   | oad Accumulation in a spectrum (+) and (–) str  |   | coli Tripeptide stable l<br>cell wall  | coli Tetrapeptide D-Ale<br>before integratio  |   | coli Purified MraY pro   |  |
| Amino Acid Precursors<br>Murine myositis model D-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Met vs L-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Met, lung-infection model F<br>[ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG vs D-[ <sup>3</sup> H]Met<br>Live and/or heat-killed  |  | Murine myositis model uptake/biodistribution ([ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG vs [ <sup>68</sup> Ga]citrate F vs D-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Ala)<br>Live and/or heat-killed<br>D-Ala was further tested in vertebral discitis/osteomyelitis model,<br>pneumonia lung infection model, and an antimicrobial therapy model | NA CONTRACTOR I  | murine myositis model   | Live or heat-killed (p-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Gln vs L-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Gln vs [ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG)   | NA  | NA  | Myositis infection model   | Lung-infection-model ([ <sup>18</sup> F]FDG vs L-[ <sup>3</sup> H]Met)   | Murine myositis model  | Live vs heat killed (L-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Gln vs D-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Gln)   | NA  | NA   | Dipeptide Precursors   | NA   | IA  | Oligopeptide precursors   | NA   | NA I  | Park's Nucleotide Precursor   | NA I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I   |  |
| Uptake/competition<br>studies  |  | Competition studies   | Uptake/competition<br>studies  | Uptake/competition<br>studies   | Live vs heat-killed   | Uptake/competition<br>studies   | Metabolic click<br>chemistry assay  | Uptake/competition<br>studies  | Uptake studies   | Uptake/competition<br>studies  | Live vs heat-killed  | Uptake studies  | Uptake studies   |  | Uptake/competition<br>studies  | Uptake studies, live vs<br>heat-killed bacteria   |   | Uptake/competition<br>studies  | Uptake/competition<br>studies   |   | Enzymatic studies  |  |
| TPs/Ddl  |  | TPs/Ddl   | TPs/Ddl  | TPs/Ddl   |   | TPs/Ddl   | TPs/Ddl   | Racemases  | Racemases  | Racemases  |  | Racemases   | Racemases  |  | DId  | MurF  |   | Mpl  | Mpl   |   | MraY   |  |
| Extracellular/intracellular<br>transnentidation  |  | Extracellular/intracellular<br>transpeptidation   | Extracellular/intracellular<br>transpeptidation  | Extracellular/intracellular<br>transpeptidation   |   | Extracellular/intracellular<br>transpeptidation   | Extracellular/intracellular<br>transpeptidation   | Intracellular racemization   | Intracellular racemization   | Intracellular racemization   |  | Intracellular racemization  | Intracellular racemization   |  | Intracellular peptide stem<br>synthesis  | Intracellular peptide stem<br>synthesis   |   | Intracellular peptide stem<br>synthesis  | Intracellular peptide stem<br>synthesis   |   | Intracellular lipid I<br>synthesis   |  |
|  | D-[ <sup>3</sup> H]/[ <sup>11</sup> C]/[ <sup>18</sup> F]<br>methionine                            | D-[ <sup>3</sup> H]/[ <sup>11</sup> C]/[ <sup>18</sup> F]<br>alanine  | D-[ <sup>3</sup> H]glutamate   | D-[ <sup>11</sup> C]glutamine   |   | D-[ <sup>3</sup> H]/[ <sup>18</sup> F]<br>phenylalanine   | D-[ <sup>18</sup> F]azidoalanine  | L-[ <sup>3</sup> H]alanine   | L-[ <sup>3</sup> H]methionine  | L-[ <sup>11</sup> C]glutamine  |  | L-[ <sup>3</sup> H]glutamic acid  | L-[ <sup>3</sup> H]histidine   |  | [ <sup>3</sup> H]-A2pm-D-Ala   | D-[ <sup>11</sup> C]Ala-D-Ala   |   | L-Ala-D-Glu-[ <sup>3</sup> H]<br>A2pm  | L-Ala-D-Glu-[ <sup>3</sup> H]<br>A2pm-D-Ala   |   | UDP-MurNAc-[ <sup>14</sup> C]<br>pentapeptide  |  |

| Radiotracer  | Proposed mechanism of action                             | Key<br>enzymes | In vitro binding to pathogens | In vivo evaluation  | Bacterial<br>species | Comment   | Refs |
|--|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|------|
| 'C]GlcNAc, lipid<br>II                                 | Extracellular<br>transglycosylation/<br>transpeptidation | TGase          | Enzymatic studies             | Lipid Precursor<br>NA   | E. coli              | PBP1A is a key enzyme in peptidoglycan<br>synthesis | 104  |
|  |  |                |                               | Glycan Core Precursors  |                      |   |      |
| H]<br>acetylglucosamine                                | Intracellular<br>transglycosylation                      | MurG           | Uptake studies                | NA  | E. coli              | Rapid bacterial uptake                              | 93   |
| <sup>3</sup> F]<br>fluoroacetamido-D-<br>glucopyranose | Intracellular<br>transglycosylation                      | MurG           | Uptake/competition<br>studies | Murine myositis model infection vs sterile inflammation ([ $^{18}\rm F]FAG$ vs [ $^{18}\rm F]FDG$ ) | E. coli              | Clearly visualized infections, not<br>inflammations | 112  |
| bbreviations: R(                                       | CY = radiochemical yield;                                | ; E. coli = E  | scherichia coli Gram-ne       | gative; S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus Gram-positive; NA = 1                                     | not applical         | ole.  |      |

Table 1. continued



**Figure 3.** Radiosynthesis of D-methyl-[<sup>11</sup>C]methionine. Reproduced with permission from ref 66, published 2017 under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

targeting, which warrants further investigation in mouse infection models and PET/CT imaging.

In many Gram-positive strains, D-glutamate is converted to D-glutamine (D-Gln) at glutaminase's second position of the peptidoglycan precursor.<sup>74</sup> Kwak<sup>67</sup> reported high bacterial uptake of D-[<sup>3</sup>H]glutamate, blocked by co-administration of unlabeled glutamate, indicating non-specific uptake. A similar experimental design was used in another study by Renick et al.,<sup>75</sup> utilizing D-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]glutamine (D-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]Gln) synthesized by a two-step approach by reacting tert-butyl-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-iodobutanoate with [<sup>11</sup>C]HCN, followed by deprotection of the nitrile intermediate (Figure 7). The in vitro investigations of D-5-[11C]Gln showed high uptake in live methicillin-resistant S. aureus and E. coli, which was inhibited with increasing concentrations of unlabeled reference (Figure 8). The PET/CT image-guided tracer biodistribution using a dual myositis mouse model showed 1.64-fold higher infectionto-background ratios for both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. Unlike L-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]Gln, the D-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]Gln signal also allows for the differentiation of the infection from inflammation (induced heat-killed bacteria).

In addition, investigations of PBP enzymatic reactions were conducted using unlabeled lipid II and D-amino acids. PBP recognized the D-enantiomers and not the L-enantiomers, suggesting that the reaction occurs at the amines in the alphaamino group and not the epsilon-HH<sub>2</sub> moiety, with inhibitory effects observed with the addition of  $\beta$ -lactams.<sup>59,62,76</sup>, Interestingly, previous studies have reported the potential of bacteria cells to make use of L-amino acids as the precursors for cell wall targeting, with uptake observed with L-2,3-[Smethyl-<sup>3</sup>H]methionine (L-[<sup>3</sup>H]Met), L-[<sup>3</sup>H]alanine (L-[<sup>3</sup>H]Ala), L-2,3,4-[<sup>3</sup>H]glutamic acid (L-[<sup>3</sup>H]Glu), L-2,5-[<sup>3</sup>H]histidine (L-[<sup>3</sup>H]His), and L-3-[<sup>11</sup>C]alanine (L-[<sup>11</sup>C]-Ala).<sup>68,69,78</sup> Contrasting results were obtained in another study with [<sup>3</sup>H]-L-Met, with no accumulation observed at the infected site, and this discrepancy is hypothesized to be due to the use of different bacterial strains.<sup>66,69</sup> This non-stereoselectivity is due to the ability of bacteria to convert L-amino acids to D-amino acids intracellularly using the amino acid racemase pathway for metabolic incorporation into the peptidoglycan. This hypothesis was supported by a previous study that showed a significant accumulation of intracellular Lalanine pools over D-amino acids in cells treated with D-alanine racemase alanine antibiotic (D-cycloserine).<sup>79</sup> However, experimental studies are required to validate peptidoglycan metabolic incorporation of radiolabeled L-amino acids in bacteria.<sup>80-83</sup> Despite the results, biodistribution studies using mouse infection models demonstrated similar target-to-nontarget (T/NT) ratios of ~1 for both L- and D-amino acids, therefore limiting the sensitivity of PET/CT diagnostics for bacterial infection.75,7

D-Amino acids are incorporated through the cytoplasmic pathway mediated by Ddl and MurF enzymes.<sup>84</sup> Alternatively,



**Figure 4.** PET/MRI images of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met of a patient with suspected PJI. The yellow arrow indicates the MRI scan (A and D). Red and white arrows indicate the localization of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Met in the infected joint area by using PET (B and E) and PET/MRI (C and F), respectively. Reprinted with permission from ref 70, published 2022 under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Figure 5. Radiosynthesis of D-3-[<sup>11</sup>C]alanine. Reproduced with permission from ref 72. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.



**Figure 6.** PET/CT biodistribution and corresponding *ex vivo* tissue data of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala, [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG, and [<sup>68</sup>Ga]citrate in an acute infection mouse model inoculated with live bacteria (red arrows) and heat-killed bacteria (white arrows). Reprinted with permission from ref 72. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

Kuru et al.<sup>85</sup> proposed periplasmic transpeptidase as the main pathway of D-amino acids incorporation in the peptidoglycan, with reduced fluorescence intensity observed in  $\beta$ -lactams and

D-cycloserine (DCS)-treated bacteria including L- and D-TP mutant strains. Moreover, this study further disputes the cytoplasmic pathway metabolism with a persisting fluorescence

$$1 \xrightarrow{i}_{\tilde{N}HBoc} 1) \begin{bmatrix} 1^{11}C]HCN/DMF/10^{\circ}C \\ 2) 18-C-6/CsHCO_3/DMF \\ 90^{\circ}C \end{bmatrix} N^{11}C \xrightarrow{i}_{\tilde{N}HBoc} 1)TFA/H_2SO_4/90^{\circ}C \\ H_2N^{11}C \xrightarrow{i}_{\tilde{N}H_2} 0 + H_2N^{11}C \xrightarrow{i}_{\tilde{N}H_$$

Figure 7. Radiosynthesis of D-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]glutamine. Reproduced with permission from ref 75. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.



