



## RESEARCH ARTICLE **https://doi.org/10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-23-0258 OPEN ACCESS**

# *INHBA* is Enriched in HPV-negative Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Promotes Cancer Progression

Tsima Abou Kors<sup>1</sup>, Linda Hofmann<sup>1</sup>, Annika Betzler<sup>1</sup>, Kathrina Payer<sup>1</sup>, Martin Bens<sup>2</sup>, Jens Truong<sup>1</sup>, Adrian von Witzleben<sup>1</sup>, Jaya Thomas<sup>3</sup>, Johann M. Kraus<sup>4</sup>, Randa Kalaajieh<sup>1</sup>, Diana Huber<sup>1</sup>, Jasmin Ezić<sup>1</sup>, Julian Benckendorff<sup>5</sup>, Jens Greve<sup>1</sup>, Patrick J. Schuler<sup>1</sup>, Christian H. Ottensmeier<sup>6</sup>, Hans A. Kestler<sup>4</sup>, Thomas K. Hoffmann<sup>1</sup>, Marie-Nicole Theodoraki<sup>1</sup>, Cornelia Brunner<sup>1</sup>, and Simon Laban<sup>1</sup>

# ABSTRACT

Patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) caused by human papilloma virus (HPV) exhibit a better prognosis than those with HPV-negative OPSCC. This study investigated the distinct molecular pathways that delineate HPV-negative from HPV-positive OPSCC to identify biologically relevant therapeutic targets. Bulk mRNA from 23 HPV-negative and 39 HPV-positive OPSCC tumors  $(n = 62)$  was sequenced to uncover the transcriptomic profiles. Differential expression followed by gene set enrichment analysis was performed to outline the top enriched biological process in the HPV-negative compared with HPVpositive entity.*INHBA*, the highest overexpressed gene in the HPV-negative tumor, was knocked down. Functional assays (migration, proliferation, cell death, stemness) were conducted to confirm the target's oncogenic role. Correlation analyses to reveal its impact on the tumor microenvironment were performed. We revealed that epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is the most enriched process in HPV-negative compared with HPVpositive OPSCC, with *INHBA* (inhibin beta A subunit) being the top upregulated gene. *INHBA* knockdown downregulated the expression of

EMT transcription factors and attenuated migration, proliferation, stemness, and cell death resistance of OPSCC cells. We uncovered that *INHBA* associates with a pro-tumor microenvironment by negatively correlating with antitumor CD8<sup>+</sup> T and B cells while positively correlating with protumor M1 macrophages. We identified three miRNAs that are putatively involved in repressing *INHBA* expression. Our results indicate that the upregulation of INHBA is tumor-promoting. We propose INHBA as an attractive therapeutic target for the treatment of *INHBA*-enriched tumors in patients with HPV-negative OPSCC to ameliorate prognosis.

**Significance:** Patients with HPV-negative OPSCC have a poorer prognosis due to distinct molecular pathways. This study reveals significant transcriptomic differences between HPV-negative and HPV-positive OPSCC, identifying *INHBA* as a key upregulated gene in HPV-negative OPSCC's oncogenic pathways. INHBA is crucial in promoting EMT, cell proliferation, and an immunosuppressive tumor environment, suggesting its potential as a therapeutic target for HPV-negative OPSCC.

# **Introduction**

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the sixth most common cancer worldwide, with a high incidence in developing countries. The majority of HNSCCs is caused by tobacco and alcohol abuse, as well as infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV; ref. [1\)](#page-14-0). Oropharyngeal squamous cell

carcinoma (OPSCC) is an HNSCC subtype affecting the oropharynx, which includes the tonsils, the base of the tongue, and the back of the throat [\(2\)](#page-14-1). HPV was identified as a major risk factor for this type of cancer. However, not all cases of OPSCC are caused by HPV [\(3\)](#page-14-2). HPV has been found to impact the prognosis of OPSCC significantly. Studies have shown that patients with HPV-driven OPSCC have a better prognosis than those with HPV-negative OPSCC [\(3–](#page-14-2)[6\)](#page-14-3).

T. Abou Kors and L. Hofmann contributed as co-first author to this article.

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Ulm University Medical Center, Ulm, Germany. 2Fritz Lipmann Institute, Leibniz Institute on Aging, University of Jena, Jena, Germany. 3Cancer Sciences Unit, University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine, Southampton, United Kingdom. 4Institute for Medical Systems Biology, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Institute of Pathology, Ulm University Medical Center, Ulm, Germany. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, Liverpool Head and Neck Center, University of Liverpool, Faculty of Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

**Corresponding Author:** Simon Laban, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Ulm University Medical Center, Frauensteige 12, Ulm 89070, Germany. E-mail: [simon.laban@gmail.com](mailto:simon.laban@gmail.com)

**doi:** 10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-23-0258

<span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span>This open access article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license.

<span id="page-0-5"></span><sup>© 2024</sup> The Authors; Published by the American Association for Cancer Research

HPV-positive OPSCC tends to have a more indolent course with slower growth [\(7\)](#page-14-4). In contrast, HPV-negative OPSCC is associated with a more aggressive clinical course, poorer prognosis, and higher resistance to therapy  $(7, 8)$  $(7, 8)$  $(7, 8)$ . There is a high medical need to improve outcomes of patients with HPV-negative OPSCC.

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a biological process that allows epithelial cells to acquire a mesenchymal phenotype characterized by increased motility, invasiveness, and resistance to therapy. Studies have shown that the activation of EMT-associated signaling pathways, such as TGFβ, is linked to increased invasiveness and poor prognosis [\(9\)](#page-14-6). INHBA (inhibin beta A subunit) is a protein belonging to the TGFβ family. Preliminary bioinformatic analysis of public datasets has shown that *INHBA* is overexpressed in various solid tumors, including head and neck cancer, compared with respective healthy tissues [\(10–](#page-14-7)[16\)](#page-15-0).

Despite the significant progress in understanding the molecular processes underlying OPSCC, the fundamental mechanisms contributing to the aggressiveness of HPV-negative OPSCC are poorly understood, and the available therapeutic targets for this cancer subtype are limited. Current adjuvant treatments for HPV-negative OPSCC, such as radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy, have moderate efficacy in mitigating recurrence and are sometimes associated with significant morbidity and toxicity [\(17\)](#page-15-1). Therefore, there is a need to identify biologically relevant therapeutic targets for HPV-negative OPSCC, which could, in turn, improve the treatment of this cancer subtype and poor patient outcome. To explore the differentially regulated molecular mechanisms between HPV-negative and HPV-positive OPSCC primary tumors, this study systematically compared the bulk mRNA profiles of the two subentities to outline an appropriate therapeutic target and evaluate its functionally oncogenic role.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### **Patient Samples and External Cohort**

A total of 62 patients with OPSCC were included in our study cohort (Ulm). A total of 51 representative tissue samples from primary tumor were obtained during surgery at the University Hospital Ulm, Germany, and 11 were provided by C. Ottensmeier from Southampton University, Southampton, UK. The designated ethical committee has given their approval for this study (Ulm University: approval number 222/13; 90/15; UK Medical Research and Ethics Committee: approval number MREC 09/H0501/90). Informed written consent was obtained before patient samples were taken between July 2013 and August 2022. All procedures involving patient sample collection were conducted in full compliance with the ethical standards outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. HPV status was determined by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), PCR for HPV DNA, and p16 IHC. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) gene and miRNA expression values along with clinical parameters of 70 OPSCC samples were downloaded using the R package TCGAbiolinks (2.23.2). TCGA patient with barcode TCGA-BB-A6UM lacks miRNA data. Hence, only 69 samples were included in the miRNA analysis. HPV status of TCGA samples was determined utilizing the metdata provided on p16 IHC and HPV-DNA ISH. Missing HPV status was determined by collecting HPV oncogenes normalized RNA reads per million and applying the cutoff recommended in a previous study [\(18\)](#page-15-2).

#### **RNA-seq**

The AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, 80204) was used to extract total RNA from snap-frozen tumor tissues. Quality of total RNA was evaluated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument (Agilent RNA 6000 Pico). The next-generation sequencing method from Illumina was used to sequence RNA samples, following the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, libraries were made from 500 ng of input material using TruSeq Stranded mRNA. Libraries of 51 samples were pooled and single-end sequenced in one lane of the HiSeq 2500/NovaSeq 6000 System. A total of 11 samples libraries were paired-end sequenced on HiSeq2500 platform. Sequence data were converted to FASTQ format using bcl2fastq (2.20.0.422). After being mapped to the human genome (hg38) using STAR (2.0.9) and multimapping reads being eliminated, highquality readings were converted to gene-specific read counts for identified genes using featureCounts (2.0.0). As a fraction of all reads, almost 75% of the total reads were uniquely mapped. Unmapped reads were matched to HPV highrisk type genomes using a viGen bioinformatic approach [\(19\)](#page-15-3). If samples had at least 500 reads for either the HPV E6 or E7 RNA or at least 500 reads for all HPV oncogenes combined (E1, E2, E4, E5, E6, E7, L1, L2), they were considered HPV-positive.

