1 Functional Characterisation of the ATOH1 Molecular

2 Subtype Indicates a Pro-Metastatic Role in Small

3 Cell Lung Cancer

4 Alessia Catozzi^{1,2#}, Maria Peiris-Pagès^{1,2#}, Sam Humphrey^{1,2}, Mitchell Revill^{2,3},

5 Derrick Morgan^{2,3}, Jordan Roebuck^{2,3}, Yitao Chen^{1,2}, Bethan Davies-Williams^{1,2},

6 Alice Lallo^{1,2}, Melanie Galvin^{2,3}, Simon P Pearce³, Alastair Kerr^{2,3}, Lynsey Priest^{3,4},

7 Victoria Foy^{1,4}, Mathew Carter^{3,4}, Rebecca Caeser⁶, Joseph Chan⁶, Charles M.

- 8 Rudin⁶, Fiona Blackhall^{2,4,5}, Kristopher K Frese^{1,2,3}, Caroline Dive^{1,2,3*} and Kathryn L
- 9 Simpson^{1,2,3}

¹Cancer Research UK Manchester Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester,
United Kingdom.

²Cancer Research UK Lung Cancer Centre of Excellence, Manchester, United
 Kingdom

- 14 ³Cancer Research UK National Biomarker Centre, University of Manchester,
- 15 Manchester, United Kingdom.

⁴Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United
Kingdom

- 18 ⁵Division of Cancer Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of
- 19 Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom.

⁶Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY
10065, USA.

- 22 Current address for AC: Ridgeline Discovery GmbH, Basel, Switzerland
- 23 Current address for KKF: CellCentric Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 24 # These authors contributed equally
- 25 * corresponding author

- 26 Correspondence: Caroline Dive, Cancer Research UK National Biomarker Centre,
- 27 The University of Manchester, Wilmslow Road, Manchester, M20 4BX
- 28 email: <u>caroline.dive@cruk.manchester.ac.uk</u>

29 ABSTRACT

30 Molecular subtypes of Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC) have been described based 31 on differential expression of transcription factors (TFs) ASCL1, NEUROD1, POU2F3 32 and immune-related genes. We previously reported an additional subtype based on 33 expression of the neurogenic TF ATOH1 within our SCLC Circulating tumour cell-34 Derived eXplant (CDX) model biobank. Here we show that ATOH1 protein was 35 detected in 7/81 preclinical models and 16/102 clinical samples of SCLC. In CDX 36 models, ATOH1 directly regulated neurogenesis and differentiation programs 37 consistent with roles in normal tissues. In ex vivo cultures of ATOH1-positive CDX, 38 ATOH1 was required for cell survival. In vivo, ATOH1 depletion slowed tumour 39 growth and suppressed liver metastasis. Our data validate ATOH1 as a bona fide 40 oncogenic driver of SCLC with tumour cell survival and pro-metastatic functions. 41 Further investigation to explore ATOH1 driven vulnerabilities for targeted treatment 42 with predictive biomarkers is warranted.

43 INTRODUCTION

44 SCLC is an aggressive neuroendocrine (NE) tumour constituting ~15% of lung 45 cancers. SCLC is the sixth most common cause of cancer-related deaths, accounting for ~250,000 diagnoses worldwide each year¹⁻⁴. Most patients with SCLC 46 47 present with extensive stage (ES) disease characterised by widespread metastases 48 and rapidly acquired resistance to initially effective standard-of-care (SoC) platinumbased chemotherapy⁵. SoC was unchanged for >30 years⁶ until the recent addition 49 of immunotherapy that extends overall survival of a minority of patients, including 50 rare patients with durable responses⁷⁻¹⁰. 51

52 SCLC molecular subtypes were recently defined based on expression of master 53 neurogenic transcription factors (TFs) ASCL1 (SCLC-A) and NEUROD1 (SCLC-N) 54 and a rarer subtype defined by the non-neuroendocrine (Non-NE) Tuft Cell TF POU2F3 (SCLC-P)^{11,12}. SCLC expressing an immune signature without these TFs 55 was defined as 'inflamed' (SCLC-I)¹³. Preclinical studies suggest subtype-dependent 56 therapeutic vulnerabilities¹⁴ heralding potential for stratified therapy in clinical trials, 57 potentially guided by ctDNA methylation subtyping¹⁵ where serial liquid biopsy could 58 assess evolving subtype plasticity¹⁶. 59

Patients with SCLC have prevalent circulating tumour cells (CTCs)¹⁷, prompting our 60 61 establishment of CTC-Derived patient eXplant (CDX) models in immunodeficient mice to explore SCLC biology and test novel therapeutics¹². ASCL1 and/or 62 63 NEUROD1 subtype CDX consist primarily of NE cells with a minority Non-NE subpopulation^{12,18} consistent with the NE to NonNE phenotype switch brought about 64 by Notch signalling generating intra-tumoral heterogeneity^{16,19}. POU2F3 expressing 65 CDX13 tumours are exclusively Non-NE¹². YAP1, initially considered a subtype 66 determinant of SCLC¹¹, is expressed in Non-NE cells within ASCL1 or NEUROD1 67 CDX¹⁸. 68

We recently described a subset of SCLC CDX lacking expression of *ASCL1* or *POU2F3*, that instead expressed the neurogenic, basic helix-loop-helix TF *ATOH1*, which could be co-expressed with *NEUROD1*¹². *ATOH1* was expressed in 4 CDX models from 3/31 SCLC patients (9.6%). Two of these CDX were generated from the same patient pre- and post-treatment and maintained ATOH1 expression. 74 ATOH1 is homologue of Drosophila melanogaster Atonal, first identified in sensory organs of developing embryos²⁰. In mouse models, Atoh1 (or Math1) is critical for 75 76 development and differentiation of sensory cell types, including granule cells in the 77 brain, sensory inner ear hair cells, Merkel cells in the skin, and secretory cells in the intestine²¹⁻²⁷. Atoh1, like Ascl1, engages Notch signalling through lateral inhibition to 78 avoid aberrant cellular differentiation in brain and intestine^{24,28,29}. ATOH1 impact in 79 80 cancer is context-dependent, described as a tumour suppressor in colorectal cancer and an oncogene in medulloblastoma^{30,31}. Functional role(s) of ATOH1 in SCLC are 81 82 unknown.

83 Here we explore transcriptional programmes and cellular functions(s) regulated by 84 ATOH1 in SCLC. Although rare in our CDX biobank compared to SCLC-A, we 85 identified ATOH1 in a subset of patients' tumours and in additional Patient-Derived eXplants (PDX) models³². We show that in SCLC cell lines and/or CDX models, 86 87 ATOH1 regulates neurogenesis, maintains cell survival in vitro and promotes tumour 88 growth and liver metastasis in vivo. Our study adds to the emerging landscape of 89 SCLC heterogeneity, highlighting potential for subtype-stratified approaches for 90 improved treatment outcomes.

91 **RESULTS**

92 ATOH1, MYCL and chemosensitivity

93 We suggested ATOH1 as a SCLC subtype determinant after noting its expression in 94 4/38 CDX models that were distinct upon unsupervised clustering of whole transcriptomes¹² (Figure 1A-i). Four ATOH1 CDX were derived from three donors: 95 96 one sampled prior to chemotherapy (CDX25), one post-chemotherapy (CDX30P) 97 and one where paired CDX were generated pre- and post-chemotherapy (CDX17, CDX17P), with maintained ATOH1 expression¹² (Table S1). Whilst ATOH1 can be 98 co-expressed with NEUROD1 (Figure 1A-i), we confirmed and extended Principal 99 100 Component Analysis (PCA) of transcriptomic data from 39 CDX (including SCLC-A 101 CDX31P¹⁸) that separated ATOH1 models from NEUROD1-only models and from 102 models expressing ASCL1 or POU2F3 (Figure 1A-ii). As ATOH1 is expressed in Merkel cells and most Merkel cell carcinomas (MCCs)³³, we checked whether 103 104 ATOH1 CDX were in fact derived from CTCs from mis-diagnosed MCC primary 105 tumours. MCC is characterised by the presence of oncogenic Merkel cell polyoma virus (MCPyV) in 80% of cases³⁴. We detected MCPyV sequences in MCC patient 106 107 samples from a publicly available dataset (PRJNA775071) but not in any ATOH1 108 SCLC CDX (Figure S1A). Because a minority of MCC expresses neither ATOH1 nor 109 MCPyV, we performed differential gene expression analysis (DGEA) of ATOH1 CDX 110 compared to the entire CDX biobank and applied a Merkel cell-specific gene signature³⁵ (Table S2), which was not significantly enriched in ATOH1 CDX (Figure 111 112 S1B), further supporting that ATOH1 CDX do not have a Merkel cell origin.

SCLC subtyping was based predominantly on transcriptomes^{11,13,36}. To examine 113 114 ATOH1 protein expression we optimised an IHC assay using a commercially 115 available antibody (from here on referred to as Ptech), that revealed nuclear ATOH1 116 staining only in ATOH1 subtype CDX (Figure 1A-iii, quantified in 1A-iv). Like ASCL1 117 and POU2F3 and in contrast to NEUROD1, ATOH1 transcript and protein 118 expression followed a bimodal pattern; ATOH1 was either highly expressed or 119 undetectable (Figure 1A-i, 1A-iii, 1A-iv). Whilst ATOH1 CDX expressed neither 120 ASCL1 nor POU2F3 (Figure 1A-i), ATOH1 was expressed alone (CDX17P) or in 121 combination with NEUROD1 at the transcript (Figure 1A-i) and protein level (Figure 122 1A-iii, CDX25, CDX30P: high *NEUROD1* expression, 78% positive tumour cells;
123 CDX17: moderate *NEUROD1* expression, 30% positive tumour cells).

MYCL amplification is often observed in SCLC and MCC^{37,38}. ATOH1 expression in
 CDX strongly correlates with *MYCL* focal amplification (Figure 1A-v, p=2.43*10⁻⁵),
 resulting in higher levels of *MYCL* transcript (Figure S1C) and MYCL protein (Figure
 1A-vi, S1D) compared to other subtypes.

CDX reflect chemosensitivity profiles of their patient donors^{12,39}. We investigated 128 129 responses of ATOH1 CDX models to SoC (cisplatin/etoposide) in vivo adopting a 130 modified version of preclinical RECIST (pRECIST) (see methods); tumour growth 131 data are transformed to progressive disease (PD1, PD2), stable disease (SD) and partial (PR), complete (CR) and maintained responses (MCR)^{40,41}. Compared to 132 133 other molecular subtype CDX (31 SCLC-A, 25 patients, 2 SCLC-N, 2 patients) which 134 displayed variable chemotherapy responses, all 4 ATOH1 CDX (3 patients) were the 135 most chemoresistant, scoring as PD1 (Figure 1A-vii, Fisher's exact test, p = 0.0049; 136 Table S1). This finding was mirrored in clinical data from the 3 ATOH1 CDX patient 137 donors who all had chemorefractory disease (Table S1). Whilst a larger number of 138 ATOH1 models are required, our early findings imply a putative association of 139 ATOH1 with chemotherapy resistance.