**Figure 8.** PET/CT scans with corresponding *ex vivo* biodistribution of  $D-5-[^{11}C]Gln$  (A and C) vs  $L-5-[^{11}C]Gln$  (B and D) in healthy baseline and infected mice: inoculated with live methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) (green arrow), *E. coli* (EC) (red arrow), and heat-killed bacteria (HK) (yellow arrow). Reprinted with permission from ref 75. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.



Figure 9. Radiosynthesis of D-3-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala-D-Ala. Reproduced with permission from ref 72. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.

intensity observed for wild-type and Ddl mutant cells. Based on these findings, the possibility of one- or two-way pathway involvement in bacterial cell incorporation of D-amino acids is substantiated.

Overall, several pre-clinical studies have demonstrated the feasibility of radiolabeled D-amino acids as PET/CT infection imaging agents with prospects for clinical translation. However, one concern noted is the observed active metabolism by the microbiome and other non-infected organs, which might result in data misinterpretation. A limitation would also be the ability of fungi to utilize D-amino acids in several metabolic activities, including carbon and nitrogen absorption.<sup>86,87</sup> In particular, D-amino acid-based PET tracers such as D-5-[<sup>11</sup>C]Gln have been shown to image fungal infection with high specificity.<sup>88</sup> Consequently, the ability of the relevant tracers to differentiate bacterial infections from fungal infections in the clinical setting would be compromised. In addition, the development of <sup>18</sup>F-

labeled D-amino acids is hampered by poor radiochemical yield and possible defluorination *in vivo*.<sup>71,89</sup> Despite the mentioned limitations, the reported tolerance of LD-transpeptidase to different modifications at the C-terminal creates an opportunity to design a library of D-amino acid analogs with more optimized radiosynthesis and a favorable output of primary pharmacology. Moreover, the differentiation of pathogen-(bacteria vs fungi), bacterial species-, or phenotype-specific PET imaging might be realized, thus improving guided therapy and identifying antibiotic-resistant strains.<sup>72,90,91</sup>

**3.2.** D-Amino Acid Dipeptide-Based Probes. During peptidoglycan biosynthesis, metabolic incorporation of the D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide at the tripeptide terminal by MurF results in a pentapeptide chain (lipid I), which is ultimately incorporated into the existing peptidoglycan chain. This early-stage pathway has been targeted for cell wall imaging using a fluorescent D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide analog.<sup>85</sup> The



Figure 10. Radiosynthesis of 2-deoxy-2-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluoroacetamido-D-glucopyranose. Reproduced with permission from ref 112. Copyright 2011 Elsevier Inc.

successful incorporation of D-Ala-D-Ala also requires binding compatibility with the MurF enzyme, which has high specificity for the C-terminal residue. This was demonstrated by the previous work with high bacterial uptake of fluorescencetagged D-amino acid dipeptide modified at the N-terminus compared to C-terminal-modified probes reported.<sup>85,92</sup> By adapting the same labeling strategy, Parker et al.<sup>72</sup> developed a D-amino dipeptide for cell wall targeting by successfully synthesizing a D-3- $[^{11}C]$ alanyl-D-alanine (D- $[^{11}C]$ Ala-D-Ala) probe via <sup>11</sup>C-alkylation of the N-terminus of a D-Ala-D-Ala glycine Schiff precursor followed by deprotection of the amine groups (Figure 9). The *in vitro* screening of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala-D-Ala showed uptake of 9 Bq/ $10^6$  cfu in *E. coli*, which was nearly quantitatively inhibited in heat-killed culture sample. In addition, a partial in vitro screen using D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala-D-Ala showed uptake levels ranging from 5 to 35 Bq/ $10^6$  cfu in several other bacteria, which justifies further evaluation of in vivo imaging by PET/CT.

In another study by Goodell,<sup>93</sup> a [<sup>3</sup>H]A2pm-D-Ala dipeptide was incorporated into the bacterial cell wall at a slow rate. This might explain the lower uptake of D-[<sup>11</sup>C]Ala-D-Ala in comparison to  $D-[^{11}C]$ Ala reported in a previous study.<sup>72</sup> The study suggested periplasmic degradation of the dipeptide into A2pm and D-Ala as substrates of carboxypeptidase before transportation into the cytoplasm for re-integration into the downstream pathway by the Dld enzyme. This indicates a limit of D-amino acid dipeptide-based probes in cell wall targeting due to possible reduction in labeling signals, also reported previously for a fluorescent-based tracer with the addition of peptidoglycan-digesting enzyme (lysozyme).<sup>92</sup> Unfortunately, bacteria have a perpetual built-in resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam-based antibiotics by substituting terminal D-alanine with a variety of D-amino acids, including D-lactate and D-serine.<sup>94,95</sup> Therefore, to address the mentioned limitation, Filp et al.<sup>96</sup> have reported the synthesis of a variety of <sup>11</sup>C-labeled D-amino acid dipeptides substituted with different amino acids at the terminal residue, which could indeed preserve the probes from degradation by carboxypeptidases. However, efforts should be made to evaluate these dipeptide derivatives' efficacy in bacterial targeting and determine the value of PET imaging.

**3.3.** Park's Nucleotide and Lipid-Based Probes. The formation of lipid I is the initial membrane-based step of peptidoglycan biosynthesis facilitated by MraY transferase.<sup>97,98</sup> Previous studies used chemo-enzymatically synthesized UDP-MurNAc-L-[<sup>14</sup>C]Ala- $\gamma$ -D-Glu-*meso*-A2pm/Lys-D-Ala-D-Ala (UDP-MurNAc-[<sup>14</sup>C]pentapeptide) to study the biochemical properties of this enzyme. They demonstrated the MraY selectivity for UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide analogs during peptidoglycan synthesis, with high specificity observed toward nucleotide substrates with alanine as opposed to glycine in positions 1 and 4 rather than in position 5.<sup>99–101</sup> In particular, UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptides labeled with <sup>14</sup>C at the L-Ala/A2pm position in the presence of MraY led to the formation of lipid I.<sup>100</sup> Subsequently, other studies showed that UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide derivatives modified with fluorescein at

the *meso*-diaminopimelic acid (m-DAP) residue were more efficiently incorporated into the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria compared to Gram-negative bacteria.<sup>102,103</sup> This evidence strongly supports the further investigation of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled UDP-MurNAc-peptide probes for specific targeting, with possible differentiation of infections caused by Grampositive and -negative strains, although PET/CT-suitable probes using <sup>18</sup>F and <sup>11</sup>C have not been reported yet.

A further addition of lipid II to the existing murine chain generally occurs in the periplasmic space, facilitated by PBP. Born et al.<sup>104</sup> and Bertsche et al.<sup>105</sup> used the *in vitro* murine synthesis assay to study the transglycosylation and transpeptidation reactions which catalyze the cross-linking of glycan and peptide chains, respectively, using an enzymatically produced [14C]GlcNAc-labeled lipid II as PBP substrate. Consequently, the catalytic recognition and turnover of <sup>14</sup>C]GlcNAc-labeled lipid II by PBP may be an interesting strategy for bacterial characterization in vivo, which has not yet been reported. Of note, Sadamoto et al.<sup>102</sup> attempted this approach in live bacteria using fluorescently labeled lipid I/II derivatives; unfortunately, the cells did not take up these probes. The group hypothesized that the lack of uptake might be due to the low affinity of glycosyltransferases (TGase) toward lipid II analogs, with shorter lipid chains affecting transglycosylation,<sup>106</sup> or due to the high molecular weight (>1000 g/mol) of these derivatives preventing extracellular membrane permeability. As the intra- and extracellular entrapment of precursor lipids I/II is a key step in peptidoglycan synthesis,43 the latter findings might deter translation into nuclear infection imaging. Park's nucleotides and lipid-derived substrates in bacteria-targeting research are mainly limited due to the complex synthetic processes involved in developing structurally similar compounds. However, newly developed chemical and enzymatic synthesis methods have opened up the opportunity to design precursors with different modifications for the possible incorporation of radioisotopes. Therefore, further exploration in bacterial uptake studies using Gram-positive strains is required to assess their role and value for infection imaging.<sup>107,108</sup>

3.4. Carbohydrate/Glycan Core-Based Probes. Both Nacetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) and N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) are the first amino sugar substrates of the peptidoglycan biosynthesis, forming the backbone of the polymer chain. Most bacteria are capable of recycling exogenous GlcNAc and MurNAc substrates through phosphorylation by cytoplasmic kinase MurK, resulting in GlcNAc-6-phosphate for initiation of peptidoglycan biosynthesis, cell wall macromolecules (lipopolysaccharides, teichoic acids), or catabolic pathway of glycolysis.<sup>109-111</sup> Rapid uptake and integration of [<sup>3</sup>H]GlcNAc into peptidoglycan were reported in a previous study.<sup>93</sup> A follow-up study by Martínez et al.<sup>112</sup> synthesized an N-acetyl-D-glucosamine analog for bacterial uptake and imaging for the first time (Figure 10). This study used <sup>18</sup>F-labeled 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-bromoacetamido-D-glucopyranose followed by hydrolysis to synthesize 2-deoxy-2- $[^{18}$ F]fluoroacetamido-D-glucopyranose ( $[^{18}$ F]FAG). The *in vitro* and *in vivo* uptake experiments using *E. coli* showed significant incorporation of  $[^{18}$ F]FAG compared to its counterpart  $[^{18}$ F]FDG, which made it possible to distinguish bacterial infection from sterile inflammation (with a T/NT of 1.68) by PET/CT imaging (Figure 11).



