# Mutations in RNA Polymerase II and Elongation Factor SII Severely Reduce mRNA Levels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

J. CALE LENNON III, MEGAN WIND, LAURA SAUNDERS, M. BENJAMIN HOCK, and DANIEL REINES\*

Graduate Program in Genetics and Molecular Biology and Department of Biochemistry, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

Received 6 April 1998/Returned for modification 1 June 1998/Accepted 2 July 1998

Elongation factor SII interacts with RNA polymerase II and enables it to transcribe through arrest sites in vitro. The set of genes dependent upon SII function in vivo and the effects on RNA levels of mutations in different components of the elongation machinery are poorly understood. Using yeast lacking SII and bearing a conditional allele of *RPB2*, the gene encoding the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II, we describe a genetic interaction between SII and *RPB2*. An SII gene disruption or the *rpb2-10* mutation, which yields an arrest-prone enzyme in vitro, confers sensitivity to 6-azauracil (6AU), a drug that depresses cellular nucleoside triphosphates. Cells with both mutations had reduced levels of total  $poly(A)^+$  RNA and specific mRNAs and displayed a synergistic level of drug hypersensitivity. In cells in which the SII gene was inactivated, *rpb2-10* became dominant, as if template-associated mutant RNA polymerase II hindered the ability of wild-type polymerase to transcribe. Interestingly, while 6AU depressed RNA levels in both wild-type and mutant cells, wild-type cells reestablished normal RNA levels, whereas double-mutant cells could not. This work shows the importance of an optimally functioning elongation machinery for in vivo RNA synthesis and identifies an initial set of candidate genes with which SII-dependent transcription can be studied.

The elongation phase of transcription is an important control point for the regulation of gene expression (reviewed in references 4, 29, and 41). Several general elongation factors, including TFIIF, ELL, and elongin (SIII), are able to increase the overall rate of transcription elongation of RNA polymerase II (PoIII) in vitro (7, 13, 26, 37). SII enables PoIII to transcribe through a variety of transcriptional blockages, including intrinsic arrest sites and nucleoprotein complexes (reviewed in references 29 and 41). SII reactivates arrested PoIII complexes by binding to the enzyme and activating a nascent RNA cleavage activity, which eventually results in polymerase escape (reviewed in reference 28). It has been hypothesized that elongation factors such as TFIIF reduce the frequency of arrest by reducing the dwell time of PoIII at arrest sites (6, 15).

Little is known about gene sequences that block transcription and the interaction of general elongation factors with PolII complexes in vivo. In yeast, mutation or disruption of the gene encoding SII, DST1 (gene names in this study are those designated in the Saccharomyces Genome Database; DST1 is also known as PPR2), renders cells sensitive to the base analog 6-azauracil (6AU) (19, 23, 24). 6AU depletes cellular levels of the RNA precursors UTP and GTP (12). Supplementing the drug-containing medium with uracil or guanine reverses this phenotype, suggesting that the drug inhibits growth because of the reduction in nucleoside triphosphate levels and thereby impairs transcription elongation (3, 12). The sensitivity of yeast to 6AU after disruption of DST1 may indicate an increased requirement by RNA polymerase for elongation factor assistance under conditions of substrate depletion (3). Hence, 6AU sensitivity has been exploited as a bioassay for transcription elongation. Indeed, mutations in two of the subunits of RNA PolII yield 6AU-sensitive yeast (3, 25, 34, 35). Some of these

mutations generate enzymes that are defective in elongation in vitro, either by reducing the affinity of SII for polymerase (45) or by yielding an arrest-prone enzyme with a low elongation rate (25). Certain mutations in *SPT4*, *SPT5*, and *SPT6* also confer sensitivity to 6AU and synthetic lethality when combined with an inactivated SII gene, suggesting that these genes are also involved in transcription elongation (17). Nevertheless, direct evidence that SII acts as an elongation factor in vivo is lacking, and the effect of mutations in *DST1* or 6AU treatment on mRNA levels has not been explored. Little is known about target genes that are particularly reliant upon SII for their transcription or whose transcription rate is sensitive to a compromised elongation machinery.

*rpb2-4* (A1016T) and *rpb2-10* (P1018S) are two point mutations in the gene encoding the second largest subunit of PoIII (*RPB2*) that confer 6AU sensitivity upon yeast (25, 34, 35). The *rpb2-10* mutation yields polymerases which possess an abnormally low rate of elongation in vitro and a higher propensity to become arrested (25). Here, we show that cells bearing a combination of the *rpb2-10* mutation and an inactivated *DST1* gene have reduced levels of poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA and specific mRNAs. 6AU treatment is accompanied by an additional reduction in RNA levels and a hypersensitive growth phenotype. These findings define for the first time a genetic interaction between *RPB2* and *DST1* and demonstrate a 6AU-induced effect on transcription. They also show that in vivo, efficient mRNA synthesis relies upon SII function and an efficiently elongating RNA PoIII.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Yeast strains and growth medium.** The genotypes of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. The *dst1::hisG* allele consists of a disruption of the *DST1* coding region at a unique *Pst1* site located 61 nucleotides downstream from the initiating ATG of *DST1*. Yeast cells were transformed with *Kpn1*- and *Bam*HI-digested plasmid pC1002, described below, and a strain with the *hisG* disrupted allele was isolated as described previously (1). The *hisG* insertion was verified by yeast colony PCR (42) with primers 5'-GGCACTGGACTCTAAATCTC-3' and 5'-TTTCTTTAGTTCTGACCGA

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Biochemistry, Emory University School of Medicine, 1510 Clifton Rd., Atlanta, GA 30322. Phone: (404) 727-3361. Fax: (404) 727-3452. E-mail: dreines@emory.edu.