ATOH1 was expressed (transcript and protein) in 2/51 SCLC cell lines⁴² (Figure 1B) 140 and 2/42 SCLC PDX³² (Figure 1C). The PDX and cell lines also exhibited bimodal 141 142 ATOH1 expression accompanied by either low (HCC33) or high expression of 143 NEUROD1 (CORL24, LX424, LX443) (Figure 1B-C, inserts). MYCL amplification was observed in ATOH1-expressing SCLC cell lines⁴³ (HCC33 CN ratio ~5, CORL24 144 145 CN ratio ~2) and PDX (LX424/443³²) and all ATOH1 preclinical models express 146 amongst the highest reported levels of MYCL (Figure S1E-F). The ATOH1 147 expressing PDX were obtained from one chemorefractory donor (Table S1). Overall, 148 whilst requiring larger sample sizes, these findings indicate that ATOH1 expression 149 in SCLC CDX, PDX and cell lines, with or without NEUROD1, correlates with high 150 *MYCL* expression and chemoresistance.

151 ATOH1 in SCLC clinical specimens

ATOH1 was detected in 1/81 SCLC tumours³⁶ and in 3/100 small cell NE pulmonary 152 and extrapulmonary carcinoma (SCNC) biopsies⁴⁴. We detected ATOH1 in 1/19 153 SCLC tumours profiled by single cell RNA-Seg (scRNA-Seg)⁴⁵, previously classified 154 155 as NEUROD1 subtype with expression of NEUROD2 and NEUROD4 (Figure 2A). 156 We quantified ATOH1 protein in 65 specimens from 11 LS and 54 ES SCLC patients 157 from the CHEMORES protocol and 37 specimens from LS patients enrolled in the 158 CONVERT trial (methods, Table S4). ATOH1 was detected in 16/102 (16%) cases 159 (Figure 2Ai-ii). One patient sample co-expressed ATOH1 and NEUROD1 (1/16, 6%) 160 (Figure 2A-iii, Table S5) but in contrast to CDX and PDX, 8/16 (50%) ATOH1+ 161 samples also had detectable ASCL1 expression and all three neurogenic TFs were 162 detectable in 3/16 (19%) cases (Figure 2A-iii). Due to scant biopsies, we could not 163 investigate cellular co-expression of TFs. ATOH1 expression did not correlate with 164 altered OS or PFS compared to other SCLC subtypes (data not shown) in this 165 cohort. Nevertheless, the relatively high prevalence of ATOH1 expression in clinical 166 samples either alone or combined with ASCL1 and/or NEUROD1 encouraged further 167 study of ATOH1-driven biology.

ATOH1 regulates a neurogenesis program by binding to E-boxes at promoter and enhancer regions in SCLC CDX

170 To interrogate the biological role of ATOH1 in CDX, we developed stable CDX17P 171 lines carrying doxycycline-inducible (DOX) ATOH1 knock down (KD) ShRNA 172 constructs (ShATOH1#1, -#3) or a control ShRNA targeting Renilla luciferase⁴⁶ 173 (ShRen) which also expressed GFP following DOX induction (Figure 3A-i). GFP 174 expression enabled flow cytometric sorting of transduced cells. Maximal ATOH1 KD 175 was observed after 7 days with both the Ptech antibody (Figure S2A) shown 176 previously for IHC, as well as a previously in-house generated antibody (SY0287) 177 (S2B-E, 3A-ii).

Transcriptional programs of ATOH1 are unexplored in SCLC. To reveal ATOH1specific TF-DNA binding we conducted chromatin immunoprecipitation with
massively parallel sequencing (ChIP-Seq) on ATOH1-competent CDX17P (ShRen, 7
days DOX and untreated ShATOH1#3) and ATOH1-depleted ShATOH1#3 CDX17P
(7 days DOX). Upon ATOH1 KD (Figure 3B-i), samples clustered based on ATOH1
expression (Figure S3A). Whilst ATOH1 ChIP-Seq signal was almost completely lost

184 upon ATOH1 KD using SY0287 (Figure 3B-ii), some ChIP-Seq signal (~50%) was 185 retained with Ptech (Figure S3B) possibly due to non-specific antibody binding 186 consistent with immunoblots (Figure S2A, 3B-i). Metagene analysis showed that 187 ATOH1 peaks were located on the Transcription Start Site (TSS), near H3K4me3 peaks that identify active promoter regions⁴⁷ and at intergenic regions mostly 188 189 downstream of the gene body (Figure S3C) indicating that ATOH1 could regulate 190 transcription at both promoter and distal regulatory elements. In support we found 191 that ATOH1 binds to its own enhancer located downstream and highly conserved 192 across species²² (Figure 3B-iii, S3D).

193 To identify high confidence ATOH1 binding peaks, we performed differential binding 194 analysis between ATOH1 replete and depleted conditions, considering peaks 195 detected by both antibodies and thus avoiding potential false positives. We found 196 17,738 ATOH1-specific binding events corresponding to 70% total peaks detected 197 (25,464) (Figure 3C-i, Table S6). Amongst ATOH1-specific binding events, peaks 198 are located at promoter regions (25%) and putative enhancer regions, such as distal 199 intergenic (24%) and intronic regions (41%) (Figure 3C-ii) in accordance with recent results from MCC lines⁴⁸. The most highly enriched motifs in ATOH1-specific peaks 200 201 were basic helix-loop-helix binding motifs, including the reported ATOH1 DNA 202 binding motif (MA0461.2) and the Atoh1 E-box-associated motif (AtEAM) identified in murine studies^{22,49} (Figure 3C-iii). Compared to the second and third most enriched 203 204 motifs (homeodomains and zinc-fingers), E-box and ATOH1-specific motifs were 205 found at the summit of ATOH1 peaks (Figure 3C-iv) suggesting they are uniquely 206 present where there is highest ATOH1 signal⁵⁰.

207 ATOH1 target genes in SCLC CDX

208 We then sought to identify the biological processes in SCLC regulated by ATOH1 209 and its putative target genes. Consistent with its role as a neurogenic TF, ATOH1-210 bound genes were enriched in pathways related to neurogenesis (Figure S3E-F, 211 Table S7). However, this analysis only considered DNA binding events irrespective 212 of gene expression changes. To define genes directly regulated by ATOH1, we performed global transcriptomics (RNA-Seq) of CDX17P cells cultured ex vivo in 213 214 presence or absence of DOX-induced ATOH1 KD (ShATOH#1, -#3). Genes directly 215 regulated by ATOH1 should be downregulated after ATOH1 loss. As expected,

216 ATOH1 was the most differentially expressed (DE) gene of ~500 genes (Figure 4A-i, 217 Table S8). Genes upregulated after ATOH1 KD included those involved in cell 218 adhesion and migration, whereas downregulated genes play roles in neurogenesis 219 (Figure 4A-ii, Table S9) and in inner ear hair cell differentiation, corroborated by 220 decreased expression of independent inner ear hair cell signatures upon ATOH1 221 KD^{51,52} (Figure S4A-B, Table S10-S11). Overall, our findings agree with known 222 ATOH1 transcriptional programs in murine developmental models whereby Atoh1 is 223 required for inner ear hair cell and cerebellar granule cell development and 224 differentiation²¹, although relevance of these processes to SCLC initiation and 225 progression is unclear.

ASCL1 and NEUROD1 are highly expressed in NE subtypes of SCLC^{11,53} and drive a NE transcriptional program. Given that ATOH1 also regulates neurogenesis, we asked whether NE status was affected by ATOH1 depletion. Whilst a 25-gene NE signature⁵⁴ and SYP expression were unchanged upon ATOH1 KD (Figure S4C,E, Table S10), a 25-gene Non-NE signature was upregulated⁵⁴ (Figure S4D, Table S10) suggesting that ATOH1 may contribute to NE to Non-NE plasticity, albeit without increased expression of YAP1 nor MYC (Figure S4E).

233 Fewer significant transcriptional changes were seen upon ATOH1 KD relative to the 234 abundance of ATOH1 binding sites (by ChIP-Seq), suggesting that ATOH1 activity 235 might be restricted to a subset of ATOH1-bound genes in SCLC CDX. Thus, to infer 236 direct ATOH1 transcriptional targets in SCLC, we performed an integrated analysis 237 of ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq with the Binding and Expression Target Analysis 238 (BETA)⁵⁵. We found that ATOH1 mainly acts as a transcriptional activator (Figure 239 4B-i, blue line) and identified 150 genes downregulated upon ATOH1 depletion, 240 directly downstream of ATOH1 (Table S12). Among these genes were components 241 of Notch signalling (including HES6, DLL1, DLL3, DLL4) consistent with the interplay between ATOH1 and Notch signalling during brain and intestinal development^{24,56} 242 243 and genes important for inner ear hair cell development such as USH2A, LHX3 and RASD2⁵². Concordant with transcriptomics analysis (Figure 4A-ii), ATOH1 direct 244 245 targets are also involved in neurogenesis and inner ear hair cell differentiation 246 (Figure 4B-ii, Table S13).

247 This integrated analysis was performed in only CDX17P, so we next asked whether 248 ATOH1 direct targets were conserved across all ATOH1 expressing CDX. We 249 performed DGEA between ATOH1 CDX (CDX17, 17P, 25, 30P) and the whole CDX 250 Biobank (35 CDX) (Figure 4C-i, Table S14), followed by gene set enrichment 251 analysis (GSEA) for ATOH1 direct targets to demonstrate ATOH1 direct target genes 252 were conserved (Figure 4C-ii, NES = 2.48, $p = 1.13 \times 10^{-16}$). We also detected high 253 expression of ATOH1 target genes in the 2 ATOH1 SCLC PDX (Figure 4C-iii, NES = 254 2.44, $p = 5 \times 10^{-10}$ and an ATOH1 expressing tumour from the MSK SCLC tumour atlas dataset⁴⁵ (Figure 4C-iv). These direct targets comprise the first SCLC-based 255 256 ATOH1 gene signature consistently observed in CDX, PDX and tumour biopsies, 257 indicative of a conserved transcriptional role for ATOH1 in SCLC.

