Review

MYC Oncogene: A Druggable Target for Treating Cancers with Natural Products

Ka Iong Chan1#, Siyuan Zhang1#, Guodong Li1#, Yida Xu¹ , Liao Cui² , Yitao Wang¹ , Huanxing Su1*, Wen Tan3* , Zhangfeng Zhong1*

¹Macao Centre for Research and Development in Chinese Medicine, State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macao SAR 999078, China ²Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Research and Development of Natural Drugs, School of Pharmacy, Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang 524000, China ³School of Pharmacy, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

[Received April 24, 2023; Revised May 19, 2023; Accepted May 20, 2023]

ABSTRACT: Various diseases, including cancers, age-associated disorders, and acute liver failure, have been linked to the oncogene, *MYC***. Animal testing and clinical trials have shown that sustained tumor volume reduction can be achieved when MYC is inactivated, and different combinations of therapeutic agents including MYC inhibitors are currently being developed. In this review, we first provide a summary of the multiple biological functions of the MYC oncoprotein in cancer treatment, highlighting that the equilibrium points of the MYC/MAX, MIZ1/MYC/MAX, and MAD (MNT)/MAX complexes have further potential in cancer treatment that could be used to restrain MYC oncogene expression and its functions in tumorigenesis. We also discuss the multifunctional capacity of MYC in various cellular cancer processes, including its influences on immune response, metabolism, cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, pyroptosis, metastasis, angiogenesis, multidrug resistance, and intestinal flora. Moreover, we summarize the MYC therapy patent landscape and emphasize the potential of MYC as a druggable target, using herbal medicine modulators. Finally, we describe pending challenges and future perspectives in biomedical research, involving the development of therapeutic approaches to modulate MYC or its targeted genes. Patients with cancers driven by MYC signaling may benefit from therapies targeting these pathways, which could delay cancerous growth and recover antitumor immune responses.**

Key words: MYC, cancer, immune response, multidrug resistance, natural product, herbal medicine

1. Introduction

MYC is a "global" transcription factor that contributes to various diseases, including cancers, age-associated disorders, and acute liver failure, among others. Due to its involvement in multiple cellular processes, including DNA repair, protein translation, cell cycle arrest, stress response, cellular proliferation and differentiation, programmed cell death, immune response regulation and stem cell differentiation, MYC is referred to as a "master gene regulator"; it is thought to regulate approximately 15% of the human genome [1-4], and functions by controlling RNA polymerases to regulate transcription levels [5-7]. Among basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper DNA binding proteins, c-MYC, N-MYC, and L-MYC comprise the MYC transcription factor (TF) subfamily, which is encoded on chromosome 8q24.21 (Fig. 1A) [8- 10].

***Correspondence should be addressed to:** Dr. Zhangfeng Zhong, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macao SAR 999078, China. Email: zfzhong@um.edu.mo; and Wen Tan, School of Pharmacy, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China. Email: tanwen@lzu.edu.cn and Huan-Xing SU, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Macao SAR 999078, China. Email: huanxingsu@um.edu.mo. [#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Copyright: © 2023 Chan KI. et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of th[e Creative Commons Attribution License,](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

A. Structure of MYC paralogs

MYC is among the most widely investigated cancercausing genes, and is implicated in the formation, maintenance, and progression of various cancer types; approximately 70% of human cancers are associated with dysregulated MYC expression [11, 12]. The oncogenic effects of MYC appear to depend on cellular context and contribute to downstream pathways, including oxidative stress, the Warburg effect, and the immune microenvironment, as well as ubiquitin ligases, immune checkpoints, and ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters [10, 13]. Besides DNA repair and protein translation, MYC also functions in cell proliferation and survival [14, 15]. Further, MYC contributes to immune response regulation, and is associated with immune checkpoints, inducing immune evasion of MYC-mediated cancer cells and promoting tumor development [16]. Given these multiple functions of MYC in stimulating development of cancerous or precancerous cells, novel treatments targeting MYC have potential for application in patients with MYC-mediated-malignancies [8-10].

Herbal medicines have been used for thousands of years and their applications in cancer treatments have inspired interest in biochemical analysis of their functions [17-22]. Several agents have been reported that can directly or indirectly interfere with MYC expression and exhibit anticancer activity, causing tumor regression in preclinical stage studies. The objectives of this comprehensive review were to provide an overview of the roles of MYC in precancerous and cancerous cells and to discuss the design and properties of MYC inhibitors, especially modulators from medicinal herbs, that have been brought to market or are undergoing pre-clinical cancer therapy studies.

2. MYC Structure and Functions

2.1 MYC Family Protein Functional Domains

The three paralogous MYC oncoproteins, c-MYC, L-MYC, and N-MYC, have a multi-domain structure (Fig. 1A) [8-10]. All contain three distinct domains: an Nterminal trans-activating domain (TAD); a core domain responsible for maintaining nuclear stability and assisting in localization; and a C-terminal DNA binding domain that requires MYC to interact with its partner, MAX, to form MYC/MAX complexes. MYC/MAX dimerization allows integration of the E-box DNA response element, which contain a CACGTG consensus sequence, in the DNA binding domain of the complex, which can then activate and regulate gene transcription [23]. M boxes (MB) are highly conserved regions present in the MYC oncoprotein family [24, 25]. L-MYC contains five MB, where the TAD region contains MB0, MBI, and MBII, while MBIIIb and MBIV are located in the core domain

Aging and Disease • Volume 15, Number 2, April 2024 642

[26]. C- and N-MYC include six MBs, similar to L-MYC, but with one extra, MBIV, located at their N-termini [26]. Various MYC functions are dictated by MB domain types and their interactions with corresponding proteins. MB0 promotes oncogenic transcription by interacting with transcription factor II-F (TFIIF), which contributes to transcriptional elongation [26, 27]. The MBI domain is a MYC ubiquitination site, mediating its degradation by proteasomal enzymes [25]. MBII is essential for MYCmediated transcription activation by promoting interaction of the MYC-TRRAP-HAT complex [26]. Additionally, MBII and MB0 are critical in tumor initiation, which induce tumorigenesis, aggravating the oncogenic effects of MYC [28]. MBIIIa is associated with apoptosis [29], whereas MBIIIb interacts with the WD40 repeat protein, WDR5, to facilitate MYC-chromatin binding [30]. Interaction of MBIV with chromatin and host cell factor-1 (HCF-1) result in apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [31, 32].

2.2 MYC Co-factors

2.2.1 MYC Positive and Negative Regulators

Various proteins interact with different MYC domains to trigger distinct functional roles (Fig. 1B).

2.2.1.1 Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) and Extracellular receptor kinase (ERK)

CDK2 and ERK phosphorylate MYC Ser-62 to stabilize the protein, whereas glycogen synthase kinase (GSK-3β) phosphorylates Thr-58, leading to its proteasomal degradation [33].

2.2.1.2 Ras-like protein (Ras)

Ras is a small GTP-binding protein, upstream of several signaling pathways including Raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT, among others. MYC production and stability can be increased by mitogenic stimulation, as can Ras activity [34]. Ras enhances MYC protein stability by phosphorylating Ser-62 via ERK [35-37]. By activating PI3K/AKT, Ras suppresses GSK-3β while stabilizing and elevating MYC levels, preventing Thr-58 phosphorylation [35-37]. Decreased Ras activity downregulates AKT signaling in late G1 phase, causing MYC degradation [38]. Ser-62 and Thr-58 are both important in regulation of cell proliferation via control of MYC expression [35-37]. Ras/Raf signaling induces MYC expression through promoter regulation [39]. Ras stimulates the Raf/MAPK/MEK signaling pathway, which allows TF activation and promotes MYC expression [40]. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) levels can be elevated by increasing *Ras* protooncogene activity, which is triggered by Src kinases and platelet-derived growth factor receptors (PDGFR) [40]. The Src-PDGF axis can independently activate MYC transcription without inducing Ras [41]. Further, there is evidence that Rho proteins, including rhodopsin (Rho), Rac family small GTPase 1 (Rac), and cell division cycle 42 (Cdc42), can be upregulated by Src phosphorylation of Vav2, thereby stimulating the *MYC* promoter, and increasing MYC transcription [42].

2.2.1.3 Bromodomain Protein 4 (BRD4)

BRD4 is an epigenetic reader protein of the bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) family, with kinase and histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domains at its N and Ctermini, respectively [43]. Similar to GSK-3β, BRD4 induces MYC destabilization by phosphorylating Thr-58 [35-37]. In contrast to GSK-3β, which is found in the cytoplasm and induces extrinsic signaling, BRD 4 is commonly found in the nucleus, and mediates homestasis of MYC levels [35-37]. To maintain stable MYC levels, a tricomplex of BRD4, ERK1, and MYC functions as a regulator, whereby MYC inhibits BRD4 HAT activity, and its kinase activity is inhibited by the ERK pathway [44].

2.2.1.4 Phosphatase 2A (PP2A)

PP2A phosphatase activity regulates MYC protein levels [45]. PP2A comprises three subunits: a scaffolding subunit, a catalytic component, and a regulatory region [46], and each subunit has various isoforms, which combine to generate distinct PP2A isoforms with different regulatory effects [47]. A unique subunit of the B regulatory family, B56α, negatively regulates MYC protein function and stability [48]. PP2A complexes dephosphorylate MYC Ser-62 and regulate its turnover through proteasome-mediated degradation [49]. Additionally, the B56α subunit of PP2A can dephosphorylate GSK-3β and down-regulate MYC expression [50].

2.2.1.5 Prolyl Isomerase (Pin1)

Pin1 recognizes specific phosphorylated residues (pThr-58 and pSer-62) and isomerizes MYC protein conformation [51], by catalyzing conversion of Pro-63 MYC to a trans conformation [52]. PP2A-B56α is activated by isomerization of Pro-63 MYC, and functions in proteasome-mediated degradation of pThr-58 MYC via E3 ubiquitin ligases [53, 54]. The phospho-binding domain of Pin1 confers recognition of phosphorylated MYC sites [54], and the interaction of Pin1 with MYC can

be affected by phosphorylation of both Thr-58 and Ser-62 [55], where Thr-58 is more critical than Ser-62 for Pin1 binding to MYC [64]. Additionally, Pin1 stabilizes the cis conformation of pSer-62-MYC, which prevents PP2A-B56a from dephosphorylating Ser-62 [56].

2.2.1.6 E3 Ubiquitin Ligases

Various E3 ubiquitin ligases, such as Skp2, Fbw7, HectH9, and TRUSS, contribute to maintenance of MYC hemostasis via ubiquitin-ligase degradation [57]. Fbw7 isoforms are encoded through alternative splicing and regulate MYC turnover [58]. As a mediator of MYC turnover, Fbw7 targets p-Thr 58 and p-Ser sites in MBI [59]. On dephosphorylation of Ser-62 by PP2A-B56a, Fbw7 E3 ligase recognizes pThr-58 and recruits the 26S proteasome to degrade MYC proteins [60].

2.2.1.7 Axis inhibition protein 1 (Axin1)

Axin1, a scaffold protein, recruits MYC, Pin1, PP2A-B56 $α$, and GSK-3 $β$ to form a tetramer, which undergoes ubiquitin-mediated degradation [41]. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays, to detect interaction between Axin1 and MYC transcriptional activity, demonstrated that Fbw7, Pin1, PP2A-B56α, and GSK-3β, as well as parts of the 26S proteasome, participated in the interaction [61].

2.2.1.8 E3 Ubiquitin Ligases (Skp2 and Fwb7)

Fwb7 and Skp2 are major E3 ligases involved in inducing two ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation pathways to suppress MYC expression [62, 63]. Romo1, a mitochondrial modulator of reactive oxygen species (ROS) release into the cytoplasm, can translocate Fwb7 and Skp2 into the cytoplasm to induce cytoplasmic MYC degradation [64]. Skp2 interacts via conserved functional motifs: a helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper and MBII of MYC [34]. These interactions promote MYC degradation during G1 to S phase transition, independent of phosphorylation status [65]; however, Skp2 has contradictory effects on MYC transcription, also acting as a cofactor to stimulate the *MYC* promoter and increase its transcription [46]. Hence, Skp2 is vital in maintaining physiological levels of MYC.

2.2.1.9 Proteasome activator subunit 3 (PSME3, also known as REGγ)

REGγ was first identified as Ki antigen, which functions to suppress MYC TF activity by interacting with its Cterminus, leading to degradation [66]. REGγ knockdown significantly increases MYC stability and affects MYCmediated gene expression and cell growth [66].

2.2.1.10 p53-induced RING-H2 (Pirh2)

The ubiquitin ligase activity of Pirh2 is critical in tumorigenesis, through mediating MYC polyubiquitination and proteolysis [67]. Skp2 can form a complex with MBII (MYC C-terminal domain), and Pirh2 (both the C- and N-termini) [67]. MYC protein expression is significantly increased in Pirh2-knockdown human RKO cells or Pirh2-deficient mouse NIH3T3 cells, demonstrating that Skp2 and Pirh2 are essential for regulating MYC turnover in tumorigenesis [68].

2.2.1.11 Promyelocytic Leukemia Zinc Finger (PLZF)

PLZF is a TF involved in cellular proliferation and differentiation, thereby mediating developmental processes [69], and significantly represses MYC transcription and phosphorylation by binding to the *MYC* promoter and its MB sequences [70]. PLZF modulates AKT/MAPK signaling to decrease MYC phosphorylation at Ser-62 [70]. MYC dephosphorylation increases its stability and prevents ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation via E3 ubiquitin ligases [71].

2.2.1.12 microRNAs (miRNAs)

miRNAs, which comprise 21–25 nucleotide molecules, have recently emerged as potential oncogene\tumor suppressors that inhibit MYC expression in cancerous or precancerous cells [72]. miRNAs target specific untranslated sequences within the genetic code, to induce excision or gene silencing [73]. During DNA damage, *miR-34c* is triggered and targets MYC to induce gene silencing [74], which inhibits DNA synthesis and repair and controls cell proliferation [75]. This pathway is a potential treatment target in MYC-induced cancer, in combination with other anti-cancer drugs.

2.3 Mechanisms of c-MYC-mediated Gene Regulation

2.3.1 MYC Transcription and Regulation

MYC mRNA-levels are regulated by numerous signaling pathways, TFs, and chromatin components [76]. *MYC* family genes have several promoters, including P0, P1, P2, and P3, along with various initiation regions [41]. There are four Ca^{2+} -regulated nuclear factors in activated T cell proteins, NFAT1/2/3/4, that were discovered in T lymphocytes [77]. NFAT1/2 bind to upstream DNA promoter elements to stimulate *MYC* transcription [78]. Further, *MYC* expression is upregulated in mouse T lymphocytes by $Ca^{2+}/calcineurin/NFAT1$, where NFAT1 acts as a TF binding to the distal *MYC* promoter to increase *MYC* transcription [49].

MYC stabilization and activity are also influenced by post-translational modifications [61]. MYC regulation and cofactor recruitment are dependent on MBI and MBII respectively [62]. The MBI region contains two highly conserved phosphorylation sites, Ser-62 and Thr-58, which have important roles in stabilization of all mammalian MYC isoforms [79].

2.3.2 Equilibrium Points in MYC Transcription and Regulation

MYC-MAX forms a dimeric complex with E-boxes, [80, 81], which are enriched in the promoters of genes involved in cell proliferation regulation [82-84], and MYC/MAX binding to E-boxes has several roles in gene regulation [82, 84].

Although MYC-MAX appears to regulate gene expression primarily through binding to E-box sequences in target gene regulatory regions, MYC can also interact with non-E-box DNA sequences [23, 85]; for example, there are non-canonical-E-boxes in ribosomal genes, which MYC binds to promote transcription [86, 87]. Additionally, MYC may function independently of MAX in some situations [88]. In a study of neuroblastoma, N-MYC was found to participate independently in regulation of *p53* (*p53 tumor suppressor homolog*) transcription [88]. Hence, under certain circumstances, MYC can induce biological functions without dimerization with MAX. Further studies to explore this mechanism are warranted.

MYC can selectively regulate transcription from its target genes, through the equilibrium among MYC, MAX, MAD/MXD (MNT), and MIZ1 binding to promoter regions [89]. In malignancy cell models, MYC proteins are usually overexpressed, favoring formation of MYC/MAX dimeric complexes, which promote transcription, leading to cell hyperproliferation, and thereby inducing tumorigenesis [89]; however, in the presence of MIZ1, tumor-favoring conditions are restored to equilibrium, as MIZ1 forms a ternary complex with MYC/MAX, which represses MYC-activated genes and suppresses hyperproliferation [90]. Further, MAD can function as a competitive inhibitor of MYC, as it has the same DNA binding domain as MAX and competes for this common target, reducing the rate of MYC-MAX binding [89]. MYC cannot activate transcription independently; hence, MYC-induced tumorigenesis-associated genes can be downregulated by MAD expression to diminish tumor cell hyperproliferation [89]. The equilibrium of MYC/MAX, MIZ1/MYC/MAX, and MAD (MNT)/MAX complexes represents a potential therapeutic target for guiding or controlling MYC target gene expression regulation and influence on the cell cycle (Fig. 1C). When the balance is favored toward MYC/MAX, the cell will be

driven by MYC overexpression and undergo tumorigenesis; conversely, when the balance is reversed or equilibrium reached, MYC expression is controlled to maintain normal cell cycle regulation. Continued refinement of these MYC transcriptional repression models represents a promising future research avenue.

3. Functional Roles of MYC in Cancer Cells

Targeting MYC is among the highest priorities for cancer therapeutics. Dysregulated MYC expression is generally associated with poor patient prognosis [91]. Numerous *in* *vitro* and *in vivo* tests have shown that MYC is among the most potent oncogenes in inducing transformed cell phenotypes [92, 93]. Interestingly, the first observation of MYC upregulation causing neoplastic transformation only involved certain cell lines and was attributed to those cells having acquired other mutations that made them permissive [93]. Despite its prominent role in cancer pathogenesis, MYC overexpression alone cannot mediate cellular proliferation or neoplastic transformation [94, 95], rather, MYC overexpression affects normal cells in a highly destructive manner, resulting in cell death, senescence, and/or proliferative arrest [95, 96].

Figure 2. Multiple roles and representative mechanisms of action of MYC towards the adaptive and innate immune responses in cancers. MYC is a critical factor in manipulating immune-related event. The above left figure shows the influences of both the innate and adaptive immune cell responses in MYC-driven tumor. MYC overexpressed tumor cell is associated with reduced T cell-mediated anti-tumor immune responses; impaired macrophage and NK cells anti-tumor immune responses; recruited mast and neutrophil cells to promote angiogenesis; and alteration of the antigen-presenting molecules and the adhesion molecules on the cells surface. The middle section is a zoom in figure of the cells with normal MYC expression. This figure provides an overview of the immune cells and the adhesion & costimulatory molecules present in the MYC regulated condition. The right section of the figure has demonstrated the immune responses towards malignant cells with MYC overexpression. The MHC class I and class II molecules, adhesion and costimulatory molecules are decreased. MYC overexpression is associated with reduced cytotoxic T cell responses. MYC overexpression premotes the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression, which mediates the cells to escape from the T cells recognition. Also, MYC overexpression reduces NK cell amounts, and induces the expression of immune checkpoint CD47, preventing macrophage (Mph)-induced phagocytosis.

Tumorigenesis is initiated by MYC in cooperation with numerous other oncogenic events [97]. In MYCinduced tumor formation screens, many oncogenes were found to cooperate with MYC [96]. MYC frequently synergizes with genetic abnormalities which disrupt cellcycle checkpoints and affect cell proliferation, cell death, senescence, and/or malignant transformation, due escalation of antiapoptotic events, such as BCL-2 upregulation, p53 downregulation, and p19ARF reduction, among others [98-100], indicating that normal cells possess a wide range of intrinsic tumor suppression mechanisms to control MYC expression and prevent malignancy [101].

Overall, MYC acts as a tumor-initiating gene, influencing cell cycle progression, as well as immune responses, via tumor-intrinsic epigenetic mechanisms [102, 103]. Angiogenesis can be controlled through modulation of the tumor microenvironment (TME) [104], which may interfere with various anti-cancer genetic events to induce tumorigenesis [35-37]. Hence, the local microenvironment has a critical role in MYC-induced tumor formation.

Herein, we summarize and discuss the capacities of MYC in various cancer processes, including immune responses, metabolism, the cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, pyroptosis, metastasis, angiogenesis, multidrug resistance (MDR), and intestinal flora.

3.1 MYC and Cancer Immune Responses

Several immune pathways are regulated by MYC to attack or eliminate carcinomas, such as prostate, colon, lung, and breast cancers [105, 106]. MYC overexpression can facilitate the escape of cancerous or pre-cancerous cells from anti-tumor immune cell recognition [105]; this escape iteration from immune responses is a hallmark of cancer [105]. The influences of overexpressed MYC on adaptive and innate immune cells are illustrated in Fig. 2.

3.1.1 Impact of MYC Overexpression on Innate Immunity

The missing-self hypothesis states that natural killer (NK) cell activity targets infectious and tumor cells when human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I is missing from, or downregulated on, the cell surface [107]. Inhibition of HLA class I expression by MYC can boost NK cell function [108, 109]; however, HLA class I downregulation can also cause NK cells to attack selfderived cells [105]. MYC is overexpressed in a murine T cell carcinoma model, leading to reduced NK cell maturation [110]. Further, *MYC* mRNA levels are positively correlated with the expression of B7-H6, a NKp30 ligand that activates NK cell-mediated degranulation [111, 112].

MYC also represses innate immunity through its effect in promoting tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [112]. MYC overexpression induces an immunosuppressive TME through the release of chemokines, growth factors, and inflammatory cytokines, as well as promoting activation of anti-immune checkpoint proteins, such as CD47, HIF, and TRVP1, in tumor cells to suppress M1 and M2 macrophage activation [112-114].

Inflammation contributes to cancer and *in vivo* MYC activation stimulates mast cells and neutrophils, which rapidly migrate to the tumor site, inducing various cytokines and growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2), that facilitate angiogenesis and promote tumor metastasis [115, 116].

3.1.2 Impact of MYC Overexpression on Adaptive Immunity

MYC overexpression downregulates antigen-presenting and costimulatory molecules on tumor cells, enabling them to avoid immune system recognition; hence, MYC overexpression disrupts physical interactions between T cells and cancerous/precancerous cells with negative consequences [105].

3.1.2.1 MYC- induced Antigen Presentation

Immune surveillance is generally compromised in tumors, due to their high MYC expression levels, which prevent recognition by cytotoxic T cells [109, 117]. MYCoverexpressing MDA-MB-231 and DU-145 B cell lines exhibit lower HLA class I expression, which influences binding between cytotoxic T and B cells; lung and colon carcers also exhibit similar characteristics [107, 117, 118].

MYC expression also impacts the generation of B cell-HLA class II; human leukocyte antigen DM (HLA-DM) is the HLA class II expression editor, and HLA-DA is controlled by MYC expression levels [118]. In a preclinical Burkitt lymphoma model with constitutive MYC expression, antigen-presentation to CD4⁺ T helper/regulator cells was reduced, due to downregulation of HLA-DM expression[118, 119]. Further, MYC knockdown led to recovery of HLA-DM levels and partial restoration of antigen-presentation to CD4⁺ T cells [118].

3.1.2.2 MYC Overexpression with Adhesion and Costimulatory Molecules

T cell activation and recruitment rely on adhesion molecules, including vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and intracellular adhesion molecules (ICAMs) [120]. T cell migration and activation is triggered by ICAM-1 binding to lymphocyte functionassociated antigen-1 (LFA-1) on T cell receptors [120]; however, these intracellular adhesion molecule complex pathways are downregulated by MYC expression [121]. Furthermore, tumor necrosis factors (TNFs) responsible for mediating T lymphocyte recognition, cellular connectivity, and B cell adhesion, including TNF-α, lymphotoxin-α (TNFSF1), and lymphotoxin-β, are also repressed by MYC [119].

Co-factor signals are crucial in immune system modulation. On activation, costimulatory molecules produce secondary signals that enhance T cell responses [122, 123]. Deactivating MYC decreases CD40 molecules (CD40) expression in conditional knockout cell lines [122], where CD40 is a costimulatory protein on antigenpresenting B cells that interacts with CD40L (CD154) on T helper (T_H) cells to recruit TNFs for immune response activation [123]. Additionally, MYC inhibits CD80 expression, preventing its binding to T cell cocostimulatory proteins (CD28/B7), thereby restricting T cell proliferation and activation [124]. Nevertheless, the mechanism underlying the relationship between MYC overexpression and CD40 levels remains elusive. No association between higher MYC protein levels and increased *CD40* transcription was observed in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma; however, MYC overexpression decreases levels of the co-stimulatory genes, *TNF receptor superfamily member 4 (TNFRSF4*) and *forkhead box P3 (FoxP3*), in regulatory T cells (Tregs) [125].

3.1.2.3 MYC induced Programmed Death-Ligand 1 (PD-L1)-mediated T cell tolerance.

PD-L1 (also referred as B7-H1) is a transmembrane protein ligand of PD-1, encoded by the *CD274 molecule* (*CD274*) gene. A major role of MYC involves binding with PD-1, which transmits inhibitory signals to T cells to regulate antigen-specific T cell proliferation [126]. MYC overexpression induces PD-L1 mRNA and protein expression in prostate, breast, colon, and lung cancers [16, 127-129]; *PD-L1* mRNA expression is decreased proportionally to MYC protein inactivation [128]. In a murine carcinoma model, MYC bound the *PD-L1* promoter to induce its expression, resulting in increased PD-L1 protein levels [112]. Analogous results were obtained in human MDA-MB-231, DU145, MCF-7, and HCA-7 cell lines treated with MYC inhibitors [130, 131]. Nevertheless, some researchers have proposed that MYC expression has no role in regulation of PD-L1 expression [131]. Mice treated with JQ1 (a MYC inhibitor) had reduced PD-L1 expression relative to controls, while little PD-L1 downregulation was found in mice treated with MYC-specific shRNA [131]; hence, PD-L1 downregulation may be caused by other effects of JQ1 inhibitors, rather than MYC inactivation.

In conclusion, the mechanism involved in MYC regulation of PD-L1 remains ambiguous. Published studies have used various approaches to investigate the complex interactions between MYC and PD-L1 expression levels, revealing different roles of various factors in mediating PD-L1 expression across a number of carcinomas.

3.1.3. MYC in Inflammation-mediated Neoplastic Transformation

Inflammation related or/and driven carcinogenesis is an area of intense cancer research. This type of neoplastic transformation involves chronic and systematic inflammation, as well as carcinogenesis. MYC, as a signature tumor driver [132-134], is a critical player in malignant transformation from inflammation to cancer.

In colorectal cancer (CRC), malignant transformation invariably involves inflammatory gastrointestinal disorders and cancerous colonic disease. Accordingly, therapeutic approaches are generally divided into antiinflammatory and anti-cancer aspects [135]. In a nuclear factor-erythroid 2 (NF-E2) -related factor 2 (NRF2) knockout Apcmin/+ mouse model, increased c-MYC expression in intestinal tissue is accompanied by high proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PNCA) levels, which promote intestinal carcinogenesis and adenomas, due to intestinal crypt cell proliferation [136]. c-MYC protein synthesis is increased specifically in intestinal epithelial cells, independently of Wnt-APC-β-catenin signaling, in response to overexpression of CD98, which is a crucial transmembrane glycoprotein that exhibits oncogenic activity in inflammation-associated intestinal tumorigenesis [137]. Further, the phosphorylated Smad3L (pSmad3L)/c-MYC oncogenic signaling pathway promotes ulcerative colitis-associated neoplastic progression [138].

Mast cells are inflammatory cells necessary for macroscopic expansion of pancreatic islet tumors, and rapid recruitment of mast cells is triggered by MYC activation [115, 139]. In human pancreatic ductal epithelial cells, increased MYC expression and protein synthesis were induced by AT-rich interaction domain 1A (ARID1A) knockdown, and pancreas-specific ARID1A loss could also elicit inflammation and pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia formation in mice [140].

c-MYC has a comparatively indirect role in hepatocarcinogenesis, interacting with tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) to promote hepatocarcinogenesis through TRAF6/HDAC3/c-MYC signaling, which is primed in hepatitis B virus-transgenic mice [141]. Downregulated c-MYC expression is consistent with tumor growth suppression on blocking of the CCL2/CCR2 axis, which is a potential target for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and chronic hepatic inflammation [142].

TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor (TRAIL-R) deficiency in mice affects MYC-driven lymphomagenesis, highlighting its potential role in susceptibility to inflammation-driven carcinogenesis [143]. Transformation of high-grade B-cell lymphoma to gastric diffuse large B-cell lymphoma involves a MYC- dependent malignant transformation pathway [144]. In inflamed environments with related inflammatory signals, decreased TRAF6 expression increases MYC transcriptional activity, promoting leukemia transformation [145]. In large granular lymphocyte leukemia initiated by overexpression of IL-15, c-MYC contributes to up-regulation of Aurora kinases and *miR-29b* suppression [146].

Figure 3. The role of MYC in metabolism alteration and its consequences. A wide range of glycolytic enzymes are upregulated by MYC, which supports glucose metabolism. MYC promotes the expression of SLC1A5 and SLC38A5 to increase the glutamine uptake and promotes the glutaminolysis-related enzymes (GS/GLS1/2) to elicit glutamine addiction. MYC promotes proline anabolism *via* increasing PYCR1/2 and represses its catabolism *via* decreasing POX/PRODH expression. Enzymes labelled with red arrows are upregulated by MYC, and those labelled with blue arrows are suppressed by MYC. Also, MYC activates critical transporters, SLC7A5, SLC43A1, and SLC1A5, to promote essential amino acid transport. BCAT1, which catalyzes the decomposition of branched amino acids, is a downstream target of MYC. MYC coordinates glucose, glutamine, and essential amino acid metabolism to promote fatty acid biosynthesis. Enzymes labelled in red are upregulated by MYC. α -KG α -ketoglutarate, ALDOA aldolase A, 1,3BPG 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate, Cit citrate, ENO enolase, F1,6-BP fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, F6P fructose 6-phosphate, GA3P glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, GAPDH glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, Gln glutamine, GLS glutaminase, Glu glutamate, GOT2 glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase, G6P glucose-6-phosphate, GPI phosphoglucose isomerase, GPT glutamine pyruvate transaminase, GS glutamine synthetase, GSA glutamic-γ-semialdehyde, HK hexokinase, LDHA lactate dehydrogenase A, Mal malate, MCT monocarboxylate transporter, P5C Δ1-pyyroline-5-carboxylate, P5CDH P5C dehydrogenase, P5CS P5C synthase, PDH pyruvate dehydrogenase, PEP phosphoenolpyruvate, PFK phosphofructokinase, PG phosphoglycerate, PGK phosphoglycerate kinase, PGM phosphoglucomutase, PKM2 pyruvate kinase M2, POX/PRODH proline oxidase/dehydrogenase, Pro proline, PYCR P5C reductase, Pyr pyruvate, SLC solute carrier family, Suc succinate, TCA tricarboxylic acid, ACC acetyl-coA carboxylase, ACLY ATP citrate lyase, BCAA branched-chain amino acid BCAT branchedchain aminotransferase, BCKA branched-chain α-keto acid, FA fatty acid, FASN fatty acid synthase, Fum fumarate, His histidine, HMG-CoA 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA, HMGCR 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A reductase, Ile isoleucine, KMO kynurenine-3-monooxygenase, Kyn kynurenine, KYNU kynureninase, Leu leucine, Met methionine, OAA oxaloacetate, Phe phenylalanine, SCD stearoyl-CoA desaturase, Thr threonine, Trp tryptophan, Val valine.

Moreover, c-MYC exerts metabolic-related modulation effects. Chronic inflammatory signaling, such as activation of the STAT3/c-MYC axis, may elicit gradual metabolic reprogramming, represented by elevation of key metabolic enzymes involved in promoting progression from chronic colitis to CRC [147]. Promotion of inflammation and tumorigenesis by stromal fibroblasts through metabolic reprogramming is mediated by mTORC1/c-MYC signaling [148]. Additionally, during epigenetic blockade of neoplastic transformation by the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) domain protein inhibitor, JQ-1, c-MYC levels decreased rapidly in mouse skin epidermal JB6 P+ cells [149]. Some proinflammatory cytokines, including interferon γ (IFNγ) and TNF- α , synergistically induce tumorigenesis via NF-κB-mediated c-MYC activation in ovariectomized mice [150].

3.2. MYC and Cancer Metabolism

3.2.1 MYC and the Warburg Effect (Glycolytic Metabolism) in Cancer

Aerobic glycolysis is important in creating the TME and inducing the Warburg effect [151], a metabolic adaptation characterized by enormous glucose uptake, glycolysis, and lactic acid generation in oxygen-sufficient environments, to support aerobic respiration [151]. Through this process, a TME containing numerous anabolic precursors, with sufficient energy to promote cell mutagenesis and support tumor cell community formation emerges, particularly under hypoxic conditions.

MYC regulates aerobic glycolysis by binding to the classical E-box consensus sequence in glycolytic genes [152] ; for example, SLC2A1 is a glucose transporter, which can enhance glucose uptake efficiency in the presence of MYC (Fig. 3) [153]. Immunoprecipitation assays indicated that MYC binds to E-box regions at the *hexokinase 2 (HK2*), *lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), and enolase 1 (ENO1)* loci, which are highly conserved across eukaryotic species [152]. Lactate can be transported away from cancer cells through MYCactivated MCT1 (SLC16A1 solute carrier family 16 member 1 aliase) and MCT2 (SLC16A7 solute carrier family 16 member 7 aliase*)* channels [154]. Furthermore, *glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)* and *TPI* are both regulated by MYC using alternative mechanisms, since non-canonical E-boxes are present in their upstream promoters [152]. Glycolytic genes are activated by MYC via both transcription and alternative splicing [155]. Splicing factors promote processes favoring glycolysis, as expression of the pyruvate kinase, PKM2 (an enzyme involved in aerobic glycolysis), exceeds that of PKM1 (which mediates oxidative phosphorylation) in response to MYC activation of the protein coding genes, *heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNPA1)* and *heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2 (hnRNPA2)* [155].

