Research Article

Journal of Innate Immunity

J Innate Immun 2024;16:159–172 DOI: 10.1159/000536357 Received: October 26, 2023 Accepted: January 16, 2024 Published online: February 26, 2024

Eosinophils Are an Endogenous Source of Interleukin-4 during Filarial Infections and Contribute to the Development of an Optimal T Helper 2 Response

Cécile Guth^a Pia Philippa Schumacher^a Archena Vijayakumar^a Hannah Borgmann^a Helene Balles^a Marianne Koschel^a Frederic Risch^a Benjamin Lenz^a Achim Hoerauf^{a, b} Marc P. Hübner^{a, b} Jesuthas Ajendra^a

^aInstitute for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Parasitology (IMMIP), University Hospital of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ^bGerman Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, Bonn, Germany

Keywords

Eosinophils · Interleukin-4 · Nematode infection · CD4⁺ T cells · Macrophages

Abstract

Introduction: Interleukin-4 (IL-4) is a central regulator of type 2 immunity, crucial for the defense against multicellular parasites like helminths. This study focuses on its roles and cellular sources during Litomosoides sigmodontis infection, a model for human filarial infections. Methods: Utilizing an IL-4 secretion assay, investigation into the sources of IL-4 during the progression of L. sigmodontis infection was conducted. The impact of eosinophils on the Th2 response was investigated through experiments involving dblGATA mice, which lack eosinophils and, consequently, eosinophil-derived IL-4. Results: The absence of eosinophils notably influenced Th2 polarization, leading to impaired production of type 2 cytokines. Interestingly, despite this eosinophil deficiency, macrophage polarization, proliferation, and antibody production remained unaffected. Conclusion: Our research uncovers eosinophils as a major source of IL-4, especially during the early phase

karger@karger.com www.karger.com/jin © 2024 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

 This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) (http://www. karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes requires written permission. of filarial infection. Consequently, these findings shed new light on IL-4 dynamics and eosinophil effector functions in filarial infections © 2024 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Plain Language Summary

Filarial nematodes can cause severe diseases like onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis, posing a significant public health challenge in tropical regions, putting over a billion people at risk. The WHO categorizes these infections as neglected tropical diseases and aims to eliminate onchocerciasis transmission and lymphatic filariasis as a public health issue by 2030. Filarial nematodes modulate the immune system of their host, and the induction of protective immune responses still requires a better understanding. Eosinophils have been identified as a key immune cell type in the well-established murine model for filarial infection, *Litomosoides sigmodontis*. However, their precise roles and interactions with other components of the type 2 immune

Marc P. Hübner and Jesuthas Ajendra contributed equally to this work and share last authorship.

Correspondence to: Marc P. Hübner, huebner@uni-bonn.de

Jesuthas Ajendra, jesuthas.ajendra@uni-bonn.de

response remain unclear. Our study reveals that eosinophils play a crucial role as a primary source of interleukin-4, the central cytokine in type 2 immunity. By using dblGATA mice, we found that the absence of eosinophils resulted in a reduced T helper 2 response but did not impact the alternative activation of macrophages or antibody production. In summary, our research uncovers an underappreciated function of eosinophils and their significant influence on type 2 immune responses. © 2024 The Author(s).

Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Interleukin-4 (IL-4) plays a pivotal role in orchestrating the immune response associated with type 2 immunity, primarily aimed at defending against large multicellular parasites like helminths [1]. IL-4 exerts its influence across a spectrum of innate and adaptive immune cells. One of its critical functions is to guide the differentiation of T cells into T helper 2 (Th2) cells [2]. IL-4 activates the transcription factor STAT6 leading to the expression and activation of GATA3, the master regulator of Th2 cells. These Th2 cells then produce not only IL-4 but also the other signature cytokines IL-5 and IL-13 [2]. Together with IL-13, IL-4 engages the IL-4 receptor, triggering an alternate activation pathway in macrophages [3]. This activation is a cornerstone of wound healing and tissue repair during type 2 immune responses [4]. IL-4 also plays a crucial role in B cell activities, including class switching and the production of immunoglobulin E (IgE) [5]. Furthermore, it dampens inflammatory responses, acting as a regulatory element in the immune system [4]. However, it is important to note that IL-4 has a dual nature. On the flip side, it can contribute to fibrosis and exacerbate the pathogenesis of various allergic diseases [6]. While CD4⁺ T cells have long been recognized as a primary source of IL-4, particularly during helminth infections, innate immune cells like basophils and mast cells have been described as significant producers of IL-4 [7–9]. Litomosoides sigmodontis is a rodent filarial nematode which serves as a model for human filarial infections, recapitulating immune responses as they occur, e.g., in onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis patients [10, 11]. This filarial nematode gets transmitted via the tropical rat mite Ornithonyssus *bacoti* and migrates through skin and lymphatics to the pleural cavity by 5-8 days post-infection (dpi) [12]. In susceptible BALB/c mice, these parasites develop to sexual maturity by 35 dpi and produce their offspring, the microfilariae, which can be found in the peripheral blood

by 57 dpi onward. Similar to other helminth infections, L. sigmodontis induces a type 2 immune response [13, 14]. However, the immunomodulatory capacity of this parasite leads to a strong regulatory immune milieu, facilitating the parasites' long-term survival in the host. Studies using IL-4 and IL-4R-KO mice have demonstrated a critical role for IL-4 and signaling via the IL-4 receptor in microfilariae control but not adult worm burden [15, 16]. Fertility and length of female adult worms were found to be enhanced in the absence of both IL-4 and IL-4R. Additionally, both studies found reduced eosinophil numbers in IL-4 and IL-4R-KO mice and an absence of IgE in infected IL-4 KO mice [15]. In contrast to the susceptible BALB/c strain, C57BL/6 mice eliminate L. sigmodontis infection before patency [14, 17]. However, in the absence of IL-4, C57BL/6 mice become as susceptible as BALB/c mice [18]. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated the requirement for IL-4 to induce an alternative macrophage phenotype [19-21], which in turn orchestrates eosinophil-mediated immunity to filarial nematodes [22].