TABLE 1. Yeast strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype
Z96 <sup>a</sup>	
Z100 <sup>a</sup>	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)]
Z106 <sup>a</sup>	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)]
SJR105 <sup>b</sup>	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 lys2-801 <sub>am</sub> ade2-101 <sub>oc</sub> trp1 $\Delta$ 1 his3 $\Delta$ 200
DY95	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 lys2-801 <sub>am</sub> ade2-101 <sub>oc</sub> trp1 $\Delta$ 1 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2-10 dst1::hisG
DY103	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 [pŘP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY104	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY105	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY106	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY107	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY108	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY109	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [YCp50 (URA3)]
DY110	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [YCp50 (UR43)]
DY111	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [YCp50 (URA3)]
DY112	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [YCp50 (URA3)]
DY113	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [YCp50 (URA3)]
DY114	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [YCp50 (URA3)]
DY115	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 [pRP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY116	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY117	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY118	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP214 (LEU2 RPB2)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY119	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-4L (LEU2 rpb2-4)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY120	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 dst1::hisG [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [pRP212 (URA3 RPB2)]
DY123	MAT $\alpha$ ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3 $\Delta$ 200 rpb2 $\Delta$ 297::HIS3 rpo21-18 dst1::hisG [pRP214 (LEU2 $RPB2$ )] [pRS316 (URA3)]
DY124	MATα ura3-52 leu2-3,112 his3Δ200 rpb2Δ297::HIS3 rpo21-18 [pRP2-10L (LEU2 rpb2-10)] [pRS316 (URA3)]

<sup>a</sup> Obtained from R. Young (Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

<sup>b</sup> Obtained from S. Jinks-Robertson (Emory University).

GC-3', which flank the region of insertion. The *rpo21-18* allele was introduced into the chromosome by the pop-in/pop-out allele replacement method (31) with *Kpn*I-digested plasmid pC1005, described below. This allele contains an *XhoI* linker insertion used to confirm integration by *XhoI* digestion of a PCR product generated from transformant genomic DNA with primers 5'-GATCCTGATCC ACGTTCCAC-3'.

Yeast transformation was performed as previously described (14). Synthetic minimal growth medium (SD), synthetic complete medium lacking uracil (SC-Ura), and synthetic complete medium lacking leucine and uracil (SC-Leu-Ura) were prepared as described previously (36). 6AU, cycloheximide, or mycophenolic acid (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) dissolved in water, ethanol, or methanol, respectively, and filter sterilized was added to sterile medium as needed.

**Plasmids.** Plasmid pC1001 consists of a 1.8-kb PCR product containing the DST1 gene generated from primers 5'-GGCACTGGACTCTAATCTC-3' and 5'-AAAGATTTTACGTGAGACAGAC-3' and cloned into the Sma1 site of pGEM-7Zf+. Plasmid pC1002 consists of a blunt-ended 5.1-kb BamHI fragment from pHUHKH3 (11) (a gift from Gray Crouse, Emory University) carrying a hisG-URA3-hisG gene disruption cassette inserted into the nuclease-blunted PsrI site of plasmid pC1001. pC1005 consists of a 5.7-kb EcoRI-HindIII fragment from plasmid pYF1540 (a gift from James Friesen, University of Toronto) carrying the rpo21-18 allele inserted into EcoRI- and HindIII-digested pRS306 (38).

**Growth rate assays.** For solid medium growth assays, 5 ml of single-colony cultures grown for 48 h to saturation in SD were diluted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) of 1.0, and 3  $\mu$ l was streaked onto plates of SC-Leu-Ura or SC-Leu-Ura with 75  $\mu$ g of 6AU per ml. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 4 days. For liquid medium growth assays, 5 ml of single-colony cultures grown for 48 h to saturation in SD were diluted into fresh medium and grown to saturation. To test log-phase cells for 6AU sensitivity, 5 ml of single-colony cultures grown for 48 h to saturation in SD were diluted into fresh medium and grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.4 to 0.6 before being rediluted into medium containing drug. For all liquid assays, growth was monitored by measuring the OD<sub>600</sub>. Doubling time was defined as the length of time it took to double the OD<sub>600</sub> in the logarithmic part of the growth curve and was calculated by dividing the log(2) value by the slope of the curve.

**RNA analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from cells by the hot phenol extraction method (5). RNA was quantitated by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm. For all experiments, similar amounts of total RNA were obtained from equal numbers of cells for all strains. Dot blot analysis was performed as described previously (8). For Northern analysis, 10  $\mu$ g of total RNA was resolved on a 1% agarose–formaldehyde gel and blotted onto a Zeta-probe GT nylon membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.). The filter was air dried, baked at 80°C under vacuum for 30 min, and UV cross-linked in a Stratalinker 1800 (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). Filters were prehybridized for 3 h at 42°C in 5× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate)–5× Denhardt's solution (5)–50% form-

amide–1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)–100 µg of salmon sperm DNA per ml and then hybridized at 42°C with 10 ng of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probes per ml overnight. Filters were washed twice at room temperature for 5 min each time in 2× SSC–0.1% SDS and then twice at room temperature for 5 min each time in 0.2× SSC–0.1% SDS. Probes were obtained from sequences PCR amplified with the respective yeast Genepairs primers (Research Genetics, Huntsville, Ala.) and labeled with <sup>32</sup>P to a specific activity of 10<sup>8</sup> cpm/µg by the random priming method (5). Quantitation was performed with a Fujifilm BAS1000 imaging system.