258 Impact of ATOH1 on SCLC CDX cell survival ex vivo

259 We examined the biological effects of ATOH1 depletion via DOX-inducible ATOH1 260 KD in CDX17P cells. Maximal ATOH1 KD was achieved after 7 days of DOX (Figure 261 S2A) and was maintained for 14 days (the longest duration of ex vivo studies). 262 Withdrawal of DOX restored ATOH1 expression (7 days +DOX, then 7 days -DOX) 263 (Figure 5A-i, ii). ATOH1 depletion caused >50% decrease in cell viability 264 (ShATOH1#1, p=0.0025; ShATOH1#3, p=0.0124), compared to un-induced and 265 ShRen controls, which was attenuated by restoring ATOH1 expression (Figure 5B-i). 266 To interrogate the mechanism of decreased cell viability, we established DOX-267 inducible ATOH1 KD in CDX30P and HCC33 SCLC cells (Figure S5A-B) and 268 assessed cell death and cell cycle progression following ATOH1 depletion. 269 Compared to ShRen DOX-induced controls and un-induced cells, there were no 270 reproducible changes in cell cycle progression in CDX17P or CDX30P upon ATOH1 271 depletion for 14 days (Figure 5B-ii, Figure S5C). A modest ~12% decrease in cell 272 proliferation was evident in HCC33 cells although this did not constitute a complete 273 proliferation arrest with ~15% cells still cycling (Figure S5D). These slightly different 274 effects on proliferation in CDX versus HCC33 may result from differences between 275 cell lines and CDX ex vivo cultures. Instead, ATOH1 depletion increased cell death 276 in CDX17P (55%), CDX30P (42%) and HCC33 (44%) after 14 days of ATOH1 depletion (Figure 5B-iii) via a caspase-3-independent process (Figure 5B-iv). After 7 277 278 days of DOX treatment, ATOH1 KD already induced detectable cell death (Figure 279 5C-i) and a decrease in ATP production, used as a proxy for viable cell number 280 (Figure 5C-ii, iii, in red). Because other types of non-apoptotic, programmed cell death such as ferroptosis and pyroptosis have been observed in SCLC^{57,58}, we 281 282 induced ATOH1 KD in CDX17P and CDX30P ShATOH1#1 with DOX, with or without 283 cell death pathway inhibitors for 7 days. Inhibition of apoptosis, pyroptosis, 284 necroptosis or ferroptosis (with single or combined inhibitors) did not prevent ATOH1 285 KD-induced loss of cell viability (Figure 5C-ii, iii). Taken together, these findings 286 identify ATOH1 as necessary for cell survival in CDX17P, CDX30P and HCC33 cells 287 as its depletion induces cell death, either via an undefined programmed cell death 288 pathway or most likely via necrosis.

289 Impact of ATOH1 on tumour growth in vivo

We next asked whether the role of ATOH1 in maintaining cell survival *ex vivo* translated to an impact on tumour growth *in vivo*. CDX17P control ShRen or ShATOH1(#3) cells were implanted subcutaneously (s.c.) in immunocompromised mice, and KD was induced with DOX-supplemented feed after 19 days (Figure 6A), when mice had palpable tumours. Once tumours reached 500-800 mm³ they were surgically resected and mice kept on study for 28 days to allow time for metastatic dissemination (based on previous experiments, see methods, Figure 6A).

297 A significantly delayed s.c. tumour growth was observed in mice bearing DOX-298 induced ATOH1 KD tumours compared to DOX-induced ShRen controls or un-299 induced tumours (Figure 6B-i, ii). This tumour growth delay extended time to reach 300 the experimental endpoint tumour volume or s.c. tumour surgical resection (22 days 301 for ShRen, 35 days for ShATOH1, p<0.0001, Figure 6C). To interpret the observed 302 growth delay, we examined persistence of ATOH1 KD throughout the experiment by performing IHC for ATOH1 and GFP in resected s.c. tumours (mean tumour volume 303 and time from implant: 603 ± 54 mm³, 44 ± 5 days ShRen +DOX; 552 ± 48 mm³, 70 ± 13 304 305 days ShATOH1 +DOX) (Figure 6B-i). At tumour resection, mice bearing DOX-306 induced ATOH1 KD tumours showed a 75% reduction in ATOH1 protein expression 307 and both DOX-induced controls and KD tumours had high expression of GFP (Figure 308 S6A-i, ii). However, GFP expression was ~10% lower in DOX-induced ATOH1 KD 309 tumours (Figure S6A-ii, p=0.008) and expression of GFP and ATOH1 was 310 heterogeneous in DOX-induced ATOH1 KD tumours, with most tumour presenting 311 with some GFP-, ATOH1+ regions (Figure S6A-iii).

Overall, these data indicate that reduced ATOH1 expression promotes tumour growth delay *in vivo*, where impact may have been attenuated by outgrowth of ATOH1 positive cells which are potentially un-transduced wild-type cells or cells that escaped inducible KD, as reported in other settings^{59,60}. These data are consistent with a selective pressure to re-instate ATOH1 expression in ATOH1 KD tumours supporting a pro-tumorigenic role for ATOH1.

318 A Role for ATOH1 in liver-metastatic dissemination in vivo

319 We previously reported metastasis to multiple organs, including brain and liver, occurs after resection of s.c. CDX17P tumours¹². To investigate whether ATOH1 320 321 supports metastatic growth, s.c. tumours were resected and mice left on study for 28 322 days (Figure 6A) before metastasis (defined as >50 tumour cells) were quantified 323 using a human mitochondria antibody and IHC. Dissemination, predominantly to the 324 liver, was observed in all cohorts regardless of DOX feed, including single tumour 325 cells, micro-or macro-metastasis (Figure 6D). Although frequency of liver metastases 326 between control and DOX-induced ATOH1 KD mice was approximately equivalent, 327 all liver metastases from DOX-induced ShATOH1 mice were negative for GFP and 328 expressed similar levels of ATOH1 compared to un-induced tumours (Figure 6E-i, ii), again implying a selective pressure to retain/re-express ATOH1^{59,60} and indirectly 329 330 suggesting a role for ATOH1 in promoting liver metastasis.

331 In a more direct approach to investigate the role of ATOH1 in metastasis, we 332 performed intracardiac injection of tumour cells (Figure 6F), reasoning liver 333 metastasis would occur faster, allowing less time for outgrowth of cells with high or 334 re-expressed ATOH1 (Figure 6E). CDX17P control ShRen or ShATOH1 cells were 335 cultured with or without DOX for 4 days to induce ATOH1 KD in vitro and GFP-336 positive viable cells were sorted by flow cytometry before intra-cardiac injection. One 337 group of mice per construct (ShRen and ShATOH1) received DOX-supplemented 338 feed (N=5 ShRen and N=8 ShATOH1), while control animals were maintained on 339 standard diet (N=5 ShRen and N=5 ShATOH1). Animals were removed from study 340 70 days after intracardiac injection (see methods, Figure 6F).

Almost all animals (14/15) in control cohorts (standard feed or implanted with DOXinduced ShRen cells) were removed before study endpoint due to extensive metastatic liver disease (Figure S6B). In contrast, 8/8 (100%) animals implanted with 344 DOX-induced ShATOH1 cells reached study endpoint (time from implantation: 53.6 ± 345 7.9 ShRen+DOX; 70 ± 0 ShATOH1+DOX; Figure 6G). There was a significant 346 reduction in metastatic burden in animals with ATOH1 KD compared to control 347 cohorts (Figure 6H-I) and only one animal in the DOX-induced ShATOH1 group 348 developed liver metastasis (Figure S6B). Despite showing positive GFP expression 349 (>40% GFP+ cells), the only liver metastasis derived from ATOH1 KD cells also 350 exhibited ATOH1 positivity in >60% of metastatic cells, indicating that ATOH1 KD 351 was not completely retained in these cells (Figure 6Ji-ii). These data provide more 352 direct evidence that ATOH1 KD reduced metastasis to the liver and promoted longer 353 survival.

354 **DISCUSSION**

Emerging understanding of SCLC subtypes and phenotypic plasticity are considered key to support rational development of biomarker-directed personalised treatments¹⁴. Building upon knowledge of inter- and intra-tumoural heterogeneity^{32,44}, we have characterised the ATOH1 subtype, defining its prevalence and demonstrating protumour functions of growth and metastasis.

ASCL1. NEUROD1 and ATOH1 are all proneural TFs negatively regulated by Notch 360 signalling^{24,28,61}. Whilst expression of ATOH1 is not reported during normal lung 361 362 development, its expression has been reported in NE lung cancer⁶², extrapulmonary cancers⁴⁴, 363 high-grade neuroendocrine Merkel cell carcinoma $(MCC)^{33}$, medulloblastoma^{63,64} and rarely in NSCLC⁶⁵ and colorectal cancer (CRC)^{30,66,67}. 364 365 Whilst mechanistically understudied, in medulloblastoma and MCC ATOH1 is tumour-promoting^{31,68-70}, whereas it is a tumour suppressor in CRC^{30,66}. These 366 367 opposing context-dependent functions have been attributed to imbalance between differentiation and proliferation driven by abnormal ATOH1 expression levels⁷¹. 368

369 Co-expression of subtype TFs is commonly observed, contributing to SCLC 370 heterogeneity^{12,32,72,73}. ATOH1 was found to be frequently expressed in SCLC 371 clinical samples, either alone or with ASCL1 and/or NEUROD1 (Figures 1, 2) 372 extending existing sparse data⁶². In CDX30 where ATOH1 was co-expressed with 373 NEUROD1, ATOH1 depletion impacted cell survival *ex vivo* (Figure 5), suggesting 374 that NEUROD1 could not compensate for ATOH1 loss. Furthermore, NEUROD1 was

not identified amongst ATOH1 direct targets and there was minimal overlap with
 ASCL1 and NEUROD1 target genes (Figure 4, Table S15). Like NEUROD1 and
 ASCL1 in their respective subtypes⁷⁴⁻⁷⁹, ATOH1 supports cell viability in ATOH1
 subtype tumour cells (Figure 5).