**Figure 11.** PET localization of  $[^{18}F]FAG$  and  $[^{18}F]FDG$  in rats bearing bacterial infection or sterile inflammation. The white arrows indicate the accumulation of  $[^{18}F]FAG$  (A and C) and  $[^{18}F]FDG$  (B and D) at the sites of infection (A and B) and inflammation (C and D). Reproduced with permission from ref 112. Copyright 2011 Elsevier Inc.

A study by Hu et al.<sup>113</sup> revealed that hydroxyl groups are essential for binding GlcNAc to MurG. Therefore, the <sup>18</sup>Facetyl conjugation strategy did not affect the binding properties of  $[^{18}F]FAG$ . This might be due to the acetyl group's inability to participate in the binding interface of MurG, as previously reported, allowing the synthesis of [18F]FAG-containing lipid II for ultimate incorporation into peptidoglycan; however, more work is required to solidify the route of incorporation.<sup>114,115</sup> Despite the promising results, the probe showed non-specific hepatic and pulmonary uptake. Carroll et al.<sup>116</sup> developed a library of <sup>18</sup>F-labeled glucosamine derivatives using different prosthetic groups. However, the probes showed unfavorable pharmacokinetics, including elevated background signals and *in vivo* defluorination. To address these short-comings, Sadamoto et al.<sup>108</sup> synthesized and demonstrated bacterial uptake of GlcNAc-1-phosphate derivatives modified with a ketone at the N-acetyl position, which presents an attractive alternative to reduce the background noise. Although the hydroxyl groups are required for recognition of  $[^{18}F]FAG$ by MurG, the study reported significant association with lactobaccilli of Alexa-Fluor-488-acetylated glucosamine derivatives due to increased hydrophobicity, followed by the intracellular removal of the N-acetyl group for ultimate incorporation into the cell wall.<sup>108</sup> As a result, this supports the investigation of 1,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoroacetamido-D-glucopyranose as a potential glucosamine derivative for cell wall targeting, which was not previously evaluated.112

A novel recycling pathway unique to *Pseudomonas putida* involving direct integration of UDP-MurNAc into *de novo* synthesis without requiring UDP-GlcNAc as a substrate has been documented.<sup>117</sup> This was demonstrated by direct bacterial cell wall incorporation of MurNAc derivatives

fluorescently labeled at the *N*-acetyl termini using click chemistry;<sup>118</sup> however, no research has been attempted to develop radioactive MurNAc derivatives for direct *in vivo* diagnostic imaging. Unlike [<sup>18</sup>F]FAG which is metabolized by mammalian cells,<sup>119</sup> a MurNAc-based radiolabeled probe will potentially increase the specificity and selectivity of the bacterial foci, as it is unique to bacteria. A plausible radiolabeling strategy could be explored with tolerated modifications at the *N*-acetyl terminus of the MurNAc residue using <sup>18</sup>F- or <sup>11</sup>C-labeling, enabling targeting and imaging of peptidoglycan biosynthesis using PET/CT.<sup>118</sup>

3.5. Oligopeptide-Based Probes. One essential pathway of peptidoglycan biosynthesis is the integration of oligopeptides shed from the existing polymer chain into the cytosol to be re-used in the formation of the de novo peptidoglycan polymer chain. To understand this pathway, Goodell<sup>93</sup> reported uptake in E. coli for the L-Ala-D-Glu-meso-A2pmtripeptide and the L-Ala-D-Glu-A2pm-D-Ala-tetrapeptide labeled at the third position with 3,4,5-[3H]A2pm and demonstrated the enzymatic conversion into UDP-MurNActri- and pentapeptides for ultimate re-integration into the peptidoglycan chain. The study reported degradation of the tetrapeptide at the terminal residue, resulting in the tripeptide and D-Ala, suggesting that the tripeptide was responsible for labeling the cell wall. In a follow-up study, Olrichs et al.<sup>120</sup> developed an L-alanine-y-D-glutamine-L-lysine-tripeptide fluorescently labeled with N-7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl (AeK-NBD) at the lysine terminal and demonstrated that peptidoglycan incorporation was not affected by the replacement of the A2pm at position 3 with Lys. The study further showed that recycling depends entirely upon substrate recognition by Mpl, with a high specificity reported for the trior tetrapeptide composed with A2pm/L-Lys at position 3. Furthermore, substrate incorporation was inhibited in Mpl mutant bacteria.<sup>121,122</sup> Taking the observations mentioned above into account, L-Ala-D-Glu-meso-A2pm/Lys and L-Ala-D-Glu-A2pm-D-Ala are promising substrates for bacteria-specific targeting and imaging; however, their radiopharmaceutical development and value for PET imaging of infection are outstanding.

# 4. DESIGN APPROACH AND CHALLENGES FOR TRANSLATION

Developing and validating new radiotracers for more specific imaging of infection are commons goal to improve diagnosis and health care in patients. Recently, a comprehensive review was published including consensus results, expert opinions, and recommendations regarding the current research standards aiming to improve radiotracers for infection imaging.<sup>33,124</sup>

**4.1. Precursor Design.** Several factors must be considered when developing radioactive probes for specific targeting, including the feasibility of synthesizing a precursor and/or its analogs, the radiosynthesis strategy, and the *in vitro* and *in vivo* validation strategy.<sup>125</sup> In particular, tracking the peptidoglycan biosynthesis for bacterial targeting requires periplasmic or intracellular metabolism of precursors of interest by a series of enzymes for incorporation into the cell wall. Structural changes such as choice of modification site, molecular size, conjugation of radioisotopes, linker length, or geometry may affect the metabolic pathway and level of compound incorporation.<sup>126,127</sup> Interestingly, most peptidoglycan-based radioactive precursors reported here performed excellently, differentiating between infection and inflammation. However, a high background

signal reported with most radiotracers remains a concern and can compromise translation to routine clinical applications due to the high probability of false-positive outcomes. Therefore, optimization of the biodistribution profile using different structural modifications needs to be explored. It is worth mentioning that the lengthy and complex chemical and chemoenzymatic procedures during the synthesis of peptidoglycanbased precursors leave a small room for structural manipulations.<sup>37,128,129</sup> A plausible solution to mitigate these challenges and fast-track the development process requires the use of computational tools such as docking and highthroughput screening to create chemical libraries with diverse molecular adaptations that will predict how structural, chemical, physical, and physicochemical properties of molecules can alter the net cellular uptake through interaction with target enzymes and the pharmacokinetic profiling.<sup>127,130-133</sup>

**4.2. Radiolabeling Approach.** Another critical factor in the design process hinges on the choice of radioisotope (Table 2), which is influenced by the metabolic pathway of interest

Table 2. Properties of Commonly Used SPECT and PET Radioisotopes<sup>125,135,141</sup>

| Isotope           | Physical half-life, $t_{1/2}$ (h) | Decay<br>mode <sup>a</sup> | Decay<br>energy<br>(MeV) | Production | Radiolabeling<br>strategy |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| <sup>68</sup> Ga  | 1.1                               | <i>β</i> –, EC, γ          | 1.899                    | Generator  | Chelation                 |
| <sup>64</sup> Cu  | 12.7                              | EC, $\beta$ +              | 0.653                    | Cyclotron  | Chelation                 |
| <sup>18</sup> F   | 1.8                               | β+, EC                     | 0.634                    | Cyclotron  | Direct                    |
| $^{123}I$         | 13.2                              | γ, ΕС                      | 0.159                    | Cyclotron  | Direct                    |
| <sup>11</sup> C   | 0.3                               | $\beta$ +                  | 0.960                    | Cyclotron  | Direct                    |
| <sup>111</sup> In | 67.2                              | γ, ΕС                      | 0.245                    | Cyclotron  | Chelation                 |
| <sup>99m</sup> Tc | 6.0                               | γ                          | 0.141                    | Generator  | Chelation/<br>Direct      |
| $^{a}$ EC = e     | lectron cap                       | oture.                     |                          |            |                           |

(extracellular vs intracellular).<sup>134,135</sup> Often radiolabeling methods include radio-metals such as <sup>68</sup>Ga, <sup>64</sup>Cu, or <sup>111</sup>In, which may require chemical modifications by introducing bifunctional chelators such as 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) and 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA) for complexation of the precursor and radioisotope. <sup>125,132,136</sup> As a result, an ideal radiolabeling strategy in peptidoglycan biosynthesis targeting should preserve both the chemical structure and targeting moiety of

the precursor.<sup>137</sup> In this case, direct labeling using radioisotopes with long half-lives, i.e., <sup>3</sup>H or <sup>14</sup>C, is abundantly used for uncovering peptidoglycan biosynthesis and screening for potential precursors using enzymatic and bacterial uptake methods.<sup>32</sup> For application in PET/CT imaging, replacement with non-metallic radioisotopes, including <sup>11</sup>C and <sup>18</sup>F, has minimal influence on metabolic uptake and incorporating the peptidoglycan-based precursors.<sup>67,73,96</sup> These short-lived radioisotopes can present challenges during radiosynthesis, including the use of harsh conditions, which often result in unwanted byproducts and compromise the stability of the precursor. Therefore, the use of click chemistry with different prosthetic groups may be an alternate radiosynthesis approach, especially applicable to <sup>18</sup>F or <sup>123</sup>I; however, this remains practically unexplored in comparison to <sup>11</sup>C.<sup>73,138–140</sup>

Click chemistry is a promising approach for *in vivo* pretargeting of infections and has been well-demonstrated with optical imaging.<sup>36</sup> This procedure involves a two-step labeling strategy which requires pre-targeting of the peptidoglycan using endocyclic nitrone-, alkyne-, or azide-modified D-amino acids derivatives, followed by subsequent visualization with a complementary fluorescent reporter using bioorthogonal reactions.<sup>142,143</sup>

Interestingly, the Wilson group pioneered using <sup>18</sup>F-labeled click chemistry with the peptidoglycan-based targeting azide-modified D-alanine derivative for the first time (Figure 12). This promising metabolic targeting approach has set a footprint for applying click chemistry in PET imaging of infection<sup>123</sup> and beyond.