### RESULTS

To gain insight into the dependence of elongation-defective polymerases on transcription elongation factor SII in vivo, we disrupted the SII gene (we refer to the dst1::hisG allele as dst1) in an isogenic set of haploid cells harboring two previously isolated alleles of *RPB2* which render cells sensitive to 6AU (rpb2-4 and rpb2-10) (25). We reasoned that two mutations conferring 6AU sensitivity, one that yields an elongation-defective polymerase and another that yields a *DST1* disruption, might generate a synthetic phenotype. Polymerases with the rpb2-10 mutation have a two- to fourfold-lower average elongation rate than that of wild type and are arrest prone in vitro compared to wild-type enzymes, while rpb2-4-containing polymerases display nearly wild-type elongation characteristics (25). PolII with the rpb2-10 mutation is capable of responding to added SII for read-through in vitro (25).

The *rpb2-10–dst1* double mutant displays synergistic sensitivity to nucleotide-depleting drugs. For initial experiments, cells from saturated cultures were diluted into fresh medium with and without 6AU, and the doubling times were calculated following the resumption of growth. This procedure yielded a linear growth response for all strains from which a constant doubling time could be readily defined. In the presence of 6AU, the double mutant was severely growth impaired, with a doubling time of  $42 \pm 5.9$  h (n = 3) in SD containing 75 µg of 6AU per ml (Fig. 1A). This result was far greater than the drug sensitivity displayed by either mutant alone or the sum of both



FIG. 1. Doubling times of strains at 30°C. (A) Liquid cultures of strains DY103 (wild type [WT]), DY106 (*dst1*), DY104 (*rpb2-4*), DY105 (*rpb2-10*), DY107 (*rpb2-4-dst1*), DY108 (*rpb2-10-dst1*), DY123 (*rpo21-18-dst1*), and DY124 (*rpb2-10-rpo21-18*) were grown for 48 h to saturation, diluted, and incubated in SD in the absence or presence of 6AU at 25, 50, and 75 µg/ml, and doubling times were calculated. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean (n = 3) for all strains treated with 0 or 75 µg of 6AU per ml. Values for which no error bars are visible had standard deviations which were too small to permit error bars to be drawn. (B) Cells were grown as described above in SD in the presence of mycophenolic acid (Myco; 10 µg/ml; strains DY103, DY106, DY105) or cycloheximide (CHX; 0.1 µg/ml; strains DY103 and DY108), and doubling times were calculated. Error bars indicate the standard deviations of the means (n = 3) for all strains. All strains carry plasmid pRS316 (38) and are Ura<sup>+</sup>.

together (8.3  $\pm$  0.2 h [n = 3] for dst1 and 8.0  $\pm$  0.2 h [n = 3] for rpb2-10) (Fig. 1A). The sensitivity of the rpb2-10–dst1 strain, expressed as a ratio of the difference in doubling times in medium with and without 75  $\mu$ g of 6AU per ml, compared to that of the wild type, was 34-fold. In addition, this effect was allele specific, since rpb2-4, when combined with a nonfunctional *DST1* gene, did not display this synergistic effect (7.1  $\pm$  0.4 h [n = 3] for rpb2-4 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3] for rpb2 versus 9.0  $\pm$  0.1 h [n = 3

The maximal inhibitory effect of 6AU was achieved at drug concentrations of 25 to 50  $\mu$ g/ml for all strains except for the double mutant, for which increasing 6AU concentrations up to 75  $\mu$ g/ml yielded a graded increase in cell doubling time (Fig. 1A). The 6AU-sensitive phenotype of the *rpb2-4*, *rpb2-10*, and *dst1* mutants was initially described by monitoring colony growth on plates (12, 25). The sensitivity of these plating assays readily detected relatively small (1.4- to 1.9-fold) increases in doubling time. The synergistic 6AU sensitivity reported here had a surprisingly large dynamic range that has not been previously described, extending from a 1.4-fold to an almost 10-fold decrease in the growth rate.

Since *rpb2-10–dst1* cells exhibited an extremely slow growth phenotype, we tested whether 6AU treatment resulted in lethality. Cells treated for 24 h with 75  $\mu$ g of 6AU per ml returned to normal growth after removal of the drug, with a doubling time equivalent to that of untreated cells. In addition, the drug-treated cultures had plating efficiencies on solid medium very similar to those of mock-treated cultures (data not shown). Thus, the slow growth phenotype was fully reversible and was not lethal.

Like 6AU, mycophenolic acid also reduces intracellular levels of GTP, and cells lacking SII are sensitive to this drug (12). If the synergistic sensitivity to 6AU observed for the double mutant is representative of a severe transcription elongation defect, then mycophenolic acid should have an effect similar to that of 6AU on the doubling time of the double mutant. Indeed, *rpb2-10–dst1* cells displayed a synergistic increase in doubling time compared to that of *rpb2-10* or *dst1* cells (Fig. 1B).

The synergistic sensitivity displayed by rpb2-10-dst1 cells could be the result of a general hypersensitivity to drugs and not directly related to effects on transcription. To address this possibility, wild-type and mutant cells were treated with the translation inhibitor cycloheximide. The doubling times of wild-type and rpb2-10-dst1 cells treated with 0.1 µg of cycloheximide per ml were not differentially affected (6.1  $\pm$  0.3 h [n = 3] for RPB2 cells versus 7.8  $\pm$  0.7 h [n = 3] for rpb2-10*dst1* cells) (Fig. 1B). Hence, the exquisite growth sensitivity of rpb2-10-dst1 cells does not represent general drug sensitivity. Hypersensitivity to 6AU was verified for the rpb2-10-dst1 double mutant when these mutations were moved into another strain of S. cerevisiae (SJR105) (Table 1). Since rpb2-10 PolII is hypersensitive to arrest but can be assisted by SII in vitro, the extreme 6AU sensitivity of the double mutant likely results from a severe transcription elongation defect in vivo.