While many functions for IL-4 have been shown for *L*. sigmodontis infection [11, 23], the contribution of different cellular sources of IL-4 to the infection process has remained unclear. To address this knowledge gap, we employed an IL-4 secretion assay to investigate both innate and adaptive sources of IL-4 throughout the course of L. sigmodontis infection. While our experiments confirmed a central role for CD4⁺ T cell-derived IL-4, eosinophils emerged as a major source of IL-4, particularly during the early phase of infection before worms reach sexual maturity. To investigate the impact of eosinophils and their IL-4 contribution on the type 2 response, we utilized dblGATA mice, which lack eosinophils and therefore exhibit eosinophil-derived IL-4 deficiency. Strikingly, while eosinophil deficiency had no discernible impact on macrophage proliferation and polarization, it significantly curtailed the presence of Th2 cells at the site of infection, particularly during the middle phase of infection. These findings shed new light on the intricate dynamics of IL-4 sources and on an underappreciated function of eosinophils during L. sigmodontis infection.

Methods

Mice

A total of 6–8-week-old male and female BALB/c J wild-type (WT) mice were purchased from Janvier Labs (Saint-Berthevin, France). BALB/c *AdblGata1* mice were originally obtained from

Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, USA), and eotaxin-KO and IL-5-KO mice were originally obtained from Prof. Dr. Klaus Matthaei (Stem Cell & Gene Targeting Laboratory, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia). All mice were bred at the "Haus für Experimentelle Therapie" of the University Hospital Bonn. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages with unlimited access to food and water and a 12-h day/night cycle. All experiments were performed according to EU directive 2010/63/EU and approved by the Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz (LANUV, Recklinghausen, Germany) under license AZ 81.02.04.2020.A103.

Natural Infection

Mice were naturally infected with infective *L. sigmodontis* thirdstage larvae via exposure to the tropical rat mite *O. bacoti* as described before [13]. In short, mice were placed over night in cages with bedding material containing infected mites. The next day, bedding material with the mites was removed and after another 24 h mice were moved back to new cages. To ensure comparable infections of both groups, mice from both groups were exposed simultaneously to the same batch of mites containing the infective larvae.

Parasite Recovery

Mice were sacrificed via an overdose of isoflurane (AbbVie, Wiesbaden, Germany) by 10, 15, 35/37, 57/60, 72, and 90 dpi. To determine adult worm burden, the pleural cavity of individual mice was flushed with 1 mL of PBS (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria) which then contained adult worms. Remaining adult worms in the pleural cavity and the peritoneum were isolated with a dissection probe.

Isolation of Pleural Cavity Cells

Pleural cavity cells were obtained following lavage with PBS (PAA Laboratories). The first ml of the lavage was collected, worms removed, and cells separated by centrifugation and the supernatant stored at -20° C for cytokine measurements at a later time point. The cells were combined with the cells of a following lavage with 4 mL of PBS.

Preparation of L. sigmodontis Antigen

For the preparation of *L. sigmodontis* adult worm extract (LsAg), freshly isolated adult worms were rinsed in sterile PBS before being mechanically homogenized under sterile conditions. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 300 g for 10 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations of crude extracts were determined using the Advanced Protein Assay (Cytoskeleton, Denver, USA).

Measurement of Cytokines and Antibodies by ELISA

Cytokine concentrations were determined within the first ml of pleural cavity lavage by ELISA. IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 (Invitrogen, MA, USA) were all measured according to kit protocols. To determine parasite-specific immunoglobulin levels in plasma, plates were coated with 10 µg/mL LsAg overnight. After blocking with PBS/1% BSA, plasma was added in serial dilutions. Following incubation, plates were washed and secondary biotinylated antibodies against IgE, IgG1, or IgG2a/2b (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA) were added. After washing and incubation with Streptavidin-HRP, plates were washed, TMB substrate added, and the enzymatic reaction stopped with sulfuric acid. Optical density (OD) was measured at 450 nm (Spectramax 240pc Molecular Devices, CA, USA).

Flow Cytometric Analyses of Pleural Cavity Cells

Pleural cavity cells were counted using the Countess Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher, MA, USA). Cells were then stained for live/dead (Life Technologies) and subsequently incubated with Fcblock (1:500 CD16/CD32 and 1:50 mouse serum) and were then stained with fluorescence-conjugated antibodies. For staining of intracellular cytokines, cells were stimulated for 4 h at 37°C with cell stimulation cocktail containing protein transport inhibitor (eBioscience) and then stained with live/dead. After surface antibody staining, cells were fixed for 1 h at RT using IC fixation/ permeabilization buffer (BioLegend) and cells were then incubated for 20 min at RT in permeabilization buffer (BioLegend). Intracellular staining was performed for cytokines using antibodies for IL-5, IL-13, as well as for GATA3, RELM α , arginase-1, and Ki67. Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry with LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed using FlowJo v10.2 software.

Eosinophil Generation for in vitro Studies

Bone marrow from naïve mice was used to generate bone marrow-derived eosinophils as previously described [24]. Bone marrow cells were counted using CASY[®] TT-cell counter system (OMNI Life Science GmbH & Co. KG), and cells were seeded in Advanced RPMI medium with 20% FBS, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin, 0.1% gentamycin, 2.5% HEPES, and 1% Gluta-MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific GmbH, Germany). Cells were cultured with stem cell factor and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, USA) for the first 4 days. Afterward, the growth factors were exchanged with IL-5 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, USA). Half of the medium was exchanged every other day, and on day 8, the cell culture flask was exchanged. After 12 days, cells were harvested and checked for the eosinophil purity using flow cytometry (>95%). Eosinophils were then seeded in 24-well plates and stimulated with LsAg for 24, 48, and 72 h. Supernatant was taken at these time points and stored at -20° C for further use.