Besides MYC, a second TF, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (HIF-1 α), controls tumor cell glycolysis under oxygen deficient conditions [156]. In adenocarcinoma of the glandular prostrate and lobular breast milk duct, glycolysis-related genes, including *HK2* and *pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1)*, are activated by MYC and HIF-1 α under hypoxic conditions [156, 157], whereas MYCN and HIF-1α cooperate to mediate anaerobic glycolysis in other malignancies. These findings indicate interactions between MYC oncoproteins and HIF-1 α in cancer metabolism [156, 157].

3.2.2 MYC and Amino Acid Metabolism in Cancer

3.2.2.1 Essential Amino Acids (EAAs)

Cancer cells can either synthesize amino acids or recruit extracellular amino acids through protein-gated channels [158]. MYC expression triggers alterations in amino acid metabolism [158, 159]. EAAs are a subset of amino acids described as essential in humans, because they cannot be independently produced and must be derived from alternative sources or the extracellular environment [160]. These EAAs serve as subunits for cellular component anabolism and as ligands that induce signaling pathways [160, 161]. The EAA transporters, SLC7A5/SLC43A1, induce MYC protein synthesis and transcription of downstream targets, which can disrupt several de novo metabolic processes, including glycolysis, ammonolysis, and lipogenesis (Fig. 3) [161]. For example, tryptophan metabolism can be altered in various ways in cancer cells. Like SLC7A5, SLC1A5, and arylformamidase, MYC regulates the kynurenine pathway in colonic cells, increasing conversion of tryptophan to kynurenine [162]. Increasing kynurenine levels promotes cancer cell proliferation and migration and provides an immune barrier to tumors [163]. Moreover, MYC can enhance glutamine uptake efficiency through activating the glutamine transporters, SLC1A5 and SLC38A5, thus promoting glutamine catabolism [164]. In PC3 prostate cancer (PCa) models, MYC elevates glutaminolysis by indirectly enhancing translation of glutaminase 1 (*GLS1*), via inhibiting the *GLS1* repressors, *miR-23a/b* [158]. MYC regulation of glutamine catabolism can have varying outcomes, according to the different metabolic requirements of diverse cancer types. Besides glutamine catabolism, MYC also regulates glutamine synthetase (GS) to control glutamine anabolism [165]. GS catalyzes nitrate reduction of ammonia to glutamate during glutamine formation and MYC demethylates the GS promoter by TET3 upregulation, which upregulates thymine DNA glycosylase expression [165]. Such aberrant expression upregulates various cellular components, including glutamine and amino-acid transporters, to support tumor outgrowth, as observed in a human PCa model [165, 166]. As both GS and GLS1 are transiently expressed in different tumor cell subcellular compartments, MYC can activate both reactions simultaneously in an individual cell; glutaminolysis occurs in mitochondria, whereas glutamine synthesis primarily takes place in the cytosol [167].

3.2.2.2 Non-essential Amino Acids (NEAAs)

Biosynthetic and degradation pathways of several NEAAs also appear to be regulated by MYC; for example, comprehensive clinical data indicate that a subset of neoplastic cancers induced by MYC are associated with tumorigenic proline metabolism [168]. MYC upregulates P5C reductase (PYCR) and P5C synthase (P5CS), influencing the conversion of glutamine to proline [168, 169] , which can resolve conditions involving proline deficiency and maintain homeostasis [170]. Furthermore, MYC can suppress proline dehydrogenase/proline oxidase (PRODH/POX) expression via upregulation of *miR-23b*, thereby diminishing proline catabolism and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress [168, 169]. Moreover, MYC induces tumorigenic processes through stimulating serine biosynthesis by activating 3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) and phosphoserine aminotransferase (PSAT1) [171] , which stimulates glutathione (GSH) production and nucleotide biosynthesis [159]. Along with upregulating serine anabolism, MYC promotes serine catabolism and glycine synthesis through serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2 (SHMT2) [172] . In contrast, growth defects induced by MYC-deficiency in MYC-knockdown murine fibroblast models were moderately recovered by SHMT2 [173] ; however, it had no effect on constitutive MYC expression in colorectal adenomagenesis [174]. Hence, the relationship between MYC and SHMT2 remains unclear, and the mechanisms may depend on microenvironmental factors. In addition to tumorigenesis, MYC also facilitates serine/glycine biosynthesis, along with HIF-1 α and ATF4, which can integrate the anaerobic glucose metabolic cycle in tumor cells [174, 175].

3.2.3 MYC and Lipid Metabolism in Cancer

Tumorigenesis requires acceleration of lipid synthesis for cell membrane proliferation, and MYC is key to regulation of fatty acid synthesis and oxidation, cholesterol generation, and liposomal signal molecule transportation [176].

3.2.3.1 Fatty Acid Production

MYC stimulates fatty acid synthesis in prostate, colon, and breast cancers [177-180], and MYC upregulates several TCA cycle genes to produce the fatty acid precursor, citrate (Fig. 3) [178, 181]. Further, MYC activates production of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACACA), ATP citrate lyase (ACC), stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD), and fatty acid synthase (FASN), which are involved in fatty acid synthesis [176, 182]. Furthermore, MYC upregulates the TF, MondoA, to increase the transcript levels of *SCD* and *FASN*, which are vital in promoting saturated fatty acid synthesis [183]. Further, MYC associates with the element-binding protein, SREBP1, to promote fatty acid synthesis [182].

3.2.3.2 Cholesterol Metabolism

Malignant cells overexpressing MYC upregulate 3 hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR) to promote cholesterol synthesis [184]. In MYC-driven tumor models, MYC activation and phosphorylation are HMGCR-dependent, leading to a feedback loop that triggers tumorigenesis and cancer metabolic alterations [185].

3.2.3.3 Fatty Acid Oxidation (FAO)

In cancer metabolism, MYC promotes FAO, a process occurring in mitochondria, to facilitate ATP synthesis via oxidation of fatty acids in most eukaryotic cells [176, 186]. This process was demonstrated in a MYCN knockdown neuroblastoma model, in which decreased expression of several FAO-related enzymes (ETFA, HADHA, and HADHB) was detected [186]. MYCN inhibition also disrupts the mitochondrial respiratory chain, interfering with FAO redox function [186]. Similar phenomena were also detected in MYC-induced breast cancer (TNBC) and PCa (PC-3) models, where oncogenic levels of MYC induced both CD36 and CPT1A/CPT2 expression to stimulate fatty acid uptake into the mitochondrial inner membrane, where it undergoes complete oxidation [187-189]. In calcium (Ca^{2+}) signaling, MYC activates FAO by promoting AMPactivated kinase (AMPK) activity in a $Ca^{2+}-CAMKK2$ dependent manner [188]. In contrast, MYC was recently reported to inhibit FAO via downregulation of the same pathway, including HADHA, HADHB, ACADL, and ACADVL, contradicting an earlier publication [176]. These findings suggest that MYC can either accelerate or

reduce FAO function, according to the cellular microenvironment.

3.2.4 MYC-dependent Regulation of Metabolism Contributes to the Tumor Immune Microenvironment 3.2.4.1 Glycolysis

MYC-induced metabolic reprogramming correlates with the emergence of the tumor immune microenvironment. Cancers often exhibit high glucose consumption, due to higher levels of MYC, corresponding with increases in MYC-regulated glycolytic enzymes (HK2, TPI, ENO1, PKM2, and LDHA) [190]. Aberrant MYC expression leads to increased levels of LDHA, which converts pyruvate into lactate to acidify the extracellular environment [191, 192]. This hinders NK and cytotoxic T cell targeting of tumor cells and recruits Tregs, repressing immune response initiation and favoring an M2-like phenotype of TAMs [191-195]. Escalating glucose uptake in the TME results in glucose-deficiency in T cell metabolic pathways, which negatively impacts T cell surveillance, downregulates IFN-γ production, and amplifies PD-1 levels [196, 197] , thus contributing to impaired antitumor immunity. T cells released in acidic conditions secrete fewer cytokines (such as TNF-α, IFNγ, and IL-2) and express higher CTLA-4 levels [198].

3.2.4.2 Glutamine Transport

MYC overexpression in cancer is attributable to the fact that glutamine is necessary for cell growth and genetic events. In addition to enhancing glutamine transport into cells, MYC upregulates glutamine transporter expression and GLS enzymes through promoter demethylation, facilitating glutamine-to-glutamate conversion [199, 200]. Additionally, the glutamine-to-glutamate pathway increases competition for glutamine metabolites in tumors, causing naïve T cells to differentiate into immune-suppressive Tregs, and impairing NK cell function [196, 197].

3.2.4.3 Metabolic Regulation

A lipid metabolic process regulated by TRPV1, a transmembrane cation channel, gated by heat, and low tissue pH, activated by MYC provides energy to tumors with high energy demand, which are characterized by poor vascular circulation and deficient lymphatic drainage [201, 202]. This leads to a lack of critical metabolites and a surplus of waste products in the TME, inducing tumorigenic stress and representing a pre-stage of malignant metastasis [201].

3.3. MYC and the Cell Cycle

3.3.1. MYC Expression and the Cell Cycle

MYC is an established modulator of cell cycle progression and mediator of cell proliferation rates. One important function of MYC in the cell cycle is promotion of entry into S phase, as demonstrated in a MYC-deficient rat fibroblast model, which has a longer G1 phase than that of wild-type cells [203]. HectH9 mediates MYCmediated entry into the cell cycle [204]; in a human HectH9-deficient tumor cell model, cells are paused at G1 phase [204]. MYC stabilization is mediated through two signaling pathways: PI3K-AKT and Raf-MEK-ERK [33]. ERK and GSK-3β play opposing roles in preventing or triggering MYC degradation during the early (ERK) and late (GSK-3β) phases, respectively, by phosphorylating Ser-26 and Thr-58 [205].

Abnormal MYC levels push cells to enter S-phase and undergo immortal cell division, without the need for growth factor stimulation [206]. Schuhmacher et al. provided evidence of a steady increase in cell proliferation rate in a model with increased MYC levels [207, 208]. Further, Wang and colleagues demonstrated that depletion or silencing of MYC in 23 cell lines, including healthy and tumor cells, using MYC antisense oligonucleotides, led to cessation of G0/G1 or G2/M cell cycle transitions [209]. The MXD protein can prevent cell cycle progression by antagonizing MYC-mediated target gene transcription [62]; MXD shares a similar DNA binding domain with MYC and competes with MYC to bind with MAX [210]. MAX/MXD dimerization prohibits MYC mediated transcription, leading to cell cycle arrest. Blocking cyclin B1 (CCNB1) upregulation can inhibit cell cycle arrest by MXD1, causing starved cells to release HIF-1 α , which arrests the cell cycle by counteracting MYC expression under hypoxic conditions [210].

3.3.2 Biological Factors Involved in MYC Cell Cycle Regulation

3.3.2.1 Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs)

Many CDK genes, including *cyclin dependent kinase 4 (CDK4)* and c*yclin dependent kinase 6 (CDK6)*, are upregulated by MYC [211]; however, its effects on *cyclin dependent kinase 2 (CDK2)* are controversial. In one study, the authors reported increased CDK2 mRNA and protein levels on MYC overexpression, but another investigation showed that the gene plays in a different role [212]. ChIP assays indicated that Ras and cyclin C interact with MYC to bind the *cyclin dependent kinase 1 (CDK1)* promoter and augment CDK1 expression. As MYC promotes the Cdk-activating kinases (CAKs) transcriptional activity, which phosphorylates the

activation segment (CDK T-loop) and increases CDK levels [213, 214]. Furthermore, MYC restrains CDK inhibitory effects through induction of either *miR-221* effects on Wee1 or activation of Cdc25 (cell division cycle 25) phosphatase [213, 214]. *miR-221* also targets *p27, p57, and Rb* mRNAs, hindering their CDK inhibitory properties [213-215].

3.3.2.2 Cyclins

MYC also regulates cyclin expression; however, there are controversies regarding the role of MYC in regulating cyclin D1. Expression of cyclin D1 can be increased, suppressed, or unaffected by MYC, depending on the cell type [216]. Additionally, MYC induces cyclin D2 expression by recruiting TRRAP [217] and induces cyclin E1 by direct regulation of E2F TF expression [218]. Researchers identified MYC target genes by serial analysis of gene expression and found that the cell cycle mediators, cyclin B, cyclin E binding protein 1, and Cdc2- L1, control MYC-induced transition between G1, S, and G2 phases [219].

3.3.2.3 CDK Inhibitory (CKI) Proteins

CKI proteins, such as INK4 and CIP/KIP family molecules, can repress CDKs [220, 221]. cyclin dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) activities are inhibited by binding of INK4 family proteins, which interferes with their kinase activity [220-222]. Also, the INK4 family proteins, p15 and p16, prevent Rb phosphorylation and arrest cell cycle progression by preventing selective removal of the INK4 CDK inhibitor, p27, from cyclin D-CDK4/6 and its redistribution to cyclin E-CDK2 [223].

3.3.2.4 ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF)

The *ARF* gene maps to human chromosome 9p21 [224], is upregulated by MYC to inhibit cell cycle progression, and mediates apoptosis, with or without the p53 pathway [224]. On ARF activation, MDM2 proto-oncoprotein is released from p53, which stabilizes p53 and activates p21 induction to trigger apoptosis [225]. ARF inhibits MYC transactivation, thereby preventing its hyperproliferative and transformative effects; however, ARF cannot prevent MYC-induced apoptosis [226, 227], possibly because other MYC-associated apoptotic genes can also induce apoptosis [226].

3.3.2.5 RB transcriptional corepressor (Rb) Hypophosphorylation

MYC controls cell cycle progression by both upregulating specific genes and inhibiting negative cell cycle regulators [228, 229]. MYC binds to E-boxes in the E2F promoter and induces transactivation of a set of genes related to G1 to S-phase transition [230]. E2F activity depends on Rb phosphorylation level [228]; hypophosphorylated Rb binds E2F and suppresses its expression to disrupt cell cycle progression [228]. To overcome Rb hypophosphorylation, MYC induces cyclin/CDK upregulation via various mechanisms and signaling pathways, including gene expression induction or regulation by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation [228]. Elevation of CDK proteins can conditionally overcome Rb hypophosphorylation [228]. Further, MYC stimulates *miR-221* induction, which reduces Rb expression at the mRNA and protein levels, and prevents recurrence of Rb hypophosphorylation, to restrain cell proliferation [214, 231].

3.3.2.6 p15

MYC can also inhibit the activity of negative regulators of the cell cycle [228]. G1 phase arrest is mediated by TGF-β-induced p21, which can be inhibited by AP4 transactivation through inhibition of MYC signaling [232]. Treatment of lung epithelial cells with TGF-β leads to rapid reduction of MYC and expression of p15, while exogenous MYC spontaneously enters cells to recover TGF-β-induced p15 levels to background levels [233]. Following TGF-β treatment, MIZ-1 exhibits high-affinity binding in the vicinity of the p15 promoter, stimulating *p15* transcription [234, 235]. SP1 and SMAD also interact with MYC to pause p15 expression. Additionally, on interacting with MYC and following replacement of their coactivators, SP1 can act as both a transcriptional activator and a repressor [234-237]. MYC forms an inhibitory complex with SMAD and SP1 that represses *p15* gene expression on exposure to TGF-β [237].

3.3.2.7 p21

MYC controls p21 by various mechanisms, which prevent p53-induced apoptosis and override p21 regulation by p53 [238]. MYC counteracts DNA damage by regulating p21 and GADD45 production in response to p53-induced p21 [239-241]. Cdc2 kinase activity is inhibited by GADD45 by reducing Cyclin B1 nuclear localization [242]. MYC/Miz-1 dimerization is among mechanisms that directly or indirectly impair p21 expression [243] , while p21 induction by MYC inhibits KDM5B and TFAP2C formation of a ternary complex [244]. MYC-induced transcription regulators, such as AP4 and *miR-17-92*, also inhibit p21 induction [245].

3.3.2.8 p27

The antagonistic relationship between p27 and MYC expression is established [246]. MYC downregulates p27 at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels, recruits factors that bind to initiator element (Inr) in the p27 promoter, and downregulates FoxO3a expression, which is an essential factor in mediating p27 upregulation [246]. Upregulation of the MYC-dependent miRNAs, *miR-221* and *miR-222*, inhibits p27 post-transcriptional activity [215, 231]. MYC can counteract p27 expression and circumvent G1/S transition arrest in various ways. On Rb phosphorylation, MYC-mediated E2F TFs can activate S phase-related genes and downregulate p27, whereas MYC upregulates cyclin E transcription, which enhances the efficiency of cyclin E p27 redistribution from the cyclin D/CDK4/6 complex [247]. Additionally, the ubiquitin ligase, Skp1-Cullin-1-F-box (SCF), containing Skp2, is elevated by MYC, and recognizes and degrades p27 on cyclin E (induced by MYC) via phosphorylation of Thr-187 [248, 249].

3.3.2.9 DNA Replication and Mitosis Proteins

MYC influences numerous genes involved in DNA replication and mitosis [250]. Initiation and elongation of DNA replication is mediated by CTD1, as well as MCM proteins (MCM3, MCM4, MCM5, and MCM6). Additionally, MYC increases replication origin activity by interacting with pre-replication complexes [211, 251- 253]. Furthermore, MYC extends the anaphase stage by upregulating anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C), which degrades the mediators of metaphaseanaphase transition, cyclin B1 and securin [211, 254]. Unlike APC/C, MYC represses the securin gene, *PTTG1* [211]. Further, mitotic arrest deficient 2 (MAD2) and Bub1-related kinase1 (BubR1) expression are elevated in response to MYC overexpression, and mitotic arrest results in extended anaphase [255]. Furthermore, cells expressing low levels of MYC exhibit fewer apoptotic events than those overexpressing MYC [256], while MYC overexpression results in increased anomalous polyploidy, accentuating chromosomal instability via the presence of micronucleus amplifications [256]. Although normal mitosis occurs regardless of MYC levels, its duration and spindle structure formation are controlled by the amount of MYC present. Cells with high MYC levels have a wider equatorial plate, due to shorter spindle length. This delays chromosome alignment at metaphase and leads to late anaphase induction, causing mitotic cycle arrest. Cells overexpressing MYC also exhibit accelerated nuclear envelope breakdown. MYC also controls the mitotic cycle by influencing mitosis-related events, including centriole production, kinetochore assembly, proteolysis, and cytokinesis [256].

3.3.2.10 miRNAs

MYC induces miRNAs that inhibit negative cell cycle regulators [257]. MYC-dependent activities are regulated by miRNAs that functionally interact with Let-7, of which *miR-34a* represses CDK4/6, E2Fs, and cyclin E2 expression levels; *miR-15a/16-1* regulates CDK6 and E2F3; and cyclin D2/E2 are suppressed by *miR-26a* [258, 259].

3.3.2.11 H19

LncRNA H19, a MYC-induced molecule, forms a positive feedback loop with MYC expression [260] and is extensively transcribed under aberrant MYC expression conditions, leading to Rb silencing and escalation of cell proliferation [261]. LncRNA H19 also strengthens binding between MYC and specific cell cycle gene promoters, to control cell cycle transition via MYC induction [261].

3.4 MYC and Apoptosis

MYC is established to mediate apoptosis with its partner MAX [262]. Aberrant MYC expression in combination with antiproliferative stress/apoptotic signals, makes cells more fragile and vulnerable to apoptosis [262].

3.4.1 MYC-induced Apoptosis Pathways

MYC-induced apoptosis generally occurs in two ways: intrinsically (mitochondrial) or extrinsically (extracellular) (Fig. 4). The intrinsic pathway usually triggers the apoptotic cascade when cells are experiencing DNA damage, oxidative stress, or ER stress [263]. Consequently, apoptosis-inducing factors and cytochrome c (Cytc) are released into the cytosol, facilitating apoptosome formation, which activates procaspase molecules [262]. On cleavage and reformation of the apoptosome complex, caspase-3/7/9 are activated explosively, resulting in apoptosis [264]. The BCL-2 protein family is a vital mediator of apoptosis and can be categorized into three different subfamilies based on their functions: (1) the anti-apoptotic family, (2) the BH3 proapoptotic family, and (3) the pore-forming family. BCL-2 associated X, apoptosis regulator (BAX) and BCL-2 antagonist/killer (BAK) belong to the pore-forming family, which mediate channel formation in the outer mitochondrial membrane, allowing Cytc release into the cytosol [265]. BCL-XL and BCL-2 are anti-apoptotic proteins that prevent BAX and BAK from binding, thus limiting mitochondrial permeability and preventing Cytc export [266]. Thus, a balance between anti-apoptotic and pro-apoptotic molecule expression regulates Cytc secretion from mitochondria; if the expression is skewed, the equilibrium is disrupted, and expression progresses toward the favored side.

Figure 4. The roles of MYC act in mediating cell death pathways and their mechanisms. APAF-1: Apoptotic Protease Activating Factor-1, ARF: ADP ribosylation factor; ATG7: Autophagy Related 7; Bcl-2: B-cell lymphoma 2 protein; Bcl-XL: Bcell lymphoma-extra large; BID: BH3 interacting-domain death agonist; c-FlIP: Cellular FLICE (FADD-like IL-1β-converting enzyme)-inhibitory protein; Cys: Cysteine; DIABLO: Direct Inhibitor of Apoptosis-Binding protein with LOw pI; EGLN1: Egl-9 Family Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1; ER stress: Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress; FADD: Fas Associated Via Death Domain; G4 stabilizer: G4-quadruplex-stabilizer; Glu: Glutamic acid; GPX4: Glutathione peroxidase 4; GSH: glutathione; HIF-1α: Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1 Subunit Alpha; LC3: Microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3; LSH: lymphoid-specific helicase; Mcl-1: Myeloid cell leukemia 1; MDM2: murine double minute 2 homolog; Met: Methionine; PLK: Polo Like Kinase 1; PUMA: p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis; RIP: Receptor-interacting protein; ROS: reactive oxygen species; Ser62: Serine62; Smac: Second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase; SQSTM1 : sequestosome 1; tBID: truncated BH3 interacting-domain death agonist; Thr58: Threonine58; WDR76: WD Repeat Domain 76; XIAP: X-Linked Inhibitor of Apoptosis.

Extrinsic apoptosis pathways are triggered in the extracellular space by binding of death ligands to programmed-death receptors on the cell surface [267]. There are several groups of death receptors, including tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR), Fas, and TRAILR1 and 2, among others [267]. Death receptors contain 80 amino-acid cytoplasmic death domains, which induce apoptotic signaling to trigger an apoptosis cascade [267]. On ligation of death receptors, a death-inducing

signaling complex is formed, comprising the adaptor molecule, Fas-associated death domain protein (FADD) , the c-FLIP initiator, and the inactive precursors, procaspase-8/10 [268, 269]. Caspase-8 activation occurs via stimulation of FADD signaling and homodimerization and procaspase-8 cleavage by autocatalysis [268, 269]. Caspases, such as caspase 3 and caspase 7, facilitate cell death, by cleaving and activating the active form of caspase-8 [270]. Additionally, BID is cleaved by caspase8, generating truncated BID (tBID), which allows Cytc release from mitochondria via open MOMP channels [270]. BID mediates transition between the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis pathways [271, 272]. The master antiapoptotic regulator, cFLIP, controls death receptormediated cell death by binding to FADD, rather than procaspase-8, thereby inhibiting caspase-8-FADD interaction [273].

3.4.2 Apoptosis Factors and MYC

3.4.2.1 Cytc

Apoptosis is triggered when MYC induces Cytc release from mitochondria [274], and MYC-dependent apoptosis is mediated by its transcriptional target, BAX. It has been proposed that BAX upregulation is induced or controlled indirectly by MYC [275]. The apoptosis response relies on BAX and BAK, and activating MYC alone is insufficient to induce apoptosis; thus, cells lacking BAX and BAK are less vulnerable to apoptosis induction, regardless of MYC levels [275, 276]. Overexpression of BCL-XL can inhibit MYC-induced BAK activation by blocking its conformationally activated form [275, 276]. BCL-XL is essential for apoptosis termination through inhibiting BAK activation [276]. There is evidence that MYC inhibits BCL-2 and BCL-XL through the mediator, BIM, whose promoter is bound by MYC to upregulate its transcription, facilitating proper BAX and BAK function, and allowing MOMP to release Cytc into the cytosol and induce apoptosis [277].

3.4.2.2 Death Receptor-Ligand Systems

MYC can trigger extrinsic apoptosis through stimulation of cell surface receptors that respond to death ligands, such as TNF-α, Fas, or TRAIL [278]. Further, serine/threonine kinases can be activated by stimuli from activated cells to trigger apoptosis [279].

3.4.2.3 FADD and Caspase-8

FADD and caspase-8 contribute significantly to apoptosis when MYC promotes expression of receptor-interactive protein (RIP) [280]. RIP and MYC are synergistic, in that caspase-8 and FAD, which have inhibitory effects on *c-FLIP* transcription, promote the chain activation of procaspases into functional caspases, followed by apoptosis [280]. MYC is prevented from triggering apoptosis when c-FLIP expression is moderate or ectopically high [280]. Direct or indirect caspase-8 increase occurs on post-translational modification of MYC [281], and MYC also increases FasL expression, which contributes to apoptosis induction [282].

3.4.2.4 p53

MYC can induce apoptosis in several ways by interacting with p53, which regulates multiple proapoptotic genes involved in either the intrinsic pathway, the extrinsic pathway, or both [283]. In the presence of stable p53, apoptosis is accelerated through proapoptotic protein upregulation, while anti-apoptotic protein expression is reduced [284]. In contrast, p53 is controlled by the negative regulator, MDM2 E3 ligase, which maintains p53 at low levels by continuous ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation [285]. ARF upregulation occurs as a result of MYC aberrations, inhibiting MDM2 degradation, and thereby inducing apoptosis [286]. Absence of both p53 and ARF may attenuate MYC-related apoptosis [270, 286]; however, some research groups have proposed that MYC may induce an alternative apoptosis pathway, that does not require ARF or p53 [286-288].

3.4.2.5 ROS

ROS is crucial in cell signaling and homeostasis maintenance [288]. Apoptosis can be triggered by ROSinduced phenomena, such as oxidative stress, ER disruption, and mitochondrial dysfunction [288]. During ectopic expression of MYC and E2F-1, NF-kB activity is notably inhibited, as is the negative regulator of ROS, superoxide dismutase (SOD) [288]. Excessive ROS results in programmed cell death [289]. ODC is a ratedetermining enzyme involved in converting ornithine into putrescine, whose activity is elevated by MYC to increase polyamine production and degradation [290]. When polyamines accumulate beyond levels that can be utilized by polyamine oxidase, they are converted to ROS, ultimately inducing apoptosis [290].

3.4.2.6 Forkhead box O3A (FoxO3a)

FoxO3a is a member of the FoxO gene family and an essential modulator mediating MYC stability and mitochondrial gene expression [291]. Besides dimerizing with MAX and downregulating transcription of MYCmediated target genes, FoxO3a can also disrupt MYC translation by generating miRNAs that bind its mRNA [291, 292]. Moreover, MYC maintains a negative feedback loop with FoxO3a, which can replace FoxO3a and override downstream effectors, such as GADD45 and PUMA, thus suppressing FoxO3a expression [293, 294]. FoxO3a activation also reduces MYC-induced apoptosis. FoxO3a mitigates ROS generation as is a byproduct of mitochondrial metabolism and leads to apoptosis, by sequestering superoxide dismutase (SOD2) and catalase, protecting cells from elevated ROS-related stress damage [295]. Additionally, nuclear mitochondrial genes are regulated via the MYC-dependent FoxO3a pathway, which affects mitochondrial function and reduces cellular ROS levels [296, 297]. Interactions among MYC, FoxO3a, and nuclear-mitochondrial associated genes appear to be vital for regulation of MYC and ROS.

3.4.2.7 Cell division cycle 25A(Cdc25A)

MYC and Cdc25A cooperate to promote apoptosis by directly targeting transcriptional activity [298]. The MYC/MAX heterodimer can promote Cdc25A expression, increasing its mRNA and protein levels, through binding to its promoter [299, 300]. Pim-1 mediates the apoptosis-inducing effects of MYC and Cdc25A by phosphorylating both proteins and stabilizing their conformation [300]. Hence MYC, Cdc25A, and Pim-1 have crucial interacting roles in triggering programmed cell death.

3.5. MYC and Autophagy

3.5.1 MYC-dependent Regulation of Autophagy in Cancer Cells

3.5.1.1 Autophagic Progress

In cells, organelles and granules are often digested via autophagy, which wraps proteins and organelle fragments, engulfs them in double-membrane-bound autophagosomes, and subsequently degrades them within fused autophagosomes and lysosomes [301, 302]. Genome-wide RNA sequencing by Toh and colleagues demonstrated that MYC participates in early autophagosome formation mediated by the JNK-BCL-2 pathway [301]. MYC-mediated autophagosome regulation controls release of the autophagy signaling receptor, p62, and MYC inhibition results in defective autophagosome formation and reduced autophagy substrate delivery [301] ; these findings illustrate the importance of MYC modulation in regulating autophagic processes, particularly in restraining autophagy.

3.5.1.2 Autophagosome-lysosome Fusion

Induction of aberrant autophagy by ectopic MYC expression may contribute to development of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [303]. In NSCLC, *miR-150* is strongly associated with ectopic levels of MYC, and *miR-150* overexpression results in abnormal autophagic flux, with an increase in autophagosomes and a decrease in autolysosomes [303]. The decrease of autolysosomes may be attributable to repression of the autophagosome maturation gene, *EPG5* (ectopic P-granules 5 autophagy tethering factor), by *miR-150*, preventing autophagosome-lysosome fusion and triggering tumorigenesis [303]. Reduction of autolysosome formation limits autophagy, preventing proper degradation of damaged mitochondria which accumulate in cells [303]. Thus, A549 and H1299 NSCLC cells overexpressing *miR-150* secrete excessive ROS, while maintaining cell integrity [303].

3.5.1.3 MYC Stabilization

MYC and PLK1 are major drivers of tumorigenesis, enhancing cancer cell growth and proliferation via autophagy [304] and increased PLK1 levels are associated with poor cancer prognosis. When MYC is knocked down, autophagy-related protein 7 (Atg7) and hallmarks of autophagosome formation, LC3-II and LC3-I, are markedly reduced, leading to defective autolysosomal degradation [304]. Similar effects have been observed in cells with PLK1 knocked down, which show significantly decreased LC3-II, LC3-I, and Atg5 expression, with concurrent SQSTM1 accumulation and autolysosomal pathway impairment [304]. Further, PLK1 is involved in MYC protein stabilization, and its inhibition leads to notably decreased MYC expression [304]. Significant tumor regression was detected in a mouse xenograft model treated with the PLK1 inhibitor, BI2536, compared with untreated controls [304], supporting a combined effect of PLK1 and MYC in tumorigenesis.

Ambra1 is a tumor suppressor scaffold protein that promotes MYC destabilization and degradation via dephosphorylating at pSer-62 [305]. Ambra1 also participates in autophagy signaling and its deficiency leads to tumor hyperproliferation through MYC hyperphosphorylation, thereby causing tumorigenesis [305]. In addition, Ambra1 also promotes PP2A phosphatase dephosphorylation of MYC, destabilizing MYC and inhibiting cancer cell proliferation [305]. Hence, PLK1 and Ambra1 are potential therapeutic targets for treatments aimed at modulating MYC stabilization.

3.5.1.4 Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress

MYC is associated with the ER stress/autophagy pathway. Hart and colleagues showed that the cell lines, P493-6 (human lymphoblast) and MEF (mouse fibroblast), undergo autophagic transformation and tumor growth on induction of ER stress [306]. Specifically, the unfolded protein response (UPR) can increase cell survival by

inducing autophagy via activation of PERK/eIF2α/ATF4 [306]. MYC-mediated autophagy is reduced, and tumorigenesis remarkably diminished by PERK inhibition, whereas apoptosis occurs due to autophagy inhibition [306]. Reduced autophagy was evident on blocking ER stress, which led to reversion of protein synthesis to normal levels [306]. Thus, therapeutic targets involving UPR, ER stress, and autophagy may emerge.

3.5.2 Autophagy and Mitophagy Regulation by MYC and Chaperones

3.5.2.1 Cancerous Inhibitor of PP2A (CIP2A)

The tumor growth-promoting pathway chaperonemediated autophagy (CMA) correlates with MYC expression [307]. Kon and colleagues discovered that CMA had a tumor-suppressive effect on MEF cells, promoting proteasomal degradation and inhibiting MYC oncogenic activity [307]. CMA destabilization of MYC occurs through control of CIP2A degradation, which reduces phosphorylase levels, inhibiting Ser-62 dephosphorylation and proteasomal degradation of MYC [308]. Hence, CIP2A is a regulatory protein, and blocking CMA results in reduced CIP2A degradation, which has potential to prevent cancer development [308].

3.5.2.2 miRNA

Various studies have established associations between MYC and mitophagy, which involves selective destruction of the mitochondrial membrane via autophagy as a result of long-term stress or damage [309]. Treatment of cells with hydrogen peroxide for a prolonged period induces mitophagy and decreases nuclear GSK-3β levels, reducing MYC phosphorylation, and elevating *miR-106b-93-25* [310]. In response to elevated *miR-106b-93-25* levels, the miRNA cluster inhibits mitophagy substrate proteins, restoring the cellular energy balance by blocking excessive mitophagy pathway activation, which can trigger bioenergetic collapse and cell lethality [310, 311]. Overall, these findings imply that miRNA interaction with mitophagy substrate proteins functions to maintain cell survival and assist in mitophagy regulation*.*

3.5.2.3 Bax interacting factor 1 (Bif-1)

The membrane protein, Bif-1, is an important connection linking mitophagy, apoptosis, and autophagy [312]. When autophagosomes form during mitophagy, Bif-1 is necessary to maintain chromosome stability, while *Bif-1* haploinsufficiency suppresses mitophagy and accelerates MYC-induced tumorigenesis by expanding mitochondrial mass and promoting the malignant state [312]. On loss of Bif-1, the inability of MYC-induced tumors to clear damaged mitochondria by autophagy or mitophagy suppression has been suggested to cause chromosomal instability, resulting from oxidative stress and DNA damage [312].

