

Rational Approach to Optimizing Conformation-Switching Aptamers for Biosensing Applications

[Monica](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Monica+Wolfe"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Wolfe, Alyssa [Cramer,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alyssa+Cramer"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Sean [Webb,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sean+Webb"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Eva [Goorskey,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Eva+Goorskey"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Yaroslav [Chushak,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yaroslav+Chushak"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Peter [Mirau,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Peter+Mirau"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Netzahualcóyotl [Arroyo-Curr](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Netzahualco%CC%81yotl+Arroyo-Curra%CC%81s"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)ás, and [Jorge](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jorge+L.+Cha%CC%81vez"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) L. Chávez[*](#page-6-0)

ABSTRACT: The utilization of structure-switching aptamers (SSAs) has enabled the development of novel sensing platforms for the sensitive and continuous detection of molecules. *De novo* development of SSAs, however, is complex and laborious. Here we describe a rational approach to SSA optimization that simultaneously improves aptamer binding affinity and introduces targetdependent conformation-switching for compatibility with real-world biosensor applications. Key structural features identified from NMR and computational modeling were used to optimize conformational switching in the presence of target, while large-scale, microarray-based mutation analysis was used to map regions of the aptamer permissive to mutation and identify combinations of mutations with stronger binding affinity. Optimizations were carried out in a relevant biofluid to ensure a seamless transition of the aptamer to a biosensing platform. Initial proof-of-concept for this approach is demonstrated with a cortisol binding aptamer but can easily be translated to other relevant aptamers. Cortisol is a hormone correlated with the stress response that has been associated with various medical conditions and is present at quantifiable levels in accessible biofluids. The ability to continuously track levels of stress in real-time via cortisol monitoring, which can be enabled by the aptamers reported here, is crucial for assessing human health and performance.

KEYWORDS: *cortisol, stress, aptamers, sequence optimization, microarray, structure-switching, biosensors, NMR*

The design of rapid, continuous, reagentless, and noninvasive sensors remains a fundamental challenge for the biosensing community due to the high sensitivity and specificity required for sensing in biofluids. To achieve this type of sensing, it is important that the biorecognition element of the sensing platform has certain characteristics, such as rapid and reversible binding; criteria that preclude the use of most antibodies and antibody-like receptors. Alternatively, structure-switching aptamers (SSA) have the ability to undergo a conformational change in the presence of their target molecule. The switch between the nonbinding and binding conformations is controlled by both the stability of the aptamer structure (ΔG) and the affinity of the target for the sequence $(K_D)^{1/2}$ $(K_D)^{1/2}$ $(K_D)^{1/2}$. Although both aptamer conformations exist in equilibrium, the presence of the target stabilizes the binding state and reinforces aptamer structure. 2 2 2 For sensing, this conformational change

can be leveraged to transduce fluorescence, colorimetric, and electrochemical signals for incorporation into various biosensor platform formats.³

While the field of SSA research has grown significantly over the past decade, 8 the process of converting a traditional aptamer into an SSA postselection remains challenging and relies largely on trial and error.^{[1](#page-7-0)} A recent advance in this area has led to the development of a high-throughput screening platform that can convert traditional aptamers into SSAs.

Received: September 22, 2023 Revised: December 1, 2023 Accepted: January 10, 2024 Published: January 25, 2024

However, this approach relies on the presence of a complementary displacement strand to achieve switching behavior.⁹ Strategies to directly select for structure switching aptamers (e.g., capture-SELEX) have similar limitations,¹⁰⁻¹ which restrict sensing to single use applications unless laborious aptamer truncations are performed to optimize conformation-based signaling. Furthermore, aptamer structure and function are often explicitly linked to the buffer conditions used during selection, rendering some aptamers nonfunctional in the more complex environment of biofluids.

In response to these limitations, we sought to establish a robust and rational aptamer optimization strategy that introduces reporter-independent conformation-switching capability and improves target affinity in biofluids relevant to continuous sensing applications. Our approach includes structural characterization at the molecular level using NMR and computer modeling to identify sequence-specific binding features and conformations. NMR also allows us to visualize aptamer conformational changes in the presence and absence of a target, thereby streamlining the optimization of switching behavior required for sensing applications. In parallel, we also perform a strand-displacement-based large-scale mutational analysis using a microarray-based platform. This allows for the simultaneous assessment of all possible aptamer point mutations and rapid screening of combinatorial mutations for improved binding affinity. Finally, all binding affinity characterization of aptamer sequences was performed using Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI), immobilizing the sequences as a single stranded DNA with no additional labels or complementary sequence needed, mimicking potential use in sensing platforms. Because translation of these results to real world sensing environments is critical for success, we performed all work in a biologically relevant buffer and further showed that our optimized sequence performed well in human biofluid.

As a proof of concept for this approach, we initially focused on cortisol as a model system. Continuous, real-time monitoring of stress hormones is in demand for the prevention of negative performance outcomes and the diagnosis and management of disease.¹³ Cortisol, a small molecule steroid hormone, plays an important role in the stress-responsive hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and is involved in a range of bodily processes, including proteolysis, glucose regulation, blood pressure, and immune responses.[14](#page-7-0) Cortisol dysregulation occurs in a number of conditions and has been linked to fatigue, obesity, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety disorders, depression, and poor performance.¹ Cortisol is present at nanomolar concentrations in blood and recent clinical studies have shown a correlation between cortisol levels in blood and other bodily fluids such as sweat, saliva, urine, and interstitial fluid (ISF).^{[16](#page-7-0)−[19](#page-7-0)} ISF, in particular, is attractive for biosensing because it has a composition similar to blood plasma but, unlike blood, can be drawn continuously from the dermis using noninvasive and compliance-free methods[.20](#page-7-0) Recently, electrochemical aptamer-based (EAB) sensing on microneedles was validated for continuous *in vivo* measurement of molecules in $ISF^{21–23}$ $ISF^{21–23}$ $ISF^{21–23}$ $ISF^{21–23}$ $ISF^{21–23}$ The ability to track cortisol and other stress hormones in real-time should be advantageous for the assessment of overall physiological health and performance. While this work focuses on the structural characterization and optimization of a cortisol binding aptamer, we believe this approach can be broadly applied to optimize SSAs for other relevant targets and support the development of real-time, continuous biomarker sensors.

