

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Nat Rev Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 July 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Genet. 2024 January ; 25(1): 26-45. doi:10.1038/s41576-023-00626-5.

# Genetics of human brain development

## Yi Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Hongjun Song<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Guo-li Ming<sup>1,2,3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience and Mahoney Institute for Neurosciences, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Regenerative Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

<sup>4</sup>The Epigenetics Institute, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

## Abstract

Brain development in humans is achieved through precise spatiotemporal genetic control, the mechanisms of which remain largely elusive. Recently, integration of technological advances in human stem cell-based modelling with genome editing has emerged as a powerful platform to establish causative links between genotypes and phenotypes directly in the human system. Here, we review our current knowledge of complex genetic regulation of each key step of human brain development through the lens of evolutionary specialization and neurodevelopmental disorders and highlight the use of human stem cell-derived 2D cultures and 3D brain organoids to investigate human-enriched features and disease mechanisms. We also discuss opportunities and challenges of integrating new technologies to reveal the genetic architecture of human brain development and disorders.

# Introduction

Human brain development follows sequential, orchestrated cellular and molecular steps that are driven by genetic blueprints to build an organ for sophisticated higher-level computational tasks, such as cognition, memory, emotion, language and behaviour<sup>1</sup>. Many brain developmental processes are conserved across mammals, and tremendous advances in understanding their shared genetic basis have been achieved through functional studies using classic animal models. However, evolution has also led to numerous species-specific features that potentially enable higher-order brain functions unique to humans. For example,

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

Competing interests

gming@pennmedicine.upenn.edu .

Author contributions

The authors declare no competing interests.

although mouse models have been instrumental in establishing the genetic causality of many microcephaly-associated genes<sup>2</sup>, a number of mouse mutants do not fully recapitulate the sharply reduced brain size observed in human patients<sup>3-6</sup>. These species-related differences pose substantial challenges to relying on animal models to deconvolute molecular, cellular, anatomical or circuit complexity or to predict treatment responses in humans; human cell-based approaches that capture divergent, human-specific features are therefore required<sup>1,7-9</sup>. Cross-species comparative genomics offers genetic clues about human-specific brain developmental features that may contribute to the remarkable expansion in brain size and complexity<sup>10</sup>. In addition, neurological and psychiatric disorders with disrupted critical developmental steps provide another perspective to study genetics of human brain development by linking disease traits to their genetic aetiology. Enabled by the increased availability of high-quality neurotypical and pathological human brain specimens, rapid advances in molecular detection technologies and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have led to numerous 'omics' datasets, providing molecular characterization of the (patho)physiology of human brain development<sup>11-13</sup>. Despite increasing statistical power, however, these analyses provide only correlative evidence for the role of specific genes in human brain development, which requires further functional validation in human cellbased models. In conjunction with advances in genome editing, recently established human pluripotent stem cell (hPS cell)-based models have emerged as an unprecedented platform to investigate genotype-phenotype causation during human brain developmental processes, pinpointing cell type-specific genetic regulation at the molecular, cellular and anatomical levels<sup>14,15</sup>.

Here, we first summarize our current understanding of the key steps of human brain development, highlighting human-enriched features and focusing on the cortex as an example. We next describe how studying alterations in the overall neurodevelopmental landscapes due to evolution, risk genes associated with neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders, or the impact of environmental exposures facilitates our understanding of the genetic control of human brain developmental processes. We highlight recent discoveries of causative genetic mechanisms regulating cortical development and human-enriched cellular and molecular features from emerging hPS cell-based platforms, listing examples to illustrate how these studies can advance our knowledge of the genetics of human brain development. We articulate opportunities and challenges of implementing hPS cell-based models to identify causative variants responsible for human-specific traits in brain evolution, function and dysfunction. Finally, we discuss how integration of hPS cell-based models with in vivo animal models and other technologies can revolutionize our research paradigm to identify causative genetic links between human brain development and diseases in a cell type-specific, brain region-specific, developmental stage-specific and species-specific manner to aid in the development of therapeutic strategies for various developmental brain disorders.

#### Key steps of human brain development

Human brain development follows conserved spatiotemporal patterns across mammals. It starts with neurulation, a process in which the neural plate, specified from the embryonic ectoderm, folds and fuses to form a closed neural tube. This tube then segments into

lineage-restricted vesicles that become the forebrain, midbrain and hindbrain (Fig. 1a). The interior wall of the neural tube contains neuroepithelial stem cells (NECs) that populate the proliferative ventricular zone  $(VZ)^{16}$ . NECs then transform into radial glial cells (RGCs) that produce postmitotic excitatory and inhibitory neurons and subsequently glia (Fig. 1a,b). Mammalian neurogenesis relies on intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs), the immediate descendants of RGCs that divide in the subventricular zone (SVZ), to amplify neuronal production<sup>1,17</sup> (Fig. 1b). In the developing cortex, precursors of excitatory neurons migrate radially along the radial glial scaffold of RGCs to populate the cortical plate in a stereotypical 'inside-out' manner, with late-born upper-layer neurons migrating past early-born deep-layer neurons<sup>18</sup>. Inhibitory interneurons arise mostly from progenitors in the ganglionic eminence and migrate tangentially into the cortex<sup>19-22</sup> (Fig. 1a,b). Neuronal differentiation and maturation occur along with migration in overlapping temporal waves to achieve radial cell type diversification and tangential arealization to propagate the dorsal forebrain<sup>8</sup>. While approaching their regional destinations, neurons send out axons and dendrites through highly motile growth cones that integrate environmental cues<sup>23</sup>. Upon establishment of neuronal networks, dendritic spines form to enable neuronal communications via synapses. Synaptic assembly and later dendritic and synaptic pruning are highly plastic and neuronal activity-dependent, and their continuous refinement persists into young adulthood<sup>1,24,25</sup> (Fig. 1a). Among non-neuronal cells, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes are generated by RGCs post-neurogenesis and mature throughout early postnatal periods to modulate neuronal functions, such as regulating synaptic transmission and myelinating neuronal axons<sup>1,25,26</sup> (Fig. 1a,b). Microglia derived from the haematopoietic lineage and endothelial cells lining blood vessels migrate into the neuroectoderm-derived nervous system to support embryonic brain development<sup>27</sup>.

Evolutionary genetic changes result in neoteny in humans, with temporally protracted developmental processes compared to other species in almost every brain development step<sup>1,10</sup>, especially progenitor proliferation and neuronal development<sup>8,28</sup> (Fig. 1b). For example, human NECs display protracted differentiation, resulting in greater forebrain expansion than in apes<sup>29</sup>. RGC-mediated neurogenesis in humans occurs at a substantially slower pace (over 3 months) and involves different genetic programmes than in mice  $(\sim 1 \text{ week})$  and macaques  $(1-2 \text{ months})^{8,25,30,31}$ , which probably contributes to the disproportionate enlargement of the human forebrain. Prolonged differentiation and maturation are also observed in human neurons in the  $cortex^{25,32}$  and other brain regions<sup>21,33-35</sup>, leading to an increase in the volume of neurons with more elaborate neurites and synapses<sup>24,30,36,37</sup>. These extended periods may allow for greater size, complexity and plasticity of the human brain, as well as increased vulnerability to genetic or environmental factors that may disrupt normal development<sup>1</sup>. Aside from differential timing in development, there are many special cellular features in humans. The outer SVZ layer of the cortex, one of the distinctive features in humans and species with a larger gyrified (folded) cortex that is virtually absent in rodents<sup>38</sup>, arises from outer radial glial cells (oRGCs)<sup>39</sup> (Fig. 1b). These oRGCs exhibit an enhanced proliferative capacity and generate most of the upper-layer cortical neurons, coinciding with expansion of the primate cortex in size and surface area<sup>7</sup>. There are other cell types unique to gyrencephalic mammals (such as primates), including truncated RGCs<sup>40,41</sup>, oRGC-derived EGFR<sup>+</sup> progenitors that

are primed to become oligodendrocyte precursors<sup>42</sup>, and outer-SVZ-derived white-matter astrocytes<sup>43</sup> (Fig. 1b). Human interneurons display unique origins and distinct cell types compared to rodents, such as possible local production of interneurons by cortical RGCs<sup>44</sup>, subtype switching<sup>45</sup> and a primate-specific subtype of TAC3<sup>+</sup> striatal interneurons<sup>46,47</sup>. In addition, the primate subplate, a transient layer during development that is critical for establishing cortical–thalamic connections, is five times thicker than that of other mammals, suggesting more extensive and potentially divergent circuit formation and refinement in primates<sup>48,49</sup>. Overall, evolutionarily distinct genetic regulation of cellular features, ranging from an extended duration of development to specialized cell types and anatomical structures, provides the architectural bases for brain expansion, connectivity and higher-

Much of our knowledge about genetic contributions to molecular and cellular landscapes of brain development was procured from gain-of-function or loss-of-function studies using animal models<sup>1,8,14,50</sup>. For example, diffusible signals from the mesoderm during neural induction and early patterning were identified in classic studies using mouse models<sup>8</sup>. The 'radial unit hypothesis' during cortical neurogenesis was established by histological, molecular and physiological examination in primates<sup>18</sup>. Mechanisms underlying axon and dendrite pathfinding and outgrowth were revealed by *Drosophila melanogaster* models<sup>51</sup>. Primates remain the major model to study higher-level circuit functions for disease modelling<sup>52-54</sup>. Notably, emerging hPS cell-derived models, including 2D cultures and region-specific, integrated and xenografted 3D brain organoids<sup>14</sup>, allow for functional testing of candidate genetic variants to establish their causality in cellular phenotypes in human models, fuelling current research to investigate genetics of human-specific brain development processes through the lens of evolution and brain disorders (Fig. 2).

### Insight from an evolutionary perspective

order functions in humans.

Evolution offers a natural perspective for studying genetic mechanisms underlying different features across species<sup>7,10,28,55</sup>. Genetic variation underlying human-specific traits is predominantly identified in neurogenesis and neuronal development processes and is located in either protein-coding genes or non-coding regulatory regions, such as the human-accelerated regions (HARs)<sup>56-58</sup>. The resulting phenotypic alterations occur at the microscopic (cellular) level but ultimately influence an entire brain region through macroscopic changes in cell volume, complexity, connectivity and architecture, which may confer unique advantages to the human brain, such as enhanced brain volume, plasticity and cognition. A recent flurry of discoveries based on cross-species phylogenomic and comparative genomic studies followed by functional testing using humanized animal models and hPS cell-based models has revealed critical genes, pathways and regulatory mechanisms for cell type-specific and developmental stage-specific modulation of human brain development<sup>7,59</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

#### Neurogenesis variations unique to humans

Neurogenesis is mediated by neural stem and progenitor cells and is regulated by many human-specific molecular features through various mechanisms, including cell

cycle regulation, transcription modulation, signalling pathways, mitochondrial dynamics and metabolism, which were traditionally revealed by ectopic expression of human genes in animal models<sup>10,14</sup> (Fig. 3a). A growing number of discoveries have been made using hPS cell-derived brain organoids as they robustly model the microscopic and macroscopic characteristics of early human brain development that are dominated by neural progenitor cells (Fig. 3a). For example, comparative functional studies using human and ape forebrain organoids identified ZEB2, which encodes a transcriptional co-repressor, as an evolutionary driver that contributes to greater cortical expansion in humans by delaying the NEC-to-RGC transition with faster cell cycles<sup>29</sup> (Table 1 and Figs. 3a,4a). Similarly, altering of RGC cell cycles by two HAR-regulated mechanisms involving PPP1R17 (which encodes a protein phosphatase inhibitor and cell cycle regulator)<sup>60</sup> and FZD8 (which encodes a WNT receptor)<sup>61</sup> leads to prolonged development and increased size of the neocortex (Table 1 and Fig. 3a). Functional cross-species comparisons revealed differential genetic control of RGC proliferation in humans through modulation of key signalling pathways, including PDGF<sup>62</sup>, Robo<sup>17</sup>, Notch (for example, by human-specific gene duplication of NOTCH2NL)63,64, mTOR (for example, via INSR (which encodes the insulin receptor, an mTOR activator), ITGB8 (which encodes a fibronectin receptor, an mTOR activator)<sup>40,65</sup> and hominid-specific gene CROCCP2 (which encodes a cilia protein and regulates mTOR signalling in oRGCs)<sup>66</sup>) and regulatory networks, including via the DUF1220 protein domain (human-specific increase)<sup>67</sup> and ZNF558 (which encodes a human-specific mitophagy regulator)<sup>68</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3a). There are also variations that specifically affect oRGCs, a subset of RGCs enriched in primates. oRGC amplification and neocortical expansion is promoted by overexpression of the human-specific mitochondrial gene ARHGAP11B in mice<sup>69,70</sup>, ferrets<sup>71</sup>, marmosets<sup>72</sup> or chimpanzee organoids<sup>73</sup>; the hominoid-specific histone methyltransferase suppressor TBC1D3 in mice<sup>74</sup> and hPS cell organoids<sup>75</sup>; the primate-specific cell cycle-related gene *TMEM14B* in mice<sup>76</sup>; or the hominid-specific fatty acid synthesis-related gene TKTL1 in mice or genome-edited human organoids<sup>77</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3a). Taken together, these studies identified not only canonical signalling pathways regulating human-specific features in neurogenesis, such as Notch and mTOR, but also novel genetic mechanisms modulating various cellular properties of human neural stem and progenitor cells (Table 1 and Fig. 3a).