379 In SCLC, ATOH1 exerts its function by binding E-box motifs at promoter and 380 enhancer regions of target genes as in the developing mouse brain⁴⁹ and in MCC⁸⁰, including binding to its own downstream enhancer²² (Figure 3). In CDX, ATOH1 381 382 directly regulates expression of genes involved in neuronal fate development and 383 mechanoreceptor differentiation (Figure 4) consistent with murine developmental studies^{21,81,82}. This is also consistent with the role of ATOH1 in MCC³³. The ability of 384 385 ATOH1 to regulate neuronal fate determination and Notch ligands (DLL1, DLL3, DLL4) in mice²⁴ mirrors the activity of ASCL1 in SCLC^{53,74}; in CDX17P, ATOH1 386 387 depletion increased expression of Non-NE and cell adhesion genes invoking a 388 similar role for ATOH1 in NE fate determination in SCLC (Figure S4). However, as 389 the NE gene expression signature was retained upon ATOH1 depletion (Figure S4), additional factors, for example, MYC overexpression¹⁶, are likely required to promote 390 391 full NE to Non-NE transition in ATOH1-driven SCLC. The need for additional signals 392 to fully induce a NE to Non-NE transition is similarly posited in studies of ASCL1 and 393 NEUROD1 depletion in SCLC, where morphological changes or a NE to Non-NE transition were not observed^{77,78,83,84}. 394

395 Both ATOH1 and ASCL1 correlate with MYCL overexpression (Figure 1). In SCLC, 396 overexpression/genetic amplification of MYCL was often correlated with the SCLC-A subtype and MYCL is a direct transcriptional target of ASCL1^{35, 52, 86}. A more 397 complex relationship was recently revealed by a clinical study whereby MYCL 398 protein was present in only ~30% of ASCL1+ samples⁷³. Further adding to this 399 400 heterogeneity, we show that all ATOH1-expressing CDX present focal amplification 401 and overexpression of MYCL (Figure 1, S1). A correlation between ATOH1 and MYCL expression was also observed in MCC^{37,38}. However, we did not identify 402 403 MYCL as a direct ATOH1 target (Table S12) and MYCL expression was unchanged 404 upon ATOH1 depletion (Table S8, Figure 4). Combined, these data indicate that 405 other factors contribute to MYCL expression in ATOH1-positive SCLC.

The profound impact of metastasis on SCLC patient outcomes drives a pressing need to understand and target underlying mechanisms. Acquisition of neuronal gene expression programmes is associated with invasive and metastatic SCLC in cell lines and GEMMs^{59,85,86}. In CDX17P, ATOH1 is pro-metastatic (Figure 6) drawing parallels with the ATOH1 pro-invasive phenotype in MCC⁸⁷ and its pro-metastatic role in medulloblastoma⁸⁸. ATOH1 downregulation was linked with loss of cell adhesion (Figure 4A-ii, Table S8), which was also observed in MCC^{33,89}.

413 SCLC was once considered to derive from pulmonary neuroendocrine cell (PNEC) 414 precursors⁹⁰. However, elegant studies in SCLC GEMMs describe different potential cells of origin^{59,91-93} with differences only evident at the molecular level^{16,45,53}. In this 415 416 regard, similarities between MCC and ATOH1-driven SCLC are intriguing. MCC is a 417 NE skin carcinoma, expressing epithelial and NE markers with morphological, ultrastructural and immunohistochemical features shared with Merkel cells⁹¹⁻⁹³ vet 418 419 there is no direct histo-genetic link between Merkel cells and MCC with ongoing debate on cell(s) of origin of MCC^{94,95}. Tumour heterogeneity in MCC is attributed to 420 421 variant disease aetiologies mediated by either UV exposure or Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) integration⁹⁵. Virus-positive MCC has low mutation burden, 422 423 whilst virus-negative MCC, like SCLC, have characteristic RB1 and TP53 mutations in a highly mutated landscape^{96,97}. The recent identification of 'mesenchymal-like' 424 425 MCC with an 'inflamed' phenotype exhibiting better response to immunotherapy 426 draws parallels with the SCLC-I subtype¹³ and contrasts 'immune-cold' 427 immunotherapy resistant MCC with higher expression of neuroepithelial markers including ATOH1⁹⁸. Altogether, that the ATOH1 subtype of SCLC CDX shares 428 features with NE SCLC and with MCC, another NE cancer, is perhaps not surprising 429 and might indicate convergent tumour evolution^{94,99}. 430

In summary, here we validate the ATOH1 SCLC subtype (SCLC-AT) where ATOH1
suppresses cell death and promotes tumour growth and metastasis. Further studies
are now needed to deepen our understanding of ATOH1-driven SCLC biology and to
address whether there are therapeutic vulnerabilities of this subtype.

435 FIGURES AND LEGENDS



Figure 1. ATOH1 is expressed in a transcriptionally distinct subset of SCLC
CDX, PDX and established cell lines. (A-i) Heatmap illustrating expression levels
of *ASCL1, NEUROD1, ATOH1* and *POU2F3* in the SCLC CDX biobank, annotated
by SCLC subtype and NE score^{12,18}. Gene expression is shown as log₂(TPM+1). (A-

440 ii) Unbiased principal component analysis (PCA) of SCLC CDX in the biobank 441 annotated by SCLC molecular subtypes. Key: blue, ASCL1; pink, NEUROD1; yellow, 442 ATOH1; green, POU2F3. (A-iii) Representative IHC images for ATOH1, ASCL1, 443 NEUROD1 and POU2F3 in a panel of CDX models belonging to different SCLC 444 molecular subtypes. Scale bars: 50 µm. (A-iv) Quantification of ATOH1 expression in 445 N=2 CDX tumours in a panel of CDX models. (A-v) Boxplot of MYCL copy number 446 (CN), reported as CN ratio (Log2(CN/2)), in CDX grouped by molecular subtype 447 (ATOH1 or other). Statistics reported as per Wilcoxon rank sum exact test. (A-vi) 448 Quantification of MYCL expression by IHC in N=2 CDX tumours in a panel of CDX 449 models belonging to different SCLC molecular subtypes (annotated below). (A-vii) 450 Chemosensitivity scores of the SCLC CDX biobank according to pRECIST criteria, 451 coloured by SCLC molecular subtypes. Key: yellow, ATOH1; blue, ASCL1; pink, 452 NEUROD1. Data are reported after 1 cycle of cisplatin/etoposide treatment and as 453 average of N>3 mice for N=29 CDX (see methods). Statistical analysis was 454 performed with a Fisher's exact test between ATOH1 CDX and the remaining CDX: 455 p = 0.0049. (B-C) Violin plot representing expression of indicated NE and Non-NE 456 TFs in SCLC established cell lines (B) and the SCLC CDX and PDX biobank³² (C); 457 ATOH1-expressing HCC33, CORL24 (B) and LX424, LX443 (C) are highlighted in 458 red. Gene expression is reported as $Log_2(TPM+1)$. Inserts are representative images 459 of ATOH1 and NEUROD1 IHC staining for HCC33 (B) and LX424, LX443 (C).



460 Figure 2. ATOH1 protein is expressed in SCLC clinical samples. (A) UMAP plots 461 of single cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) from SCLC biopsies from the publicly available MSK SCLC Atlas⁴⁵ reporting expression of ATOH1 (left panel) and NEUROD1 (right 462 463 panel). Gene expression reported in units of $log_2(X + 1)$ where X = normalized 464 counts. (B-i) Representative IHC images for ATOH1, ASCL1 and NEUROD1 in 465 SCLC tissue biopsies presenting with single, dual or triple positivity (annotated). (B-466 ii) Pie chart illustrating the prevalence of ATOH1-positive (>5% positive tumour cells) 467 clinical specimens (N=16/102). (B-iii) Venn diagram illustrating overlap of ASCL1, 468 ATOH1 and NEUROD1 expression in N=102 clinical specimens as detected by IHC. 469 Positivity determined as >1.5% positive tumour cells for ASCL1 and NEUROD1; 470 positivity for ATOH1 determined as in B-ii.



471 Figure 3. High confidence ATOH1 binding sites are located at promoter and 472 enhancer regions and are enriched for E-box motifs. (A-i) Schematic of DOXinducible knock-down (KD) system: without DOX, eGFP and shRNAs targeting 473 474 ATOH1 (ShATOH1) or Renilla Luciferase (ShRen) are not expressed; upon induction 475 with DOX, both eGFP and ShATOH1 or ShRen are expressed. (A-ii) (F) Nuclear 476 fractionation validating ATOH1 KD with the in-house ATOH1 antibody SY0287 in 477 CDX17P ShRen, ShATOH1#1 and ShATOH1#3 upon treatment with DOX for 7 478 days. (B-i) Western blot showing ATOH1 expression (detected with the Ptech 479 antibody) in the samples processed for ChIP-Seq. (B-ii) Heatmap of ChIP-Seq signal

480 for consensus peak sets SY0287 in ATOH1 competent (grey) and depleted (red) 481 CDX17P, generated with the generateEnrichedHeatmap function within profileplyr v1.8.1¹⁰⁰. (B-iii) ATOH1 binding peaks at ATOH1 locus highlighting ATOH1 binding 482 483 peaks at ATOH1 downstream enhancer (light green), which are lost upon ATOH1 484 depletion. In dark green, ChIP-Seq tracks for H3K4me3 at the ATOH1 locus. The 485 peaks were visualized with the Integrated Genomics Viewer genome browser. (C-i) 486 Volcano plot of ATOH1 differentially bound regions (by false discovery rate, FDR < 487 0.05) in ATOH1 competent vs ATOH1 depleted CDX17P. Significant peaks 488 highlighted in pink (17,738). (C-ii) Relative frequency of ATOH1 differentially bound 489 peaks in regulatory genetic regions. (C-iii) Motif enrichment analysis of ATOH1 differentially bound peaks with MEME ChIP¹⁰¹. Mouse Atoh1 E-box-associated motif 490 (AtEAM⁴⁹) reported for comparison with Atoh1 DNA binding motif and bHLH motif. 491 (C-iv) Centrimo⁵⁰ analysis of the location of enriched motifs in ATOH1 differentially 492 493 bound peaks.



Figure 4. Identification of ATOH1 targetome and gene signature. (A-i) Volcano plot illustrating differentially expressed (DE) genes upon ATOH1 depletion (DOX treatment for 6 days) in CDX17P. Key: grey, not significant; blue, significant by p value; red, significant by p value <0.01 and log₂(fold change) >0.8 or <-0.8. Dotted lines represent the thresholds for determining significant gene expression changes (p value <0.01 and log₂(fold change) >0.8 or <-0.8). The most significant DE genes are labelled. (A-ii) Bar plot illustrating the top 20 biological processes up- and

501 downregulated upon ATOH1 KD in CDX17P. Analysis was performed with aProfiler2¹⁰². (B-i) Prediction of ATOH1 transcriptional function after integration of 502 ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq with BETA⁵⁵. ATOH1 KD results in downregulation of genes 503 with ATOH1 binding sites identified in ChIP-Seg ($p = 7.68 \times 10^{-5}$) and is predicted to 504 505 have a function in promoting transcription. (B-ii) Bar plot illustrating biological 506 processes (performed with gProfiler2) associated with ATOH1 target genes identified 507 in B-i. (C-i) Volcano plot illustrating genes enriched in ATOH1 CDX (N=4) compared 508 to the whole CDX biobank (N=35). ATOH1 gene signature (i.e. ATOH1 target genes) 509 highlighted in red. Dotted lines represent the thresholds for determining significant 510 gene expression changes (p value <0.01 and $\log_2(fold change) > 2$ or <-2). (C-ii) 511 Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for ATOH1 direct targets in ATOH1 CDX (N=4) vs the rest of the biobank (N=35). NES: normalised enrichment score. (C-iii) 512 513 GSEA for ATOH1 direct targets in ATOH1 PDX (N=2) vs the rest of the MSK PDX biobank (N=40). GSEA analysis was performed with Fgsea¹⁰³. (C-iv) UMAP of 514 515 cumulative expression of ATOH1 direct targets in scRNA-Seg of SCLC tumour biopsies⁴⁵. Expression of ATOH1 target genes is highest in the only ATOH1-516 517 expressing tumour (identified in Figure 2A).