■ **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Materials. Oligonucleotide sequences were synthesized and HPLC purified by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa). All oligonucleotides were dissolved in nuclease-free water at 100 *μ*M and stored at −20 °C. Unless noted otherwise, all chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Target compounds were solubilized in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at a stock concentration of 10 mM. Experiments were performed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl, 30 mM KCl, pH 7.4), simulated ISF (sISF, recipe in Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S1), or pooled human serum (Innovative Research, Novi, MI).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). NMR spectra for the aptamer and aptamer-cortisol complexes were obtained at 400, 600, and 800 MHz on Bruker and Tecmag NMR spectrometers. The samples were prepared in sISF containing 10% D_2O for NMR locking. The imino proton spectra were observed using the excitation sculpting pulse sequence with a 2 ms water inversion pulse.^{[24](#page-8-0)} A concentrated cortisol stock solution was prepared in DMSO-d6 and 5 uL was added to the NMR sample to give the 1:1 complex. The concentration of DNA aptamer was 1.0 mM. Experiments were performed at 25 °C. The 2D Nuclear Overhauser Spectroscopy (NOESY) spectra were measured in PBS containing 10% D_2O at 800 MHz and 283 K with a 200 ms mixing time.²⁵

In Silico **Modeling.** The secondary structure and folding energy of the cortisol-binding aptamers were calculated using the UNAFold web server^{[26](#page-8-0)} with inputs of 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM Mg^{2+} and 25 °C for consistency with initial selection buffer conditions. The 3D structures of the ssDNA aptamers were generated in two steps. Initially, thousands of tertiary structure models for the equivalent ssRNA sequence were produced using the RNA *de novo* protocol through Fragment Assembly of RNA with Full Atom Refinement (FARFAR) from the Rosetta package.^{[27](#page-8-0)} Five models with the lowest energy and with the presence of a noncanonical GA base pair (see NMR results) were selected for the second step to evaluate their stability using Molecular Dynamics simulations. The selected ssRNA structures were converted into ssDNA models by transforming the uracil residues to thymine and replacing the ribose sugar backbone with deoxyribose using the LEaP program of the Amber20 suite of biomolecular
simulation programs.^{[28](#page-8-0)} The obtained DNA molecules were solvated with TIP3P water in a rectangular box with periodic boundary conditions. Na⁺ and Cl[−] ions were added to maintain the neutrality of the system and the experimental salt concentration. Initially, system was equilibrated at ambient conditions with fixed backbones for 300 ps using the sander program of the Amber20 package. Second, the whole system was equilibrated for 300 ps and, finally, the production run was performed for 2 ns. During the production run 100 conformations were saved for stability analysis. The stability of ssDNA structures was determined based on the variability of configurational energy and GA base pair distance. Five conformations of the most stable structure separated by 200 ps of simulations were selected for modeling of cortisol binding. The docking of cortisol to the DNA aptamer was performed using the PatchDock web server developed based on shape complementary principles.^{[29](#page-8-0)} Both cortisol and aptamer were considered rigid, and a global search of the rotational and translational space was performed without any constraints on the locations of the binding site. Two cortisol-aptamer complexes with the highest scoring were selected for each of the DNA conformations and analyzed to identify the cortisol-binding site. The visualization of molecules was performed using the UCSF Chimera package.³

Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI). BLI was used to determine equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) for each aptamer to target molecule. Experiments were performed in binding buffer or sISF at 30 °C. Aptamer sequences were synthesized with a 5′ biotin tag (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, Iowa) and immobilized onto SuperStreptavidin biosensor tips (Sartorious, Gottingen, Germany) for binding affinity characterization on the Octet Red96e (Sartorious, Gottingen, Germany). Briefly, aptamer-loaded tips were

Figure 1. Structural Characterization of CSS.3 aptamer and stem truncations. (a) MFold-Predicted Secondary Structure of CSS.3 showing location of cut sites for Stem #1 truncation. (b) NMR spectra of aptamer variants in the absence (black) or presence (magenta) of cortisol show loss of structure with stem truncation. Additional peaks, including a noncanonical GA base pair, form in the presence of cortisol (10.9 ppm). CSS.3_cut3 appears to be unstructured in solution but still retains the ability to bind cortisol. (c) 800 MHz 2D NOESY NMR spectra of CSS.3_cut3 in the presence of cortisol and (d) a cross section through the 2D NOESY spectrum showing magnetization exchange from the cortisol methyl protons both show the interaction of the GA base pair with cortisol. (e) Rigid 3D structure of CSS.3_cut3 in its binding conformation with predicted noncanonical GA base pair highlighted in green. (f) 3D model of CSS.3_cut3 aptamer docked to cortisol with binding pocket highlighted in magenta. All experiments were conducted in sISF containing 10% D_2O at 25 °C.

baselined in the experimental buffer for 30 s before binding. An additional 180 s pre-equilibration step was added following aptamer loading for experiments run in sISF to allow for a stable signal prior to baseline. Association and dissociation steps were both 120 s long (binding buffer or sISF). Data were double reference subtracted using a buffer only control well and scrambled aptamer sequence. Due to the rapid kinetics of small molecule binding, dissociation constants (K_D) were calculated using steady state curve fitting from the average of three replicate runs (one-site specific binding; GraphPad Prism v9.3).