#### Neuron and circuit development variations specific to humans

Rates and levels of neuritogenesis and synaptogenesis related to circuit formation and connectivity are also differentially regulated in humans, the underlying mechanisms for which were mostly revealed using humanized mouse models<sup>28,78</sup> (Fig. 3b). For example, *FOXP2*, the earliest reported gene with evidence of human-specific evolutionary adaptation in its coding sequence<sup>45,79</sup>, has critical roles in speech and vocalization development. It encodes a transcription factor that modulates dendritic length and synaptic plasticity<sup>80</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3b). Ectopic expression of the human-specific gene *SRGAP2C* in embryonic mice interferes with activity of its ancestral copy of *SRGAP2* (which encodes a Slit–Robo RHO GTPase activator), leading to prolonged maturation and increased density of synapses and connectivity of pyramidal neurons<sup>81-84</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3b). Primate-specific modifications in regulatory regions also result in dendritic or synaptic remodelling. For example, osteocrin (encoded by *OSTN*) is normally secreted in bones and muscles, but is

evolutionarily re-purposed to be expressed in the primate brain where it interacts with the transcription factor MEF2 and regulates activity-dependent dendritic growth specifically in primate neurons<sup>85</sup>. Changes in the expression levels of *CBLN2* (which encodes cerebellin 2, a regulator of synaptogenesis)<sup>86</sup> or *PLXNA1* (which encodes semaphorin receptor plexin A1 and is involved in axon guidance)<sup>87</sup> owing to loss of regulatory region binding sites for their respective transcription factors, SOX5 and FEZF2, alter dendritic growth and spine density in primate neurons. Human-specific chromatin loop-regulated EPHA7, which encodes an axon guidance protein, exhibits elevated expression in human subplate neurons and impacts dendrite growth and circuit development<sup>88</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 3b). A human-specific deletion in a putative regulatory element of LOXL2 (a neuronal differentiation-related gene), which is a region highly conserved in other vertebrates, leads to transcriptomic changes related to calcium signalling and myelination of neurons, as shown by reintroducing the conserved chimpanzee regulatory sequence for *LOXL2* into human cells<sup>89</sup>. In addition, a recent study revealed human-specific mapping of HARs to late-stage excitatory neurons in the developing telencephalon that are missing in chimpanzee-accelerated-regions, suggesting evolutionary rewiring of interactions between neurodevelopmental genes and HARs<sup>57</sup>. Moreover, genes mediating protracted neuronal differentiation and maturation in humans have been identified using mouse models (for example, MEF2A and NPAS3, which encode transcription factors involved in brain development<sup>7</sup>, and *GADD45G*, which encodes a cell cycle repressor, apoptosis activator and epigenetic regulator<sup>90</sup>) and hPS cell models (for example, GATA3, which encodes a transcription factor that regulates action potential speeds and is uniquely upregulated in human maturing neurons compared with non-human primates to contribute to human neoteny)<sup>91</sup>. These findings suggest that many neuronal and circuit developmental processes are conserved across mammals, with increased levels of synaptic connections and protracted duration in humans that provide a substrate for enhanced neuronal networks (Table 1 and Fig. 3b).

Despite rapid advances, the limited number of genes studied so far suggests that we have only scratched the surface of the human-specific regulatory mechanisms that ultimately make us humans. Nevertheless, it is important to exercise caution when interpreting the results of evolution-based studies such as those described above. In particular, the recent controversies over TKTL1 (refs. 92,93) and FOXP2 (refs. 94,95) highlight that variants that seem to be absent from the genomes of modern humans can in fact be detected when a larger number of genomes representing greater ancestral diversity are examined. A recent preprint showed that disease risk and gene expression during human development are affected by different genetic ancestries and environmental backgrounds<sup>96</sup>, and yet most recent human studies have focused on populations with European ancestry. It is critical that future genetic studies represent the broad genetic heterogeneity and ancestral diversity of human populations, especially those that are currently genetically under-represented<sup>97,98</sup>, by developing resources such as the recently published draft of the more-inclusive human pangenome reference<sup>99</sup>. It is also important to note that animal models have limitations for evolutionary studies as ectopic expression of human proteins in cells of other species may result in nonspecific artefacts<sup>100</sup>. hPS cell models with determined genetic variants therefore provide a useful human-based platform to study causative links between genotypes and functional traits (Figs. 2 and 4). However, it must be remembered that single genetic

variants that alter physical brain traits may not translate to effects on more complex traits, such as human-specific behaviours, that cannot be measured in these systems and are likely to be polygenic. Traits identified and modelled in both animal and hPS cell models should, therefore, be further validated in human populations carrying the corresponding mutations. Thus, moving forward, more key causal genetic mechanisms can be discovered through larger-scale gene mapping (for example, using massively parallel reporter assays<sup>57,89</sup> or genome-wide genetic screens)<sup>13,101</sup>, followed by functional testing on advanced human cellular models and validation of traits in specific human populations.

## Insight from human brain disorders

Dysregulation along human brain developmental trajectories arising from mutations in critical genetic loci can lead to neurological and psychiatric disorders. Here we provide examples — far from an exhaustive list — to illustrate how identifying genetic causes of brain disorders, ranging from anatomical deficits to neuropsychiatric disorders to interactions with environmental factors, together with functional characterization in mouse and hPS cell-based models, can advance our understanding of genetic control at each step of human brain development (Table 1 and Figs. 4b,c,5).

#### Neural tube defects

Failures in neural tube closure expose the neuroepithelium to the outside environment, resulting in neuroepithelial degeneration and subsequent nervous system deficits<sup>102</sup>. Neurulation events are highly conserved across mammals as they involve an ancient mechanism that evolved before vertebrates<sup>102</sup>, making the mouse model an invaluable system to recapitulate core genetic control mechanisms identified in clinical studies. Dysregulation in key signalling pathways (for example, bone morphogenetic protein, Notch, planar cell polarity, non-canonical WNT, Sonic hedgehog), cell cycle and survival machinery (for example, CASP3, which encodes caspase 3 for cell apoptosis), cytoskeleton systems (for example, MARCKS, which encodes an actin filament crosslinking protein), and cell adhesion and interaction functions (for example, Eph-ephrin) disrupts neuroepithelium bending or neural tube closure steps — many of which depend on NEC behaviours, such as movement, proliferation, survival and cell-cell interactions — and leads to severe disorders, such as craniorachischisis and exencephaly<sup>102</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Despite the identification of many key mutations, genetic causes of neural tube defects remain elusive, largely due to a polygenic aetiology attributed to complex gene-gene or gene-environment interactions<sup>103</sup>. New brain organoid platforms for modelling neural tube formation can systematically test risk genes in human models to investigate the underlying mechanisms<sup>104,105</sup>.

#### Malformation from disrupted neurogenesis

Defects in progenitor amplification or apoptosis result in alterations in brain size and are often associated with primary microcephaly, developmental delay and epilepsy<sup>2</sup>. Despite diverse aetiologies, genetic causes of brain structural deficits, such as 'small head' (microcephaly) or 'smooth brain' (lissencephaly), are often attributable to genes controlling cytoskeleton architecture or cell growth machinery in various cell types<sup>12</sup>. In mice and hPS cell-derived cortical organoids modelling microcephaly, causative mutations

in genes encoding proteins involved in centrosome function and mitosis (for example, ASPM<sup>106</sup>, CDK5RAP2 (ref. 9), CENPJ<sup>107</sup> and WDR62 (refs. 108,109), DNA damage response (for example, *MCPH1*)<sup>110</sup> and endoplasmic reticulum secretion (for example, *IER3IP1*)<sup>111</sup> target RGC-mediated neurogenesis, resulting in RGC premature differentiation, depletion and consequently a significant reduction in cortical size<sup>15</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Among microcephaly-causing genes, ASPM and CDK5RAP2 contribute to an increased brain size in primates<sup>112</sup>, and identifying more such genes could reveal convergent genetic mechanisms underlying both evolution and diseases. Moreover, disruption in critical cell growth-related signalling pathways in neural progenitors can lead to abnormal proliferation and anatomical malformations. hPS cell models in which mTOR signalling was altered by deletion of PTEN (which encodes an mTOR suppressor)<sup>113</sup> phenocopied macrocephaly by affecting cortical RGC amplification, and those in which mTOR signalling was altered by deficiency of TSC2 (which encodes a cell growth regulator)<sup>114</sup> phenocopied tuberous sclerosis complex owing to interneuron progenitor overproliferation (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Organoid models derived from individuals with neurofibromatosis type 1 (mutation in NF1, which encodes neurofibromin, a RAS GTPase activator)<sup>115,116</sup> and Pitt-Hopkins syndrome (mutation in TCF4, which encodes a transcription factor initiating neural differentiation)<sup>117</sup> showed alterations in both progenitor proliferation and neuron differentiation, although the NF1 mutation acts on RAS signalling and the TCF4 mutation impairs WNT signalling. Organoids derived from individuals with cortical dysplasia focal epilepsy syndrome owing to a homozygous mutation in CNTNAP2, a neurexin-encoding gene, recapitulate forebrain overgrowth phenotypes due to faster neural progenitor cell cycle and production<sup>118</sup>. Homozygous silencing of the gene encoding the transcription factor EOMES affects IPC propagation and migration, causing microcephaly with polymicrogyria and agenesis of the corpus callosum (ACC)<sup>119</sup>. Many microcephaly pathogenic variants cause overlapping phenotypes of lissencephaly (for example, NDE1 (ref. 2) and LIS1 (ref. 120)), polymicrogyria (for example, EOMES<sup>119</sup> and WDR62 (ref. 12)) and focal cortical dysplasia (for example, mTOR-related genes)<sup>121,122</sup>, highlighting the staggering complexity of genotype-phenotype manifestations even in monogenic diseases<sup>12</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Notably, several mouse models of mutations that cause microcephaly in humans exhibit a mild reduction in brain size (for example, ASPM<sup>4</sup>, CDK5RAP2 (refs. 3,6) and IER3IP1 (ref. 111)) in contrast to robust phenotypes in their corresponding hPS cell models<sup>9,106,111</sup>. highlighting the unique role for hPS cell-based models not only to identify disease-causing variants de novo, but also to revisit risk genes previously studied only in animal models. Furthermore, hPS cell-based models can serve as a platform for large-scale screening to reveal new genetic modifiers of human neurogenesis and brain size<sup>111</sup> (Fig. 2).

#### Abnormal neuronal migration, differentiation and maturation

Neuronal precursor-mediated migration allows for brain expansion and self-organization of the architecture, which can be disrupted by pathogenic mutations in key cytoskeletal genes that regulate centrosomes at the leading edge of migrating neuroblasts or microtubules that are necessary for somal translocation<sup>12</sup>. The resulting abnormal precursor migration and neuronal positioning largely leads to lissencephaly, which is characterized by subcortical band heterotopia with a wide range of clinical severity in cortical abnormalities, anterior-to-posterior severity gradients, gyrification reduction, band thickness and ventricle

enlargement<sup>123</sup> (Fig. 5). Classical (type I) lissencephaly patients display a thicker, four-layer cortical structure with a heterotopic band, whereas hPS cell-derived forebrain organoids bearing key causative mutations, including LIS1 (which encodes a regulator of motor protein dynein), YWHAE (which encodes a signal transduction-mediating protein that has diverse roles, such as cell division and regulation of insulin sensitivity)<sup>120,124,125</sup> and  $TUBA1A^{126}$ , modelled defects in anatomical architecture and under-migration of newborn neurons (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Mutations in X chromosome-linked DCX, which encodes a microtubule-associated protein, cause lissencephaly in males and are associated with sex differences and dose-dependency in disease severity, as female patients are affected with milder subcortical band heterotopia<sup>123,127,128</sup>. Many lissencephaly-causing mutations lead to disease traits in various brain regions aside from the cortex. For example, 'tubulinopathies' and 'actinopathies' caused by mutations in genes encoding proteins involved in microtubule (for example, TUBA1A)<sup>126</sup> and actin (for example, ACTB, ACTG1 based on data discussed in a preprint article)<sup>129</sup> regulation, respectively, lead to cortical lissencephaly and a broad range of maldevelopment in the cerebellum, hippocampus and corpus callosum, although neuropathology has only been modelled in human cortical organoids<sup>123</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Mutations preferentially targeting interneuron migration and differentiation, such as ARX (which encodes a highly conserved homeobox transcription factor involved in vertebrate cerebral development), lead to agyria lissencephaly phenotypes paired with severe neuropsychiatric disorders, such as epilepsy or ACC<sup>123</sup>. Mutations in RELN(which encodes reelin, a secreted extracellular matrix glycoprotein involved in neuronal migration and cell interactions) impair regulation of neuronal precursor migration by Cajal-Retzius cells, causing pachygyria or lissencephaly with cerebellar hypoplasia<sup>12</sup>. The importance of accurate spatial positioning of neurons for normal brain development is emphasized by these disease cellular phenotypes and many others, including over-migration of newborn cortical neurons in cobblestone (type II) lissencephaly (for example, owing to mutations in POMT, which encodes a glycosyltransferase)<sup>123</sup>, aberrant migration and lining along ventricles of newborn cortical neurons in periventricular heterotopia that is often paired with recurrent seizures (for example, owing to mutations in genes encoding cadherin (DCHS1, FAT4)<sup>130</sup> or an actin-binding protein filamin A (FLNA)<sup>12</sup>), and interneuron migration and synapse deficits in Timothy syndrome (for example, owing to mutations in CACNA1C, which encodes a subunit of the calcium channel  $Ca_v 1.2$ )<sup>131,132</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Notably, many disease-causing mutations that have a clear impact on patients, such as DCX and FLNA, do not show corresponding phenotypes in mice<sup>12</sup>. Recent development of brain organoid models that exhibit clear segregation of distinct cortical layers<sup>133</sup> and some structural convolutions<sup>125,133</sup> provide promising human models to examine the impact of these risk genes on neuron diversification and distribution and to investigate underlying mechanisms. More advanced organoid platforms remain to be developed to reveal the molecular and cellular basis that leads to key cytoarchitectural features, including gyrification (Fig. 2).