3.6. MYC and Pyroptosis

Pyroptosis involves activation of inflammatory responses as part of a programmed cell death pathway [313, 314]. Despite sharing some similarities with apoptosis, pyroptosis uniquely involves activation of caspase 1. MYC stabilization of G-quadruplex (G4) nucleic acid secondary structure inhibits MYC function and induces ER stress and pyroptosis [315]. Gaikwad and colleagues defined D089 as a specific MYC-G4 ligand and demonstrated that it binds specifically to DNA G4 within the myeloma promoter to inhibit MYC transcription, likely causing cell death by one of two mechanisms: cell senescence or caspase-1-dependent pyroptosis [315]. Caspase-1-dependent pyroptosis is characterized by formation of pyroptosomes, which are required to convert pro-caspase-1 into active p10 and p20 caspase-1 molecules and for release of interleukin 1β (IL-1β) and IL18 inflammatory cytokines into the cytoplasm [315]. Caspase-1 also engages in the cleavage of cell fragments to form pores, which permeabilize cell membranes, leading to IL-1β release, activating inflammatory proteases and cytokines that subsequentially trigger pyroptosis [315]. Furthermore, secretion of IL-1β (a byproduct of MYC inhibition-induced pyroptosis) may upregulate MYC, thereby increasing the expression of senescence-associated factors, such as p21 and p16, inducing cell death via apoptosis, or promoting tumorigenesis, during which cells become immortal [316]. To conclude, pyroptosis, apoptosis, and cancer have context-dependent relationships. Thus, by examining the interactions between MYC and pyroptosis, it may be possible to design novel cancer treatments, particularly for apoptosis-resistant cancers that often escape apoptosis by overexpressing anti-apoptotic proteins.

3.7. MYC and Ferroptosis

Ferroptosis is a novel form of programmed cell death involving metabolic dysfunction that alters lipid metabolism and causes iron-dependent ROS production, as well as generating aberrant levels of iron transferrin, glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), and p53, among other molecules [317-320]. MYC has complex roles in ferroptosis-related signaling.

3.7.1 Lymphoid-specific helicase (LSH)

Egl nine homolog 1 (EGLN1) and MYC promote LSH through a pathway involving HIF-1 α and the inhibitory effects of LSH are mediated by WDR76, to enhance the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism [321]. Jiang et al. built a model to explain the inhibitory effects of LSH on ferroptosis and its influence on tumorigenesis, in which the effects of LSH on ferroptosis are mediated by regulation of a novel mechanism involving several metabolism-related genes. EGLN1 counteracts the effects of HIF-1α by preventing binding between c-MYC and HIF-1α, allowing c-MYC to bind the *LSH* promoter and upregulate its transcription [321]. Interaction between LSH and WDR76 elevates levels of lipid metabolismassociated genes, including *solute carrier 2* (SLC2)*, facilitated glucose transporter member 1* (*GLUT1*), *fatty acid desaturase 2* (*FADS2*), and *stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase 1* (*SCD1*), among others [321] , thereby inhibiting cellular accumulation of iron and lipid ROS (crucial factors in ferroptosis), preventing ferroptosis and promoting tumorigenesis [321]. In contrast, c-MYC and LHS expression levels are reduced when EGLNs are inhibited and HIF-1 α is induced [321]. In experiments, treatment with BAY inhibited EGLN, resulting in lack of EGLN1 and c-MYC engagement at the *LSH* promoter due to HIF-1α elevation, which can counteract both MYC and EGLN1 expression [321, 322]. Following CoCl² treatment, which replaced BAY and liberated EGLN1, EGLN1 and c-MYC were recruited and bound to the *LSH* promoter, and LHS expression recovered [321, 322]. These results indicate that the c-MYC/EGLN1 axis can increase LSH expression, consistent with the authors' hypothesis, whereas HIF-1 α functions as a repressor of LSH expression that counteracts EGLN1 to prevent MYC binding to the *LHS* promoter [321-323]. Thus, doublegated regulation of LHS by MYC/EGLN and HIF-1 α has potential to elevate intracellular ROS levels, which is a prerequisite for triggering ferroptosis and provides an alternative cell death pathway to kill apoptosis and autophagy resistant MYC-driven cancer cells.

3.7.2 Consequences of MYC-driven Lipid Metabolic Alteration in Ferroptosis

On MYC-induced alteration of lipid metabolism, cellular cysteines are converted into glutathione, and the absence of cellular cysteine induces massive lipid peroxidation, which increases ROS levels [324]. Further, MYC enhances iron uptake through activation of the transferrin receptor gene, *transferrin receptor* (*TFRC*) gene, which causes ferroptosis [324]. MYC also elevates SLC7A5 expression, which imports methionine in exchange for glutamine, without cystine uptake [324]. Cellular cysteine is derived from the MYC-driven methionine cycle and transsulfuration, and feeds into glutathione biosynthesis [324, 325]. Under oncogenic 'MYCN-high' and cysteinedeprived conditions, cellular glutathione is crucial for preventing lipid ROS accumulation and avoiding ferroptosis [324, 326]. These findings imply that cysteinedependent glutathione availability regulates the function of oncogenic MYC(N) in ferroptosis. Hence, a novel therapeutic approach could be developed based on the enzymes and antiporter proteins crucial to ferroptosis, representing novel opportunities for MYC-based therapeutic interventions.

Overall, mammalian cells are highly regulated by MYC-induced programmed cell death and specific mechanisms for inducing cell death by regulating MYC levels are beneficial. In this review, we cover several programmed cell death mechanisms (apoptosis, autophagy/mitophagy, pyroptosis, and ferroptosis), which could serve as alternative targets for treatment of resistant cancers, by reprogramming the cellular context to prevent tumorigenesis. Diverse methods targeting these pathways could be used in combination with one other and with classical cancer hallmark inhibitors to effectively eliminate or prevent malignant neoplasm progression and drug/pathway-resistant tumor development.

3.8 MYC and Cell Metastasis

3.8.1 MYC and Cancer Cell Migration

3.8.1.1 MYC Promotion of Cancer Cell Migration

3.8.1.1.1 Actin Cytoskeleton

Various cancers are associated with deregulation of MYC family transcriptional regulators, which contributes to malignant transformation through regulating biomass accumulation and cell proliferation [327]. Anderson et al. demonstrated that MYC cleavage by the endogenous proteasome to generate a truncated form, MYC-nick, mediates cancer cell migration and stimulates metastasis [327]. MYC-nick upregulates expression of fascin, an actin-bundling protein, as well as activating Cdc42, a GTPase subunit of Rho, to reconfigure the actin cytoskeleton [327]. MYC is elevated in a MYC-induced model of human CRC and migrating cells at the invasive front of the tumors expressed high levels of both Cdc42 and fascin [328]. Filopodia are structures that function to direct cell migration, and upregulation of Cdc42 and fascin results in filopodia formation [327, 328] , consistent with the results of several studies suggesting that abnormal cytoskeleton structure and fascin upregulation drive motility and metastatic behavior, representing an alternative function of MYC [329-332].

3.8.1.1.2 Epithelial-to-mesenchymal Transition (EMT)

MYC can also promote cellular invasion and migration via upregulation of EMT-associated genes [333]. MYC induces several mesenchymal TFs, including OPN, SNAIL (through TGF-β activation), and LGALS1, to promote cell migration [334-337]. Further, MYC forms a transcriptional complex with SKp2, MIZI, and p300 to induce tumor migration and metastasis via RhoA activation [333]. Zhao and colleagues constructed a murine lung cancer model using HepG2 cells with RNAisilenced c-MYC [338], and demonstrated that MYC expression was dramatically decreased, while transwell chamber cell migration assays showed significantly reduced migration of cells with c-MYC silenced, relative to controls [338]. Hence, MYC may contribute to cancer cell migration.

3.8.1.2 MYC Suppresses Cancer Cell Migration

Contrary to the role of MYC in promoting tumor cell migration, one study found that MYC can suppress cell migration. Ma and colleagues identified MYC as a negative regulator that impeded the migratory and invasive capacity mediated by Ras and Lgl and decreased expression of the JNK signaling target, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-1) [339], thereby interfering with tumor migration and metastasis [339]. Further c-MYC can increase apoptosis, reduce cell motility, and inhibit cell migration. Alfano performed a transcriptomic analysis and found that MYC suppresses the expression of urokinase (uPA) and urokinase receptor (uPAR), which are crucial mediators of cell migration, adhesion, and growth mechanisms, thereby influencing cell migration [340]. MYC-induced downregulation of uPA and uPAR causes significant rearrangement of cancer cell cytoskeletal architecture (cells become rounder and compact and grow in tighter clusters), which impedes cancer cell migration by impairing their ability to invade the extracellular matrix (ECM) [340]. Furthermore, MYC activates the caspase3/7 cascade to initiate a series of cellular events that trigger p53 induction and p21 targeting, stimulating apoptosis and preventing cancer cell migration, suggesting that MYC suppresses cancer cell migration by stimulating apoptosis and disrupting expression of genes involved in cell migration [340]. Overall, these studies demonstrate that MYC has contradictory effects on cell migration, which are likely context-dependent.

3.8.2 MYC in Cancer Cell Invasion

MYC also functions in cell invasion and is associated with several prognostic signatures involved in tumor invasion and metastatic growth.

3.8.2.1 Ezrin

Ezrin is associated with c-MYC induction of PCa in the presence of androgens [341]. Aberrant androgen levels cause ezrin phosphorylation, thereby regulating downstream AKT and GSK-3β signaling [341], which induces MYC protein synthesis and prohibits its degradation [341]. MYC overexpression leads to increased binding of the *ezrin* promoter and enhances its transcription [341] , thereby inducing upregulation of downstream genes, such as *RhoA*/*Cdc42* and *Akt*, among others [341], with important roles in mediating cell invasion. In summary, there is a positive feedback loop between c-MYC and ezrin, which acts with androgens to influence PCa cell tumorigenesis.

3.8.2.2 Gastric Carcinogenesis long non-coding RNA1 (GClnc1)

MYC also regulates expression of *GClnc1*, a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) that significantly promotes J82 and 5637 bladder cancer cell invasion and metastasis by elevating MYC activity [342], consistent with reports that *GClnc1* overexpression in bladder cancer can promote cell migration and invasiveness [343, 344]. *GClnc1* promotes cancer progression by partially activating MYC; *MYC* mRNA levels were significantly increased on GClnc1 overexpression and significantly decreased after *GClnc1* silencing [342]; hence, MYC and *GClnc1* levels are positively correlated. Further, MYC activation overrides *GClnc1* inhibition, restoring cell invasiveness, while *GClnc1* up-regulation activates MYC, resulting in bladder cancer progression [342]. Hence, lncRNAs can modulate MYC activity to control cancer cell invasion, and the function of *GClnc1* in regulating MYC activity warrants further exploration.

3.8.2.3 NDRG family member 2 (NDRG2)

Genes downstream of MYC have vital roles in suppressing cell invasion via reduction of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2/9 activity [345]. N-MYC downstream-regulated gene 2 (NDRG2) is a candidate tumor-suppressor, while MMPs can cause cancer metastasis via ECM protein degradation and triggering cell invasion [346, 347]. Faraji and colleagues evaluated NDRG2 overexpression using gelatin zymography; proand active forms of MMP-2/9 were detected in the gel in the control group, which lacked NDRG2 expression, whereas cells overexpressing NDRG2 showed significant reductions in the pro-and active forms of MMP-2/9 as well as significantly reduced invasion compared with the control group [345]. The mechanism underlying NDRG2 inhibition of invasion is ambiguous. Nevertheless, there is increasing evidence supporting a role for NDRG2 as a tumor suppressor that reduces metastatic activity via MMP-2/9 [345, 347, 348]. Further research is required to explore how these pathways contribute to the effectiveness of NDRG2 in treating malignant tumors.

Overall, MYC represents a crucial biomarker for tumor invasion, since it interacts with various genes implicated in cell invasion. Research on MYC-related biomarkers is required to develop strategies to control cancer cell invasion in the future.

3.9 MYC and Angiogenesis

An ample blood supply is critical for tumor progression and maintenance, and blood vessel development is required to ensure that oxygen, nutrients, and growth factors can be delivered to cells [349]. In cancer progression, MYC is essential for angiogenesis, which promotes sprouting of new capillaries from preexisting vessels, to provide factors required for tumor growth [349, 350].

3.9.1 HIF-1α

c-MYC can induce angiogenesis via HIF-1 α [349] which participates in angiogenesis as an essential vascular factor contributing to TME formation [349, 350]. c-MYC overexpression can stimulate HIF-1α expression by preventing protein degradation [349]. In experiments assessing HIF-1α mRNA and protein in LoVo (colon epithelial cells) with c-MYC either overexpressed or knocked down, *HIF-1α* mRNA levels were similar in both groups, while HIF-1 α protein was markedly increased and stabilized in the c-MYC overexpressing compared with the knockdown group, indicating that c-MYC does not influence HIF-1 α transcription, but is rather involved in stabilizing HIF-1α protein [349]. MYC can also regulate expression of VEGF protein, an essential target of HIF-1α, which signals neovascular tissue (vessel) growth [350, 351]. MYC overexpression promotes *VEGF* transcription, leading to high levels of VEGF mRNA and protein [349, 350, 352]. Further, platelet-derived growth factor-B (PDGF-B) is a HIF-1α-responsive gene whose expression level modulates MYC expression and can cause aberrant neovascularization by generating inappropriate angiogenic signals [353]. c-MYC activation is regulated by PDGF-B, which promotes Src homology 2 domaincontaining tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SHP-2) activity [353]. PDGF-B phosphorylates MYC Ser-62, stabilizing the protein and inhibiting proteasomal degradation [353], and leading to ectopic MYC expression and HIF-1 α upregulation, forming a positive regulatory loop with PDGF-B [349, 353]. Constitutive PDGF-B expression increases SHP-2 levels, which enhances angiogenic signaling via the ERK pathway to induce aberrant neovascularization [353]. Thus, PDGF-B is at least partially responsible for cell proliferation and angiogenesis through activation of SHP-2/ERK/c-MYC [353]. Based on these findings, c-MYC and HIF-1 α have been identified as potential therapeutic targets in colon cancer [354], which could theoretically lead to clinical trials targeting these factors to promote anti-tumor activity.

3.9.2 Tumor Endothelial Marker 8 (TEM8)

N-MYC and TEM8 (an integrin-like cell-surface transmembrane protein), induce tumor endothelium outgrowth, contributing to the progression of several types of cancer, and are associated with cancer angiogenesis [355]. To determine if PCa angiogenesis can be induced by overexpression of N-MYC and TEM8, a tubule formation assay was conducted using human umbilical vein endothelial cells, and immunohistochemistry analysis revealed that N-MYC and TEM8 expression levels were positively correlated in PCa tissue [355]. While N-MYC and TEM8 have established roles in promoting PCa progression, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood; however, targeting the N-MYC/TEM8 pathway appears to be promising for treatment of PCa and TEM8 may be a useful indicator of treatment responses in patients with PCa [355]. Further research and a detailed investigation of the effects of N-MYC in mediating TEM8 expression in PCa are required prior to commencement of clinical trials.

In summary, due to the importance of MYC for coordinated expression of angiogenic factors required for tumor progression, disruption of MYC functions has potential to be effective for treating angiogenesisdependent tumors.

3.10 MYC and Multidrug Resistance (MDR)

Cancer development of drug resistance is generally due to increased expression of membrane transporters, resulting in decreased intracellular concentrations of anticancer drugs as they efflux from cancer cells [356-358]. The effects of chemotherapy on cancer cells are hindered by MDR characteristics, which contribute to poor patient prognosis [357]. MYC upregulation is associated with multidrug refractory disease and contributes to MDR in patients with cancer [359]. Several representative proteins are associated with MYC-induced MDR, as outlined below.

3.10.1 Nuclear Receptor Corepressor 2 (NCoR2)

Multiple myeloma cells express high levels of MYC, associated with downregulation of NCoR2 [360]. NCoR2 is a corepressor that targets various TFs involved in cancer growth and development [361]. In a CRISPR/cas9- NCoR2 knockout model, NCoR2 knockdown led to MYC upregulation [360]. Further, the inhibitory activities of histone deacetylases (HDACs), pomalidomide, and BET were significantly decreased in NCoR2-null/repressed cells, independent of Cereblon (CRBN), suggesting that the risk of MDR is related to high MYC expression [360]. MYC upregulation is mediated by the NCoR2-CD180 pathway and formation of the NCoR2-NuRD complex repressed CD180 expression in NCoR2 knockout cell lines, causing MYC upregulation, regardless of CRBN induction [360]. These findings indicate the presence of a novel drug resistance pathway independent of CRBN induction and suggest that NCoR2 expression may be a potential biomarker for study of immunomodulatory imide drug refractory disease and could be applied to regulate MYC expression to overcome MDR in cancer cells.

3.10.2 Prostate Cancer-associated ncRNA Transcript 1 (PCAT-1)

PCAT-1 accelerates c-MYC-mediated PCa cell proliferation and is associated with MDR development in CRC [362], as well as disease progression [363, 364]. PCa cell proliferation is facilitated by PCAT-1 upregulation [364], while PCAT-1 inhibition reduces CRC cell metastasis and proliferation [362]. The effects of PCAT-1 on MDR development in CRC cells were investigated by applying 5-fluorouracil (5-Fu) to Caco-2 and HT-29 cells; cells with PCAT-1 knocked down showed significantly lower viability after 5-Fu treatment than parental PCAT-1-expressing control cells [362]. Further, PCAT-1 knockdown CRC cells exhibited dramatically reduced c-MYC production, correlated with reduced c-MYC-dependent invasiveness and drug resistance; however, overexpression of MYC in PCAT-1 knockout cells partially restored cell invasion and drug resistance, demonstrating that PCAT-1 is a regulator of the *MYC* gene and that c-MYC protein is fundamental in triggering PCAT-induced cancer cell aggression [362]. These findings demonstrate that PCAT-1 modulates cell invasiveness and drug resistance via regulating c-MYC expression.

3.10.3 P-glycoprotein (P-gp)

c-MYC is frequently overexpressed in MDR variants, and its levels are positively correlated with the abundance of P-gp on cancer cell membranes [365]. The P-gp transporter and MDR-associated proteins, MRP1 and ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 1 (ABCC1), are particularly relevant to cancer chemotherapy, as are the breast cancer resistance proteins, BCRP and ABCG2, which are encoded by *GTPase-activating protein MDR1* (*MDR1*) genes [365]. P-gp functions differ depending on its physiological location; it maintains blood-brain barrier integrity, is involved in excreting drugs from the kidneys and liver into urine and bile, and pumps drugs absorbed in the intestine back into the lumen [366, 367]. P-gp has major roles in pharmacovigilance of drugs through its transporter efflux function. Elevated c-MYC expression induces P-gp activation, contributing to MDR development [368-370]. The mechanism of P-gp induction by MYC was elaborated using CHIP assays, which showed that intracellular c-MYC levels do not directly regulate P-gp expression, rather direct binding of c-MYC to the *small nucleolar RNA host gene 12 (SNHG12*) promoter was observed and shown to enhance its transcription [368]. In two transfected NK cell subclones (YTS and SNK-6 cells), a significant change in P-gp expression level was observed on SNHG12 regulation, which influenced cell sensitivity to cisplatin and paclitaxel (CDDP) [368, 371]. Experiments to verify the relationships among MYC, SNHG12, and P-gp showed that SNHG12 and MYC overexpression partially increase levels of P-gp and Ki67 (a gene that promotes cellular proliferation) in transfected YTS cells and promote cancer cell proliferation by desensitizing cells to CDDP; whereas P-gp expression and CDDP sensitivity were markedly reduced by knocking down SNHG12 in SNK-6 cells [368, 371]. Hence, SNHG12 protein exerts its biological function through posttranscriptional interactions with c-MYC, where SNHG12 mediates upregulation of P-gp activation. Furthermore, some intermediate factors induced by MYC overexpression, such as $miR-20a$, HIF-1 α , and Nrf2, can upregulate P-gp levels [372-375]. Hence, the invention and development of drugs targeting intermediate components of MDR pathways, such as P-gp or factors induced by it, mediated by MYC overexpression is a potential area for research focus.

3.10.4 Bromodomain PHD Finger TF (BPTF)

MYC interacts with BPTF to induce MDR in cancer cells through upregulation of ABC transporters [376]. BPTF is a cofactor that alters chromatin structure to increase transcription activation and recruitment of c-MYC to ABC-transporter promoters, elevating their expression and contributing to gemcitabine (drug) resistance [377, 378]. Drug efflux through ABC-transporters is responsible for developing drug resistance because it

reduces the amount of drug available for absorption into a tumor [377, 378]. In Velasco's research, a BPTF-inhibited mouse model was generated to examine the effect of BPTF on tumor cell proliferation, sensitivity to gemcitabine, and expression of ABC-transporters [376]. BPTF silencing impaired c-MYC recruitment and binding to the promoter of the *ABC-transporter (ABCC1*), thus impairing its transcriptional regulation [376]. Hence, inhibition of BPTF represses ABC-transporters, reducing gemcitabine efflux, and leading to its accumulation in cells, causing DNA damage and subsequently inducing programmed cell death [376, 379], demonstrating that combined BPTF-silencing and gemcitabine treatment can have complementary effects in treating MDR cancer [376]. Therefore, BPTF is an attractive potential therapeutic target (rather than direct targeting of MYC) to bypass mechanisms of drug resistance via regulation of ABC-transporter expression.

3.10.5 AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)

The metabolic sensor and stress redox checkpoint, AMPK, is required for c-MYC-mediated survival under stress conditions, as AMPK has a tumor-protective role in MYC-driven cancer [380, 381]. Inhibition of the AMPK pathway leads to apoptosis in c-MYC-overexpressing cells, whereas AMPK activation prevents c-MYCknockdown-mediated cell death by diminishing intracellular oxidative stress [382]. Further, intracellular levels of SirT1 and AMPK, which are essential factors in supporting MYC expression, can influence MDR characteristics during lung cancer treatment [380, 382]. NSCLC tumors are resistant to drugs such as cisplatin and doxorubicin under hypoxia and normal conditions due to SirT1 downregulation, since H1299 and A549 cells expressing SirT1 had significantly lower IC_{50} values for cisplatin and doxorubicin, relative to those with SirT1 knocked down, revealing that SirT1 overexpression enhances drug sensitivity in NSCLC cells, while its knockdown confers resistance to anticancer drugs [383, 384]. AMPK inactivation during hypoxia cooperates with SIRT1, leading tumors to develop drug resistance [383]. Assessment of AMPK activity *in vitro* demonstrated that it is activated by SirT1 via deacetylation and activation of LKB1 [383, 385]; however, under hypoxia, SirT1 reduced LKB1 expression and inactivated AMPK [383]. In this regard, SirT1 functions as a component of the AMPK pathway, where SirT1 downregulation would result in AMPK inactivation, thereby decreasing sensitivity to cisplatin and doxorubicin, and inducing MDR through SirT1-AMPK signaling.

To conclude, modulating MYC signaling together with anticancer drug treatment targeting MDR-substrate pathways has potential as a novel approach to overcome MDR.

3.11 MYC and Intestinal Flora

Gut microbiota is a crucial mediator of numerous physiological processes in humans [386, 387]. Diseases can develop as a result of dysbiosis, which causes dysfunction of the intestinal barrier and alters intercellular/intracellular metabolic pathways and immune responses [386, 388]. It is established that changes in gut microbiota composition are associated with tumorigenesis [388]. Overall, gut microbiota is primarily considered to inhibit cancer occurrence and development resulting from stress-related DNA damage, pro-inflammation, and modulation of the host immune system [389]. Consequently, probiotics and symbiotics are promising strategies to reduce carcinogenic risk via intestinal microbiota modulation [390].

3.11.1 Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA)

Microbiota bacteria influence MYC expression and regulate its mediation of carcinogenesis-related gene expression, modulating the function of intestinal flora metabolic pathway function, and initiating inflammatory responses to induce programmed cell death [389]. Cruz et al. used the probiotic (PRO) VSL#3, containing eight species of freeze-dried bacteria (*Lactobacillus casei*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium breve*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, and *Streptococcus salivarius*) from the human gastrointestinal tract, as well as a PRO VSL#3 combined with PBY (a yacon-based product) formed symbiotic (SYN), and assessed their effects on metabolic pathways in the intestinal tract, and inhibition of colorectal carcinogenesis, through the suppression of the c-MYC and PCNA oncogenes [390, 391]. The SYN group showed enhancement of specific metabolic pathways, including biosynthesis of essential components (amino acids, vitamins, and saccharide subunits) needed for microbiota support, whereas the control and PRO groups tended to be enriched for pathways involved in generation of nucleosides and nucleotides [390]. Further, c-MYC and PCNA expression were downregulated in the SYN group relative to the control and PRO groups; there were no significant differences in p53 and caspase-3 levels among the groups [390]. Additionally, cytokine (IL-2, IL-4, TNF, and IFN) levels were higher in the SYN group than those in the PRO and control groups, and elevated cytokine levels trigger anti-inflammatory responses [390]. Hence, the study demonstrated that modulation of intestinal flora confers specific benefits in enhancing microorganism

metabolic pathways which promote vitamin production to induce antineoplastic effects on DNA metabolism, apoptosis, and anti-inflammatory activity [390], leading to suppression of MYC and PCNA expression levels and providing enhanced carcinogenesis control.

3.11.2 Protease Lon

The uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* protease, Lon, can reduce c-MYC expression in animal and human models and improve the prognosis of patients with c-MYCinduced cancer [392]. A combination of systematic gene deletion and proteomics experiments demonstrated that bacterial culture supernatants contained a specific protease (Lon) that Lon is a potent MYC inhibitor [392]. Lon protease was purified for recombinant expression and delivered into mouse models of MYC-dependent bladder and colon cancer via peroral or intravenous routes [392]. The results demonstrated that c-MYC degraded rapidly after *in vivo* Lon injection, suggesting that Lon degrades c-MYC, or accelerates endogenous pathways for c-MYC degradation [392]. This finding suggests that Lon offers a promising approach for MYC inhibition to control MYCdependent carcinogenesis.

3.11.3 Casein Kinase 1 Alpha 1 (CK1α1)

In addition to by-product secretions of intestinal bacteria, chronic bacterial infections can also mediate c-MYC degradation. Pathogenic bacteria alter c-MYC expression through a mechanism involving CK1α1 activation, which is controlled by α-hemolysin (α-hly) [392, 393]. c-MYC Serine-252 is phosphorylated in response to $CK1\alpha1$ activation, triggering its proteasomal degradation, while α-hly is a pore-forming toxin associated with ABC transporters, that facilitates CK1α1 entry into cells via Ca^{2+} flux, and interacts with c-MYC for degradation [392, 393]. Based on these biochemical interactions, c-MYC was shown to be degraded in infected cells via the effects of CK1α1 activation induced by α-Hly [392].

Overall, microbial flora may contribute to protecting cells against MYC-mediated oncogenic transformation. Further research is needed to identify compounds that can modify intestinal microbiota composition and activity, as well as to develop biomarkers and screen for relevant carcinogens, which will enable more accurate prediction of carcinogenesis based on microbial signatures.

4. MYC Modulators as Cancer Treatments

Several MYC modulators/inhibitors, including Food and Drug Administration (FDA) -approved drugs, agents in clinical trials, chemical tools, and active compounds from natural products or herbal medicine, have been used, or are in the clinical trial or pre-clinical investigation phases, for cancer therapy.

4.1 MYC Therapy Patent Landscape

Given the role of MYC in tumorigenesis, the design of MYC modulators is particularly important, and several strategies have been proposed in the last decade. Since the mid-1990s, the discovery and design of anticancer drugs based on MYC modulators has increased [394]. MYC modulators have become increasingly important for further research in this field, since patents have been awarded for their discovery, synthesis, and application; however, design and development of direct MYC modulators is highly challenging, because the network of independent pockets in the protein make modulator binding difficult and their half-lives are short. Nevertheless, MYC cannot simply be assumed to be an unreachable target, despite the challenge of finding direct inhibitors or binders [394]. Efficient methods of achieving MYC modulation appear to include interfering with transcription of MYC and its cofactors, blocking their protein-protein interactions, and influencing their associated signaling pathways, and various MYC modulators have been developed based on these features [394].

An overview of several therapeutic MYC modulator patents is provided below (Fig. 5); some of these are FDAapproved and others are still in the clinical trial or preclinical phase.

4.2 FDA-approved MYC Drugs

The FDA has published a standard for approving drugs for treatment of MYC-mediated cancers, which includes three drugs, everolimus, sirolimus, and temsirolimus, that exploit vulnerabilities in the MYC-TOR interaction, as well as one medication (mycophenolic acid, IMPDH) that targets MYC directly [395]. Further, various HDAC inhibitors are in development, some of which have already been approved by the FDA [396]. Recently, some agents against additional synthetic lethal targets of MYC have successfully advanced to clinical trials.

4.3 Small Molecule Modulators of MYC in the Preclinical Stage

MYC inhibition can rapidly reduce tumors, highlighting its importance [397]. Small molecules inhibiting MYC/MAX dimerization, as well as RNA interference (miRNA, siRNA) to downregulate MYC translation, are effective ways of directly preventing MYC activity [397].

OmoMYC agents have recently gained prominence as dominant-negative MYC proteins [398]. Blockage of MYC by OmoMYC initially appeared challenging, due to the anticipated side effects [398]. Nonetheless, tests in animal models suggest that the side effects are relatively mild [399]. OmoMYC inhibits MYC activity by infiltrating cells through spontaneous cell penetration [398]. In addition, OmoMYC provided significant benefit when delivered directly to cells and administered systemically in NSCLC models [399]. OmoMYC inhibits MYC via two mechanisms: (1) interfering with MYC dimerization and (2) binding to E-boxes [400]. Aside from

OmoMYC, MYC/MAX destabilizers, such as IIA6B17, 10058-F4, and 10,074-G5, and their derivatives, 3jc48-3, JY-3-094, and 3JC-91-2, can also inhibit MYC/MAX complex formation [401-403]. IIA6B17 has the same leucine zipper structure as c-Jun (another tumorigenesis hallmark) and can exert anti-c-Jun activity [404, 405], and hence has poor selectivity and specificity as a MYC inhibitor [404, 405]. JY-3-094 and 3JC48-3 inhibit MYC/MAX dimerization in cells overexpressing MYC and reduce their proliferation [406, 407].

Figure 5. MYC patent landscapes. HDACs: Histone deacetylase; PI3K: phosphoinositide 3-kinase; CDKs: Cyclindependent kinase; GLS: Glutaminase; GS: Glutamine synthetase; MCL-1: myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1 protein; BRD4: Bromodomain-containing protein 4; Ac; Acetylated; Me: Methylated; FBW7: F-box and WD repeat domain containing 7; PLK1: polo-like kinase 1; PP2A: Protein phosphatase 2.

Some small molecules, such as MYCro1, MYCro2, and MYCro3, can inhibit human cancer cell proliferation in xenografts containing MYC-amplified cells [408, 409]. Further, metastatic HER-2-negative breast tumors become significantly more responsive on treatment with MyCro3 together with Palbociclib and CDK4/6 inhibitors [410]. In addition, Mycro3 had superior pharmacokinetic properties and decreased tumor size more than other c-MYC inhibitors in a KRas-driven pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma mouse model [403, 411], suggesting that direct inhibition of MYC can improve the effects of other targeted therapies both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

MYCMI-6 was recently identified as a direct MYC inhibitor, independent of biomacromolecules, which can block MYC-driven transcription, by binding selectively to the MYC bHLHZip domain to prevent MYC dimerization with MAX [412, 413]. MYCMI-6 inhibits proliferation and promotes apoptosis of breast cancer cells [414, 415]. In an assisted proteolysis study, Han et al. found that two MYC inhibitors, MYCi361 and MYCi975, block interaction of MYC with its canonical partner, MAX [416, 417]. Blocking MYC increases its degradation, impairs MYC-mediated gene expression, and suppresses tumor growth [416, 417]. These inhibitors can also phosphorylate the MYC Thr-58 residue, facilitating proteasomal degradation of MYC protein [418].

KI-MS2-008 and NSC13728 provide another approach to reducing MYC protein levels and expression of its target genes by stabilizing the MAX homodimer to induce cell growth arrest and differentiation [419-421]. *In vivo* tests showed that KI-MS2-008 and NSC13728 interfere with tumor cell proliferation and prevent cells growth [419, 422] , which may be useful together with monoclonal antibody treatment against the immune checkpoints, PD-1 or PD-L1. KI-MS2-008 can also synergize with the anti-tumor effects of MAX homodimer stabilizers [423, 424]. Furthermore, the transcription repressors, MXD1 and MAD, also inhibit MYC-mediated gene activation [425, 426] , by coupling with MAX to hijack E-box regions of target genes and inhibit MYCmediated transcription regulation [425-427]. By contrast, JKY-2-169 binds to the MYC-MAX heterodimer and, instead of disrupting heterodimer complex formation, it perturbs MYC/MAX complex binding to canonical DNA E-boxes, antagonizing cancer cell proliferation, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis in MYC-driven cells [428, 429].

4.4 Small Molecule Modulators of MYC at the Clinical Trial Stage

4.4.1 Direct MYC Inhibition

An alternative method for inhibiting MYC translation is transport of siRNAs into cells; however, robust transporters are required for si/miRNAs to function effectively [397]. During clinical trials of therapy for solid tumors, an EnCore lipid nanoparticle enclosing a MYCspecific si/miRNA was used to regress tumor cell growth [397, 430].