Microarray. Custom DNA microarrays were synthesized by Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA). Sequences were printed onto the glass surface starting at the 3′ end and linked by a variable length T spacer such that all sequences were 60 nucleotides in length. A minimum of 3 replicates were printed for each sequence. Control sequences were also included for the array validation and grid fitting. Prior to screening, microarray slides were rehydrated in a water bath (42 °C) for 30 min. Using an Agilent hybridization chamber (including base, cover, and clamp assembly) and backing slide, sub arrays were coincubated for 1 h with fluorescently labeled capture probe (100 *μ*M Probe-Cy5; 5′-GTCGTCCCGAGAGCCATA-Cy5− 3′) and cortisol (0−300 *μ*M). Counter targets were screened at equimolar concentrations of 300 *μ*M unless otherwise indicated. All experiments were performed in sISF + BSA. A control array (100 *μ*M Probe-Cy5 only) was included on each slide and used to establish the baseline fluorescence for data analysis. After hybridization, slides were washed by dipping for 10 s in binding buffer, then PBS. To remove any residual buffer salts before imaging, slides were quickly dipped in nuclease-free water and dried under a stream of nitrogen. Slides were imaged on a fluorescent scanner (Agilent SureScan Microarray Scanner) at a wavelength of 635 nm (red channel) with 3 um resolution and a 20-bit dynamic range. Median backgroundsubtracted spot intensities were extracted from each spot using

Mapix Analysis software (v1.8, Innopsys) and normalized to compare probe hybridization across all subarrays (eq 1).

probe hybridization(%Max) =
$$
\frac{(x - \text{Min})}{(\text{Max} - \text{Min})}
$$
 (1)

where *x* represents the raw fluorescence intensity for a particular spot and Min and Max represent mean fluorescence intensity for positive and negative probe hybridization control spots, respectively. Targetinduced probe dissociation was then calculated as %Change (eq 2) relative to a blank (probe only) control array.

probe dissociation(%Change) = % Max_{blank} - % Max_{target} (2)

Data in graphs represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for all replicates of a given sequence.

■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Aptamer Structural Characterization and Switching. For this work we consider a cortisol binding aptamer discovered by Yang et al. using a strand displacement SELEX technique.^{[31](#page-8-0)} The aptamer, named CSS.3, was one of three cortisol aptamers reported by Yang et al., but it demonstrated the highest affinity compared to the other two sequences. Our own internal work confirmed that CSS.3 had the best binding affinity in binding buffer with a K_D of 240 nM [\(Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S2). Structurally, CSS.3 is predicted to form a three-way junction motif consisting of a central loop flanked by three stem regions (MFold predicted structure shown in Figure 1a). Although originally selected using a strand displacement technique common for the identification of structure switching aptamers, through extensive literature research we could not find experimental data demonstrating

Figure 2. Binding for all CSS.3 aptamer truncations was measured by using BLI. (a) Calculated binding affinities from steady state equilibrium to cortisol in sISF. (b) Replicate traces showing real-time baseline, association and dissociation and (c) averaged steady state binding traces for stemoptimized CSS.3_cut3 aptamer are featured. SD = standard deviation. Experiments were conducted at 30 °C in sISF.

the aptamer is structure switching nor structural characterization data. A report by Niu et al. characterized binding affinity of CSS.1 (one of the other cortisol aptamers reported by Yang et al.) and various CSS.1 truncations, however, the authors did not perform any structural characterizations of the aptamer.³²

Using NMR to characterize aptamer structure, we show here that the CSS.3 aptamer has peaks in the 13−14 and 12−13 ppm range [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 1b, top line, black trace), corresponding to AT and GC base pairs, respectively.^{[33,34](#page-8-0)} The addition of cortisol results in the appearance of new peaks, most notably a distinct peak appearing at 10.9 ppm ([Figure](#page-2-0) 1b, top line, magenta trace). Based on the mfold predicted structures and previous NMR studies on noncanonical base pairs^{[35](#page-8-0)−[37](#page-8-0)} we propose that this new peak is due to the formation of a GA base pair forming in the central loop region adjacent to stem 2 (denoted by dotted red line in [Figure](#page-2-0) 1a). Because it is formed only in the presence of cortisol, we propose that the cortisol binding site is partially formed by this GA base pair. This is a notable finding because the presence of a noncanonical base pair forming within the binding pocket cannot be predicted using modeling software alone. Cortisol binding is also confirmed by large chemical shifts for the cortisol methyl protons in the aliphatic portion of the CSS.3 NMR spectra (Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S3).

Based on this structural characterization work, we concluded that the CSS.3 aptamer is mostly folded in the absence of cortisol and would be unlikely to undergo a sufficiently large conformational switch for signal generation without the addition of a displacement strand. Recently, a wearable cortisol sweat sensor was developed using the CSS.3 sequence, however, in line with our findings here, the aptamer was modified into a pseudoknot structure to assist with conformation-switching for electrochemical signal genera-tion.^{[38](#page-8-0)} We hypothesized that because the aptamer was selected using a strand displacement technique, 31 further optimization would be needed in order to engineer a robust conformational change without the presence of a complementary displacement strand.

Aptamer Truncations Effects on Structure Switching. To introduce structure switching, we systematically examined the effect of truncating the stem no. 1 region of the aptamer, as depicted by the cuts shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 1a (sequences provided in Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S4). Based on similar rational design approaches,^{39−[42](#page-8-0)} we expected there to be an increase in structure-switching capability but a loss of binding affinity as the length of the stem region was reduced. However, it was

unclear from the computationally derived data at what point the optimal balance for switching would occur. To assess structure switching in the truncated aptamers, we looked at the aptamer structure in the presence and absence of cortisol using NMR. [Figure](#page-2-0) 1b shows the spectra for all CSS.3-truncated aptamers in the absence (black) and presence (magenta) of cortisol. With each sequential aptamer truncation there is a loss of structure, as evidenced by the loss of peaks in the 12−14 ppm region. With the removal of three base pairs (cut3) the aptamer no longer shows any discernible peaks in this region, which is consistent with the aptamer being unstructured in solution. Notably, once exposed to cortisol, this truncation still retains the ability to bind cortisol, as evidenced by the presence of peaks in the 12−14 ppm region and the GA base pair indicated by the peak at 10.9 ppm. No imino or upfield proton peaks are observed for CSS.3_cut4 or _cut5 in either spectrum, indicating that these sequences remain unstructured even in the presence of cortisol. From the NMR spectra, we selected CSS.3 cut3 as the optimal truncation for maximal structure switching in response to cortisol binding.