#### **Cell Culture**

The HPV-negative OPSCC cell line UDSCC1 (RRID: CVCL\_E324, provided by TKH) and the HPV16-positive hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma cell line UDSCC2 (RRID: CVCL\_E325, provided by TKH) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, 41965-039) supplemented with 10% FBS (Bio&Sell, FBS.S 0615) and 1% MEM non-essential amino acids (Gibco, catalog no.: 11140-035) at 37°C, 5% CO2 and >95% relative humidity. The identity of the cell lines was proven in July 2023 by short tandem repeats analysis. Cultures were checked monthly for absence of *Mycoplasma* contamination (VenorGeM Advance, Minerva Biolabs GmbH, catalog no.: 11-7024). Experiments were performed on cells until passage 20 after thawing.

#### **Transfection of UDSCC1 Cells**

A total of 250,000 UDSCC1 cells in 2.5 mL complete medium were seeded per well of a 6-well plate (Sarstedt, 83.3920.300) and cultured for 24 hours. After 24 hours, cells were transfected with *INHBA* Silencer predesigned siRNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, AM16708, assay ID siRNA1: 11093 and assay ID siRNA2: 144964) or Silencer Select Negative Control #2 siRNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 4390846). For each well, 75 pmol of siRNA were mixed with reduced serum medium (Opti-MEM, Gibco, catalog no.: 31985-047) and 4 μL Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 13778-030). The mix was incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes and was added dropwise to each well. After transfection, cells were cultured for another 24 hours (t24) or 48 hours (t48) at which functional assays were conducted, as indicated in the figure legends.

#### **qRT-PCR**

Cells were harvested using 350 μL RLT buffer from the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74104) supplemented with 2-mercaptoethanol (1:100). RNA was isolated according to manufacturer's instruction and RNA concentrations were determined using a TECAN spectrophotometer. A total of 1,000 ng RNA were reverse transcribed using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, 205313) and qRT-PCR was subsequently performed using the QuantiNova SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, 208056), both according to manufacturer's instruction. qRT-PCR was run in a Roche Light Cycler 96 using the following program: 2 minutes of initial heat activation at 95°C, followed by two-step cycling of 5 seconds denaturation at 95°C and 10 seconds combined annealing and extension at 60°C, repeated for 40 cycles. Following primers

were manufactured by Biomers: *RPL30* forward 5'-tggtggctgcaaagaagac-3', *RPL* reverse 5- -gcagttgttagcgagaatgac-3- , *INHBA* forward 5- -aagtcggggag aacgggtatgtgg-3', *INHBA* reverse 5'-tcttcctggctgttcctgactcg-3', *SNAI2* forward<br>-5- -cgaactggacacacatacagtg-3- , *SNAI* reverse 5- -ctgaggatctctggttgtggt-3- , *SNAI* forward 5'-tcggaagcctaactacagcga-3', SNIA1 reverse 5'-agatgagcattggcagcgag-<br>al *mutter*ri con 1.5' 3', *TWISTI* forward 5'-ggagtccgcagtcttacgag-3', *TWISTI* reverse 5'-tctggagg acctggtagagg-3'. Experiments were performed in duplicate and with negative controls. Ribosomal Protein L30 (*RPL30*) was used as the normalization control. The delta Ct value  $(\Delta Ct)$  was calculated between the target and the *RPL30* mean of the same condition. The  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct value was calculated between *INHBA* knockdown (KD) and mean Mock KD (considered as 1).

#### **Western Blot Analysis**

Cell lysis was performed using RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (1 tablet/10 ml buffer) (Roche, 04906837001, 04693159001) for 30 minutes on ice. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 12,000  $\times$  *g*, 30 minutes, 4°C and protein content was determined using Pierce bicinchoninic acid Protein Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 23225). A total of 20 μg proteins were mixed with 4x Laemmli Buffer (Bio-Rad, 1610747) supplemented with 2- Mercaptoethanol and denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes. Samples and 7 μL of protein ladder (Bio-Rad, 161-0374) were separated on 12% Mini-PROTEAN Precast Gels (Bio-Rad, 120 V) and were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes using Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer Kit (Bio-Rad, 1704272). Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA/Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBS-T) overnight at 4°C and incubated with anti-INHBA antibody (Abcam, ab128958, RRID:AB\_11144514, 1: 5,000 in 5% BSA/TBS-T), anti-E-Cadherin antibody (BD, 610182, RRID:AB\_397581, 1:1,000 in 5% milk/TBS-T) and anti-GAPDH antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-25778, RRID: AB\_10167668, 1:2,000 in 5% milk/TBS-T) overnight at 4°C. Then, membranes were washed 3x with TBS-T and incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody, HRP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 31460, RRID: AB\_228341 1:10,000 in 5% BSA/TBS-T) for 40 minutes at room temperature. Membranes were washed 4x with TBS-T and developed using enhanced chemiluminescence Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 34076) and ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Blots were analyzed using Image Lab 6.0.1 software (Bio-Rad).

#### **Scratch Assay**

The cell monolayer was scratched at the day of transfection using a pipette tip and documented every 24 hours using an Olympus CK30 microscope (Zeiss) at 10-fold magnification. Image processing was performed using python (3.8.5). scikit-image (0.18.3) was used to read images and the entropy function was used to calculate entropy at each pixel position. Images were plotted using matplotlib (3.5.0) and Otsu method was utilized to compute the optimal threshold for image binarization. Area in pixels (*A*) with entropy lower than the threshold was calculated using numpy (1.21.2) and used as a proxy for the open wound area. Open wound percentage was calculated as follows, where *t* denotes any timepoint and 0 denotes the starting timepoint:

Open wound % = 
$$
\left(\frac{A_t}{A_0}\right) \times 100\%
$$

#### **Proliferation Assay**

Immediately before transfection, cells were stained with 2.5 μmol/L CellTrace CFSE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, C34554) at 37°C for 20 minutes in the dark, according to manufacturer's instruction for adherent cells. Cells were harvested using Trypsin/Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA) (PAN-Biotech, P10-023100) and proliferation was measured on a Gallios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter).

#### **Cell Death Assay**

Apoptosis and necrosis were measured using FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit with 7-Aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) (BioLegend, 640922) according to manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, cells were washed with annexin V binding buffer, provided with the kit, and resuspend in 100 μL annexin V binding buffer. Cells were stained with 5 μL FITC annexin V and 5 μL 7-AAD for 15 minutes in the dark and measured on a Gallios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter).

#### **Colony Formation Assay**

A total of 1,000 cells per well were seeded in 6-well plates, transfected the next day and cultured for 2 weeks without medium change. Then, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS (Gibco, 14190-094) and fixed with prechilled methanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10365710) for 15 minutes before being rinsed once more with PBS. Colonies were stained with 0.5% crystal violet dye (Carl Roth, T123.1). Images were taken using Keyence BZ-X microscope (Keyence) at 5-fold magnification to scan the entire well. Image processing was performed using BZ-II Analyzer 1.0 (Keyence).

#### **Aldehyde Dehydrogenase Activity Assay**

Aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity assay (Abcam, ab155893) and data analysis were performed following the manufacturer's instructions. Absorption was measured using TECAN spectrophotometer at 450 nm.

#### **Data Analysis and Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was performed in R (4.1.1). Data wrangling was carried out utilizing tidyverse (1.3.1), data.table (1.14.2), and dplyr (1.0.9). Differential expression analysis was performed using deseq2 (1.34.0), and the shrinkage estimator used was "apeglm" [\(20\)](#page-15-4). The threshold for differentially expressed genes was determined with an absolute log fold change (LFC) >1 and a FDR < 0.05 with a correction for batch effect. The RNA expression transcript per million (TPM) values were used for correlation and survival analysis binarization. Correlation matrices were generated using corrplot (0.92). The Spearman correlation was calculated using R base stats package. Correlations with *P* value <sup>&</sup>lt; 0.05 were considered significant. Hallmark gene sets were loaded using msigdbr (7.5.1). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with 1,000 permutations was performed and plotted using fgsea (1.20.0); gene sets with FDR < 0.05 were considered significantly regulated. Binarization of patients based on expression cutoffs was done using matrixStats package (0.61.0). Survival analysis was conducted by fitting survival curves using the survival package (3.3-1) and generating Kaplan–Meier plots utilizing the survminer package (0.4.9). The restricted mean survival time (RMST) was computed and plotted using the survRM2 package (1.0-4). The immunedeconv (2.0.4) R wrapper package was used to perform immune deconvolution on RNA-seq data using the quan-TIseq program as method to predict M1 and M2 macrophages, monocytes, CD4+ regulatory and non-regulatory T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, neutrophils, natural killer cells, and myeloid dendritic cells infiltration ratios. Venn diagrams were generated using ggvenn (0.1.9). Assessment of complementarity between miRNA and 3'-UTR (untranslated region) of INHBA and targeted prediction was done utilizing miRDB [\(21\)](#page-15-5) and TargetScan 8.0 [\(22\)](#page-15-6). Visualization was done using ggplot2 (3.3.6). Clinical data summary was generated using summarytools (1.0.1).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**TABLE 1** Clinicopathologic features of patients with OPSCC in Ulm and TCGA cohorts

#### **Data Availability Statement**

The data generated in this study are publicly available in Sequence Read Archive database at PRJNA967751.