Aside from abnormal migration (which determines spatial positioning), dysregulation in neuronal differentiation and maturation processes can also lead to diseases, some of which have been effectively modelled with hPS cell-derived organoids<sup>8,14</sup>. For example, cortical organoids derived from focal cortical dysplasia patient-induced PSCs (iPS cells) recapitulated phenotypes of dysmorphic neurons and neuronal network hyperexcitability

through downregulation of *RHOA*, a small GTPase RHOA signalling gene<sup>134</sup>, which revealed a focal cortical dysplasia-causing mechanism independent of mTOR regulation of progenitor proliferation<sup>121</sup>. Impaired RHOA signalling caused by reduced CRLF3 expression was also attributed to defects in neuronal differentiation, survival and maturation in forebrain organoids derived from NF1 patients<sup>115,116</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Moreover, patient iPS cell organoids modelling disorders with less severe structural abnormalities but more pronounced psychiatric symptoms have been used to reveal underlying cellular defects caused by mutations, which are often associated with neuron differentiation. For example, OLIG2 was shown in Down syndrome patient iPS cell-derived organoids with trisomy 21 to be a transcriptional factor that drives interneuron fate choice, resulting in excessive OLIG2<sup>+</sup> progenitors and interneurons<sup>135</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). A cortical organoid model of myotonic dystrophy type 1 bearing a mutation of DMPK (which encodes the myotonin-protein kinase that interacts with RHO family small GTPases) displays loss of glutamatergic neurons, dysregulation of glutamate synaptic signalling and a marked elevation in the number of glial cells. Glutamate-induced excitotoxicity is manifested through MECP2-related pathways, and the *DMPK*-mutated patient organoid model phenocopied that of *MECP2* deficiency<sup>136</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Analysis of three cortical organoid models with isogenic mutations of SUV420H1, ARID1B and CHD8, three autism spectrum disorder (ASD) risk genes that encode epigenetic regulators, revealed common phenotypes of excessive interneuron differentiation and premature differentiation of deep-layer cortical neurons<sup>137</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 4b). Overall, these findings emphasize the importance of accurately controlling the positioning of neurons in both space and time to achieve the complex structural organization of the human brain.

#### Aberrant circuit formation and wiring

Disruption of neural circuit assembly and connectivity involving key genes regulating axon guidance and synaptic function, in addition to cytoskeleton organization, can lead to brain disorders in humans<sup>8,12,48</sup> (Fig. 5). Most functional studies of disease-causing mutations in axon guidance gene families found in human patients, which are often associated with epilepsy and ASD, have been performed in animal models<sup>138</sup>. For example, mutations in several Slit-Robo ligand-receptor gene families in mice disrupt axon midline crossing between two hemispheres and are associated with disorders such as ACC or dyslexia in humans<sup>138,139</sup>. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone-expressing neurons migrate from the nose through the forebrain into the hypothalamus along neuronal axon tracts, and mouse models show that mutations in semaphorin genes that cause Kallmann syndrome in humans (such as SEMA3A, a semaphorin-encoding gene regulated by CHD7) disrupt migration substrates for these neurons<sup>138</sup>. A recent preprint using organoids derived from individuals with ACC revealed that ARID1B-haploinsufficiency causes abnormalities in callosal projection neuron maturation, long-range projection formation and transcription of corpus callosum development<sup>140</sup> (Fig. 5). This finding demonstrates that rapid advancement of hPS cell organoids that model different brain regions and later developmental stages enables the study of neuronal projection development in humans (Fig. 2).

Genetic malfunctions in synapse formation and plasticity are major contributing factors to the pathogenesis of neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders, including ASD,

epilepsy, intellectual disabilities (ID) and schizophrenia<sup>50,141</sup>. Besides robust modelling of disorders with structural defects caused by deficits in progenitor proliferation, neuron migration, or differentiation, organoid systems, such as assembloids, are rapidly advancing to provide platforms for examining the pathogenesis and mechanisms underlying less anatomically distinct but more complex psychiatric disorders involving circuitry abnormalities<sup>14,15,142</sup> (Fig. 2). In recent years, many of the most common single-gene mutations for ASD or ID were shown to be sufficient to cause cellular deficits and to recapitulate relevant 'synaptopathies' in patient-derived organoids: examples include CACNA1C in Timothy syndrome<sup>131,132</sup>, DGCR8 (which encodes a microRNA regulator for calcium signalling) in DiGeorge syndrome<sup>143</sup>, FMR1 (which encodes the fragile X mental retardation protein, a brain-enriched RNA-binding protein essential for brain development) in fragile X syndrome<sup>144,145</sup>, MECP2 (which encodes a multifunctional epigenetic regulator) in Rett syndrome<sup>146-148</sup>, *SHANK3* (which encodes a synapse scaffolding protein) in Phelan-McDermid syndrome<sup>149</sup>, UBE3A (which encodes an E3 ubiquitin ligase for voltage-dependent big potassium channel) in Angelman syndrome<sup>150</sup>, DISC1 (which encodes a multifunctional protein, Disrupted in schizophrenia 1, involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, neurite growth, cell adhesion and synapse formation, among others)<sup>133,151,152</sup> and FGFR1 (which encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase for the growth factor FGF to regulate cell signalling)<sup>153</sup> in schizophrenia, and WWOX (which encodes an oxidoreductase enzyme) in epileptic encephalopathy<sup>154</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). In addition, mutations causing glia-associated pathology can lead to developmental delay and other disease traits owing to critical roles of glia in synapse modulation<sup>155</sup>. For example, organoids derived from patients with Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease, a rare X-linked disease caused by mutation of the PLPI gene (which encodes myelin protein) and associated with delayed development in motor skills and hypotonia during early childhood, recapitulate patient cellular phenotypes of myelination defects<sup>156</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Besides molecular, cellular and anatomical phenotypes, alterations in neuronal activity are another readout identifying pathophysiology of circuit assembly and function<sup>157</sup>. Emerging studies reveal the roles and mechanisms of risk genes in regulating synapse formation, function and plasticity using human neurons, such as FMRI in fragile X syndrome<sup>144</sup>, and we expect that more will be learned in the future, especially through comparisons between human and mouse models.

#### Dysregulation via environmental interactions

Human brain developmental processes can be shaped negatively or positively by genetic alterations induced by environmental factors. Biological or chemical insults, such as viral infections, chemical agents or radiation<sup>158</sup>, can either directly induce genetic lesions or disrupt molecular cascades during one or more steps of brain development, including precursor generation, migration, positioning, or establishing neuronal connections. Affected genes have been identified using animal<sup>159</sup> or hPS cell-based models<sup>160</sup>. A notable example is congenital microcephaly induced by Zika virus. Initial studies showed reduced neural progenitor proliferation and premature differentiation upon viral infection of human brain organoids<sup>161-163</sup> or mice<sup>164,165</sup>. Mechanistic investigations then linked viral protein interactions with key molecules in neurogenesis (such as *TLR3* (ref. 166) and *ANKLE2* (refs. 167,168)) and cytoskeleton processes (such as adherens junctions<sup>169</sup> and centrosome

genes<sup>170,171</sup>) (Table 1 and Figs. 4c,5). Moreover, exposure to physiological factors (such as nutrition or the microbiome) or activity-dependent mechanisms (such as an enriched environment or experience) affects genetic elements regulating not only brain patterning and cytoarchitecture, but also neurotrophic factors and neurotransmitter systems that are altered in many neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders, including ID, ASD and schizophrenia<sup>172</sup>. For example, vitamin A is an essential supplement during neural tube formation 103. Its derivative retinoic acid — an evolutionarily differentially regulated signalling molecule that presents an anterior-to-posterior, prefrontal cortexenriched gradient in the developing brain — has a critical role in prefrontal cortex patterning and cortical-thalamic connectivity, as loss of function of its receptor genes (RXRG, RARB) or CYP26B1-dependent catabolism in mouse models showed deficits<sup>173</sup>. Many environmentally induced alterations influence neuronal and circuit development through epigenetic regulatory mechanisms<sup>1,50</sup>. Notably, the severity of both structural changes (for example, via Zika virus infection)<sup>174</sup> and complex neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders (such as ASD and schizophrenia)<sup>175</sup> largely depends on the genetic background of the patient, which can be modelled with hPS cells<sup>176</sup>.

#### Emerging views on genetic multiplicity, interactions and regulation

Recent advances in studying neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders have revealed emerging principles of genetic multiplicity, differential regulation, gene–gene interactions and interactions of non-coding genomic regions with disease-associated genes<sup>11</sup>. Integrating such information offers a new perspective, while posing challenges in investigating the diverse genetic architecture of human brain development among complex genotype–phenotype relationships and overlapping aetiologies.

Genetic multiplicity — both risk gene pleiotropy and disease polygenicity — has been revealed through the expanded scale of next-generation and single-cell multiomic sequencing and GWAS analyses of ASD<sup>141</sup>, schizophrenia<sup>177,178</sup> and other complex neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders. These efforts have not only identified a growing list of risk genes and variants with high statistical confidence, ranging from common small-effect variants to rare but deleterious ones, but have also revealed their highly polygenic nature through polygenic risk scoring and through analysing variant interactions and convergence<sup>11,12,101</sup>. Moreover, recent studies with higher throughput and sensitivity have begun to deconvolute how ubiquitous disease-causative or disease-associated gene variants affect the brain in a region-specific, developmental stage-specific and cell typespecific manner. For example, periventricular heterotopia-causing mutations (such as FLNA<sup>12</sup> and PRPF6 (ref. 179)) disrupt RGC proliferation and neuronal differentiation through cell type-specific mechanisms. Novel roles for several synaptic genes, including SCN2A and CACNA1C in ion channels and SHANK3 and SYNGAP1 in synaptic modulation, were identified in immature neurons; these roles are distinct from their known function in mature neurons and are due to differential spatiotemporal regulation<sup>180</sup>. Disruption in *FMR1* in a fragile X syndrome patient-derived organoid model showed abnormalities in multiple developmental steps, including neurogenesis, neuronal maturation and synapse formation<sup>145</sup> (Table 1 and Fig. 5). These findings highlight the level of

complexity among disease traits, their causative variants and the affected developmental processes<sup>12</sup>.

Diseases with anatomical phenotypes can involve genetic interactions. For example, genetic interactions during neural tube closure were shown to be necessary in mice heterozygous for mutations in both DVL1 and DVL2, and in mice and humans heterozygous for mutations in both DVL and VANGL2; these compound heterozygous individuals display functional redundancy and additive effects that resemble those of each homozygote<sup>103</sup>. The synergistic impact of common heterozygous variants of individually small effects is better represented in polygenic disorders with neurodevelopmental manifestations, such as ASD<sup>11,137,141,181</sup>. Interactions with non-coding genomic regions affecting gene expression through enhancers or other interactions in human disease traits are also critical. The advancement of single-cell molecular detection tools can reveal cell type-specific and developmental stage-specific consequences. For example, long non-coding RNAs, such as DLX6-AS1, LINC00643 and LINC01166, which are dysregulated in multiple neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders<sup>182</sup>, modulate interneuron specification. Advances in genome-editing technologies allows systematic investigation of epistasis of genetic interactions during specific brain developmental steps using hPS cell models. For example, CRISPR-based editing of one putative (FURIN rs4702) and four top-ranked (FURIN, SNAP91, TSNARE1 and CLCN3) common schizophrenia risk variants in isogenic iPS cell lines demonstrated their causative and synergistic effects in synapse modulation<sup>177</sup>. Overall, developmental process-specific genetic variations, their pleiotropy and regulation, and genetic interactions with each other and the environment demand technological advancement for understanding the complex genetic architecture of human brain development.

#### Opportunities and challenges

Tremendous progress has been made using tools from various technology domains to study the precise genetic control of human brain development, with the goal of advancing therapeutic development (Fig. 6a). Patient phenotyping studies, such as genetic studies and functional imaging<sup>183-186</sup>, examine neuropathological traits during development and identify disease-causing genetic variants. Whole-genome-scale investigations can reveal disease-associated risk genes, which are critical for studying large-effect genes reliably associated with specific developmental processes or complex disorders such as ASD. In particular, single-cell omics<sup>13,122,187-191</sup> and analysis<sup>192-195</sup> at the genomic, transcriptomic and epigenomic levels have been widely applied to map a holistic, high-resolution picture of genetic architecture in a cell type-specific manner (Fig. 6a). On the other hand, mechanistic interrogation of the causality of genetic variants and their hypothesized functions and testing of drug responses during brain development are enabled by various animal models and the rise of hPS cell-derived 2D and 3D model systems, together with rapid iterations of genetic manipulation tools<sup>14,142</sup>. Although hPS cell-derived models have the unique advantage of modelling human-specific molecular and cellular features, animal models (particularly rodents and primates) with human mutations remain the primary approach to explore many higher-level functions, such as cognition and behaviours 50,52 (Fig. 6a). Integration of technologies in each domain allows for the convergence of human genetics and developmental neuroscience, systematically establishing genotype-phenotype links to

offer insights into how the human brain develops, which in turn paves the way for disease diagnostic and treatment strategies (Fig. 6a).

#### Opportunities with combinatorial approaches

The power of combinatorial approaches will provide tremendous opportunities for a better understanding of the genetics of human brain development and for developing therapeutic strategies for brain disorders (Fig. 6a). A notable example is our multidisciplinary investigation of DISC1, which was initially identified as an ultra-rare risk gene for major psychiatric disorders in a large Scottish family<sup>196</sup> and later in a smaller American family (Pedigree H)<sup>197</sup> (Fig. 6b). Starting with rodent models, loss of function of DISC1 in adult-born dentate granule neurons was shown to lead to deficits in neuronal morphology, migration, dendritic growth and synapse formation<sup>198-200</sup>, as well as behavioural abnormalities<sup>201</sup>. Derivation of iPS cell lines from multiple members of Pedigree H<sup>202</sup> and generation of isogenic rescue and mutant iPS cell lines with genome editing, together with targeted differentiation into 2D cortical neurons, established a casual role of the *DISC1* mutation in dysregulated synapse formation and gene expression<sup>203</sup>. 3D brain organoid analysis further revealed deficits in cortical neuronal maturation and layer segregation, as well as its underlying molecular mechanism<sup>133</sup>. In addition, molecular and atomic structural analyses of DISC1 have identified its molecular binding partners and signalling pathways, and some of these interactions were shown to affect neuronal development in mice and hPS cell-derived 2D and 3D models<sup>151,201,204-210</sup>, to exhibit epistasis in increased genetic risk for schizophrenia from multiple cohorts<sup>208,209</sup>, and to affect hippocampal function and connectivity based on functional magnetic resonance imaging analysis in humans<sup>211</sup>. Mechanistic molecular analysis of iPS cell-derived 2D neurons further identified druggable targets, leading to pharmacological rescue of synaptic deficits in mutant human neurons. Finally, a humanized mouse model with the same mutation found in Pedigree H revealed that synaptic and behavioural deficits could be rescued in adult mice by the same pharmacological approach as in 2D human neurons<sup>212</sup>. Taken together, these studies illustrate an actionable pipeline starting with human models based on patient mutations with isogenic iPS cell lines to establish causative roles in specific phenotypes of neural development, followed by mechanistic studies to identify druggable targets and drug testing, and finally efficacy testing at functional and behavioural levels in a humanized mouse model with the same patient mutation (Fig. 6b).