In addition to this work, we also performed 2D NOESY NMR analysis on the stem-optimized CSS.3_cut3 aptamer, with the goal of identifying the cortisol binding site. The spectra in [Figure](#page-2-0) 1c was acquired in PBS (we found little difference between DNA duplexes tested in PBS vs sISF, Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S5) and at a lower temperature (283 K) to decrease the water exchange rate with a long mixing time (200 ms) so that protons separated by longer distances, including those between neighboring imino protons.^{[25](#page-8-0)} [Figure](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0)d shows a cross section of the NOESY spectra. These data show numerous exchange peaks that arise both from inter and intramolecular magnetization exchange. Of particular interest are the cross peaks to the imino protons from the GC and AT base pairs, as well as the GA base pair within the central loop. These data confirm that cortisol binds within the central loop of the three-way junction structure and is in close proximity to the GA base pair.

Furthermore, we used the structural insights gained from NMR to model the docking of the CSS.3 cut3 aptamer to cortisol. Because no reliable tools exist for rigid 3D ssDNA modeling, we generated the initial structure using RNA modeling software and then converted it into DNA before subjecting it to molecular dynamics simulations (see the [Experimental](#page-1-0) Section for details). Importantly, when selecting structures, we looked for models where the GA base pair in the central region would be likely to form due to the proximity of the two nucleotides. This process generated a rigid 3D

Figure 3. Microarray-based target-induced probe dissociation screening. (a) Schematic showing probe dissociation strategy with a fluorescently labeled probe designed to hybridize to the 5′ region of the aptamer and dissociate in the presence of cortisol. (b) Optimization of stem #1 length for probe hybridization and (c) cortisol-induced probe dissociation signal. (d) The optimized CSS.3 sequence (5'del2, 3'del1) and fluorescently labeled probe is shown with complementary region highlighted in green. (e) Heatmap showing cortisol-induced probe dissociation for all possible point mutations of the CSS.3 parent sequence and identifies two regions permissible to mutation. Mutation introduced is shown along the *y*-axis and position along the aptamer on *x*-axis. Probe dissociation was color-coded such that red/orange = improved binding; blue = worsened binding; gray = no change relative to parent sequence (threshold = 29%). Microarray experiments were conducted at room temperature, in sISF + BSA.

structure of CSS.3_cut3 ([Figure](#page-2-0) 1e, with the GA base pair highlighted in green), which was docked to cortisol. The 3D docked model shows binding occurring within the central loop of the aptamer and with extensive interactions between base pairs in stems 1 and 3, as well as the GA base pair ([Figure](#page-2-0) 1f, interacting nucleotides highlighted in magenta). We postulate that advances in ssDNA modeling will enable more robust sequence optimization efforts in the future.

Sequence Optimization for Improved Affinity. While a robust conformational change is important for sensor integration, it is also important to maintain a high binding affinity between the aptamer and target. Here we used BLI to measure the binding affinity for each of the CSS.3 aptamer variants in sISF. The measured binding affinities for each of the aptamer truncations are shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2a. CSS.3 cut3 shows some loss of cortisol binding affinity with a K_D of 990 nM compared with 660 nM for the full-length aptamer. CSS.3_cut1 and CSS.3_cut2 show slight improvement in binding affinity, likely due to easier folding with the removal of nonessential nucleotides from the 3′ end. Removal of more than three base pairs from the stem #1 region results in more significant loss of binding affinity; the K_D value for cut 5 was found to be 4200 nM. These findings are consistent with the NMR findings in that removal of more than three bases from the stem region results in destabilization of the aptamer structure, such that cortisol binding affinity is negatively affected. We believe the BLI technique allows us to quantify

weaker interactions that we are allowed to measure in the NMR experiments, which would explain the difference observed in the data shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 1b (no binding observable by NMR for the sequences labeled cut 4 and 5) while a measurable K_D is observed by BLI ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2a). The overlaid traces in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2b,c show real-time baseline, association, and dissociation to cortisol and steady state curve fitting results for CSS.3_cut3.

The results from the binding affinity determination highlight another important finding. When tested in sISF, a more biologically relevant and complex buffer, the CSS.3 aptamer undergoes an almost 3-fold loss of affinity (the *K*_D for CSS.3 dropped from 249 nM in tris binding buffer [\(Supporting](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S2) to 660 nM in sISF ([Figure](#page-3-0) 2a). Given the combined deleterious effects of both stem truncation and biofluid composition on binding affinity, we sought to further improve the aptamer performance for real world sensing applications.

Microarray-Based Sequence Maturation Screening. We carried out large-scale sequence maturation and screening experiments using microarrays, with the goal of identifying better binders in sISF. Because cortisol is a small molecule and therefore has a limited number of epitopes available for binding, we used a strand-displacement approach for microarray screening (Figure 3a). Like strand-displacement SELEX, we used a displacement probe with a fluorescent label as an indirect measure of cortisol binding. In the absence of cortisol,

Figure 4. Sequence optimization of the CSS.3 aptamer for an improved binding affinity. (a) Regions selected for nucleotide randomization in loop #2 (yellow) and stem and loop #3 (orange) are highlighted. (b) Dose−response curves showing increased probe dissociation for mutant compared to CSS.3 parent sequence and scrambled negative control sequence in the presence of cortisol (left) but not DHEAs (right). (c) Specificity of optimized mutant showing strong response to cortisol and 11-deoxycortisol, moderate response to progesterone and no response to other structurally similar targets. (d) DNA sequences were immobilized on BLI sensor tips through biotin and binding to cortisol was measured directly (without the use of complementary sequences), it is demonstrated that the selected mutant has 3-fold better binding affinity and selectivity against progesterone compared to the stem-optimized CSS.3_cut3 sequence. The mutant sequence also performs better in filtered human serum as a surrogate for testing in real ISF. (*) Serum filtered using 30 kDa MWCO filter.

the probe hybridizes to complementary bases on the 5′ end of the aptamer and forms a duplex. Upon binding to cortisol, the aptamer undergoes a conformational change that displaces the probe. Unbound probe is then removed during the washing step. The amount of probe dissociation in the presence of cortisol can be calculated relative to a buffer control and is used to estimate binding. A similar microarray-based approach has been used previously for aptamer optimization, 43 but differed in that the probe hybridized to the target binding site and competed directly with the target for binding.