IL-4 Secretion Assay

To identify IL-4 sources within the pleural cavity, the IL-4 secretion assay kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) was employed. Pleural cavity single-cell preparations were stimulated for 3 h at 37°C with cell stimulation cocktail containing protein transport inhibitor (eBioscience). Subsequently, an IL-4-specific catch reagent was attached to the cell surface of all immune cells. The cells were then incubated for 45 min at 37°C to allow cytokine secretion. The secreted IL-4 bound to the IL-4 catch reagent on the secreting cells. These cells were subsequently labeled with a second IL-4-specific antibody, the phycoerythrin-conjugated IL-4 detection antibody for sensitive detection by flow cytometry for 10 min on ice. Further surface markers for different immune cell populations were added parallel to the IL-4 detection antibody. Cells were then washed and analyzed on an LSRFortessa flow cytometer.

Statistics

Prism 7.0 (version 7.0c, GraphPad Software) was used for statistical analysis. Differences between two experimental groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney test, while differences between



Fig. 1. IL-4 is a signature cytokine of *L. sigmodontis* infection. **a** IL-4 protein levels in the pleural lavage of naïve and *L. sigmodontis*-infected BALB/c mice. *L. sigmodontis* worm burden (**b**) and total pleural cell counts (**c**) during the course of infection. Data are representative for 3 independent experiments. Data are shown as median with interquartile range and tested for significances using Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's posttest for nonparametric data comparing each time point to the naïve control (**a**). *p < 0.05.

three or more experimental groups were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis test for nonparametric data, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. For differences between experimental groups across different time points, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's posttest for nonparametric data and with Sidak's posttest for parametric data was utilized. Data were tested for correlation using Spearman test. Data are shown as median with interquartile range.

Results

Eosinophils Are a Major Source of IL-4 during L. sigmodontis *Infection*

To quantify IL-4 protein levels at the site of infection, pleural lavage was obtained from naïve and infected mice at various time points during the course of *L. sigmodontis* infection, followed by an IL-4 ELISA. IL-4 increased in response to infection and reached a statistically significant elevation by 37 dpi (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, during the later stages of infection (57 dpi, 72 dpi, and 90 dpi), time points when microfilariae are present, IL-4 concentrations declined, eventually returning back to levels observed in naïve mice. However, this decline was not due to the clearance of adult worms, as mice still possessed viable worms at 90 dpi (Fig. 1b). Pleural cavity cells were increased throughout infection, reaching statistical significance from 15 dpi onward compared to naïve controls (Fig. 1c).

To decipher the sources of IL-4, a murine IL-4 secretion assay kit was employed. In this assay, pleural cavity cells were restimulated and subsequently incubated with an IL-4-specific catch reagent and detection antibody. Cells secreting IL-4 were detected using regular flow cytometric surface staining (Fig. 2a). Similar to IL-4 protein levels, the frequency of IL-4-producing cells increased during the early phase of infection (10, 15, and 37 dpi), followed by a decline back to baseline levels during the later stages of infection (Fig. 2b). Similar kinetics were observed for absolute numbers of IL-4-producing cells in the pleural cavity. Notably, the highest influx of immune cells into the pleural cavity occurred around 37 dpi, following the molt of the L4 stage to adult worms (Fig. 2b). To gain deeper insights into the cellular composition of the IL-4-positive subset among CD45⁺ cells, the immune cells within the IL-4⁺ proportion of CD45⁺ cells were further investigated. As illustrated in Figure 2c, our investigation demonstrates dynamic shifts in the dominant sources of IL-4 at different stages of infection. As expected, CD4⁺ T cells emerged as a consistent and prominent source of IL-4 throughout the course of the infection. However, during the early phases of infection, following the migration of the infective third-stage larvae into the pleural cavity and molt into fourth-stage larvae, Siglec F+CD11b+ eosinophils accounted for the highest proportion of IL-4-secreting cells, displaying a decisive role in these initial stages. A surprising discovery was the substantial contribution of Ly6G⁺CD11b⁺ neutrophils to IL-4 production, a role they assumed from their appearance in the pleural cavity at 37 dpi, after adult worms developed, persisting until 90 dpi, when the natural clearance of the adult worms occurs. In addition to these primary sources, the analysis identified CD11b⁺ cells, which may include basophils and mast cells, as well as CD11b⁻ cells, which may include ILC2, B cells, and NK T cells among others (Fig. 2c), cell types which have been described to be involved in L. sigmo*dontis* infection. When quantifying the absolute numbers



Fig. 2. Eosinophils are a major source of IL-4 during filarial infection. Representative FACS plots displaying the gating strategy for pleural cavity using the IL-4 secretion assay. Cells are derived from single, live cells. **a**, **b** Percentage and absolute number of IL-4⁺ immune cells within the pleural cavity of naïve and *L. sigmodontis*-infected BALB/c mice during course of infection. Pie charts representing frequencies of IL-4⁺ cells within the pleural cavity during the course of *L. sigmodontis* infection. **c** Each circle represents 100%. **d** Absolute numbers of IL-4⁺ course of infection. **e** IL-4⁺ T cells, and IL-4⁺ neutrophils over the course of infection. **e** IL-4 protein levels in the pleural lavage on 10 dpi with *L*.

sigmodontis in BALB/c (WT) and dblGATA mice. **f** IL-4 protein levels measured from supernatants of bone marrow-derived eosinophils stimulated with LsAg for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h as well as unstimulated controls. Data representative for two independent experiments (**a**–**d**) or pooled from two independent experiments with n = 6 (**e**, **f**). Data are shown as median with interquartile range (**b**, **d**, **e**) or as mean \pm SEM (**f**) and tested for significances using Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's posttest for nonparametric data (**b**, **f**), two-way-ANOVA with Tukey's posttest (**d**) or Mann-Whitney test (**e**). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001.

of cells producing IL-4, eosinophils were the most numerous producers of IL-4 10 dpi, followed by CD4⁺ T cells. Again, neutrophils appear during the later stages of infection, when the IL-4 levels in the pleural cavity generally decreased (Fig. 2d). To further confirm that eosinophils are a major IL-4 producer during L. sigmodontis infection, ELISA was performed using pleural wash from infected eosinophil-deficient dblGATA mice and WT controls. As shown in Figure 2e, dblGATA mice secreted significantly less IL-4 compared to BALB/c WT controls at 10 dpi (Fig. 2e). Furthermore, bone marrowderived eosinophils were stimulated with L. sigmodontis crude antigen (LsAg) for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h and IL-4 was measured in the supernatants. IL-4 was detected at all tested time points with the highest production measured after 72 h restimulation, while unstimulated eosinophils did not secrete IL-4. These data confirm that eosinophils indeed produce IL-4 in response to filarial antigen in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2f).