4.3. In Vitro Testing. Further testing of candidate radiotracers should be carried out to investigate the effect of precursor modifications and radioisotopes on pharmacokinetics and cell wall incorporation. In vitro, bacterial uptake studies using live vs heat-inactivated cultures were reported for several of the reviewed peptidoglycan precursors. However, uptake does not necessarily prove binding selectivity and accurate representation of the peptidoglycan biosynthesis status. Therefore, competition studies with an unlabeled compound are required as the standard test in most in vitro studies.<sup>36</sup> The latter might not be sensitive enough to elucidate the proposed mechanism of integration since bacteria can use most precursors, including the D-amino acids and glycans, as building blocks in other structures (proteins, lipopolysaccharides, teichoic acids, and nucleic acids) or as a source of energy.144,145 As stated in the previous section, using



Figure 12. Click chemistry for pre-targeting radiolabeling approach for bacteria using D-azidoalanine (cell wall metabolite) followed by  $[^{18}F]$ cyclooctyne (PET "click" partner). Reproduced with permission from ref 123. Copyright Alanizi, 2021.

radioisotopes with a long half-life may be beneficial for the in vitro evaluation of various precursors with different structural modifications. This setting will require the integration of advanced techniques with high sensitivity, such as polyacrylamide high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC), polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, autoradiography, mass spectrometry, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).<sup>37,104,146-148</sup> Once potential derivatives specific to the peptidoglycan metabolism have been identified, they can be optimized for <sup>18</sup>F- and <sup>11</sup>C-radiosynthesis, followed by in vitro uptake using bacterial strain mutants of the enzymes involved in the metabolic process of interest as negative controls. This can be achieved through genetic manipulation or the use of targeted peptidoglycan inhibitors, such as anti-biotics.<sup>36,40,85</sup> Also, for specific *in vitro* tests, the radioactive compounds should be evaluated using planktonic bacterial cultures, as in the clinical setting persistent or antimicrobialresistant bacteria are often hidden in biofilms. This will improve the likeliness of translating results from pre-clinical evaluations further into the clinics.<sup>1</sup>

4.4. In Vivo Evaluation. Imaging infectious processes and discriminating them from sterile inflammation are still issues in diagnostic imaging.<sup>33</sup> However, small radiolabeled molecules with high selectivity and specificity for bacteria emerged with great potential as precise agents for non-invasive infection imaging. Despite that, their clinical translation has been limited by access and suitability to appropriate animal infection models as well as the study design that is allowed based on animal pathology or well-being.  $^{150-152}$  The variability in the choice of animal model and study design has been observed across different studies, which might pose a challenge to data interpretation and reproducibility. For example, a previous study reported bacterial uptake of  $[^{3}H]$ -L-Met using lung infection models which was not observed in a study using a murine myositis model.<sup>66,69</sup> This inconsistency could be due to a number of factors, including the use of different bacterial strains, the number of colonies inoculated, the type of animal infection model, or the imaging time points chosen during the course of infection. Therefore, to successfully provide valid pre-clinical data for clinical translation, the animal model should be standardized and reflect the pathogenesis of infectious disease in humans. Thus, further harmonizing the design and animal study requirements is recommended.<sup>151,153</sup> Furthermore, it should be considered that targeting bacteria with low metabolic activity will probably not render sufficient tracer "load" to allow for its visualization. Therefore, the correct window to employ imaging to detect or monitor infection should be considered.<sup>78</sup> Of note, antibiotics also interfere with bacterial cell wall synthesis drastically and hamper the uptake of these tracers. Inherently challenging will be detecting infections by intracellular bacteria such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Salmonella typhimurium, Listeria monocytogenes, and Legionella pneumophila.<sup>1</sup>

## 5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Enzymatic studies and functional fluorescence-based imaging have shed bright light on peptidoglycan biosynthesis and led to innovative translation of peptidoglycan-based drugs or precursors for molecular imaging. However, despite the constant novelty of fluorescence imaging, research into developing and translating radiolabeled peptidoglycan precursors remains a major undertaking, with several limitations. The latter hinges on complex chemical procedures involved in

synthesizing molecules of interest, which can restrict the radiotracer design and its adaptation with various modifications. Given the strict peptidoglycan assembly processes which is the target for possible incorporation, the described molecules are limited to radiochemistry involving covalent <sup>18</sup>F- or <sup>11</sup>Csubstitution, i.e., radioactive tagging with isotopes that require complex chemistry and a cyclotron. As a result, due to progressive limitations and the complexity of the involved infrastructure, most research laboratories may have slowed down their efforts toward furthering development and subsequent clinical translation of new radiotracers for nuclear imaging of bacterial infections. Therefore, strategies to address these challenges should include technological innovation in biology, chemistry, and radiochemistry, which can be fostered through solid collaboration and the advocacy of multidisciplinary research.

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

- Mick M. Welling Interventional Molecular Imaging Laboratory, Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, 2333 ZA Leiden, The Netherlands; Email: m.m.welling@lumc.nl
- Thomas Ebenhan Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; DSI/NWU Pre-clinical Drug Development Platform, North West University, 2520 Potchefstroom, South Africa; Email: thomas.ebenhan@ up.co.za

## Authors

- Palesa C. Koatale Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa
- Honest Ndlovu Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa
- Mankgopo Kgatle Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa
- Sipho Mdanda Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa
- Amanda Mdlophane Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa
- Ambrose Okem Department of Anaesthesia, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Witwatersrand, 2050 Johannesburg, South Africa
- John Takyi-Williams Pharmacokinetic and Mass Spectrometry Core, College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States
- Mike M. Sathekge Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa; Nuclear Medicine Research Infrastructure (NuMeRI) NPC, 0001 Pretoria, South Africa

Complete contact information is available at:

https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.3c00443

## **Author Contributions**

P. C. Koatale and T. Ebenhan conceived the idea and P. C. Koatale drafted the first edition of the manuscript. T. Ebenhan and M. M. Welling revised the manuscript for soundness, impact, and significance. All co-authors reviewed the final manuscript for input and granted permission for submission.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation DSI-NRF Innovation Doctoral Scholarship (Reference No.: MND190517436945) and the Oppenheimer Memorial Trust Award (OMT Ref. 2023-1567). We thank Drs. Ismaheel Lawal and Janke Kleynhans for their valuable input.

## ABBREVIATIONS

A2pm, 2,6-diaminopimelic acid; Ala, alanine; AmpD, Nacetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase; C55-P, undecaprenyl phosphate; CT, computed tomography; DAP, 2,6-diaminopimelic acid; Ddl, D-alanine-D-alanine ligase; DOTA, 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid; E. coli, Escherichia coli; EC, electron capture; FAG, fluoroacetamido-D-glucopyranose; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; Glu, glutamate; Gln, glutamine; GTase, glycosyltransferase; Gram (+), Gram-positive; Gram (-), Gram-negative; His, histidine; HMPAO, hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime; HPLC, highpressure liquid chromatography; LAFOV, large axial field of view; LdcA, LD-carboxypeptidase; Lys, lysine; Met, methionine; MIP, maximum intensity projection; MpaA, murein peptide amidase; Mpl, murein peptide ligase; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MurA, UDP-N-acetylglucosamine enolpyruvyl transferase; MurB, UDP-N-acetylenolpyruvoyl glucosamine reductase; MurC, UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine ligase; MurD, UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine-D-glutamate ligase; MurE, UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-Lalanyl-D-glutamate-2,6-diaminopimelate ligase; MurF, UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-tripeptide-Dalanyl-D-alanine ligase; MurG, N-acetylglucosaminyl transferase; MraY, phospho-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide-transferase; MurNAc, N-acetylmuramic acid; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; NOTA, 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7triacetic acid; PBP, penicillin-binding protein; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PET, positron emission tomography; Phe, phenylalanine; PJI, prosthetic joint infection; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PP, pyrophosphoryl; RCY, radiochemical yield; S. aureus, Staphylococcus aureus; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; TP, transpeptidase; Trp, tyrosine; Tyr, tryptophan; UBI, ubiquicidin; UDP, uridine diphosphate; US, ultrasound; Val, valine

# REFERENCES

(1) Jernigan, J. A.; Hatfield, K. M.; Wolford, H.; Nelson, R. E.; Olubajo, B.; Reddy, S. C.; McCarthy, N.; Paul, P.; McDonald, L. C.; Kallen, A.; Fiore, A.; Craig, M.; Baggs, J. Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Infections in U.S. Hospitalized Patients, 2012–2017. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, 382 (14), 1309–19.

(2) Rao, K. U.; Henderson, D. I.; Krishnan, N.; Puthia, M.; Glegola-Madejska, I.; Brive, L.; Bjarnemark, F.; Millqvist Fureby, A.; Hjort, K.; Andersson, D. I.; Tenland, E.; Sturegård, E.; Robertson, B. D.; Godaly, G. A Broad Spectrum Anti-Bacterial Peptide with an Adjunct Potential for Tuberculosis Chemotherapy. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11 (1), 4201.

(3) O'Neill, J. Antimicrobial Resistance: Tackling a Crisis for the Health and Wealth of Nations. In: *The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance London*, 2014; pp 1–20. Available from https://amr-review.org/.

(4) O'Neill, J. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance: Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations, 2016; pp 1–20. Available from: https://amr-review.org/background. html.

(5) Jain, S. K. The Promise of Molecular Imaging in the Study and Treatment of Infectious Diseases. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **2017**, *19* (3), 341–7.

(6) Rak, M.; Barlič-Maganja, D.; Kavčič, M.; Trebše, R.; Cőr, A. Comparison of Molecular and Culture Method in Diagnosis of Prosthetic Joint Infection. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2013**, 343 (1), 42–8.

(7) Pongsachareonnont, P.; Honglertnapakul, W.; Chatsuwan, T. Comparison of Methods for Identifying Causative Bacterial Microorganisms in Presumed Acute Endophthalmitis: Conventional Culture, Blood Culture, and Pcr. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2017**, *17* (1), 165.

(8) Polvoy, I.; Flavell, R. R.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Ohliger, M. A.; Wilson, D. M. Nuclear Imaging of Bacterial Infection: The State of the Art and Future Directions. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2020**, *61* (12), 1708–16.

(9) Becker, W.; Meller, J. The Role of Nuclear Medicine in Infection and Inflammation. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2001**, *1* (5), 326–33.