518 Figure 5. ATOH1 is necessary for SCLC cell survival in vitro. (A-i) Schematic of 519 induction of ATOH1 KD. ATOH1 KD was established after 7 days induction with 1 520 µg/ml doxycycline (DOX). Cells were cultured for a total of 14 days with DOX (red 521 line, +) or without DOX as controls; after the initial 7 days induction with DOX, a part 522 of cells was plated without DOX to restore ATOH1 expression (blue line, W). 523 Untreated parental cells served as additional control (black line, -). (A-ii) Western blot 524 validation of ATOH1 depletion and restoration in the conditions specified in A-i. 525 ShRen treated with DOX for 14 days and untreated ShRen, ShATOH1#1, 526 ShATOH1#3 and were used as control. (B-i) Relative cell viability measured with 527 CellTiter-Glo® (Promega) upon ATOH1 KD (red) and restoration (blue) compared to 528 un-induced controls (black). N=8 independent experiments. (B-ii) Flow cytometry 529 quantification of cell cycle progression by EdU (CDX17P, HCC33) and PI 530 incorporation (CDX30P). Data was normalised to DOX-untreated parental controls 531 by subtracting the proportion of cells in S phase in untreated cells to that of DOX-532 treated cells (Δ % S phase = % S phase_{DOX-treated} - % S phase_{untreated}); ShATOH1 533 conditions were then compared to ShRen controls. CDX17P, N=4 ShRen, N=3 534 ShATOH1#1 and #3; CDX30P, N=5; HCC33, N=2 ShRen, N=3 ShATOH1#1 and #3 535 independent experiments. (B-iii) Flow cytometry quantification of cell death after 14 536 days induction with DOX of ATOH1 KD, normalised as in B-ii. Total cell death is 537 reported as sum of apoptotic and necrotic cells. CDX17P: N=4; CDX30P: N=4 538 ShRen, N=7 ShATOH1#1, N=5 ShATOH1#3; HCC33: N=2 ShRen, N=3 539 ShATOH1#1 and #3 independent experiments. (B-iv) Same as B-iii, reporting total 540 Caspase-3 positive cells. All statistics in panel B are reported as two-tailed unpaired 541 t tests across indicated conditions. C-i) Flow cytometry quantification of cell death 542 (as defined in B-iii) after 7 days DOX-induction of ATOH1 KD in CDX17P. N=3 543 independent experiments. P values are reported in panel B and C-i as per two-tailed 544 unpaired t test. (C-ii, C-iii) ShATOH1#1 CDX17P (C-ii) and CDX30P (C-iii) cells were 545 treated with (red) or without (black) DOX and with or without ferrostatin-1 (1µM), 546 necrosulfonamide (NSA, 100 nM) or Z-VAD-FMK/Q-VD-OPh (20µM) and indicated 547 combinations for 7 days. Cell viability was measured with CellTiter-Glo®, normalized 548 to vehicle treated, DOX-untreated cells and reported as fold change. Statistics in C-ii 549 and C-iii are reported as per one-way ANOVA test with Dunnett's test correction for

- 550 multiple comparisons between DOX-treated conditions with and without programmed
- 551 cell death inhibitors. Data are shown as mean \pm SD.

Figure 6



552 Figure 6. ATOH1 depletion decreases tumour growth kinetics and metastasis

553 in vivo. (A) In vivo study design to investigate subcutaneous (s.c.) tumour growth 554 and metastasis after s.c. tumour resection. CDX17P ShRen and ShATOH1#3 555 (ShATOH1) were injected s.c. in NSG mice and left for 19 days to allow for tumour 556 establishment. After 19 days, mice were fed either standard diet (control arms, N=3) 557 or DOX-supplemented feed (experimental arms, N=15) and s.c. tumour growth was assessed. S.c. tumours were surgically resected when at 500-800 mm³ to allow for 558 559 metastatic dissemination and mice were kept on study for 28 days or until s.c. 560 tumour reached maximum size, whichever came first. (B-i) S.c. tumour growth 561 curves, from day of first tumour measurement to s.c. tumour resection (see 562 methods), of mice implanted with ShRen and ShATOH1 and fed DOX-supplemented 563 diet. Key: black, ShRen fed DOX-diet; red, ShATOH1#3 fed DOX-diet. N=15 mice 564 per cohort; data reported as mean ± SD. Dotted lines indicate when tumours from each cohort reached 500 mm³: ShRen, 14 ± 3 days; ShATOH1, 21 ± 5 days. (B-ii) 565 Quantification of the slope of tumour growth curves in B. Key: same as in B; shades 566 567 of grey for control cohort fed standard diet for the duration of the study. P values 568 were calculated with ANCOVA test and slope of the curve was reported as mean \pm 569 SD for each cohort. (C) Kaplan-Meier curve of time to surgical resection of s.c. 570 tumour or maximum 800 mm³ for inoperable tumours. Control arms, fed a standard 571 diet, reported in scales of grey. P values were calculated with Log-rank Mantel-Cox 572 test. (D) Quantification of metastatic dissemination to the liver in N=3 mice fed 573 standard diet, N=5 ShRen- and N=15 ShATOH1-tumour bearing mice fed DOX-diet 574 that underwent surgical resection of s.c. tumour and survived on study for at least 22 575 days after resection. Data is shown as percentage of animals displaying metastatic 576 dissemination (disseminated tumour cells and micro/macro-metastases, in red) or no 577 metastatic dissemination in the liver (blue). Metastases were identified based on 578 human mitochondria staining. (E-i) Representative images of human mitochondria, 579 GFP and ATOH1 IHC staining in liver from ShRen DOX-fed and ShATOH1#3 DOX-580 fed cohort. Scale bars: 200 μm for human mitochondria and GFP; 100 μm for 581 ATOH1. (E-ii, E-iii) Quantification of GFP (E-ii) and ATOH1 (E-iii) IHC staining in 582 metastases from N=2 DOX-untreated ShRen, N=3 DOX-untreated ShATOH1#3, 583 N=4 ShRen DOX-fed, N=6 ShATOH1#3 DOX-fed mice. Data are shown as 584 geometric mean ± geometric SD. P values are reported as per two-tailed unpaired

585 Mann Whitney U test. (F) In vivo study design to investigate development of 586 metastasis following intracardiac implantation. Prior to cell implantation, ATOH1 587 depletion was induced by DOX treatment for 4 days in vitro, followed by sorting GFP-588 positive, viable cells by flow cytometry. Untreated control cells were sorted 589 exclusively for viable cells. Animals in the DOX treatment cohorts were fed a DOX-590 supplemented diet 24 hours prior to implantation and they were kept on that diet until 591 endpoint. Animals in the uninduced control groups were given a standard diet. 592 Animals from all 4 cohorts (ShRen +/- DOX and ShATOH1 +/- DOX) were removed 593 at the onset of symptoms (i.e., distended abdomen, detailed in methods) or after 70 594 days. (G) Kaplan-Meier curve of time to sacrifice. Control cohorts, fed a standard 595 diet, reported in scales of grey. P values were calculated with Log-rank Mantel-Cox 596 test. (H) Quantification of metastatic dissemination to the liver for each cohort. Data 597 is shown as per Figure 6D. (I) Quantification of metastatic cells in the liver for each 598 cohort. Metastatic cells were identified based on human mitochondria staining. Data 599 shown as mean ± SD. P values were calculated with a two-tailed unpaired Mann 600 Whitney U test. (J) Quantification of GFP (J-i) and ATOH1 (J-ii) IHC staining in 601 metastases from N=5 DOX-untreated ShRen, N=5 DOX-untreated ShATOH1, N=5 602 ShRen DOX-fed, N=1 ShATOH1#3 DOX-fed mice. Data are shown as geometric mean ± geometric SD. No statistical test could be performed as ShATOH1 contained 603 604 only one value.



Supplementary figure 1 - relative to Figure 1

605 Figure S1. ATOH1 CDX do not have MCC origin and present high expression of 606 **MYCL. Relative to Figure 1.** (A) Detection of Merkel cell polyoma virus (MCPyV) 607 transcripts in positive and negative control human Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) samples (PRJNA775071) and ATOH1 CDX. (B) Gene set enrichment analysis 608 (GSEA) for a Merkel cell gene signature from Menendez et al.³⁵ in ATOH1 CDX 609 610 (N=4) compared to the whole biobank (N=35). GSEA was performed with Fgsea¹⁰³. 611 (C) Violin plot of expression of indicated MYC family genes in the SCLC CDX 612 biobank (N=39). ATOH1 subtype samples and preclinical models highlighted in red. 613 (D) Representative IHC images for MYCL in SCLC-A CDX3, SCLC-N CDX8 and ATOH1 CDX CDX17, 17P, 25 and 30P. (E-F) Violin plot of expression of indicated 614 MYC family genes in SCLC cell lines⁴² (E) and SCLC PDX³² (F) from publicly 615

available datasets. ATOH1 subtype preclinical models highlighted in red andannotated by shape as in legend.



Supplementary figure 2 - relative to Figure 3

618 Figure S2. ATOH1 antibody production and validation. Relative to Figure 2. (A) 619 Western blot showing ATOH1 expression detected by the Ptech antibody over a 620 time-course (0 to 7 days) of ATOH1 knockdown (KD) induction with doxycycline 621 (DOX) in CDX17P. ShRen served as control for ATOH1 KD and Vinculin served as 622 loading control. Western blots are representative of N=2 independent experiments. 623 (B) Schematic of plasmid construct to express ATOH1 recombinant protein in IPTG-624 inducible PET28A system. (C) Workflow to produce the in-house antibody: ATOH1 625 recombinant protein was purified from bacterial culture and used for immunization of 626 one rabbit. Polyclonal antibodies were isolated from final bleed serum by affinity 627 purification. (D) Test of SY0287 serum before affinity purification against increasing 628 amounts of ATOH1 recombinant protein (10 ng, 100 ng and 1 μ g) by western blot. 629 (E) Validation of ATOH1 detection by nuclear (N) and cytoplasmic (C) fractionation of 630 CDX30P (positive control) and CDX17 Non-NE cells (Negative control). Transient 631 ATOH1 overexpression in LentiX 293T cells (indicated as +) served as positive 632 control for detection.