# **Results**

#### **Study Population**

The clinicopathologic characteristics of the Ulm and TCGA OPSCC cases  $(n = 62; n = 70)$  are described in [Table 1.](#page-3-0) There were no significant statistical differences between the cohorts.

### **GSEA Identified** *INHBA* **as an EMT driver in HPV-negative Tumors**

To investigate the differential regulation of biological processes underlying the differences between HPV-negative and HPV-positive OPSCC, we performed

differential expression analysis of 23 HPV-negative and 39 HPV-positive tumors followed by GSEA. The analysis revealed significant (FDR  $< 0.05$ ) enrichment for gene sets related to EMT [normalized enrichment score (NES) = 2.36], myogenesis (NES = 2.24), angiogenesis (NES = 1.79), components of the apical junction complex (NES = 1.65), TNF $\alpha$  signaling via NF $\kappa$ B (NES = 1.55), and downregulated genes by KRAS activation (NES = 1.52), and attenuation of upregulated genes during transplant rejection ( $NES = -2.14$ ) in HPV-negative versus HPV-positive tumors [\(Fig. 1A;](#page-4-0) Supplementary table S1). EMT was the top enriched biological process in the HPV-negative tumor [\(Fig. 1B\)](#page-4-0). The EMT gene set included 200 genes, with 127 identified as the leading edge of enrichment (p-EMT). Fifty-five of the p-EMT were shown to be significantly upregulated in the HPV-negative tumor (FDR <sup>&</sup>lt; 0.05). *INHBA* exhibited the highest upregulation with an LFC of 2.9 [\(Fig. 1C;](#page-4-0) Supplementary Table S2). The impact of *INHBA* expression on the clinical outcome of patients with OPSCC was evaluated (Ulm cohort) and validated (TCGA cohort) by survival analysis with the log-rank test. Patients were binarized into high and low expression categories based on the third quantile (Q3) of TPM as a cutoff. Most patients in the high expression category were HPV-negative (Ulm: 81%; TCGA: 83%), which mirrors the enrichment analysis results. Relative expression levels of *INHBA* significantly impacted the overall survival of patients. Patients with higher expression levels had a worse prognosis than those with low levels of *INHBA* [\(Fig. 2A](#page-5-0) and [B\)](#page-5-0). When evaluated by the *INHBA* expression status of the two cohorts, the RMST recorded was lower by 31.99 months in *INHBA*-high versus *INHBA*-low OP-SCC (60.56 vs. 92.55, respectively; Fig. 2C and [D\)](#page-5-0). In HPV-negative patients, the RMST recorded was lower by 25.83 months in *INHBA*-high versus *INHBA*-low OPSCC (52.25 vs. 78.08, respectively, [Fig. 2E](#page-5-0) and [F\)](#page-5-0) but did not reach statistical significance (*P* <sup>=</sup> 0.09). Our data outlines *INHBA* as an HPV-dependent prognostic biomarker in OPSCC by delineating a subpopulation of patients with HPV-negative OPSCC, overexpressing *INHBA* in the primary tumor.

#### *INHBA* **Regulates the Expression of the EMT Transcription Factors and Enhances Migration in an HPV-negative OPSCC Cell Line**

A strong positive correlation was detected between the expression of *INHBA* and EMT transcription factors *SNAI1* (Rho = 0.62), *SNAI2* (Rho = 0.82), and *TWISTI* (Rho = 0.69) in the primary tumor [\(Fig. 3A–C\)](#page-6-0). INHBA was found to be differentially expressed under native conditions in UDSCC1 (HPV-negative) and UDSCC2 (HPV-positive) cell lines, with significantly higher mRNA and protein levels in UDSCC1 [\(Fig. 3D](#page-6-0) and [E\)](#page-6-0). To verify the role of INHBA in regulating the expression of EMT transcription factors in HPV-negative tumors, two siRNAs against*INHBA*(KD1 and KD2) were transfected into UDSCC1, and UDSCC2 was used as a positive control to evaluate whether the knockdown of *INHBA* relatively shifts the oncogenic features of UDSCC1 to a phenotype comparable to that of UDSCC2. *INHBA* knockdown efficiencies were evaluated on RNA level [\(Fig. 3F,](#page-6-0) KD1: 47% after 24 hours, 80% after 48 hours, KD2: 49% after 24 hours, 76% after 48 hours) and validated on protein level [\(Fig. 3G,](#page-6-0) KD1: 24% after 24 hours, 45% after 48 hours, KD2: 17% after 24 hours, 45% after 48 hours). *INHBA* knockdown significantly downregulated the expression level of *SNAI2* 24 and 48 hours after transfection and *SNAII* 48 hours after transfection, while *TWISTI* showed a nonsignificant decrease [\(Fig. 3F\)](#page-6-0). *INHBA* knockdown showed a modest upregulation of E-Cadherin protein levels (Supplementary Fig. S1). As EMT enhances the migratory ability of cells, we examined the *INHBA* knockdown effect on the migratory potential of UDSCC1. The wound healing assay revealed that *INHBA* knockdown decreased the wound closure capability of UDSCC1 cells and rendered them less



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**FIGURE 1** GSEA identifying *INHBA* as a leading driver of EMT in HPV-negative tumors. **A,** Dot plot of pathways enriched and depleted in HPV-negative compared with HPV-positive OPSCC tumor as determined by GSEA of transcriptomes from  $n = 62$  primary tumor samples (Ulm cohort). Results were ranked according to the NES, dots were colored according to FDR and size was determined by the number of genes. **B,** GSEA plot of the top pathway (EMT) showing enrichment score (green curve), position of genes (black lines) with the leading edge (p-EMT), NES, and FDR. **C,** Volcano plot showing the log<sub>2</sub> fold change of genes upregulated in HPV-positive (red) and HPV-negative OPSCC (blue), with *INHBA* (red circle) as the highest upregulated leading edge in HPV-negative tumors.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**FIGURE 2** Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and RMST in patients with OPSCC based on *INHBA* expression levels. **A** and **B,** Kaplan–Meier plots with log-rank test for overall survival of patients with OPSCC (**A:** Ulm cohort, **B:** TCGA cohort) based on tumor INHBA expression. Blue indicates low and red indicates high *INHBA* expression, as determined using Q3 as cutoff. (**C–F**) RMST defined as the area under the survival curve (pink) based on *INHBA* expression status (**C:** *INHBA*-high in all tumors, **D:** *INHBA*-low in all tumors, **E:** *INHBA*-high in HPV-negative tumors, **F:** *INHBA*-low in HPV-negative tumors).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**FIGURE 3** *INHBA* expression in OPSCC tumor and cell lines and its correlation with EMT transcription factors. Correlation of *INHBA* expression with the expression of *SNAI1* (**A**), *SNAI2* (**B**), and *TWIST1* (**C**) in OPSCC tumors (Ulm cohort). TPM = transcripts per million. **D,** Relative expression of *INHBA* mRNA in native UDSCC1 (HPV-negative) and UDSCC2 (HPV-positive) cells as determined by qRT-PCR and normalized to RPL30 and UDSCC1. Results are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD of  $n = 6$  replicates. *INHBA* expression was not detected in  $n = 3$  UDSCC2 samples. Expression level between UDSCC1 and UDSCC2 was compared by unpaired *t* test with \*\*, *P* ≤ 0.01. **E,** Representative Western blot analysis (of *n* = 3 replicates) showing *INHBA* protein expression in native UDSCC1 and UDSCC2 cells. Numbers below blots indicate relative INHBA intensity normalized to GAPDH. **F,** Relative expression of *INHBA*, *SNAI1*, *SNAI2*, and *TWIST1* mRNA in UDSCC1 cells upon transfection with Mock siRNA [Mock knockdown (KD)] and two *INHBA* siRNAs (*INHBA* KD1 and KD2) after 24 hours (t24) and 48 hours (t48). Target gene expression was determined by qRT-PCR and normalized to RPL30 and Mock KD. Results are shown as mean ± SD of *n* = 3 replicates. Expression level between Mock KD and *INHBA* KD1/2 was compared by unpaired *t* test with \*, *P* ≤ 0.05; \*\*, *P* ≤ 0.01; and ns, *P* > 0.05. **G,** Representative Western blot analysis (of *n* = 3 replicates) showing INHBA protein expression in Mock KD and *INHBA* KD1/KD2 at t24 and t48. Numbers below blots indicate relative INHBA intensity normalized to GAPDH.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**FIGURE 4** Cell migration upon INHBA knockdown. **A,** Migration of native UDSCC1 cells, Mock KD, *INHBA* KD1/KD2 and native UDSCC2 cells as assessed by scratch assay. Results are shown as mean ± SD of the open wound area (*n* = 3) at baseline (t0), t24, and t48. Conditions were compared with native UDSCC1 using unpaired *t* test with \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.0001$ ; and ns,  $P > 0.05$ . B, Representative images of processed light microscopy pictures taken at 10-fold magnification of each condition at t0, t24, and t48.

motile compared with the negative control and even UDSCC2 cells [\(Fig. 4A](#page-7-0) and [B\)](#page-7-0). These findings indicate that *INHBA* initiates EMT in HPV-negative OPSCC cells by primarily upregulating the expression of *SNAI*, resulting in the promotion of cancer cell motility.