#### Limitations of current hPS cell models and future improvements

Research using hPS cell models is still in its early stages, and many challenges remain to be addressed<sup>213</sup>. Unlike inbred mice that have practically identical genetic backgrounds, humans have a high degree of genetic diversity. Therefore, it is crucial to study the effects of specific genetic variants in the context of different genetic backgrounds to fully understand their impact in various human populations, especially minority groups<sup>97,98</sup>. In addition, substantial variability exists among hPS cell models, highlighting the need for improved reproducibility. iPS cell lines are highly variable because they are generated using different methods and from different patients, and therefore it may be necessary to customize differentiation protocols for each individual line<sup>142</sup>.

Organoid models also need further optimization to incorporate a wider variety of cell types that better mimic the cell type heterogeneity found in vivo. For example, microglia<sup>214,215</sup> and endothelial cells<sup>216</sup>, which are derived from different lineages than neural cells, can be incorporated into organoids through the use of co-culture techniques. Although current organoid models are improving in their ability to model early stages of brain development, such as neurogenesis, substantially more effort is needed to optimize these models to better replicate the cytoarchitecture and circuitry of the human brain, including distinct cortical layers and functional columns. Such efforts will lay a solid cellular foundation for modelling later stages of human brain development. Meanwhile, xenotransplantation of human cells and organoids into animal hosts provides another opportunity to study human-specific cell types or cell interactions (such as examining circuitry and behaviour of animal hosts) in an in vivo context<sup>215,217,218</sup>. More effort also needs to be invested in developing reproducible protocols for generating a wider variety of brain cell types and brain region-specific organoids to expand the range of brain regions that can be modelled<sup>142</sup>. Although most current studies focus on modelling a single brain region, the assembloid approach allows interactions between different brain regions to be studied, albeit with artificial boundaries. Developing methods to pattern brain organoids that span multiple brain regions<sup>219</sup> holds promise for studying long-distance directed neuronal migration, axonal projections and the propagation of circuit activity.

Finally, high-throughput approaches that produce large quantities of organoids with consistent properties are needed to enable targeted or genome-wide CRISPR-based or prime editing screens for the functional investigation of specific genes or single-nucleotide variants in specific developmental steps, as well as the testing of drugs and treatment strategies on organoids with high-throughput readouts. Importantly, as organoid models become more advanced and are xenografted into animal models (potentially large animals), it is crucial to consider the ethical implications of all of these developments<sup>217,218</sup>.

Overall, despite being in the early stages, promising hPS cell approaches will soon be broadly adopted and advanced for more systematic and ambitious screening and testing of human-specific variants, for example, using massively parallel reporter assays<sup>10</sup>, or even testing every risk variant from GWAS to establish causative links between genotypes and their cellular and molecular consequences. Aligning with the goal of reducing the use of animals in research, this will help us to move towards a holistic understanding of the genetics of human brain development and pave the way for clinical applications.

#### Other future innovations

In addition to these developments in cell models, other technical advances will be required to enable a fuller understanding of the genetics underlying brain development (Fig. 6a). Better phenotyping of human traits will be essential. Improved acquisition of human brain tissue through global collaborations<sup>55,189,191,220-224</sup> will enable a higher number and wider diversity of human populations to be covered, which will reduce bias in genetic studies<sup>97,98</sup> and make polygenic scores for different diseases, many of which currently are based on populations with European ancestry, more applicable to a wider range of ethnicities<sup>225</sup>. Increasing the scale, depth and affordability of omics

analyses will uncover previously unappreciated variants and their roles and reveal levels of human trait complexity through integrative analyses of different data modalities<sup>12,101</sup>. More advanced histological, pathological, functional and radiological imaging methods and artificial intelligence-accelerated analytic tools are needed that achieve higher accuracy, resolution, depth and throughput and offer more modalities<sup>226</sup> (Fig. 6a).

Better genetic causality studies of human traits will also be required. With the availability of modelling systems and an increasing number of confidently identified human-specific or disease risk gene candidates, the field is poised for larger-scale functional testing. Tools for basic research will continue to expand, such as gene editing tools that achieve genome-wide coverage, greater efficiency and fewer off-target effects<sup>227,228</sup>, and those that enable the tracing and reconstruction of brain cell lineages<sup>188</sup> (such as virus-based lineage tracing tools and the use of somatic DNA mutations as a natural retrospective barcoding system)<sup>122</sup> (Fig. 6a).

Finally, better strategies are needed for developing treatments of neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders. A deep understanding of genetics guides the identification of new biomarkers for diagnosis and novel targets for therapeutics. Although artificial intelligence-driven computational approaches will greatly expedite drug discovery<sup>229</sup>, the use of hPS cell models should be scaled up to an industrial level to enable drug safety and efficacy to be evaluated at both population and individual levels. Moreover, genetic discoveries will hasten the improvement of novel and precise treatment methods, such as gene therapy with safer and more efficient delivery<sup>230</sup>. Taken together, these future developments promise to streamline discoveries from characterization and modelling of human traits to gene and drug discovery (Fig. 6a).

#### Conclusions

Our understanding of the genetic basis of human brain development largely comes from cross-species comparative studies that identify cellular and molecular features contributing to human-specific phenotypes and discoveries of genetic variants and interactions in patients with developmental brain disorders. Classic paradigms typically start by defining phenotypic traits of evolutionary human-unique features or brain diseases. This is followed by forward molecular genetics approaches, such as next-generation sequencing, to identify associated genetic variants hypothesized to be the genetic cause. Then, reverse genetics through gene manipulation in animal models allows for the establishment of causality between genotypes and phenotypes and subsequent studies of phylogenetics or pathogenesis. The growing accessibility of human tissue and rapid development of hPS cell-based model systems, along with advances in gene editing, sequencing analysis and functional assays, have enhanced our ability to tackle these problems. We can now apply the same pipeline to multiple human and animal model systems to directly identify and study genes at an unprecedented resolution and scale to establish genotype-phenotype causality. As more genes and variants are being identified in sequencing studies, it remains both a goal and a challenge to perform functional testing of anatomical structures and neuronal circuitry in later human developmental stages, for which reliable hPS cell-based models are still lacking. Importantly, the combination of these enabling technologies may soon lead to a paradigm shift in how we study the

genetics of human brain development, especially for features unique to humans, mutations in non-coding regulatory regions, gene–gene interactions and mechanisms underlying complex polygenic diseases. Advancing our knowledge of the genetics of human brain development using integrated models and molecular detection tools may soon substantially transform our diagnostic architecture and accelerate therapeutic development for brain disorders.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Song and Ming laboratories for discussion and thank K. M. Christian and Z. Zhang for comments. The authors apologize to colleagues whose relevant studies were not cited due to limited space. The research in the authors' laboratories was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R35NS097370 and RF1MH123979 to G-1.M., and R35NS116843 to H.S.), and from Dr. Miriam and Sheldon G. Adelson Medical Research Foundation (to G-1.M.).

#### Glossary

#### Gene editing

A type of genetic engineering technology in molecular biology by which a DNA sequence is inserted, deleted, modified or replaced in the genome of a living organism.

#### Genome-wide association studies

(GWAS). A research approach to identify genetic variants at the genome-wide level that are statistically associated with a risk for a disease or a particular trait.

#### Gyrified

Characterized by convolutions made of alternating gyri and sulci on the surface of cerebral cortex in certain species. Some disease conditions can alter gyrification, such as lissencephaly, where the cortical surface is smooth.

#### Human-accelerated regions

(HARs). Sets of segments of the human genome that are conserved throughout vertebrate evolution, but contain many substitutions in the human lineage.

#### Humanized animal models

Experimental animal models that have been xenografted with human cells and/or engineered to express human gene products, to obtain relevant insights in the in vivo context for understanding of human-specific physiology and pathologies.

#### Monogenic diseases

Genetic disorders that are caused by variation in a single gene.

#### Neural stem and progenitor cells

A collective term for neuroepithelial cells, radial glial cells, progenitor cells and other multipotent cells in the brain that give rise to various differentiated, postmitotic neuronal and glial cell types, often through intermediate progenitor cell stages.

#### Neurotypical

Description of individuals with intellectual and cognitive development typical of the larger population, as opposed to, for example, those impacted by neurodevelopmental or neuropsychiatric disorders (known as neuroatypical).

#### Organoids

Multicellular 3D structures — derived from primary tissue, embryonic stem cells or induced pluripotent stem cells — that self-organize in vitro and recapitulate developmental, anatomical and/or functional aspects of the primary tissue or organ counterpart.

#### Outer radial glia cells

(oRGCs). Radial glial neural stem cells that contain basal processes but lose their apical attachment to the ventricular surface and undergo distinct migratory and division behaviours; also known as basal radial glial cells.

#### Pleiotropy

The phenomenon that one gene or regulatory element affects multiple phenotypic traits (for example, biological processes, diseases).

#### **Pluripotent stem cell**

A cell that can be maintained in an undifferentiated state and can differentiate into most, if not all, cells of the body.

#### Polygenicity

A genetic disorder that is caused by the combined action of more than one gene.

#### **Primary microcephaly**

A brain disorder — known as 'small head' — characterized by significant reduction in head circumference at birth (more than three standard deviations below the mean for age and gender) usually coincident with intellectual disabilities.

#### Prime editing

A gene editing method by which new genetic information is written into a targeted DNA site in a precise 'search-and-replace' manner, involving a prime editing guide RNA capable of identifying the target site.

#### Single-cell multi-omic

Referring to high-throughput quantification of multiple types of biomolecules (for example, DNA, RNA, chromatin, protein and metabolites) from the same individual cell, aiming to achieve more biological insight than can be inferred by analysing each molecular layer from separate cells.

#### **Xenografted**

Refers to cells or tissue transplanted from a donor into a recipient of a different species.

#### References

 Silbereis JC, Pochareddy S, Zhu Y, Li M & Sestan N The cellular and molecular landscapes of the developing human central nervous system. Neuron 89, 248–268 (2016). [PubMed: 26796689]

- Jayaraman D, Bae BI & Walsh CA The genetics of primary microcephaly. Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. Genet 19, 177–200 (2018).
- 3. Lizarraga SB et al. Cdk5rap2 regulates centrosome function and chromosome segregation in neuronal progenitors. Development 137, 1907–1917 (2010). [PubMed: 20460369]
- Pulvers JN et al. Mutations in mouse Aspm (abnormal spindle-like microcephaly associated) cause not only microcephaly but also major defects in the germline. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 16595–16600 (2010). [PubMed: 20823249]
- 5. Gruber R et al. MCPH1 regulates the neuroprogenitor division mode by coupling the centrosomal cycle with mitotic entry through the Chk1-Cdc25 pathway. Nat. Cell Biol 13, 1325–1334 (2011). [PubMed: 21947081]
- Barrera JA et al. CDK5RAP2 regulates centriole engagement and cohesion in mice. Dev. Cell 18, 913–926 (2010). [PubMed: 20627074]
- Sousa AMM, Meyer KA, Santpere G, Gulden FO & Sestan N Evolution of the human nervous system function, structure, and development. Cell 170, 226–247 (2017). [PubMed: 28708995]
- Cadwell CR, Bhaduri A, Mostajo-Radji MA, Keefe MG & Nowakowski TJ Development and arealization of the cerebral cortex. Neuron 103, 980–1004 (2019). [PubMed: 31557462]
- Lancaster MA et al. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. Nature 501, 373–379 (2013). [PubMed: 23995685] This study pioneers a 3D cerebral organoid model derived from hPS cells to recapitulate human-specific features of brain development and model human brain disorders (microcephaly).
- Pollen AA, Kilik U, Lowe CB & Camp JG Human-specific genetics: new tools to explore the molecular and cellular basis of human evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet 10.1038/s41576-022-00568-4 (2023).
- Sullivan PF & Geschwind DH Defining the genetic, genomic, cellular, and diagnostic architectures of psychiatric disorders. Cell 177, 162–183 (2019). [PubMed: 30901538]
- Klingler E, Francis F, Jabaudon D & Cappello S Mapping the molecular and cellular complexity of cortical malformations. Science 371, eaba4517 (2021). [PubMed: 33479124]
- Cuomo ASE, Nathan A, Raychaudhuri S, MacArthur DG & Powell JE Single-cell genomics meets human genetics. Nat. Rev. Genet 24, 535–549 (2023). [PubMed: 37085594]
- Kelley KW & Pasca SP Human brain organogenesis: toward a cellular understanding of development and disease. Cell 185, 42–61 (2022). [PubMed: 34774127]
- Eichmuller OL & Knoblich JA Human cerebral organoids a new tool for clinical neurology research. Nat. Rev. Neurol 18, 661–680 (2022). [PubMed: 36253568]
- Andrews MG, Subramanian L, Salma J & Kriegstein AR How mechanisms of stem cell polarity shape the human cerebral cortex. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 23, 711–724 (2022). [PubMed: 36180551]
- Cardenas A et al. Evolution of cortical neurogenesis in amniotes controlled by Robo signaling levels. Cell 174, 590–606.e21 (2018). [PubMed: 29961574]
- Rakic P. Evolution of the neocortex: a perspective from developmental biology. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 10, 724–735 (2009). [PubMed: 19763105]
- Corbin JG, Nery S & Fishell G Telencephalic cells take a tangent: non-radial migration in the mammalian forebrain. Nat. Neurosci 4 (Suppl), 1177–1182 (2001). [PubMed: 11687827]
- Ma T et al. Subcortical origins of human and monkey neocortical interneurons. Nat. Neurosci 16, 1588–1597 (2013). [PubMed: 24097041]
- 21. Paredes MF et al. Extensive migration of young neurons into the infant human frontal lobe. Science 354, aaf7073 (2016). [PubMed: 27846470]
- 22. Shi Y et al. Mouse and human share conserved transcriptional programs for interneuron development. Science 374, eabj6641 (2021). [PubMed: 34882453]
- 23. Song H & Poo M The cell biology of neuronal navigation. Nat. Cell Biol 3, E81–E88 (2001). [PubMed: 11231595]
- Petanjek Z et al. Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 13281–13286 (2011). [PubMed: 21788513]
- 25. Zhu Y et al. Spatiotemporal transcriptomic divergence across human and macaque brain development. Science 362, eaat8077 (2018). [PubMed: 30545855]