Probe Hybridization Optimization. For this approach to be successful, it is important to first optimize the kinetics of probe hybridization. If probe hybridization is too strong, then it can prevent the transition of the aptamer into its binding conformation. Conversely, if probe hybridization is too weak, it is likely to dissociate even in the absence of target. 1 To achieve measurable binding signal for the CSS.3 aptamer, we first studied the effect of 5′ and 3′ truncations on probe hybridization and cortisol-induced probe dissociation [\(Figure](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-0)b,c). The full-length CSS.3 parent sequence is represented by the square in the upper right corner of the heatmaps (5′del0, 3′del0), and combinations of both 5′ and 3′ truncations can be mapped by moving down and left, respectively, across the heatmap. Regarding probe hybridization [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 3b), we found that hybridization weakened as nucleotides were deleted from the 5′ region of the aptamer. This was expected, since the 5′ region is complementary to the probe, and removal of nucleotides from that region would be expected to weaken probe hybridization. Conversely, as nucleotides were deleted from the 3′ region of the aptamer, probe hybridization strengthened because aptamer folding became weaker with fewer base pairs present in stem #1, therefore making it easier for the probe to hybridize. Regarding cortisol-induced

dissociation [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 3c), we found an inverse relationship to that of probe hybridization. As bases were removed from the 5′ region of the aptamer, cortisol binding increased. Again, this result was expected, because probe hybridization would be weakened and easier to displace upon binding. Similarly, cortisol-induced probe dissociation decreased with the removal of bases from the 3′ region. We believe this is due to the weakening of stem #1, therefore making it harder for the aptamer to fold into its binding conformation and displace the probe. Sequences at the bottom right corner of the heatmap showed no cortisol-induced probe dissociation due to the lack of initial probe hybridization.

The sequences with measurable cortisol binding can be visualized as a diagonal band across the heatmap in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3c. For microarray screening, we chose the sequence shown in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3d (5′del2, 3′del1; boxed in green in [Figure](#page-4-0) 3b,c) as the optimized parent sequence for microarray screening. Although probe hybridization was slightly lower with this truncation than that for the full-length CSS.3 aptamer, it showed high sensitivity to cortisol.

Mapping Aptamer Sequence Space Amenable to Mutations. For sequence optimization, we first focused on identifying regions of the aptamer that are amenable to mutation. We anticipated that residues close to the binding pocket would have the highest impact on the binding affinity; thus, it was unclear which positions could be mutated without disrupting cortisol binding. Using the microarray, we looked at the cortisol responses for all possible single point mutants of the CSS.3 parent aptamer ([Figure](#page-4-0) 3e). Probe dissociation in the heatmap is color-coded such that blue is nonbinding, orange is improved binding, and gray is no change from parent sequence (%change of CSS.3 parent = 29%). The data show that most positions could not be mutated without negatively

affecting cortisol binding. This included mutation of positions 12 and 29; the nucleotides involved in the GA base pair identified from NMR structural characterization. The only regions permissive to mutation were nucleotides 16, 20−24 and 34−37, which correspond to the outer part of loop #2 and loop #3.

While the microarray point mutation findings were largely consistent with the binding pocket identified from NMR, they also highlight the importance of residues not otherwise identified. For example, single point mutations in regions of loop #2 (e.g., positions 17−19) also resulted in loss of binding. These residues were not highlighted as binding sites by either NMR nor structural modeling and would not be expected to be important from a rational design approach, since unpaired bases in loop #2 would be least likely to be involved in stabilizing aptamer binding conformation or interacting directly with cortisol. Similar to other aptamer optimization efforts, $9,44$ $9,44$ these findings further highlight the importance of large-scale mutational analysis in sequence optimization approaches. While this work focuses on the optimization of a cortisol binding aptamer, we have also had success in applying this approach to aptamers for other targets such as DHEAs (Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S6).

Aptamer Sequence Maturation Screening. Next, we performed randomization of the regions identified as being permissive to mutation. In the first round of sequence optimization, we mutated bases in the outer region of loop #2 or stem and loop #3, highlighted in yellow and orange, respectively, in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4a. Combinations of 2, 3, 4, or 9 nucleotide substitutions were permitted per mutant. This process generated a library of 60,000 sequences that were screened on the microarray for binding to 300 uM cortisol. A total of 24 mutants were identified with a similar or better response to cortisol than the CSS.3 parent sequence. We then combined the functional mutations identified in loop #2 and stem and loop #3 and performed a second round of sequence optimization. A total of 2,000 additional mutants were generated and screened on the microarray against cortisol (0−1 mM, half log dilutions) and DHEAs. From this second round of screening, we identified mutants with improved sensitivity to cortisol while maintaining binding specificity against DHEAs. In [Figure](#page-5-0) 4b we show dose response curves for a selected mutant (Mutant1) along with the CSS.3 parent and a scramble sequence to demonstrate positive and negative dose−responses for cortisol. None of these sequences showed measurable probe dissociation in the presence of DHEAs. Additionally, we were able to assess binding specificity for all sequences on the array against a panel of structurally similar counter targets (including 300 uM DHEAs, progesterone, serotonin, dopamine, estradiol, and 11-deoxycortisol; structures shown in Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S7). We found that all cortisol binding sequences (including the original CSS.3 parent sequence) showed a strong response to both cortisol and 11 deoxycortisol (an immediate metabolite of cortisol with a very short half-life), a weak response to progesterone, and little to no binding to the remainder of the molecules tested, including DHEAs, estradiol, serotonin, and dopamine. Specificity data for Mutant1 is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 4c. The 12 mutants showing the strongest cortisol binding affinity were downselected for further characterization using BLI, as a single strand, without the use of a strand displacement assay. We highlight here the results for Mutant 1 with roughly 3-fold improvement in binding affinity for cortisol compared to the CSS.3 stemoptimized variant (K_D) in sISF was 330 nM vs 990 nM for CSS.3 cut3; [Figure](#page-5-0) 4d). A direct comparison of BLI dose response for CSS.3 and Mutant1, along with a diagram of a single stranded BLI assay, is shown in Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) [S8](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf). It is observed that the LoD in the BLI instrument for Mutant 1 is significantly better than CSS.3 [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf) S9). This mutant also showed roughly 100-fold selectivity for cortisol over progesterone, which was increased compared to both the full-length CSS.3 aptamer and stem-optimized CSS.3 cut3 (∼30-fold each). We also show how the mutant performs in a real biofluid to highlight the translatability of these findings to real-world sensing environments. Because of the difficulties in collecting sufficient volumes of ISF, we used filtered human serum (treated with a 30 kDa molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) filter). Again, the selected mutant maintained roughly 3-fold better binding affinity than the stem-optimized CSS.3 $cut3$ [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 4d).