Supplementary figure 3 - relative to Figure 3

633 Figure S3. ChIP-Seg samples cluster based on ATOH1 competency and ATOH1 634 binds to its own enhancer. Relative to Figure 2. (A) Principal component analysis 635 (PCA) of ChIP-Seq samples where ATOH1 competent samples (grey, WT) cluster 636 together and away from ATOH1-depleted samples (red, KD). (B) Heatmap of ChIP-637 Seq signal for consensus peak sets of Ptech in ATOH1 competent (grey) and 638 depleted (red) CDX17P, generated with the generateEnrichedHeatmap function within profileplyr v1.8.1¹⁰⁰. (C) Metagene analysis of ATOH1 (detected with Ptech 639 and SY0287) and H3K4me3 ChIP-Seq signal generated with deepTools¹⁰⁴. Key: 640 641 green, upstream of gene body; pink, gene body; yellow, downstream of gene body. 642 (D) ATOH1 binding peaks at ATOH1 locus as detected by the Ptech antibody at the 643 ATOH1 downstream enhancer (light green), which are lost upon ATOH1 depletion.

- 644 The peaks were visualized with the Integrated Genomics Viewer genome browser.
- 645 (E-F) Gene ontology (GO) biological process (E) and KEGG (F) enrichment analysis
- 646 of differentially bound ATOH1 peaks identified Figure 3C-i. Analysis was performed
- 647 with gage¹⁰⁵.



Supplementary figure 4 - relative to Figure 4

648 Figure S4. ATOH1 direct targets identified in CDX17P are upregulated in ATOH1 CDX. Relative to Figure 4. (A-B) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for 649 inner ear hair cell gene signatures obtained from ref⁵¹ (A) and ref⁵² (B) upon ATOH1 650 depletion in CDX17P, performed with Fasea¹⁰³. (C-D) GSEA for NE (C) and Non-NE 651 (D) gene signatures obtained from ref¹⁰⁶. NES: normalized enrichment score. (E) 652 653 Western blot expression of NE marker SYP and NonNE markers YAP1, MYC and 654 PLAU after 14 days of ATOH1 knockdown (KD) induction with doxycycline (DOX) in 655 CDX17P. ShRen served as control for ATOH1 KD; H1339 and H524 served as 656 positive controls for expression of YAP1 and MYC; Vinculin served as loading 657 control. Western blots are representative of N=2 independent experiments.



Supplementary figure 5 - relative to Figure 5

Figure S5. ATOH1 knockdown in CDX17P, CDX30P and HCC33. Relative to Figure 4. (A-B) Representative western blot for ATOH1 in CDX30P (A) and HCC33 (B) cells transduced with ShRenilla (ShRen) and ShATOH1#1 and #3 and treated with DOX for 7 days. (C-D) Bar plot of percentage of cells in S phase, as identified by EdU incorporation, in CDX17P (C) and HCC33 (D) upon ATOH1 depletion. Statistics are reported as two-tailed unpaired *t* test between DOX untreated and treated condition.



Supplementary figure 6 - relative to Figure 6

Figure S6. Heterogeneous GFP and ATOH1 expression in ATOH1 KD subcutaneous tumours. ATOH1 KD cells exhibit reduced metastatic ability. Relative to Figure 6. (A) Quantification of ATOH1 (A-i) and GFP (A-ii) IHC staining in N=5 subcutaneous tumours from mice implanted with either ShRen or ShATOH1 cells and fed DOX-supplemented diet. KD cohort highlighted in red. Statistics reported as per two-tailed unpaired Mann Whitney U test. (A-iii) Representative images of ATOH1 and GFP IHC staining in consecutive sections highlighting parts of

tumours negative for GFP and positive for ATOH1 (dotted lines). Scale bars: 100 μ m. (B) IHC staining of human mitochondria in livers from animals that underwent intracardiac implantation of ShRen cells and fed a standard diet (-DOX, N=5) or a DOX-supplemented diet (+ DOX, N=5) or ShATOH1 cells and fed a standard diet (-DOX, N=5) or a DOX-supplemented diet (+DOX, N=8). Only one animal in the ATOH1 KD cohort developed metastasis in the liver. Scale bars: 5 µm.

678

679 Acknowledgements

680 This work was supported through Core Funding to Cancer Research UK (CRUK) 681 Manchester Institute (grant number A27412), Manchester CRUK Centre Award 682 (grant number A25254), the CRUK Lung Cancer Centre of Excellence (grant number 683 A20465), Cancer Research UK Manchester Centre award (CTRQQR-2021\100010), 684 The Christie Charitable Fund, National Cancer Institute R35 CA263816 and U24 685 CA213274. Patient recruitment was supported by the National Institute for 686 Healthcare Research (NIHR) Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, the NIHR 687 Manchester Clinical research Facility at The Christie Hospital and the CRUK Lung 688 Cancer Centre of Excellence. Sample collection was undertaken through the 689 molecular mechanisms underlying chemotherapy resistance, therapeutic escape, 690 efficacy, and toxicity improving knowledge of treatment resistance in patients with 691 lung cancer or CHEMORES protocol, the TARGET (tumour characterization to guide 692 experimental targeted therapy) study and the CONVERT protocol (concurrent once-693 daily versus twice-daily radiotherapy: a 2-arm randomised controlled trial of 694 concurrent chemo-radiotherapy comparing twice-daily and once-daily radiotherapy 695 schedules in patients with limited stage small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and good 696 performance status). Dr. Frese, Dr. Simpson and Prof. Dive supervised and devised 697 the study. Dr. Catozzi, Dr. Peiris-Pagès, Dr. Simpson, and Prof. Dive co-wrote the 698 manuscript. Dr. Catozzi, Dr. Peiris-Pagès, Ms. Davies-Williams, Mr. Revill, and Mr. 699 Morgan performed immunohistochemistry analysis, data analysis and interpretation. 700 Dr. Catozzi carried out all experiments on CDX and cell lines, ChIP-Seq, RNA-Seq 701 and western blotting, including data analysis and interpretation. Dr. Catozzi, Dr. 702 Humphrey, Mr. Chen carried out bioinformatics analyses. Dr. Peiris-Pagès designed 703 the in vivo metastases studies and analysed the metastatic dissemination of ATOH1

704 KD cells in vivo. Ms. Galvin, Mr. Roebuck and Dr. Lallo were responsible for all in 705 vivo work described. Dr. Frese had oversight of all patients with circulating tumour 706 cell-derived explant models and model generation and helped edit the manuscript. 707 Dr. Pearce and Dr. Kerr had oversight of all bioinformatics analyses. Ms. Priest, Dr. 708 Foy, Mr. Carter, and Prof. Blackhall oversaw the acquisition of ethical permission 709 and patient consent and the collection of blood samples from patients in the 710 CHEMORES study. Dr Rudin provided PDX and assisted with manuscript revision. 711 Prof. Blackhall assisted with manuscript revision and is the chief investigator of the 712 CHEMORES study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

713	References
110	

- 714
 715 1 Gazdar, A. F., Bunn, P. A. & Minna, J. D. Small-cell lung cancer: what we
 716 know, what we need to know and the path forward. *Nat Rev Cancer* **17**, 725717 737, doi:10.1038/nrc.2017.87 (2017).
- 718 2 Forman, D. *Cancer incidence in five continents: volume X.* (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014).
- American Cancer Society, Cancer Facts & Figures 2022. (2022).
- Sabari, J. K., Lok, B. H., Laird, J. H., Poirier, J. T. & Rudin, C. M. Unravelling
 the biology of SCLC: implications for therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 14, 549561, doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.71 (2017).
- 7245Bunn, P. A., Jr. et al. Small Cell Lung Cancer: Can Recent Advances in725Biology and Molecular Biology Be Translated into Improved Outcomes? J726Thorac Oncol 11, 453-474, doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2016.01.012 (2016).
- Farago, A. F. & Keane, F. K. Current standards for clinical management of
 small cell lung cancer. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 7, 69-79,
 doi:10.21037/tlcr.2018.01.16 (2018).
- 730 7 Horn, L. *et al.* First-Line Atezolizumab plus Chemotherapy in Extensive-Stage
 731 Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*,
 732 doi:10.1056/nejmoa1809064 (2018).
- Goldman, J. W. *et al.* Durvalumab, with or without tremelimumab, plus
 platinum-etoposide versus platinum-etoposide alone in first-line treatment of
 extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): updated results from a
 randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 22, 51-65,
 doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30539-8 (2021).
- Rudin, C. M. *et al.* Pembrolizumab or Placebo Plus Etoposide and Platinum
 as First-Line Therapy for Extensive-Stage Small-Cell Lung Cancer:
 Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III KEYNOTE-604 Study. *J Clin Oncol* 38,
 2369-2379, doi:10.1200/JCO.20.00793 (2020).
- 742 10 Leal, T. et al. Randomized phase II clinical trial of cisplatin/carboplatin and 743 etoposide (CE) alone or in combination with nivolumab as frontline therapy for 744 extensive-stage small cell lung cancer (ES-SCLC): ECOG-ACRIN EA5161. 745 Journal of Clinical Oncology 38, 9000-9000, 746 doi:10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.9000 (2020).
- Rudin, C. M. *et al.* Molecular subtypes of small cell lung cancer: a synthesis of human and mouse model data. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 1, doi:10.1038/s41568-019-0133-9 (2019).
- Simpson, K. L. *et al.* A biobank of small cell lung cancer CDX models
 elucidates inter- and intratumoral phenotypic heterogeneity. *Nature Cancer* 1, 437-451, doi:10.1038/s43018-020-0046-2 (2020).
- 75313Gay, C. M. et al. Patterns of transcription factor programs and immune754pathway activation define four major subtypes of SCLC with distinct755therapeutic vulnerabilities. Cancer Cell **39**, 346-360 e347,756doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.12.014 (2021).
- 75714Poirier, J. T. *et al.* New Approaches to SCLC Therapy: From the Laboratory to758the Clinic. J Thorac Oncol **15**, 520-540, doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.01.016 (2020).
- 75915Chemi, F. et al. cfDNA methylome profiling for detection and subtyping of760small cell lung cancers. Nat Cancer, doi:10.1038/s43018-022-00415-9 (2022).