(10) Cuocolo, A.; Petretta, M. Pet and Spect Specialty Grand Challenge. When Knowledge Travels at the Speed of Light, Photons Take to the Field. *Front. Nucl. Med.* **2021**, *1*, 1.

(11) Alqahtani, F. F. Spect/Ct and Pet/Ct, Related Radiopharmaceuticals, and Areas of Application and Comparison. *Saudi Pharm. J.* **2023**, 31 (2), 312–28.

(12) van der Bruggen, W.; Bleeker-Rovers, C. P.; Boerman, O. C.; Gotthardt, M.; Oyen, W. J. G. Pet and Spect in Osteomyelitis and Prosthetic Bone and Joint Infections: A Systematic Review. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* **2010**, *40* (1), 3–15.

(13) Glaudemans, A. W. J. M.; Gheysens, O. Expert Opinions in Nuclear Medicine: Finding the "Holy Grail" in Infection Imaging. *Front. Med.* **2023**, *10*, 1149925.

(14) Pimlott, S. L.; Sutherland, A. Molecular Tracers for the Pet and Spect Imaging of Disease. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40 (1), 149–62.

(15) Jiemy, W. F.; Heeringa, P.; Kamps, J. A. A. M.; van der Laken, C. J.; Slart, R. H. J. A.; Brouwer, E. Positron Emission Tomography (Pet) and Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (Spect) Imaging of Macrophages in Large Vessel Vasculitis: Current Status and Future Prospects. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2018**, *17* (7), 715–26.

(16) Ferro-Flores, G.; Ocampo-Garcia, B. E.; Melendez-Alafort, L. Development of Specific Radiopharmaceuticals for Infection Imaging by Targeting Infectious Micro-Organisms. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2012**, *18* (8), 1098–106.

(17) Fazli, A.; Salouti, M. Targeting Molecular Imaging Approach for Detection of Infection and Inflammation by Diagnostic Nuclear Medicine Techniques. *Curr. Med. Imaging* **2014**, *10* (3), 215–33.

(18) Kleynhans, J.; Sathekge, M. M.; Ebenhan, T. Preclinical Research Highlighting Contemporary Targeting Mechanisms of Radiolabelled Compounds for Pet Based Infection Imaging. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* **2023**, *53*, 630.

(19) Welling, M. M.; Hensbergen, A. W.; Bunschoten, A.; Velders, A. H.; Roestenberg, M.; van Leeuwen, F. W. B. An Update on Radiotracer Development for Molecular Imaging of Bacterial Infections. *Clin. Transl. Imaging.* **2019**, *7* (2), 105–24.

(20) Ordonez, A. A.; Jain, S. K. Pathogen-Specific Bacterial Imaging in Nuclear Medicine. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* **2018**, 48 (2), 182–94.

(21) Akhtar, M. S.; Imran, M. B.; Nadeem, M. A.; Shahid, A. Antimicrobial Peptides as Infection Imaging Agents: Better Than Radiolabeled Antibiotics. *Int. J. Pept.* **2012**, *2012*, *965238*.

(22) Parker, M. F. L.; Flavell, R. R.; Luu, J. M.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Ohliger, M. A.; Wilson, D. M. Small Molecule Sensors Targeting the Bacterial Cell Wall. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *6* (7), 1587–98. (23) Silhavy, T. J.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S. The Bacterial Cell Envelope. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2010, 2 (5), a000414-a. (24) Auer, G. K.; Weibel, D. B. Bacterial Cell Mechanics. Biochemistry 2017, 56 (29), 3710-24.

(25) Cabeen, M. T.; Jacobs-Wagner, C. Bacterial Cell Shape. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005, 3 (8), 601–10.

(26) Meroueh, S. O.; Bencze, K. Z.; Hesek, D.; Lee, M.; Fisher, J. F.; Stemmler, T. L.; Mobashery, S. Three-Dimensional Structure of the Bacterial Cell Wall Peptidoglycan. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2006**, *103* (12), 4404–9.

(27) Dörr, T.; Moynihan, P. J.; Mayer, C. Editorial: Bacterial Cell Wall Structure and Dynamics. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 10.

(28) Coico, R. Gram Staining. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol. 2006, 00 (1), A.3C.1–A.3C.2.

(29) Green, D. W. The Bacterial Cell Wall as a Source of Antibacterial Targets. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 2002, 6 (1), 1–20.

(30) Bugg, T. D. H.; Braddick, D.; Dowson, C. G.; Roper, D. I. Bacterial Cell Wall Assembly: Still an Attractive Antibacterial Target. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2011**, *29* (4), 167–73.

(31) Epand, R. M.; Walker, C.; Epand, R. F.; Magarvey, N. A. Molecular Mechanisms of Membrane Targeting Antibiotics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* **2016**, *1858* (5), 980–7.

(32) Ordonez, A. A.; Weinstein, E. A.; Bambarger, L. E.; Saini, V.; Chang, Y. S.; DeMarco, V. P.; Klunk, M. H.; Urbanowski, M. E.; Moulton, K. L.; Murawski, A. M.; et al. A Systematic Approach for Developing Bacteria-Specific Imaging Tracers. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2017**, 58 (1), 144–50.

(33) Signore, A.; Artiko, V.; Conserva, M.; Ferro-Flores, G.; Welling, M. M.; Jain, S. K.; Hess, S.; Sathekge, M. Imaging Bacteria with Radiolabelled Probes: Is It Feasible? *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9* (8), 2372.

(34) Lin, H.; Yang, C.; Wang, W. Imitate to Illuminate: Labeling of Bacterial Peptidoglycan with Fluorescent and Bio-Orthogonal Stem Peptide-Mimicking Probes. *RSC Chem. Biol.* 2022, 3 (10), 1198–208.
(35) Parker, M. F. L.; Flavell, R. R.; Luu, J. M.; Rosenberg, O. S.;

Ohliger, M. A.; Wilson, D. M. Small Molecule Sensors Targeting the Bacterial Cell Wall. ACS Infect. Dis. 2020, 6 (7), 1587–98.

(36) Banahene, N.; Kavunja, H. W.; Swarts, B. M. Chemical Reporters for Bacterial Glycans: Development and Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2022**, *122* (3), 3336–413.

(37) Gale, R. T.; Brown, E. D. New Chemical Tools to Probe Cell Wall Biosynthesis in Bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2015, 27, 69–77.
(38) Smith, C. A. Structure, Function and Dynamics in the Mur

Family of Bacterial Cell Wall Ligases. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 362 (4), 640–55.

(39) Sauvage, E.; Kerff, F.; Terrak, M.; Ayala, J. A.; Charlier, P. The Penicillin-Binding Proteins: Structure and Role in Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2008**, *32* (2), 234–58.

(40) Barreteau, H.; Kovač, A.; Boniface, A.; Sova, M.; Gobec, S.; Blanot, D. Cytoplasmic Steps of Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2008**, 32 (2), 168–207.

(41) Chung, B. C.; Zhao, J.; Gillespie, R. A.; Kwon, D. Y.; Guan, Z.; Hong, J.; Zhou, P.; Lee, S. Y. Crystal Structure of Mray, an Essential Membrane Enzyme for Bacterial Cell Wall Synthesis. *Science* **2013**, *341* (6149), 1012–6.

(42) Liu, Y.; Rodrigues, J. P. G. L. M.; Bonvin, A. M. J. J.; Zaal, E. A.; Berkers, C. R.; Heger, M.; Gawarecka, K.; Swiezewska, E.; Breukink, E.; Egmond, M. R. New Insight into the Catalytic Mechanism of Bacterial Mray from Enzyme Kinetics and Docking Studies. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2016**, *291* (29), 15057–68.

(43) Van Dam, V.; Sijbrandi, R.; Kol, M.; Swiezewska, E.; De Kruijff, B.; Breukink, E. Transmembrane Transport of Peptidoglycan Precursors across Model and Bacterial Membranes. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2007**, *64* (4), 1105–14.

(44) Sham, L.-T.; Butler, E. K.; Lebar, M. D.; Kahne, D.; Bernhardt, T. G.; Ruiz, N. Murj Is the Flippase of Lipid-Linked Precursors for Peptidoglycan Biogenesis. *Science* **2014**, *345* (6193), 220–2.

(45) Mohammadi, T.; van Dam, V.; Sijbrandi, R.; Vernet, T.; Zapun, A.; Bouhss, A.; Diepeveen-de Bruin, M.; Nguyen-Distèche, M.; de Kruijff, B.; Breukink, E. Identification of Ftsw as a Transporter of

Lipid-Linked Cell Wall Precursors across the Membrane. *EMBO J.* 2011, 30 (8), 1425–32.

(46) Heijenoort, Jv. Formation of the Glycan Chains in the Synthesis of Bacterial Peptidoglycan. *Glycobiology* **2001**, *11* (3), 25R–36R.

(47) Buynak, J. D. Cutting and Stitching: The Cross-Linking of Peptidoglycan in the Assembly of the Bacterial Cell Wall. ACS Chem. Biol. 2007, 2 (9), 602–5.

(48) Vollmer, W.; Joris, B.; Charlier, P.; Foster, S. Bacterial Peptidoglycan (Murein) Hydrolases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2008, 32 (2), 259–86.

(49) Vermassen, A.; Leroy, S.; Talon, R.; Provot, C.; Popowska, M.; Desvaux, M. Cell Wall Hydrolases in Bacteria: Insight on the Diversity of Cell Wall Amidases, Glycosidases and Peptidases toward Peptidoglycan. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 331.

(50) Cheng, Q.; Park, J. T. Substrate Specificity of the Ampg Permease Required for Recycling of Cell Wall Anhydro-Muropeptides. J. Bacteriol. 2002, 184 (23), 6434-6.

(51) Zhang, Y.; Bao, Q.; Gagnon, L. A.; Huletsky, A.; Oliver, A.; Jin, S.; Langaee, T. Ampg Gene of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa and Its Role in B-Lactamase Expression. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54* (11), 4772–9.

(52) Lee, M.; Zhang, W.; Hesek, D.; Noll, B. C.; Boggess, B.; Mobashery, S. Bacterial Ampd at the Crossroads of Peptidoglycan Recycling and Manifestation of Antibiotic Resistance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131 (25), 8742–3.