#### *INHBA* **Potentiates Proliferation and Attenuates Cell Death of UDSCC1**

To further elucidate the role of*INHBA*in promoting the aggressiveness of HPVnegative OPSCC cells, we examined its knockdown effect on the proliferation capacity and cell death resistance in UDSCC1. *INHBA* knockdown notably reduced the proliferative ability of UDSCC1 cells rendering it comparable to that of the less proliferative UDSCC2 [\(Fig. 5A](#page-8-0) and [B\)](#page-8-0). In addition, the knockdown of *INHBA* significantly promoted the death of UDSCC1 cells, yielding a higher level of primarily late apoptotic but also early apoptotic and necrotic cell percentages [\(Fig. 6A](#page-9-0) and [B\)](#page-9-0). These results indicate that *INHBA* overexpression in

HPV-negative tumors promotes the aggressiveness of cancer cells by enhancing proliferation while attenuating cell death simultaneously.

#### *INHBA* **Associates with the Expression of HNSCC Stemness-related Markers and Potentiates Clonal Expansion**

As undifferentiated cancer cells, most likely cancer stem cells, are the driving force of clonal expansion, a colony formation assay was performed to investigate whether *INHBA* knockdown influences the ability of single cells, particularly cancer stem cells, to resist the absence of cell-to-cell contact and develop into a colony via clonal expansion. Because this assay aimed not to assess the proliferative potential but rather the ability of single cells to survive and expand, and because INHBA was proven to influence proliferation, small colonies were also counted while keeping the time variable fixed for all conditions. Colonies ranging in size between 10 and 50 cells and those of 50 or more



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**FIGURE 5** Cell proliferation upon *INHBA* knockdown. **A,** Proliferation of native UDSCC1 cells, Mock KD, *INHBA* KD1/KD2 and native UDSCC2 cells as assessed by carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) assay and flow cytometry. Results are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD of the  $\Delta$ MFI (mean fluorescence intensity) normalized to UDSCC1 between t0 and t24, or t0 and t48 (*n* = 3). Conditions were compared with native UDSCC1 using unpaired *t* test with \*\*\*, *P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\*, *P* ≤ 0.0001; and ns, *P* > 0.05. **B**, Representative flow cytometry histograms for each condition with the right peak representing t0, central peak representing t24 and left peak representing t48.

were counted [\(Fig. 7A](#page-10-0) and [B\)](#page-10-0). Small colonies were relatively more prevalent in UDSCC1 with *INHBA* knockdown. The number of colonies recorded for UD-SCC2 and UDSCC1 cells upon *INHBA* knockdown was significantly lower than the negative control cells [\(Fig. 7A](#page-10-0) and [C\)](#page-10-0). Consequently, expression correlation analysis was done between *INHBA*and previously described HNSCC stemnessrelated markers in primary tumors. The analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between *INHBA* expression level and nine markers. A positive correlation (Rho > 0.4) was recorded between *INHBA* and *CD44*, *ALDHIL2*, *HIF1A*, and *ZFP42*, simultaneously [\(Fig. 8A\)](#page-11-0). To functionally validate the association, we performed ALDH enzyme activity assay, as increased ALDH activity serves as a cancer stem cell marker. ALDH activity was significantly reduced in UDSCC1 cells upon *INHBA* knockdown compared with the negative control [\(Fig. 8B\)](#page-11-0). Overall, these results suggest that INHBA potentially promotes OPSCC stemness.

#### *INHBA* **Gene Expression Correlates with the Ratio of OPSCC Infiltrating Immune Cells**

The HNSCC tumor microenvironment is known to be immunosuppressive, particularly in aggressive primary tumors. Hence, we investigated the correlation between *INHBA* expression level and the computed infiltrating ratio of different types of immune cells. The expression level of *INHBA* in primary tumors negatively correlated with the infiltration ratio of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  cytotoxic T cells (Rho =  $-0.42$ ), indispensable in orchestrating the antitumor immune response. Furthermore, a negative correlation (Rho =  $-0.54$ ) with the level of B-cell infiltrates was revealed. Incidentally, a weak negative correlation (Rho =  $-0.32$ ) and a strong positive correlation (Rho = 0.64) were disclosed between *INHBA* expression level and M2 and M1 macrophage infiltration level, respectively [\(Fig. 9A\)](#page-12-0). M1 macrophages are typically known to be critical players in initiating antitumor immunity. Recently, however, a pro-tumor M1 macrophage subtype was described in melanoma [\(23\)](#page-15-7) and characterized by increasing the formation of a dense matrix around cancer cells via upregulating HA synthase 2 (*HAS*) due to the activation of the TNF<sup>α</sup> signaling via NFκB, which in turn, was shown in the current study also to be augmented in HPVnegative OPSCC tumors [\(Fig. 1A\)](#page-4-0). Hence, we correlated the expression level of the tumor-upregulated gene signature, comprising *HAS2*, *MMP9*, *CXCL8*, *CXCLII*, *ILIB*, and *IL6*, with the ratio of infiltrating M1 macrophages. The genes' RNA levels positively correlated with M1 ratios infiltrating the OPSCC primary tumor [\(Fig. 9B\)](#page-12-0). These results suggest that INHBA is a potential immune response modulator by associating with a pro-tumor microenvironment in OPSCC tumors.





<span id="page-9-0"></span>**FIGURE 6** Cell death upon *INHBA* knockdown. **A,** Cell death of native UDSCC1, Mock KD, *INHBA* KD1/KD2 and native UDSCC2 cells as assessed by Annexin V/7-AAD staining and flow cytometry. Results are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD of the percentages of dead cells normalized to UDSCC1 ( $n = 3$ ). Conditions were compared with native UDSCC1 using unpaired t test with \*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.0001$ ; and ns,  $P > 0.05$ . **B,** Representative flow cytometry plots for each condition. Gates show early apoptotic in the lower right quadrant, late apoptotic in the upper right quadrant and necrotic cell populations in the upper left quadrant. t0-plots represent the baseline timepoint right before transfection.

### **Three miRNAs are Outlined as Putative Regulators of** *INHBA* **Gene Expression**

It is well known that interaction between miRNA and mRNA is a vital mode of gene expression regulation in cancer. We applied a three-step approach to evaluate a possible regulation of *INHBA* expression by miRNAs in the primary tumor. First, we performed an expression correlation utilizing the paired TCGA samples to outline miRNAs that negatively correlate with the *INHBA*

mRNA level. Then, we utilized complementarity and interaction prediction of two online databases to delineate the putative interacting candidates. Finally, we assessed the prognostic impact of the outlined miRNAs. A total of 12 miRNAs were found to negatively correlate with *INHBA* expression (Rho <sup>&</sup>lt; <sup>−</sup>0.4; *P* <sup>&</sup>lt; 0.05; [Fig. 9C;](#page-12-0) Supplementary Table S3). A total of 71 and 26 miRNAs were predicted, by miRDB and TargetScan, respectively, to interact with *INHBA* mRNA 3'UTR. Only three of the latter intersected with the



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**FIGURE 7** Colony formation ability upon *INHBA* knockdown. **A,** Ability for clonal expansion of native UDSCC1 cells, Mock KD, *INHBA* KD1/KD2, and UDSCC2 cells as assessed by colony formation assay. Colonies between 10 and 50 cells were considered small (red) and colonies greater 50 cells were considered big (blue). Results are shown as mean ± SEM of the number of total colonies (*n* = 3). Conditions were compared with native UDSCC1 using unpaired *t* test with \*\*, *P* ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*, *P* ≤ 0.001; and ns, *P* > 0.05. **B,** Representative images of small and big colonies in UDSCC1 and UDSCC2 cells stained with crystal violet. **C,** Representative images of colony formation for each condition.

negatively correlating miRNA; miR-106a, miR-20b, and miR-9-1/2/3 were the candidates of interest, and their respective binding sites in the 3'UTR were defined [\(Fig. 9D\)](#page-12-0). After binarizing patients into high and low expression categories based on the median of raw reads as a cutoff, survival analysis showed that most to almost all HPV-negative patients were in the low expression category of the three different miRNAs, thus yielding an inverse prognostic signature to that of *INHBA*. Patients with the lower expression level of all three miRNAs had a worse prognosis [\(Fig. 9E–G\)](#page-12-0). These findings suggest that miR-106a, miR-20b, and miR-9-1/2/3 likely downregulate the expression of *INHBA*, hindering its oncogenic role in the primary tumor.