- 761 16 Ireland, A. S. *et al.* MYC Drives Temporal Evolution of Small Cell Lung
 762 Cancer Subtypes by Reprogramming Neuroendocrine Fate. *Cancer Cell* 38,
 763 60-78 e12, doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.05.001 (2020).
- Hou, J. M. et al. Clinical significance and molecular characteristics of 764 17 765 circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor microemboli in patients with 766 small-cell Clin Oncol luna cancer. J 30. 525-532. 767 doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.33.3716 (2012).
- Pearsall, S. M. *et al.* The Rare YAP1 Subtype of SCLC Revisited in a Biobank
 of 39 Circulating Tumor Cell Patient Derived Explant Models: A Brief Report. J *Thorac Oncol* 15, 1836-1843, doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.07.008 (2020).
- 19 Stewart, C. A. *et al.* Single-cell analyses reveal increased intratumoral heterogeneity after the onset of therapy resistance in small-cell lung cancer. *Nature Cancer* 1, 423-436, doi:10.1038/s43018-019-0020-z (2020).
- Akazawa, C., Ishibashi, M., Shimizu, C., Nakanishi, S. & Kageyama, R. A
 mammalian helix-loop-helix factor structurally related to the product of
 Drosophila proneural gene atonal is a positive transcriptional regulator
 expressed in the developing nervous system. *J Biol Chem* 270, 8730-8738,
 doi:10.1074/jbc.270.15.8730 (1995).
- 77921Bermingham, N. A. et al. Math1: an essential gene for the generation of inner780ear hair cells. Science 284, 1837-1841, doi:10.1126/science.284.5421.1837781(1999).
- Helms, A. W., Abney, A. L., Ben-Arie, N., Zoghbi, H. Y. & Johnson, J. E.
 Autoregulation and multiple enhancers control Math1 expression in the developing nervous system. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **127**, 1185-1196-1196 (2000).
- Yang, Q., Bermingham, N. A., Finegold, M. J. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Requirement of
 Math1 for secretory cell lineage commitment in the mouse intestine. *Science*294, 2155-2158, doi:10.1126/science.1065718 (2001).
- 78924Gazit, R., Krizhanovsky, V. & Ben-Arie, N. Math1 controls cerebellar granule790cell differentiation by regulating multiple components of the Notch signaling791pathway. Development 131, 903-913-913, doi:10.1242/dev.00982 (2004).
- Mulvaney, J. & Dabdoub, A. Atoh1, an essential transcription factor in neurogenesis and intestinal and inner ear development: function, regulation, and context dependency. *Journal of the Association for Research in Otolaryngology* **13**, 281-293 (2012).
- 79626Lo, Y. H. et al. Transcriptional Regulation by ATOH1 and its Target SPDEF in797the Intestine. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 3, 51-71,798doi:10.1016/j.jcmgh.2016.10.001 (2017).
- Tomic, G. *et al.* Phospho-regulation of ATOH1 Is Required for Plasticity of
 Secretory Progenitors and Tissue Regeneration. *Cell stem cell* 23, 436443.e437-443.e437, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.07.002 (2018).
- 802 28 Ben-Arie, N. *et al.* Math1 is essential for genesis of cerebellar granule 803 neurons. *Nature* **390**, 169 (1997).
- Ware, M., Hamdi-Roze, H. & Dupe, V. Notch signaling and proneural genes
 work together to control the neural building blocks for the initial scaffold in the
 hypothalamus. *Front Neuroanat* 8, 140, doi:10.3389/fnana.2014.00140
 (2014).
- Bossuyt, W. *et al.* Atonal homolog 1 is a tumor suppressor gene. *PLoS Biol* 7, e39, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000039 (2009).

- Ayrault, O. *et al.* Atoh1 Inhibits Neuronal Differentiation and Collaborates with
 Gli1 to Generate Medulloblastoma-Initiating Cells. *Cancer Research* 70, 56185627-5627, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.can-09-3740 (2010).
- 813 32 Caeser, R. *et al.* Genomic and transcriptomic analysis of a library of small cell
 814 lung cancer patient-derived xenografts. *Nat Commun* 13, 2144,
 815 doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29794-4 (2022).
- 816 33 Fan, K. *et al.* MCPyV Large T Antigen-Induced Atonal Homolog 1 Is a
 817 Lineage-Dependency Oncogene in Merkel Cell Carcinoma. *J Invest Dermatol*818 140, 56-65 e53, doi:10.1016/j.jid.2019.06.135 (2020).
- 819 34 Feng, H., Shuda, M., Chang, Y. & Moore, P. S. Clonal integration of a polyomavirus in human Merkel cell carcinoma. *Science* **319**, 1096-1100, doi:10.1126/science.1152586 (2008).
- 822 35 Menendez, L. *et al.* Generation of inner ear hair cells by direct lineage 823 conversion of primary somatic cells. *Elife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.55249 (2020).
- 36 George, J. *et al.* Comprehensive genomic profiles of small cell lung cancer.
 Nature **524**, 47-53, doi:10.1038/nature14664 (2015).
- Paulson, K. G. *et al.* Array-CGH reveals recurrent genomic changes in Merkel
 cell carcinoma including amplification of L-Myc. *J Invest Dermatol* **129**, 15471555, doi:10.1038/jid.2008.365 (2009).
- Starrett, G. J. *et al.* Clinical and molecular characterization of virus-positive
 and virus-negative Merkel cell carcinoma. *Genome Med* 12, 30,
 doi:10.1186/s13073-020-00727-4 (2020).
- Hodgkinson, C. L. *et al.* Tumorigenicity and genetic profiling of circulating
 tumor cells in small-cell lung cancer. *Nature medicine* 20, 897 (2014).
- Houghton, P. J. *et al.* The pediatric preclinical testing program: description of
 models and early testing results. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 49, 928-940,
 doi:10.1002/pbc.21078 (2007).
- 41 Geier, B., Kurmashev, D., Kurmasheva, R. T. & Houghton, P. J. Preclinical
 Kurmashev, D., Kurmasheva, R. T. & Houghton, P. J. Preclinical
 Childhood Sarcoma Models: Drug Efficacy Biomarker Identification and
 Validation. *Front Oncol* 5, 193, doi:10.3389/fonc.2015.00193 (2015).
- 84042Ghandi, M. et al. Next-generation characterization of the Cancer Cell Line841Encyclopedia. Nature 569, 503-508, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1186-3 (2019).
- Barretina, J. *et al.* The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive
 modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. *Nature* 483, 603-607,
 doi:10.1038/nature11003 (2012).
- Lissa, D. *et al.* Heterogeneity of neuroendocrine transcriptional states in metastatic small cell lung cancers and patient-derived models. *Nat Commun* 13, 2023, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29517-9 (2022).
- 848 45 Chan. J. Μ. et al. Signatures of plasticity, metastasis. and 849 immunosuppression in an atlas of human small cell lung cancer. Cancer Cell 850 **39**, 1479-1496 e1418, doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2021.09.008 (2021).
- Fellmann, C. *et al.* An optimized microRNA backbone for effective single-copy
 RNAi. *Cell Rep* 5, 1704-1713, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.020 (2013).
- Liang, G. *et al.* Distinct localization of histone H3 acetylation and H3-K4 methylation to the transcription start sites in the human genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 7357-7362, doi:10.1073/pnas.0401866101 (2004).
- Park, D. E. *et al.* Merkel cell polyomavirus activates LSD1-mediated blockade
 of non-canonical BAF to regulate transformation and tumorigenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* 22, 603-615, doi:10.1038/s41556-020-0503-2 (2020).

Klisch, T. J. *et al.* In vivo Atoh1 targetome reveals how a proneural transcription factor regulates cerebellar development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 3288-3293-3293, doi:10.1073/pnas.1100230108 (2011).

863 50 Bailey, T. L. & Machanick, P. Inferring direct DNA binding from ChIP-seq. 864 *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, e128, doi:10.1093/nar/gks433 (2012).

- Cai, T. *et al.* Characterization of the transcriptome of nascent hair cells and
 identification of direct targets of the Atoh1 transcription factor. *J Neurosci* 35,
 5870-5883, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5083-14.2015 (2015).
- Burns, J. C., Kelly, M. C., Hoa, M., Morell, R. J. & Kelley, M. W. Single-cell
 RNA-Seq resolves cellular complexity in sensory organs from the neonatal
 inner ear. *Nat Commun* 6, 8557, doi:10.1038/ncomms9557 (2015).
- Borromeo, M. D. *et al.* ASCL1 and NEUROD1 Reveal Heterogeneity in
 Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumors and Regulate Distinct Genetic Programs. *Cell Rep* 16, 1259-1272, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.06.081 (2016).
- 54 Cai, L. *et al.* Cell-autonomous immune gene expression is repressed in
 pulmonary neuroendocrine cells and small cell lung cancer. *Commun Biol* 4,
 314, doi:10.1038/s42003-021-01842-7 (2021).
- 877 55 Wang, S. *et al.* Target analysis by integration of transcriptome and ChIP-seq 878 data with BETA. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 2502-2515, doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.150 (2013).
- Sancho, R., Cremona, C. A. & Behrens, A. Stem cell and progenitor fate in
 the mammalian intestine: Notch and lateral inhibition in homeostasis and
 disease. *EMBO Rep* 16, 571-581, doi:10.15252/embr.201540188 (2015).
- Bebber, C. M. *et al.* Ferroptosis response segregates small cell lung cancer
 (SCLC) neuroendocrine subtypes. *Nat Commun* 12, 2048, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22336-4 (2021).
- 885 58 Wu, Q. *et al.* YAP drives fate conversion and chemoresistance of small cell 886 lung cancer. *Sci Adv* **7**, eabg1850, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abg1850 (2021).
- 59 Denny, S. K. *et al.* Nfib Promotes Metastasis through a Widespread Increase
 in Chromatin Accessibility. *Cell* 166, 328-342, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.052
 (2016).
- 89060Kim, M. *et al.* Comparative oncogenomics identifies NEDD9 as a melanoma891metastasis gene. Cell **125**, 1269-1281, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.008 (2006).
- 892 61 Nakada, Y., Hunsaker, T. L., Henke, R. M. & Johnson, J. E. Distinct domains
 893 within Mash1 and Math1 are required for function in neuronal differentiation
 894 versus neuronal cell-type specification. *Development* **131**, 1319-1330-1330,
 895 doi:10.1242/dev.01008 (2004).
- Westerman, B. A. *et al.* Basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor profiling of
 lung tumors shows aberrant expression of the proneural gene atonal homolog
 (ATOH1, HATH1, MATH1) in neuroendocrine tumors. *Int J Biol Markers* 22,
 114-123 (2007).
- Ayrault, O. *et al.* Atoh1 inhibits neuronal differentiation and collaborates with
 Gli1 to generate medulloblastoma-initiating cells. *Cancer Res* **70**, 5618-5627,
 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3740 (2010).
- 90364Flora, A., Klisch, T. J., Schuster, G. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Deletion of Atoh1 disrupts904Sonic Hedgehog signaling in the developing cerebellum and prevents905medulloblastoma. Science 326, 1424-1427, doi:10.1126/science.1181453906(2009).