Transfection of siRNA in c-MYC-driven cells upregulates *Let-7a*, *miR-16*, *miR-29b*, and *miR-494* expression, which target MYC translation and reduce its expression, inhibiting tumor growth and spread by influencing the cell cycle, and triggering apoptosis pathways, limiting ovarian and pancreatic cancer metastasis [431-433]. MYC translation can also be inhibited using the phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer (PMO), AVI-4126 [434, 435]. PMOs perturb ribosomal assembly, thereby prohibiting *MYC* mRNA transcription. Clinical trials assessing the effects of AVI-4126 against c-MYC have been conducted in multiple cancer types and related disease models, with promising results, allowing this PMO to progress to human clinical trials. AVI-4126 was the subject of a phase I clinical study to investigate PMO bioavailability in surgically excised adenocarcinomas of the prostate and breast [435].

4.4.2 Indirect MYC Inhibition

Targeting MYC regulating factors can indirectly inhibit MYC, providing flexibility. Therefore, investigation of indirect MYC inhibitors is desirable and we provide a list of them below, some of which have been approved for clinical trials.

4.4.2.1 BET Family Inhibitors

The BET protein family comprises BRD1, BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4 [436] , and BET inhibitors (iBETs) reduce MYC-related oncoprotein expression levels, decreasing the risk of tumor development [436].

Common iBETs include ZEN-3694 and RO6870810 (formerly TEN-010), which bind to the extra-terminal bromodomain to inhibit the BET pathway. ZEN-3694 is currently under clinical investigation (phase II), while TEN-010 is in phase I clinical trials [437, 438]. A number of targeted therapies appear to exhibit drug resistance due to MYC overexpression. To resolve this issue, combination treatment with ZEN-3694 and Enzalutamide acts synergistically by blocking androgen receptors, leading to better prognosis for patients with PCa (NCT04471974) [439]. TEN-010 is also undergoing clinical trials for use in the treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), myeloid dysplastic syndrome, and solid tumors (NCT02308761, NCT01987362) [438].

The BET inhibitor, BMS-986158, is well-tolerated in treatment of advanced cancers [440] , with only an isolated report of thrombocytopenia as a side effect [441]. BMS-986158 has a longer half-life than other iBETs, as well as an impressive pharmacodynamic profile [442]. Further, an iBET taken orally has also been tested for treatment of NUT carcinoma with molibresib (GSK525762), and preliminary findings from phase I clinical trials have led to recommendation of progression to a phase II trial [443].

The orally bioavailable iBETs, AZD5153 and OTX015, could be used to target BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4 [438, 444]. The bivalent iBET, AZD5153, exhibits additional antitumor activity against cancer xenografts relative to monovalent iBETs [445]. Notably, AZD5153 does not regulate apoptosis factors, such as BCL2 antiapoptosis family members or BCL3 pro-apoptotic proteins [446] , rather its inhibition occurs by altering the mTOR pathway to modulate MYC, E2F, and HEXIM1 expression levels, thereby inhibiting tumor cell growth and killing tumor cells [444, 445]. A synergistic effect was observed between AZD5153 and the BCL2 inhibitor, AZD4320, in cancer treatment [446]. Further, OTX015 showed significant anti-tumor effects on solid tumors, such as neuroblastoma and mesothelioma, as well as hematological cancers [447-449]. These two drugs (AZD5153 and OTX015) are now entering clinical stage investigation as treatments for various diseases.

The iBET, BI894999, affects MYC and HEXIM1 in AML cells in a similar manner to AZD5153 [450]. Taken together with a CDK9 (cyclin dependent kinase 9) inhibitor, this particular iBET causes an apoptotic response via repression of super-enhancer-associated MYC transcription [446].

4.4.2.2 MCL-1 Inhibitors

MCL-1 can promote MYC-induced myeloid leukemogenesis [451]; hence, MCL-1 inhibitors are a potential therapeutic option for targeting tumorigenesis and drug resistance caused by high MCL-1 levels.

The selective small-molecule, AZD5991, is a promising candidate for treating AML; its ability to induce BAK-dependent apoptosis, as well as its significant antitumor properties, have led to its selection as a treatment option for patients with relapsed or refractory AML in clinical trials [452]. As well as being used alone, AZD5991 has been combined with other agents, such as Bortezomib (which inhibits 26S proteasomes) and venetoclax (which inhibits BCL-2), and the effects examined in carcinoma models [452]. Further, the MCL-1 inhibitor, S64315 (MIK665), induces BAX/BAK-mediated apoptosis, acting in a somewhat similar manner to AZD5991 to inhibit MYC activity [453]. MCL-1 inhibition is potentially a promising approach for cancers involving MYC, due to the cooperative interactions between BCL-2 and MYC [454].

4.4.2.3 BCR-signaling Inhibitors

MYC can be activated by BCR signaling and MYC induction during tumorigenesis can be attributed to BCRsignaling mediators, such as BTK [455, 456].

The BTK inhibitor, ARQ531, can also inhibit SRC kinases and ERK signaling pathways involved in BCR signaling [457]. In a chronic lymphocytic leukemia model, ARQ531 showed strong inhibitory potency against BCR-induced cancer cells by repressing a broad range of BCR-signaling factors, and is currently being tested against MYC-related hematological neoplasms in a phase I clinical trial (NCT03162536), due to its potential

to overcome resistance to some existing BCR inhibitors [457].

4.4.2.4 PI3K and HDAC Inhibitors

Given the short half-life of MYC, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 (eIF4) plays an important role in MYC translation [458]. Several upstream signals are activated in response to hyperphosphorylation of eIF4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), which sequesters eIF4E [458]. MYC translation can be initiated by PI3K, independent of 4E-BP1 phosphorylation [458]. Further, MYC expression can be stabilized by post-transcriptional modifications mediated by the HDAC family [459]. Fimepinostat (CUDC-907) inhibits *MYC* mRNA translation and stabilization by inhibiting PI3K and HDAC proteins [460].

The efficacy of PI3K inhibitors is impeded by simultaneous activation of other survival-supporting pathways [461]. Hence, double inhibition is required and a dual inhibitor, CUDC-907, has been developed to suppress PI3Kδ and HDAC expression, and appears to overcome the limitations of inhibitors targeting PI3K alone [462]. CUDC-907 has undergone clinical trials in various hematological cancers and shown promising results in terms of tolerability, safety, and efficacy [460, 463, 464].

4.4.2.5. CDK Inhibitors

CDK9 couples with cyclin T1 to form positive transcription elongation factor b (p-TEFb), which phosphorylates a serine residue on the RNA Polymerase II C-terminal repeat domain (CTD) [465, 466]. Binding of MYC and p-TEFb activates RNA polymerase II, enhancing transcriptional activity and this process drives survival in MYC-induced hepatocellular carcinoma models [213, 465, 467].

Dinaciclib is a CDK inhibitor, which suppresses the kinase activities of CDK1, CDK2, cyclin dependent kinase 5 (CDK5), and CDK9 and is currently undergoing phase I/II clinical trials for use against various tumors [468]. The trial results have revealed prominent CDK9 inhibition effects of Dinaciclib, which prevents binding of MYC and p-TEFb [469]. In addition, Dinaciclib also inhibits MCL-1, reducing its expression and inducing apoptosis [469].

A second CDK inhibitor, TG02, inhibits CDK activity via inhibition of the CDK1, CDK2, cyclin dependent kinase 7 (CDK7), CDK9, Janus kinase 2 (JAK2), and fms related receptor tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) pathways [470]. A potential benefit of this multi-kinase inhibitor is that it can inhibit the activities of various CDKs, as well as blocking BCR-signaling mediators, contributing to superior antitumor activity than that achieved by complementary MYC inhibition [470, 471]. Examination of the effects of TG02 on hematological malignancies has generated promising safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics data, allowing this drug to move into clinical trials [470, 471].

4.4.2.6 G-quadruplex (G4) Stabilizers

Eighty to ninety percent of *MYC* gene transcription is regulated by nuclease hypersensitivity element III1 (NHE III1). A G4 is created at a specific site in NHE III1, acting as a silencer [472], and drugs targeting this specific region can stabilize G4 structures, which generally promotes apoptosis [473]. Compounds such as CX-3543 can stabilize the *MYC* promoter by selective interaction with the G4 site, interfering with formation of nucleolin/rDNA G4, and inducing apoptosis [474]. Hence, CX-3543 has MYC modulatory properties and is the first G4 stabilizer to undergo clinical trials [475].

4.5 Herbal Medicine Modulators of MYC for Cancer Treatment

Due to the great contribution of artemisinin for treating malaria, Prof. Tu Youyou won the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 2015. Along with the modernization of traditional medicine, herbal medicines have become accepted alternative treatments for human diseases, including cancer, coronavirus, and inflammatory conditions, among others, due to their significant benefits of cost-effectiveness and promising medical safety profiles [476-481]. Studies of phytochemicals derived from medicinal herbs have shown significant inhibition of MYC-dependent cancer cell growth via different molecular mechanisms [482-486] (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. The roles of herbal medicine act in modulating MYC-driven malignant cells. ABC Transporter: ATP-binding cassette transporters; Acetyl-CoA: acetyl coenzyme A; AP-1: Activator protein 1; CD 47: Cluster of Differentiation 47; CD57: Cluster of Differentiation 57; ER: Endoplasmic Reticulum; G0: gap phase; G1 phase: gap 1 phase; G2: Growth 2 phase; GPX4: Glutathione peroxidase 4; GSH: glutathione; H19: H19 Imprinted Maternally Expressed Transcript; IL-1β: Interleukin-1 Beta; IL-18: Interleukin-18; IL-6: Interleukin-6; JAK: Janus kinase; M: Mitosis phase; MDM2: murine double minute 2; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B; Notch: Neurogenic locus notch homolog protein; Nrf2: nuclear factor erythroid 2–related factor 2; PD-1: Programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1: Programmed death ligand-1; S: Synthesis Phase; STAT3: Signal Transducer And Activator Of Transcription 3; TGF-β1: Transforming growth factor beta-1.

Figure 7. The chemical structure of natural products extracted from herbal medicine. Apigenin, baicalin, berberine, βelemene, ginkgetin, curcumin, EGCG ((-)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate), ginsenoside Rh2, ginsenoside Rg3, icaritin, resveratrol, shikonin, triptolide, gallic acid, and hypericin.

4.5.1 Apigenin

Apigenin (4′,5,7-trihydroxyflavone) (Fig. 7), which is extracted from *Apium graveolens* L., exhibits potential effects against multiple MYC-driven cancers, and has vital roles in numerous bio-modulatory activities [487]. Apigenin enhances c-MYC protein expression in a doseand time-dependent manner, along with phosphorylation of p38 and p53, in anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells; however, apigenin administration suppressed c-MYC activity in other tumor cells [487]. A combination of apigenin and N-MYC knockdown led to better outcomes in suppressing malignant neuroblastoma [488]. Furthermore, apigenin can inhibit the upstream Wnt/βcatenin axis via initiation of the autophagy-lysosomal pathway, a sophisticated signaling network involving c-MYC [489]. Abundant cytokine-associated genes are also disrupted by apigenin, which targets glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β), contributing to cancer immunity regulation through a feedback loop between cancer cells and the inflammation-related microenvironment [490, 491]. Interestingly, cancer cells treated with apigenin exhibited fewer ROS-triggered events, contrary to the paradigm of ROS-induced apoptosis, demonstrating that parallel pathways are regulated by p53 and STAT3 in apigenin-mediated anti-tumor events [492]. Moreover, apigenin regulates glycolysis in CRC by targeting PKM2 [493]. Hence, systemic cancer inhibition by apigenin is

established via multiple layers of regulatory systems involving cell death, cell metabolism, cancer metastasis, cellular redox balance, and even telomerase remodeling [494].

4.5.2 Artemisinin

The anti-malaria agent artemisinin, which is extracted from *Artemisia annua* L., also has potential for application in cancer management. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is suppressed in both esophageal cancer and clear cell renal cell carcinoma [495]. c-MYC has indispensable roles in artemisinin-induced anti-cancer networks, as both its mRNA and protein levels are inhibited by artemisinin. Artemisinin can directly induce cancer cell apoptosis, partially attributable to inhibition of the AKT pathway, and promotes the survival of tumor xenograft mice [496]. Interestingly, artemisinin can improve T cell-triggered immune responses, but has limited toxic side effects in other organs [497]. Metabolic remodeling and ferroptosis regulate artemisinin activities, but the relationship between these processes and the role of MYC requires further evaluation [498, 499].

4.5.3 Baicalin

Baicalin, and its deglycosylated derivative, baicalein, regulate multiple cancers, and are major compounds

and pyroptosis, potentially induced by berberine, to

derived from *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi [500]. Accumulated molecular biology and system pharmacology studies have revealed a complex signaling network, comprising numerous bio-modulators and their interactions, which is induced by baicalin [500, 501]. Furthermore, investigation of the dose-dependent anticancer effects of baicalin has revealed various functional markers with different sensitivities to this compound [500]. In general, pro-oncogenic modulators, such as VEGF, NF-κB, and c-MYC, are particularly inhibited by baicalin at both the transcription and translation levels [502]. Meanwhile, Notch, PI3K/AKT, and MAPK signaling mediate the effects of baicalin in restraining malignancies [503]. Additionally, researchers have used various approaches, based on systematic biological analyses of intersected targets of baicalin and diseases, to determine the molecular relationships underlying the effects of baicalin treatment [504]. c-MYC-driven oncomiRNAs and competitive endogenous RNAs have been captured in experiments to identify molecules that contribute to the pro-apoptotic effects of baicalin in cancer [505]. Baicalin also has multiple effects on chromosomal rearrangement, immune checkpoint monitoring, and glucose metabolism [506]; however, understanding of the anti-cancer functions of baicalin, especially in MYC regulation, remains limited, which is impeding drug development.

4.5.4 Berberine

Berberine is an isoquinoline alkaloid, mostly derived from *Coptis chinensis* Franch., and has anti-cancer efficacy which has been evaluated in pre-clinical and clinical studies [507]. Berberine influences various bio-systems to holistically restrain tumorigenesis. Berberine directly binds to retinoid X receptor α (RXRα), resulting in βcatenin degradation and concomitant c-MYC inhibition [508]**.** Further, berberine can stabilize c-MYC G4 structures, indicating parallel targets or associated signaling pathways indirectly impacted by berberine during anti-cancer treatment [509]. Glucose and glutamine metabolism triggered by PI3K/AKT can be beneficially modulated by berberine [510]. Berberine can also shift the TME, comprising cancer stem cells, immune cells, and cytokines, toward a more pro-apoptotic milieu [511]. Among the multiple layers of regulation by berberine, differentiation 47 (CD47) suppression initiated by c-MYC, can enhance macrophage phagocytosis to treat diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [512]. Interestingly, reversal of drug resistance induced by berberine treatment relies on c-MYC-associated signaling axes, such as Nrf2 and STAT3, supporting the potential modulation of MYC by berberine [513]. Further analyses will involve investigation of cell death, including through ferroptosis

determine how berberine influences multiple bio-systems.

4.5.5 β-elemene

β-elemene is a bioactive natural product extracted from *Rhizoma zedoariae* oil, with manifold anti-cancer effects [514]. A network pharmacology study discovered dozens of potential β-elemene targets, and eventually focused on chromosome 3 open reading frame 21 (C3orf21) for its tumor suppression capacity [514]. The inhibitory effects of β-elemene may partially depend on C3orf21, as C3orf21 silencing rescued the suppression of carcinogenesis modulators, such as c-MYC and cyclin D1 [514]. Meanwhile, cancer cell apoptosis induced by βelemene is triggered by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, which engages in molecular crosstalk with the TCF7/Sox2 axis [515], allowing construction of a sophisticated β-elemene-regulated signaling network involved in attenuation of cancer progression, including EMT and MDR [516]. More effort is required to identify precise targets bound by β-elemene and develop a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms of action of this compound.

4.5.6 Curcumin

The polyphenol, curcumin, is the main active ingredient in turmeric, a spice widely recognized for its medicinal properties including anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative activities [517, 518]. Curcumin can reduce MYC expression, followed by histone 19 (H19) induction, leading to regulation of specific pro-proliferative TFs in the pro-metastasis microenvironment [519-522]. Furthermore, curcumin can reverse these processes by increasing levels of tumor suppressors, such as p53, and inducing apoptosis in an EZH2-*miR-101* reciprocal negative feedback loop [519, 523, 524]. Hence, curcumin has potential as an anti-cancer drug to suppress MYCdependent tumor proliferation and warrants further clinical trials.

4.5.7 (-)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG)

EGCG is a biological polyphenol commonly detected in green tea [525]. Numerous studies have investigated the anti-cancer potential of EGCG and its benefits, such as reversal of drug resistance and inhibition of cancer stem cells [525]. Proteomics analysis demonstrated that the DEAD-box RNA helicase, p68, is a binding target of EGCG [526]. Other molecules predicted to be involved in EGCG-induced apoptosis and autophagy are enriched in the mitochondria-associated redox biosystem [527]. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is suppressed by EGCG in a c-MYC-dependent manner in different tumor types [528]. Other canonical modulators that interfere with cancer growth, including Akt, ERK1/2, and NF-κB, are also inhibited by EGCG to some extent [529]; however, the precise relationships between EGCG and c-MYC require further investigation, as few studies have focused on whether EGCG directly influences c-MYC expression and activation.

4.5.8 Gallic acid

Gallic acid is a phenolic compound commonly found in *Rheum palmatum* L., *Cornus officinalis* Sieb. et Zucc., and tea. and its anticancer properties have been demonstrated *in vivo* and *in vitro* [530, 531]. Gallic acid can induce apoptosis and inhibit metastasis through the Ras/ERK pathway with downregulated c-MYC protein expression [531]. Gallic acid is usually used together with antitumor agents, such as temozolomide, paclitaxel and carboplatin, and has potential to reverse drug resistance, in which MYC may participate [532, 533]. There have been few studies of the anti-cancer activity of gallic acid particularly its role in regulating MYC, which limits precise understanding of its effects in this context.

4.5.9 Ginkgetin

Ginkgetin is a naturally occurring bioflavonoid originating from *Ginkgo biloba* leaves, and is effective in treating NSCLC via initiation of autophagy [534]. Ginkgetin is predicted to exhibit preferential binding affinity for the c-MYC G4 and to stabilize and repress c-MYC transcriptional activity, thereby inhibiting MYCdriven myeloma growth. Experimental results were consistent with this prediction, since both c-MYC transcript and protein were downregulated by ginkgetin [535]. Combination of the autophagic characteristics of ginkgetin with addition of cisplatin to induce ferroptosis, could trigger increased anti-cancer effects of these nonapoptotic programmed cell death pathways [534]. Hence, there may be future opportunities to develop more potent direct inhibitors of c-MYC by targeting the MYC G4 region with ginkgetin.

4.5.10 Ginsenosides

Ginsenosides are derived from *Panax ginseng* C.A.Mey. and *Panax notoginseng* (Burk.) F. H. Chen. Various ginsenoside homologs exert anti-cancer effects that are dependent on host responses [536]. Ginsenoside Rh2 targets Annexin A2 and can induce apoptosis and paraptosis by suppressing the TFs, NF-κB and AP-1 [537]. Consequently, downstream pathways involving c-MYC are inhibited and the pro-oncogenic functions of tumor glycolysis are restrained [538]. These events are also relevant to Ginsenoside Rg3 treatment, which disrupts the biological functions of ZFP91 [539]. The ginsenoside Rk1 alters c-MYC, which engages in crosstalk with ERK during glutamine metabolism, and exerts anti-cancer activity with lower cytotoxicity than sorafenib [540]. Other ginsenosides, including Rg1, Rh4, and Rg5, with specific structures and functions, can inhibit cancers accompanied by suppression of c-MYC, indicating that bio-modulators induced by these natural homologs have common features [541].

4.5.11 Hypericin

Hypericin is an active natural polycyclic quinone component extracted from most plants of the genus *Hypericum* and has anti-tumor effects in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell model [542, 543]*.* The antiproliferative or cytotoxic effects of hypericin have been demonstrated in numerous studies [542-546]. Among its anticancer effects, hypericin can inhibit various genes associated with Bcl-2, MYC, and MDm2, to affect expression levels of these oncoproteins. Hypericin also targets the heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) chaperone to degrade Plk, CDK4, and Raf1 proteins. Further, hypericin upregulates expression of the *p53*, *p21*, and *Bax* genes, leading to caspase activation, cytokine release, cell cycle arrest at metaphase, and promotion of apoptosis [542, 547].

4.5.12 Icaritin

Icaritin, a hydrolysis product of icariin extracted from the *Epimedium* genus, is the first small molecule immunomodulator approved by National Medical Products Administration of China in 2022, and used for hepatocellular carcinoma treatment [548]. c-MYC protein expression is inhibited by icaritin in Burkitt lymphoma and AML cells [549, 550], triggering activation of caspase-9 and PARP, as well as eventually leading to cell cycle arrest (S phase) and apoptosis/necrosis promotion [550, 551]. Furthermore, MAPK/ERK/JNK and PI3K/AKT signaling are regulated by icaritin to inhibit phosphorylation of ERK and Akt, which induces apoptosis to reduce myeloma cell growth in AML [550, 552, 553]. In addition, icaritin can target MyD88 and IkBα to inhibit IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signaling, thereby regulating the TME and inhibiting tumor cell growth [554-556]. Further, a study reported resensitization of cancer cells to medicinal agents by icaritin, as well as the attenuation of side effects indicating the potential for clinical co-treatment including icaritin as a supplementary drug [557]. Further evaluation of icaritin for application in regulation of hematopoiesis and

hematological cancer therapy is warranted, owing to its apoptotic properties and immunomodulatory effects.

4.5.13 Polysaccharides

As important biomacromolecules in herbal medicines, polysaccharides exhibit effective antitumor activity by inducing apoptosis, suppressing tumor metastasis, arresting the cell cycle, and promoting immune responses across a wide range of cancers, including lung cancer, gastric cancer, CRC, hepatocellular carcinoma, and renal cell carcinoma [558]. Expression of MYC genes and proteins is implicated in the antitumor mechanisms of polysaccharides derived from herbal medicines. In a lung cancer cell culture model, polysaccharides were shown to have sophisticated roles in regulating MYC and other molecules. Polysaccharides from *Ganoderma lucidum* and *Laminaria japonica* suppressed c-MYC protein levels in a tumor-bearing mouse model of lung cancer, through inactivating ERK and *β*-catenin/TCF4 signaling, respectively [559-561]. Furthermore, polysaccharides from *Ulva prolifera* O.F. Müller contributed to suppression of H_2O_2 -induced cell invasion by inhibiting MYC-mediated *MMP-9* gene transcription via MAPK signaling in A549 and NCI-H1650 lung cancer cells [562]. In a gastric cancer cell model, polysaccharides extracted from different parts of *Dendrobium huoshanense* downregulated *c-Myc* gene expression in MFC cells and promoted *p53* transcription, thereby enhancing p53-dependent apoptosis [563]. *Albuca bracteata* polysaccharides exhibit anti-colitis-associated-CRC properties by attenuating oxidative stress, regulating inflammation, and increasing the relative abundance of beneficial bacteria in a mouse model, followed by suppression of *c-Myc* gene expression [564, 565]. Combination treatment with *Albuca bracteata* polysaccharides and 5-FU showed synergistic anti-cancer effects in modulating β-catenin signaling and intestinal flora, as well as downregulating c-MYC protein levels in CRC more strongly than 5-FU treatment alone [565]. *Acanthopanax senticosus* polysaccharides could induce apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase in HepG2 hepatocellular cells, as well as decreasing c-MYC protein and inhibiting Wnt/β-catenin signaling [566]. Combination of IFN-α2b and polysaccharides from *Lycium barbarum* showed synergistic anti-renal cell carcinoma activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [567]. This combination treatment could induce cell death and reduce myeloid-derived suppressor cells by downregulating c-MYC protein [567]. MYC is regarded as a promising target for cancer treatment, and research on herbal medicines with anticancer properties related to MYC regulation has gradually matured, but few studies have focused on the potential anti-cancer effects of polysaccharides from herbal medicines involved in MYC signaling.

4.5.14 Resveratrol

The phenolic compound, resveratrol, belongs to the stilbenoids family, which is characterized by the presence of two linked phenol rings connected by an ethylene bridge, and exhibits strong antitumor activity against various types of cancer [568]. Over 70 species of plants contain resveratrol, particularly grape skin and seeds, and traces of this substance have also been found in red wine and several foods [568]. c-MYC and cyclin-D1 expression are downregulated by resveratrol in human breast cancer cells [569]. Downregulation of c-MYC reduces *miR-17* activity, which alters the expression levels of HLA-A and -B MHC class I proteins [570]. This increases opportunities for immune cell recognition of cancerous peptides or other factors, to initiate elimination of cancer cells via immune responses [569, 570]. Thus, resveratrol is a promising therapeutic drug against certain breast cancers induced by MYC expression. Further investment will be required to progress clinical trials.

4.5.15 Shikonin

Shikonin, a natural product with a naphthoquinone core extracted from Arnebiae Radix, exerts multiple anticancer effects, particularly against lymphoma. A study comparing the effects of shikonin and its derivatives in killing leukemia cells identified direct binding of shikonin to c-MYC [571]. The apoptosis triggered by shikonin is attributed to a set of suppressed molecules involved in cross-talk between the MAPK and AKT pathways, in which the MST1-YAP1-TEAD1 axis has parallel impacts [572]. Combined treatments including chemotherapy and shikonin induce synergistic effects and ameliorate MDR in different types of cancer [573]. Interestingly, shikonin suppressed proliferation of MCF-7 cells with high Erα expression; degradation of ERα and suppression of ERαassociated molecules suggested that shikonin may enhance antihormone therapies to control breast cancer [574].

4.5.16 Triptolide

Triptolide, a diterpenoid triepoxide from *Tripterygium wilfordii*, functions in tumor suppression processes, making investigation of its application attractive. Triptolide can induce both apoptosis and pyroptosis, mainly triggered by cell cycle regulators and gasdermin E (GSDME), respectively [575]. Treatment with triptolide inhibits core pro-oncogenic modulators, including c-MYC and CDKs, thereby inducing complex modulation

of tumor growth and MDR [576]. Interestingly, the epigenetic alterations caused by triptolide, which inhibit DNA methyltransferase (DNMT)-1 and DNMT-4, are associated with the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [577]. c-MYC can act as a marker to evaluate the effects of triptolide on different bio-functions in carcinoma. Meanwhile, a systemic network, comprising altered mRNA and DNA signatures, has been established to assess the precise molecular mechanisms of action of triptolide, and particularly to explore its interactions with MYC [578].

Table 1. Potential MYC modulators from natural products of herbal medicine for cancer treatment.

5. Remarks and Further Perspectives

Numerous recent studies have investigated MYC oncogenicity, particularly in the field of tumorigenesis. Even temporary MYC inhibition appears to halt tumor induction and ectopic proliferation, suggesting that strategies for MYC inhibition have theranostic potential for tackling MYC-driven cancers; however, direct MYC inhibition is challenging, as the protein lacks an active binding site for small molecules. Alternatively, the development of techniques to inhibit MYC intermediates (e.g., BET, MCL-1, BCR, and CDK) are recommended as a viable approach to indirectly suppress MYC. Thus, identifications of these intermediate pathways are necessary to explore the establishment of more specific and less toxic agents for application in cancer therapy. In this article, we discuss several promising patents/strategies for inhibiting MYC. Additionally, complete eradication of the biological functions mediated by MYC would be challenging, since they are vital to cell function. For example, MDR frequently occurs in carcinomas overexpressing MYC, in which MYC increases the expression levels of efflux transporter proteins, promotes drug-repressor proteins, and reduces MYC corepressor proteins. These chain reactions cause drug delay, non-specific delivery, or drug-specific incapacity. Hence, MYC-induced MDR can arise due to aberrant gene expression at several points. Thus, combination treatment is highly recommended, to modulate MYC levels through alternative protein expression levels/complexes, with the aim of overcoming MDR induction.

An important obstacle to the long-term efficacy of MYC inhibitors is the development and spread of drug resistance. Treatment development mostly involves identification of a drug or effector that blocks one or two MYC-related pathways associated with tumorigenesis, but long-term selective pressure caused by blocking a specific MYC pathway may lead to emergence of drug resistance, allowing cancerous cells to bypass the targeted pathways and proliferate immortally in an alternative way. Many studies have presented evidence that patients only have a better short-term prognosis, while most experience development of refractory disease or cancer recurrence, progressing to MDR. Innovations involving augmented co-factors, combining antitumor agents and super inhibitors, such as THZ1 and JQ1 (direct MYC inhibitors) with self-immune response, to modulate multiple signaling pathways simultaneously, may prevent cancer recurrence and progression to MDR in the longterm [587]. In such co-treatments, MYC-driven cells can undergo apoptosis, autophagy, pyroptosis, or ferroptosis, ensuring cancer cell elimination, even if they escape one of the targeted pathways. Hence, the efficacy of direct MYC targeting and drug-combination co-treatment should be evaluated in future clinical studies, to determine whether it could be a potent therapeutic tool to overcome MYC-induced MDR or off-target effects.

Research into personalized medicine approaches, such as herbal medicines and marine drugs, which are associated with better prognosis (less drug toxicity and higher bioavailability), is increasing for patients with various types of cancer. In recent years, marine drugs have also emerged as a promising source of novel compounds with therapeutic potential. For instance, deoxynyboquinone and its derivative, isobutyldeoxynyboquinone, have demonstrated significant biological activity, particularly in the field of cancer treatment. Both compounds can inhibit the growth of cancer cells and induce apoptosis, making marine drugs a potential candidate for the development of new cancer drugs. Hence, MYC may be an attractive candidate for effectively controlling tumorigenesis by examination of either protein-protein or protein-small molecule (e.g., ions, MADs, MIZ1, and miRNAs) interactions, to manipulate the balance of MYC expression in cancer cells. Certain marine drugs and herb medicines have the potential to modulate MYC activity and inhibit tumor growth.

In this review, we discuss several articles reporting how herbal medicines can function as modulators of immune checkpoints, mediating MYC-associated cancer oncogenes to optimize immunometabolism, with fewer side effects and better prognosis. Hence, co-treatment of patients with MYC-induced cancer using conventional therapeutic approaches in conjunction with herbal medicine may become a future trend in cancer therapeutics. Several herbal medicines have progressed to clinical trials. Specifically, berberine and curcumin are in phase I and II trials for treating ulcerative colitis and colorectal neoplasia, respectively [588, 589]. Furthermore, National Medical Products Administration of China has approved icaritin for use in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and ginsenoside RG3 has passed phase II clinical trials and is undergoing phase III clinical investigation for treatment of primary liver cancer [590]; however, the number of studies investigating the pharmacodynamics of herbal medicine remains limitedl. Further study of the roles of herbal medicine in influencing MYC, and quantitative data on their toxicity and bioavailability from human clinical trials, are urgently needed.

6. Conclusions

In this review, we comprehensively summarize the multiple biological functions of the MYC oncoprotein in cancer treatment and discuss the multifunctional capacity of MYC in various cellular cancer processes, including its influences on immune response, metabolism, cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, pyroptosis, metastasis, angiogenesis, multidrug resistance, and intestinal flora, among others. Finally, we describe pending challenges and future perspectives in biomedical research involving the development of therapeutic approaches to modulate MYC or its targets. Overall, further breakthrough investigations are needed, which may provide new insights into MYC functions in tumorigenesis and lead to development of novel therapeutic agents/inhibitors that specifically target MYC-driven tumors.

Author contributions

K.I.C.: concept of the work, literature collection, drafting, writing, and revising this manuscript. S.Z.: literature collection and drafting. G.L.: organizing paper, drafting and comment. Y.X.: literature collection and supplement. L.C., Y.W., H.S., W.T. and Z.Z.: concept of the work and supervising. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT 001/2023/ALC, 0123/2022/A, and 0006/2020/AKP), Shenzhen–Hong Kong-Macau S&T Program (Category C) (SGDX20 20110309420200), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation, China (2020A1515010922), and the Research Fund of University of Macau (CPG2023-00028-ICMS and SRG2022-00052-ICMS). This study was also supported by the Young Scientists Fund of the National Natural Science Fund (81903844), the Gansu Province Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (20JR10RA650), and the Outstanding Youth of the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (lzujbky-2021-ey21).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] Li Z, Van Calcar S, Qu C, Cavenee Webster K, Zhang Michael Q, Ren B (2003). A global transcriptional fulatory role for c-Myc in Burkitt's lymphoma cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100:8164-8169.
- [2] Levine MS, Holland AJ (2018). The impact of mitotic errors on cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. Genes Dev, 32:620-638.
- [3] Beaulieu ME, Castillo F, Soucek L (2020). Structural and Biophysical Insights into the Function of the Intrinsically Disordered Myc Oncoprotein. Cells, 9.
- [4] Thomas LR, Tansey WP (2011). Proteolytic control of the oncoprotein transcription factor Myc. Adv Cancer Res, 110:77-106.
- [5] de Pretis S, Kress TR, Morelli MJ, Sabò A, Locarno C, Verrecchia A, et al. (2017). Integrative analysis of RNA polymerase II and transcriptional dynamics upon MYC activation. Genome Res, 27:1658-1664.
- [6] Grandori C, Gomez-Roman N, Felton-Edkins ZA, Ngouenet C, Galloway DA, Eisenman RN, et al.

(2005). c-Myc binds to human ribosomal DNA and stimulates transcription of rRNA genes by RNA polymerase I. Nature Cell Biology, 7:311-318.

