vasoconstriction may have mediated the pulmonary vessel changes observed here (1, 7).

Long-term anti–IL-5 $R\alpha$ therapy may affect pulmonary vascular abnormalities in patients with eosinophilic asthma.

[Author disclosures](http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1164/rccm.202305-0849LE/suppl_file/disclosures.pdf) are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Grace Parraga, Ph.D., F.C.A.H.S., Robarts Research Institute, Western University, 1151 Richmond Street, London, ON N6A 5B7, Canada. Email: [gparraga@uwo.ca.](mailto:gparraga@uwo.ca)

References

- 1. Ash SY, Rahaghi FN, Come CE, Ross JC, Colon AG, Cardet-Guisasola JC, et al.; SARP Investigators. Pruning of the pulmonary vasculature in asthma: the Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP) cohort. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;198:39–50.
- 2. Saetta M, Di Stefano A, Rosina C, Thiene G, Fabbri LM. Quantitative structural analysis of peripheral airways and arteries in sudden fatal asthma. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;143:138–143.
- 3. Bleecker ER, FitzGerald JM, Chanez P, Papi A, Weinstein SF, Barker P, et al.; SIROCCO study investigators. Efficacy and safety of benralizumab for patients with severe asthma uncontrolled with highdosage inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β_2 -agonists (SIROCCO): a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet 2016;388:2115–2127.
- 4. FitzGerald JM, Bleecker ER, Nair P, Korn S, Ohta K, Lommatzsch M, et al.; CALIMA study investigators. Benralizumab, an anti-interleukin-5 receptor α monoclonal antibody, as add-on treatment for patients with severe, uncontrolled, eosinophilic asthma (CALIMA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet 2016;388: 2128–2141.
- 5. Suzuki T, Tada Y, Kawata N, Matsuura Y, Ikari J, Kasahara Y, et al. Clinical, physiological, and radiological features of asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap syndrome. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2015;10:947–954.
- 6. Harris RS, Winkler T, Tgavalekos N, Musch G, Melo MF, Schroeder T, et al. Regional pulmonary perfusion, inflation, and ventilation defects in bronchoconstricted patients with asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;174:245–253.
- 7. Sverzellati N, Silva M. The matter of the lung: quantification of vascular substance in asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 198:1–2.
- 8. Svenningsen S, Eddy RL, Lim HF, Cox PG, Nair P, Parraga G. Sputum eosinophilia and magnetic resonance imaging ventilation heterogeneity in severe asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018; 197:876–884.
- 9. Svenningsen S, Haider E, Boylan C, Mukherjee M, Eddy RL, Capaldi DPI, et al. CT and functional MRI to evaluate airway mucus in severe asthma. Chest 2019;155:1178–1189.
- 10. McIntosh MJ, Kooner HK, Eddy RL, Wilson A, Serajeddini H, Bhalla A,
et al. CT mucus score and ¹²⁹Xe MRI ventilation defects after 2.5 years' anti-IL-5Ra in eosinophilic asthma. Chest 2023;164: 27–38.
- 11. Sheikh K, Paulin GA, Svenningsen S, Kirby M, Paterson NA, McCormack DG, et al. Pulmonary ventilation defects in older neversmokers. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2014;117:297–306.
- 12. Estépar RS, Ross JC, Krissian K, Schultz T, Washko GR, Kindlmann GL. Computational vascular morphometry for the assessment of pulmonary vascular disease based on scale-space particles. Proc IEEE Int Symp Biomed Imaging 2012;1479–1482.

Copyright © 2023 by the American Thoracic Society

Check for updates

The Proper Assessment of Pendelluft and Absolute End-Expiratory Lung Volume

෬

Yuxian Wang¹, Jie Li², Ming Zhong¹, and Zhanqi Zhao³

¹ Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongshan Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China; ² Division of Respiratory Care, Department of Cardiopulmonary Sciences, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois; and ³Institute of Technical Medicine, Furtwangen University, Schwarzwald, Germany

ORCID IDs: [0000-0002-5578-5277](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5578-5277) (M.Z.); [0000-0002-1279-2207](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1279-2207) (Z.Z.).

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the article by Menga and colleagues that was published in a recent issue of the Journal [\(1\)](#page-1-0). They compared the respective effects of helmet pressure support (noninvasive ventilation [NIV]) and continuous positive-airway pressure (CPAP) to high-flow nasal oxygen in hypoxemic respiratory failure. This study demonstrated that helmet NIV reduced inspiratory effort and mitigated the pendelluft phenomenon. However, several factors might influence the reported findings.

First, we identified the discrepancy between the method description and the results for the pendelluft calculation. We believe that, according to their illustration in Figure 1 and the equation in the online supplement, the method proposed by the authors is very similar to one used in a previous study [\(2](#page-1-0), [3\)](#page-1-0). If that's the case, the pendelluft amplitude should always be positive, since the pixel-per-pixel-Tidal ΔZ is always larger than breathcycle-Tidal Δ Z by definition. However, in Figure E7 (the correlation between pixel-per-pixel-Tidal ΔZ and breath-cycle-Tidal ΔZ), the pixel-per-pixel-Tidal ΔZ was smaller. This discrepancy is confusing, and we are not sure how to interpret the results. In the main text, the authors claimed to use the LuMon system, but in the supplement, they used an electrical impedance tomography (EIT) device from MBMED. Would this be the cause, as different manufacturers might have different methods of parameter calculation?