(53) Carrasco-López, C.; Rojas-Altuve, A.; Zhang, W.; Hesek, D.; Lee, M.; Barbe, S.; André, I.; Ferrer, P.; Silva-Martin, N.; Castro, G. R.; Martínez-Ripoll, M.; Mobashery, S.; Hermoso, J. A. Crystal Structures of Bacterial Peptidoglycan Amidase Ampd and an Unprecedented Activation Mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, 286 (36), 31714–22.

(54) Vermassen, A.; Leroy, S.; Talon, R.; Provot, C.; Popowska, M.; Desvaux, M. Cell Wall Hydrolases in Bacteria: Insight on the Diversity of Cell Wall Amidases, Glycosidases and Peptidases toward Peptidoglycan. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 10.

(55) Hoyland, C. N.; Aldridge, C.; Cleverley, R. M.; Duchêne, M. C.; Minasov, G.; Onopriyenko, O.; Sidiq, K.; Stogios, P. J.; Anderson, W. F.; Daniel, R. A.; Savchenko, A.; Vollmer, W.; Lewis, R. J. Structure of the Ldcb Ld-Carboxypeptidase Reveals the Molecular Basis of Peptidoglycan Recognition. *Structure* **2014**, *22* (7), 949–60.

(56) Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; van Heijenoort, J.; Park, J. T. Identification of the Mpl Gene Encoding Udp-N-Acetylmuramate: L-Alanyl-Gamma-D-Glutamyl-Meso-Diaminopimelate Ligase in Escherichia Coli and Its Role in Recycling of Cell Wall Peptidoglycan. J. Bacteriol. 1996, 178 (18), 5347-52.

(57) Das, D.; Hervé, M.; Feuerhelm, J.; Farr, C. L.; Chiu, H.-J.; Elsliger, M.-A.; Knuth, M. W.; Klock, H. E.; Miller, M. D.; Godzik, A.; Lesley, S. A.; Deacon, A. M.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Wilson, I. A. Structure and Function of the First Full-Length Murein Peptide Ligase (Mpl) Cell Wall Recycling Protein. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6* (3), No. e17624.

(58) Maqbool, A.; Herve, M.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Wilkinson, A. J.; Thomas, G. H. Mpaa Is a Murein-Tripeptide-Specific Zinc Carboxypeptidase That Functions as Part of a Catabolic Pathway for Peptidoglycan-Derived Peptides in  $\Gamma$ -Proteobacteria. *Biochem. J.* **2012**, 448 (3), 329–41.

(59) Lupoli, T. J.; Tsukamoto, H.; Doud, E. H.; Wang, T-SA; Walker, S.; Kahne, D. Transpeptidase-Mediated Incorporation of D-Amino Acids into Bacterial Peptidoglycan. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (28), 10748–51.

(60) Lam, H.; Oh, D. C.; Cava, F.; Takacs, C. N.; Clardy, J.; de Pedro, M. A.; Waldor, M. K. D-Amino Acids Govern Stationary Phase Cell Wall Remodeling in Bacteria. *Science* **2009**, 325 (5947), 1552–5. (61) Caldwell, M.; Hughes, M.; Wei, F.; Ngo, C.; Pascua, R.; Pugazhendhi, A. S.; Coathup, M. J. Promising Applications of D-Amino Acids in Periprosthetic Joint Infection. *Bone Res.* **2023**, *11* (1), 14. (62) Caparros, M; Pisabarro, A G; de Pedro, M A Effect of D-Amino Acids on Structure and Synthesis of Peptidoglycan in Escherichia Coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **1992**, *174* (17), 5549–59.

(63) Caparrós, M.; Torrecuadrada, J. L. M.; de Pedro, M. A. Effect of D-Amino Acids on Escherichia Coli Strains with Impaired Penicillin-Binding Proteins. *Res. Microbiol.* **1991**, *142* (2), 345–50.

(64) Cava, F.; de Pedro, M. A.; Lam, H.; Davis, B. M.; Waldor, M. K. Distinct Pathways for Modification of the Bacterial Cell Wall by Non-Canonical D-Amino Acids. *EMBO J.* **2011**, *30* (16), 3442–53.

(65) Lam, H.; Oh, D.-C.; Cava, F.; Takacs, C. N.; Clardy, J.; de Pedro, M. A.; Waldor, M. K. D-Amino Acids Govern Stationary Phase Cell Wall Remodeling in Bacteria. *Science* **2009**, 325 (5947), 1552–5.

(66) Neumann, K. D.; Villanueva-Meyer, J. E.; Mutch, C. A.; Flavell, R. R.; Blecha, J. E.; Kwak, T.; Sriram, R.; VanBrocklin, H. F.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Ohliger, M. A.; Wilson, D. M. Imaging Active Infection in Vivo Using D-Amino Acid Derived Pet Radiotracers. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, 7 (1), 7903.

(67) Kwak, T. S. Evaluation of D-Amino Acids as Probes for Molecular Imaging of Bacterial Infections. M.Sc. Thesis, University of California, San Francisco, 2015. Available from: https://escholarship. org/uc/item/12z10686.

(68) Stewart, M. N.; Parker, M. F. L.; Jivan, S.; Luu, J. M.; Huynh, T. L.; Schulte, B.; Seo, Y.; Blecha, J. E.; Villanueva-Meyer, J. E.; Flavell, R. R.; VanBrocklin, H. F.; Ohliger, M. A.; Rosenberg, O.; Wilson, D. M. High Enantiomeric Excess in-Loop Synthesis of D-[Methyl-11c]Methionine for Use as a Diagnostic Positron Emission Tomography Radiotracer in Bacterial Infection. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *6* (1), 43–9.

(69) Muranaka, Y.; Mizutani, A.; Kobayashi, M.; Nakamoto, K.; Matsue, M.; Nishi, K.; Yamazaki, K.; Nishii, R.; Shikano, N.; Okamoto, S.; Kawai, K. Comparison of L- and D-Amino Acids for Bacterial Imaging in Lung Infection Mouse Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, 23 (5), 2467.

(70) Polvoy, I.; Seo, Y.; Parker, M.; Stewart, M.; Siddiqua, K.; Manacsa, H. S.; Ravanfar, V.; Blecha, J.; Hope, T. A.; Vanbrocklin, H.; Flavell, R. R.; Barry, J.; Hansen, E.; Villanueva-Meyer, J. E.; Engel, J.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Wilson, D. M.; Ohliger, M. A. Imaging Joint Infections Using D-Methyl-11c-Methionine Pet/Mri: Initial Experience in Humans. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2022**, 49 (11), 3761–71.

(71) Sorlin, A.; Parker, M.; Wilson, D. P-032 - Radiosynthesis of 18f Labelled D-Amino Acid Tracers and Their Use for Bacteria Imaging. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2022**, *108–109*, S68.

(72) Parker, M. F. L.; Luu, J. M.; Schulte, B.; Huynh, T. L.; Stewart, M. N.; Sriram, R.; Yu, M. A.; Jivan, S.; Turnbaugh, P. J.; Flavell, R. R.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Ohliger, M. A.; Wilson, D. M. Sensing Living Bacteria in Vivo Using D-Alanine-Derived 11c Radiotracers. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2020**, *6* (2), 155–65.

(73) Ermert, J.; Coenen, H. H. Methods for 11c- and 18f-Labelling of Amino Acids and Derivatives for Positron Emission Tomography Imaging. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 2013, 56 (3–4), 225–36.

(74) Morlot, C.; Straume, D.; Peters, K.; Hegnar, O. A.; Simon, N.; Villard, A.-M.; Contreras-Martel, C.; Leisico, F.; Breukink, E.; Gravier-Pelletier, C.; Le Corre, L.; Vollmer, W.; Pietrancosta, N.; Håvarstein, L. S.; Zapun, A. Structure of the Essential Peptidoglycan Amidotransferase Murt/Gatd Complex from Streptococcus Pneumoniae. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 3180.

(75) Renick, P. J.; Mulgaonkar, A.; Co, C. M.; Wu, C.-Y.; Zhou, N.; Velazquez, A.; Pennington, J.; Sherwood, A.; Dong, H.; Castellino, L.; Öz, O. K.; Tang, L.; Sun, X. Imaging of Actively Proliferating Bacterial Infections by Targeting the Bacterial Metabolic Footprint with D-[5– 11c]-Glutamine. *ACS Infect. Dis.* **2021**, 7 (2), 347–61.

(76) Münch, D.; Engels, I.; Müller, A.; Reder-Christ, K.; Falkenstein-Paul, H.; Bierbaum, G.; Grein, F.; Bendas, G.; Sahl, H. G.; Schneider, T. Structural Variations of the Cell Wall Precursor Lipid Ii and Their Influence on Binding and Activity of the Lipoglycopeptide Antibiotic Oritavancin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2015**, *59* (2), 772–81.

(77) Schwartz, B.; Markwalder, J. A.; Wang, Y. Lipid Ii: Total Synthesis of the Bacterial Cell Wall Precursor and Utilization as a Substrate for Glycosyltransfer and Transpeptidation by Penicillin Binding Protein (Pbp) 1b of Eschericia Coli. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123 (47), 11638-43.

(78) Muranaka, Y.; Matsue, M.; Mizutani, A.; Kobayashi, M.; Sato, K.; Kondo, A.; Nishiyama, Y.; Ohata, S.; Nishi, K.; Yamazaki, K.; Nishii, R.; Shikano, N.; Okamoto, S.; Kawai, K. Evaluation of L-Alanine Metabolism in Bacteria and Whole-Body Distribution with Bacterial Infection Model Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24* (5), 4775.

(79) Rowan-Nash, A. D.; Korry, B. J.; Mylonakis, E.; Belenky, P. Cross-Domain and Viral Interactions in the Microbiome. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2019**, *83* (1), No. e00044-18.

(80) Radkov, A. D.; Moe, L. A. Bacterial Synthesis of D-Amino Acids. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2014, 98 (12), 5363-74.

(81) Tanner, M. E. Understanding Nature's Strategies for Enzyme-Catalyzed Racemization and Epimerization. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, 35 (4), 237–46.

(82) Cava, F.; Lam, H.; de Pedro, M. A.; Waldor, M. K. Emerging Knowledge of Regulatory Roles of D-Amino Acids in Bacteria. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2011**, *68* (5), 817–31.