- [7] Gomez-Roman N, Grandori C, Eisenman RN, White RJ (2003). Direct activation of RNA polymerase III transcription by c-Myc. Nature, 421:290-294.
- [8] Chen H, Liu H, Qing G (2018). Targeting oncogenic Myc as a strategy for cancer treatment. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, 3:5.
- [9] Dang CV, O'Donnell KA, Zeller KI, Nguyen T, Osthus RC, Li F (2006). The c-Myc target gene network. Seminars in Cancer Biology, 16:253-264.
- [10] Cappellen D, Schlange T, Bauer M, Maurer F, Hynes NE (2007). Novel c-MYC target genes mediate differential effects on cell proliferation and migration. EMBO reports, 8:70-76.
- [11] Dang Chi V (2012). MYC on the Path to Cancer. Cell, 149:22-35.
- [12] Meyer N, Penn LZ (2008). Reflecting on 25 years with MYC. Nature Reviews Cancer, 8:976-990.
- [13] Murphy DJ, Junttila MR, Pouyet L, Karnezis A, Shchors K, Bui DA, et al. (2008). Distinct Thresholds Govern Myc's Biological Output In Vivo. Cancer Cell, 14:447-457.
- [14] Sabò A, Kress TR, Pelizzola M, de Pretis S, Gorski MM, Tesi A, et al. (2014). Selective transcriptional regulation by Myc in cellular growth control and lymphomagenesis. Nature, 511:488-492.
- [15] Dominguez-Sola D, Ying CY, Grandori C, Ruggiero L, Chen B, Li M, et al. (2007). Non-transcriptional control of DNA replication by c-Myc. Nature, 448:445-451.
- [16] Xu Y, Poggio M, Jin HY, Shi Z, Forester CM, Wang Y, et al. (2019). Translation control of the immune checkpoint in cancer and its therapeutic targeting. Nature Medicine, 25:301-311.
- [17] Feng R-B, Fan C-L, Liu Q, Liu Z, Zhang W, Li Y-L, et al. (2015). Crude triterpenoid saponins from Ilex latifolia (Da Ye Dong Qing) ameliorate lipid accumulation by inhibiting SREBP expression via activation of AMPK in a non-alcoholic fatty liver disease model. Chin Med, 10:1-13.
- [18] Liu Q, Zhu X-Z, Feng R-B, Liu Z, Wang G-Y, Guan X-F, et al. (2015). Crude triterpenoid saponins from Anemone flaccida (Di Wu) exert anti-arthritic effects on type II collagen-induced arthritis in rats. Chin Med, 10:1-9.
- [19] Yu H, Shao S, Xu J, Guo H, Zhong Z, Xu J (2022). Persimmon leaf extract alleviates chronic social defeat stress-induced depressive-like behaviors by preventing dendritic spine loss via inhibition of serotonin reuptake in mice. Chin Med, 17:1-20.
- [20] Xia S, Zhong Z, Gao B, Vong CT, Lin X, Cai J, et al. (2021). The important herbal pair for the treatment of COVID-19 and its possible mechanisms. Chin Med, 16:1-16.
- [21] Luo H, Zhao Y, Hua H, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Fang Q, et al. (2021). Research progress on quality assurance of genuine Chinese medicinal in Sichuan. Chin Med, 16:1-13.
- [22] Jiang H, Li M, Du K, Ma C, Cheng Y, Wang S, et al. (2021). Traditional Chinese Medicine for adjuvant treatment of breast cancer: Taohong Siwu Decoction. Chin Med, 16:1-20.
- [23] Conacci-Sorrell M, McFerrin L, Eisenman RN (2014). An overview of MYC and its interactome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 4:a014357.
- [24] Kalkat M, Resetca D, Lourenco C, Chan P-K, Wei Y, Shiah Y-J, et al. (2018). MYC Protein Interactome Profiling Reveals Functionally Distinct Regions that Cooperate to Drive Tumorigenesis. Molecular Cell, 72:836-848.e837.
- [25] Yada M, Hatakeyama S, Kamura T, Nishiyama M, Tsunematsu R, Imaki H, et al. (2004). Phosphorylation-dependent degradation of c-Myc is mediated by the F-box protein Fbw7. The EMBO Journal, 23:2116-2125.
- [26] McMahon SB, Van Buskirk HA, Dugan KA, Copeland TD, Cole MD (1998). The Novel ATM-Related Protein TRRAP Is an Essential Cofactor for the c-Myc and E2F Oncoproteins. Cell, 94:363-374.
- [27] Zhang Q, West-Osterfield K, Spears E, Li Z, Panaccione A, Hann SR (2017). MB0 and MBI Are Independent and Distinct Transactivation Domains in MYC that Are Essential for Transformation. Genes, 8.
- [28] Tu WB, Shiah Y-J, Lourenco C, Mullen PJ, Dingar D, Redel C, et al. (2018). MYC Interacts with the G9a Histone Methyltransferase to Drive Transcriptional Repression and Tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell, 34:579- 595.e578.
- [29] Herbst A, Hemann MT, Tworkowski KA, Salghetti SE, Lowe SW, Tansey WP (2005). A conserved element in Myc that negatively regulates its proapoptotic activity. EMBO reports, 6:177-183.
- [30] Thomas Lance R, Adams Clare M, Wang J, Weissmiller April M, Creighton J, Lorey Shelly L, et al. (2019). Interaction of the oncoprotein transcription factor MYC with its chromatin cofactor WDR5 is essential for tumor maintenance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116:25260-25268.
- [31] Cowling Victoria H, Chandriani S, Whitfield Michael L, Cole Michael D (2006). A Conserved Myc Protein Domain, MBIV, Regulates DNA Binding, Apoptosis, Transformation, and G2 Arrest. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 26:4226-4239.
- [32] Thomas LR, Foshage AM, Weissmiller AM, Popay TM, Grieb BC, Qualls SJ, et al. (2016). Interaction of MYC with host cell factor-1 is mediated by the evolutionarily conserved Myc box IV motif. Oncogene, 35:3613-3618.
- [33] Sears R, Nuckolls F, Haura E, Taya Y, Tamai K, Nevins JR (2000). Multiple Ras-dependent phosphorylation pathways regulate Myc protein stability. Genes Dev, 14:2501-2514.
- [34] Tsai WB, Aiba I, Long Y, Lin HK, Feun L, Savaraj N, et al. (2012). Activation of Ras/PI3K/ERK pathway induces c-Myc stabilization to upregulate argininosuccinate synthetase, leading to arginine deiminase resistance in melanoma cells. Cancer Res, 72:2622-2633.
- [35] Lin CY, Lovén J, Rahl PB, Paranal RM, Burge CB, Bradner JE, et al. (2012). Transcriptional amplification in tumor cells with elevated c-Myc. Cell, 151:56-67.
- [36] Nie Z, Hu G, Wei G, Cui K, Yamane A, Resch W, et al. (2012). c-Myc is a universal amplifier of expressed genes in lymphocytes and embryonic stem cells. Cell, 151:68-79.
- [37] Levens D (2013). Cellular MYCro economics: Balancing MYC function with MYC expression. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 3.
- [38] Sears R, Leone G, DeGregori J, Nevins JR (1999). Ras enhances Myc protein stability. Mol Cell, 3:169-179.
- [39] Barone MV, Courtneidge SA (1995). Myc but not Fos rescue of PDGF signalling block caused by kinaseinactive Src. Nature, 378:509-512.
- [40] Tansey WP (2014). Mammalian MYC Proteins and Cancer. New Journal of Science, 2014:757534.
- [41] Chung HJ, Levens D (2005). c-myc expression: keep the noise down! Mol Cells, 20:157-166.
- [42] Chiariello M, Marinissen MJ, Gutkind JS (2001). Regulation of c-myc expression by PDGF through Rho GTPases. Nat Cell Biol, 3:580-586.
- [43] Devaiah BN, Case-Borden C, Gegonne A, Hsu CH, Chen Q, Meerzaman D, et al. (2016). BRD4 is a histone acetyltransferase that evicts nucleosomes from chromatin. Nat Struct Mol Biol, 23:540-548.
- [44] Devaiah BN, Mu J, Akman B, Uppal S, Weissman JD, Cheng D, et al. (2020). MYC protein stability is negatively regulated by BRD4. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 117:13457-13467.
- [45] Eichhorn PJ, Creyghton MP, Bernards R (2009). Protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunits and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta, 1795:1-15.
- [46] Sablina AA, Hector M, Colpaert N, Hahn WC (2010). Identification of PP2A complexes and pathways involved in cell transformation. Cancer Res, 70:10474-10484.
- [47] Ruvolo PP (2016). The broken "Off" switch in cancer signaling: PP2A as a regulator of tumorigenesis, drug resistance, and immune surveillance. BBA Clin, 6:87- 99.
- [48] Arnold HK, Sears RC (2006). Protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunit B56alpha associates with c-myc and negatively regulates c-myc accumulation. Mol Cell Biol, 26:2832-2844.
- [49] Mognol GP, de Araujo-Souza PS, Robbs BK, Teixeira LK, Viola JP (2012). Transcriptional regulation of the c-Myc promoter by NFAT1 involves negative and positive NFAT-responsive elements. Cell Cycle, 11:1014-1028.
- [50] Lin CF, Chen CL, Chiang CW, Jan MS, Huang WC, Lin YS (2007). GSK-3beta acts downstream of PP2A and the PI 3-kinase-Akt pathway, and upstream of caspase-2 in ceramide-induced mitochondrial apoptosis. J Cell Sci, 120:2935-2943.
- [51] Takahashi K, Uchida C, Shin RW, Shimazaki K, Uchida T (2008). Prolyl isomerase, Pin1: new findings of post-translational modifications and physiological substrates in cancer, asthma and Alzheimer's disease. Cell Mol Life Sci, 65:359-375.
- [52] Yeh E, Cunningham M, Arnold H, Chasse D, Monteith T, Ivaldi G, et al. (2004). A signalling pathway controlling c-Myc degradation that impacts oncogenic transformation of human cells. Nat Cell Biol, 6:308- 318.
- [53] Welcker M, Orian A, Jin J, Grim JE, Harper JW, Eisenman RN, et al. (2004). The Fbw7 tumor suppressor regulates glycogen synthase kinase 3 phosphorylation-dependent c-Myc protein degradation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 101:9085- 9090.
- [54] Arnold HK, Zhang X, Daniel CJ, Tibbitts D, Escamilla-Powers J, Farrell A, et al. (2009). The Axin1 scaffold protein promotes formation of a degradation complex for c-Myc. Embo j, 28:500-512.
- [55] Zhou XZ, Kops O, Werner A, Lu PJ, Shen M, Stoller G, et al. (2000). Pin1-dependent prolyl isomerization regulates dephosphorylation of Cdc25C and tau proteins. Mol Cell, 6:873-883.
- [56] Farrell AS, Pelz C, Wang X, Daniel CJ, Wang Z, Su Y, et al. (2013). Pin1 regulates the dynamics of c-Myc DNA binding to facilitate target gene regulation and oncogenesis. Mol Cell Biol, 33:2930-2949.
- [57] Farrell AS, Sears RC (2014). MYC degradation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 4.
- [58] Welcker M, Clurman BE (2008). FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. Nat Rev Cancer, 8:83-93.
- [59] van Drogen F, Sangfelt O, Malyukova A, Matskova L, Yeh E, Means AR, et al. (2006). Ubiquitylation of cyclin E requires the sequential function of SCF complexes containing distinct hCdc4 isoforms. Mol Cell, 23:37-48.
- [60] Grim JE, Gustafson MP, Hirata RK, Hagar AC, Swanger J, Welcker M, et al. (2008). Isoform- and cell cycle-dependent substrate degradation by the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase. J Cell Biol, 181:913-920.
- [61] Carabet LA, Rennie PS, Cherkasov A (2019). Therapeutic Inhibition of Myc in Cancer. Structural Bases and Computer-Aided Drug Discovery Approaches. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20.
- [62] Rottmann S, Lüscher B (2006). The Mad side of the Max network: antagonizing the function of Myc and more. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol, 302:63-122.
- [63] Chung YM, Kim JS, Yoo YD (2006). A novel protein, Romo1, induces ROS production in the mitochondria. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 347:649-655.
- [64] Chung JS, Lee SB, Park SH, Kang ST, Na AR, Chang TS, et al. (2009). Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species originating from Romo1 exert an important role in normal cell cycle progression by regulating p27(Kip1) expression. Free Radic Res, 43:729-737.
- [65] Kim SY, Herbst A, Tworkowski KA, Salghetti SE, Tansey WP (2003). Skp2 regulates Myc protein stability and activity. Mol Cell, 11:1177-1188.
- [66] Li S, Jiang C, Pan J, Wang X, Jin J, Zhao L, et al. (2015). Regulation of c-Myc protein stability by

proteasome activator REGγ. Cell Death Differ, 22:1000-1011.

- [67] Jung YS, Qian Y, Chen X (2012). Pirh2 RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase: its role in tumorigenesis and cancer therapy. FEBS Lett, 586:1397-1402.
- [68] Hakem A, Bohgaki M, Lemmers B, Tai E, Salmena L, Matysiak-Zablocki E, et al. (2011). Role of Pirh2 in mediating the regulation of p53 and c-Myc. PLoS Genet, 7:e1002360.
- [69] Jin Y, Nenseth HZ, Saatcioglu F (2017). Role of PLZF as a tumor suppressor in prostate cancer. Oncotarget, 8:71317-71324.
- [70] McConnell MJ, Chevallier N, Berkofsky-Fessler W, Giltnane JM, Malani RB, Staudt LM, et al. (2003). Growth suppression by acute promyelocytic leukemiaassociated protein PLZF is mediated by repression of c-myc expression. Mol Cell Biol, 23:9375-9388.
- [71] Shi J, Vogt PK (2009). Posttranslational regulation of Myc by promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein. Int J Cancer, 125:1558-1565.
- [72] Cannell IG, Kong YW, Bushell M (2008). How do microRNAs regulate gene expression? Biochem Soc Trans, 36:1224-1231.
- [73] Valencia-Sanchez MA, Liu J, Hannon GJ, Parker R (2006). Control of translation and mRNA degradation by miRNAs and siRNAs. Genes Dev, 20:515-524.
- [74] Cannell IG, Kong YW, Johnston SJ, Chen ML, Collins HM, Dobbyn HC, et al. (2010). p38 MAPK/MK2 mediated induction of miR-34c following DNA damage prevents Myc-dependent DNA replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 107:5375-5380.
- [75] Cannell I, Bushell M (2010). Regulation of Myc by miR-34c: A mechanism to prevent genomic instability? Cell Cycle, 9:2798-2802.
- [76] Levens D (2008). How the c-myc Promoter Works and Why It Sometimes Does Not. JNCI Monographs, 2008:41-43.
- [77] Macián F, López-Rodríguez C, Rao A (2001). Partners in transcription: NFAT and AP-1. Oncogene, 20:2476- 2489.
- [78] Buchholz M, Schatz A, Wagner M, Michl P, Linhart T, Adler G, et al. (2006). Overexpression of c-myc in pancreatic cancer caused by ectopic activation of NFATc1 and the Ca2+/calcineurin signaling pathway. Embo j, 25:3714-3724.
- [79] Henriksson M, Bakardjiev A, Klein G, Lüscher B (1993). Phosphorylation sites mapping in the Nterminal domain of c-myc modulate its transforming potential. Oncogene, 8:3199-3209.
- [80] Lüscher B, Larsson L-G (1999). The basic region/helix – loop – helix/leucine zipper domain of Myc proto-oncoproteins: Function and regulation. Oncogene, 18:2955-2966.
- [81] Baudino Troy A, Cleveland John L (2001). The Max Network Gone Mad. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 21:691-702.
- [82] Walz S, Lorenzin F, Morton J, Wiese KE, von Eyss B, Herold S, et al. (2014). Activation and repression by oncogenic MYC shape tumour-specific gene expression profiles. Nature, 511:483-487.
- [83] Peukert K, Staller P, Schneider A, Carmichael G, Hänel F, Eilers M (1997). An alternative pathway for gene regulation by Myc. The EMBO Journal, 16:5672- 5686.
- [84] Shostak A, Ruppert B, Ha N, Bruns P, Toprak UH, Lawerenz C, et al. (2016). MYC/MIZ1-dependent gene repression inversely coordinates the circadian clock with cell cycle and proliferation. Nature Communications, 7:11807.
- [85] Blackwell TK, Huang J, Ma A, Kretzner L, Alt FW, Eisenman RN, et al. (1993). Binding of myc proteins to canonical and noncanonical DNA sequences. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 13:5216-5224.
- [86] Lorenzin F, Benary U, Baluapuri A, Walz S, Jung LA, von Eyss B, et al. (2016). Different promoter affinities account for specificity in MYC-dependent gene regulation. eLife, 5:e15161.
- [87] Scafuro M, Capasso L, Carafa V, Altucci L, Nebbioso A (2021). Gene Transactivation and Transrepression in MYC-Driven Cancers. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22:3458.
- [88] Agarwal S, Milazzo G, Rajapakshe K, Bernardi R, Chen Z, Barbieri E, et al. (2018). MYCN acts as a direct co-regulator of p53 in MYCN amplified neuroblastoma. Oncotarget, 9:20323-20338.
- [89] Giardino Torchia ML, Ashwell JD (2018). Getting MAD at MYC. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115:9821-9823.
- [90] Vo BT, Wolf E, Kawauchi D, Gebhardt A, Rehg JE, Finkelstein D, et al. (2016). The Interaction of Myc with Miz1 Defines Medulloblastoma Subgroup Identity. Cancer Cell, 29:5-16.
- [91] Schick M, Habringer S, Nilsson JA, Keller U (2017). Pathogenesis and therapeutic targeting of aberrant MYC expression in haematological cancers. British Journal of Haematology, 179:724-738.
- [92] Alitalo K, Bishop JM, Smith DH, Chen EY, Colby WW, Levinson AD (1983). Nucleotide sequence to the v-myc oncogene of avian retrovirus MC29. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 80:100-104.
- [93] Dang CV (1999). c-Myc target genes involved in cell growth, apoptosis, and metabolism. Mol Cell Biol, 19:1-11.
- [94] Nilsson JA, Cleveland JL (2003). Myc pathways provoking cell suicide and cancer. Oncogene, 22:9007-9021.
- [95] Hoffman B, Liebermann DA (2008). Apoptotic signaling by c-MYC. Oncogene, 27:6462-6472.
- [96] Mendrysa SM, Akagi K, Roayaei J, Lien WH, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, et al. (2010). An Integrated Genetic-Genomic Approach for the Identification of Novel Cancer Loci in Mice Sensitized to c-Myc-Induced Apoptosis. Genes Cancer, 1:465-479.
- [97] Clegg NJ, Couto SS, Wongvipat J, Hieronymus H, Carver BS, Taylor BS, et al. (2011). MYC cooperates with AKT in prostate tumorigenesis and alters sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors. PLoS One, 6:e17449.
- [98] Schmitt CA, Lowe SW (2001). Bcl-2 mediates chemoresistance in matched pairs of primary E(mu) myc lymphomas in vivo. Blood Cells Mol Dis,

27:206-216.

- [99] Schmitt CA, McCurrach ME, de Stanchina E, Wallace-Brodeur RR, Lowe SW (1999). INK4a/ARF mutations accelerate lymphomagenesis and promote chemoresistance by disabling p53. Genes Dev, 13:2670-2677.
- [100] Jacobs JJ, Scheijen B, Voncken JW, Kieboom K, Berns A, van Lohuizen M (1999). Bmi-1 collaborates with c-Myc in tumorigenesis by inhibiting c-Myc-induced apoptosis via INK4a/ARF. Genes Dev, 13:2678-2690.
- [101] Lowe SW, Cepero E, Evan G (2004). Intrinsic tumour suppression. Nature, 432:307-315.
- [102] Reimann M, Lee S, Loddenkemper C, Dörr JR, Tabor V, Aichele P, et al. (2010). Tumor stroma-derived TGF-beta limits myc-driven lymphomagenesis via Suv39h1-dependent senescence. Cancer Cell, 17:262- 272.
- [103] van Riggelen J, Müller J, Otto T, Beuger V, Yetil A, Choi PS, et al. (2010). The interaction between Myc and Miz1 is required to antagonize TGFbetadependent autocrine signaling during lymphoma formation and maintenance. Genes Dev, 24:1281-1294.
- [104] Beer S, Zetterberg A, Ihrie RA, McTaggart RA, Yang Q, Bradon N, et al. (2004). Developmental context determines latency of MYC-induced tumorigenesis. PLoS Biol, 2:e332.
- [105] Bern MD, Parikh BA, Yang L, Beckman DL, Poursine-Laurent J, Yokoyama WM (2018). Inducible down-regulation of MHC class I results in natural killer cell tolerance. Journal of Experimental Medicine, 216:99-116.
- [106] Li G, Henry SA, Liu H, Kang T-S, Nao S-C, Zhao Y, et al. (2020). A robust photoluminescence screening assay identifies uracil-DNA glycosylase inhibitors against prostate cancer. Chem Sci, 11:1750-1760.
- [107] Kärre K, Ljunggren HG, Piontek G, Kiessling R (1986). Selective rejection of H–2-deficient lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. Nature, 319:675-678.
- [108] Ennishi D, Jiang A, Boyle M, Collinge B, Grande BM, Ben-Neriah S, et al. (2018). Double-Hit Gene Expression Signature Defines a Distinct Subgroup of Germinal Center B-Cell-Like Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 37:190-201.
- [109] Staege MS, Lee SP, Frisan T, Mautner J, Scholz S, Pajic A, et al. (2002). MYC overexpression imposes a nonimmunogenic phenotype on Epstein-Barr virusinfected B cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 99:4550- 4555.
- [110] Swaminathan S, Hansen AS, Heftdal LD, Dhanasekaran R, Deutzmann A, Fernandez WDM, et al. (2020). MYC functions as a switch for natural killer cell-mediated immune surveillance of lymphoid malignancies. Nature Communications, 11:2860.
- [111] Textor S, Bossler F, Henrich K-O, Gartlgruber M, Pollmann J, Fiegler N, et al. (2016). The protooncogene Myc drives expression of the NK cellactivating NKp30 ligand B7-H6 in tumor cells. OncoImmunology, 5:e1116674.
- [112] Casey SC, Tong L, Li Y, Do R, Walz S, Fitzgerald KN,

et al. (2016). MYC regulates the antitumor immune response through CD47 and PD-L1. Science, 352:227- 231.

- [113] Li W, Gupta SK, Han W, Kundson RA, Nelson S, Knutson D, et al. (2019). Targeting MYC activity in double-hit lymphoma with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements with epigenetic bromodomain inhibitors. Journal of Hematology & Oncology, 12:73.
- [114] Yetil A, Anchang B, Gouw AM, Adam SJ, Zabuawala T, Parameswaran R, et al. (2015). p19ARF is a critical mediator of both cellular senescence and an innate immune response associated with MYC inactivation in mouse model of acute leukemia. Oncotarget; Vol 6, No 6.
- [115] Soucek L, Lawlor ER, Soto D, Shchors K, Swigart LB, Evan GI (2007). Mast cells are required for angiogenesis and macroscopic expansion of Mycinduced pancreatic islet tumors. Nature Medicine, 13:1211-1218.
- [116] Zhao Y, Huang X, Ding TW, Gong Z (2016). Enhanced angiogenesis, hypoxia and neutrophil recruitment during Myc-induced liver tumorigenesis in zebrafish. Scientific Reports, 6:31952.
- [117] Topper MJ, Vaz M, Chiappinelli KB, DeStefano Shields CE, Niknafs N, Yen R-WC, et al. (2017). Epigenetic Therapy Ties MYC Depletion to Reversing Immune Evasion and Treating Lung Cancer. Cell, 171:1284-1300.e1221.
- [118] God JM, Cameron C, Figueroa J, Amria S, Hossain A, Kempkes B, et al. (2015). Elevation of c-MYC Disrupts HLA Class II–Mediated Immune Recognition of Human B Cell Tumors. The Journal of Immunology, 194:1434.
- [119] Schlee M, Schuhmacher M, Hölzel M, Laux G, Bornkamm GW. 2007. c‐MYC Impairs Immunogenicity of Human B Cells. In Advances in Cancer Research: Academic Press. 167-188.
- [120] Walling BL, Kim M (2018). LFA-1 in T Cell Migration and Differentiation. Front Immunol, 9:952.
- [121] Amandine D, Nicolas A, Magali F, Hélène L, Catherine O-M, Nathalie G, et al. (2017). c-Myc dysregulation is a co-transforming event for nuclear factor-κB activated B cells. Haematologica, 102:883- 894.
- [122] Pajic A, Staege MS, Dudziak D, Schuhmacher M, Spitkovsky D, Eissner G, et al. (2001). Antagonistic effects of c-myc and Epstein-Barr virus latent genes on the phenotype of human B cells. International Journal of Cancer, 93:810-816.
- [123] Elgueta R, Benson MJ, De Vries VC, Wasiuk A, Guo Y, Noelle RJ (2009). Molecular mechanism and function of CD40/CD40L engagement in the immune system. Immunological Reviews, 229:152-172.
- [124] Bromley SK, Iaboni A, Davis SJ, Whitty A, Green JM, Shaw AS, et al. (2001). The immunological synapse and CD28-CD80 interactions. Nature Immunology, 2:1159-1166.
- [125] Xu P-p, Sun C, Cao X, Zhao X, Dai H-j, Lu S, et al. (2018). Immune Characteristics of Chinese Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma Patients: Implications for

Cancer Immunotherapies. EBioMedicine, 33:94-104.

- [126] Chen L (2004). Co-inhibitory molecules of the B7– CD28 family in the control of T-cell immunity. Nature Reviews Immunology, 4:336-347.
- [127] Wang J, Jia Y, Zhao S, Zhang X, Wang X, Han X, et al. (2017). BIN1 reverses PD-L1-mediated immune escape by inactivating the c-MYC and EGFR/MAPK signaling pathways in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene, 36:6235-6243.
- [128] Liang MQ, Yu FQ, Chen C (2020). C-Myc regulates PD-L1 expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Am J Transl Res, 12:379-388.
- [129] Kim EY, Kim A, Kim SK, Chang YS (2017). MYC expression correlates with PD-L1 expression in nonsmall cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer, 110:63-67.
- [130] Wang WG, Jiang XN, Sheng D, Sun CB, Lee J, Zhou XY, et al. (2019). PD-L1 over-expression is driven by B-cell receptor signaling in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Lab Invest, 99:1418-1427.
- [131] Hogg SJ, Vervoort SJ, Deswal S, Ott CJ, Li J, Cluse LA, et al. (2017). BET-Bromodomain Inhibitors Engage the Host Immune System and Regulate Expression of the Immune Checkpoint Ligand PD-L1. Cell Rep, 18:2162-2174.
- [132] Aravalli RN, Talbot NC, Steer CJ (2015). Gene expression profiling of MYC-driven tumor signatures in porcine liver stem cells by transcriptome sequencing. World J Gastroenterol, 21:2011-2029.
- [133] Giorgio ED, Paluvai H, Picco R, Brancolini C (2019). Genetic Programs Driving Oncogenic Transformation: Lessons from in Vitro Models. Int J Mol Sci, 20.
- [134] Borrello MG, Degl'Innocenti D, Pierotti MA (2008). Inflammation and cancer: the oncogene-driven connection. Cancer Lett, 267:262-270.
- [135] Sipos F, Firneisz G, Műzes G (2016). Therapeutic aspects of c-MYC signaling in inflammatory and cancerous colonic diseases. World J Gastroenterol, 22:7938-7950.
- [136] Cheung KL, Lee JH, Khor TO, Wu TY, Li GX, Chan J, et al. (2014). Nrf2 knockout enhances intestinal tumorigenesis in Apc(min/+) mice due to attenuation of anti-oxidative stress pathway while potentiates inflammation. Mol Carcinog, 53:77-84.
- [137] Nguyen HTT, Dalmasso G, Yan Y, Laroui H, Charania M, Ingersoll S, et al. (2012). Intestinal epithelial cellspecific CD98 expression regulates tumorigenesis in ApcMin/+ mice. Laboratory Investigation, 92:1203- 1212.
- [138] Kawamata S, Matsuzaki K, Murata M, Seki T, Matsuoka K, Iwao Y, et al. (2011). Oncogenic Smad3 signaling induced by chronic inflammation is an early event in ulcerative colitis-associated carcinogenesis. Inflammatory bowel diseases, 17:683-695.
- [139] Soucek L, Buggy JJ, Kortlever R, Adimoolam S, Monclús HA, Allende MTS, et al. (2011). Modeling pharmacological inhibition of mast cell degranulation as a therapy for insulinoma. Neoplasia, 13:1093- IN1043.
- [140] Wang SC, Nassour I, Xiao S, Zhang S, Luo X, Lee J, et al. (2019). SWI/SNF component ARID1A restrains

pancreatic neoplasia formation. Gut, 68:1259-1270.

- [141] Wu H, Yang TY, Li Y, Ye WL, Liu F, He XS, et al. (2020). Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor–Associated Factor 6 Promotes Hepatocarcinogenesis by Interacting With Histone Deacetylase 3 to Enhance c‐ Myc Gene Expression and Protein Stability. Hepatology, 71:148-163.
- [142] Teng K-Y, Han J, Zhang X, Hsu S-H, He S, Wani NA, et al. (2017). Blocking the CCL2–CCR2 Axis Using CCL2-Neutralizing Antibody Is an Effective Therapy for Hepatocellular Cancer in a Mouse ModelCCL2 Immunotherapy Suppresses Hepatitis and HCC. Molecular cancer therapeutics, 16:312-322.
- [143] Finnberg N, Klein-Szanto AJ, El-Deiry WS (2007). TRAIL-R deficiency in mice promotes susceptibility to chronic inflammation and tumorigenesis. The Journal of clinical investigation, 118.
- [144] Craig VJ, Cogliatti SB, Imig J, Renner C, Neuenschwander S, Rehrauer H, et al. (2011). Mycmediated repression of microRNA-34a promotes highgrade transformation of B-cell lymphoma by dysregulation of FoxP1. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 117:6227-6236.
- [145] Vyas P (2022). Genetic and non-genetic mechanisms of inflammation may promote transformation in leukemia. Cell Stem Cell, 29:184-186.
- [146] Mishra A, Liu S, Sams GH, Curphey DP, Santhanam R, Rush LJ, et al. (2012). Aberrant overexpression of IL-15 initiates large granular lymphocyte leukemia through chromosomal instability and DNA hypermethylation. Cancer cell, 22:645-655.
- [147] Qu D, Shen L, Liu S, Li H, Ma Y, Zhang R, et al. (2017). Chronic inflammation confers to the metabolic reprogramming associated with tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer. Cancer biology & therapy, 18:237- 244.
- [148] Valencia T, Kim JY, Abu-Baker S, Moscat-Pardos J, Ahn CS, Reina-Campos M, et al. (2014). Metabolic reprogramming of stromal fibroblasts through p62 mTORC1 signaling promotes inflammation and tumorigenesis. Cancer cell, 26:121-135.
- [149] Zhang C, Su Z-Y, Wang L, Shu L, Yang Y, Guo Y, et al. (2016). Epigenetic blockade of neoplastic transformation by bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) domain protein inhibitor JQ-1. Biochemical pharmacology, 117:35-45.
- [150] Wang L, Zhao Y, Liu Y, Akiyama K, Chen C, Qu C, et al. (2013). IFN- γ and TNF- α synergistically induce mesenchymal stem cell impairment and tumorigenesis via NFκB signaling. Stem cells, 31:1383-1395.
- [151] Warburg O (1956). On the origin of cancer cells. Science, 123:309-314.
- [152] Kim JW, Zeller KI, Wang Y, Jegga AG, Aronow BJ, O'Donnell KA, et al. (2004). Evaluation of myc E-box phylogenetic footprints in glycolytic genes by chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. Mol Cell Biol, 24:5923-5936.
- [153] Osthus RC, Shim H, Kim S, Li Q, Reddy R, Mukherjee M, et al. (2000). Deregulation of glucose transporter 1 and glycolytic gene expression by c-Myc.

J Biol Chem, 275:21797-21800.