# **Discussion**

Many studies have shown that patients with HPV-positive OPSCC have a better prognosis than HPV-negative patients, mainly due to higher immunogenicity, increased responsiveness to radiation and chemotherapy resulting in lower recurrence rates and distant metastasis [\(3–](#page-14-2)[8\)](#page-14-5). However, research utilizing unbiased data-driven comparative analysis to delineate relevant therapeutic targets potentially promoting OPSCC aggressiveness in HPV-negative patients is still lacking. In the current study, we applied an exploratory data analysis approach to examine the differentially regulated molecular processes between HPV-negative and HPV-positive OPSCC tumors and to uncover key players contributing to the differential prognosis. We identified EMT as the top enriched process in HPV-negative tumors, with *INHBA* being the leading upregulated gene. The knockdown of *INHBA* in an HPV-negative OPSCC cell line confirmed its functional role in invasion, proliferation, apoptosis resistance, and stemness. We found that *INHBA* is likely involved in accompanying a pro-tumor microenvironment. Moreover, we outlined three miRNAs potentially involved in repressing *INHBA* expression that are downregulated in HPV-negative compared with HPV-positive tumors. These findings reveal the functional role of *INHBA* in OPSCC progression and

aggressiveness, suggesting it to be used as potential therapeutic target for *INHBA*-enriched tumors, principally in favor of patients with HPV-negative OPSCC.

*INHBA* is overexpressed in many solid tumors, including HNSCC [\(10–](#page-14-7)[16\)](#page-15-0). In OPSCC, our study uncovered that the prognostic impact of *INHBA* expression is HPV dependent, with most patients harboring *INHBA*-enriched tumors being HPV-negative. Incidentally, RUNX2 was shown to upregulate the expression of *INHBA* in HNSCC [\(24\)](#page-15-8), and recent reports confirmed an HPV-integration site upstream of *RUNX2* in close proximity to its promoter [\(25,](#page-15-9) [26\)](#page-15-10). Consequently, a disruption in the expression of *RUNX2* by HPVgenome integration could influence the RUNX2/INHBA axis in HPV-positive OPSCC, leading to INHBA downregulation. Moreover, patients with HPVnegative OPSCC are known for high nicotine consumption throughout their lifetime. Ashour and colleagues showed that nicotine upregulates *INHBA* in chicken embryos [\(27\)](#page-15-11). Hence, *INHBA* overexpression could be attributed to nicotine consumption.

INHBA affects cancer progression by regulating a plethora of oncogenic hallmarks. In breast cancer, INHBA has been shown to induce EMT and enhance the motility of malignant cells [\(28\)](#page-15-12). The latter was also shown by Kang and colleagues to result from *INHBA* overexpression in prostate cancer cells [\(10\)](#page-14-7). In addition, INHBA was found to promote cancer progression in lung adenocarcinoma by accentuating the proliferation of tumor cells [\(12\)](#page-14-8). Li and colleagues showed that targeting INHBA in ovarian cancer cells is implicated in hindering the activation of stromal fibroblasts [\(29\)](#page-15-13). Nonetheless, in OPSCC, the functional role of INHBA still needed to be elucidated. The enrichment of *INHBA* in tumors is shown in the current study to be implicated in the upregulation of EMT in HPV-negative OPSCC. We demonstrated that *INHBA*was expressed in UDSCC1, an HPV-negative OPSCC cell line.We could also show that the knockdown of *INHBA* made UDSCC1 cells less migratory and proliferative while simultaneously potentiated cell death. Its knockdown

<b>INHBA</b>	****	$\bigcirc$	$\left  \bullet \right\rangle$	$\begin{array}{c} \ast\ast \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}$	$\bullet$	****	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\begin{array}{c} \ast\ast \end{array}$	$\color{black} \bullet$	$\begin{array}{c} \ast \\ \ast \end{array}$	$_{\odot}$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	****	$\begin{array}{c} \ast\ast \end{array}$	$***$		
0.65	CD44	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$		$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\bigcirc$			$\bullet$			
$-0.15$	$-0.22$	ALDH1A1	$\circledcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\bigodot$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigodot$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\circledcirc$		$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\bullet$		
0.31	0.23	$-0.04$	ALDH1A2	$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\circledcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		$\circledcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\qquad \qquad \circledcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\circledcirc$	$\bigodot$		
0.34	0.25	0.06	$-0.10$	ALDH1A3	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	<b>Service Service</b>	$\bullet$		O	$\bullet$	$\circledcirc$	$\bigodot$	$\odot$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		in a		
0.29	0.19	$-0.17$	0.14	$-0.21$	ALDH1B1	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$		۰	O	$\bigcirc$	$\odot$	$\bullet$	n	$\bigcirc$			$\bullet$		
$-0.26$	$-0.36$	0.25	0.05	$-0.09$	0.10	ALDH1L1	$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\bigodot$	$\bullet$	$\circledcirc$	$\bullet$	$\odot$	$\qquad \qquad \bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$		
0.52	0.26	0.19	0.34	0.39	0.09	$-0.15$	ALDH1L2		Œ	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
0.12	0.06	$-0.03$	0.09	0.10	ī.	0.21		PROM1	$\bigodot$		$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bigodot$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
$-0.28$	$-0.12$	$-0.02$	ó.	$-0.45$	0.02	0.19	$-0.38$	0.16	POU5F1		$\bigodot$	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		
$-0.38$	$-0.44$	0.11	$-0.03$	$-0.27$	$-0.37$	0.09	$-0.18$		0.46	<b>NANOG</b>	O		$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$		$\bullet$	$\bullet$		
0.05	0.14	0.22	$-0.20$	$-0.10$	0.21	0.05	$-0.09$	$-0.21$	0.16	$-0.29$	SOX2	$\bigcirc$	$\bullet$	$\bullet$	J.	$\bullet$	$\circledcirc$	$\qquad \qquad \circ$		$-0.2$
0.21	0.29	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	$-0.12$	0.17	0.09	$-0.06$	$-0.02$	0.15	BCL11B	$\bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circledcirc$			
$-0.03$	0.18	0.11	$-0.18$	0.24	$-0.29$	0.04	$-0.08$	$-0.04$	0.10	0.07	0.31	0.10	KLF4	$\bullet$	$\color{black} \bullet$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigodot$	$\bigcirc$		
0.28	0.16	0.05	0.05	$-0.05$	0.43	0.07	0.20	0.10	0.08	$-0.17$	0.33	0.23	$-0.09$	LGR5	$\bullet$		$\bullet$	$\bigodot$		
0.22	0.27	$-0.01$	0.11	$-0.07$	0.22	$-0.07$		0.13	0.21	$-0.15$	0.44	0.19	$-0.07$	0.34	BMI1	$\bigodot$	$\bullet$			
0.57	0.66	$-0.23$	0.10	0.31	0.0	$-0.18$	0.21	$-0.12$	$-0.29$	$-0.45$	0.30	0.13	0.21	0.01	0.22	HIF1A	$\bigodot$			
0.40	0.30	0.11	0.05	0.38	$-0.0$	$-0.13$	0.24	0.08	$-0.06$	$-0.21$	0.04	0.04	0.26	0.03	$-0.07$	0.21	ZFP42	$\bigcirc$		
0.35	0.47	$-0.05$	0.16	0.38	$-0.10$	$-0.08$	0.26	0.15	$-0.16$	$-0.14$	0.05	$-0.02$	0.14	$-0.17$		0.41	0.24	KDM5B		
3																			Rho <b>INHBA KD1</b> <b>INHBA KD2</b>	
																			Mock KD	
													T	Τ						
	┹															T	T.			
$\boldsymbol{2}$ $\overline{1}$																				
$\circ$	⋇					$\bar{*}$		⋠	¥	∦		∦	∦	∦		⋇	⋇	∦		∗∗ ≸

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**FIGURE 8** *INHBA* correlates with stemness attributes. **A,** Correlation matrix between *INHBA* and stemness-related markers showing Spearman rho in scaled dots and *P*-value symbols (\*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.0001$ ) in the upper triangular matrix and Spearman rho values in the lower triangular matrix. **B,** Time series of ALDH enzyme activity for Mock KD and INHBA KD1/KD2. Results are shown as mean ± SD of ALDH activity (mU/mL;  $n = 3$ ) and  $\Delta t$  (minutes). *INHBA* KD1/KD2 were compared with Mock KD using unpaired t test with \*,  $P \le 0.05$  and \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ .