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

Here we demonstrate an approach to aptamer optimization that uses structural characterization data at the molecular level (NMR) to identify unique sequence motifs that are critical to aptamer structure and binding. This information was used to guide rational design of an aptamer truncation with robust conformational switching capability in the presence of target. Additionally, use of the microarray platform allowed us to rapidly study the effect on binding of every possible point mutation in the aptamer sequence, identify regions permissive to mutation, and screen combinations of mutations, resulting in the identification of a cortisol-selective mutant with 3-fold improvement in cortisol binding affinity in just two rounds of microarray screening. Importantly, we were able to perform all screening in a biologically relevant buffer (sISF) and showed direct translation to a human biofluid (filtered human serum). While this work focused on optimization of a cortisol binding aptamer, we have been able to apply the same approach to aptamers for different targets. We believe the approach is broadly applicable for the development of SSAs and that this capability will accelerate aptamer sequence optimization to support the development of rapid, continuous, and wearable biosensing applications.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004?goto=supporting-info).

Buffer components, aptamer sequences, NMR spectra, molecular structures, and results for additional targets ([PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004/suppl_file/se3c02004_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Jorge L. Chávez − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States*; ● orcid.org/0000-0002-0771-6947; Email: Jorge.Chavez_Benavides.2@us.af.mil

Authors

Monica Wolfe − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States; UES, Inc., Dayton, Ohio 45433, United States;* [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0506-6768) [0000-0002-0506-6768](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0506-6768)

- Alyssa Cramer − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States; UES, Inc., Dayton, Ohio 45433, United States;* [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2997-5066) [0000-0003-2997-5066](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2997-5066)
- Sean Webb − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States; UES, Inc., Dayton, Ohio 45433, United States*
- Eva Goorskey − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States*
- Yaroslav Chushak − *711th Human Performance Wing, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States; Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States*
- Peter Mirau − *Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, Air Force Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433, United States*; ● orcid.org/0000-0002-5210-4990
- Netzahualcóyotl Arroyo-Currás − *Department of Pharmacology and Molecular Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, United States;* ● orcid.org/0000-0002-2740-6276

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.3c02004?ref=pdf)

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written and edited through contributions from all authors. P.M. and S.W. carried out all NMR experiments. M.W. performed and analyzed all microarray experiments. Y.C. designed and carried out computational modeling experiments. A.C., S.W., and E.G. performed all BLI experiments. M.W., A.C., S.W., P.M., and Y.C. contributed to data generation and manuscript writing and editing. N.A.-C. and J.L.C. were involved in planning, discussion, editing and supervision of the project. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The views and opinions presented herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Defense or its Components.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Funding was provided by the AFRL 711th Human Performance Wing and the Nano-Bio Materials Consortium (NBMC). E.G. performed this work supported by the Wright Scholars Program. Material is approved for public release. Case Number: AFRL-2023-3920, cleared 09 Aug 2023.

■ **ABBREVIATIONS**

BLI = biolayer interferometry

BSA = bovine serum albumin

EAB = electrochemical aptamer-based sensor

ISF = interstitial fluid

kDa = kilodalton

MWCO = molecular weight cutoff

NMR = nuclear magnetic resonance

SELEX = systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment

SD = standard deviation

sISF = simulated interstitial fluid

- ssDNA = single-stranded DNA
- SSA = structure-switching aptamer

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Feagin, T. A.; Maganzini, N.; Soh, H. T. [Strategies](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.8b00516?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) for Creating [Structure-Switching](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.8b00516?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Aptamers. *ACS Sensors* 2018, *3*, 1611−1615.

(2) Wilson, B. D.; Hariri, A. A.; Thompson, I. A. P.; Eisenstein, M.; Soh, H. T. Independent control of the [thermodynamic](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13137-x) and kinetic

[properties](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13137-x) of aptamer switches. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *101* (10), 1−9. (3) Nutiu, R.; Li, Y. [Structure-switching](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja028962o?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) signaling aptamers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 4771−4778.

(4) Ma, X.; Qioa, S.; Sun, H.; Su, R.; Sun, C.; Zhang. [Development](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00029) of [structure-switching](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00029) aptamers for kanamycin detection based on [fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00029) resonance energy transfer. *Front. Chem.* 2019, *7*, 29.

(5) Tang, Z.; Mallikaratchy, P.; Yang, R.; Kim, Y.; Zhu, Z.; Wang, H.; Tan, W. Aptamer Switch Probe Based on [Intramolecular](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja804119s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Displacement.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja804119s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 11268−11269.