IL-4⁺ Eosinophils Are Highly Activated and Express CCR3, CD125, and ST2 but Do Not Express CD101

Single-cell methodology and transcriptomic studies have largely contributed to uncover new cell subtypes and cell heterogeneity. As such, eosinophils have been characterized in more detail in health and disease in recent years [25-27]. In this study, we employed a flow cytometry approach to elucidate the characteristics of eosinophils on 10 dpi, which presented the time point where eosinophils were the dominant IL-4 producers. At this specific time point of infection, eosinophil numbers are significantly elevated in the bloodstream (Fig. 3a) and at site of infection, the pleural cavity (Fig. 3b). Notably, blood and pleural eosinophilias are dependent on the presence of IL-5 and, to some extent, reliant on eotaxinmediated recruitment (online suppl. Fig. 1A, B; for all online suppl. material, see https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000536357). However, eosinophil numbers do not exhibit a direct correlation with the worm burden at this time point (Fig. 3c). Subsequently, we conducted a comparative analysis of eosinophils in the blood and the pleural cavity of infected mice, focusing on established markers of eosinophils and their activation status. Eosinophils are primarily produced in the bone marrow and are released into the bloodstream upon infection, subsequently infiltrating the pleural cavity, where the parasites reside. Upon entering the pleural cavity, eosinophils upregulate different proteins associated with an either regulatory or inflammatory phenotype as well as increased cell activation compared to their blood counterparts. CCR3 is the receptor for the eotaxins 1 and 2 and

is upregulated in pleural eosinophils when compared to blood eosinophils. Similarly, the receptors for IL-33 (ST2) and IL-5 (CD125) were significantly upregulated on eosinophils upon entry into the pleural cavity (Fig. 3d), indicating a requirement for these two cytokines for full activation and induction of effector mechanisms. Recently, CD101⁺ eosinophils were described during infection with the lung-migrating nematode Nippostrongylus brasiliensis, exhibiting a more inflammatory phenotype compared to resident CD101^{lo} eosinophils [26, 28]. In our setting, a small portion of eosinophils were found to upregulate CD101 when entering the pleural cavity (4.99%; Fig. 3d). Moreover, classical activation markers, including CD69, CD86, and MHCII, exhibited a substantial upregulation specifically in pleural eosinophils when compared to their counterparts in the bloodstream within the same mouse. CD62L was found to be downregulated on pleural eosinophils compared to blood eosinophils. CD62L, CD69, ST2, MHCII, and CCR3 were also assessed on 35 dpi, exhibiting a similar expression profile on eosinophils as found on 10 dpi (online suppl. Fig. 1C). Additionally, these aforementioned markers were assessed and compared between pleural eosinophils from both naïve and L. sigmodontisinfected mice at 10 dpi and were found to be significantly upregulated in response to infection (online suppl. Fig. 1D). Recently, Gurtner et al. [25] presented a protocol to distinguish eosinophil subsets in different tissues using CD80 and PD-L1. However, in our model, eosinophils within the pleural cavity during *L. sigmodontis* infection did not express PD-L1 and exhibited low CD80 expression (data not shown). We subsequently investigated which markers exhibited upregulation specifically on IL-4-producing eosinophils. As shown in Figure 3e, f, eosinophils responsible for IL-4 production prominently expressed CCR3 and CD125, with 59.6% of eosinophils displaying ST2 expression. It is noteworthy that IL-4negative but not IL-4-producing eosinophils express CD101 (Fig. 3e, f). CD101 was described to be a feature of an inflammatory phenotype of eosinophils. Additionally, IL-4⁺ eosinophils exhibit a high level of activation, exemplified by their markedly increased expression of CD69 (75%), CD86 (93.8%), and MHCII (53.6%; Fig. 3f).

Eosinophil-Derived IL-4 Is Dispensable for Macrophage Proliferation and Polarization

Having established the significant contribution of eosinophils as a pivotal source of IL-4 during filarial infection, further immune parameters were assessed to understand the impact eosinophils have on the type 2 response. For this, eosinophil-deficient dblGATA mice



Fig. 3. IL-4-producing eosinophils are highly activated and do not express CD101. Eosinophil frequency in blood (**a**) and pleural cavity (**b**) as well as absolute eosinophil numbers of pleural cavity in naïve and infected mice on 10 dpi. **c** Linear regression of worm burden versus eosinophil percentage for 10 dpi. **d** Comparison of frequencies of CCR3, ST2, CD101, CD125, CD62L, CD69, CD86, and MHCII-positive eosinophils in blood and pleura on 10 dpi. **e** Heat map showing the percentage of IL-4⁺ and IL-4⁻ eosinophils expressing indicated activation markers, n = 5 per group and

were used (Fig. 4a). These mice have a complete ablation of the eosinophil lineage, even under conditions, which normally stimulate eosinophil development. As shown in Figure 4b, these mice exhibit a significantly increased worm burden during the course of *L. sigmodontis* infection (Fig. 4b). Moreover, throughout the course of infection, dblGATA mice exhibited notably reduced pleural immune cell counts in comparison with BALB/c WT controls, primarily attributed to the absence of the

marker. **f** Representative FACS plot displaying gating for IL-4⁺ eosinophils and graph showing percentage of expression of different markers on IL-4⁺ eosinophils. Data shown in (**a**-**c**) are pooled from three independent experiments with 5 mice each. Data shown in (**d**-**f**) are representative for two independent experiments. Data are shown as median with interquartile range (**a**, **b**, **f**) and tested for significance using Mann-Whitney test (**a**, **b**, **d**) or tested for correlation using Spearman test (**c**). **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.001, *****p* < 0.0001.

major eosinophil population (online suppl. Fig. 2A–C). Pleural macrophages are a key cell type during *L. sig-modontis* infection, and impairments within the macrophage compartment can have a major effect on infection outcome [23]. The polarization of macrophages to an alternatively activated phenotype is IL-4R-dependent and required for successful elimination of the parasites [23, 29]. However, a study by Finlay et al. [23] demonstrated that *L. sigmodontis*-infected BALB/c mice fail to



(For legend see next page.)