The rpb2-10-rpo21-18 double mutant displays severe growth sensitivity to 6AU. The rpo21-18 mutation is an in-frame linker insertion in RPO21, the gene encoding the largest subunit of PolII, which renders cells sensitive to 6AU and reduces by 50-fold the binding affinity of PolII for SII (2, 3, 45). Our results obtained with rpb2-10-dst1 suggested that interfering with SII function by use of the rpo21-18 mutation in combination with rpb2-10 might also yield a synergistic 6AU-sensitive phenotype. The rpo21-18 mutation was introduced into the RPO21 chromosomal locus in cells with the rpb2-10 mutation, and drug sensitivity was measured (Fig. 1A). The rpb2-10rpo21-18 double mutant displayed profound 6AU sensitivity (doubling time,  $40.1 \pm 2.4$  h [n = 3]), comparable to that seen with rpb2-10-dst1 cells (Fig. 1A). In contrast, inactivation of DST1 in an rpo21-18 mutant did not yield synergistic sensitivity (Fig. 1A). As expected, the loss of SII had little impact on sensitivity, since the mutant polymerase was already defective in binding SII. These data, coupled with the finding that polymerases with rpb2-10 are slowly elongating, arrest-prone en-



FIG. 2. Dominance test of 6AU-sensitive *rpb2* alleles. Each strain (DY109 through DY120; Table 1) was transformed with control plasmid YCp50 (30) or plasmid pRP212 (*CEN-RPB2*) (35) containing the wild-type *RPB2* gene followed by selection on SC-Leu-Ura. SD cultures were grown for 48 h to saturation and diluted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.0. Three microliters was streaked onto SC-Leu-Ura and grown for 4 days at 30°C in the absence (-6AU) or presence (+6AU) of 6AU (75 µg/ml). (A) Individual *rpb2* alleles with or without plasmid-borne *RPB2*. (B) Individual *rpb2* alleles in combination with the disrupted *DST1* gene (*dst1*) and with or without plasmid-borne *RPB2*.

zymes in vitro (25), strongly suggest that the synthetic drug sensitivity reflects a severe transcription elongation defect.

The *rpb2-10* mutation becomes dominant upon inactivation of *DST1*. The *rpb2-4* and *rpb2-10* mutations were originally isolated as recessive conditional alleles (34). Here we show that the drug-sensitive phenotype was also recessive, since supplying a wild-type copy of *RPB2* rescued the 6AU sensitivity conferred by either mutation (Fig. 2A). However, upon disruption of *DST1*, the *rpb2-10* mutation became dominant, i.e., was not complemented by wild-type *RPB2* (Fig. 2B). The dominance was allele specific, since *rpb2-4*, when combined with a disrupted *DST1* gene, remained recessive, as the growth of the cells was restored to a level similar to that with the *dst1* mutation alone after a copy of wild-type *RPB2* was provided (Fig. 2B).

mRNA levels are severely depressed in 6AU-treated *rpb2-10-dst1* cells. By genetic criteria, rpb2-10-dst1 cells appeared to possess severe transcription elongation defects. We therefore examined levels of  $poly(A)^+$  RNA in control and 6AU-treated wild-type and double-mutant cells. Since the average mRNA half-life is 15 min, the hybridization of labeled poly(dT) to equivalent total RNA was used to assess mRNA synthesis rates (8, 9, 18, 39). Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA levels in *rpb2-10-dst1* cells were

reduced to  $67\% \pm 5\%$  (n = 3) of the levels in wild-type cells (Fig. 3). Following treatment with 75 µg of 6AU per ml, RNA levels in mutant cells were reduced further, to  $19\% \pm 7\%$  (n = 3) of the levels in untreated wild-type cells (Fig. 3). In contrast,



FIG. 3. Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA levels in 6AU-treated wild-type and *rpb2-10–dst1* cells. Strains DY103 (wild type [WT]) and DY108 (*rpb2-10–dst1*) were grown as described in the legend to Fig. 1A in the presence of 6AU (75 µg/ml) for 8 h. Equivalent numbers of cells were harvested, and total RNA was prepared. Equal amounts of RNA (2 µg) were applied to a dot blot in triplicate for each sample, and the filter was probed with [<sup>32</sup>P]poly(T). A representative image of one experiment is shown. The average of triplicate values was normalized to a value of 100% for untreated wild-type cells. The standard deviation as a percentage of the mean is shown for three independent experiments.



FIG. 4. Northern blot analysis of wild-type and *rpb2-10–dst1* cells. Strains DY103 (wild type [WT]) and DY108 (*rpb2-10–dst1*) were grown in the presence of 6AU (75  $\mu$ g/ml), and total RNA was prepared. Blots were probed with the indicated sequences. rRNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA levels remained unchanged following 8 h of 6AU treatment in wild-type *RPB2* cells (Fig. 3). Levels of poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA in strains carrying either the *dst1* or the *rpb2-10* mutation alone were equivalent to wild-type levels in the absence of drug and were reduced to approximately half of untreated wild-type levels following treatment with 6AU (data not shown). Similar amounts of total RNA, as measured by the absorbance at 260 nm, were obtained from equal numbers of cells for all samples analyzed. Indeed, the yields of rRNA from wild-type and mutant cells were comparable (Fig. 4 and data not shown).