- Yeung MS et al. Dynamics of oligodendrocyte generation and myelination in the human brain. Cell 159, 766–774 (2014). [PubMed: 25417154]
- Masuda T, Sankowski R, Staszewski O & Prinz M Microglia heterogeneity in the single-cell era. Cell Rep. 30, 1271–1281 (2020). [PubMed: 32023447]
- Vanderhaeghen P & Polleux F Developmental mechanisms underlying the evolution of human cortical circuits. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 24, 213–232 (2023). [PubMed: 36792753]
- 29. Benito-Kwiecinski S et al. An early cell shape transition drives evolutionary expansion of the human forebrain. Cell 184, 2084–2102.e19 (2021). [PubMed: 33765444] This study compares forebrain organoids of great apes and humans and reveals mechanisms of prolonged expansion of neuroepithelial cells in humans.
- Kanton S et al. Organoid single-cell genomic atlas uncovers human-specific features of brain development. Nature 574, 418–422 (2019). [PubMed: 31619793]
- Iwata R, Casimir P & Vanderhaeghen P Mitochondrial dynamics in postmitotic cells regulate neurogenesis. Science 369, 858–862 (2020). [PubMed: 32792401]
- 32. Marchetto MC et al. Species-specific maturation profiles of human, chimpanzee and bonobo neural cells. eLife 8, e37527 (2019). [PubMed: 30730291]
- Sorrells SF et al. Immature excitatory neurons develop during adolescence in the human amygdala. Nat. Commun 10, 2748 (2019). [PubMed: 31227709]
- Zhou Y et al. Molecular landscapes of human hippocampal immature neurons across lifespan. Nature 607, 527–533 (2022). [PubMed: 35794479]
- Zhong S et al. Decoding the development of the human hippocampus. Nature 577, 531–536 (2020). [PubMed: 31942070]
- 36. Wang L et al. A cross-species proteomic map of synapse development reveals neoteny during human postsynaptic density maturation. Preprint at bioRxiv 10.1101/2022.10.24.513541 (2022).
- Iwata R et al. Mitochondria metabolism sets the species-specific tempo of neuronal development. Science 379, eabn4705 (2023). [PubMed: 36705539]
- Wang X, Tsai JW, LaMonica B & Kriegstein AR A new subtype of progenitor cell in the mouse embryonic neocortex. Nat. Neurosci 14, 555–561 (2011). [PubMed: 21478886]
- Hansen DV, Lui JH, Parker PR & Kriegstein AR Neurogenic radial glia in the outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. Nature 464, 554–561 (2010). [PubMed: 20154730]
- 40. Nowakowski TJ et al. Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. Science 358, 1318–1323 (2017). [PubMed: 29217575]
- 41. Bilgic M et al. Truncated radial glia as a common precursor in the late corticogenesis of gyrencephalic mammals. Preprint at bioRxiv 10.1101/2022.05.05.490846 (2023).
- 42. Huang W et al. Origins and proliferative states of human oligodendrocyte precursor cells. Cell 182, 594–608.e11 (2020). [PubMed: 32679030]
- 43. Allen DE et al. Fate mapping of neural stem cell niches reveals distinct origins of human cortical astrocytes. Science 376, 1441–1446 (2022). [PubMed: 35587512]
- Delgado RN et al. Individual human cortical progenitors can produce excitatory and inhibitory neurons. Nature 601, 397–403 (2022). [PubMed: 34912114]
- 45. Ma S et al. Molecular and cellular evolution of the primate dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Science 377, eabo7257 (2022). [PubMed: 36007006]
- 46. Krienen FM et al. Innovations present in the primate interneuron repertoire. Nature 586, 262–269 (2020). [PubMed: 32999462]
- 47. Schmitz MT et al. The development and evolution of inhibitory neurons in primate cerebrum. Nature 603, 871–877 (2022). [PubMed: 35322231]
- Molnar Z, Luhmann HJ & Kanold PO Transient cortical circuits match spontaneous and sensorydriven activity during development. Science 370, eabb2153 (2020). [PubMed: 33060328]
- Kostovic I & Rakic P Developmental history of the transient subplate zone in the visual and somatosensory cortex of the macaque monkey and human brain. J. Comp. Neurol 297, 441–470 (1990). [PubMed: 2398142]

- 50. Zoghbi HY & Bear MF Synaptic dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders associated with autism and intellectual disabilities. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol 4, a009886 (2012). [PubMed: 22258914]
- Tessier-Lavigne M & Goodman CS The molecular biology of axon guidance. Science 274, 1123– 1133 (1996). [PubMed: 8895455]
- Poo MM Transcriptome, connectome and neuromodulation of the primate brain. Cell 185, 2636– 2639 (2022). [PubMed: 35732175]
- Zhou Y et al. Atypical behaviour and connectivity in SHANK3-mutant macaques. Nature 570, 326–331 (2019). [PubMed: 31189958]
- Liu Z et al. Autism-like behaviours and germline transmission in transgenic monkeys overexpressing MeCP2. Nature 530, 98–102 (2016). [PubMed: 26808898]
- 55. Romero IG Seeing humans through an evolutionary lens. Science 380, 360–361 (2023). [PubMed: 37104588]
- 56. Whalen S et al. Machine learning dissection of human accelerated regions in primate neurodevelopment. Neuron 111, 857–873.e8 (2023). [PubMed: 36640767]
- 57. Keough KC et al. Three-dimensional genome rewiring in loci with human accelerated regions. Science 380, eabm1696 (2023). [PubMed: 37104607] This study reveals that HARs interact with brain developmental genes through structural variants that alter 3D genome folding and cause enhancer adaptations, a mechanism underlying the rapid evolution of HARs.
- Pollard KS et al. An RNA gene expressed during cortical development evolved rapidly in humans. Nature 443, 167–172 (2006). [PubMed: 16915236]
- Espinos A, Fernandez-Ortuno E, Negri E & Borrell V Evolution of genetic mechanisms regulating cortical neurogenesis. Dev. Neurobiol 82, 428–453 (2022). [PubMed: 35670518]
- 60. Girskis KM et al. Rewiring of human neurodevelopmental gene regulatory programs by human accelerated regions. Neuron 109, 3239–3251.e7 (2021). [PubMed: 34478631]
- 61. Boyd JL et al. Human-chimpanzee differences in a FZD8 enhancer alter cell-cycle dynamics in the developing neocortex. Curr. Biol 25, 772–779 (2015). [PubMed: 25702574]
- 62. Lui JH et al. Radial glia require PDGFD–PDGFRβ signalling in human but not mouse neocortex. Nature 515, 264–268 (2014). [PubMed: 25391964]
- Suzuki IK et al. Human-specific NOTCH2NL genes expand cortical neurogenesis through Delta/ Notch regulation. Cell 173, 1370–1384.e16 (2018). [PubMed: 29856955]
- 64. Fiddes IT et al. Human-specific NOTCH2NL genes affect notch signaling and cortical neurogenesis. Cell 173, 1356–1369.e22 (2018). [PubMed: 29856954]
- Pollen AA et al. Establishing cerebral organoids as models of human-specific brain evolution. Cell 176, 743–756.e17 (2019). [PubMed: 30735633]
- 66. Van Heurck R et al. CROCCP2 acts as a human-specific modifier of cilia dynamics and mTOR signaling to promote expansion of cortical progenitors. Neuron 111, 65–80.e6 (2023). [PubMed: 36334595]
- Keeney JG et al. DUF1220 protein domains drive proliferation in human neural stem cells and are associated with increased cortical volume in anthropoid primates. Brain Struct. Funct 220, 3053–3060 (2015). [PubMed: 24957859]
- 68. Johansson PA et al. A cis-acting structural variation at the ZNF558 locus controls a gene regulatory network in human brain development. Cell Stem Cell 29, 52–69.e8 (2022). [PubMed: 34624206]
- 69. Florio M et al. Human-specific gene ARHGAP11B promotes basal progenitor amplification and neocortex expansion. Science 347, 1465–1470 (2015). [PubMed: 25721503]
- Namba T et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B acts in mitochondria to expand neocortical progenitors by glutaminolysis. Neuron 105, 867–881.e9 (2020). [PubMed: 31883789]
- Kalebic N et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B induces hallmarks of neocortical expansion in developing ferret neocortex. eLife 7, e41241 (2018). [PubMed: 30484771]
- 72. Heide M et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B increases size and folding of primate neocortex in the fetal marmoset. Science 369, 546–550 (2020). [PubMed: 32554627]
- 73. Fischer J et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B ensures human-like basal progenitor levels in hominid cerebral organoids. EMBO Rep. 23, e54728 (2022). [PubMed: 36098218]

- 74. Ju XC et al. The hominoid-specific gene TBC1D3 promotes generation of basal neural progenitors and induces cortical folding in mice. eLife 5, e18197 (2016). [PubMed: 27504805]
- Hou QQ, Xiao Q, Sun XY, Ju XC & Luo ZG TBC1D3 promotes neural progenitor proliferation by suppressing the histone methyltransferase G9a. Sci. Adv 7, eaba8053 (2021). [PubMed: 33523893]
- 76. Liu J et al. The primate-specific gene TMEM14B marks outer radial glia cells and promotes cortical expansion and folding. Cell Stem Cell 21, 635–649.e8 (2017). [PubMed: 29033352]
- 77. Pinson A et al. Human TKTL1 implies greater neurogenesis in frontal neocortex of modern humans than Neanderthals. Science 377, eabl6422 (2022). [PubMed: 36074851]
- Luria V, Ma S, Shibata M, Pattabiraman K & Sestan N Molecular and cellular mechanisms of human cortical connectivity. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 80, 102699 (2023). [PubMed: 36921362]
- 79. Enard W et al. Molecular evolution of FOXP2, a gene involved in speech and language. Nature 418, 869–872 (2002). [PubMed: 12192408]
- Enard W et al. A humanized version of Foxp2 affects cortico-basal ganglia circuits in mice. Cell 137, 961–971 (2009). [PubMed: 19490899]
- Charrier C et al. Inhibition of SRGAP2 function by its human-specific paralogs induces neoteny during spine maturation. Cell 149, 923–935 (2012). [PubMed: 22559944]
- Fossati M et al. SRGAP2 and its human-specific paralog co-regulate the development of excitatory and inhibitory synapses. Neuron 91, 356–369 (2016). [PubMed: 27373832]
- Dennis MY et al. Evolution of human-specific neural SRGAP2 genes by incomplete segmental duplication. Cell 149, 912–922 (2012). [PubMed: 22559943]
- Schmidt ERE et al. A human-specific modifier of cortical connectivity and circuit function. Nature 599, 640–644 (2021). [PubMed: 34707291]
- Ataman B et al. Evolution of osteocrin as an activity-regulated factor in the primate brain. Nature 539, 242–247 (2016). [PubMed: 27830782]
- Shibata M et al. Hominini-specific regulation of CBLN2 increases prefrontal spinogenesis. Nature 598, 489–494 (2021). [PubMed: 34599306]
- 87. Gu Z et al. Control of species-dependent cortico-motoneuronal connections underlying manual dexterity. Science 357, 400–404 (2017). [PubMed: 28751609]
- Luo X et al. 3D genome of macaque fetal brain reveals evolutionary innovations during primate corticogenesis. Cell 184, 723–740.e21 (2021). [PubMed: 33508230]
- 89. Xue JR et al. The functional and evolutionary impacts of human-specific deletions in conserved elements. Science 380, eabn2253 (2023). [PubMed: 37104592] This study identifies over 10,000 human-specific deletions in genomic regions highly conserved in other vertebrates, suggesting evolutionary mechanisms driving traits unique to humans.
- McLean CY et al. Human-specific loss of regulatory DNA and the evolution of human-specific traits. Nature 471, 216–219 (2011). [PubMed: 21390129]
- Linker SB et al. Human-specific regulation of neural maturation identified by cross-primate transcriptomics. Curr. Biol 32, 4797–4807 e4795 (2022). [PubMed: 36228612]
- 92. Pinson A, Maricic T, Zeberg H, Paabo S & Huttner WB Response to comment on "Human TKTL1 implies greater neurogenesis in frontal neocortex of modern humans than Neanderthals". Science 379, eadf2212 (2023). [PubMed: 36893240]
- Herai RH, Semendeferi K & Muotri AR Comment on "Human TKTL1 implies greater neurogenesis in frontal neocortex of modern humans than Neanderthals". Science 379, eadf0602 (2023). [PubMed: 36893252]
- 94. Atkinson EG et al. No evidence for recent selection at FOXP2 among diverse human populations. Cell 174, 1424–1435.e15 (2018). [PubMed: 30078708]
- 95. Fisher SE Human genetics: the evolving story of FOXP2. Curr. Biol 29, R65–R67 (2019). [PubMed: 30668952]
- 96. Benjamin KJM et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to ancestry differences in gene expression in the human brain. Preprint at *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2023.03.28.534458 (2023).
- 97. Schoeler T et al. Participation bias in the UK Biobank distorts genetic associations and downstream analyses. Nat. Hum. Behav 10.1038/s41562-023-01579-9 (2023).