4

fully convert recruited monocytes efficiently into tissueresident F4/80^{hi} macrophages, displaying an intermediate phenotype (F4/80^{int}). Efficient integration of monocytes into the resident macrophage pool requires Th2 cells and IL-4R signaling [23]. Therefore, we compared both F4/ 80^{int} and F4/80^{hi} macrophage populations in dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls. While the kinetics of these populations confirm previous findings, no differences were found in frequency and absolute numbers of both these macrophage stages in the pleural cavity between dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls during all tested time points (Fig. 4c-f). Macrophages undergo a proliferative burst in the pleural cavity on 10 dpi, which depends on the presence of IL-4 [29]. Therefore, the expression of the proliferation marker Ki67 was measured on pleural F4/80^{hi} macrophages on 10 dpi (Fig. 4g-i). Eosinophil-deficient mice did not show impaired macrophage proliferation, as frequency of Ki67⁺ macrophages as well as mean fluorescence intensity of Ki67 did not differ between dblGATA mice and WT controls (Fig. 4h, i). Intracellular staining for proteins RELMa (Fig. 4j, k) and arginase-1 (Fig. 4l, m), both associated with the alternatively activated, IL-4R-dependent phenotype of macrophages, did not reveal significant differences during the absence of eosinophils in both frequencies and MFI. A smaller proportion of RELMa+ macrophages was observed in dblGATA mice on 60 dpi; however, this did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 4j). Similar tendencies for reduced MFI for RELMa in dblGATA mice were observed as well (Fig. 4k). Taken together, these data demonstrate that eosinophils are dispensable for macrophage polarization and proliferation in the pleural cavity of L. sigmodontis-infected mice.

Eosinophils Are Required for an Optimal Development of the Th2 Response

CD4⁺ T cells have been previously described to be essential in controlling worm and microfilariae burden [30, 31]. Additionally, depletion of CD4⁺ T cells resulted

Fig. 4. Lack of eosinophils does not affect macrophage polarization and proliferation. **a** Representative FACS plots comparing WT and dblGATA mice for eosinophils, gated as Siglec F⁺F4/80⁻Ly6G⁻CD11b⁺. **b** Worm burden by 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi between dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls. Frequency and absolute number of F4/80^{int} (**c**, **e**) and F4/80^{hi} macrophages (**d**, **f**) in the pleural cavity at 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi of dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls. **g** Representative FACS plot displaying Ki67 staining on 10 dpi and FMO control for pleural cavity macrophages. Percentage (**h**) and gMFI (**i**) for intracellular Ki67 staining of pleural F4/80^{hi} macrophages of dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls on 10 in diminished Th2 cytokines, eosinophilia, and IgE levels, demonstrating the central role for CD4⁺ T cells during filarial infection [30]. The polarization of CD4⁺ T cells to Th2 cells requires IL-4. Therefore, differences in CD4⁺ T cell numbers, GATA3 expression - the master transcription factor of Th2 cells - as well as type 2 cytokine production of these T cells, were investigated in dblGATA mice and WT controls. CD4⁺ T cell frequency did not differ between both tested groups (Fig. 5a). However, on 35 dpi absolute CD4⁺ T cell numbers were significantly reduced in dblGATA mice compared to WT controls, indicating an eosinophil-dependent impact on T cell numbers (Fig. 5b). GATA3 expression in percentage and MFI were not changed throughout the infection due to eosinophil deficiency (Fig. 5c, d), but absolute numbers of GATA3⁺ CD4⁺ T cells were lower on 35 dpi (Fig. 5e). Next, we assessed the ability of the GATA3⁺CD4⁺ T cells to produce signature Th2 cytokines. For this, GA-TA3⁺CD4⁺ T cells were intracellularly stained for IL-5 and IL-13. Frequencies of these cytokines and absolute numbers of IL5⁺ and IL-13⁺ CD4⁺ T cells did not differ between dblGATA mice and BALB/c WT controls on 15 and 60 dpi; however, on 35 dpi, CD4⁺ T cells in dblGATA mice produced significantly less IL-5 and IL-13, and absolute numbers of both IL-5⁺ CD4⁺ T cells and IL-13⁺ CD4⁺ T cells were significantly reduced in the absence of eosinophils (Fig. 5e, f).

Absence of Eosinophils Has a Minimal Impact on L. sigmodontis-Specific Antibody Production

In line with its function in orchestrating the type 2 response, IL-4 is recognized for its ability for costimulating B cells and favoring IgE class switching. However, it remains unclear whether eosinophils and eosinophil-derived IL-4 have an impact on antibody production during filarial infections. To address this question, parasite-specific IgE, IgG2a/b, and IgG1 in the serum of infected dblGATA mice and WT controls were measured. Parasite-specific IgE, IgG2a/b, and

dpi. Percentage (**j**) and gMFI (**k**) of intracellular RELM α on F4/80^{hi} macrophages between dblGATA mice (green) and BALB/c WT controls (black) for 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. Percentage (**l**) and gMFI (**m**) of intracellular arginase-1 on F4/80^{hi} macrophages between dblGATA mice (green) and BALB/c WT controls (black) for 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. Data in (**b**) are pooled from two independent experiments for 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. Data in (**c**-**f**) and (**h**-**m**) are representative for two independent experiments. Data are shown as median with interquartile range (**b**-**f**, **h**-**m**). Data were tested for significance using Mann-Whitney test (**b**, **h**, **i**) or Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's posttest (**c**-**f**, **j**-**m**). *p < 0.05.