The steady-state levels of specific mRNAs were examined by Northern blot analysis for *ADH1*, *PMA1*, *HIS4*, *DED1*, *HXT3*, and *SED1*. Following 6AU treatment, mRNA levels were significantly reduced in *rpb2-10–dst1* cells for all genes examined except *SED1* (Fig. 4). We conclude that the ability of these cells to reenter active growth and synthesize a number of mRNAs is impaired under these conditions.

rpb2-10-dst1 cells are unable to compensate for a rapid 6AU-induced reduction in mRNA levels. We tested the response of actively growing cells to 6AU by inoculating drugcontaining medium with logarithmically growing cells (Fig. 5A). The response of the rpb2-10-dst1 mutant was complex and had two kinetic components, an initial doubling time (0 to 12 h) (Fig. 5A) of 7 h followed by a constant period of doubling every 23 h. While the other strains had reached saturation 27 h after inoculation, the double mutant did not reach a comparable cell density until 90 h after inoculation (Fig. 5A and data not shown). To facilitate a comparison of the effect of the drug across genotypes, we plotted the growth rates of the wild type and the single mutants and the lowest (12 to 60 h) (Fig. 5A) growth rate of the double mutant (Fig. 5B). The growth rate of double-mutant rpb2-10-dst1 cells was 7-fold lower than that of wild-type cells, while the growth rates of single-mutant rpb2-10 and dst1 cells were 1.4- and 1.5-fold lower, respectively, than that of wild-type cells. Hence, rpb2-10-dst1 cells in log growth also display synergistic sensitivity to 6AU. We used this graded response to monitor the time course of the loss of mRNAs.

RNA levels were analyzed for cells in log growth as a function of time after 6AU addition (Fig. 6A). Note that in the



FIG. 5. (A) Response of logarithmically growing cells to 6AU. Mid-log-phase cells (OD<sub>600</sub>, 0.4 to 0.6) of strains DY103 (wild type [WT]), DY105 (*rpb2-10*), DY106 (*dst1*), and DY108 (*rpb2-10-dst1*) were diluted into SD containing 75  $\mu$ g of 6AU per ml and grown at 30°C. Growth was monitored at OD<sub>600</sub> and plotted versus time. (B) Doubling times calculated from the 0- to 12-h (DY103, DY105, and 12- to 60-h (DY108) portions of the curves in panel A. Results from two independent experiments (Exper.) are shown.

absence of drug treatment, rpb2-10-dst1 cells contained only 56% of the poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA levels of wild-type cells (0 h in Fig. 6B). Surprisingly, 6AU rapidly reduced RNA levels in both wild-type cells and mutant cells 0.5 h after its addition. The reduction was more pronounced in mutant cells (3.3-fold) (Fig. 6B) than in wild-type cells (1.7-fold) (Fig. 6B). After 4 h of treatment, the amount of  $poly(A)^+$  RNA in wild-type cells returned to 100% or more of that prior to 6AU addition (Fig. 6B). Mutant cells were able to recover only to a maximum of 70% of their initial RNA levels, even after 12 h (Fig. 6B). We did not observe the rapid reduction in  $poly(A)^+$  RNA levels when mock-treated cells were diluted into fresh medium (data not shown). Regardless of genotype, 6AU has a recognizable effect on mRNA levels, most likely at the level of synthesis. Compared to the wild type, the double mutant was defective in its response to the drug.

We used Northern blot analysis to investigate the effect of 6AU on the steady-state levels of specific mRNAs (Fig. 7A). Again, the mutations in *rpb2-10–dst1* cells reduced the abundance of *DED1*, *ADH1*, and *HIS4* mRNAs relative to the levels in wild-type cells. Following the addition of 6AU, the levels of



FIG. 6.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA levels in logarithmically growing wild-type and *rpb2-10-ds11* cells treated with 6AU. (A) Strains DY103 (wild type [WT]) and DY108 (*rpb2-10-ds11*) were grown as described in the legend to Fig. 5. At the indicated times, equivalent numbers of cells were harvested, and total RNA was prepared. Equal amounts of RNA (2 µg) were applied to a dot blot in duplicate for each sample, and the filter was probed with [<sup>32</sup>P]poly(T). A representative image of one experiment is shown. (B) Results were quantified and plotted. Each point represents the average of duplicate values and was normalized to a value of 100% for wild-type cells at time zero. Values from two independent growth experiments are shown. Lines connect averages of duplicate values for each time.

DED1 and ADH1 mRNAs declined rapidly in both types of cells, while the reduction in HIS4 mRNA levels was less pronounced in wild-type cells (Fig. 7B). The levels of DED1, ADH1, and HIS4 transcripts in rpb2-10-dst1 cells remained severely depressed relative to those in wild-type cells, which recovered to starting levels (Fig. 7B). The starting levels of SED1 mRNA were comparable in wild-type and mutant cells and were only slightly reduced by 6AU after 1 h (Fig. 7B). rRNA levels in both types of cells did not significantly change over this interval following treatment with 6AU (Fig. 7A).

The dominance of rpb2-10 in the absence of DST1 is reflected in reduced RNA levels. To further explore the association of growth rate with RNA content, we examined levels of  $poly(A)^+$  RNA in *RPB2* merodiploids treated with 6AU (Fig. 2). Consistent with the ability of *RPB2* to restore growth, the 60% reduction in  $poly(A)^+$  RNA levels in the *rpb2-10* mutant could be reversed by providing a wild-type copy of RPB2 (Fig. 8). Predictably, RNA levels were relatively unchanged in RPB2-dst1 cells after an additional copy of RPB2 was supplied (Fig. 8). Providing 6AU-sensitive rpb2-10-dst1 cells with a wild-type RPB2 allele resulted in only a small increase in RNA levels ( $\approx 11$  to  $\approx 16\%$  of wild-type levels), which was significantly smaller than that in RPB2-dst1 cells ( $\approx 50\%$  of wild-type levels) (Fig. 8). Thus, RNA levels correlate with the apparent dominance of the *rpb2-10* allele in the presence of wild-type *RPB2* and the increased 6AU sensitivity of *rpb2-10–dst1* cells.

