# Some Properties of Phytochrome Isolated from Dark-grown Oat Seedlings (Avena sativa L.)<sup>1</sup>

Winslow R. Briggs,<sup>2</sup> Wendell D. Zollinger, and Barbara B. Platz<sup>2</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Received April 16, 1968.

Abstract. Phytochrome was partially purified from etiolated seedlings of Avena sativa L. Several properties of the red-absorbing ( $P_R$ ) and far-red absorbing ( $P_{FR}$ ) forms of the pigment were compared. The 2 forms could not be shown to differ with respect to their sedimentation velocity in sucrose density gradients, elution volume from Sephadex G-200 columns, binding properties on calcium phosphate, or electrophoretic mobility.  $P_{FR}$ , however, was more labile than  $P_R$  during precipitation with 50 % ammonium sulfate. Sephadex G-200 elution diagrams obtained with fresh phytochrome preparations revealed 2 components of different molecular weights, 1 roughly 180,000, and 1 roughly 80,000. Native phytochrome had an absorption spectrum *in vivo* showing an absorption maximum for  $P_R$  of 667 nm. Both the large and small forms of phytochrome mentioned above can be maintained with an absorption maximum for  $P_R$  of 667 nm. However, allowing them to remain for several hours as  $P_{FR}$ , even at 4°, shifted this peak to 660 nm. The protein conformational change during phytochrome transformation may be quite small, though the various comparative techniques used do not strictly rule out a fairly large one. The need for maintaining the pigment as  $P_R$  during all steps of purification, but particularly during ammonium sulfate precipitation is underscored.

Since the initial spectrophotometric detection of the plant pigment phytochrome (2), considerable progress has been made both in purifying it and in studying its properties in vitro. Siegelman and Firer (7) developed techniques for the isolation and partial purification of phytochrome from oat seedlings, and Mumford and Jenner (6) published techniques for obtaining material of high purity from the same plant source. Currently Correll et al. (4) are reporting preparations of high purity obtained from rye seedlings. Butler et al. (3), meanwhile, showed that the far-red-absorbing form of phytochrome (PFR) was far more susceptible to denaturation by urea or damage by sulfhydryl-reacting agents than was the red-absorbing form  $(P_R)$ . They showed further that pronase and trypsin would attack phytochrome readily only during PR to PFR transformation. They suggested that the lightinduced interconversions of PR and PFR involved not just changes within the chromophoric group, a bilitriene (8), but changes in protein conformation as well.

The present paper describes experiments comparing some of the physical properties of  $P_n$  and  $P_{FR}$ , and presents evidence for both spectral and molecular weight changes which may occur during the purification procedure.

## Materials and Methods

Phytochrome was extracted and partially purified from oat seedlings by a modification of the methods described by Siegelman and Firer (7). Oat seeds (Avena sativa L., cv USDA CI-2020) were sown on moist cellulose packing material (Kimpak 6223, Kimberly-Clark) and grown for 5 days at 25° in plastic boxes. On the day they were harvested, the seedlings were cooled to 4° in the dark before they received any white light. All further steps were carried out at that temperature. Lots of tissue weighing approximately 500 g were harvested and ground in a chilled mortar with 0.05 M tris-HCl buffer (1.3 ml buffer per g tissue), pH 8.1, containing 0.7 % (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol. After thorough grinding, the material was filtered through cheesecloth and the filtrate centrifuged for 45 minutes at 14,000  $\times$  g. The supernatant was passed through a Sephadex G-50 column equilibrated with 0.001 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, containing 0.5 % mercaptoethanol, adsorbed on calcium phosphate (brushite) equilibrated with the same solution. washed with a liter of buffer without the mercaptoethanol, and then eluted with a convex buffer gradient running from 0.001 to 0.4 M. Active fractions were pooled, and the phytochrome was precipitated by the gradual addition of an equal volume of saturated ammonium sulfate solution (pH 7.8). Following centrifugation, the pellet was redissolved in 25 to 50 ml of 0.001 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, and the resulting solution passed through a Sephadex G-200 column. The pooled active fractions from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supported by National Science Foundation Grants GB-2846 and GB-6683 and a grant from Research Corporation to W.R.B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present address: The Biological Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138.

this last step were used for most of the experiments described below, although the phytochrome was frequently concentrated by a second ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by redissolving in a small volume of buffer.

The ultrafiltration step of Siegelman and Firer (7) was omitted, and great care was taken to maintain the phytochrome as  $P_{\rm B}$ , particularly during the animonium sulfate precipitation. Under these conditions, active preparations showing from 30- to 50-fold purification were obtained, with a final yield of 30 to 50 % of the starting material. Phytochrome was assayed using a Ratiospect R-2 difference spectrophotometer (Agricultural Specialty Company, Incorporated), and activity is expressed as the sum of the optical density differences inducible at 660 and 730 nm by red and far-red light [ $\Delta(\Delta OD)$ ].