Fig. 5. Eosinophils are required for an optimal Th2 response. Frequency (**a**) and absolute numbers (**b**) of CD4⁺ T cells in the pleural cavity of dblGATA (green) and BALB/c WT mice (black) for 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. **c** Histograms displaying GATA3 expression between dblGATA (green), WT (black), and FMO controls (red) on pleural CD4⁺ T cells for 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. **d** GATA3 gMFI on CD4⁺ T cells and absolute numbers of GATA3⁺CD4⁺ T cells in the pleural cavity of

IgG1 were barely detectable at 15 dpi (online suppl. Fig. 3A–C), and no differences were observed between both tested groups. Similarly, no differences in antibody titers for tested Igs were found on 35 dpi (online suppl. Fig. 3D–F). On 60 dpi, OD for IgE at 1:2 dilution was significantly reduced in dbIGATA mice compared to WT controls (Fig. 6a). However, with lower dilutions, no differences were detected. OD IgG2a/b was increased in dbIGATA mice, but did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 6b). No differences were found for IgG1 (Fig. 6c).

dblGATA (green) and WT controls (black) on 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi. Frequency and absolute numbers of intracellular IL-5 (**e**) and IL-13 (**f**) on pleural CD4⁺ T cells on 15 dpi, 35 dpi, and 60 dpi in dblGATA and BALB/c WT controls. Data pooled from two independent experiments, shown as median with interquartile range (**a**, **b**, **d**–**f**). Data were tested for significance using Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's posttest. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Discussion

Eosinophils are a hallmark immune cell type of type 2 immunity and are highly increased during helminth infections. Eosinophils have been described to have both, protective functions and pathology-inducing features in filariasis [32]. Specifically, their ability to release granule proteins such as MBP or EPO [33] and the formation of extracellular traps have been investigated in the context of filarial infections [24]. Here, we show that eosinophils are an important source of IL-4, a cytokine central to type 2



Fig. 6. Eosinophil deficiency has minimal affect on antibody production. OD of LsAg-specific IgE (**a**), IgG2a/b (**b**), and IgG1 (**c**) in serum of dbIGATA mice and BALB/c WT control on 60 dpi. Data are pooled from two independent experiments with n = 10 per time point. Data are shown as median with interquartile range and were tested for significance with two-way ANOVA and Tukey's posttest. *p < 0.05.

immunity. Eosinophils are recruited in high numbers during L. sigmodontis infection in the pleural cavity [23]. Our data indicate that eosinophils heavily contribute to the IL-4 environment within the site of infection. Eosinophils have been previously recognized as a significant source of IL-4 during various disease settings. They have been shown to be major IL-4-expressing cells in white adipose tissue, playing a pivotal role in inducing alternative activation of macrophages [34]. Eosinophils have also been linked to IL-4 production in contexts related to tissue repair, as exemplified in a study on liver regeneration [35]. In a model of a toll-like receptor 2-mediated paw inflammation, eosinophils were necessary for "M2 macrophage" polarization and eosinophil depletion resulted in reduced IL-4 levels and increased edema formation [36]. During pulmonary cryptococcosis, eosinophils contribute to IL-4 production and shape the Th2 cell cytokine profile [37]. In human allergic patients, eosinophils may be an important IL-4 source and enhance the allergic response through Th2 formation and inducing isotype switching to IgE [38]. Our data are in line with these studies and show for the first time that eosinophils secrete IL-4 during a filarial infection of the pleural cavity. The eosinophil-derived IL-4 has an impact on the Th2 response and type 2 cytokine production as numbers of CD4+ T cells and IL-5 and IL-13 levels were reduced in the absence of eosinophils. Similar findings were observed in a study of acute schistosomiasis, where lack of eosinophils resulted in lower concentrations of IL-5 and IL-13 in infected liver tissue, indicating that eosinophils participate in the establishment and amplification of Th2 responses [39]. Our data also indicate that the connection between Th2 cells and eosinophils is of major importance to

infection outcome and resolution. The eosinophil-induced Th2 cells can in turn produce not only IL-4, but also IL-5, which is necessary for further eosinophil maturation and activation, leading to a positive feedback loop between these two hallmark cell types of type 2 immunity. Studies have demonstrated that Th2 cells in BALB/c mice infected with L. sigmodontis acquire features of hyporesponsiveness [40]. This happens around the time microfilariae appear in BALB/c mice, resulting in Th2 cells less efficient in type 2 cytokine production. We see in our study also lower Th2 cytokines at 60 dpi. A further study has provided evidence that T cell hyporesponsiveness is intrinsic to the Th2 cell and by 60 dpi, Th2 cells acquire a dysfunctional phenotype characterized by IL-21⁺Egr2⁺c-Maf⁺Blimp-1⁺IL-4^{lo}IL- 5^{10} T-bet⁺IFN γ^+ [41]. Eosinophils provide IL-4 for maintenance of Th2 cells during the middle phase of infection. However, long-term Th2 cells will still become hyporesponsive independent of eosinophil activity. Moreover, Th2 cells are needed for monocyte-to-macrophage conversion, which also fails in BALB/c mice [23]. All these BALB/c mice-specific impairments are resulting in failure to kill worms as observed in C57BL/6 mice. Therefore, experiments using eosinophil-deficient mice on a C57BL/6 background could provide more evidence on the impact eosinophils and their IL-4 production have on infection outcome. The absence of eosinophils led to an augmented adult worm burden, corroborating prior findings using dblGATA mice. Frohberger et al. [16] revealed a substantial increase in both adult worm count and microfilariae load in the absence of eosinophils, persisting up to 120 dpi. Notably, this deficiency resulted in a prolonged circulation of microfilariae at significantly higher levels.