#### DISCUSSION

The extent to which PoIII gene expression depends upon transcription elongation factors in vivo is unknown. In this study, we investigated the sensitivity to nucleotide-depleting drugs of a collection of strains carrying mutations in different components of the transcription elongation machinery. We found that, depending on the combination of mutations, there was a wide range of relative sensitivity to these drugs. The most severe case was seen when a mutation which yields a slowly elongating and arrest-prone RNA PoIII enzyme (*rpb2-10*) was introduced into cells lacking elongation factor SII. The result was a dramatic reduction in the levels of both total poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA and individual transcripts. This is, to our knowledge, the first report that 6AU treatment reduces mRNA levels in living cells and the first indication that a mutation in *DST1* can affect mRNA levels.

In contrast to the levels of total RNA and other individual transcripts, we found that the levels of *SED1* transcripts in the *rpb2-10-dst1* mutant did not decline following treatment with 6AU. *SED1* mRNA is abundant and encodes a major cell surface glycoprotein expressed during postdiauxic growth (10a, 16a). The *SED1* message may have an unusually long half-life, or its transcription may be resistant to these mutations and effects of nucleotide depletion. This gene appears to be in a unique category in this regard.

Our results also provide evidence for a genetic interaction between the second largest subunit of RNA PoIII and elongation factor SII. This evidence complements the prior finding of a genetic interaction between SII and the *RPO21* product, the largest PoIII subunit (3). Mutations in *RPO21* were shown to impair the ability of SII to bind RNA PoIII (45). It is unlikely that the *rpb2-10* mutation affects SII binding, since it is found near the catalytic pocket of the enzyme and influences the elongation properties of PoIII in the absence of SII (25). Instead, we interpret this genetic interaction with the second largest subunit to reflect the ability of elongation-compromised PoIII to use SII to facilitate elongation in vivo.

Sensitivity to 6AU is widely used in the transcription field as an assay for elongation defects. We propose that the phenotypes displayed by the mutants in this study are entirely consistent with data from in vitro biochemistry and represent various degrees of transcription elongation impairment in vivo. A slowly elongating polymerase with the *rpb2-10* mutation would be expected to be hyperarresting. Combining the rpb2-10 and dst1 alleles results in an arrested polymerase which cannot benefit from the elongation-stimulating activity of SII. The result is severely compromised elongation, which yields synergistic sensitivity to 6AU. This finding is mirrored in cells containing PolII with both the rpb2-10 and the rpo21-18 mutations. This polymerase is compromised for elongation and, as the result of a binding defect, cannot use SII. Since the dst1 and rpo21-18 mutations both render polymerase unable to use SII and are functionally redundant, the rpo21-18-dst1 double mutant does not display synergistic sensitivity to 6AU. Perhaps 6AU-sensitive mutations in RPB2 which prevent the binding of SII could be isolated. We predict that these mutations, combined with the *dst1* allele, would also not result in synergistic sensitivity. Whereas the overexpression of SII in vivo rescues the 6AU sensitivity conferred by RPO21 mutations that reduce SII binding (3), SII overexpression does not rescue the 6AU sensitivity conferred by the rpb2-4 or rpb2-10 mutation (data not shown). This result also suggests that the rpo21-18 and rpb2-10 mutations tested here are functionally distinct.

The fact that the otherwise recessive *rpb2-10* allele became dominant upon inactivation of *DST1* suggests that crippled *rpb2-10* enzymes accumulate on genes to a level that can impede the ability of the wild-type enzyme to carry out gene expression. This phenotype is reminiscent of *rpoB* mutations in *Escherichia coli* which render the holoenzyme unable to clear a promoter and are dominant lethal when expressed in vivo



FIG. 7. Northern blot analysis of logarithmically growing wild-type and *rpb2-10-dst1* cells treated with 6AU. (A) Strains DY103 (wild type [WT]) and DY108 (*rpb2-10-dst1*) were grown as described in the legend to Fig. 5, and total RNA was prepared. Blots were probed with the indicated sequences. rRNA was visualized by ethidium bromide staining. (B) Results were quantified and plotted. Each point represents the value for each band normalized to a value of 100% for wild-type cells at time zero.



(20–22, 32, 46). It is thought that this phenotype results from mutant polymerases occupying and occluding genes, thereby preventing their efficient transcription by wild-type polymerase (20, 32, 46).

Experiments measuring the effect of 6AU on cells in the logarithmic phase of growth revealed a complex growth response for the double mutant. We therefore chose to examine the 6AU sensitivity of the collection of mutants by diluting saturated cultures and monitoring their return to active growth. This approach, which measures the ability of cells to recover from a postdiauxic rate of growth, provided a clear and quantifiable means of measuring doubling times and was a robust assay for defining the genetic interaction between *RPB2* and *DST1*. The synergistic sensitivity and mRNA synthesis defect of the *rpb2-10-dst1* mutant were readily apparent, regardless of the initial phase of growth of the test cultures (Fig. 1 and 5). The slowing of growth displayed by cells at the end of the logarithmic phase is accompanied by a decrease in overall