- 90765Xu, H. T. *et al.* Atonal homolog 1 expression in lung cancer correlates with908inhibitors of the Wnt pathway as well as the differentiation and primary tumor909stage. APMIS **121**, 111-119, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0463.2012.02946.x (2013).
- 910 66 Peignon, G. *et al.* Complex interplay between beta-catenin signalling and
 911 Notch effectors in intestinal tumorigenesis. *Gut* 60, 166-176,
 912 doi:10.1136/gut.2009.204719 (2011).
- 913 67 Mou, W. *et al.* Relationship between ATOH1 and tumor microenvironment in colon adenocarcinoma patients with different microsatellite instability status.
 915 *Cancer Cell Int* 22, 229, doi:10.1186/s12935-022-02651-6 (2022).
- Flora, A., Klisch, T. J., Schuster, G. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Deletion of Atoh1 disrupts
 Sonic Hedgehog signaling in the developing cerebellum and prevents
 medulloblastoma. *Science* 326, 1424-1427 (2009).
- 919 69 Gambichler, T. *et al.* Prognostic relevance of high atonal homolog-1
 920 expression in Merkel cell carcinoma. *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical*921 *Oncology* 143, 43-49-49, doi:10.1007/s00432-016-2257-6 (2017).
- Verhaegen, M. E. *et al.* Merkel Cell Polyomavirus Small T Antigen Initiates
 Merkel Cell Carcinoma-like Tumor Development in Mice. *Cancer Res* 77, 3151-3157, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0035 (2017).
- Fu, Y., Yuan, S. S., Zhang, L. J., Ji, Z. L. & Quan, X. J. Atonal bHLH
 transcription factor 1 is an important factor for maintaining the balance of cell
 proliferation and differentiation in tumorigenesis. *Oncol Lett* 20, 2595-2605,
 doi:10.3892/ol.2020.11833 (2020).
- 92972Baine, M. K. *et al.* SCLC Subtypes Defined by ASCL1, NEUROD1, POU2F3,930and YAP1: A Comprehensive Immunohistochemical and Histopathologic931Characterization. J Thorac Oncol 15, 1823-1835,932doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.09.009 (2020).
- 933 73 Qu, S. *et al.* Molecular Subtypes of Primary SCLC Tumors and Their
 934 Associations With Neuroendocrine and Therapeutic Markers. *J Thorac Oncol*935 **17**, 141-153, doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2021.08.763 (2022).
- 936 74 Pozo, K. *et al.* ASCL1, NKX2-1, and PROX1 co-regulate subtype-specific
 937 genes in small-cell lung cancer. *iScience* 24, 102953,
 938 doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.102953 (2021).
- 939 75 Osborne, J. K. *et al.* NeuroD1 regulates survival and migration of
 940 neuroendocrine lung carcinomas via signaling molecules TrkB and NCAM.
 941 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201303932 (2013).
- Augustyn, A. *et al.* ASCL1 is a lineage oncogene providing therapeutic targets
 for high-grade neuroendocrine lung cancers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, 14788-14793 (2014).
- 945 77 Lenhart, R. *et al.* Sensitivity of Small Cell Lung Cancer to BET Inhibition Is
 946 Mediated by Regulation of ASCL1 Gene Expression. *Molecular Cancer*947 *Therapeutics* 14, 2167-2174-2174, doi:10.1158/1535-7163.mct-15-0037
 948 (2015).
- Jiang, T. *et al.* Achaete-Scute Complex Homologue 1 Regulates TumorInitiating Capacity in Human Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Research* 69, 845-854-854, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.can-08-2762 (2009).
- 952 79 Rapa, I. *et al.* Human ASH-1 Promotes Neuroendocrine Differentiation in
 953 Androgen Deprivation Conditions and Interferes With Androgen
 954 Responsiveness in Prostate Cancer Cells. *The Prostate* **73**, 1241-1249-1249,
 955 doi:10.1002/pros.22679 (2013).
- 956 80 . (!!! INVALID CITATION !!! 47, 48).

- 81 Mulvaney, J. & Dabdoub, A. Atoh1, an essential transcription factor in neurogenesis and intestinal and inner ear development: function, regulation, and context dependency. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* 13, 281-293, doi:10.1007/s10162-012-0317-4 (2012).
- B2 Zhong, C., Fu, Y., Pan, W., Yu, J. & Wang, J. Atoh1 and other related key regulators in the development of auditory sensory epithelium in the mammalian inner ear: function and interplay. *Dev Biol* 446, 133-141, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.12.025 (2019).
- Augert, A. *et al.* Targeting NOTCH activation in small cell lung cancer through
 LSD1 inhibition. *Sci. Signal.* **12**, eaau2922, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aau2922
 (2019).
- 968 84 Chen, H. *et al.* BET Inhibitors Target the SCLC-N subtype of Small Cell Lung
 969 Cancer by Blocking NEUROD1 Transactivation. *Mol Cancer Res*,
 970 doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-22-0594 (2022).
- 971 85 Yang, D. *et al.* Axon-like protrusions promote small cell lung cancer migration 972 and metastasis. *Elife* **8**, doi:10.7554/eLife.50616 (2019).
- 86 Semenova, E. A. *et al.* Transcription Factor NFIB Is a Driver of Small Cell
 974 Lung Cancer Progression in Mice and Marks Metastatic Disease in Patients.
 975 *Cell Rep* 16, 631-643, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.06.020 (2016).
- 976 87 Gambichler, T. *et al.* Prognostic relevance of high atonal homolog-1
 977 expression in Merkel cell carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 143, 43-49,
 978 doi:10.1007/s00432-016-2257-6 (2017).
- 88 Grausam, K. B. *et al.* ATOH1 Promotes Leptomeningeal Dissemination and
 980 Metastasis of Sonic Hedgehog Subgroup Medulloblastomas. *Cancer Res* 77,
 981 3766-3777, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1836 (2017).
- 982 89 Martin, T. A., Ye, L., Sanders, A. J., Lane, J. & Jiang, W. G. in *Madame Curie* 983 *Bioscience Database [Internet]* (Landes Bioscience, 2013).
- 984 90 Sutherland, K. D. *et al.* Cell of origin of small cell lung cancer: inactivation of
 985 Trp53 and Rb1 in distinct cell types of adult mouse lung. *Cancer Cell* 19, 754986 764, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2011.04.019 (2011).
- 987 91 Yang, D. *et al.* Intertumoral Heterogeneity in SCLC Is Influenced by the Cell
 988 Type of Origin. *Cancer Discov* 8, 1316-1331, doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17 989 0987 (2018).
- 99092Ouadah, Y. et al. Rare Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Cells Are Stem Cells991Regulated by Rb, p53, and Notch. Cell 179, 403-416 e423,992doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.09.010 (2019).
- 99393Semenova, Ekaterina A. et al. Transcription Factor NFIB Is a Driver of Small994Cell Lung Cancer Progression in Mice and Marks Metastatic Disease in995Patients. Cell Reports 16, 631-643-643, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.06.020996(2016).
- 997 94 Sunshine, J. C., Jahchan, N. S., Sage, J. & Choi, J. Are there multiple cells of
 998 origin of Merkel cell carcinoma? *Oncogene* 37, 1409-1416,
 999 doi:10.1038/s41388-017-0073-3 (2018).
- 1000
 95
 Becker, J. C. et al. Merkel cell carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 3, 17077, doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.77 (2017).
- 100296Goh, G. et al. Mutational landscape of MCPyV-positive and MCPyV-negative1003Merkel cell carcinomas with implications for immunotherapy. Oncotarget 7,10043403-3415, doi:10.18632/oncotarget.6494 (2016).
- 100597Knepper, T. C. et al. The Genomic Landscape of Merkel Cell Carcinoma and1006Clinicogenomic Biomarkers of Response to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor

1007Therapy. Clin Cancer Res 25, 5961-5971, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-10084159 (2019).

- 1009 98 Das, B. K. *et al.* Single-cell dissection of Merkel cell carcinoma heterogeneity unveils transcriptomic plasticity and therapeutic vulnerabilities. *Cell Rep Med*1011 4, 101101, doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101101 (2023).
- 1012 99 Kawasaki, K., Rekhtman, N., Quintanal-Villalonga, A. & Rudin, C. M.
 1013 Neuroendocrine neoplasms of the lung and gastrointestinal system: 1014 convergent biology and a path to better therapies. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 20, 16-32, doi:10.1038/s41571-022-00696-0 (2023).
- 1016100Barrows, D. & Carroll, T. profileplyr: Visualization and annotation of read1017signal over genomic ranges with profileplyr. *Bioconductor (R package version*10181.2. 0, 2019) (2022).
- 1019 101 Bailey, T. L., Johnson, J., Grant, C. E. & Noble, W. S. The MEME Suite. 1020 *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, W39-49, doi:10.1093/nar/gkv416 (2015).
- 1021102Kolberg, L., Raudvere, U., Kuzmin, I., Vilo, J. & Peterson, H. gprofiler2 -- an R1022package for gene list functional enrichment analysis and namespace1023conversiontoolset1024doi:10.12688/f1000research.24956.2 (2020).
- 1025 103 Korotkevich, G. *et al.* Fast gene set enrichment analysis. *bioRxiv*, 060012, doi:10.1101/060012 (2021).
- 1027104Ramirez, F. et al. deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-1028sequencing data analysis.Nucleic Acids Res 44, W160-165,1029doi:10.1093/nar/gkw257 (2016).
- 1030 105 Luo, W., Friedman, M. S., Shedden, K., Hankenson, K. D. & Woolf, P. J.
 1031 GAGE: generally applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. *BMC*1032 *Bioinformatics* 10, 161, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-161 (2009).
- 1033 106 Zhang, W. *et al.* Small cell lung cancer tumors and preclinical models display
 1034 heterogeneity of neuroendocrine phenotypes. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 7, 321035 49, doi:10.21037/tlcr.2018.02.02 (2018).

1036