- 98. Fatumo S et al. A roadmap to increase diversity in genomic studies. Nat. Med 28, 243–250 (2022). [PubMed: 35145307]
- 99. Liao WW et al. A draft human pangenome reference. Nature 617, 312–324 (2023). [PubMed: 37165242]
- 100. Bolognesi B & Lehner B Protein overexpression: reaching the limit. eLife 7, e39804 (2018). [PubMed: 30095407]
- 101. Fair T & Pollen AA Genetic architecture of human brain evolution. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 80, 102710 (2023). [PubMed: 37003107]
- 102. Juriloff DM & Harris MJ Insights into the etiology of mammalian neural tube closure defects from developmental, genetic and evolutionary studies. J. Dev. Biol 6, 22 (2018). [PubMed: 30134561]
- 103. Greene ND & Copp AJ Neural tube defects. Annu. Rev. Neurosci 37, 221–242 (2014). [PubMed: 25032496]
- 104. Karzbrun E et al. Human neural tube morphogenesis in vitro by geometric constraints. Nature 599, 268–272 (2021). [PubMed: 34707290] This study develops a chip-based system that enables self-organization of 3D hPS cell culture into specific spatial structure and cell fate patterns to model neural tube morphogenesis.
- 105. Abdel Fattah AR et al. Actuation enhances patterning in human neural tube organoids. Nat. Commun 12, 3192 (2021). [PubMed: 34045434]
- 106. Li R et al. Recapitulating cortical development with organoid culture in vitro and modeling abnormal spindle-like (ASPM related primary) microcephaly disease. Protein Cell 8, 823–833 (2017). [PubMed: 29058117]
- 107. Gabriel E et al. CPAP promotes timely cilium disassembly to maintain neural progenitor pool. EMBO J. 35, 803–819 (2016). [PubMed: 26929011]
- 108. Zhang W et al. Modeling microcephaly with cerebral organoids reveals a WDR62-CEP170-KIF2A pathway promoting cilium disassembly in neural progenitors. Nat. Commun 10, 2612 (2019). [PubMed: 31197141]
- 109. Xu D, Zhang F, Wang Y, Sun Y & Xu Z Microcephaly-associated protein WDR62 regulates neurogenesis through JNK1 in the developing neocortex. Cell Rep. 6, 104–116 (2014). [PubMed: 24388750]
- 110. Zhou ZW et al. DNA damage response in microcephaly development of MCPH1 mouse model. DNA Repair. 12, 645–655 (2013). [PubMed: 23683352]
- 111. Esk C et al. A human tissue screen identifies a regulator of ER secretion as a brain-size determinant. Science 370, 935–941 (2020). [PubMed: 33122427] This study combines CRISPR–Cas9-based loss-of-function screening with barcoded cell lineage tracing technologies to simultaneously evaluate 172 microcephaly risk genes in human cerebral brain organoids. It reveals that endoplasmic reticulum secretion affects tissue integrity and brain size and that its dysregulation leads to microcephaly phenotypes.
- 112. Montgomery SH & Mundy NI Microcephaly genes evolved adaptively throughout the evolution of eutherian mammals. BMC Evol. Biol 14, 120 (2014). [PubMed: 24898820]
- Li Y et al. Induction of expansion and folding in human cerebral organoids. Cell Stem Cell 20, 385–396.e3 (2017). [PubMed: 28041895]
- 114. Eichmuller OL et al. Amplification of human interneuron progenitors promotes brain tumors and neurological defects. Science 375, eabf5546 (2022). [PubMed: 35084981] This study utilizes a tuberous sclerosis complex patient-derived brain organoid model and gene editing technology to identify mTOR-driven overproliferation of a specific interneuron progenitor subpopulation in the caudal ganglionic eminence as the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the disease pathology.
- 115. Anastasaki C et al. Human iPSC-derived neurons and cerebral organoids establish differential effects of germline NF1 gene mutations. Stem Cell Rep. 14, 541–550 (2020).
- 116. Wegscheid ML et al. Patient-derived iPSC-cerebral organoid modeling of the 17q11.2 microdeletion syndrome establishes CRLF3 as a critical regulator of neurogenesis. Cell Rep. 36, 109315 (2021). [PubMed: 34233200]

- 117. Papes F et al. Transcription Factor 4 loss-of-function is associated with deficits in progenitor proliferation and cortical neuron content. Nat. Commun 13, 2387 (2022). [PubMed: 35501322]
- 118. de Jong JO et al. Cortical overgrowth in a preclinical forebrain organoid model of CNTNAP2associated autism spectrum disorder. Nat. Commun 12, 4087 (2021). [PubMed: 34471112]
- 119. Baala L et al. Homozygous silencing of T-box transcription factor EOMES leads to microcephaly with polymicrogyria and corpus callosum agenesis. Nat. Genet 39, 454–456 (2007). [PubMed: 17353897]
- Bershteyn M et al. Human iPSC-derived cerebral organoids model cellular features of lissencephaly and reveal prolonged mitosis of outer radial glia. Cell Stem Cell 20, 435–449.e4 (2017). [PubMed: 28111201]
- 121. Lim JS et al. Brain somatic mutations in MTOR cause focal cortical dysplasia type II leading to intractable epilepsy. Nat. Med 21, 395–400 (2015). [PubMed: 25799227]
- 122. Bizzotto S & Walsh CA Genetic mosaicism in the human brain: from lineage tracing to neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 23, 275–286 (2022). [PubMed: 35322263]
- 123. Fry AE, Cushion TD & Pilz DT The genetics of lissencephaly. Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet 166C, 198–210 (2014). [PubMed: 24862549]
- 124. Iefremova V et al. An organoid-based model of cortical development identifies non-cellautonomous defects in Wnt signaling contributing to Miller-Dieker syndrome. Cell Rep. 19, 50–59 (2017). [PubMed: 28380362]
- 125. Karzbrun E, Kshirsagar A, Cohen SR, Hanna JH & Reiner O Human brain organoids on a chip reveal the physics of folding. Nat. Phys 14, 515–522 (2018). [PubMed: 29760764]
- 126. Bamba Y, Kanemura Y, Okano H & Yamasaki M Visualization of migration of human cortical neurons generated from induced pluripotent stem cells. J. Neurosci. Methods 289, 57–63 (2017). [PubMed: 28694214]
- 127. Gleeson JG et al. Doublecortin, a brain-specific gene mutated in human X-linked lissencephaly and double cortex syndrome, encodes a putative signaling protein. Cell 92, 63–72 (1998). [PubMed: 9489700]
- 128. Des Portes V et al. A novel CNS gene required for neuronal migration and involved in X-linked subcortical laminar heterotopia and lissencephaly syndrome. Cell 92, 51–61 (1998). [PubMed: 9489699]
- 129. Niehaus I et al. Cerebral organoids expressing mutant actin genes reveal cellular mechanism underlying microcephalic cortical malformation. Preprint at *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2022.12.07.519435 (2022).
- 130. Klaus J et al. Altered neuronal migratory trajectories in human cerebral organoids derived from individuals with neuronal heterotopia. Nat. Med 25, 561–568 (2019). [PubMed: 30858616]
- 131. Birey F et al. Assembly of functionally integrated human forebrain spheroids. Nature 545, 54–59 (2017). [PubMed: 28445465] This study pioneers an assembloid approach fusing spheroids to model different brain regions and perturbations in human interneuron migration patterns in Timothy syndrome patients.
- 132. Birey F et al. Dissecting the molecular basis of human interneuron migration in forebrain assembloids from Timothy syndrome. Cell Stem Cell 29, 248–264.e7 (2022). [PubMed: 34990580]
- 133. Qian X et al. Sliced human cortical organoids for modeling distinct cortical layer formation. Cell Stem Cell 26, 766–781.e9 (2020). [PubMed: 32142682] This study develops a slicing method for large organoids to prevent interior cell death for long-term maintenance to recapitulate late-stage neurodevelopmental features, such as distinct cortical layer segregation and emergence of human-specific astrocytes.
- 134. Avansini SH et al. Junctional instability in neuroepithelium and network hyperexcitability in a focal cortical dysplasia human model. Brain 145, 1962–1977 (2022). [PubMed: 34957478]
- 135. Xu R et al. OLIG2 Drives abnormal neurodevelopmental phenotypes in human iPSC-based organoid and chimeric mouse models of Down syndrome. Cell Stem Cell 24, 908–926.e8 (2019). [PubMed: 31130512]
- 136. Morelli KH et al. MECP2-related pathways are dysregulated in a cortical organoid model of myotonic dystrophy. Sci. Transl Med 14, eabn2375 (2022). [PubMed: 35767654]

- 137. Paulsen B et al. Autism genes converge on asynchronous development of shared neuron classes. Nature 602, 268–273 (2022). [PubMed: 35110736] This study generates cortical organoid models with different ASD-associated mutations to reveal their convergent mechanisms in changing the timing of human neuronal development.
- 138. Van Battum EY, Brignani S & Pasterkamp RJ Axon guidance proteins in neurological disorders. Lancet Neurol. 14, 532–546 (2015). [PubMed: 25769423]
- 139. Paul LK et al. Agenesis of the corpus callosum: genetic, developmental and functional aspects of connectivity. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 8, 287–299 (2007). [PubMed: 17375041]
- 140. Martins-Costa C et al. ARID1B controls transcriptional programs of axon projection in the human corpus callosum. Preprint at *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2023.05.04.539362 (2023).
- 141. Willsey HR, Willsey AJ, Wang B & State MW Genomics, convergent neuroscience and progress in understanding autism spectrum disorder. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 23, 323–341 (2022). [PubMed: 35440779]
- 142. Zhang Z, Wang X, Park S, Song H & Ming GL Development and application of brain regionspecific organoids for investigating psychiatric disorders. Biol. Psychiatry 93, 594–605 (2023). [PubMed: 36759261]
- 143. Khan TA et al. Neuronal defects in a human cellular model of 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Nat. Med 26, 1888–1898 (2020). [PubMed: 32989314]
- 144. Zhang Z et al. The fragile X mutation impairs homeostatic plasticity in human neurons by blocking synaptic retinoic acid signaling. Sci. Transl Med 10, eaar4338 (2018). [PubMed: 30068571]
- 145. Kang Y et al. A human forebrain organoid model of fragile X syndrome exhibits altered neurogenesis and highlights new treatment strategies. Nat. Neurosci 24, 1377–1391 (2021). [PubMed: 34413513]
- 146. Yildirim M et al. Label-free three-photon imaging of intact human cerebral organoids for tracking early events in brain development and deficits in Rett syndrome. eLife 10, eaar4338 (2022).
- 147. Gomes AR et al. Modeling Rett syndrome with human patient-specific forebrain organoids. Front. Cell Dev. Biol 8, 610427 (2020). [PubMed: 33363173]
- 148. Samarasinghe RA et al. Identification of neural oscillations and epileptiform changes in human brain organoids. Nat. Neurosci 24, 1488–1500 (2021). [PubMed: 34426698]
- 149. Miura Y et al. Generation of human striatal organoids and cortico-striatal assembloids from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol 38, 1421–1430 (2020). [PubMed: 33273741]
- 150. Sun AX et al. Potassium channel dysfunction in human neuronal models of Angelman syndrome. Science 366, 1486–1492 (2019). [PubMed: 31857479]
- 151. Ye F et al. DISC1 regulates neurogenesis via modulating kinetochore attachment of Ndel1/Nde1 during mitosis. Neuron 96, 1041–1054.e5 (2017). [PubMed: 29103808]
- 152. Srikanth P et al. Shared effects of DISC1 disruption and elevated WNT signaling in human cerebral organoids. Transl. Psychiatry 8, 77 (2018). [PubMed: 29643329]
- 153. Stachowiak EK et al. Cerebral organoids reveal early cortical maldevelopment in schizophreniacomputational anatomy and genomics, role of FGFR1. Transl. Psychiatry 7, 6 (2017). [PubMed: 30446636]
- 154. Steinberg DJ et al. Modeling genetic epileptic encephalopathies using brain organoids. EMBO Mol. Med 13, e13610 (2021). [PubMed: 34268881]
- 155. Eroglu C & Barres BA Regulation of synaptic connectivity by glia. Nature 468, 223–231 (2010). [PubMed: 21068831]
- 156. Madhavan M et al. Induction of myelinating oligodendrocytes in human cortical spheroids. Nat. Methods 15, 700–706 (2018). [PubMed: 30046099]
- 157. Luhmann HJ, Kanold PO, Molnar Z & Vanhatalo S Early brain activity: translations between bedside and laboratory. Prog. Neurobiol 213, 102268 (2022). [PubMed: 35364141]
- 158. Fan W, Christian KM, Song H & Ming GL Applications of brain organoids for infectious diseases. J. Mol. Biol 434, 167243 (2022). [PubMed: 34536442]
- 159. Hwang HM, Ku RY & Hashimoto-Torii K Prenatal environment that affects neuronal migration. Front. Cell Dev. Biol 7, 138 (2019). [PubMed: 31380373]

Author Manuscript

- 160. Zhang DY, Song H & Ming GL Modeling neurological disorders using brain organoids. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol 111, 4–14 (2021). [PubMed: 32561297]
- 161. Ming GL, Tang H & Song H Advances in Zika virus research: stem cell models, challenges, and opportunities. Cell Stem Cell 19, 690–702 (2016). [PubMed: 27912090]
- 162. Tang H et al. Zika virus infects human cortical neural progenitors and attenuates their growth. Cell Stem Cell 18, 587–590 (2016). [PubMed: 26952870]
- 163. Qian X et al. Brain-region-specific organoids using mini-bioreactors for modeling ZIKV exposure. Cell 165, 1238–1254 (2016). [PubMed: 27118425] This study reports generation of different region-specific organoids from human iPS cells and modelling of Zika virus infection using forebrain organoids, showing that Zika virus specifically targets RGCs to cause microcephaly phenotypes.
- 164. Li C et al. Zika virus disrupts neural progenitor development and leads to microcephaly in mice. Cell Stem Cell 19, 120–126 (2016). [PubMed: 27179424]
- 165. Xu D, Li C, Qin CF & Xu Z Update on the animal models and underlying mechanisms for ZIKV-induced microcephaly. Annu. Rev. Virol 6, 459–479 (2019). [PubMed: 31206355]
- 166. Dang J et al. Zika virus depletes neural progenitors in human cerebral organoids through activation of the innate immune receptor TLR3. Cell Stem Cell 19, 258–265 (2016). [PubMed: 27162029]
- 167. Link N et al. Mutations in ANKLE2, a ZIKA virus target, disrupt an asymmetric cell division pathway in *Drosophila* neuroblasts to cause microcephaly. Dev. Cell 51, 713–729.e6 (2019). [PubMed: 31735666]
- 168. Thomas AX et al. ANKLE2-related microcephaly: a variable microcephaly syndrome resembling Zika infection. Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol 9, 1276–1288 (2022). [PubMed: 35871307]
- 169. Yoon KJ et al. Zika-virus-encoded NS2A disrupts mammalian cortical neurogenesis by degrading Adherens junction proteins. Cell Stem Cell 21, 349–358.e6 (2017). [PubMed: 28826723]
- 170. Gabriel E et al. Recent Zika virus isolates induce premature differentiation of neural progenitors in human brain organoids. Cell Stem Cell 20, 397–406.e5 (2017). [PubMed: 28132835]
- 171. Onorati M et al. Zika virus disrupts phospho-TBK1 localization and mitosis in human neuroepithelial stem cells and radial glia. Cell Rep. 16, 2576–2592 (2016). [PubMed: 27568284]
- 172. Smeland OB, Frei O, Dale AM & Andreassen OA The polygenic architecture of schizophrenia
  rethinking pathogenesis and nosology. Nat. Rev. Neurol 16, 366–379 (2020). [PubMed: 32528109]
- 173. Shibata M et al. Regulation of prefrontal patterning and connectivity by retinoic acid. Nature 598, 483–488 (2021). [PubMed: 34599305]
- 174. Barbeito-Andres J, Schuler-Faccini L & Garcez PP Why is congenital Zika syndrome asymmetrically distributed among human populations? PLoS Biol. 16, e2006592 (2018). [PubMed: 30142150]
- 175. Esposito G, Azhari A & Borelli JL Gene x environment interaction in developmental disorders: where do we stand and what's next? Front. Psychol 9, 2036 (2018). [PubMed: 30416467]
- 176. Seah C, Huckins LM & Brennand KJ Stem cell models for context-specific modeling in psychiatric disorders. Biol. Psychiatry 93, 642–650 (2022). [PubMed: 36658083]
- 177. Schrode N et al. Synergistic effects of common schizophrenia risk variants. Nat. Genet 51, 1475– 1485 (2019). [PubMed: 31548722]
- 178. Singh T et al. Rare coding variants in ten genes confer substantial risk for schizophrenia. Nature 604, 509–516 (2022). [PubMed: 35396579]
- 179. O'Neill AC et al. Spatial centrosome proteome of human neural cells uncovers disease-relevant heterogeneity. Science 376, eabf9088 (2022). [PubMed: 35709258]
- 180. Panagiotakos G & Pasca SP A matter of space and time: emerging roles of disease-associated proteins in neural development. Neuron 110, 195–208 (2022). [PubMed: 34847355]
- 181. Wang Y et al. Modeling human telencephalic development and autism-associated SHANK3 deficiency using organoids generated from single neural rosettes. Nat. Commun 13, 5688 (2022). [PubMed: 36202854]