- [154] Gan L, Xiu R, Ren P, Yue M, Su H, Guo G, et al. (2016). Metabolic targeting of oncogene MYC by selective activation of the proton-coupled monocarboxylate family of transporters. Oncogene, 35:3037-3048.
- [155] David CJ, Chen M, Assanah M, Canoll P, Manley JL (2010). HnRNP proteins controlled by c-Myc deregulate pyruvate kinase mRNA splicing in cancer. Nature, 463:364-368.
- [156] Kim JW, Gao P, Liu YC, Semenza GL, Dang CV (2007). Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and dysregulated c-Myc cooperatively induce vascular endothelial growth factor and metabolic switches hexokinase 2 and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1. Mol Cell Biol, 27:7381-7393.
- [157] Qing G, Skuli N, Mayes PA, Pawel B, Martinez D, Maris JM, et al. (2010). Combinatorial regulation of neuroblastoma tumor progression by N-Myc and hypoxia inducible factor HIF-1alpha. Cancer Res, 70:10351-10361.
- [158] Gao P, Tchernyshyov I, Chang TC, Lee YS, Kita K, Ochi T, et al. (2009). c-Myc suppression of miR-23a/b enhances mitochondrial glutaminase expression and glutamine metabolism. Nature, 458:762-765.
- [159] Sun L, Song L, Wan Q, Wu G, Li X, Wang Y, et al. (2015). cMyc-mediated activation of serine biosynthesis pathway is critical for cancer progression under nutrient deprivation conditions. Cell Res, 25:429-444.
- [160] Hosios AM, Hecht VC, Danai LV, Johnson MO, Rathmell JC, Steinhauser ML, et al. (2016). Amino Acids Rather than Glucose Account for the Majority of Cell Mass in Proliferating Mammalian Cells. Dev Cell, 36:540-549.
- [161] Yue M, Jiang J, Gao P, Liu H, Qing G (2017). Oncogenic MYC Activates a Feedforward Regulatory Loop Promoting Essential Amino Acid Metabolism and Tumorigenesis. Cell Rep, 21:3819-3832.
- [162] Venkateswaran N, Lafita-Navarro MC, Hao YH, Kilgore JA, Perez-Castro L, Braverman J, et al. (2019). MYC promotes tryptophan uptake and metabolism by the kynurenine pathway in colon cancer. Genes Dev, 33:1236-1251.
- [163] Cervenka I, Agudelo LZ, Ruas JL (2017). Kynurenines: Tryptophan's metabolites in exercise, inflammation, and mental health. Science, 357.
- [164] Wise DR, DeBerardinis RJ, Mancuso A, Sayed N, Zhang XY, Pfeiffer HK, et al. (2008). Myc regulates a transcriptional program that stimulates mitochondrial glutaminolysis and leads to glutamine addiction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 105:18782-18787.
- [165] Bott AJ, Peng IC, Fan Y, Faubert B, Zhao L, Li J, et al. (2015). Oncogenic Myc Induces Expression of Glutamine Synthetase through Promoter Demethylation. Cell Metab, 22:1068-1077.
- [166] Li G, Huang Q, Wong VKW, Wang W, Leung C-H (2023). Development of a dual targeting scaffold of SET7/MLL inhibitor for castration-resistant prostate cancer treatment. Genes Dis.
- [167] Yuneva MO, Fan TW, Allen TD, Higashi RM, Ferraris DV, Tsukamoto T, et al. (2012). The metabolic profile of tumors depends on both the responsible genetic lesion and tissue type. Cell Metab, 15:157-170.
- [168] Liu W, Le A, Hancock C, Lane AN, Dang CV, Fan TW, et al. (2012). Reprogramming of proline and glutamine metabolism contributes to the proliferative and metabolic responses regulated by oncogenic transcription factor c-MYC. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109:8983-8988.
- [169] Liu W, Hancock CN, Fischer JW, Harman M, Phang JM (2015). Proline biosynthesis augments tumor cell growth and aerobic glycolysis: involvement of pyridine nucleotides. Sci Rep, 5:17206.
- [170] Sahu N, Dela Cruz D, Gao M, Sandoval W, Haverty PM, Liu J, et al. (2016). Proline Starvation Induces Unresolved ER Stress and Hinders mTORC1- Dependent Tumorigenesis. Cell Metab, 24:753-761.
- [171] Chaneton B, Hillmann P, Zheng L, Martin ACL, Maddocks ODK, Chokkathukalam A, et al. (2012). Serine is a natural ligand and allosteric activator of pyruvate kinase M2. Nature, 491:458-462.
- [172] Ye J, Fan J, Venneti S, Wan YW, Pawel BR, Zhang J, et al. (2014). Serine catabolism regulates mitochondrial redox control during hypoxia. Cancer Discov, 4:1406-1417.
- [173] Nikiforov MA, Chandriani S, O'Connell B, Petrenko O, Kotenko I, Beavis A, et al. (2002). A functional screen for Myc-responsive genes reveals serine hydroxymethyltransferase, a major source of the onecarbon unit for cell metabolism. Mol Cell Biol, 22:5793-5800.
- [174] Nilsson LM, Forshell TZ, Rimpi S, Kreutzer C, Pretsch W, Bornkamm GW, et al. (2012). Mouse genetics suggests cell-context dependency for Mycregulated metabolic enzymes during tumorigenesis. PLoS Genet, 8:e1002573.
- [175] Adams CM (2007). Role of the transcription factor ATF4 in the anabolic actions of insulin and the antianabolic actions of glucocorticoids. J Biol Chem, 282:16744-16753.
- [176] Edmunds LR, Sharma L, Kang A, Lu J, Vockley J, Basu S, et al. (2014). c-Myc programs fatty acid metabolism and dictates acetyl-CoA abundance and fate. J Biol Chem, 289:25382-25392.
- [177] Priolo C, Pyne S, Rose J, Regan ER, Zadra G, Photopoulos C, et al. (2014). AKT1 and MYC induce distinctive metabolic fingerprints in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res, 74:7198-7204.
- [178] Perry RH, Bellovin DI, Shroff EH, Ismail AI, Zabuawala T, Felsher DW, et al. (2013). Characterization of MYC-induced tumorigenesis by in situ lipid profiling. Anal Chem, 85:4259-4262.
- [179] Casciano JC, Perry C, Cohen-Nowak AJ, Miller KD, Vande Voorde J, Zhang Q, et al. (2020). MYC regulates fatty acid metabolism through a multigenic program in claudin-low triple negative breast cancer. British Journal of Cancer, 122:868-884.
- [180] Dong Y, Tu R, Liu H, Qing G (2020). Regulation of cancer cell metabolism: oncogenic MYC in the

driver's seat. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, 5:124.

- [181] Morrish F, Noonan J, Perez-Olsen C, Gafken PR, Fitzgibbon M, Kelleher J, et al. (2010). Mycdependent mitochondrial generation of acetyl-CoA contributes to fatty acid biosynthesis and histone acetylation during cell cycle entry. The Journal of biological chemistry, 285:36267-36274.
- [182] Gouw AM, Margulis K, Liu NS, Raman SJ, Mancuso A, Toal GG, et al. (2019). The MYC Oncogene Cooperates with Sterol-Regulated Element-Binding Protein to Regulate Lipogenesis Essential for Neoplastic Growth. Cell Metab, 30:556-572.e555.
- [183] Carroll PA, Diolaiti D, McFerrin L, Gu H, Djukovic D, Du J, et al. (2015). Deregulated Myc requires MondoA/Mlx for metabolic reprogramming and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell, 27:271-285.
- [184] Zhong C, Fan L, Yao F, Shi J, Fang W, Zhao H (2014). HMGCR is necessary for the tumorigenecity of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and is regulated by Myc. Tumor Biology, 35:4123-4129.
- [185] Cao Z, Fan-Minogue H, Bellovin DI, Yevtodiyenko A, Arzeno J, Yang Q, et al. (2011). MYC phosphorylation, activation, and tumorigenic potential in hepatocellular carcinoma are regulated by HMG-CoA reductase. Cancer Res, 71:2286-2297.
- [186] Zirath H, Frenzel A, Oliynyk G, Segerström L, Westermark UK, Larsson K, et al. (2013). MYC inhibition induces metabolic changes leading to accumulation of lipid droplets in tumor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110:10258-10263.
- [187] Camarda R, Zhou AY, Kohnz RA, Balakrishnan S, Mahieu C, Anderton B, et al. (2016). Inhibition of fatty acid oxidation as a therapy for MYC-overexpressing triple-negative breast cancer. Nat Med, 22:427-432.
- [188] Casciano JC, Perry C, Cohen-Nowak AJ, Miller KD, Vande Voorde J, Zhang Q, et al. (2020). MYC regulates fatty acid metabolism through a multigenic program in claudin-low triple negative breast cancer. Br J Cancer, 122:868-884.
- [189] Singh KB, Hahm E-R, Kim S-H, Wendell SG, Singh SV (2021). A novel metabolic function of Myc in regulation of fatty acid synthesis in prostate cancer. Oncogene, 40:592-602.
- [190] Mushtaq M, Darekar S, Klein G, Kashuba E (2015). Different Mechanisms of Regulation of the Warburg Effect in Lymphoblastoid and Burkitt Lymphoma Cells. PLoS One, 10:e0136142.
- [191] Bae S, Park PSU, Lee Y, Mun SH, Giannopoulou E, Fujii T, et al. (2021). MYC-mediated early glycolysis negatively regulates proinflammatory responses by controlling IRF4 in inflammatory macrophages. Cell Rep, 35:109264.
- [192] Mane MM, Cohen IJ, Ackerstaff E, Shalaby K, Ijoma JN, Ko M, et al. (2020). Lactate Dehydrogenase A Depletion Alters MyC-CaP Tumor Metabolism, Microenvironment, and CAR T Cell Therapy. Mol Ther Oncolytics, 18:382-395.
- [193] Bohn T, Rapp S, Luther N, Klein M, Bruehl T-J, Kojima N, et al. (2018). Tumor immunoevasion via

acidosis-dependent induction of regulatory tumorassociated macrophages. Nature Immunology, 19:1319-1329.

- [194] Brand A, Singer K, Koehl Gudrun E, Kolitzus M, Schoenhammer G, Thiel A, et al. (2016). LDHA-Associated Lactic Acid Production Blunts Tumor Immunosurveillance by T and NK Cells. Cell Metabolism, 24:657-671.
- [195] Colegio OR, Chu N-Q, Szabo AL, Chu T, Rhebergen AM, Jairam V, et al. (2014). Functional polarization of tumour-associated macrophages by tumour-derived lactic acid. Nature, 513:559-563.
- [196] de Jonge AV, Mutis T, Roemer MGM, Scheijen B, Chamuleau MED (2020). Impact of MYC on Anti-Tumor Immune Responses in Aggressive B Cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas: Consequences for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers, 12:3052.
- [197] Yu YR, Ho PC (2019). Sculpting tumor microenvironment with immune system: from immunometabolism to immunoediting. Clinical and Experimental Immunology, 197:153-160.
- [198] Jiang X, Wang J, Deng X, Xiong F, Ge J, Xiang B, et al. (2019). Role of the tumor microenvironment in PD-L1/PD-1-mediated tumor immune escape. Molecular cancer, 18:10-10.
- [199] Bott AJ, Peng IC, Fan Y, Faubert B, Zhao L, Li J, et al. (2015). Oncogenic Myc Induces Expression of Glutamine Synthetase through Promoter Demethylation. Cell metabolism, 22:1068-1077.
- [200] Yoo HC, Yu YC, Sung Y, Han JM (2020). Glutamine reliance in cell metabolism. Experimental & Molecular Medicine, 52:1496-1516.
- [201] Chang CH, Qiu J, O'Sullivan D, Buck MD, Noguchi T, Curtis JD, et al. (2015). Metabolic Competition in the Tumor Microenvironment Is a Driver of Cancer Progression. Cell, 162:1229-1241.
- [202] Scherer Paul C, Zaccor Nicholas W, Neumann Neil M, Vasavda C, Barrow R, Ewald Andrew J, et al. (2017). TRPV1 is a physiological regulator of μ-opioid receptors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114:13561-13566.
- [203] Mateyak MK, Obaya AJ, Adachi S, Sedivy JM (1997). Phenotypes of c-Myc-deficient rat fibroblasts isolated by targeted homologous recombination. Cell Growth Differ, 8:1039-1048.
- [204] Adhikary S, Marinoni F, Hock A, Hulleman E, Popov N, Beier R, et al. (2005). The ubiquitin ligase HectH9 regulates transcriptional activation by Myc and is essential for tumor cell proliferation. Cell, 123:409- 421.
- [205] Duda P, Akula SM, Abrams SL, Steelman LS, Martelli AM, Cocco L, et al. (2020). Targeting GSK3 and Associated Signaling Pathways Involved in Cancer. Cells, 9:1110.
- [206] Dang CV (2013). MYC, metabolism, cell growth, and tumorigenesis. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine, 3:a014217.
- [207] Schuhmacher M, Staege MS, Pajic A, Polack A, Weidle UH, Bornkamm GW, et al. (1999). Control of cell growth by c-Myc in the absence of cell division.

Curr Biol, 9:1255-1258.

- [208] Schuhmacher M, Eick D (2013). Dose-dependent regulation of target gene expression and cell proliferation by c-Myc levels. Transcription, 4:192- 197.
- [209] Wang H, Mannava S, Grachtchouk V, Zhuang D, Soengas MS, Gudkov AV, et al. (2008). c-Myc depletion inhibits proliferation of human tumor cells at various stages of the cell cycle. Oncogene, 27:1905- 1915.
- [210] Demma MJ, Hohn MJ, Sun A, Mapelli C, Hall B, Walji A, et al. (2020). Inhibition of Myc transcriptional activity by a mini-protein based upon Mxd1. FEBS Lett, 594:1467-1476.
- [211] Yap CS, Peterson AL, Castellani G, Sedivy JM, Neretti N (2011). Kinetic profiling of the c-Myc transcriptome and bioinformatic analysis of repressed gene promoters. Cell Cycle, 10:2184-2196.
- [212] Hanson KD, Shichiri M, Follansbee MR, Sedivy JM (1994). Effects of c-myc expression on cell cycle progression. Mol Cell Biol, 14:5748-5755.
- [213] Cowling VH, Cole MD (2007). The Myc transactivation domain promotes global phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II carboxyterminal domain independently of direct DNA binding. Mol Cell Biol, 27:2059-2073.
- [214] Lupini L, Bassi C, Ferracin M, Bartonicek N, D'Abundo L, Zagatti B, et al. (2013). miR-221 affects multiple cancer pathways by modulating the level of hundreds messenger RNAs. Front Genet, 4:64.
- [215] le Sage C, Nagel R, Egan DA, Schrier M, Mesman E, Mangiola A, et al. (2007). Regulation of the p27(Kip1) tumor suppressor by miR-221 and miR-222 promotes cancer cell proliferation. Embo j, 26:3699-3708.
- [216] Philipp A, Schneider A, Väsrik I, Finke K, Xiong Y, Beach D, et al. (1994). Repression of cyclin D1: a novel function of MYC. Mol Cell Biol, 14:4032-4043.
- [217] Bouchard C, Dittrich O, Kiermaier A, Dohmann K, Menkel A, Eilers M, et al. (2001). Regulation of cyclin D2 gene expression by the Myc/Max/Mad network: Myc-dependent TRRAP recruitment and histone acetylation at the cyclin D2 promoter. Genes Dev, 15:2042-2047.
- [218] Ohtani K, DeGregori J, Nevins JR (1995). Regulation of the cyclin E gene by transcription factor E2F1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 92:12146-12150.
- [219] Menssen A, Hermeking H (2002). Characterization of the c-MYC-regulated transcriptome by SAGE: identification and analysis of c-MYC target genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 99:6274-6279.
- [220] Pavletich NP (1999). Mechanisms of cyclin-dependent kinase regulation: structures of Cdks, their cyclin activators, and Cip and INK4 inhibitors. J Mol Biol, 287:821-828.
- [221] Sherr CJ, Roberts JM (1999). CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G1-phase progression. Genes Dev, 13:1501-1512.
- [222] Lee EW, Lee MS, Camus S, Ghim J, Yang MR, Oh W, et al. (2009). Differential regulation of p53 and p21 by MKRN1 E3 ligase controls cell cycle arrest and

apoptosis. Embo j, 28:2100-2113.

- [223] García-Gutiérrez L, Bretones G, Molina E, Arechaga I, Symonds C, Acosta JC, et al. (2019). Myc stimulates cell cycle progression through the activation of Cdk1 and phosphorylation of p27. Scientific Reports, 9:18693.
- [224] Tago K, Funakoshi-Tago M, Itoh H, Furukawa Y, Kikuchi J, Kato T, et al. (2015). Arf tumor suppressor disrupts the oncogenic positive feedback loop including c-Myc and DDX5. Oncogene, 34:314-322.
- [225] Jiang L, Zawacka-Pankau J (2020). The p53/MDM2/MDMX-targeted therapies—a clinical synopsis. Cell Death & Disease, 11:237.
- [226] Chen D, Kon N, Zhong J, Zhang P, Yu L, Gu W (2013). Differential effects on ARF stability by normal versus oncogenic levels of c-Myc expression. Molecular cell, 51:46-56.
- [227] Sarkar D, Fisher PB (2006). Regulation of Myc function by ARF: checkpoint for Myc-induced oncogenesis. Cancer Biol Ther, 5:693-695.
- [228] García-Gutiérrez L, Delgado MD, León J (2019). MYC Oncogene Contributions to Release of Cell Cycle Brakes. Genes, 10.
- [229] Bretones G, Delgado MD, León J (2015). Myc and cell cycle control. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms, 1849:506-516.
- [230] Rounbehler RJ, Rogers PM, Conti CJ, Johnson DG (2002). Inactivation of E2f1 enhances tumorigenesis in a Myc transgenic model. Cancer Res, 62:3276-3281.
- [231] Kim JW, Mori S, Nevins JR (2010). Myc-induced microRNAs integrate Myc-mediated cell proliferation and cell fate. Cancer Res, 70:4820-4828.
- [232] Jung P, Menssen A, Mayr D, Hermeking H (2008). AP4 encodes a c-MYC-inducible repressor of p21. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 105:15046-15051.
- [233] Warner BJ, Blain SW, Seoane J, Massagué J (1999). Myc downregulation by transforming growth factor beta required for activation of the $p15(Ink4b)$ G(1) arrest pathway. Mol Cell Biol, 19:5913-5922.
- [234] Staller P, Peukert K, Kiermaier A, Seoane J, Lukas J, Karsunky H, et al. (2001). Repression of p15INK4b expression by Myc through association with Miz-1. Nat Cell Biol, 3:392-399.
- [235] Wiese KE, Walz S, von Eyss B, Wolf E, Athineos D, Sansom O, et al. (2013). The role of MIZ-1 in MYCdependent tumorigenesis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 3:a014290.
- [236] Jiang G, Espeseth A, Hazuda DJ, Margolis DM (2007). c-Myc and Sp1 contribute to proviral latency by recruiting histone deacetylase 1 to the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 promoter. J Virol, 81:10914-10923.
- [237] Feng XH, Liang YY, Liang M, Zhai W, Lin X (2016). Direct Interaction of c-Myc with Smad2 and Smad3 to Inhibit TGF-β-Mediated Induction of the CDK Inhibitor p15(Ink4B). Mol Cell, 62:152.
- [238] Chen D, Shan J, Zhu WG, Qin J, Gu W (2010). Transcription-independent ARF regulation in oncogenic stress-mediated p53 responses. Nature, 464:624-627.
- [239] el-Deiry WS, Tokino T, Velculescu VE, Levy DB, Parsons R, Trent JM, et al. (1993). WAF1, a potential mediator of p53 tumor suppression. Cell, 75:817-825.
- [240] Mitchell KO, El-Deiry WS (1999). Overexpression of c-Myc inhibits p21WAF1/CIP1 expression and induces S-phase entry in 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA)-sensitive human cancer cells. Cell Growth Differ, 10:223-230.
- [241] Amundson SA, Zhan Q, Penn LZ, Fornace AJ, Jr. (1998). Myc suppresses induction of the growth arrest genes gadd34, gadd45, and gadd153 by DNAdamaging agents. Oncogene, 17:2149-2154.
- [242] Jin S, Tong T, Fan W, Fan F, Antinore MJ, Zhu X, et al. (2002). GADD45-induced cell cycle G2-M arrest associates with altered subcellular distribution of cyclin B1 and is independent of p38 kinase activity. Oncogene, 21:8696-8704.
- [243] Möröy T, Saba I, Kosan C (2011). The role of the transcription factor Miz-1 in lymphocyte development and lymphomagenesis—Binding Myc makes the difference. Seminars in Immunology, 23:379-387.
- [244] Wong PP, Miranda F, Chan KV, Berlato C, Hurst HC, Scibetta AG (2012). Histone demethylase KDM5B collaborates with TFAP2C and Myc to repress the cell cycle inhibitor p21(cip) (CDKN1A). Mol Cell Biol, 32:1633-1644.
- [245] Wong P, Iwasaki M, Somervaille TC, Ficara F, Carico C, Arnold C, et al. (2010). The miR-17-92 microRNA polycistron regulates MLL leukemia stem cell potential by modulating p21 expression. Cancer Res, 70:3833-3842.
- [246] Martins CP, Berns A (2002). Loss of p27(Kip1) but not p21(Cip1) decreases survival and synergizes with MYC in murine lymphomagenesis. Embo j, 21:3739- 3748.
- [247] Obaya AJ, Sedivy JM (2002). Regulation of cyclin-Cdk activity in mammalian cells. Cell Mol Life Sci, 59:126-142.
- [248] Bretones G, Acosta JC, Caraballo JM, Ferrándiz N, Gómez-Casares MT, Albajar M, et al. (2011). SKP2 oncogene is a direct MYC target gene and MYC down-regulates p27(KIP1) through SKP2 in human leukemia cells. J Biol Chem, 286:9815-9825.
- [249] Keller UB, Old JB, Dorsey FC, Nilsson JA, Nilsson L, MacLean KH, et al. (2007). Myc targets Cks1 to provoke the suppression of p27Kip1, proliferation and lymphomagenesis. Embo j, 26:2562-2574.
- [250] Blanco-Bose WE, Murphy MJ, Ehninger A, Offner S, Dubey C, Huang W, et al. (2008). C-Myc and its target FoxM1 are critical downstream effectors of constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) mediated direct liver hyperplasia. Hepatology, 48:1302-1311.
- [251] Valovka T, Schönfeld M, Raffeiner P, Breuker K, Dunzendorfer-Matt T, Hartl M, et al. (2013). Transcriptional control of DNA replication licensing by Myc. Scientific Reports, 3:3444.
- [252] Perna D, Fagà G, Verrecchia A, Gorski MM, Barozzi I, Narang V, et al. (2012). Genome-wide mapping of Myc binding and gene regulation in serum-stimulated fibroblasts. Oncogene, 31:1695-1709.
- [253] Dominguez-Sola D, Ying CY, Grandori C, Ruggiero L, Chen B, Li M, et al. (2007). Non-transcriptional control of DNA replication by c-Myc. Nature, 448:445-451.
- [254] Primorac I, Musacchio A (2013). Panta rhei: the APC/C at steady state. J Cell Biol, 201:177-189.
- [255] Menssen A, Epanchintsev A, Lodygin D, Rezaei N, Jung P, Verdoodt B, et al. (2007). c-MYC delays prometaphase by direct transactivation of MAD2 and BubR1: identification of mechanisms underlying c-MYC-induced DNA damage and chromosomal instability. Cell Cycle, 6:339-352.
- [256] Littler S, Sloss O, Geary B, Pierce A, Whetton AD, Taylor SS Oncogenic MYC amplifies mitotic perturbations. Open Biology, 9:190136.
- [257] Mei Y, Wu M (2016). Noncoding RNAs Regulating p53 and c-Myc Signaling. Adv Exp Med Biol, 927:337-365.
- [258] Bui TV, Mendell JT (2010). Myc: Maestro of MicroRNAs. Genes Cancer, 1:568-575.
- [259] Bueno MJ, Malumbres M (2011). MicroRNAs and the cell cycle. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease, 1812:592-601.
- [260] Izadirad M, Jafari L, James AR, Unfried JP, Wu Z-X, Chen Z-S (2021). Long noncoding RNAs have pivotal roles in chemoresistance of acute myeloid leukemia. Drug Discovery Today, 26:1735-1743.
- [261] Doose G, Haake A, Bernhart SH, López C, Duggimpudi S, Wojciech F, et al. (2015). MINCR is a MYC-induced lncRNA able to modulate MYC's transcriptional network in Burkitt lymphoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112:E5261-5270.
- [262] Evan GI, Vousden KH (2001). Proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis in cancer. Nature, 411:342-348.
- [263] Candé C, Cecconi F, Dessen P, Kroemer G (2002). Apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF): key to the conserved caspase-independent pathways of cell death? J Cell Sci, 115:4727-4734.
- [264] Brentnall M, Rodriguez-Menocal L, De Guevara RL, Cepero E, Boise LH (2013). Caspase-9, caspase-3 and caspase-7 have distinct roles during intrinsic apoptosis. BMC Cell Biol, 14:32.
- [265] Kale J, Osterlund EJ, Andrews DW (2018). BCL-2 family proteins: changing partners in the dance towards death. Cell Death Differ, 25:65-80.
- [266] O'Neill KL, Huang K, Zhang J, Chen Y, Luo X (2016). Inactivation of prosurvival Bcl-2 proteins activates Bax/Bak through the outer mitochondrial membrane. Genes Dev, 30:973-988.
- [267] Lavrik I, Golks A, Krammer PH (2005). Death receptor signaling. J Cell Sci, 118:265-267.
- [268] Peter ME, Krammer PH (2003). The CD95(APO-1/Fas) DISC and beyond. Cell Death Differ, 10:26-35.
- [269] Chang DW, Xing Z, Capacio VL, Peter ME, Yang X (2003). Interdimer processing mechanism of procaspase-8 activation. Embo j, 22:4132-4142.
- [270] Schleich K, Buchbinder JH, Pietkiewicz S, Kähne T, Warnken U, Öztürk S, et al. (2016). Molecular architecture of the DED chains at the DISC: regulation of procaspase-8 activation by short DED proteins c-

FLIP and procaspase-8 prodomain. Cell Death Differ, 23:681-694.

- [271] Li H, Zhu H, Xu C-j, Yuan J (1998). Cleavage of BID by Caspase 8 Mediates the Mitochondrial Damage in the Fas Pathway of Apoptosis. Cell, 94:491-501.
- [272] Gross A, Yin XM, Wang K, Wei MC, Jockel J, Milliman C, et al. (1999). Caspase cleaved BID targets mitochondria and is required for cytochrome c release, while BCL-XL prevents this release but not tumor necrosis factor-R1/Fas death. J Biol Chem, 274:1156- 1163.
- [273] Hughes MA, Powley IR, Jukes-Jones R, Horn S, Feoktistova M, Fairall L, et al. (2016). Co-operative and Hierarchical Binding of c-FLIP and Caspase-8: A Unified Model Defines How c-FLIP Isoforms Differentially Control Cell Fate. Mol Cell, 61:834-849.
- [274] Juin P, Hueber AO, Littlewood T, Evan G (1999). c-Myc-induced sensitization to apoptosis is mediated through cytochrome c release. Genes Dev, 13:1367- 1381.
- [275] Mitchell KO, Ricci MS, Miyashita T, Dicker DT, Jin Z, Reed JC, et al. (2000). Bax is a transcriptional target and mediator of c-myc-induced apoptosis. Cancer Res, 60:6318-6325.
- [276] Juin P, Hunt A, Littlewood T, Griffiths B, Swigart LB, Korsmeyer S, et al. (2002). c-Myc functionally cooperates with Bax to induce apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol, 22:6158-6169.
- [277] Eischen CM, Woo D, Roussel MF, Cleveland JL (2001). Apoptosis triggered by Myc-induced suppression of Bcl-X(L) or Bcl-2 is bypassed during lymphomagenesis. Mol Cell Biol, 21:5063-5070.
- [278] Klefstrom J, Västrik I, Saksela E, Valle J, Eilers M, Alitalo K (1994). c-Myc induces cellular susceptibility to the cytotoxic action of TNF-alpha. Embo j, 13:5442-5450.
- [279] Sayyadi M, Safaroghli-Azar A, Safa M, Abolghasemi H, Momeny M, Bashash D (2020). NF-κB-dependent Mechanism of Action of c-Myc Inhibitor 10058-F4: Highlighting a Promising Effect of c-Myc Inhibition in Leukemia Cells, Irrespective of p53 Status. Iran J Pharm Res, 19:153-165.
- [280] Klefstrom J, Verschuren EW, Evan G (2002). c-Myc augments the apoptotic activity of cytosolic death receptor signaling proteins by engaging the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. J Biol Chem, 277:43224-43232.
- [281] Järvinen K, Hotti A, Santos L, Nummela P, Hölttä E (2011). Caspase-8, c-FLIP, and caspase-9 in c-Mycinduced apoptosis of fibroblasts. Exp Cell Res, 317:2602-2615.
- [282] Brunner T, Kasibhatla S, Pinkoski MJ, Frutschi C, Yoo NJ, Echeverri F, et al. (2000). Expression of Fas ligand in activated T cells is regulated by c-Myc. J Biol Chem, 275:9767-9772.
- [283] Haupt S, Berger M, Goldberg Z, Haupt Y (2003). Apoptosis - the p53 network. J Cell Sci, 116:4077- 4085.
- [284] Wawryk-Gawda E, Chylińska-Wrzos P, Lis-Sochocka M, Chłapek K, Bulak K, Jędrych M, et al. (2014). P53

protein in proliferation, repair and apoptosis of cells. Protoplasma, 251:525-533.

- [285] Geyer RK, Yu ZK, Maki CG (2000). The MDM2 RING-finger domain is required to promote p53 nuclear export. Nat Cell Biol, 2:569-573.
- [286] Zindy F, Eischen CM, Randle DH, Kamijo T, Cleveland JL, Sherr CJ, et al. (1998). Myc signaling via the ARF tumor suppressor regulates p53 dependent apoptosis and immortalization. Genes Dev, 12:2424-2433.
- [287] Blyth K, Stewart M, Bell M, James C, Evan G, Neil JC, et al. (2000). Sensitivity to myc-induced apoptosis is retained in spontaneous and transplanted lymphomas of CD2-mycER mice. Oncogene, 19:773- 782.
- [288] Redza-Dutordoir M, Averill-Bates DA (2016). Activation of apoptosis signalling pathways by reactive oxygen species. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research, 1863:2977- 2992.
- [289] Tanaka H, Matsumura I, Ezoe S, Satoh Y, Sakamaki T, Albanese C, et al. (2002). E2F1 and c-Myc potentiate apoptosis through inhibition of NF-kappaB activity that facilitates MnSOD-mediated ROS elimination. Mol Cell, 9:1017-1029.
- [290] Packham G, Cleveland JL (1994). Ornithine decarboxylase is a mediator of c-Myc-induced apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol, 14:5741-5747.
- [291] Peck B, Ferber EC, Schulze A (2013). Antagonism between FOXO and MYC Regulates Cellular Powerhouse. Front Oncol, 3:96.
- [292] Gan B, Lim C, Chu G, Hua S, Ding Z, Collins M, et al. (2010). FoxOs enforce a progression checkpoint to constrain mTORC1-activated renal tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell, 18:472-484.
- [293] Delpuech O, Griffiths B, East P, Essafi A, Lam EW, Burgering B, et al. (2007). Induction of Mxi1-SR alpha by FOXO3a contributes to repression of Mycdependent gene expression. Mol Cell Biol, 27:4917- 4930.
- [294] Amente S, Zhang J, Lavadera ML, Lania L, Avvedimento EV, Majello B (2011). Myc and PI3K/AKT signaling cooperatively repress FOXO3adependent PUMA and GADD45a gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res, 39:9498-9507.
- [295] Hamanaka RB, Chandel NS (2010). Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate cellular signaling and dictate biological outcomes. Trends Biochem Sci, 35:505-513.
- [296] Jensen KS, Binderup T, Jensen KT, Therkelsen I, Borup R, Nilsson E, et al. (2011). FoxO3A promotes metabolic adaptation to hypoxia by antagonizing Myc function. Embo j, 30:4554-4570.
- [297] Ferber EC, Peck B, Delpuech O, Bell GP, East P, Schulze A (2012). FOXO3a regulates reactive oxygen metabolism by inhibiting mitochondrial gene expression. Cell Death Differ, 19:968-979.
- [298] Kagaya S, Kitanaka C, Noguchi K, Mochizuki T, Sugiyama A, Asai A, et al. (1997). A functional role for death proteases in s-Myc- and c-Myc-mediated

apoptosis. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 17:6736- 6745.

- [299] Galaktionov K, Chen X, Beach D (1996). Cdc25 cellcycle phosphatase as a target of c-myc. Nature, 382:511-517.
- [300] Hoffman B, Liebermann DA (1998). The protooncogene c-myc and apoptosis. Oncogene, 17:3351- 3357.
- [301] Toh PP, Luo S, Menzies FM, Raskó T, Wanker EE, Rubinsztein DC (2013). Myc inhibition impairs autophagosome formation. Hum Mol Genet, 22:5237- 5248.
- [302] Li G, Boyle JW, Ko C-N, Zeng W, Wong VKW, Wan J-B, et al. (2019). Aurone derivatives as Vps34 inhibitors that modulate autophagy. Acta Pharm Sin B, 9:537-544.
- [303] Li H, Liu J, Cao W, Xiao X, Liang L, Liu-Smith F, et al. (2019). C-myc/miR-150/EPG5 axis mediated dysfunction of autophagy promotes development of non-small cell lung cancer. Theranostics, 9:5134-5148.
- [304] Mo H, He J, Yuan Z, Wu Z, Liu B, Lin X, et al. (2019). PLK1 contributes to autophagy by regulating MYC stabilization in osteosarcoma cells. Onco Targets Ther, 12:7527-7536.
- [305] Cianfanelli V, Fuoco C, Lorente M, Salazar M, Quondamatteo F, Gherardini PF, et al. (2015). AMBRA1 links autophagy to cell proliferation and tumorigenesis by promoting c-Myc dephosphorylation and degradation. Nat Cell Biol, 17:20-30.
- [306] Hart LS, Cunningham JT, Datta T, Dey S, Tameire F, Lehman SL, et al. (2012). ER stress-mediated autophagy promotes Myc-dependent transformation and tumor growth. J Clin Invest, 122:4621-4634.
- [307] Kon M, Kiffin R, Koga H, Chapochnick J, Macian F, Varticovski L, et al. (2011). Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy Is Required for Tumor Growth. Science Translational Medicine, 3:109ra117-109ra117.
- [308] Gomes LR, Menck CFM, Cuervo AM (2017). Chaperone-mediated autophagy prevents cellular transformation by regulating MYC proteasomal degradation. Autophagy, 13:928-940.
- [309] Vara-Perez M, Felipe-Abrio B, Agostinis P (2019). Mitophagy in Cancer: A Tale of Adaptation. Cells, 8.
- [310] Zhang C, Nie P, Zhou C, Hu Y, Duan S, Gu M, et al. (2021). Oxidative stress-induced mitophagy is suppressed by the miR-106b-93-25 cluster in a protective manner. Cell Death Dis, 12:209.
- [311] Kim EH, Choi KS (2008). A critical role of superoxide anion in selenite-induced mitophagic cell death. Autophagy, 4:76-78.
- [312] Takahashi Y, Hori T, Cooper TK, Liao J, Desai N, Serfass JM, et al. (2013). Bif-1 haploinsufficiency promotes chromosomal instability and accelerates Myc-driven lymphomagenesis via suppression of mitophagy. Blood, 121:1622-1632.
- [313] Jorgensen I, Miao EA (2015). Pyroptotic cell death defends against intracellular pathogens. Immunological Reviews, 265:130-142.
- [314] Bergsbaken T, Fink SL, Cookson BT (2009). Pyroptosis: host cell death and inflammation. Nature

Reviews Microbiology, 7:99-109.