(6) Chen, J.; Fang, Z.; Liu, J.; Zeng, L. A simple and rapid [biosensor](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.11.039) for ochratoxin A based on a [structure-switching](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.11.039) signaling aptamer. *Food Control* 2012, *25*, 555−560.

(7) Morse, D. P. Direct selection of RNA beacon [aptamers.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.05.072) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2007, *359*, 94−101.

(8) Schoukroun-Barnes, L. R.; Macazo, F. C.; Gutierrez, B.; Lottermoser, J.; Liu, J.; White, R. J. Reagentless, [Structure-Switching,](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anchem-071015-041446) [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anchem-071015-041446) Aptamer-Based Sensors. *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2016, *9*, 163−181.

(9) Yoshikawa, A. M.; Rangel, A. E.; Zheng, L.; Wan, L.; Hein, L. A.; Hariri, A. A.; Eisenstein, M.; Soh, H. T. A [massively](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38105-4) parallel screening platform for [converting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38105-4) aptamers into molecular switches. *Nat. Commun.* 2023, *14*, 2336 DOI: [10.1038/s41467-023-38105-4.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38105-4?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(10) Lam, S. Y.; Lau, H. L.; Kwok, C. K. [Capture-SELEX:](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12121142) Selection Strategy, Aptamer [Identification,](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12121142) and Biosensing Application. *Biosensors* 2022, *12*, 1142 DOI: [10.3390/bios12121142](https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12121142?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(11) Lyu, C.; Khan, I. M.; Wang, Z. [Capture-SELEX](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122274) for aptamer [selection:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122274) A short review. *Talanta* 2021, *229*, No. 122274.

(12) Yang, K. A.; Pei, R.; Stojanovic, M. N. In vitro [selection](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.04.032) and [amplification](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.04.032) protocols for isolation of aptameric sensors for small [molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.04.032) *Methods* 2016, *106*, 58−65.

(13) Parlak, O. Portable and wearable real-time stress [monitoring:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snr.2021.100036) A critical [review.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snr.2021.100036) *Sensors and Actuators Reports* 2021, *3*, No. 100036.

(14) Wang, X.; Walt, D. R. [Simultaneous](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SC02552F) detection of small molecules, proteins and [microRNAs](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SC02552F) using single molecule arrays. *Chem. Sci.* 2020, *11*, 7896−7903.

(15) Jones, C.; Gwenin, C. Cortisol level [dysregulation](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14644) and its [prevalence](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14644)�Is it nature's alarm clock? *Physiol. Rep.* 2021, *8*, No. e14644, DOI: [10.14814/phy2.14644.](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14644?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)

(16) Torrente-Rodríguez, R. M.; Tu, J.; Yang, Y.; Min, J.; Wang, M.; Song, Y.; Yu, Y.; Xu, C.; Ye, C.; IsHak, W. W.; Gao, W. [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.01.021) of Cortisol Dynamics in Human Sweat Using a [Graphene-Based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.01.021) Wireless [mHealth](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.01.021) System. *Matter* 2020, *2*, 921−937.

(17) Venugopal, M.; Arya, S. K.; Chornokur, G.; Bhansali, S. [A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2011.04.028) Realtime and [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2011.04.028) Assessment of Cortisol in ISF Using [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2011.04.028) Impedance Spectroscopy. *Sens. Actuators. A. Phys.* 2011, *172*, 154.

(18) Laudat, M. H.; Cerdas, S.; Fournier, C.; Guiban, D.; guilhaume, B.; Luton, J. P. Salivary Cortisol [Measurement:](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-66-2-343) A Practical Approach to Assess [Pituitary-Adrenal](https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-66-2-343) Function. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 1988, *66*, 343−348.

(19) El-Farhan, N.; Rees, D. A.; Evans, C. [Measuring](https://doi.org/10.1177/0004563216687335) cortisol in serum, urine and saliva − are our assays good [enough?](https://doi.org/10.1177/0004563216687335) *Ann. Clin. Biochem.* 2017, *54*, 308−322.

(20) Gebhart, S.; Faupel, M.; Fowler, R.; Kapsner, C.; Lincoln, D.; McGee, V.; Pasqua, J.; Steed, L.; Wangsness, M.; Xu, F.; Vanstory, M. Glucose Sensing in [Transdermal](https://doi.org/10.1089/152091503321827812) Body Fluid Collected Under [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1089/152091503321827812) Vacuum Pressure Via Micropores in the Stratum [Corneum.](https://doi.org/10.1089/152091503321827812) *Diabetes Technol. Ther.* 2003, *5*, 159−166.

(21) Wu, Y.; Tehrani, F.; Teymourian, H.; Mack, J.; Shaver, A.; Reynoso, M.; Kavner, J.; Huang, N.; Furmidge, A.; Duvvuri, A.; Nie, Y.; Laffel, L. M.; Doyle, F. J.; Patti, M. E.; Dassau, E.; Wang, J.; Arroyo-Currás, N. Microneedle [Aptamer-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.2c00829?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Sensors for Continuous, Real-Time [Therapeutic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.2c00829?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Drug Monitoring. *Anal. Chem.* 2022, *94*, 8335 DOI: [10.1021/acs.analchem.2c00829](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.2c00829?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(22) Lin, S.; Cheng, X.; Zhu, J.; Wang, B.; Jelinek, D.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, T. Y.; Horrillo, A.; Tan, J.; Yeung, J.; Yan, W.; Forman, S.; Coller, H. A.; Milla, C.; Emaminejad, S. Wearable [microneedle-based](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abq4539) electrochemical aptamer [biosensing](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abq4539) for precision dosing of drugs with narrow [therapeutic](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abq4539) windows. *Sci. Adv.* 2022, *8*, 4539.

(23) Yi, K.; Wang, Y.; Shi, K.; Chi, J.; Lyu, J.; Zhao, Y. [Aptamer](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2021.113404)decorated porous [microneedles](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2021.113404) arrays for extraction and detection of skin interstitial fluid [biomarkers.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2021.113404) *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2021, *190*, No. 113404.