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**FIGURE 9** Correlation analyses between *INHBA* expression, immune infiltrates and miRNAs. **A,** Waterfall plot showing Spearman correlation between *INHBA* expression level and infiltrating ratios (IR) of different immune cells, as computed by immune cell deconvolution. Rho > 0.4 was considered relevant (dashed line). \*, *P* ≤ 0.05; \*\*\*, *P* ≤ 0.001; ns, not significant. **B,** Correlation matrix between *INHBA* and the pro-tumor M1 signature showing Spearman rho and *P*-value symbols (\*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ) in the upper triangular matrix and scatter plots in the lower triangular matrix. TPM = transcripts per million. **C,** Waterfall plot showing Spearman correlation between (*Continued on the following page.*)

(*Continued*) *INHBA* expression level and miRNAs (TCGA cohort). miRNAs with Rho < −0.4 and P < 0.05 were considered relevant (dashed line). D, Venn diagram showing intersections between negatively correlating miRNAs from C and miRNAs predicted to interact with the 3'UTR of *INHBA* by miRDB and Targetscan resulting in three candidates of interest (hsa-mir-106a, hsa-mir-20b, hsa-mir-9-1/2/3). Their putative binding sites to the *INHBA* 3- UTR are highlighted. **E–G,** Kaplan–Meier plots with log-rank test for overall survival of patients with OPSCC (TCGA cohort) based on tumor miRNA expression of the outlined candidates (**E:** hsa-mir-106a, F: hsa-mir-20b, **G:** hsa-mir-9). Blue indicates low and red indicates high miRNA expression, as determined using median of raw counts as cutoff.

significantly decreased the EMT transcription factor *SNAI2* level, which stands as the downstream target by which INHBA initiates EMT in OPSCC. The latter was also shown to increase motility and promote mesenchymal phenotype in breast cancer cells [\(30\)](#page-15-14). One potential mechanism by which *INHBA* knockdown hinders cell proliferation was described by Zhang and colleagues, showing downregulation of Cyclin D1 (*CCND*) upon *INHBA* gene silencing [\(31\)](#page-15-15). Another possibility is the upregulating of *SMAD2/3* by *INHBA*, as described in nasopharyngeal carcinoma [\(32\)](#page-15-16) and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma [\(33\)](#page-15-17). SMAD2/3, in turn, can interact with FOXO1/3/4, which are responsible for the upregulation of CDKN1A [\(34\)](#page-15-18). Regarding apoptosis resistance, an interaction between SMAD2/3 and TP53 activates the transcription of *SERPINE*, which in turn was shown to activate the anti-apoptotic PI3K/Akt pathway [\(35\)](#page-15-19).

In OPSCC primary tumors, we could show a significant positive correlation between the expression of *INHBA* and nine previously described HNSCC stemness-related markers, with *CD44*, *ALDHIL2*, *HIFIA*, and *ZFP42* exhibiting the strongest association. We additionally confirmed the essentiality of *INHBA* for clonal expansion as its knockdown *in vitro* significantly hindered the capability of single UDSCC1 cells to survive, expand, and form colonies. Furthermore, we could show that the knockdown of *INHBA* attenuated the activity of the ALDH enzyme, a known marker of cancer stem cells [\(36\)](#page-15-20). In line with our findings, Lonardo and colleagues showed INHBA to be vital for the ability of pancreatic cancer stem cells to self-renew and maintain their stemness [\(14\)](#page-15-21). One possible mechanism is via *CD44*, which, in our study, was shown to have a strong positive correlation with *INHBA* expression. In head and neck cancer, CD44 was shown to inhibit the phosphorylation of GSK3β, which is required to maintain stem cell self-renewal [\(37\)](#page-15-22).

The relationship between INHBA and tumor immune infiltrates was studied in various solid cancers to understand its impact on the tumor microenvironment. We could show that *INHBA* negatively correlates with CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in OPSCC primary tumors, which concurs with the findings by Pinjusic and colleagues demonstrating the indirect ability of INHBA to reduce CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells tumor infiltration in melanoma *in vivo* models by downregulating the production of CXCL9/10 [\(38\)](#page-15-23). In contrast, *INHBA* expression correlated positively with infiltration of  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells in colorectal [\(39\)](#page-15-24) and breast cancer [\(40\)](#page-15-25). Furthermore, *INHBA* exhibited a negative correlation with the level of tumor-infiltrating B cells, which have a controversial role in cancer [\(41\)](#page-15-26). Nonetheless, the mere ability of B cells to present antigens to  $CD4^+$  T cells in tumor-associated tertiary lymphoid organs [\(42\)](#page-15-27) might be hindered due to a lower level of infiltration in *INHBA*-enriched OPSCC tumors. Previously, it was shown that *INHBA* and CCL19 exhibit opposite modes of expression in colon cancer, with INHBA being upregulated while CCL19 is concurrently downregulated [\(43\)](#page-15-28). As CCL19 is known to be a B-cell chemoattractant [\(44\)](#page-15-29), this could possibly predate the low levels of B-cell tumor infiltration.

To our knowledge, the association between *INHBA* and M1 macrophage infiltration has not been reported previously. However, a positive correlation

was disclosed between *INHBA* expression and macrophage infiltration level in breast [\(40\)](#page-15-25) and cervical cancer [\(45\)](#page-15-30). Incidentally, we showed a positive association between *INHBA* expression and M1 macrophage infiltration in OPSCC tumors. M1 macrophages are typically considered to be antitumor. However, Kainulainen and colleagues recently described a protumor M1 macrophage subtype in melanoma, accentuating invasive melanoma cells by upregulating the TNFα signaling via NFκB in tumor cells [\(23\)](#page-15-7). Our study revealed that the resulting tumor-upregulated molecular signature is also associated with the level of M1 macrophages infiltrating OPSCC tumors.

Various miRNAs were identified as oncogenes and tumor suppressors, and their aberrant expression was implicated in the development and progression of various types of cancers [\(46\)](#page-15-31). miRNAs were extensively researched in HNSCC and shown to hold a prognostic value [\(47\)](#page-15-32). We previously showed that miRNA as exosomal cargo correlates with clinical parameters in HNSCC [\(48,](#page-15-33) [49\)](#page-15-34) and can alter biological processes like EMT [\(50\)](#page-15-35). In the current study, three putative miRNAs, miR-106a, miR-20b, and miR-9, were outlined as repressors of *INHBA* expression, prompting their HPV-dependent upregulation in OPSCC prognostically favorable. The role of miRNAs in cancer is controversial and highly dependent on cancer context and entity. Still, miR-106a was hitherto reported to be upregulated by HPV E7 and to enhance radiation sensitivity by downregulating the expression of the *RUNX3* axis in HNSCC [\(51\)](#page-15-36). miR-20b, which belongs to the miR-106a-363 cluster, was associated with a better prognosis in HNSCC [\(52\)](#page-15-37). miR-9 also, was shown to function as a tumor-suppressive miRNA in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), OPSCC, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC; refs. [53](#page-15-38)[–55\)](#page-15-39).

Recently, Xiao and colleagues revealed that 10 mmol/L of metformin, an oral antihyperglycemic used to treat type 2 diabetes mellitus, attenuates the expression of *INHBA* and inhibits the proliferation of colorectal cancer cells [\(56\)](#page-16-0). An epidemiologic analysis based on three multicentric studies showed that metformin given for the treatment of diabetes is associated with longer overall survival of patients with HNSCC despite the negative prognostic impact of diabetes itself [\(57\)](#page-16-1). In addition, it was demonstrated that the combination of metformin and cisplatin significantly improved the therapeutic effect of cisplatin in OSCC [\(58\)](#page-16-2) and NPC [\(59\)](#page-16-3). In contrast, another study found no significant prognostic impact of metformin in patients with HNSCC [\(60\)](#page-16-4). The conflicted finding regarding the impact of metformin on HNSCC prognosis may be attributed to discrepancies in the HPV status of patients; *INHBA* enrichment in HPV-negative tumors, as shown in the current study, possibly renders the impact of metformin HPV-dependent. Interestingly, an analysis of samples from a metformin clinical trial in HNSCC showed that, following metformin treatment (dose of 1000 mg), cancer cell apoptosis was substantially higher in HPV-negative mucosal HNSCC tumor samples than in HPV-positive OPSCC tumor samples. Also, metformin-treated specimens displayed a signif-icantly higher CD8<sup>+</sup> effector T-cell infiltrate than specimens not treated [\(61\)](#page-16-5). Hence, metformin in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment of patients with HPV-negative OPSCC with *INHBA*-enriched tumors should be considered.