These observations underscore the profound impact of altered immune responses due to eosinophil deficiency and possibly eosinophil-derived IL-4 on the infection outcome. Eosinophils have often been implicated in driving pathology [32]. A recent study highlighted that the absence of eosinophils could potentially protect against visceral pleural hyperplasia in L. sigmodontis infection [42]. To gain deeper insights, future investigations should delve into the intricate relationship between eosinophils, tissue damage, and the influence of IL-4, paving the way for a more comprehensive understanding of their impact. While an impact of eosinophil deficiency was observed in the CD4⁺ T cell populations, macrophages were not impacted in this study. Our data are hereby in line with two previous studies. In a study by Turner et al. [22], CCR3-KO mice and the Brugia malayi implant model were used to demonstrate that lack of CCR3-dependent eosinophilia did not impact alternative activation of macrophages as well as RELMa and arginase-1 expression. However, lack of eosinophils resulted in an impairment in RELMa secretion. Similarly, Jackson-Jones et al. [43] did not observe an eosinophil-dependent impairment in macrophage proliferation using dblGATA mice but saw a reduction in RELMa expression in their model of in vivo IL-33 delivery. However, both these studies have investigated the peritoneal cavity, so tissue-depending differences in RELMa production and secretion could be an explanation for the different study outcomes. The question remains why eosinophil-derived IL-4 does not impact macrophages, but CD4⁺ T-cell-derived IL-4 does. Depleting CD4⁺ T cells during L. sigmodontis infection resulted in failure of macrophages to proliferate in the absence of Th2 cells [23, 44]. Importantly, IL-13 is capable of inducing macrophage proliferation as well and may be taking over a more dominant, compensatory role during the absence of eosinophil-derived IL-4. Another intriguing observation of this study was the finding that neutrophils are also capable of producing IL-4 during a type 2 immune response. Neutrophils have been described to be involved in helminth infections in general [45] and in filarial infection in particular [46]. However, their precise role and how they influence various facets of the type 2 immune response remain elusive. Future studies will investigate the role neutrophils have in the context of type 2 responses.

A notable limitation of this study is the reliance on dblGATA mice as opposed to an eosinophil-specific IL-4 KO mouse model. To our knowledge, such a model does not presently exist, rendering dblGATA mice the most suitable option for investigating eosinophils and their absence in this context. Furthermore, while our study primarily focuses on IL-4, we cannot dismiss the possibility that eosinophils might exert their effects on the Th2 response through other means. Previous research has highlighted the T cell-modulating and T cell-regulating capabilities of neutrophilderived granule proteins [47], implying a similar potential for eosinophils in shaping the immune response. These aspects warrant further investigation to comprehensively understand the mechanisms at play in eosinophil-mediated immune modulation. Though the potential targeting of eosinophils and their IL-4 production as a therapeutic strategy against human filarial diseases is an enticing prospect, reaching conclusive insights necessitates further comprehensive research and investigation. In summary, our study presents for the first time eosinophils as a major source of IL-4 during filarial infections and this IL-4 is necessary for Th2 cell development and cytokine production during the middle phase of infection.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the assistance of the Flow Cytometry Core Facility at the Institute of Experimental Immunology and Medical Faculty at the University of Bonn.

Statement of Ethics

All experiments were performed according to EU directive 2010/63/EU and approved by the Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz (LANUV, Recklinghausen, Germany) under license AZ 81.02.04.2020.A103.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

A.H. and M.H. were funded under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC2151-390873048, and are members of the German Center for Infection Research (DZIF). M.H. received funding from the German Center for Infection Research (TTU 09.701).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Marc P. Hübner and Jesuthas Ajendra. Data curation: Cécile Guth and Jesuthas Ajendra. Experimentation: Cécile Guth, Pia Philippa Schumacher, Archena Vijayakumar, Hannah Borgmann, Helene Balles, Marianne Koschel, Frederic Risch, Benjamin Lenz, and Jesuthas Ajendra. Investigation: Cécile Guth, Pia Philippa Schumacher, Archena Vijayakumar, Hannah Borgmann, Helene Balles, Marianne Koschel, and Jesuthas Ajendra. Resources: Achim Hoerauf and Marc P. Hübner. Supervision: Achim Hoerauf, Marc P. Hübner, and Jesuthas Ajendra. Writing – original draft: Cécile Guth, Marc P. Hübner, and Jesuthas Ajendra. Writing – review and editing: all authors.

References

- Allen JE, Maizels RM. Diversity and dialogue in immunity to helminths. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011;11(6):375–88.
- 2 Sahoo A, Wali S, Nurieva R. T helper 2 and T follicular helper cells: regulation and function of interleukin-4. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2016;30:29–37.
- 3 Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3:23–35.
- 4 Allen JE. IL-4 and IL-13: regulators and effectors of wound repair. Annu Rev Immunol. 2023;41:229–54.
- 5 Chakma CR, Good-Jacobson KL. Requirements of IL-4 during the generation of B cell memory. J Immunol. 2023;210(12):1853–60.
- 6 Gieseck RL, Wilson MS, Wynn TA. Type 2 immunity in tissue repair and fibrosis. Nat Rev Immunol. 2018;18(1):62–76.
- 7 Hübner MP, Larson D, Torrero MN, Mueller E, Shi Y, Killoran KE, et al. Anti-FceR1 antibody injections activate basophils and mast cells and delay Type 1 diabetes onset in NOD mice. Clin Immunol. 2011;141(2):205–17.
- 8 Liu AY, Dwyer DF, Jones TG, Bankova LG, Shen S, Katz HR, et al. Mast cells recruited to mesenteric lymph nodes during helminth infection remain hypogranular and produce IL-4 and IL-6. J Immunol. 2013;190(4): 1758–66.
- 9 Mitre E, Taylor RT, Kubofcik J, Nutman TB. Parasite antigen-driven basophils are a major source of IL-4 in human filarial infections. J Immunol. 2004;172(4):2439–45.
- 10 Risch F, Ritter M, Hoerauf A, Hübner MP. Human filariasis-contributions of the Litomosoides sigmodontis and Acanthocheilonema viteae animal model. Parasitol Res. 2021;120(12):4125-43.
- 11 Finlay CM, Allen JE. The immune response of inbred laboratory mice to Litomosoides sigmodontis: a route to discovery in myeloid cell biology. Parasite Immunol. 2020;42(7): e12708.
- 12 Karadjian G, Fercoq F, Pionnier N, Vallarino-Lhermitte N, Lefoulon E, Nieguitsila A, et al. Migratory phase of Litomosoides sigmodontis filarial infective larvae is associated with pathology and transient increase of S100A9 expressing neutrophils in the lung. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(5):e0005596.
- 13 Ajendra J, Specht S, Neumann AL, Gondorf F, Schmidt D, Gentil K, et al. ST2 deficiency does not impair type 2 immune responses during chronic filarial infection but leads to an increased microfilaremia due to an im-

paired splenic microfilarial clearance. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e93072.