(24) Hwang, T. L.; Shaka, A. J. Water [Suppression](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1995.1047) That Works. Excitation Sculpting Using Arbitrary [Wave-Forms](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1995.1047) and Pulsed-Field [Gradients.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmra.1995.1047) *J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A* 1995, *112*, 275−279.

(25) Cavanagh, J., Fairbrother, W. J., Palmer, A. G., Rance, M., Skelton, N. J. *Protein NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Practice*.

Protein NMR Spectrosc. Princ. Pract. Academic press 2006 *1*−*915*. (26) UNAFold Web server. at < [http://www.unafold.org/mfold/](http://www.unafold.org/mfold/applications/dna-folding-form.php>) [applications/dna-folding-form.php>.](http://www.unafold.org/mfold/applications/dna-folding-form.php>)

(27) Cheng, C. Y.; Chou, F. C.; Das, R. [Modeling](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2014.10.051) Complex RNA [Tertiary](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2014.10.051) Folds with Rosetta. *Methods Enzymol.* 2015, *553*, 35−64.

(28) Cheatham, T. E.; Case, D. A. [Twenty-five](https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.22331) years of nucleic acid [simulations.](https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.22331) *Biopolymers* 2013, *99*, 969−977.

(29) Schneidman-Duhovny, D.; Inbar, Y.; Nussinov, R.; Wolfson, H. J. PatchDock and [SymmDock:](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki481) servers for rigid and symmetric [docking.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki481) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005, *33*, W363.

(30) Pettersen, E. F.; Goddard, T. D.; Huang, C. C.; Couch, G. S.; Greenblatt, D. M.; Meng, E. C.; Ferrin, T. E. UCSF [Chimera–a](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084) [visualization](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084) system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2004, *25*, 1605−1612.

(31) Yang, K. A.; Chun, H.; Zhang, Y.; Pecic, S.; Nakatsuka, N.; Andrews, A. M.; Worgall, T. S.; Stojanovic, M. N. [High-Affinity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00634?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Nucleic-Acid-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00634?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Receptors for Steroids. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2017, *12*, 3103−3112.

(32) Niu, C.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, C.; Liu, J. [Comparing](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SD00042C) two cortisol aptamers for label-free fluorescent and [colorimetric](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SD00042C) biosensors. *Sens. Diagn* 2022, *1*, 541.

(33) Churcher, Z. R., Johnson, P. E., Johnson, P. *NMR for nonexperts; a practical guide for applying NMR methods in studies of aptamer-ligand interactions*. Aptamers (2020) *4*, 3−9.

(34) Sakamoto, T.; *NMR study of aptamers* Aptamers 2017 *1* 13 18 (35) Lam, S. L.; Chi, L. M. Use of Chemical Shifts for [Structural](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnmrs.2010.01.002) Studies of [Nucleic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnmrs.2010.01.002) Acids. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* 2010, *56* (3), 289−310.

(36) Li, Y.; Zon, G.; Wilson, W. D. NMR and [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.1.26) Modeling Evidence for a G.A Mismatch Base Pair in a [Purine-Rich](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.1.26) DNA [Duplex.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.1.26) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1991, *88* (1), 26−30.

(37) Greene, K. L.; Jones, R. L.; Li, Y.; Robinson, H.; Wang, A. H.-J.; Zon, G.; Wilson, W. D. Solution Structure of a GA [Mismatch](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00171a003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) DNA Sequence, [d\(CCATGAATGG\)2,](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00171a003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Determined by 2D NMR and Structural [Refinement](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00171a003?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Methods. *Biochemistry.* 1994, *33* (5), 1053− 1062.

(38) Singh, N. K.; Chung, S.; Chang, A. Y.; Wang, J.; Hall, D. A. [A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2023.115097) [non-invasive](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2023.115097) wearable stress patch for real-time cortisol monitoring using a [pseudoknot-assisted](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2023.115097) aptamer. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2023, *227*, No. 115097.

(39) Catherine, A. T.; Shishido, S. N.; Robbins-Welty, G. A.; Diegelman-Parente, A. Rational design of a [structure-switching](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fob.2014.08.008) DNA aptamer for [potassium](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fob.2014.08.008) ions. *FEBS Open Bio* 2014, *4*, 788−795.

(40) Schoukroun-Barnes, L. R.; White, R. J. [Rationally](https://doi.org/10.3390/s150407754) Designing Aptamer Sequences with Reduced Affinity for [Controlled](https://doi.org/10.3390/s150407754) Sensor [Performance.](https://doi.org/10.3390/s150407754) *Sensors* 2015, *15*, 7754−7767.

(41) Neves, M. A. D.; Shoara, A. A.; Reinstein, O.; Abbasi Borhani, O.; Martin, T. R.; Johnson, P. E. E [Optimizing](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.7b00619?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Stem Length to Improve Ligand Selectivity in a [Structure-Switching](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.7b00619?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cocaine-Binding [Aptamer.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.7b00619?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Sens.* 2017, *2*, 1539−1545.

(42) Kaur, H.; Yung, L. Y. L.; Addison, C. L. [Probing](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031196) High Affinity Sequences of DNA Aptamer against [VEGF165.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031196) *PLoS One* 2012, *7*, 31196.

(43) Heilkenbrinker, A.; Reinemann, C.; Stoltenburg, R.; Walter, J. G.; Jochums, A.; Stahl, F.; Zimmermann, S.; Strehlitz, B.; Scheper, T.

Scheper Identification of the target binding site of [ethanolamine](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac5034819?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)binding aptamers and its exploitation for [ethanolamine](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac5034819?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) detection. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 677−685.

(44) Wan, L.; Yoshikawa, A.; Eisenstein, M.; Soh, H. T. [High-](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.2c02106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Throughput Strategy for Enhancing Aptamer [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.2c02106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) across Different [Environmental](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.2c02106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Conditions. *ACS Sens.* 2023, *8*, 2519 DOI: [10.1021/acssensors.2c02106](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.2c02106?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).