- 182. Gandal MJ et al. Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. Science 362, eaat8127 (2018). [PubMed: 30545856]
- 183. Mirzaa GM & Paciorkowski AR Introduction: brain malformations. Am. J. Med. Genet. C. Semin. Med. Genet 166C, 117–123 (2014). [PubMed: 24853778]
- 184. Gilmore JH, Knickmeyer RC & Gao W Imaging structural and functional brain development in early childhood. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 19, 123–137 (2018). [PubMed: 29449712]
- 185. Duy PQ et al. Brain ventricles as windows into brain development and disease. Neuron 110, 12–15 (2022). [PubMed: 34990576]
- 186. Grasby KL et al. The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science 367, eaay6690 (2020). [PubMed: 32193296]
- 187. Vinsland E & Linnarsson S Single-cell RNA-sequencing of mammalian brain development: insights and future directions. Development 149, dev200180 (2022). [PubMed: 35593486]
- 188. Zeng H. What is a cell type and how to define it? Cell 185, 2739–2755 (2022). [PubMed: 35868277]
- 189. Tabula Sapiens Consortium et al. The Tabula Sapiens: a multiple-organ, single-cell transcriptomic atlas of humans. Science 376, eabl4896 (2022). [PubMed: 35549404]
- 190. Rao A, Barkley D, Franca GS & Yanai I Exploring tissue architecture using spatial transcriptomics. Nature 596, 211–220 (2021). [PubMed: 34381231]
- 191. Haniffa M et al. A roadmap for the Human Developmental Cell Atlas. Nature 597, 196–205 (2021). [PubMed: 34497388]
- 192. Stuart T & Satija R Integrative single-cell analysis. Nat. Rev. Genet 20, 257–272 (2019). [PubMed: 30696980]
- 193. Greener JG, Kandathil SM, Moffat L & Jones DT A guide to machine learning for biologists. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 23, 40–55 (2022). [PubMed: 34518686]
- 194. Gayoso A et al. A Python library for probabilistic analysis of single-cell omics data. Nat. Biotechnol 40, 163–166 (2022). [PubMed: 35132262]
- 195. Palla G, Fischer DS, Regev A & Theis FJ Spatial components of molecular tissue biology. Nat. Biotechnol 40, 308–318 (2022). [PubMed: 35132261]
- 196. Millar JK et al. Disruption of two novel genes by a translocation co-segregating with schizophrenia. Hum. Mol. Genet 9, 1415–1423 (2000). [PubMed: 10814723]
- 197. Sachs NA et al. A frameshift mutation in disrupted in schizophrenia 1 in an American family with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. Mol. Psychiatry 10, 758–764 (2005). [PubMed: 15940305]
- 198. Duan X et al. Disrupted-In-Schizophrenia 1 regulates integration of newly generated neurons in the adult brain. Cell 130, 1146–1158 (2007). [PubMed: 17825401]
- 199. Faulkner RL et al. Development of hippocampal mossy fiber synaptic outputs by new neurons in the adult brain. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 105, 14157–14162 (2008). [PubMed: 18780780]
- 200. Lee H et al. DISC1-mediated dysregulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in rats. Front. Syst. Neurosci 9, 93 (2015). [PubMed: 26161071]
- 201. Zhou M et al. mTOR Inhibition ameliorates cognitive and affective deficits caused by Disc1 knockdown in adult-born dentate granule neurons. Neuron 77, 647–654 (2013). [PubMed: 23439118]
- 202. Chiang CH et al. Integration-free induced pluripotent stem cells derived from schizophrenia patients with a DISC1 mutation. Mol. Psychiatry 16, 358–360 (2011). [PubMed: 21339753]
- 203. Wen Z et al. Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPS cell model of mental disorders. Nature 515, 414–418 (2014). [PubMed: 25132547] This study pioneers the application of genome editing to establish the causality between genotype (a *DISC1* mutation from patients) and phenotype (dysregulated synapse formation and gene expression) in patient-derived iPS cell modelling of psychiatric disorders.
- 204. Kang E et al. Interplay between a mental disorder risk gene and developmental polarity switch of GABA action leads to excitation-inhibition imbalance. Cell Rep. 28, 1419–1428 e1413 (2019). [PubMed: 31390557]

- 205. Wang X et al. Structural interaction between DISC1 and ATF4 underlying transcriptional and synaptic dysregulation in an iPSC model of mental disorders. Mol. Psychiatry 26, 1346–1360 (2021). [PubMed: 31444471]
- 206. Murai K et al. The TLX-miR-219 cascade regulates neural stem cell proliferation in neurodevelopment and schizophrenia iPSC model. Nat. Commun 7, 10965 (2016). [PubMed: 26965827]
- 207. Kang E et al. Rheb1 mediates DISC1-dependent regulation of new neuron development in the adult hippocampus. Neurogenesis 2, e1081715 (2015). [PubMed: 27606328]
- 208. Kim JY et al. Interplay between DISC1 and GABA signaling regulates neurogenesis in mice and risk for schizophrenia. Cell 148, 1051–1064 (2012). [PubMed: 22385968]
- 209. Kang E et al. Interaction between FEZ1 and DISC1 in regulation of neuronal development and risk for schizophrenia. Neuron 72, 559–571 (2011). [PubMed: 22099459]
- 210. Kim JY et al. DISC1 regulates new neuron development in the adult brain via modulation of AKT-mTOR signaling through KIAA1212. Neuron 63, 761–773 (2009). [PubMed: 19778506]
- 211. Callicott JH et al. DISC1 and SLC12A2 interaction affects human hippocampal function and connectivity. J. Clin. Investig 123, 2961–2964 (2013). [PubMed: 23921125]
- 212. Kim NS et al. Pharmacological rescue in patient iPSC and mouse models with a rare DISC1 mutation. Nat. Commun 12, 1398 (2021). [PubMed: 33658519]
- 213. Hong Y, Yang Q, Song H & Ming GL Opportunities and limitations for studying neuropsychiatric disorders using patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells. Mol. Psychiatry 28, 1430–1439 (2023). [PubMed: 36782062]
- 214. Bennett ML, Song H & Ming GL Microglia modulate neurodevelopment in human neuroimmune organoids. Cell Stem Cell 28, 2035–2036 (2021). [PubMed: 34861141]
- 215. Schafer ST et al. An in vivo neuroimmune organoid model to study human microglia phenotypes. Cell 186, 2111–2126.e20 (2023). [PubMed: 37172564]
- 216. Ye B. Approaches to vascularizing human brain organoids. PLoS Biol. 21, e3002141 (2023). [PubMed: 37155714]
- 217. Revah O et al. Maturation and circuit integration of transplanted human cortical organoids. Nature 610, 319–326 (2022). [PubMed: 36224417]
- 218. Jgamadze D et al. Structural and functional integration of human forebrain organoids with the injured adult rat visual system. Cell Stem Cell 30, 137–152.e7 (2023). [PubMed: 36736289]
- 219. Rifes P et al. Modeling neural tube development by differentiation of human embryonic stem cells in a microfluidic WNT gradient. Nat. Biotechnol 38, 1265–1273 (2020). [PubMed: 32451506] This study develops a microfluidic-based system for morphogen patterning of human iPS cells, generating neural tissue that exhibits progressive caudalization from the forebrain to the midbrain and hindbrain.
- 220. Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study. Large-scale discovery of novel genetic causes of developmental disorders. Nature 519, 223–228 (2015). [PubMed: 25533962]
- 221. The PsychEncode Consortium. Revealing the brain's molecular architecture. Science 362, 1262–1263 (2018). [PubMed: 30545881]
- 222. Rajewsky N et al. LifeTime and improving European healthcare through cell-based interceptive medicine. Nature 587, 377–386 (2020). [PubMed: 32894860]
- 223. Ngai J. BRAIN 2.0: transforming neuroscience. Cell 185, 4-8 (2022). [PubMed: 34995517]
- 224. Taylor DM et al. The Pediatric Cell Atlas: defining the growth phase of human development at single-cell resolution. Dev. Cell 49, 10–29 (2019). [PubMed: 30930166]
- 225. Kullo IJ et al. Polygenic scores in biomedical research. Nat. Rev. Genet 23, 524–532 (2022). [PubMed: 35354965]
- 226. Acosta JN, Falcone GJ, Rajpurkar P & Topol EJ Multimodal biomedical AI. Nat. Med 28, 1773– 1784 (2022). [PubMed: 36109635]
- 227. Wang JY & Doudna JA CRISPR technology: a decade of genome editing is only the beginning. Science 379, eadd8643 (2023). [PubMed: 36656942]
- 228. Replogle JM et al. Mapping information-rich genotype-phenotype landscapes with genome-scale Perturb-seq. Cell 185, 2559–2575.e28 (2022). [PubMed: 35688146]

Author Manuscript

- 229. Sadybekov AV & Katritch V Computational approaches streamlining drug discovery. Nature 616, 673–685 (2023). [PubMed: 37100941]
- 230. Paunovska K, Loughrey D & Dahlman JE Drug delivery systems for RNA therapeutics. Nat. Rev. Genet 23, 265–280 (2022). [PubMed: 34983972]
- 231. Schuster J et al. ZEB2 haploinsufficient Mowat-Wilson syndrome induced pluripotent stem cells show disrupted GABAergic transcriptional regulation and function. Front. Mol. Neurosci 15, 988993 (2022). [PubMed: 36353360]
- 232. Mellios N et al. MeCP2-regulated miRNAs control early human neurogenesis through differential effects on ERK and AKT signaling. Mol. Psychiatry 23, 1051–1065 (2018). [PubMed: 28439102]

Zhou et al.



#### Fig. 1 l. Key processes of human brain development.

**a**, A summary of the major processes and their timing during human brain development, including neurulation and neural epithelial cell proliferation<sup>16</sup>, neural stem cell-mediated neurogenesis<sup>16</sup>, radial<sup>18</sup> and tangential<sup>19</sup> migration of neural precursor cells to their destination, neuronal differentiation and maturation to achieve lamination and establish areal identity<sup>8</sup>, generation of neurites and synapses<sup>12,23</sup>, and gliogenesis<sup>25</sup>, as well as some processes that largely occur during human postnatal periods, including continuous synaptic pruning<sup>24</sup> and oligodendrocyte myelination<sup>25,26</sup>. Age timeline and brain size are not depicted to scale. **b**, Stepwise processes during human cortical development. Cellular features enriched in primates are included, such as outer radial glial cells (oRGCs, comprising the outer subventricular zone (SVZ)), an enlarged subplate (SP), an enlarged and more complex cortical plate (CP), pre-oligodendrocyte precursor cells (pre-OPCs) and truncated RGCs (tRGCs). APC, astrocyte precursor cell; BV, blood vessel; C–R, Cajal–Retzius; DL, deep layer; IPC, intermediate progenitor cell; IZ, intermediate zone; MZ, marginal zone; NB, neuroblast; NEC, neuroepithelial cell; pcw, post-conception week; UL, upper layer; VZ, ventricular zone.



# Fig. 2 l. Applications of human pluripotent stem cell-based systems for studying human brain development.

Genetic studies of individuals with disrupted brain development and genome-wide association studies can be used to identify causative genetic mutations and risk genes, respectively. Human pluripotent stem cell-based models derived from patients or through genetic engineering — including 2D cell culture and 3D organoids — allow establishment of causality between genotypes and phenotypes and facilitate investigations of neuropathological examination, disease mechanisms, and drug or toxin responses. They can also be used to screen risk genes to study causal genetic (mal)functions. Although 2D induced pluripotent stem (IPS) cell culture provides a homogenous, reproducible, scalable platform, advanced 3D organoid culture has the unique advantage of modelling spatiotemporal features and functions of the developing human brain and can start to recapitulate cell interactions and circuit formation events despite room for improvement, such as reducing organoid-to-organoid variability. APC, astrocyte precursor cell; NPC, neuronal precursor cell to excitatory neuron; NPC': neuronal precursor cell to inhibitory interneuron; OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cell.