- [315] Gaikwad SM, Phyo Z, Arteaga AQ, Gorjifard S, Calabrese DR, Connors D, et al. (2020). A Small Molecule Stabilizer of the MYC G4-Quadruplex Induces Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress, Senescence and Pyroptosis in Multiple Myeloma. Cancers, 12.
- [316] Hoffmann J, Luxán G, Abplanalp WT, Glaser S-F, Rasper T, Fischer A, et al. (2021). Post-myocardial infarction heart failure dysregulates the bone vascular niche. Nature Communications, 12:3964.
- [317] Gao M, Monian P, Quadri N, Ramasamy R, Jiang X (2015). Glutaminolysis and Transferrin Regulate Ferroptosis. Mol Cell, 59:298-308.
- [318] Jiang L, Kon N, Li T, Wang SJ, Su T, Hibshoosh H, et al. (2015). Ferroptosis as a p53-mediated activity during tumour suppression. Nature, 520:57-62.
- [319] Yang WS, SriRamaratnam R, Welsch ME, Shimada K, Skouta R, Viswanathan VS, et al. (2014). Regulation of ferroptotic cancer cell death by GPX4. Cell, 156:317-331.
- [320] Lu C, Tan C, Ouyang H, Chen Z, Yan Z, Zhang M (2022). Ferroptosis in Intracerebral Hemorrhage: A Panoramic Perspective of the Metabolism, Mechanism and Theranostics. Aging Dis, 13.
- [321] Jiang Y, Mao C, Yang R, Yan B, Shi Y, Liu X, et al. (2017). EGLN1/c-Myc Induced Lymphoid-Specific Helicase Inhibits Ferroptosis through Lipid Metabolic Gene Expression Changes. Theranostics, 7:3293-3305.
- [322] Miess H, Dankworth B, Gouw AM, Rosenfeldt M, Schmitz W, Jiang M, et al. (2018). The glutathione redox system is essential to prevent ferroptosis caused by impaired lipid metabolism in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Oncogene, 37:5435-5450.
- [323] Jiang L, Chen XB, Wu Q, Zhu HY, Du CY, Ying MD, et al. (2021). The C terminus of DJ-1 determines its homodimerization, MGO detoxification activity and suppression of ferroptosis. Acta Pharmacol Sin, 42:1150-1159.
- [324] Alborzinia H, Flórez AF, Gogolin S, Brückner LM, Shao C, Gartlgruber M, et al. (2021). MYCN mediates cysteine addiction and sensitizes to ferroptosis. bioRxiv:2021.2008.2010.455675.
- [325] Mota-Martorell N, Jové M, Borrás C, Berdún R, Obis È, Sol J, et al. (2021). Methionine transsulfuration pathway is upregulated in long-lived humans. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 162:38-52.
- [326] Ursini F, Maiorino M (2020). Lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis: The role of GSH and GPx4. Free Radic Biol Med, 152:175-185.
- [327] Anderson S, Poudel Kumud R, Roh-Johnson M, Brabletz T, Yu M, Borenstein-Auerbach N, et al. (2016). MYC-nick promotes cell migration by inducing fascin expression and Cdc42 activation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113:E5481-E5490.
- [328] Conacci-Sorrell M, Ngouenet C, Anderson S, Brabletz T, Eisenman RN (2014). Stress-induced cleavage of Myc promotes cancer cell survival. Genes Dev, 28:689-707.
- [329] Machesky LM, Li A (2010). Fascin: Invasive filopodia

promoting metastasis. Commun Integr Biol, 3:263- 270.

- [330] Zanet J, Jayo A, Plaza S, Millard T, Parsons M, Stramer B (2012). Fascin promotes filopodia formation independent of its role in actin bundling. J Cell Biol, 197:477-486.
- [331] Aratyn YS, Schaus TE, Taylor EW, Borisy GG (2007). Intrinsic dynamic behavior of fascin in filopodia. Mol Biol Cell, 18:3928-3940.
- [332] Vignjevic D, Kojima S, Aratyn Y, Danciu O, Svitkina T, Borisy GG (2006). Role of fascin in filopodial protrusion. J Cell Biol, 174:863-875.
- [333] Wolfer A, Ramaswamy S (2011). MYC and Metastasis. Cancer Research, 71:2034-2037.
- [334] Boyerinas B, Park S-M, Shomron N, Hedegaard MM, Vinther J, Andersen JS, et al. (2008). Identification of Let-7–Regulated Oncofetal Genes. Cancer Research, 68:2587-2591.
- [335] Chang T-C, Yu D, Lee Y-S, Wentzel EA, Arking DE, West KM, et al. (2008). Widespread microRNA repression by Myc contributes to tumorigenesis. Nature Genetics, 40:43-50.
- [336] Chang T-C, Zeitels Lauren R, Hwang H-W, Chivukula Raghu R, Wentzel Erik A, Dews M, et al. (2009). Lin-28B transactivation is necessary for Myc-mediated let-7 repression and proliferation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106:3384-3389.
- [337] Dangi-Garimella S, Yun J, Eves EM, Newman M, Erkeland SJ, Hammond SM, et al. (2009). Raf kinase inhibitory protein suppresses a metastasis signalling cascade involving LIN28 and let-7. The EMBO Journal, 28:347-358.
- [338] Zhao Y, Jian W, Gao W, Zheng Y-X, Wang Y-K, Zhou Z-Q, et al. (2013). RNAi silencing of c-Myc inhibits cell migration, invasion, and proliferation in HepG2 human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line: c-Myc silencing in hepatocellular carcinoma cell. Cancer Cell International, 13:23.
- [339] Ma X, Huang J, Tian Y, Chen Y, Yang Y, Zhang X, et al. (2017). Myc suppresses tumor invasion and cell migration by inhibiting JNK signaling. Oncogene, 36:3159-3167.
- [340] Alfano D, Votta G, Schulze A, Downward J, Caputi M, Stoppelli MP, et al. (2010). Modulation of cellular migration and survival by c-Myc through the downregulation of urokinase (uPA) and uPA receptor. Mol Cell Biol, 30:1838-1851.
- [341] Chuan YC, Iglesias-Gato D, Fernandez-Perez L, Cedazo-Minguez A, Pang ST, Norstedt G, et al. (2010). Ezrin mediates c-Myc actions in prostate cancer cell invasion. Oncogene, 29:1531-1542.
- [342] Zhuang C, Ma Q, Zhuang C, Ye J, Zhang F, Gui Y (2019). LncRNA GClnc1 promotes proliferation and invasion of bladder cancer through activation of MYC. The FASEB Journal, 33:11045-11059.
- [343] Wang F, Li X, Xie X, Zhao L, Chen W (2008). UCA1, a non-protein-coding RNA up-regulated in bladder carcinoma and embryo, influencing cell growth and promoting invasion. FEBS Lett, 582:1919-1927.
- [344] Sanchez Calle A, Kawamura Y, Yamamoto Y,

Takeshita F, Ochiya T (2018). Emerging roles of long non-coding RNA in cancer. Cancer Sci, 109:2093- 2100.

- [345] Faraji SN, Mojtahedi Z, Ghalamfarsa G, Takhshid MA (2015). N-myc downstream regulated gene 2 overexpression reduces matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9 activities and cell invasion of A549 lung cancer cell line in vitro. Iran J Basic Med Sci, 18:773-779.
- [346] Zhou B, Tang Z, Deng Y, Hou S, Liu N, Lin W, et al. (2014). Tumor suppressor candidate gene, NDRG2 is frequently inactivated in human glioblastoma multiforme. Mol Med Rep, 10:891-896.
- [347] Shon SK, Kim A, Kim JY, Kim KI, Yang Y, Lim JS (2009). Bone morphogenetic protein-4 induced by NDRG2 expression inhibits MMP-9 activity in breast cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 385:198-203.
- [348] Cheung LW, Leung PC, Wong AS (2006). Gonadotropin-releasing hormone promotes ovarian cancer cell invasiveness through c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase-mediated activation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and MMP-9. Cancer Res, 66:10902-10910.
- [349] Chen C, Cai S, Wang G, Cao X, Yang X, Luo X, et al. (2013). c-Myc enhances colon cancer cell-mediated angiogenesis through the regulation of HIF-1α. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 430:505-511.
- [350] Testini C, Smith RO, Jin Y, Martinsson P, Sun Y, Hedlund M, et al. (2019). Myc-dependent endothelial proliferation is controlled by phosphotyrosine 1212 in VEGF receptor-2. EMBO reports, 20:e47845.
- [351] Xue C, Li X, Ba L, Zhang M, Yang Y, Gao Y, et al. (2021). MSC-derived exosomes can enhance the angiogenesis of human brain MECs and show therapeutic potential in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Aging Dis, 12:1211.
- [352] Baudino TA, McKay C, Pendeville-Samain H, Nilsson JA, Maclean KH, White EL, et al. (2002). c-Myc is essential for vasculogenesis and angiogenesis during development and tumor progression. Genes Dev, 16:2530-2543.
- [353] Ma J, Tang W, Gu R, Hu F, Zhang L, Wu J, et al. (2020). SHP-2-Induced Activation of c-Myc Is Involved in PDGF-B-Regulated Cell Proliferation and Angiogenesis in RMECs. Front Physiol, 11:555006.
- [354] Yang Y, Gu J, Li X, Xue C, Ba L, Gao Y, et al. (2021). HIF-1α promotes the migration and invasion of cancer-associated fibroblasts by miR-210. Aging Dis, 12:1794.
- [355] Li M, Fang L, Kwantwi LB, He G, Luo W, Yang L, et al. (2021). N-Myc promotes angiogenesis and therapeutic resistance of prostate cancer by TEM8. Medical Oncology, 38:127.
- [356] Ito T, Ando H, Suzuki T, Ogura T, Hotta K, Imamura Y, et al. (2010). Identification of a Primary Target of Thalidomide Teratogenicity. Science, 327:1345-1350.
- [357] Gottesman MM, Fojo T, Bates SE (2002). Multidrug resistance in cancer: role of ATP–dependent transporters. Nature Reviews Cancer, 2:48-58.
- [358] Ambudkar SV, Dey S, Hrycyna CA, Ramachandra M, Pastan I, Gottesman MM (1999). BIOCHEMICAL, CELLULAR, AND PHARMACOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF THE MULTIDRUG TRANSPORTER. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 39:361-398.
- [359] Franssen LE, Nijhof IS, Couto S, Levin MD, Bos GMJ, Broijl A, et al. (2018). Cereblon loss and up-regulation of c-Myc are associated with lenalidomide resistance in multiple myeloma patients. Haematologica, 103:e368-e371.
- [360] Mori T, Verma R, Nakamoto-Matsubara R, Siu KT, Panaroni C, Fulzele KS, et al. (2021). Low NCOR2 levels in multiple myeloma patients drive multidrug resistance via MYC upregulation. Blood Cancer Journal, 11:194.
- [361] Lonard DM, O'Malley BW (2012). Nuclear receptor coregulators: modulators of pathology and therapeutic targets. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 8:598-604.
- [362] Qiao L, Liu X, Tang Y, Zhao Z, Zhang J, Liu H (2018). Knockdown of long non-coding RNA prostate cancerassociated ncRNA transcript 1 inhibits multidrug resistance and c-Myc-dependent aggressiveness in colorectal cancer Caco-2 and HT-29 cells. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 441:99-108.
- [363] Panzitt K, Tschernatsch MM, Guelly C, Moustafa T, Stradner M, Strohmaier HM, et al. (2007). Characterization of HULC, a novel gene with striking up-regulation in hepatocellular carcinoma, as noncoding RNA. Gastroenterology, 132:330-342.
- [364] Prensner JR, Iyer MK, Balbin OA, Dhanasekaran SM, Cao Q, Brenner JC, et al. (2011). Transcriptome sequencing across a prostate cancer cohort identifies PCAT-1, an unannotated lincRNA implicated in disease progression. Nat Biotechnol, 29:742-749.
- [365] Kim D-Y, Kim M-J, Kim H-B, Lee J-W, Bae J-H, Kim D-W, et al. (2011). Suppression of multidrug resistance by treatment with TRAIL in human ovarian and breast cancer cells with high level of c-Myc. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease, 1812:796-805.
- [366] Ying HE, Jiren Z, Jian Z, Yawei Y, null n The role of c-myc in regulating mdrl gene expression in tumor cell line KB. Chinese Medical Journal, 113:848-851.
- [367] Wang M, Zhang X-J, Feng R, Jiang Y, Zhang D-Y, He C, et al. (2017). Hepatoprotective properties of Penthorum chinense Pursh against carbon tetrachloride-induced acute liver injury in mice. Chin Med, 12:1-11.
- [368] Zhu L, Zhang X, Fu X, Li Z, Sun Z, Wu J, et al. (2019). c-Myc mediated upregulation of long noncoding RNA SNHG12 regulates proliferation and drug sensitivity in natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 120:12628-12637.
- [369] Chang Y-T, Teng Y-N, Lin K-I, Wang CCN, Morris-Natschke SL, Lee K-H, et al. (2019). Danazol mediates collateral sensitivity via STAT3/Myc related pathway in multidrug-resistant cancer cells. Scientific Reports, 9:11628.
- [370] Su C, Liu S, Ma X, Liu J, Liu J, Lei M, et al. (2021).

The effect and mechanism of erianin on the reversal of oxaliplatin resistance in human colon cancer cells. Cell Biology International, 45:2420-2428.

- [371] Nanayakkara AK, Follit CA, Chen G, Williams NS, Vogel PD, Wise JG (2018). Targeted inhibitors of Pglycoprotein increase chemotherapeutic-induced mortality of multidrug resistant tumor cells. Scientific Reports, 8:967.
- [372] Si W, Shen J, Du C, Chen D, Gu X, Li C, et al. (2018). A miR-20a/MAPK1/c-Myc regulatory feedback loop regulates breast carcinogenesis and chemoresistance. Cell Death & Differentiation, 25:406-420.
- [373] Yan Y, Liu F, Han L, Zhao L, Chen J, Olopade OI, et al. (2018). HIF-2α promotes conversion to a stem cell phenotype and induces chemoresistance in breast cancer cells by activating Wnt and Notch pathways. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, 37:256.
- [374] Mirzaei S, Gholami MH, Hashemi F, Zabolian A, Farahani MV, Hushmandi K, et al. (2022). Advances in understanding the role of P-gp in doxorubicin resistance: Molecular pathways, therapeutic strategies, and prospects. Drug Discovery Today, 27:436-455.
- [375] Li G, Liu H, Feng R, Kang T-S, Wang W, Ko C-N, et al. (2021). A bioactive ligand-conjugated iridium (III) metal-based complex as a Keap1–Nrf2 protein-protein interaction inhibitor against acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury. Red Biol, 48:102129.
- [376] Muñoz Velasco R, Jiménez Sánchez P, García García A, Blanco Martinez-Illescas R, Pastor Senovilla Á, Lozano Yagüe M, et al. (2022). Targeting BPTF Sensitizes Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma to Chemotherapy by Repressing ABC-Transporters and Impairing Multidrug Resistance (MDR). Cancers (Basel), 14.
- [377] Adamska A, Falasca M (2018). ATP-binding cassette transporters in progression and clinical outcome of pancreatic cancer: What is the way forward? World J Gastroenterol, 24:3222-3238.
- [378] Porro A, Haber M, Diolaiti D, Iraci N, Henderson M, Gherardi S, et al. (2010). Direct and coordinate regulation of ATP-binding cassette transporter genes by Myc factors generates specific transcription signatures that significantly affect the chemoresistance phenotype of cancer cells. J Biol Chem, 285:19532- 19543.
- [379] Kanda M, Matthaei H, Wu J, Hong SM, Yu J, Borges M, et al. (2012). Presence of somatic mutations in most early-stage pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia. Gastroenterology, 142:730-733.e739.
- [380] Kfoury A, Armaro M, Collodet C, Sordet-Dessimoz J, Giner MP, Christen S, et al. (2018). AMPK promotes survival of c-Myc-positive melanoma cells by suppressing oxidative stress. The EMBO Journal, 37:e97673.
- [381] Monteverde T, Muthalagu N, Port J, Murphy DJ (2015). Evidence of cancer-promoting roles for AMPK and related kinases. The FEBS Journal, 282:4658-4671.
- [382] Tan W, Zhong Z, Carney RP, Men Y, Li J, Pan T, et al.

(2019). Deciphering the metabolic role of AMPK in cancer multi-drug resistance. Semin Cancer Biol, 56:56-71.

- [383] Shin DH, Choi Y-J, Park J-W (2014). SIRT1 and AMPK Mediate Hypoxia-Induced Resistance of Non– Small Cell Lung Cancers to Cisplatin and Doxorubicin. Cancer Research, 74:298-308.
- [384] Chen J-c, Li G-d, Peng F, Jie X-m, Dongye G-z, Zhong Y, et al. (2016). Investigation of inducing apoptosis in human lung cancer A549 cells and related mechanism of a ruthenium (II) polypyridyl complex. Inorg Chem Commun, 69:35-39.
- [385] Zhu Z, Cui L, Yang J, Vong CT, Hu Y, Xiao J, et al. (2021). Anticancer effects of asiatic acid against doxorubicin-resistant breast cancer cells via an AMPK-dependent pathway in vitro. Phytomedicine, 92:153737.
- [386] Dzutsev A, Badger JH, Perez-Chanona E, Roy S, Salcedo R, Smith CK, et al. (2017). Microbes and Cancer. Annu Rev Immunol, 35:199-228.
- [387] Wu B, Yan J, Yang J, Xia Y, Li D, Zhang F, et al. (2022). Extension of the Life Span by Acarbose: Is It Mediated by the Gut Microbiota? Aging Dis, 13:1005.
- [388] Yu D, Yu X, Ye A, Xu C, Li X, Geng W, et al. (2021). Profiling of gut microbial dysbiosis in adults with myeloid leukemia. FEBS Open Bio, 11:2050-2059.
- [389] Zhou H, Yuan Y, Wang H, Xiang W, Li S, Zheng H, et al. (2021). Gut Microbiota: A Potential Target for Cancer Interventions. Cancer Manag Res, 13:8281- 8296.
- [390] dos Santos Cruz BC, da Silva Duarte V, Sousa Dias R, Ladeira Bernardes A, de Paula SO, de Luces Fortes Ferreira CL, et al. (2022). Synbiotic modulates intestinal microbiota metabolic pathways and inhibits DMH-induced colon tumorigenesis through c-myc and PCNA suppression. Food Research International, 158:111379.
- [391] Chapman TM, Plosker GL, Figgitt DP (2006). VSL#3 probiotic mixture: a review of its use in chronic inflammatory bowel diseases. Drugs, 66:1371-1387.
- [392] Butler DSC, Cafaro C, Putze J, Wan MLY, Tran TH, Ambite I, et al. (2021). A bacterial protease depletes c-MYC and increases survival in mouse models of bladder and colon cancer. Nature Biotechnology, 39:754-764.
- [393] Li L, Ren C, Yang G, Fattah EA, Goltsov AA, Kim SM, et al. (2011). GLIPR1 Suppresses Prostate Cancer Development through Targeted Oncoprotein Destruction. Cancer Research, 71:7694-7704.
- [394] Wang X-N, Su X-X, Cheng S-Q, Sun Z-Y, Huang Z-S, Ou T-M (2019). MYC modulators in cancer: a patent review. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents, 29:353-367.
- [395] Thng DKH, Toh TB, Chow EK (2021). Capitalizing on Synthetic Lethality of MYC to Treat Cancer in the Digital Age. Trends Pharmacol Sci, 42:166-182.
- [396] Suraweera A, O'Byrne KJ, Richard DJ (2018). Combination Therapy With Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors (HDACi) for the Treatment of Cancer: Achieving the Full Therapeutic Potential of HDACi.

Front Oncol, 8:92.

- [397] Madden SK, de Araujo AD, Gerhardt M, Fairlie DP, Mason JM (2021). Taking the Myc out of cancer: toward therapeutic strategies to directly inhibit c-Myc. Molecular Cancer, 20:3.
- [398] Massó-Vallés D, Soucek L (2020). Blocking Myc to Treat Cancer: Reflecting on Two Decades of Omomyc. Cells, 9.
- [399] Beaulieu M-E, Jauset T, Massó-Vallés D, Martínez-Martín S, Rahl P, Maltais L, et al. (2019). Intrinsic cellpenetrating activity propels Omomyc from proof of concept to viable anti-MYC therapy. Science Translational Medicine, 11:eaar5012.
- [400] Allen-Petersen BL, Sears RC (2019). Mission Possible: Advances in MYC Therapeutic Targeting in Cancer. BioDrugs, 33:539-553.
- [401] Yin X, Giap C, Lazo JS, Prochownik EV (2003). Low molecular weight inhibitors of Myc–Max interaction and function. Oncogene, 22:6151-6159.
- [402] Berg T, Cohen SB, Desharnais J, Sonderegger C, Maslyar DJ, Goldberg J, et al. (2002). Small-molecule antagonists of Myc/Max dimerization inhibit Mycinduced transformation of chicken embryo fibroblasts. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99:3830-3835.
- [403] Madden SK, de Araujo AD, Gerhardt M, Fairlie DP, Mason JM (2021). Taking the Myc out of cancer: toward therapeutic strategies to directly inhibit c-Myc. Mol Cancer, 20:3.
- [404] Fletcher S, Prochownik EV (2015). Small-molecule inhibitors of the Myc oncoprotein. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms, 1849:525-543.
- [405] Ke H, Harris R, Coloff JL, Jin JY, Leshin B, de Marval PM, et al. (2010). The c-Jun NH2-Terminal Kinase 2 Plays a Dominant Role in Human Epidermal Neoplasia. Cancer Research, 70:3080-3088.
- [406] Chauhan J, Wang H, Yap JL, Sabato PE, Hu A, Prochownik EV, et al. (2014). Discovery of Methyl 4′- Methyl-5-(7-nitrobenzo[c][1,2,5]oxadiazol-4-yl)-[1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate, an Improved Small-Molecule Inhibitor of c-Myc–Max Dimerization. ChemMedChem, 9:2274-2285.
- [407] Shukla S, Fletcher S, Chauhan J, Chalfant V, Riveros C, Mackeyev Y, et al. (2022). 3JC48-3 (methyl 4′ methyl-5-(7-nitrobenzo[c][1,2,5]oxadiazol-4-yl)- [1,1′-biphenyl]-3-carboxylate): a novel MYC/MAX dimerization inhibitor reduces prostate cancer growth. Cancer Gene Therapy.
- [408] Whitfield JR, Beaulieu M-E, Soucek L (2017). Strategies to Inhibit Myc and Their Clinical Applicability. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 5.
- [409] Stellas D, Szabolcs M, Koul S, Li Z, Polyzos A, Anagnostopoulos C, et al. (2014). Therapeutic Effects of an Anti-Myc Drug on Mouse Pancreatic Cancer. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 106:dju320.
- [410] Zhang L, Li J, Tian D, Sun L, Wang X, Tian M (2020). Theranostic combinatorial drug-loaded coated

cubosomes for enhanced targeting and efficacy against cancer cells. Cell Death & Disease, 11:1.

- [411] Stellas D, Szabolcs M, Koul S, Li Z, Polyzos A, Anagnostopoulos C, et al. (2014). Therapeutic effects of an anti-Myc drug on mouse pancreatic cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst, 106.
- [412] Chen A, Koehler AN (2020). Transcription Factor Inhibition: Lessons Learned and Emerging Targets. Trends Mol Med, 26:508-518.
- [413] Chen L, Cheng B, Sun Q, Lai L (2021). Ligand-based optimization and biological evaluation of N-(2,2,2 trichloro-1-(3-phenylthioureido)ethyl)acetamide derivatives as potent intrinsically disordered protein c-Myc inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem Lett, 31:127711.
- [414] AlSultan D, Kavanagh E, O'Grady S, Eustace AJ, Castell A, Larsson LG, et al. (2021). The novel low molecular weight MYC antagonist MYCMI-6 inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in breast cancer cells. Invest New Drugs, 39:587-594.
- [415] Foley SA, Castell A, Kavanagh E, Synnott NC, Crown J, Larsson L-G, et al. (2019). MYC as a therapeutic target for the treatment of triple-negative breast cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 37:e12550-e12550.
- [416] Tang M, O'Grady S, Crown J, Duffy MJ (2022). MYC as a therapeutic target for the treatment of triplenegative breast cancer: preclinical investigations with the novel MYC inhibitor, MYCi975. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 195:105-115.
- [417] Han H, Jain AD, Truica MI, Izquierdo-Ferrer J, Anker JF, Lysy B, et al. (2019). Small-Molecule MYC Inhibitors Suppress Tumor Growth and Enhance Immunotherapy. Cancer Cell, 36:483-497.e415.
- [418] Scafuro M, Capasso L, Carafa V, Altucci L, Nebbioso A (2021). Gene Transactivation and Transrepression in MYC-Driven Cancers. Int J Mol Sci, 22.
- [419] Struntz NB, Chen A, Deutzmann A, Wilson RM, Stefan E, Evans HL, et al. (2019). Stabilization of the Max Homodimer with a Small Molecule Attenuates Myc-Driven Transcription. Cell Chem Biol, 26:711- 723.e714.
- [420] Struntz NB, Chen A, Deutzmann A, Wilson RM, Stefan E, Evans HL, et al. (2019). Stabilization of the Max Homodimer with a Small Molecule Attenuates Myc-Driven Transcription. Cell Chemical Biology, 26:711-723.e714.
- [421] Jiang H, Bower KE, Beuscher AEt, Zhou B, Bobkov AA, Olson AJ, et al. (2009). Stabilizers of the Max homodimer identified in virtual ligand screening inhibit Myc function. Mol Pharmacol, 76:491-502.
- [422] Llombart V, Mansour MR (2022). Therapeutic targeting of "undruggable" MYC. eBioMedicine, 75:103756.
- [423] Bailly C, Vergoten G (2020). Protein homodimer sequestration with small molecules: Focus on PD-L1. Biochem Pharmacol, 174:113821.
- [424] Bailly C, Vergoten G (2020). Protein homodimer sequestration with small molecules: Focus on PD-L1. Biochemical Pharmacology, 174:113821.
- [425] Shostak A, Schermann G, Diernfellner A, Brunner M (2021). MXD/MIZ1 transcription regulatory

complexes activate the expression of MYC-repressed genes. FEBS Lett, 595:1639-1655.