### Results and Discussion

Butler *ct al.* (3) had shown that PFR was more labile in the presence of proteolytic enzymes, urea, and sulfhydryl reagents than PR. Since there was frequently a substantial decrease in vield of phytochrome during the ammonium sulfate step, we felt that this loss might be reduced by doing the annuonium sulfate precipitation with material first transformed to PR. A comparison of the loss from ammonium sulfate fractionation performed with PR and Pre showed that in the latter case, 25 to 30 % of the phytochrome was lost, while in the former, only 10 to 15 % disappeared. Clearly PFR is more labile in the presence of high concentrations of ammonium sulfate than PR, as one might expect from the results of Butler et al.; and all subsequent preparations were handled as Pn at this step. Furthermore, at no time during the procedure was the pigment allowed to remain as PFR for more than 2 hours.

Since the accumulated evidence suggested that phytochrome transformation might involve a protein conformational change, PR and PFR were compared physically to see whether evidence other than relative lability could be obtained for such a change. Preliminary experiments using ultracentrifugation on sucrose density gradients suggested that Pn sedimented somewhat more rapidly than PFR. As will be shown below, however, it soon became clear that these early preparations consisted of phytochrome components of substantially different molecular weights (see figs 2, 3). During the relatively long ultracentrifuge run, the larger material may well have broken down more rapidly to the small when the pigment was spun as  $P_{FR}$  than as  $P_R$  (there was no loss of total reversibility). A later experiment, using only the small molecular weight component is shown in figure 1. In all 3 tubes, 2 ml of phytochrome solution containing 0.38  $\triangle(\triangle OD)$  were layered on the sucrose gradient (8-20%). The material was spun for 40 hours in an International



FIG. 1. Sedimentation of  $P_{\rm B}$  and  $P_{\rm FR}$  on sucrose gradients (8–20 %). Form of phytochrome sedimented shown above each curve. Form in which phytochrome was monitored following centrifugation shown in parentheses.

B-60 ultracentrifuge with the SB-110 head, at 4°. Phytochrome was monitored in the tubes by passing the gradient solution through a flow cell of the Gilford Model 2000 multiple sample absorbance recorder and monitoring optical density at 667 nm. The sample spun as PFR (at 4°, reversion of PFR to  $P_{R}$  was negligible) was first converted to  $P_{R}$  before monitoring. Figure 1, top 2 curves, shows that under the conditions used, there was no detectable difference between the sedimentation rates of Pn and PFR. The lowest tracing was obtained from a sample in which the phytochrome was deliberately kept as PFF during the spectrophotometric analysis of the gradient. The reduced optical density at 667 nm under these conditions shows that the lightabsorbing material detected was indeed phytochrome, as subsequently verified by direct spectrophotometric analysis in the Ratiospect.

During purification, analysis of the elution pattern of phytochrome from the Sephadex G-200 column revealed 2 forms of substantially different molecular weights. Figure 2 shows these 2 components clearly. An analytical Sephadex G-200



FIG. 2. Elution pattern for fresh phytochrome sample from a Sephadex G-200 column.



FIG. 3. Elution pattern for aged phytochrome sample from a Sephadex G-200 column.

experiment, using cytochrome c (Calbiochem, mol wt 12,500), catalase (Calbiochem, mol wt 225,000), and blue dextran (Pharmacia, mol wt 2,000,000) as markers, vielded approximate molecular weights for the large and small forms of phytochrome of 80,000 and 180,000 respectively. These molecular weight values should not be regarded as very precise since chromatographic behavior on Sephadex was the only criterion applied; furthermore, the smaller molecular weight component may itself be polydisperse, judging from the relatively broad elution bands in figures 2. 3. and 4. Mumford and Jenner (6) have reported a value of 60,000 for highly purified oat phytochrome. Figure 2 was obtained with a fresh preparation, placed on the Sephadex G-200 column immediately after the ammonium sulfate step. When preparations were allowed to stand for a day after this step and before the Sephadex chromatography, the large



FIG. 4. Comparison of elution patterns of  $P_{\rm R}$  and  $P_{\rm FR}$  from matched Sephadex G-200 columns.

molecular weight component disappeared, as shown in figure 3. The conditions which determine whether one obtains the large or small molecular weight material are currently under investigation. However, since roughly equal starting volumes or concentrations of phytochrome vield either a single or double peak on Sephadex G-200 chromatography, depending upon freshness of sample, the large molecular weight form cannot be considered as simply an aggregation resulting from high protein concentration in the starting material. Parameters such as pH, salt concentration, and spectral status of the pigment-whether present as PR or PFR-are currently under investigation. In all experiments reported below, only fractions containing the small molecular weight form were used for the PR-PFR comparisons.