FIG. 8. Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA levels in *rpb2-10*, *RPB2-dst1*, and *rpb2-10-dst1* cells carrying a wild-type copy of *RPB2*. Strains DY117 (*rpb2-10-CEN-RPB2*), DY111 (*rpb2-10*), DY118 (*RPB2-dst1-CEN-RPB2*), DY112 (*RPB2-dst1*), DY120 (*rpb2-10-dst1-CEN-RPB2*), and DY114 (*rpb2-10-dst1*) were grown as described in the legend to Fig. 1 in the presence of 6AU (75  $\mu$ g/ml) for 8 h. Equivalent numbers of cells were harvested, and total RNA was prepared. Equal amounts of RNA (2  $\mu$ g) were applied to a dot blot in duplicate for each sample, and the filter was probed with [<sup>32</sup>P]poly(T). A representative image of one experiment is shown. The average of duplicate values normalized to a value of 100% for strain DY117 (*rpb2-10-CEN-RPB2*) is presented for two independent growth experiments (Exper).

transcription (8, 43, 44). Our results are consistent with the apparent need for postexponential cells to turn on a large number of genes and that this process is particularly difficult for *rpb2-10–dst1* cells in the presence of 6AU. Although these experiments do not reveal where in the pathway of gene expression 6AU exerts its effect in the double mutant, the simplest interpretation is that the inability of these cells to exit slow growth results from a defect in transcript elongation.

Following treatment with 6AU, the unexpected early phase of reduction of total RNA levels regardless of genotype is direct evidence that NTP depletion alone has an impact upon transcription in vivo. We suggest that the basis for the failure of the *rpb2-10–dst1* double mutant to compensate for this reduction is its failure to mount a transcriptional response. The rapid and global effects on RNA levels of 6AU and the elongation-perturbing mutations described here are very similar to the effects of conditional mutations in the RNA PoIII, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIH, and SRB genes, suggesting that SII may act as a general elongation factor (10, 16, 18, 27, 33, 39, 40).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. A. Young, J. D. Friesen, G. Crouse, S. Jinks-Robertson, and S. T. Warren for materials. We thank R. A. Young, J. D. Friesen, and colleagues at Emory University for helpful discussions.

This work was funded by NIH grant GM46331 to D.R. J.C.L. was supported by NIH training grant GM08490.

#### REFERENCES

- Alani, E., L. Cao, and N. Kleckner. 1987. A method for gene disruption that allows repeated use of URA3 selection in the construction of multiply disrupted yeast strains. Genetics 116:541–545.
- Archambault, J., M. A. Drebot, J. C. Stone, and J. D. Friesen. 1992. Isolation and phenotypic analysis of conditional-lethal, linker-insertion mutations in the gene encoding the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 232:408–414.
- Archambault, J., F. Lacroute, A. Ruet, and J. D. Friesen. 1992. Genetic interaction between transcription elongation factor TFIIS and RNA polymerase II. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12:4142–4152.
- Aso, T., J. W. Conaway, and R. C. Conaway. 1995. The RNA polymerase II elongation complex. FASEB J. 9:1419–1428.
- Ausubel, F. M., R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith, and K. Struhl (ed.). 1988. Current protocols in molecular biology. Greene Publishing Associates/Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y.
- Bengal, E., O. Flores, A. Krauskopf, D. Reinberg, and Y. Aloni. 1991. Role of the mammalian transcription factors IIF, IIS, and IIX during elongation by RNA polymerase II. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:1195–1206.
- Bradsher, J. N., S. Tan, H.-J. McLaury, J. W. Conaway, and R. C. Conaway. 1993. RNA polymerase II transcription factor SIII. I. Identification, purification, and properties. J. Biol. Chem. 268:25587–25593.
- Choder, M. 1991. A general topoisomerase I-dependent transcriptional repression in the stationary phase in yeast. Genes Dev. 5:2315–2326.
- Choder, M., and R. A. Young. 1993. A portion of RNA polymerase II molecules has a component essential for stress responses and stress survival. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13:6984–6991.
- Cormack, B. P., and K. Struhl. 1992. The TATA-binding protein is required for transcription by all three nuclear RNA polymerases in yeast cells. Cell 69:685–696.
- 10a.DeRisi, J. L., V. R. Iyer, and P. O. Brown. 1997. Exploring the metabolic and genetic control of gene expression on a genomic scale. Science 278:680–686.
- Early, M. C., and G. F. Crouse. 1996. Selectable cassettes for simplified construction of yeast gene disruption vectors. Gene 169:111–113.
- Exinger, G., and F. Lacroute. 1992. 6-Azauracil inhibition of GTP biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Genet. 22:9–11.
- Flores, O., I. Ha, and D. Reinberg. 1990. Factors involved in specific transcription by mammalian RNA polymerase II. Purification and subunit composition of transcription factor IIF. J. Biol. Chem. 265:5629–5634.
- Gietz, D., A. St. Jean, R. A. Woods, and R. H. Schiestl. 1992. Improved method for high efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 20:1425.
- Gu, W., and D. Reines. 1995. Identification of a decay in transcription potential that results in elongation factor-dependence of RNA polymerase II. J. Biol. Chem. 270:11238–11244.
- Guzder, S. N., H. Qiu, C. H. Sommers, P. Sung, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 1994. DNA repair gene *RAD3* of *S. cerevisiae* is essential for transcription by

RNA polymerase II. Nature 367:91-94.