Second, the local negative pleural pressure generated by diaphragmatic contraction is not evenly distributed but rather concentrated in the dependent lung regions because of a vertical pressure gradient from nondependent to dependent areas, resulting in an increased dorsal ventilation [\(2](#page-1-0)). Because of the heterogeneity, it is not justified to utilize TIV(Tidal Impedance

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [License 4.0.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) For commercial usage and reprints, please e-mail Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81971807).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: [10.1164/rccm.202306-1058LE](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202306-1058LE) on August 16, 2023

෬

Variation)/ ΔP_L (transpulmonary pressure) for calculating pixel compliance in patients with spontaneous breathing, regardless of the type of tidal impedance (global or the sum of all pixel TIV calculations) used.

Finally, for a given stress, the lung strain may be quite different, depending on the size of the lung. At the same time, the strain on the lungs is changing because of changes in endexpiratory lung capacity during the treatment with helmet CPAP or helmet NIV, and the opening of the dorsal alveoli. The lungs exhibit viscoelastic behavior, whereby their stress response is dependent on both the amplitude and rate of strain (4). Therefore, it is debatable to generalize the end-expiratory lung impedance (EELI) derived from $k = 13.7$. In addition, it should be noted that the ratio between the two derivatives cannot be assumed to be identical to that of their absolute values, as shown in Equation 2b: $\frac{\rm EELI_{pixel,abs}}{\rm EELI_{lung,abs}} = \frac{\rm EELI_{pixel,derived}}{\rm EELI_{lung,derived}}$.

The calculation of EELI depends on the selected reference point for image reconstruction, and the absolute value is not unique. The authors correctly pointed out this issue in chapter 5 of the supplement, so we are unable to follow why they would still calculate the EELI_{lung} using Equation 1b.

This is a fascinating study, as both EIT and esophageal pressure are potent tools. The combination of these two instruments yields additional indicators that warrant further exploration. The critical issues in the EIT data analysis posed questions on the present findings, which require further clarification.

[Author disclosures](http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1164/rccm.202306-1058LE/suppl_file/disclosures.pdf) are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Ming Zhong, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongshan Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China. Email: [zhong.ming@zs-hospital.sh.cn.](mailto:zhong.ming@zs-hospital.sh.cn)

References

- 1. Menga LS, Delle Cese L, Rosà T, Cesarano M, Scarascia R, Michi T, et al. Respective effects of helmet pressure support, continuous positive airway pressure, and nasal high-flow in hypoxemic respiratory failure: a randomized crossover clinical trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2023; 207:1310–1323.
- 2. Yoshida T, Torsani V, Gomes S, De Santis RR, Beraldo MA, Costa EL, et al. Spontaneous effort causes occult pendelluft during mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188: 1420–1427.
- 3. Sang L, Zhao Z, Yun PJ, Frerichs I, Möller K, Fu F, et al. Qualitative and quantitative assessment of pendelluft: a simple method based on electrical impedance tomography. Ann Transl Med 2020; 8:1216.
- 4. Protti A, Andreis DT, Monti M, Santini A, Sparacino CC, Langer T, et al. Lung stress and strain during mechanical ventilation: any difference between statics and dynamics? Crit Care Med 2013;41: 1046–1055.

Check for updates

Reply to Wang et al.

Luca S. Menga^{1,2,3,4}, Luca Delle Cese^{1,2}, Domenico L. Grieco^{1,2}, and Massimo Antonelli^{1,2}

¹ Department of Emergency, Intensive Care Medicine and Anesthesia, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Rome, Italy; ²Istituto di Anestesiologia e Rianimazione, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy; ³Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and ⁴Keenan Centre for Biomedical Research, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ORCID ID: [0000-0002-4557-6308](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4557-6308) (D.L.G.).

From the Authors:

We sincerely thank Wang and coworkers for their thoughtful comments on our study (1). These are greatly valued, as they help in refining our understanding of this complex topic.

We acknowledge the discrepancy identified concerning the pendelluft calculation. The pixel-per-pixel Tidal impedance variation (Tidal ΔZ) is indeed, by definition, always larger than the breath-cycle Tidal ΔZ , as evidenced when comparing the values for Tidal ΔZ in Table 2 and Table E1 in our study (1). The confusion arose as a result of a labeling error in Figure E7 in the online supplement (1), in which the x - and y -axes were inadvertently swapped. We are grateful to Wang and colleagues for spotting this oversight, allowing us to rectify the error promptly. A corrected version of the figure has been inserted in the online supplement (1); the Journal is also publishing an erratum to notify its readership of the change.

In their letter, Wang and colleagues provide several interesting physiological and methodological observations, sparking an engaging discussion on the complex physiology of transpulmonary pressure distribution and the limitations of electrical impedance tomography. It is indeed true that the propagation of transpulmonary pressure in the lungs is not homogeneous, with regional inhomogeneities potentially amplifying transpulmonary pressure in nearby areas. This theory, proposed more than 50 years ago, has been substantiated by Cressoni and coworkers using computed tomography scans, showcasing certain regions acting as "stress raisers" for the surrounding areas (2, 3). This might be particularly prominent during spontaneous breathing, in which the intense inspiratory effort coupled with local inhomogeneities may result in increased force delivery to the dorsobasal regions of the lungs (4).

In this sense, because positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) improves the aeration of dorsal areas and reduces the magnitude of pendelluft (5), it may also decrease the inflation inhomogeneities. This may explain why, in our study, the magnitude of pendelluft was lower with helmet continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)

Copyright © 2023 by the American Thoracic Society

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [License 4.0.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) For commercial usage and reprints, please e-mail Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: [10.1164/rccm.202307-1173LE](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202307-1173LE) on August 16, 2023