We revealed *INHBA* upregulation in HPV-negative OPSCC primary tumors compared with HPV-positive and established its oncogenic function by means of numerous functional assays. Yet, uncertainty exists regarding whether tumor development *in vivo* is slowed down by *INHBA* knockdown. It would be intriguing to look into patient-derived xenografts of INHBA-enriched tumors concurrent to the knockdown of *INHBA* and ultimately evaluate tumor progression.

In short, we found *INHBA* enriched in HPV-negative OPSCC tumors as a top-upregulated gene in the EMT pathway. We showed that the initiation of EMT by *INHBA* is mediated by the upregulation of *SNAI2* and *SNAII*, rendering tumor cells more migratory. We could also show that the knockdown of INHBA attenuates proliferation and enhances cell death. We revealed that INHBA potentiates stemness and positively correlates with the expression level of stemness-related markers like *CD44*, *ALDHIL2*, *HIF1A*, and *ZFP42*. Furthermore, we outlined three miRNAs as putative repressors of INHBA, for which expression levels exhibited an inverse prognostic impact compared with *INHBA*. These findings delineate *INHBA* as an attractive therapeutic target to treat patients with HPV-negative OPSCC with *INHBA*-enriched tumors.

# Authors' Disclosures

T. Abou Kors reports grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) during the conduct of the study. T.K. Hoffmann reports grants and other from Thomas Hoffmann outside the submitted work. S. Laban reports grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) during the conduct of the study; other from Merck Sharp & Dohme, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Sanofi Genzyme outside the submitted work; in addition, S. Laban has a patent to PEPTIDES AND COMBINATIONS OF PEPTIDES FOR USE IN IMMUNOTHERAPY AGAINST OROPHARYNGEAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA (OP-SCC) AND OTHER CANCERS;EP2023/071063 pending. No disclosures were reported by the other authors.

# Authors' Contributions

**T. Abou Kors:** Conceptualization, data curation, software, formal analysis, validation, investigation, visualization, methodology, writing-original

# References

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>1. Johnson DE, Burtness B, Leemans CR, Lui VWY, Bauman JE, Grandis JR. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2020;6: 92.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>2. Grégoire V, Giraud P, Vieillevigne L, Maingon P. Management of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Radiother 2022;26: 174-88.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>3. Lechner M, Liu J, Masterson L, Fenton TR. HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancer: epidemiology, molecular biology and clinical management. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2022;19: 306-27.
- 4. Fakhry C, Westra WH, Li S, Cmelak A, Ridge JA, Pinto H, et al. Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus–positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2008;100: 261-9.
- 5. Sedaghat AR, Zhang Z, Begum S, Palermo R, Best S, Ulmer KM, et al. Prognostic significance of human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. Laryngoscope 2009;119: 1542-9.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>6. Fakhry C, Zhang Q, Nguyen-Tan PF, Rosenthal D, El-Naggar A, Garden AS, et al. Human papillomavirus and overall survival after progression of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2014;32: 3365-73.

draft. **L. Hofmann:** Conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, methodology, writing-original draft. **A. Betzler:** Conceptualization, methodology, writing-review and editing. **K. Payer:** Investigation. **M. Bens:** Investigation. **J. Truong:** Investigation. **A. von Witzleben:** Data curation, investigation. **J. Thomas:** Data curation, software, formal analysis. **J.M. Kraus:** Data curation, software. **R. Kalaajieh:** Investigation. **D. Huber:** Methodology, writing-review and editing. **J. Ezic: ´** Investigation. **J. Benckendorff:** Investigation. **J. Greve:** Writing-review and editing. **P.J. Schuler:** Writing-review and editing. **C.H. Ottensmeier:** Resources, supervision, writing-review and editing. **H.A. Kestler:** Resources, supervision, writing-review and editing. **T.K. Hoffmann:** Resources, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration, writing-review and editing. **M.-N. Theodoraki:** Resources, supervision, writing-review and editing. **C. Brunner:** Conceptualization, resources, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration, writing-review and editing. **S. Laban:** Conceptualization, resources, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration, writing-review and editing.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Core Facility Immune Monitoring of the Medical Faculty at Ulm University for providing support and instrumentation funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—Projektnummer 514808265.

Financial Support: S. Laban, H.A. Kestler, T.K. Hoffmann, T. Abou Kors, and J. Ezić were part of the research training group GRK-2254 (HEIST, 288342734) funded by DFG. S. Laban was funded by University of Ulm within the Clinician Scientist Program.

# Note

Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Communications Online [\(https://aacrjournals.org/cancerrescommun/\)](https://aacrjournals.org/cancerrescommun/).

Received July 04, 2023; revised December 15, 2023; accepted February 06, 2024; published first February 28, 2024.