- 16a.Hardwick, K. G., J. C. Boothroyd, A. D. Rudner, and H. R. B. Pelham. 1992. Genes that allow yeast cells to grow in the absence of the HDEL receptor. EMBO J. 11:4187–4195.
- Hartzog, G. A., T. Wada, H. Handa, and F. Winston. 1998. Evidence that SPT4, SPT5, and SPT6 control transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 12:357–369.
- Herrick, D., R. Parker, and A. Jacobson. 1990. Identification and comparison of stable and unstable mRNAs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:2269–2284.
- Hubert, J.-C., A. Guyonvarch, B. Kammerer, F. Exinger, P. Liljelund, and F. Lacroute. 1983. Complete sequence of a eukaryotic regulatory gene. EMBO J. 2:2071–2073.
- Kashlev, M., J. Lee, K. Zalenskaya, V. Nikiforov, and A. Goldfarb. 1990. Blocking of the initiation-to-elongation transition by a transdominant RNA polymerase mutation. Science 248:1006–1009.
- Landick, R., J. Stewart, and D. N. Lee. 1990. Amino acid changes in conserved regions of the β-subunit of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase alter transcription pausing and termination. Genes Dev. 4:1623–1636.
- 22. Lee, J. Y., K. Zalenskaya, Y. K. Shin, J. D. McKinney, J. H. Park, and A. Goldfarb. 1989. Expression of cloned *rpoB* gene of *Escherichia coli*: a genetic system for the isolation of dominant negative mutations and overproduction of defective beta subunit of RNA polymerase. J. Bacteriol. 171:3002–3007.
- Nakanishi, T., A. Nakuno, K. Nomura, K. Sekimizu, and S. Natori. 1992. Purification, gene cloning, and gene disruption of the transcription factor SII in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 267:13200–13204.
- Nakanishi, T., M. Shimoaraiso, and S. Natori. 1995. Structure-function relationship of yeast SII in terms of stimulation of RNA polymerase II, arrest relief, and suppression of 6-azauracil sensitivity. J. Biol. Chem. 270:8991–
- Powell, W., and D. Reines. 1996. Mutations in the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II cause 6-azauracil sensitivity in yeast and increased transcriptional arrest in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 271:6866–6873.
- Price, D. H., A. E. Sluder, and A. L. Greenleaf. 1989. Dynamic interaction between a *Drosophila* transcription factor and RNA polymerase II. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9:1465–1475.8995.
- Qiu, H., E. Park, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 1993. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA repair gene RAD25 is required for transcription by RNA polymerase II. Genes Dev. 7:2161–2171.
- Reines, D. 1994. Nascent RNA cleavage by transcription elongation complexes, p. 263–278. *In* R. C. Conaway and J. W. Conaway (ed.), Transcription mechanisms and regulation. Raven Press, New York, N.Y.
- Reines, D., J. W. Conaway, and R. C. Conaway. 1996. The RNA polymerase II general elongation factors. Trends Biochem. Sci. 21:351–355.
- Rose, M. D., P. Novick, J. H. Thomas, D. Bostein, and G. R. Fink. 1987. A Saccharomyces cerevisiae genomic plasmid bank based on a centromerecontaining shuttle vector. Gene 60:237–243.
- Rothstein, R. 1991. Targeting, disruption, replacement, and allele rescue: integrative DNA transformation in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 194:281–309.
- Sagitov, V., V. Nikiforov, and A. Goldfarb. 1993. Dominant lethal mutations near the 5' substrate binding site affect RNA polymerase propagation. J. Biol. Chem. 268:2195–2202.
- Sakurai, H., T. Ohishi, and T. Fukasawa. 1997. Promoter structure-dependent functioning of the general transcription factor IIE in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 272:15936–15942.
- Scafe, C., M. Nonet, and R. A. Young. 1990. RNA polymerase II mutants defective in transcription of a subset of genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:1010–1016.
- Scafe, C., C. Martin, M. Nonet, S. Podos, S. Okamura, and R. A. Young. 1990. Conditional mutations occur predominantly in highly conserved residues of RNA polymerase II subunits. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10:1271–1275.
- 36. Sherman, F. 1991. Getting started with yeast. Methods Enzymol. 194:3-20.
- Shilatifard, A., W. S. Lane, K. W. Jackson, R. C. Conaway, and J. W. Conaway. 1996. An RNA polymerase II elongation factor encoded by the human ELL gene. Science 271:1873–1876.
- Sikorski, R., and P. Hieter. 1989. A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevi*siae. Genetics 122:19–27.
- Thompson, C. M., and R. A. Young. 1995. General requirement for RNA polymerase II holoenzymes *in vivo*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92:4587– 4590.
- Tijerina, P., and M. H. Sayre. 1998. A debilitating mutation in transcription factor IIE with differential effects on gene expression in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 273:1107–1113.
- Uptain, S. M., C. M. Kane, and M. J. Chamberlin. 1997. Basic mechanisms of transcript elongation and its regulation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 66:117–172.
- Wang, H., S. E. Kohlami, and A. J. Cutler. 1996. An improved method for polymerase chain reaction using whole yeast cells. Anal. Biochem. 237:145– 146.
- 43. Werner-Washburne, M., J. Becker, J. Kosic-Smithers, and E. A. Craig. 1989.

Yeast Hsp70 RNA levels vary in response to the physiological status of the cell. J. Bacteriol. **171:**2680–2688.

- 44. Werner-Washburne, M., E. Braun, G. C. Johnston, and R. A. Singer. 1993. Stationary phase in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 57: 383-401.
- 45. Wu, J., D. E. Awrey, A. M. Edwards, J. Archambault, and J. D. Friesen. 1996.

In vitro characterization of mutant yeast RNA polymerase II with reduced binding for elongation factor TFIIS. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:1152–1157.
246. Zaychikov, E., E. Martin, L. Denissova, M. Kozlov, V. Markovtsov, M. Kashlev, H. Heumann, V. Nikiforov, A. Goldfarb, and A. Mustaev. 1996.
Momin. of settletic registrations in the RNA settlements or settle settletic registrations. Mapping of catalytic residues in the RNA polymerase active center. Science **273**:107–109.