(83) Espaillat, A.; Carrasco-López, C.; Bernardo-García, N.; Rojas-Altuve, A.; Klett, J.; Morreale, A.; Hermoso, J. A.; Cava, F. Binding of Non-Canonical Peptidoglycan Controls Vibrio Cholerae Broad Spectrum Racemase Activity. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **2021**, *19*, 1119–26.

(84) Wu, H.; Xue, E.; Zhi, N.; Song, Q.; Tian, K.; Caiyin, Q.; Yuan, L.; Qiao, J. D-Methionine and D-Phenylalanine Improve Lactococcus Lactis F44 Acid Resistance and Nisin Yield by Governing Cell Wall Remodeling. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2020**, *86* (9), e02981-19.

(85) Kuru, E.; Radkov, A.; Meng, X.; Egan, A.; Alvarez, L.; Dowson, A.; Booher, G.; Breukink, E.; Roper, D. I.; Cava, F.; Vollmer, W.; Brun, Y.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S. Mechanisms of Incorporation for D-Amino Acid Probes That Target Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2019**, *14* (12), 2745–56.

(86) Ene, I. V.; Brunke, S.; Brown, A. J.; Hube, B. Metabolism in Fungal Pathogenesis. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2014**, *4* (12), a019695.

(87) Garbe, E.; Vylkova, S. Role of Amino Acid Metabolism in the Virulence of Human Pathogenic Fungi. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol. Rep.* **2019**, *6* (3), 108–19.

(88) Co, C. M.; Mulgaonkar, A.; Zhou, N.; Harris, S.; Öz, O. K.; Tang, L.; Sun, X. Pet Imaging of Active Invasive Fungal Infections with D-[5–11c]-Glutamine. ACS Infect. Dis. **2022**, 8 (8), 1663–73.

(89) Wang, L.; Zha, Z.; Qu, W.; Qiao, H.; Lieberman, B. P.; Plössl, K.; Kung, H. F. Synthesis and Evaluation of 18f Labeled Alanine Derivatives as Potential Tumor Imaging Agents. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2012**, *39* (7), 933–43.

(90) Pidgeon, S. E.; Fura, J. M.; Leon, W.; Birabaharan, M.; Vezenov, D.; Pires, M. M. Metabolic Profiling of Bacteria by Unnatural C-Terminated D-Amino Acids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54 (21), 6158–62.

(91) Mota, F.; Jain, S. K. Flagging Bacteria with Radiolabeled D-Amino Acids. ACS Cent. Sci. 2020, 6 (2), 97–9.

(92) Liechti, G. W.; Kuru, E.; Hall, E.; Kalinda, A.; Brun, Y. V.; VanNieuwenhze, M.; Maurelli, A. T. A New Metabolic Cell-Wall Labelling Method Reveals Peptidoglycan in Chlamydia Trachomatis. *Nature* **2014**, *506* (7489), *507–10*.

(93) Goodell, E. W. Recycling of Murein by Escherichia Coli. J. Bacteriol. 1985, 163 (1), 305–10.

(94) Tsuruoka, T.; Tamura, A.; Miyata, A.; Takei, T.; Inouye, S.; Matsuhashi, M. Second Lytic Target of B-Lactam Compounds That Have a Terminal D-Amino Acid Residue. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1985**, *151* (2), 209–16.

(95) Browne, S.; Bhatia, S.; Sarkar, N.; Kaushik, M. Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria and Antibiotic-Resistant Genes in Agriculture: A Rising Alarm for Future. In *Degradation of Antibiotics and Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria from Various Sources*; Singh, P., Sillanpää, M., Eds.; Academic Press: India, 2023; pp 247–74. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-323-99866-6.00017-9.

(96) Filp, U.; Pekošak, A.; Poot, A. J.; Windhorst, A. D. Stereocontrolled [11c]Alkylation of N-Terminal Glycine Schiff Bases to Obtain Dipeptides. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017 (37), 5592–6.

(97) Al-Dabbagh, B.; Henry, X.; Ghachi, M. E.; Auger, G.; Blanot, D.; Parquet, C.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Bouhss, A. Active Site Mapping of Mray, a Member of the Polyprenyl-Phosphate N-Acetylhexosamine 1-Phosphate Transferase Superfamily, Catalyzing the First Membrane Step of Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *Biochemistry* **2008**, 47 (34), 8919–28.

(98) Huang, L.-Y.; Huang, S.-H.; Chang, Y.-C.; Cheng, W.-C.; Cheng, T-JR; Wong, C.-H. Enzymatic Synthesis of Lipid Ii and Analogues. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53 (31), 8060–5.

(99) Al-Dabbagh, B.; Olatunji, S.; Crouvoisier, M.; El Ghachi, M.; Blanot, D.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D.; Bouhss, A. Catalytic Mechanism of Mray and Weca, Two Paralogues of the Polyprenyl-Phosphate N-Acetylhexosamine 1-Phosphate Transferase Superfamily. *Biochimie* **2016**, 127, 249–57.

(100) Bouhss, A.; Crouvoisier, M.; Blanot, D.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D. Purification and Characterization of the Bacterial Mray Translocase Catalyzing the First Membrane Step of Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279* (29), 29974–80.

(101) Hammes, W. P.; Neuhaus, F. C. On the Specificity of Phospho-N-Acetylmuramyl-Pentapeptide Translocase: The Peptide Subunit of Uridine Diphosphate-N-Acetylmuramyl-Pentapeptide. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1974**, 249 (10), 3140–50.

(102) Sadamoto, R.; Niikura, K.; Sears, P. S.; Liu, H.; Wong, C.-H.; Suksomcheep, A.; Tomita, F.; Monde, K.; Nishimura, S.-I. Cell-Wall Engineering of Living Bacteria. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124* (31), 9018–9.

(103) Sadamoto, R.; Niikura, K.; Ueda, T.; Monde, K.; Fukuhara, N.; Nishimura, S.-I. Control of Bacteria Adhesion by Cell-Wall Engineering. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (12), 3755–61.

(104) Born, P.; Breukink, E.; Vollmer, W. In Vitro Synthesis of Cross-Linked Murein and Its Attachment to Sacculi by Pbp1a from Escherichia Coli. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281 (37), 26985–93.

(105) Bertsche, U.; Breukink, E.; Kast, T.; Vollmer, W. In Vitro Murein (Peptidoglycan) Synthesis by Dimers of the Bifunctional Transglycosylase-Transpeptidase Pbp1b from Escherichia Coli. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280* (45), 38096–101.

(106) Ye, X.-Y.; Lo, M.-C.; Brunner, L.; Walker, D.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S. Better Substrates for Bacterial Transglycosylases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123 (13), 3155-6.

(107) van Heijenoort, J. Lipid Intermediates in the Biosynthesis of Bacterial Peptidoglycan. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2007**, *71* (4), 620–35.

(108) Sadamoto, R.; Matsubayashi, T.; Shimizu, M.; Ueda, T.; Koshida, S.; Koda, T.; Nishimura, S.-I. Bacterial Surface Engineering Utilizing Glucosamine Phosphate Derivatives as Cell Wall Precursor Surrogates. *Chemistry* **2008**, *14* (33), 10192–5.

(109) Uehara, T.; Park, J. T. The N-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine Kinase of Escherichia Coli and Its Role in Murein Recycling. *J. Bacteriol.* **2004**, *186* (21), 7273–9.

(110) Reith, J.; Berking, A.; Mayer, C. Characterization of an N-Acetylmuramic Acid/N-Acetylglucosamine Kinase of Clostridium Acetobutylicum. J. Bacteriol. 2011, 193 (19), 5386–92.

(111) Reith, J.; Mayer, C. Peptidoglycan Turnover and Recycling in Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2011**, 92 (1), 1–11.

(112) Martínez, M. E.; Kiyono, Y.; Noriki, S.; Inai, K.; Mandap, K. S.; Kobayashi, M.; Mori, T.; Tokunaga, Y.; Tiwari, V. N.; Okazawa, H.; Fujibayashi, Y.; Ido, T. New Radiosynthesis of 2-Deoxy-2-[18f]Fluoroacetamido-D-Glucopyranose and Its Evaluation as a Bacterial Infections Imaging Agent. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2011**, *38* (6), 807–17.

(113) Hu, Y.; Chen, L.; Ha, S.; Gross, B.; Falcone, B.; Walker, D.; Mokhtarzadeh, M.; Walker, S. Crystal Structure of the Murg: Udp-Glcnac Complex Reveals Common Structural Principles of a Superfamily of Glycosyltransferases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2003, 100 (3), 845–9.

(114) Xu, Y.; Hernández-Rocamora, V. M.; Lorent, J. H.; Cox, R.; Wang, X.; Bao, X.; Stel, M.; Vos, G.; van den Bos, R. M.; Pieters, R. J.; Gray, J.; Vollmer, W.; Breukink, E. Metabolic Labeling of the Bacterial Peptidoglycan by Functionalized Glucosamine. *iScience* **2022**, *25* (8), 104753.

(115) Helm, J. S.; Hu, Y.; Chen, L.; Gross, B.; Walker, S. Identification of Active-Site Inhibitors of Murg Using a Generalizable, High-Throughput Glycosyltransferase Screen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125 (37), 11168–9.

(116) Carroll, L.; Witney, T. H.; Aboagye, E. O. Design and Synthesis of Novel 18f-Radiolabelled Glucosamine Derivatives for Cancer Imaging. *MedChemComm* **2013**, *4* (4), 653–6.

(117) Gisin, J.; Schneider, A.; Nägele, B.; Borisova, M.; Mayer, C. A Cell Wall Recycling Shortcut That Bypasses Peptidoglycan De Novo Biosynthesis. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9* (8), 491–3.

(118) Liang, H.; DeMeester, K. E.; Hou, C.-W.; Parent, M. A.; Caplan, J. L.; Grimes, C. L. Metabolic Labelling of the Carbohydrate Core in Bacterial Peptidoglycan and Its Applications. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 15015.