Figure 4 shows that  $P_{IR}$  and  $P_{FR}$  have identical elution volumes on analytical Sephadex G-200 columns, as one might have expected from their sedi-



FIG. 5. Elution pattern for phytochrome from Sephadex G-200 column, column continuously illuminated during run.

mentation behavior in the ultracentrifuge. The results shown were obtained by running PR and PRF simultaneously through 2 precisely matched columns, with blue dextran added as a large molecular weight The ratio of phytochrome elution volume marker. to blue dextran elution volume was the same in both cases. Figures 5 and 6 illustrate an experiment in which samples containing both large and small molecular weight forms were run through the same analytical Sephadex columns, 1 sample illuminated with white light from a 25 w fluorescent bulb placed 6 inches from the column, and the other kept as  $P_{R}$ and run in the dark. The object of the experiment was to determine whether continuous cycling of the pigment from one form to the other, through the various intermediates known to occur on both the  $P_R$  to  $P_{FR}$  pathway and the reverse pathway (1,5)



FIG. 6. Elution pattern for phytochrome from Sephadex G-200 column, column run in darkness, phytochrome applied as  $P_{R}$ . Sample size, concentration, and column dimensions identical with those for figure 5.

would in any way alter the chromatographic behavior of the pigment (a long-lived intermediate might have a very open conformation). The 2 figures show clearly that during the 4 hours of the run, cycling the pigment did not alter the elution pattern of either the large or small phytochrome, nor did it cause any measurable change in the detectable amount of the 2 forms.

Figure 7 shows that the binding capacity of phytochrome to calcium phosphate is the same whether the pigment is placed on the column, washed, and eluted as  $P_R$  or as  $P_{FR}$ . Finally, figure 8 shows that  $P_R$  and  $P_{FR}$  have the same electrophoretic



FIG. 7. Comparison of buffer gradient elution patterns of  $P_R$  and  $P_{PR}$  from calcium phosphate (brushite).

mobility on polyacrylamide gels at 2 different pH's (the Buchler Polyacrylamide Apparatus was used, with gels prepared according to their brochure; the samples were applied in 0.2 M sucrose instead of in a sample gel).

In the comparison of  $P_{\text{R}}$  with  $P_{\text{PR}}$ , above, the only difference found was in relative lability in the



FIG. 8. Comparison of electrophoretic mobility of  $P_{\rm R}$  and  $P_{\rm PR}$  on polyacrylamide gels made at 2 different pH's.



FIG. 9. Absorption spectra of roughly 0.5 g oat coleoptile tips following saturating far red ( $P_{\rm R}$  curve) or red irradiation ( $P_{\rm FR}$  curve).

presence of 50 % ammonium sulfate. The 2 forms of the pigment did not differ in their sedimentation velocity, electrophoretic mobility, capacity for binding on calcium phosphate, or elution pattern from Sephadex G-200. Thus the protein conformational change suggested by the results of Butler *et al.* (3) may be small, though the present results certainly do not rigorously exclude a significant one.



FIG. 10. Change in the absorption maximum for  $P_R$  for phytochrome stored either as  $P_R$  (top curve) or  $P_{FR}$  (lower curve).

Both the large and small components of phytochrome, obtained from the Sephadex G-200 column, can be shown to have, for the PR form, absorption maxima near 667 nm. Figure 9 shows the absorption spectrum of oat phytochrome in vivo (obtained using a Biospect Model 61 spectrophotometer, single beam, adapted for tissue samples, Agricultural Specialty Company, Incorporated). The sample consisted of approximately 0.5 g of oat coleoptile tips 0.2 cm in length, free of primary leaf tissue. The phytochrome in vivo clearly shows an absorption maximum of PR of 667 nm. Thus the extracted material, with its 667 nm absorbancy, evidently approximates the native condition for the chromophore. Allowing a purified sample to remain overnight as PFR, even in the dark and at 4°, however,

results in a shift of this absorption maximum from 667 to 660 nm, as shown in figure 10. Thus rapid procedure, and maintenance of  $P_{\rm R}$  during as much of the isolation procedure as possible are required if what one desires in material spectrally similar to the native material.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to Dr. N. K. Wessells for the use of his ultracentrifuge and Gilford Multiple Sample Absorbance Recorder. They are also grateful to Mr. James A. MacArthur for frequent technical assistance.

#### Literature Cited

- BRIGGS, W. R. AND D. C. FORK. 1965. Studies on phytochrome transformation *in vitro*. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Yearbook 64: 406-12.
- BUTLER, W. L., K. H. NORRIS, H. W. SIEGELMAN, AND S. B. HENDRICKS. 1959. Detection, assay, and preliminary purification of the pigment controlling photoresponsive development of plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 45: 1703-08.
- BUTLER, W. L., W. H. SIEGELMAN, AND C. O. MIL-LER. 1964. Denaturation of phytochrome. Biochemistry 3: 851-57.
- CORRELL, D. L., J. L. EDWARDS, AND W. SHROPSHIRE, JR. 1968. Multiple chromophore species of phytochrome. Photochem. Photobiol. In press.
- LINSCHITZ, H., V. KASCHE, W. L. BUTLER, AND H. W. SIEGELMAN. 1966. The kinetics of phytochrome conversion. J. Biol. Chem. 241: 3395-403.
- 6. MUMFORD, F. E. AND E. L. JENNER. 1961. Purification and characterization of phytochrome from oat seedlings. Biochemistry 5: 3657-62.
- SIEGELMAN, H. W. AND E. M. FIRER. 1964. Purification of phytochrome from oat seedlings. Biochemistry 3: 418–23.
- SIEGELMAN, H. W., B. C. TURNER, AND S. B. HEN-DRICKS. 1966. The chromophore of phytochrome. Plant Physiol. 41: 1289-92.