- [426] Carroll PA, Freie BW, Mathsyaraja H, Eisenman RN (2018). The MYC transcription factor network: balancing metabolism, proliferation and oncogenesis. Frontiers of Medicine, 12:412-425.
- [427] Lafita-Navarro MC, Blanco R, Mata-Garrido J, Liaño-Pons J, Tapia O, García-Gutiérrez L, et al. (2016). MXD1 localizes in the nucleolus, binds UBF and impairs rRNA synthesis. Oncotarget, 7:69536-69548.
- [428] Jung KY, Wang H, Teriete P, Yap JL, Chen L, Lanning ME, et al. (2015). Perturbation of the c-Myc-Max protein-protein interaction via synthetic α-helix mimetics. J Med Chem, 58:3002-3024.
- [429] Wang H, Ramakrishnan A, Fletcher S, Prochownik EV (2015). A quantitative, surface plasmon resonancebased approach to evaluating DNA binding by the c-Myc oncoprotein and its disruption by small molecule inhibitors. J Biol Methods, 2.
- [430] Miller AJ, Chang A, Cunningham PN (2020). Chronic Microangiopathy Due to DCR-MYC, a Myc-Targeted Short Interfering RNA. Am J Kidney Dis, 75:513-516.
- [431] Yuan J, Wang K, Xi M (2016). MiR-494 Inhibits Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Growth by Targeting c-Myc. Med Sci Monit, 22:617-624.
- [432] Liu Y, Li X, Zhu S, Zhang JG, Yang M, Qin Q, et al. (2015). Ectopic expression of miR-494 inhibited the proliferation, invasion and chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer by regulating SIRT1 and c-Myc. Gene Ther, 22:729-738.
- [433] Kawano M, Tanaka K, Itonaga I, Iwasaki T, Tsumura H (2015). c-Myc Represses Tumor-Suppressive microRNAs, let-7a, miR-16 and miR-29b, and Induces Cyclin D2-Mediated Cell Proliferation in Ewing's Sarcoma Cell Line. PLoS One, 10:e0138560.
- [434] Whitfield JR, Beaulieu ME, Soucek L (2017). Strategies to Inhibit Myc and Their Clinical Applicability. Front Cell Dev Biol, 5:10.
- [435] Devi GR, Beer TM, Corless CL, Arora V, Weller DL, Iversen PL (2005). In vivo bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of a c-MYC antisense phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer, AVI-4126, in solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res, 11:3930-3938.
- [436] Astorgues-Xerri L, Vázquez R, Odore E, Rezai K, Kahatt C, Mackenzie S, et al. (2019). Insights into the cellular pharmacological properties of the BETinhibitor OTX015/MK-8628 (birabresib), alone and in combination, in leukemia models. Leuk Lymphoma, 60:3067-3070.
- [437] Aggarwal RR, Schweizer MT, Nanus DM, Pantuck AJ, Heath EI, Campeau E, et al. (2020). A Phase Ib/IIa Study of the Pan-BET Inhibitor ZEN-3694 in Combination with Enzalutamide in Patients with Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer. Clin Cancer Res, 26:5338-5347.
- [438] Shorstova T, Foulkes WD, Witcher M (2021). Achieving clinical success with BET inhibitors as anticancer agents. British Journal of Cancer, 124:1478- 1490.
- [439] Csizmarik A, Hadaschik B, Kramer G, Nyirady P,
- [440] Hilton JF, Cristea MC, Voskoboynik M, Postel-Vinay S, Edenfield WJ, Gavai AV, et al. (2018). Initial results from a phase I/IIa trial evaluating BMS-986158, an inhibitor of the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) proteins, in patients (pts) with advanced cancer. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology, 29 Suppl 8:viii134.
- [441] Gavai AV, Norris D, Tortolani D, O'Malley D, Zhao Y, Quesnelle C, et al. (2018). Abstract 5789: Discovery of clinical candidate BMS-986158, an oral BET inhibitor, for the treatment of cancer. Cancer Research, 78:5789-5789.
- [442] Sun Y, Han J, Wang Z, Li X, Sun Y, Hu Z (2021). Safety and Efficacy of Bromodomain and Extra-Terminal Inhibitors for the Treatment of Hematological Malignancies and Solid Tumors: A Systematic Study of Clinical Trials. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 11.
- [443] Piha-Paul SA, Hann CL, French CA, Cousin S, Braña I, Cassier PA, et al. (2020). Phase 1 Study of Molibresib (GSK525762), a Bromodomain and Extra-Terminal Domain Protein Inhibitor, in NUT Carcinoma and Other Solid Tumors. JNCI Cancer Spectr, 4:pkz093.
- [444] Rhyasen GW, Hattersley MM, Yao Y, Dulak A, Wang W, Petteruti P, et al. (2016). AZD5153: A Novel Bivalent BET Bromodomain Inhibitor Highly Active against Hematologic Malignancies. Mol Cancer Ther, 15:2563-2574.
- [445] Bradbury RH, Callis R, Carr GR, Chen H, Clark E, Feron L, et al. (2016). Optimization of a Series of Bivalent Triazolopyridazine Based Bromodomain and Extraterminal Inhibitors: The Discovery of (3R)-4-[2- [4-[1-(3-Methoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazin-6 yl)-4-piperidyl]phenoxy]ethyl]-1,3-dimethylpiperazin-2-one (AZD5153). J Med Chem, 59:7801- 7817.
- [446] Takimoto-Shimomura T, Tsukamoto T, Maegawa S, Fujibayashi Y, Matsumura-Kimoto Y, Mizuno Y, et al. (2019). Dual targeting of bromodomain-containing 4 by AZD5153 and BCL2 by AZD4320 against B-cell lymphomas concomitantly overexpressing c-MYC and BCL2. Invest New Drugs, 37:210-222.
- [447] Henssen A, Althoff K, Odersky A, Beckers A, Koche R, Speleman F, et al. (2016). Targeting MYCN-Driven Transcription By BET-Bromodomain Inhibition. Clin Cancer Res, 22:2470-2481.
- [448] Shi J, Song S, Han H, Xu H, Huang M, Qian C, et al. (2018). Potent Activity of the Bromodomain Inhibitor OTX015 in Multiple Myeloma. Mol Pharm, 15:4139- 4147.
- [449] Vázquez R, Licandro SA, Astorgues-Xerri L, Lettera E, Panini N, Romano M, et al. (2017). Promising in vivo efficacy of the BET bromodomain inhibitor OTX015/MK-8628 in malignant pleural mesothelioma xenografts. Int J Cancer, 140:197-207.
- [450] Gerlach D, Tontsch-Grunt U, Baum A, Popow J, Scharn D, Hofmann MH, et al. (2018). The novel BET bromodomain inhibitor BI 894999 represses superenhancer-associated transcription and synergizes with CDK9 inhibition in AML. Oncogene, 37:2687-2701.
- [451] Beverly LJ, Varmus HE (2009). MYC-induced myeloid leukemogenesis is accelerated by all six members of the antiapoptotic BCL family. Oncogene, 28:1274-1279.
- [452] Tron AE, Belmonte MA, Adam A, Aquila BM, Boise LH, Chiarparin E, et al. (2018). Discovery of Mcl-1 specific inhibitor AZD5991 and preclinical activity in multiple myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia. Nature Communications, 9:5341.
- [453] Maragno AL, Mistry P, Kotschy A, Szlavik Z, Murray J, Davidson J, et al. (2019). Abstract 4482: S64315 (MIK665) is a potent and selective Mcl1 inhibitor with strong antitumor activity across a diverse range of hematologic tumor models. Cancer Research, 79:4482-4482.
- [454] Fairlie WD, Lee EF (2021). Co-Operativity between MYC and BCL-2 Pro-Survival Proteins in Cancer. Int J Mol Sci, 22.
- [455] Eswaran J, Sinclair P, Heidenreich O, Irving J, Russell LJ, Hall A, et al. (2015). The pre-B-cell receptor checkpoint in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Leukemia, 29:1623-1631.
- [456] Köhrer S, Havranek O, Seyfried F, Hurtz C, Coffey GP, Kim E, et al. (2016). Pre-BCR signaling in precursor B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia regulates PI3K/AKT, FOXO1 and MYC, and can be targeted by SYK inhibition. Leukemia, 30:1246-1254.
- [457] Reiff SD, Mantel R, Smith LL, Greene JT, Muhowski EM, Fabian CA, et al. (2018). The BTK Inhibitor ARQ 531 Targets Ibrutinib-Resistant CLL and Richter Transformation. Cancer Discov, 8:1300-1315.
- [458] Deng C, Lipstein MR, Scotto L, Jirau Serrano XO, Mangone MA, Li S, et al. (2017). Silencing c-Myc translation as a therapeutic strategy through targeting PI3Kδ and CK1ε in hematological malignancies. Blood, 129:88-99.
- [459] Rifaï K, Judes G, Idrissou M, Daures M, Bignon YJ, Penault-Llorca F, et al. (2018). SIRT1-dependent epigenetic regulation of H3 and H4 histone acetylation in human breast cancer. Oncotarget, 9:30661-30678.
- [460] Oki Y, Kelly KR, Flinn I, Patel MR, Gharavi R, Ma A, et al. (2017). CUDC-907 in relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, including patients with MYCalterations: results from an expanded phase I trial. Haematologica, 102:1923-1930.
- [461] Dyer MJS, Vogler M, Samuel J, Jayne S, Wagner S, Pritchard C, et al. (2013). Precision medicines for Bcell leukaemias and lymphomas; progress and potential pitfalls. British Journal of Haematology, 160:725-733.
- [462] Qian C, Lai CJ, Bao R, Wang DG, Wang J, Xu GX, et al. (2012). Cancer network disruption by a single molecule inhibitor targeting both histone deacetylase activity and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling. Clin Cancer Res, 18:4104-4113.
- [463] Younes A, Berdeja JG, Patel MR, Flinn I, Gerecitano JF, Neelapu SS, et al. (2016). Safety, tolerability, and preliminary activity of CUDC-907, a first-in-class, oral, dual inhibitor of HDAC and PI3K, in patients with relapsed or refractory lymphoma or multiple myeloma: an open-label, dose-escalation, phase 1 trial. Lancet Oncol, 17:622-631.
- [464] Chen Y, Peubez C, Smith V, Xiong S, Kocsis-Fodor G, Kennedy B, et al. (2019). CUDC-907 blocks multiple pro-survival signals and abrogates microenvironment protection in CLL. J Cell Mol Med, 23:340-348.
- [465] Kanazawa S, Soucek L, Evan G, Okamoto T, Peterlin BM (2003). c-Myc recruits P-TEFb for transcription, cellular proliferation and apoptosis. Oncogene, 22:5707-5711.
- [466] Marshall NF, Peng J, Xie Z, Price DH (1996). Control of RNA polymerase II elongation potential by a novel carboxyl-terminal domain kinase. J Biol Chem, 271:27176-27183.
- [467] Gargano B, Amente S, Majello B, Lania L (2007). P-TEFb is a crucial co-factor for Myc transactivation. Cell Cycle, 6:2031-2037.
- [468] Parry D, Guzi T, Shanahan F, Davis N, Prabhavalkar D, Wiswell D, et al. (2010). Dinaciclib (SCH 727965), a novel and potent cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. Mol Cancer Ther, 9:2344-2353.
- [469] Gregory GP, Hogg SJ, Kats LM, Vidacs E, Baker AJ, Gilan O, et al. (2015). CDK9 inhibition by dinaciclib potently suppresses Mcl-1 to induce durable apoptotic responses in aggressive MYC-driven B-cell lymphoma in vivo. Leukemia, 29:1437-1441.
- [470] Goh KC, Novotny-Diermayr V, Hart S, Ong LC, Loh YK, Cheong A, et al. (2012). TG02, a novel oral multikinase inhibitor of CDKs, JAK2 and FLT3 with potent anti-leukemic properties. Leukemia, 26:236-243.
- [471] Baertsch M-A, Mai EK, Hielscher T, Bertsch U, Salwender HJ, Munder M, et al. (2021). Lenalidomide versus bortezomib maintenance after frontline autologous stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. Blood Cancer Journal, 11:1.
- [472] Yang D, Hurley LH (2006). Structure of the biologically relevant G-quadruplex in the c-MYC promoter. Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids, 25:951-968.
- [473] Jackson SP, Bartek J (2009). The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. Nature, 461:1071-1078.
- [474] Paul R, Das T, Debnath M, Chauhan A, Dash J (2020). G-Quadruplex-Binding Small Molecule Induces Synthetic Lethality in Breast Cancer Cells by Inhibiting c-MYC and BCL2 Expression. Chembiochem, 21:963-970.
- [475] Drygin D, Siddiqui-Jain A, O'Brien S, Schwaebe M, Lin A, Bliesath J, et al. (2009). Anticancer activity of CX-3543: a direct inhibitor of rRNA biogenesis. Cancer Res, 69:7653-7661.
- [476] Luo H, Chen H, Liu C, Zhang S, Vong CT, Tan D, et al. (2021). The key issues and development strategy of Chinese Classical Formulas pharmaceutical preparations. Chin Med, 16:1-14.
- [477] Tan Y, Zhang X, Zhou Y, Miao L, Xu B, Khan H, et al. (2022). Panax notoginseng extract and total saponin suppress diet-induced obesity and endoplasmic reticulum stress in epididymal white adipose tissue in mice. Chin Med, 17:75.
- [478] Shi L, An Y, Cheng L, Li Y, Li H, Wang C, et al. (2022). Qingwei San treats oral ulcer subjected to stomach heat syndrome in db/db mice by targeting TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB pathway. Chin Med, 17:1-16.
- [479] Lv Z, Shen J, Gao X, Ruan Y, Ling J, Sun R, et al. (2021). Herbal formula Huangqi Guizhi Wuwu decoction attenuates paclitaxel-related neurotoxicity via inhibition of inflammation and oxidative stress. Chin Med, 16:1-21.
- [480] Yu Y, Chen J, Zhang X, Wang Y, Wang S, Zhao L, et al. (2021). Identification of anti-inflammatory compounds from Zhongjing formulae by knowledge mining and high-content screening in a zebrafish model of inflammatory bowel diseases. Chin Med, 16:42.
- [481] Guo S-B, Huang W-J, Tian X-P (2022). Brusatol modulates diverse cancer hallmarks and signaling pathways as a potential cancer therapeutic. Acta Materia Medica.
- [482] Zhong Z, Vong CT, Chen F, Tan H, Zhang C, Wang N, et al. (2022). Immunomodulatory potential of natural products from herbal medicines as immune checkpoints inhibitors: Helping to fight against cancer via multiple targets. Medicinal Research Reviews, 42:1246-1279.
- [483] Tan W, Pan T, Wang S, Li P, Men Y, Tan R, et al. (2022). Immunometabolism modulation, a new trick of edible and medicinal plants in cancer treatment. Food Chemistry, 376:131860.
- [484] Owen HC, Appiah S, Hasan N, Ghali L, Elayat G, Bell C (2017). Phytochemical Modulation of Apoptosis and Autophagy: Strategies to Overcome Chemoresistance in Leukemic Stem Cells in the Bone Marrow Microenvironment. Int Rev Neurobiol, 135:249-278.
- [485] Hu Y, Wang S, Wu X, Zhang J, Chen R, Chen M, et al. (2013). Chinese herbal medicine-derived compounds for cancer therapy: a focus on hepatocellular carcinoma. J Ethnopharmacol, 149:601-612.
- [486] Xu XH, Li T, Fong CM, Chen X, Chen XJ, Wang YT, et al. (2016). Saponins from Chinese Medicines as Anticancer Agents. Molecules, 21.
- [487] Kim SH, Kang JG, Kim CS, Ihm S-H, Choi MG, Yoo HJ, et al. (2013). Apigenin induces c-Myc-mediated apoptosis in FRO anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 369:130-139.
- [488] Hossain MM, Banik NL, Ray SK (2013). N-Myc knockdown and apigenin treatment controlled growth of malignant neuroblastoma cells having N-Myc amplification. Gene, 529:27-36.
- [489] Lin C-M, Chen H-H, Lin C-A, Wu H-C, Sheu JJ-C, Chen H-J (2017). Apigenin-induced lysosomal degradation of β-catenin in Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Scientific Reports, 7:372.
- [490] Johnson JL, de Mejia EG (2013). Flavonoid apigenin

modified gene expression associated with inflammation and cancer and induced apoptosis in human pancreatic cancer cells through inhibition of GSK-3β/NF-κB signaling cascade. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research, 57:2112-2127.

- [491] Xing S, Hu K, Wang Y (2022). Tumor Immune Microenvironment and Immunotherapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Update and New Challenges. Aging Dis, 13.
- [492] Granato M, Gilardini Montani MS, Santarelli R, D'Orazi G, Faggioni A, Cirone M (2017). Apigenin, by activating p53 and inhibiting STAT3, modulates the balance between pro-apoptotic and pro-survival pathways to induce PEL cell death. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, 36:167.
- [493] Shan S, Shi J, Yang P, Jia B, Wu H, Zhang X, et al. (2017). Apigenin Restrains Colon Cancer Cell Proliferation via Targeted Blocking of Pyruvate Kinase M2-Dependent Glycolysis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 65:8136-8144.
- [494] Jayasooriya RGPT, Kang S-H, Kang C-H, Choi YH, Moon D-O, Hyun J-W, et al. (2012). Apigenin decreases cell viability and telomerase activity in human leukemia cell lines. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 50:2605-2611.
- [495] Wang T, Wang J, Ren W, Liu ZL, Cheng YF, Zhang XM (2020). Combination treatment with artemisinin and oxaliplatin inhibits tumorigenesis in esophageal cancer EC109 cell through Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Thorac Cancer, 11:2316-2324.
- [496] Yu C, Sun P, Zhou Y, Shen B, Zhou M, Wu L, et al. (2019). Inhibition of AKT enhances the anti-cancer effects of Artemisinin in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 118:109383.
- [497] Cao Y, Feng YH, Gao LW, Li XY, Jin QX, Wang YY, et al. (2019). Artemisinin enhances the anti-tumor immune response in 4T1 breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Int Immunopharmacol, 70:110-116.
- [498] Hu Y, Guo N, Yang T, Yan J, Wang W, Li X (2022). The Potential Mechanisms by which Artemisinin and Its Derivatives Induce Ferroptosis in the Treatment of Cancer. Oxid Med Cell Longev, 2022:1458143.
- [499] Wang M, Chen H, He X, Zhao X, Zhang H, Wang Y, et al. (2022). Artemisinin inhibits the development of esophageal cancer by targeting HIF-1α to reduce glycolysis levels. J Gastrointest Oncol, 13:2144-2153.
- [500] Tao Y, Zhan S, Wang Y, Zhou G, Liang H, Chen X, et al. (2018). Baicalin, the major component of traditional Chinese medicine Scutellaria baicalensis induces colon cancer cell apoptosis through inhibition of oncomiRNAs. Scientific Reports, 8:14477.
- [501] Kumagai T, Müller CI, Desmond JC, Imai Y, Heber D, Koeffler HP (2007). Scutellaria baicalensis, a herbal medicine: Anti-proliferative and apoptotic activity against acute lymphocytic leukemia, lymphoma and myeloma cell lines. Leukemia Research, 31:523-530.
- [502] Chen J, Li Z, Chen AY, Ye X, Luo H, Rankin GO, et al. (2013). Inhibitory effect of baicalin and baicalein on ovarian cancer cells. Int J Mol Sci, 14:6012-6025.
- [503] Wang AM, Ku HH, Liang YC, Chen YC, Hwu YM,

Yeh TS (2009). The autonomous notch signal pathway is activated by baicalin and baicalein but is suppressed by niclosamide in K562 cells. J Cell Biochem, 106:682-692.

- [504] Yang K, Zeng L, Ge A, Chen Z, Bao T, Long Z, et al. (2019). Investigating the regulation mechanism of baicalin on triple negative breast cancer's biological network by a systematic biological strategy. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 118:109253.
- [505] Tao Y, Zhan S, Wang Y, Zhou G, Liang H, Chen X, et al. (2018). Baicalin, the major component of traditional Chinese medicine Scutellaria baicalensis induces colon cancer cell apoptosis through inhibition of oncomiRNAs. Sci Rep, 8:14477.
- [506] Orzechowska BU, Wróbel G, Turlej E, Jatczak B, Sochocka M, Chaber R (2020). Antitumor effect of baicalin from the Scutellaria baicalensis radix extract in B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia with different chromosomal rearrangements. Int Immunopharmacol, 79:106114.
- [507] Chen YX, Gao QY, Zou TH, Wang BM, Liu SD, Sheng JQ, et al. (2020). Berberine versus placebo for the prevention of recurrence of colorectal adenoma: a multicentre, double-blinded, randomised controlled study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol, 5:267-275.
- [508] Ruan H, Zhan YY, Hou J, Xu B, Chen B, Tian Y, et al. (2017). Berberine binds RXRα to suppress β-catenin signaling in colon cancer cells. Oncogene, 36:6906- 6918.
- [509] Wen L, Han Z, Li J, Du Y (2022). c-MYC and HIF1α promoter G-quadruplexes dependent metabolic regulation mechanism of berberine in colon cancer. J Gastrointest Oncol, 13:1152-1168.
- [510] Zhang P, Wang Q, Lin Z, Yang P, Dou K, Zhang R (2019). Berberine Inhibits Growth of Liver Cancer Cells by Suppressing Glutamine Uptake. Onco Targets Ther, 12:11751-11763.
- [511] Naveen CR, Gaikwad S, Agrawal-Rajput R (2016). Berberine induces neuronal differentiation through inhibition of cancer stemness and epithelialmesenchymal transition in neuroblastoma cells. Phytomedicine, 23:736-744.
- [512] Ren S, Cai Y, Hu S, Liu J, Zhao Y, Ding M, et al. (2021). Berberine exerts anti-tumor activity in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by modulating c-myc/CD47 axis. Biochemical Pharmacology, 188:114576.
- [513] Zhang R, Qiao H, Chen S, Chen X, Dou K, Wei L, et al. (2016). Berberine reverses lapatinib resistance of HER2-positive breast cancer cells by increasing the level of ROS. Cancer Biology & Therapy, 17:925-934.
- [514] Cai H, Ren L, Wang Y, Zhang Y (2021). Beta-Elemene Reduces the Malignancy of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer by Enhancing C3orf21 Expression. Front Oncol, 11:571476.
- [515] Wang L, Zhao Y, Wu Q, Guan Y, Wu X (2018). Therapeutic effects of β-elemene via attenuation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in cervical cancer cells. Mol Med Rep, 17:4299-4306.
- [516] Wue X (2022). β-Elemene inhibits TGF-β1-induced EMT and invasion of cervical cancer cells through

mediating β-cat-enin/TCF7/Sox2 signaling pathway. Clin Onco, 6:1-10.

- [517] Hewlings SJ, Kalman DS (2017). Curcumin: A Review of Its Effects on Human Health. Foods, 6.
- [518] Kashyap A, Umar SM, Mendiratta M, Prasad CP (2022). In vitro anticancer efficacy of a polyphenolic combination of Quercetin, Curcumin, and Berberine in triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells. Phytomedicine Plus, 2:100265.
- [519] Xiang Y, Guo Z, Zhu P, Chen J, Huang Y (2019). Traditional Chinese medicine as a cancer treatment: Modern perspectives of ancient but advanced science. Cancer Med, 8:1958-1975.
- [520] Roy A, Chatterjee O, Banerjee N, Roychowdhury T, Dhar G, Mukherjee G, et al. (2021). Curcumin arrests G-quadruplex in the nuclear hyper-sensitive III1 element of c-MYC oncogene leading to apoptosis in metastatic breast cancer cells. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics:1-17.
- [521] Barsyte-Lovejoy D, Lau SK, Boutros PC, Khosravi F, Jurisica I, Andrulis IL, et al. (2006). The c-Myc oncogene directly induces the H19 noncoding RNA by allele-specific binding to potentiate tumorigenesis. Cancer Res, 66:5330-5337.
- [522] Jie Z, Jinna Z, Jingjun Z, Pengcheng L, Fang Y, Qinyang C, et al. (2022). Antitumor Effects of 10058- F4 and Curcumin in Combination Therapy for Pancreatic Cancer In Vitro and In Vivo. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2022.
- [523] Mödlhammer A, Pfurtscheller S, Feichtner A, Hartl M, Schneider R (2021). The Diarylheptanoid Curcumin Induces MYC Inhibition and Cross-Links This Oncoprotein to the Coactivator TRRAP. Front Oncol, 11:660481.
- [524] Liu G, Xiang T, Wu QF, Wang WX (2016). Curcumin suppresses the proliferation of gastric cancer cells by downregulating H19. Oncol Lett, 12:5156-5162.
- [525] Farabegoli F, Govoni M, Spisni E, Papi A (2018). Epigallocatechin-3-gallate and 6-OH-11-O-Hydroxyphenanthrene Limit BE(2)-C Neuroblastoma Cell Growth and Neurosphere Formation In Vitro. Nutrients, 10:1141.
- [526] Tanaka T, Ishii T, Mizuno D, Mori T, Yamaji R, Nakamura Y, et al. (2011). (−)-Epigallocatechin-3 gallate suppresses growth of AZ521 human gastric cancer cells by targeting the DEAD-box RNA helicase p68. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 50:1324- 1335.
- [527] Irimie AI, Braicu C, Zanoaga O, Pileczki V, Gherman C, Berindan-Neagoe I, et al. (2015). Epigallocatechin-3-gallate suppresses cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis and autophagy in oral cancer SSC-4 cells. Onco Targets Ther, 8:461-470.
- [528] Gödeke J, Maier S, Eichenmüller M, Müller-Höcker J, von Schweinitz D, Kappler R (2013). Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate Inhibits Hepatoblastoma Growth by Reactivating the Wnt Inhibitor SFRP1. Nutrition and Cancer, 65:1200-1207.
- [529] Ju J, Hong J, Zhou J-n, Pan Z, Bose M, Liao J, et al. (2005). Inhibition of Intestinal Tumorigenesis in

Aging and Disease • Volume 15, Number 2, April 2024 695

Apcmin/+ Mice by (−)-Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate, the Major Catechin in Green Tea. Cancer Research, 65:10623-10631.

- [530] Tang HM, Cheung PCK (2021). Gene expression profile analysis of gallic acid-induced cell death process. Scientific Reports, 11:16743.
- [531] An X, Zhou A, Yang Y, Wang Y, Xin R, Tian C, et al. (2016). Protective Effects of Gallic Acid Against NiSO4-Induced Toxicity Through Down-Regulation of the Ras/ERK Signaling Pathway in Beas-2B Cells. Med Sci Monit, 22:3446-3454.
- [532] Aborehab NM, Elnagar MR, Waly NE (2021). Gallic acid potentiates the apoptotic effect of paclitaxel and carboplatin via overexpression of Bax and P53 on the MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line. J Biochem Mol Toxicol, 35:e22638.
- [533] Yang J-T, Lee IN, Chen C-H, Lu F-J, Chung C-Y, Lee M-H, et al. 2022. Gallic Acid Enhances the Anti-Cancer Effect of Temozolomide in Human Glioma Cell Line via Inhibition of Akt and p38-MAPK Pathway. In Processes.
- [534] Lou J-S, Zhao L-P, Huang Z-H, Chen X-Y, Xu J-T, Tai WC-S, et al. (2021). Ginkgetin derived from Ginkgo biloba leaves enhances the therapeutic effect of cisplatin via ferroptosis-mediated disruption of the Nrf2/HO-1 axis in EGFR wild-type non-small-cell lung cancer. Phytomedicine, 80:153370.
- [535] Liu L, Geng X, Zhang J, Li S, Gao J (2022). Structurebased discovery of Licoflavone B and Ginkgetin targeting c-Myc G-quadruplex to suppress c-Myc transcription and myeloma growth. Chemical Biology & Drug Design, n/a.
- [536] Chen C, Wang Y-S, Zhang E-T, Li G-A, Liu W-Y, Li Y, et al. (2021). (20S) Ginsenoside Rh2 Exerts Its Anti-Tumor Effect by Disrupting the HSP90A-Cdc37 System in Human Liver Cancer Cells. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22:13170.
- [537] Wang Y-S, Lin Y, Li H, Li Y, Song Z, Jin Y-H (2017). The identification of molecular target of (20S) ginsenoside Rh2 for its anti-cancer activity. Scientific Reports, 7:12408.
- [538] Sun X, Zhao P, Li H, Liu Y, Wang T, Cheng Y (2021). Ginsenoside Rh2 Inhibits Glycolysis through the STAT3/c-MYC Axis in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. J Oncol, 2021:9715154.
- [539] Pan H, Yang L, Bai H, Luo J, Deng Y (2021). Ginsenoside Rg3 increases gemcitabine sensitivity of pancreatic adenocarcinoma via reducing ZFP91 mediated TSPYL2 destabilization. Journal of Ginseng Research.
- [540] Lu H, Yin H, Qu L, Ma X, Fu R, Fan D (2022). Ginsenoside Rk1 regulates glutamine metabolism in hepatocellular carcinoma through inhibition of the ERK/c-Myc pathway. Food & Function, 13:3793- 3811.
- [541] Chu Y, Zhang W, Kanimozhi G, Brindha GR, Tian D (2020). Ginsenoside Rg1 Induces Apoptotic Cell Death in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cell Lines and Prevents Carcinogen-Induced Breast Tumorigenesis in Sprague Dawley Rats. Evidence-Based

Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2020:8886955.

- [542] Choudhary N, Collignon TE, Tewari D, Bishayee A (2022). Hypericin and its anticancer effects: From mechanism of action to potential therapeutic application. Phytomedicine, 105:154356.
- [543] Mirmalek SA, Azizi MA, Jangholi E, Yadollah-Damavandi S, Javidi MA, Parsa Y, et al. (2016). Cytotoxic and apoptogenic effect of hypericin, the bioactive component of Hypericum perforatum on the MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line. Cancer Cell International, 16:3.
- [544] Abbasi Gamasaee N, Radmansouri M, Ghiasvand S, Shahriari F, Zare Marzouni H, Aryan H, et al. (2018). Hypericin Induces Apoptosis in MDA-MB-175-VII Cells in Lower Dose Compared to MDA-MB-231. Arch Iran Med, 21:387-392.
- [545] Jendželovská Z, Jendželovský R, Hiľovská L, Kovaľ J, Mikeš J, Fedoročko P (2014). Single pre-treatment with hypericin, a St. John's wort secondary metabolite, attenuates cisplatin- and mitoxantrone-induced cell death in A2780, A2780cis and HL-60 cells. Toxicology in Vitro, 28:1259-1273.
- [546] Han C, Zhang C, Ma T, Zhang C, Luo J, Xu X, et al. (2018). Hypericin-functionalized graphene oxide for enhanced mitochondria-targeting and synergistic anticancer effect. Acta Biomaterialia, 77:268-281.
- [547] Blank M, Mandel M, Keisari Y, Meruelo D, Lavie G (2003). Enhanced Ubiquitinylation of Heat Shock Protein 90 as a Potential Mechanism for Mitotic Cell Death in Cancer Cells Induced with Hypericin. Cancer Research, 63:8241-8247.
- [548] Yang XJ, Xi YM, Li ZJ (2019). Icaritin: A Novel Natural Candidate for Hematological Malignancies Therapy. Biomed Res Int, 2019:4860268.
- [549] Li C, Peng W, Song X, Wang Q, Wang W (2016). Anticancer effect of icaritin inhibits cell growth of colon cancer through reactive oxygen species, Bcl-2 and cyclin D1/E signaling. Oncol Lett, 12:3537-3542.
- [550] Li ZJ, Yao C, Liu SF, Chen L, Xi YM, Zhang W, et al. (2014). Cytotoxic effect of icaritin and its mechanisms in inducing apoptosis in human burkitt lymphoma cell line. Biomed Res Int, 2014:391512.
- [551] Zhou C, Chen Z, Lu X, Wu H, Yang Q, Xu D (2016). Icaritin activates JNK-dependent mPTP necrosis pathway in colorectal cancer cells. Tumour Biol, 37:3135-3144.
- [552] Sun Y, Liu W-Z, Liu T, Feng X, Yang N, Zhou H-F (2015). Signaling pathway of MAPK/ERK in cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, senescence and apoptosis. Journal of Receptors and Signal Transduction, 35:600-604.
- [553] Li Q, Huai L, Zhang C, Wang C, Jia Y, Chen Y, et al. (2013). Icaritin induces AML cell apoptosis via the MAPK/ERK and PI3K/AKT signal pathways. Int J Hematol, 97:617-623.
- [554] Tao H, Liu M, Wang Y, Luo S, Xu Y, Ye B, et al. (2021). Icaritin Induces Anti-tumor Immune Responses in Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Inhibiting Splenic Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cell Generation. Front

Immunol, 12:609295.

- [555] Mo D, Zhu H, Wang J, Hao H, Guo Y, Wang J, et al. (2021). Icaritin inhibits PD-L1 expression by Targeting Protein IκB Kinase α. European Journal of Immunology, 51:978-988.
- [556] Qin S-K, Li Q, Ming Xu J, Liang J, Cheng Y, Fan Y, et al. (2020). Icaritin-induced immunomodulatory efficacy in advanced hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma: Immunodynamic biomarkers and overall survival. Cancer Science, 111:4218-4231.
- [557] Zou J, Xu MX, Li F, Wang YH, Li XQ, Yu DJ, et al. (2022). Icaritin alleviates docetaxel-induced skin injury by suppressing reactive oxygen species via estrogen receptors. Thorac Cancer, 13:190-201.
- [558] Yu Y, Shen M, Song Q, Xie J (2018). Biological activities and pharmaceutical applications of polysaccharide from natural resources: A review. Carbohydrate Polymers, 183:91-101.
- [559] Wang W, Gou X, Xue H, Liu K (2019). Ganoderan (GDN) Regulates The Growth, Motility And Apoptosis Of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Cells Through ERK Signaling Pathway In Vitro And In Vivo. Onco Targets Ther, 12:8821-8832.
- [560] Yao M, Qian X, Qin H (2019). Effects of Laminaria Japonica Polysaccharides on the Survival of Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer A549 Cells. International Journal of Polymer Science, 2019.
- [561] Zhu Q, Chen J, Li Q, Wang T, Li H (2016). Antitumor activity of polysaccharide from Laminaria japonica on mice bearing H22 liver cancer. International journal of biological macromolecules, 92:156-158.
- [562] Yang JJ, Wang YH, Yin J, Leng H, dong Shen S (2021). Polysaccharides from Ulva prolifera OF Müller inhibit cell proliferation via activating MAPK signaling in A549 and H1650 cells. Food & Function, 12:6915- 6924.
- [563] Liu B, Shang Z-Z, Li Q-M, Zha X-Q, Wu D-L, Yu N-J, et al. (2020). Structural features and anti-gastric cancer activity of polysaccharides from stem, root, leaf and flower of cultivated Dendrobium huoshanense. International journal of biological macromolecules, 143:651-664.
- [564] Qin Z, Yuan X, Liu J, Shi Z, Cao L, Yang L, et al. (2022). Albuca Bracteata Polysaccharides Attenuate AOM/DSS Induced Colon Tumorigenesis via Regulating Oxidative Stress, Inflammation and Gut Microbiota in Mice. Frontiers in pharmacology, 13:833077.
- [565] Yuan X, Xue J, Tan Y, Yang Q, Qin Z, Bao X, et al. (2021). Albuca Bracteate Polysaccharides Synergistically Enhance the Anti-Tumor Efficacy of 5- Fluorouracil Against Colorectal Cancer by Modulating β-Catenin Signaling and Intestinal Flora. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 12.
- [566] Wang H, Sun B, Zhang Z, Chen J, Hao Q, Sun Y, et al. (2016). Effects of Acanthopanax senticosus polysaccharide on the proliferation, apoptosis and cell cycle in human HepG2 cells. Die Pharmazie-An International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences,

71:201-204.

- [567] Chen S, Liang L, Wang Y, Diao J, Zhao C, Chen G, et al. (2015). Synergistic immunotherapeutic effects of Lycium barbarum polysaccharide and interferon-α2b on the murine Renca renal cell carcinoma cell line in vitro and in vivo. Molecular Medicine Reports, 12:6727-6737.
- [568] Salehi B, Mishra AP, Nigam M, Sener B, Kilic M, Sharifi-Rad M, et al. (2018). Resveratrol: A Double-Edged Sword in Health Benefits. Biomedicines, 6.
- [569] Suh J, Kim DH, Surh YJ (2018). Resveratrol suppresses migration, invasion and stemness of human breast cancer cells by interfering with tumor-stromal cross-talk. Arch Biochem Biophys, 643:62-71.
- [570] Pan J, Shen J, Si W, Du C, Chen D, Xu L, et al. (2017). Resveratrol promotes MICA/B expression and natural killer cell lysis of breast cancer cells by suppressing c-Myc/miR-17 pathway. Oncotarget, 8:65743-65758.
- [571] Zhao Q, Assimopoulou AN, Klauck SM, Damianakos H, Chinou I, Kretschmer N, et al. (2015). Inhibition of c-MYC with involvement of ERK/JNK/MAPK and AKT pathways as a novel mechanism for shikonin and its derivatives in killing leukemia cells. Oncotarget, 6:38934-38951.
- [572] Shan ZL, Zhong L, Xiao CL, Gan LG, Xu T, Song H, et al. (2017). Shikonin suppresses proliferation and induces apoptosis in human leukemia NB4 cells through modulation of MAPKs and c‑Myc. Mol Med Rep, 16:3055-3060.
- [573] Ni F, Huang X, Chen Z, Qian W, Tong X (2018). Shikonin exerts antitumor activity in Burkitt's lymphoma by inhibiting C-MYC and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and acts synergistically with doxorubicin. Sci Rep, 8:3317.
- [574] Yao Y, Zhou Q (2010). A novel antiestrogen agent Shikonin inhibits estrogen-dependent gene transcription in human breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 121:233-240.
- [575] Johnson SM, Wang X, Evers BM (2011). Triptolide Inhibits Proliferation and Migration of Colon Cancer Cells by Inhibition of Cell Cycle Regulators and Cytokine Receptors. Journal of Surgical Research, 168:197-205.
- [576] Yi J-M, Huan X-J, Song S-S, Zhou H, Wang Y-Q, Miao Z-H (2016). Triptolide Induces Cell Killing in Multidrug-Resistant Tumor Cells via CDK7/RPB1 Rather than XPB or p44. Molecular Cancer Therapeutics, 15:1495-1503.
- [577] Li X, Lu Q, Xie W, Wang Y, Wang G (2018). Antitumor effects of triptolide on angiogenesis and cell apoptosis in osteosarcoma cells by inducing autophagy via repressing Wnt/β-Catenin signaling. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 496:443-449.
- [578] Noel P, Hussein S, Ng S, Antal CE, Lin W, Rodela E, et al. (2020). Triptolide targets super-enhancer networks in pancreatic cancer cells and cancerassociated fibroblasts. Oncogenesis, 9:100.
- [579] Sun X, Zhao P, Li H, Liu Y, Wang T, Cheng Y (2021). Ginsenoside Rh2 inhibits glycolysis through the STAT3/c-MYC axis in non-small-cell lung cancer. Journal of Oncology, 2021.
- [580] Shi Q, Li J, Feng Z, Zhao L, Luo L, You Z, et al. (2014). Effect of ginsenoside Rh2 on the migratory ability of HepG2 liver carcinoma cells: recruiting histone deacetylase and inhibiting activator protein 1 transcription factors. Molecular medicine reports, 10:1779-1785.
- [581] Wang Y-S, Lin Y, Li H, Li Y, Song Z, Jin Y-H (2017). The identification of molecular target of (20S) ginsenoside Rh2 for its anti-cancer activity. Scientific reports, 7:1-12.
- [582] Wang L, Li X, Song YM, Wang B, Zhang FR, Yang R, et al. (2015). Ginsenoside Rg3 sensitizes human non‑small cell lung cancer cells to γ-radiation by targeting the nuclear factor-κB pathway. Molecular Medicine Reports, 12:609-614.
- [583] Mao X, Jin Y, Feng T, Wang H, Liu D, Zhou Z, et al. (2020). Ginsenoside Rg3 Inhibits the growth of osteosarcoma and attenuates metastasis through the Wnt/β-Catenin and EMT signaling pathway. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2020.
- [584] Suh J, Kim D-H, Surh Y-J (2018). Resveratrol suppresses migration, invasion and stemness of human breast cancer cells by interfering with tumor-stromal cross-talk. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics, 643:62-71.
- [585] Li T, Zhang X, Cheng L, Li C, Wu Z, Luo Y, et al. (2022). Modulation of lncRNA H19 enhances resveratrol‐inhibited cancer cell proliferation and migration by regulating endoplasmic reticulum stress. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, 26:2205- 2217.
- [586] Pan J, Shen J, Si W, Du C, Chen D, Xu L, et al. (2017). Resveratrol promotes MICA/B expression and natural killer cell lysis of breast cancer cells by suppressing c-Myc/miR-17 pathway. Oncotarget, 8:65743.
- [587] Chen D, Zhao Z, Huang Z, Chen DC, Zhu XX, Wang YZ, et al. (2018). Super enhancer inhibitors suppress MYC driven transcriptional amplification and tumor progression in osteosarcoma. Bone Res, 6:11.
- [588] Xu L, Zhang Y, Xue X, Liu J, Li Z-S, Yang G-Y, et al. (2020). A Phase I Trial of Berberine in Chinese with Ulcerative Colitis. Cancer Prevention Research, 13:117-126.
- [589] Carroll RE, Benya RV, Turgeon DK, Vareed S, Neuman M, Rodriguez L, et al. (2011). Phase IIa Clinical Trial of Curcumin for the Prevention of Colorectal Neoplasia. Cancer Prevention Research, 4:354-364.
- [590] Li K, Xiao K, Zhu S, Wang Y, Wang W (2022). Chinese Herbal Medicine for Primary Liver Cancer Therapy: Perspectives and Challenges. Front Pharmacol, 13:889799.