(119) Fujiwara, T.; Kubota, K.; Sato, T.; Matsuzawa, T.; Tada, M.; Iwata, R.; Itoh, M.; Hatazawa, J.; Sato, K.; Fukuda, H.; Ido, T. N-[18f]Fluoroacetyl-D-Glucosamine: A Potential Agent for Cancer Diagnosis. J. Nucl. Med. **1990**, 31 (10), 1654–8.

(120) Olrichs, N. K.; Aarsman, M. E. G.; Verheul, J.; Arnusch, C. J.; Martin, N. I.; Hervé, M.; Vollmer, W.; de Kruijff, B.; Breukink, E.; den Blaauwen, T. A Novel in Vivo Cell-Wall Labeling Approach Sheds New Light on Peptidoglycan Synthesis in Escherichia Coli. *ChemBioChem* **2011**, *12* (7), 1124–33.

(121) Hervé, M.; Boniface, A.; Gobec, S.; Blanot, D.; Mengin-Lecreulx, D. Biochemical Characterization and Physiological Properties of Escherichia Coli Udp-N-Acetylmuramate:L-Alanyl-Gamma-D-Glutamyl-Meso-Diaminopimelate Ligase. J. Bacteriol. 2007, 189 (11), 3987–95.

(122) Mengin-Lecreulx, D; van Heijenoort, J; Park, J T Identification of the Mpl Gene Encoding Udp-*N*-Acetylmuramate: L-Alanyl-Gamma-D-Glutamyl-Meso-Diaminopimelate Ligase in Escherichia Coli and Its Role in Recycling of Cell Wall Peptidoglycan. *J. Bacteriol.* **1996**, *178* (18), 5347–52.

(123) Alanizi, A. Targeting Peptidoglycan Using Radiolabeled Click Chemistry for Pet Infection Imaging. M.Sc. Thesis, University of California, San Francisco; 2021. Available from: https://escholarship. org/uc/item/0xr2b6nb.

(124) Alberto, S.; Ordonez, A. A.; Arjun, C.; Aulakh, G. K.; Beziere, N.; Dadachova, E.; Ebenhan, T.; Granados, U.; Korde, A.; Jalilian, A.; Lestari, W.; Mukherjee, A.; Petrik, M.; Sakr, T.; Cuevas, C. L. S.; Welling, M. M.; Zeevaart, J. R.; Jain, S. K.; Wilson, D. M. The Development and Validation of Radiopharmaceuticals Targeting Bacterial Infection. J. Nucl. Med. 2023, 64, 1676.

(125) Fani, M.; Maecke, H. R. Radiopharmaceutical Development of Radiolabelled Peptides. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2012**, *39* (1), 11–30.

(126) Fura, J. M.; Kearns, D.; Pires, M. M. D-Amino Acid Probes for Penicillin Binding Protein-Based Bacterial Surface Labeling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2015**, 290 (51), 30540–50.

(127) Chaturvedi, S.; Mishra, A. K. Small Molecule Radiopharmaceuticals – a Review of Current Approaches. *Front. Med.* **2016**, *3*, 3.

(128) Dumbre, S.; Derouaux, A.; Lescrinier, E.; Piette, A.; Joris, B.; Terrak, M.; Herdewijn, P. Synthesis of Modified Peptidoglycan Precursor Analogues for the Inhibition of Glycosyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134* (22), 9343–51.

(129) Higashi, Y.; Strominger, J. L.; Sweeley, C. C. Structure of a Lipid Intermediate in Cell Wall Peptidoglycan Synthesis: A Derivative of a C55 Isoprenoid Alcohol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1967**, 57 (6), 1878–84.

(130) Emine Selin, D; Emre, O.; Meliha, E.; Evren Atlihan, G.; Derya İlem, Ö; Makbule, A. Computational Study of Radiopharmaceuticals. In *Molecular Docking and Molecular Dynamics*; Amalia, S., Ed.; IntechOpen: London, 2019; p 79. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.85140.

(131) Kilbourn, M. R. Targeted Diagnostic Radiopharmaceuticals: Design Options for Small-Molecule Radiotracers. In *Handbook of Radiopharmaceuticals*, 2nd ed.; Scott, P., Kilbourn, M., Eds.; Wiley, 2020; pp 1–21. DOI: 10.1002/9781119500575.

(132) Charron, C.; Hickey, J.; Nsiama, T.; Cruickshank, D.; Turnbull, W.; Luyt, L. Molecular Imaging Probes Derived from Natural Peptides. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2016**, 33 (6), 761–800.

(133) Ataeinia, B.; Heidari, P. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Radiopharmaceutical Development:: In Silico Smart Molecular Design. *PET Clin.* **2021**, *16* (4), 513–23.

(134) Sana, K.; Sana, N.; Zahra, F.; Arouma, R.; Komal, S.; Hijab, U.; Samina, R.; Syed Ali Raza, N. Localization Mechanisms of Radiopharmaceuticals. In *Medical Isotopes*, 1st ed.; Syed Ali Raza, N., Muhammad Babar, I., Eds.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2020; Chapter 5. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.94099.

(135) Northrup, J. D.; Mach, R. H.; Sellmyer, M. A. Radiochemical Approaches to Imaging Bacterial Infections: Intracellular Versus Extracellular Targets. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20* (22), 5808.

(136) Pekošak, A.; Filp, U.; Poot, A. J.; Windhorst, A. D. From Carbon-11-Labeled Amino Acids to Peptides in Positron Emission Tomography: The Synthesis and Clinical Application. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* **2018**, *20* (4), 510–32.

(137) Pekošak, A.; Rotstein, B. H.; Collier, T. L.; Windhorst, A. D.; Vasdev, N.; Poot, A. J. Stereoselective 11c Labeling of a "Native" Tetrapeptide by Using Asymmetric Phase-Transfer Catalyzed Alkylation Reactions. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 2017 (5), 1019–24.

(138) Walsh, J. C.; Kolb, H. C. Applications of Click Chemistry in Radiopharmaceutical Development. *Chimia* **2010**, *64* (1–2), 29.

(139) Wangler, C.; Schirrmacher, R.; Bartenstein, P.; Wangler, B. Click-Chemistry Reactions in Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry: Fast & Easy Introduction of Radiolabels into Biomolecules for in Vivo Imaging. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *17* (11), 1092–116.

(140) Bauer, D.; Sarrett, S. M.; Lewis, J. S.; Zeglis, B. M. Click Chemistry: A Transformative Technology in Nuclear Medicine. *Nat. Protoc.* **2023**, *18*, 1659.

(141) Liu, D.; Xia, Q.; Ding, D.; Tan, W. Radiolabeling of Functional Oligonucleotides for Molecular Imaging. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *10*, 10.

(142) MacKenzie, D. A.; Sherratt, A. R.; Chigrinova, M.; Kell, A. J.; Pezacki, J. P. Bioorthogonal Labelling of Living Bacteria Using Unnatural Amino Acids Containing Nitrones and a Nitrone Derivative of Vancomycin. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51* (62), 12501–4.

(143) Siegrist, M. S.; Whiteside, S.; Jewett, J. C.; Aditham, A.; Cava, F.; Bertozzi, C. R. D-Amino Acid Chemical Reporters Reveal Peptidoglycan Dynamics of an Intracellular Pathogen. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8* (3), 500–5.

(144) Aliashkevich, A.; Alvarez, L.; Cava, F. New Insights into the Mechanisms and Biological Roles of D-Amino Acids in Complex Eco-Systems. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 9.

(145) Bonnet, M.; Lagier, J. C.; Raoult, D.; Khelaifia, S. Bacterial Culture through Selective and Non-Selective Conditions: The Evolution of Culture Media in Clinical Microbiology. *New Microbes New Infect.* **2020**, *34*, 100622.

(146) Brown, A. R.; Gordon, R. A.; Hyland, S. N.; Siegrist, M. S.; Grimes, C. L. Chemical Biology Tools for Examining the Bacterial Cell Wall. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **2020**, *27* (8), 1052–62.

(147) Romaniuk, J. A. H.; Cegelski, L. Bacterial Cell Wall Composition and the Influence of Antibiotics by Cell-Wall and Whole-Cell Nmr. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci.* **2015**, 370 (1679), 20150024.

(148) Schaefer, K.; Owens, T. W.; Kahne, D.; Walker, S. Substrate Preferences Establish the Order of Cell Wall Assembly in Staphylococcus Aureus. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2018**, 140 (7), 2442–5.

(149) Welling, M. M.; Warbroek, K.; Khurshid, C.; van Oosterom, M. N.; Rietbergen, D. D. D.; de Boer, M. G. J.; Nelissen, R.; van Leeuwen, F. W. B.; Pijls, B. G.; Buckle, T. A Radio- and Fluorescently Labelled Tracer for Imaging and Quantification of Bacterial Infection on Orthopaedic Prostheses: A Proof of Principle Study. *Bone Joint Res.* **2023**, 12 (1), 72–9.

(150) Ordonez, A. A.; Sellmyer, M. A.; Gowrishankar, G.; Ruiz-Bedoya, C. A.; Tucker, E. W.; Palestro, C. J.; Hammoud, D. A.; Jain, S. K. Molecular Imaging of Bacterial Infections: Overcoming the Barriers to Clinical Translation. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2019**, *11* (508), eaax8251.

(151) Alstrup, A. K. O.; Jensen, S. B.; Nielsen, O. L.; Jødal, L.; Afzelius, P. Preclinical Testing of Radiopharmaceuticals for the Detection and Characterization of Osteomyelitis: Experiences from a Porcine Model. *Molecules* **2021**, *26* (14), 4221.

(152) Wilson, B. C.; Jermyn, M.; Leblond, F. Challenges and Opportunities in Clinical Translation of Biomedical Optical Spectroscopy and Imaging. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **2018**, *23* (3), 1–13.

(153) Chen, X.; Gallagher, F.; Sellmyer, M. A.; Ordonez, A. A.; Kjaer, A.; Ohliger, M.; Wilson, D. M.; Jain, S. K. Visualizing Bacterial Infections with Novel Targeted Molecular Imaging Approaches. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2023**, 228 (Suppl\_4), S249–S58.

(154) Thakur, A.; Mikkelsen, H.; Jungersen, G. Intracellular Pathogens: Host Immunity and Microbial Persistence Strategies. *J. Immunol. Res.* **2019**, 2019, 1356540.