#### Fig. 3 |. Human-specific genetic modulation of brain development.

**a**, Examples of human-enriched genetic features that modulate neural stem cell-mediated neurogenesis, resulting in cortical expansion, that were modelled in mice and pluripotent stem cell-based systems. These genetic features can regulate the neural progenitor cell cycle (*FZD8* (ref. 61), *PPP1R17* (ref. 60) and *ZEB2* (ref. 29)), regulate signalling pathways (mTOR<sup>40,65</sup>, Notch (for example, *NOTCH2NL*)<sup>63,64</sup>, PDGF<sup>62</sup> and Robo<sup>17</sup>) or specifically regulate outer radial glial cell (oRGC) behaviours (*ARHGAP11B*<sup>69-73</sup>, *CROCCP2* (ref. 66), *TBC1D3* (refs. 74,75), *TKTL1* (ref. 77) and *TMEM14B*<sup>76</sup>). **b**, Examples of human-enriched genetic features that modulate neuron development processes, resulting in protracted neuron maturation (which is indicated by the 'hourglass' icon) and changes in neurite development, synapse plasticity and connectivity, that were mostly studied using humanized mouse models. These genetic features include *CBLN2* (ref. 86), *EPHA7* (ref. 88), *FOXP2* (refs. 45,79,80), *OSTN*<sup>85</sup>, *PLXNA1* (ref. 87) and *SRGAP2C*<sup>81-84</sup>. DL, deep layer; IPC, intermediate progenitor cell; NB, neuroblast; UL, upper layer; WT, wild type.



# **b** Modelling neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders







#### **C** Modelling environmental exposure

Fig. 4 l. Genetic basis of human brain development uncovered using hPS cell models by studying traits led by evolution, diseases and environmental exposure.

**a**, Evolutionary features modelled in human pluripotent stem cell (hPS cell)-derived organoid system. An illustrative study<sup>29</sup> is depicted that compares ape and human cortical organoids and identified differential cellular and molecular features, including *ZEB2* as a genetic driver that modulates the transition of neuroepithelial cells (NECs) to radial glial cells (RGCs) in humans. **b**, Neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders modelled in hPS cell-derived systems derived from patients or genetically engineered. Depicted is a study<sup>137</sup> that examined the consequences of mutations in three autism spectrum disorder risk genes, *SUV420H1, ARID1B* and *CHD8*, in their respective cortical organoids and found cell type-specific developmental abnormalities, including excessive interneuron differentiation and premature differentiation of deep-layer cortical neurons. The 'hourglass' icons in parts **a** and **b** indicate alterations of developmental tempo. **c**, Environmental exposure modelled in hPS cell-derived systems. Represented are studies<sup>161-163,166-171</sup> that infected brain organoids with Zika virus and demonstrated substantial disruption in cellular architecture and further identified its genetic causes, including centrosomal and adherens junction genes. The microcephaly phenotypes identified and modelled in the dish were observed and validated in

human patients, including aberrant adherens junctions<sup>169</sup>. DL, deep layer; IPC, intermediate progenitor cell; NB, neuroblast; oRGC, outer RGC; UL, upper layer.



#### Fig. 5 |. Genetic basis of human brain development revealed by brain disorders.

An illustration showing examples of neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders that have helped to determine how causal genetic variants (Table 1) impact specific neurodevelopmental processes. Some diseases commonly considered to be monogenic have overlapping phenotypes, highlighting genotype–phenotype disease manifestations, such as models for microcephaly and polymicrogyria<sup>12</sup>, and focal cortical dysplasia displaying deficits in radial glial cell proliferation<sup>121</sup> and neuron differentiation<sup>134</sup>. ACC, agenesis of the corpus callosum.



**Fig. 6 I. Current and future approaches for studying the genetics of human brain development. a**, Each section of the circle depicts a different biotechnology domain that empowers the investigation of the genetics of human brain development, including human phenotyping studies (such as patient genetics and functional imaging), multidimensional molecular detection and manipulation tools (such as single-cell multi-omics, genome-wide association studies (GWAS), CRISPR-based tools and prime editing), animal and human pluripotent

stem cell (hPS cell)-based model systems (such as primate models, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells) and 3D human brain organoids), and therapeutic development (such as compound screening, safety testing and efficacy testing). The lines in the centre indicate that different biotechnology domains can integrate to generate synergistic effects. For each biotechnology domain, the outermost part of the figure depicts several future technology developments that we believe will lead to substantial improvements. Notably, all technology use and advancement in the biomedicine space ought to be supervised and guided by proper ethics. **b**, An overview of a multidisciplinary study, using the *DISC1* gene as an example. We first examined the role of DISC1 in animal models and utilized human models based on patient mutations and isogenic iPS cell lines to determine the causative roles in specific neural developmental phenotypes. This was followed by mechanistic studies to identify druggable targets and drug testing, and we finally tested efficacy at functional and behavioural levels in a humanized mouse model with the same patient mutation (see the main text for details). AI, artificial intelligence; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging. Structure of DISC1 protein adapted from ref. 205, Springer Nature Limited.

~
$\mathbf{r}$
-
-
$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$
<
-
0
a
lan
lanu
lanu
lanus
lanus
lanusc
lanuscr
lanuscri
lanuscrip
lanuscrip <sup>®</sup>

# Table 1 I

Genetic features that modulate human brain development as revealed by modelling evolution or diseases in animal or hPS cell models

Zhou et al.

Genetic feature	Genotypic mechanism	Phenotypic trait in human brain development	Human brain development process involved	Refs.
Human-specific evolu	tionary feature			
ZEB2	Delayed NEC-to-RGC transition in humans compared with apes; regulation of NSPC cell cycle and differentiation, interneuron fate specification and electrophysiological properties	Enlarged human forebrain organoids compared with apes; haploinsufficiency leads to Mowat- Wilson syndrome	Neurulation, neurogenesis, neuron development, synapse and circuitry	29,231
ARHGAP11B	Human-specific gene duplication regulating Rho GAP and calcium signalling in mitochondria in oRGCs	Neocortex expansion with more oRGCs and cortical neurons	Neurogenesis	69-73
CROCCP2	Hominid-specific gene duplication regulating cilia dynamics and mTOR signalling in oRGCs	Increased oRGC proliferation and cortical neuron production	Neurogenesis	99
DUF1220	Human-specific, dosage-dependent regulation of the <i>NBPF</i> gene family in NSPC proliferation	Increased expression and neocortex volume; copy number variation linked to 1q21.1 syndrome	Neurogenesis	67
FZD8	Regulated by HAR enhancer to modulate RGC cell cycle length	Increased neocortical size with more RGCs	Neurogenesis	61
PDGF	Human-specific PDGFD-PDGFRB signalling expression and regulation of RGC cell cycle absent in mice	Expanded germinal region; higher RGC heterogeneity	Neurogenesis	62
PPP1R17	Regulated by HAR enhancer; may increase RGC cell cycle length	Increased expression in oSVZ	Neurogenesis	60
TBC1D3	Hominoid-specific gene duplication for NSPC epigenetic regulation	Increased cortex size and folding with more oRGCs	Neurogenesis	4,75
TKTLI <sup>a</sup>	Hominoid-specific metabolic regulation of oRGC proliferation	Neocortex expansion with more oRGCs and upper-layer neurons	Neurogenesis	77,92,93
TMEM14B	Primate-specific gene regulating oRGC cell cycle and proliferation	Increased neocortex size and folding with more oRGCs	Neurogenesis	76
ZNF558	Human-specific expression in forebrain NSPCs absent in chimpanzees; regulation of mitophagy gene <i>SPATA18</i>	Differential regulation in cortex size and developmental timing in humans versus chimpanzees	Neurogenesis	68
NOTCH2NL	Human-specific gene duplication enhancing Notch signalling in NSPC proliferation and differentiation	Enlarged cortex, prolonged neurogenesis with more NSPCs and neurons; deletion and duplication lead to microcephaly and macrocephaly, respectively (1q21.1 syndrome)	Neurogenesis, neuron development	63,64
CBLN2	Hominoid-specific regulation of $SOX5$ in neurons and synapses	Higher expression, spine density, synaptic connectivity in human prefrontal cortical neurons	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	86
EPHA 7	Human-specific loop regulation of neuron and circuit development	Elevated expression, dendrite growth, connectivity in human subplate neurons	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	88

Genetic feature	Genotypic mechanism	Phenotypic trait in human brain development	Human brain development process involved	Refs.
FOXP2 <sup>a</sup>	Human-specific regulation of cortico-striatal circuit	Increased dendrite length and synaptic plasticity in human striatal neurons, expression in cortex: primate-specific in layer 4 granular neurons, human-specific in microglia	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	45,79,80,94,95
OSTN	Primate-specific regulation of MEF2 in dendrites and neuronal activities	Activity-induced expression and regulation of primate neurons	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	85
PLXNA1	Primate-specific regulation of $H\!E\!Z\!F\!2$ in neurons and axons	Lower expression level and weaker axon pruning of corticospinal connections in human cortical neurons	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	87
SRGAP2C	Human-specific gene duplication for Rho GAP regulation in cortical neurons	Neuron neoteny, increased spine density and synaptic connectivity	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	81-84
Human brain develop	mental disease-causing genetic feature (modelled in hPS cell syst	(III)		
ANKLE2	Mitosis, endoplasmic reticulum regulation in NSPCs	Microcephaly (induced by Zika virus infection)	Neurogenesis	167,168
ASPM	Centrosome function in NSPCs	Microcephaly; may be associated with primate brain expansion	Neurogenesis	106,112
CENPJ	Centrosome, cilia function in NSPCs	Microcephaly	Neurogenesis	107
CDK5RAP2	Centrosome function in NSPCs	Microcephaly; may be associated with primate brain expansion	Neurogenesis	9,112
IER3IP1	Endoplasmic reticulum secretion	Microcephaly	Neurogenesis	111
PTEN	mTOR signalling regulation in NSPCs	Macrocephaly	Neurogenesis	113
TLR3	Activation of innate immune response and apoptosis	Microcephaly (induced by Zika virus infection)	Neurogenesis	166
TSC2	mTOR signalling in caudal late interneuron progenitors	Tuberous sclerosis complex	Neurogenesis	114
WDR62	Centrosome function, mitosis regulation in NSPCs	Microcephaly, polymicrogyria	Neurogenesis	108
FGFRI	Nuclear signalling regulating NSPC proliferation, neuron migration and differentiation, and reelin	Schizophrenia	Neurogenesis, neuron migration, neuron development	153
NFI, CRLF3	RAS signalling for NSPCs; RHOA signalling for neuronal differentiation, survival and maturation	Neurofibromatosis type 1	Neurogenesis, neuron development, neuritogenesis	15,116
DISCI	Regulate NSPC proliferation, neuron differentiation and maturation, synapse formation, etc. Downstream targets include <i>NDELL</i> , phosphodiesterases and WNT signalling	Multiple psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia	Neurogenesis, neuron development, synapse and circuitry	133,151,152,203,212
FMR1	Differential human-mouse and cell-type-specific regulation; human downstream targets include <i>CHD2</i> , the PI3K pathway and retinoic acid	Fragile X syndrome	Neurogenesis, neuron development, synapse and circuitry	144,145

A

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Genetic feature	Genotypic mechanism	Phenotypic trait in human brain development	Human brain development process involved	Refs.
MECP2	A multifunctional epigenetic regulator: microRNA regulator for ERK and AKT signalling in neurogenesis and NSPC differentiation; transcriptional regulator for synaptic excitability and synchrony	Rett syndrome	Neurogenesis, neuron development, synapse and circuitry	146-148,232
RHOA	Small GTPase RHOA signalling	Focal cortical dysplasia	Neurogenesis, neuron development, synapse and circuitry	134
CNTNAP2	Cell cycle regulation in NSPCs; known function in neurites	ASD; cortical dysplasia focal epilepsy syndrome	Neurogenesis, neuritogenesis	118
TCF4	WNT signalling regulation	Pitt–Hopkins syndrome	Neurogenesis, neuritogenesis, gliogenesis	117
ACTB, ACTGI	Mitosis in NPSCs and neuroblasts	Subcortical band heterotopia, Baraitser-Winter syndrome	Neuron migration	129
DCHSI, FAT4	Cadherin regulation for cell adhesion in RGC architecture where disruption leads to migratory defects	Periventricular heterotopia	Neuron migration	130
LISI, YWHAE	Microtubule, mitosis in RGC architecture, where disruption leads to migratory defects	Classical lissencephaly (Miller–Dieker syndrome)	Neuron migration	120,124,125
TUBAIA	Microtubule function in migrating neuroblasts	Classical lissencephaly	Neuron migration	126
CACNAIC	Calcium channel (Ca,1.2) for interneuron saltatory migration and GABA receptor activity	Timothy syndrome	Neuron migration, synapse and circuitry	131,132
OLIG2	Transcriptional regulator for interneuron production	Down syndrome (trisomy 21)	Neuron development	135
ARIDIB, CHD8, SUV420H1	Epigenetic regulators of cortical interneuron and deep-layer neuron development and circuit formation; <i>ARID1B</i> regulates callosal projection neuron maturation, axon projection, synapse formation	ASD; $ARIDIB^{+/-}$ leads to agenesis of the corpus callosum	Neuron development, neuritogenesis, synapse and circuitry	137,140
DMPK	MECP2-related pathway in glutamatergic neurons	Myotonic dystrophy type 1	Neuron development, synapse and circuitry, gliogenesis	136
ХОММ	WNT signalling and DNA damage response; maintain interneuron, astrocyte cell number and activity	Epileptic encephalopathy; HAR6 lies in the gene transcribed region	Neuron development, synapse and circuitry, gliogenesis	58,154
DGCR8	MicroRNA regulator for calcium signalling in synaptic excitability	DiGeorge syndrome / 22q11.2 deletion syndrome	Synapse and circuitry	143
SHANK3	Calcium activity, synapse scaffolding regulation	Phelan-McDermid syndrome	Synapse and circuitry	149
UBE3A	E3 ubiquitin ligase for big potassium channel	Angelman syndrome	Synapse and circuitry	150
IdTd	Myelin production in oligodendrocytes	Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease	Myelination	156
ASD. autism spectrum	disorder: HAR. human-accelerated region: hPS cell. human pluripoten	stem cell: NEC. neural epithelial stem cell: NSPC. n	eural stem and progenitor cell; oRGC, outer	er RGC: oSVZ.

Nat Rev Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 July 01.

Zhou et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

outer subventricular zone; RGC, radial glial cell. <sup>a</sup>Whether the gene has an evolutionary advantage in modern humans has been under debate.