- <span id="page-14-4"></span>7. Elrefaey S, Massaro MA, Chiocca S, Chiesa F, Ansarin M. HPV in oropharyngeal cancer: the basics to know in clinical practice. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2014;34: 299-309.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>8. Zhou P, Yu Y-F, Lian C-L, Wang J, Zhuo R-G, Wu S-G. Survival outcomes and treatment decision by human papillomavirus status among patients with stage IVC head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Front Oncol 2021;11: 668066.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>9. Hao Y, Baker D, Ten Dijke P. TGF-β-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer metastasis. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20: 2767.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>10. Kang H-Y, Huang H-Y, Hsieh C-Y, Li C-F, Shyr C-R, Tsai M-Y, et al. Activin a enhances prostate cancer cell migration through activation of androgen receptor and is overexpressed in metastatic prostate cancer. J Bone Miner Res 2009;24: 1180-93.
- 11. Wildi S, Kleeff J, Maruyama H, Maurer CA, Büchler MW, Korc M. Overexpression of activin A in stage IV colorectal cancer. Gut 2001;49: 409-17.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>12. Seder CW, Hartojo W, Lin L, Silvers AL, Wang Z, Thomas DG, et al. Upregulated INHBA expression may promote cell proliferation and is associated with poor survival in lung adenocarcinoma. Neoplasia 2009;11: 388-96.
- 13. Deli A, Kreidl E, Santifaller S, Trotter B, Seir K, Berger W, et al. Activins and activin antagonists in hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14: 1699-709.
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>14. Lonardo E, Hermann PC, Mueller M-T, Huber S, Balic A, Miranda-Lorenzo I, et al. Nodal/Activin signaling drives self-renewal and tumorigenicity of pancreatic cancer stem cells and provides a target for combined drug therapy. Cell Stem Cell 2011;9: 433-46.
- 15. Leto G, Incorvaia L, Flandina C, Ancona C, Fulfaro F, Crescimanno M, et al. Clinical impact of cystatin C/Cathepsin L and Follistatin/Activin a systems in breast cancer progression: a preliminary report. Cancer Invest 2016;34: 415-23.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>16. Wu Z-H, Tang Y, Niu X, Cheng Q. Expression and gene regulation network of INHBA in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma based on data mining. Sci Rep 2019;9: 14341.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>17. Jackson RS, Sinha P, Zenga J, Kallogjeri D, Suko J, Martin E, et al. Transoral resection of human papillomavirus (HPV)-positive squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx: outcomes with and without adjuvant therapy. Ann Surg Oncol 2017;24: 3494-501.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>18. Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, Wolf D, Bortone DS, Ou Yang T-H, et al. The immune landscape of cancer. Immunity 2018;48: 812-30.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>19. Bhuvaneshwar K, Song L, Madhavan S, Gusev Y. viGEN: an open source pipeline for the detection and quantification of Viral RNA in human tumors. Front Microbiol 2018;9: 1172.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>20. Zhu A, Ibrahim JG, Love MI. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. Bioinformatics 2019;35: 2084-92.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>21. Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA targets. Nucleic Acids Res 2020;48: D127-31.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>22. McGeary SE, Lin KS, Shi CY, Pham TM, Bisaria N, Kelley GM, et al. The biochemical basis of microRNA targeting efficacy. Science 2019;366: eaav1741.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>23. Kainulainen K, Takabe P, Heikkinen S, Aaltonen N, de la Motte C, Rauhala L, et al. M1 macrophages induce protumor inflammation in melanoma cells through TNFR-NF-κB signaling. J Invest Dermatol 2022;142: 3041-51.
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>24. Chang W-M, Lin Y-F, Su C-Y, Peng H-Y, Chang Y-C, Lai T-C, et al. Dysregulation of RUNX2/Activin-A Axis upon miR-376c downregulation promotes lymph node metastasis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 2016;76: 7140-50.
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>25. Akagi K, Li J, Broutian TR, Padilla-Nash H, Xiao W, Jiang B, et al. Genome-wide analysis of HPV integration in human cancers reveals recurrent, focal genomic instability. Genome Res 2014;24: 185-99.
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>26. Hu Z, Zhu D, Wang W, Li W, Jia W, Zeng X, et al. Genome-wide profiling of HPV integration in cervical cancer identifies clustered genomic hot spots and a potential microhomology-mediated integration mechanism. Nat Genet 2015;47: 158-63.
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>27. Ashour AA, Alhussain H, Rashid UB, Abughazzah L, Gupta I, Malki A, et al. Ecigarette liquid provokes significant embryotoxicity and inhibits angiogenesis. Toxics 2020;8: 38.
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>28. Yu Y, Wang W, Lu W, Chen W, Shang A. Inhibin β-A (INHBA) induces epithelialmesenchymal transition and accelerates the motility of breast cancer cells by activating the TGF-β signaling pathway. Bioengineered 2021;12: 4681-96.
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>29. Li X, Yang Z, Xu S, Wang Z, Jin P, Yang X, et al. Targeting INHBA in ovarian cancer cells suppresses cancer xenograft growth by attenuating stromal fibroblast activation. Dis Markers 2019;2019: 7275289.
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>30. Alves CL, Elias D, Lyng MB, Bak M, Ditzel HJ. SNAI2 upregulation is associated with an aggressive phenotype in fulvestrant-resistant breast cancer cells and is an indicator of poor response to endocrine therapy in estrogen receptorpositive metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2018;20: 60.
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>31. Zhang H, Huang Y, Wen Q, Li Y, Guo L, Ge N. INHBA gene silencing inhibits proliferation, migration, and invasion of osteosarcoma cells by repressing TGF-β signaling pathway activation. J Orthop Surg Res 2023;18: 848.
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>32. Peng S, Wang J, Hu P, Zhang W, Li H, Xu L. INHBA knockdown inhibits proliferation and invasion of nasopharyngeal carcinoma SUNE1 cells *in vitro*. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2020;13: 854-68.
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>33. Mouti MA, Pauklin S. TGFB1/INHBA Homodimer/Nodal-SMAD2/3 signaling network: a pivotal molecular target in PDAC treatment. Mol Ther 2021;29: 920-36.
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>34. Attisano L, Silvestri C, Izzi L, Labbé E. The transcriptional role of Smads and FAST (FoxH1) in TGFbeta and activin signalling. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2001;180: 3-11.
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>35. Song CZ, Tian X, Gelehrter TD. Glucocorticoid receptor inhibits transforming growth factor-beta signaling by directly targeting the transcriptional activation function of Smad3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;96: 11776-81.
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>36. Toledo-Guzmán ME, Hernández MI, Gómez-Gallegos ÁA, Ortiz-Sánchez E. ALDH as a stem cell marker in solid tumors. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 2019;14: 375-88.
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>37. Shigeishi H, Biddle A, Gammon L, Emich H, Rodini CO, Gemenetzidis E, et al. Maintenance of stem cell self-renewal in head and neck cancers requires actions of GSK3β influenced by CD44 and RHAMM. Stem Cells 2013;31: 2073-83.
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>38. Pinjusic K, Dubey OA, Egorova O, Nassiri S, Meylan E, Faget J, et al. Activin-A impairs CD8 T cell-mediated immunity and immune checkpoint therapy response in melanoma. J Immunother Cancer 2022;10: e004533.
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>39. Chen S, Gong Y, Shen Y, Liu Y, Fu Y, Dai Y, et al. INHBA is a novel mediator regulating cellular senescence and immune evasion in colorectal cancer. J Cancer 2021;12: 5938-49.
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>40. Yu Z, Cheng L, Liu X, Zhang L, Cao H. Increased expression of INHBA is correlated with poor prognosis and high immune infiltrating level in breast cancer. Front Bioinform 2022;2: 729902.
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>41. Sarvaria A, Madrigal JA, Saudemont A. B cell regulation in cancer and antitumor immunity. Cell Mol Immunol 2017;14: 662-74.
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>42. Fridman WH, Meylan M, Petitprez F, Sun C-M, Italiano A, Sautès-Fridman C. B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures as determinants of tumour immune contexture and clinical outcome. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2022;19: 441-57.
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>43. Yang X, Yan J, Jiang Y, Wang Y. An immune-related model based on INHBA, JAG2 and CCL19 to predict the prognoses of colon cancer patients. Cancer Cell Int 2021;21: 299.
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>44. Xu Y, Mao Y, Lv Y, Tang W, Xu J. B cells in tumor metastasis: friend or foe? Int J Biol Sci 2023;19: 2382-93.
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>45. Zhao K, Yi Y, Ma Z, Zhang W. INHBA is a prognostic biomarker and correlated with immune cell infiltration in cervical cancer. Front Genet 2022;12: 705512.
- <span id="page-15-31"></span>46. Li Y, Kowdley KV. MicroRNAs in common human diseases. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2012;10: 246-53.
- <span id="page-15-32"></span>47. Zhao X, Cui L. A robust six-miRNA prognostic signature for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Cell Physiol 2020;235: 8799-811.
- <span id="page-15-33"></span>48. Hofmann L, Medyany V, Ezić J, Lotfi R, Niesler B, Röth R, et al. Cargo and functional profile of saliva-derived exosomes reveal biomarkers specific for head and neck cancer. Front Med 2022;9: 904295.
- <span id="page-15-34"></span>49. Hofmann L, Abou Kors T, Ezić J, Niesler B, Röth R, Ludwig S, et al. Comparison of plasma- and saliva-derived exosomal miRNA profiles reveals diagnostic potential in head and neck cancer. Front Cell Dev Biol 2022;10: 971596.
- <span id="page-15-35"></span>50. Hofmann L, Waizenegger M, Röth R, Schmitteckert S, Engelhardt D, Schuler PJ, et al. Treatment dependent impact of plasma-derived exosomes from head and neck cancer patients on the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Front Oncol 2022;12: 1043199.
- <span id="page-15-36"></span>51. Zhang C, Chen H, Deng Z, Long D, Xu L, Liu Z. DGCR8/miR-106 axis enhances radiosensitivity of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas by downregulating RUNX3. Front Med 2020;7: 582097.
- <span id="page-15-37"></span>52. Emmett SE, Stark MS, Pandeya N, Panizza B, Whiteman DC, Antonsson A. MicroRNA expression is associated with human papillomavirus status and prognosis in mucosal head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Oral Oncol 2021;113: 105136.
- <span id="page-15-38"></span>53. Yu T, Liu K, Wu Y, Fan J, Chen J, Li C, et al. MicroRNA-9 inhibits the proliferation of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells by suppressing expression of CXCR4 via the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Oncogene 2014;33: 5017-27.
- 54. Minor J, Wang X, Zhang F, Song J, Jimeno A, Wang X-J, et al. Methylation of microRNA-9 is a specific and sensitive biomarker for oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. Oral Oncol 2012;48: 73-8.
- <span id="page-15-39"></span>55. Lu J, Luo H, Liu X, Peng Y, Zhang B, Wang L, et al. miR-9 targets CXCR4 and functions as a potential tumor suppressor in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Carcinogenesis 2014;35: 554-63.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>56. Xiao Q, Xiao J, Liu J, Liu J, Shu G, Yin G. Metformin suppresses the growth of colorectal cancer by targeting INHBA to inhibit TGF-β/PI3K/AKT signaling transduction. Cell Death Dis 2022;13: 202.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>57. Rêgo DF, Pavan LMC, Elias ST, De Luca Canto G, Guerra ENS. Effects of metformin on head and neck cancer: a systematic review. Oral Oncol 2015;51: 416-22.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>58. Ji M, Lv Y, Chen C, Xing D, Zhou C, Zhao J, et al. Metformin inhibits oral squamous cell carcinoma progression through regulating RNA alternative splicing. Life Sci 2023;315: 121274.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>59. Chen Z, Zeng Z, Zhu S, Zeng Y, Lin Q, Luo L, et al. Effects of different combined regimens of cisplatin, metformin, and quercetin on nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells and subcutaneous xenografts. Sci Rep 2021;11: 1040.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>60. Quimby AE, Lebo NL, Griffiths R, Hall S, Dimitroulakos J, Johnson-Obaseki S. Does metformin usage improve survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma? a population-based study. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2018;47: 74.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>61. Curry JM, Johnson J, Mollaee M, Tassone P, Amin D, Knops A, et al. Metformin clinical trial in HPV+ and HPV- head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: impact on cancer cell apoptosis and immune infiltrate. Front Oncol 2018;8: 436.