Data Availability Statement

corresponding authors.

- 14 Reichwald JJ, Risch F, Neumann AL, Frohberger SJ, Scheunemann JF, Lenz B, et al. ILC2s control microfilaremia during *Litomosoides sigmodontis* infection in *rag2-/-* mice. Front Immunol. 2022;13: 863663.
- 15 Volkmann L, Saeftel M, Bain O, Fischer K, Fleischer B, Hoerauf A. Interleukin-4 is essential for the control of microfilariae in murine infection with the filaria Litomosoides sigmodontis. Infect Immun. 2001; 69(5):2950–6.
- 16 Frohberger SJ, Ajendra J, Surendar J, Stamminger W, Ehrens A, Buerfent BC, et al. "Susceptibility to L. sigmodontis infection is highest in animals lacking IL-4R/IL-5 compared to single knockouts of IL-4R, IL-5 or eosinophils. Parasites and Vectors. 2019; 12(1):248.
- 17 Layland LE, Ajendra J, Ritter M, Wiszniewsky A, Hoerauf A, Hübner MP. Development of patent Litomosoides sigmodontis infections in semi-susceptible C57BL/6 mice in the absence of adaptive immune responses. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8(1):396.
- 18 Le Goff L, Lamb TJ, Graham AL, Harcus Y, Allen JE. IL-4 is required to prevent filarial nematode development in resistant but not susceptible strains of mice. Int J Parasitol. 2002;32(10):1277–84.
- 19 Loke P, Nair MG, Parkinson J, Guiliano D, Blaxter M, Allen JE. IL-4 dependent alternatively-activated macrophages have a distinctive in vivo gene expression phenotype. BMC Immunol. 2002;3:7.
- 20 Nair MG, Gallagher IJ, Taylor MD, Loke P, Coulson PS, Wilson RA, et al. Chitinase and Fizz family members are a generalized feature of nematode infection with selective upregulation of Ym1 and Fizz1 by antigenpresenting cells. Infect Immun. 2005;73(1): 385–94.
- 21 Rückerl D, Allen JE. Macrophage proliferation, provenance, and plasticity in macroparasite infection. Immunol Rev. 2014; 262(1):113–33.
- 22 Turner JD, Pionnier N, Furlong-Silva J, Sjoberg H, Cross S, Halliday A, et al. Interleukin-4 activated macrophages mediate immunity to filarial helminth infection by sustaining CCR3-dependent eosinophilia. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(3): e1006949.

23 Finlay CM, Parkinson JE, Zhang L, Chan BHK, Ajendra J, Chenery A, et al. T helper 2 cells control monocyte to tissue-resident macrophage differentiation during nematode infection of the pleural cavity. Immunity. 2023;56(5):1064–81.e10.

Data that support the interpretation of findings presented in

this paper are openly available. Inquiries can be directed to the

- 24 Ehrens A, Lenz B, Neumann AL, Giarrizzo S, Reichwald JJ, Frohberger SJ, et al. Microfilariae trigger eosinophil extracellular DNA traps in a dectin-1-dependent manner. Cell Rep. 2021;34(2):108621.
- 25 Gurtner A, Borrelli C, Gonzalez-Perez I, Bach K, Acar IE, Núñez NG, et al. Active eosinophils regulate host defence and immune responses in colitis. Nature. 2023;615(7950): 151–7.
- 26 Mesnil C, Raulier S, Paulissen G, Xiao X, Birrell MA, Pirottin D, et al. Lung-resident eosinophils represent a distinct regulatory eosinophil subset. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(9): 3279–95.
- 27 Ignacio A, Shah K, Bernier-Latmani J, Köller Y, Coakley G, Moyat M, et al. Small intestinal resident eosinophils maintain gut homeostasis following microbial colonization. Immunity. 2022;55(7):1250–67.e12.
- 28 Chetty A, Darby MG, Pillaye J, Taliep A, Cunningham AF, O'Shea MK, et al. Induction of Siglec-FhiCD101hi eosinophils in the lungs following murine hookworm Nippostrongylus brasiliensis infection. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1170807.
- 29 Jenkins SJ, Ruckerl D, Cook PC, Jones LH, Finkelman FD, van Rooijen N, et al. Local macrophage proliferation, rather than recruitment from the blood, is a signature of Th2 inflammation. Science. 2011;332(6035):1284–8,
- 30 Al-Qaoud KM, Taubert A, Zahner H, Fleischer B, Hoerauf A. Infection of BALB/c mice with the filarial nematode Litomosoides sigmodontis: role of CD4+ T cells in controlling larval development. Infect Immun. 1997;65(6):2457–61.
- 31 Wiszniewsky A, Layland LE, Arndts K, Wadephul LM, Tamadaho RSE, Borrero-Wolff D, et al. Adoptive transfer of immune cells into RAG2IL-2Rγ-deficient mice during Litomosoides sigmodontis infection: a novel approach to investigate filarial-specific immune responses. Front Immunol. 2021;12: 777860.
- 32 Ehrens A, Hoerauf A, Hübner MP. Eosinophils in filarial infections: inducers of protection or pathology? Front Immunol. 2022; 13:983812.

- 33 Specht S, Saeftel M, Arndt M, Endl E, Dubben B, Lee NA, et al. Lack of eosinophil peroxidase or major basic protein impairs defense against murine filarial infection. Infect Immun. 2006;74(9):5236–43.
- 34 Wu D, Molofsky AB, Liang HE, Ricardo-Gonzalez RR, Jouihan HA, Bando JK, et al. Eosinophils sustain adipose alternatively activated macrophages associated with glucose homeostasis. Science. 2011;332(6026):243–7.
- 35 Goh YPS, Henderson NC, Heredia JE, Red Eagle A, Odegaard JI, Lehwald N, et al. Eosinophils secrete IL-4 to facilitate liver regeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110(24):9914–9.
- 36 Kolbinger A, Schäufele TJ, Steigerwald H, Friedel J, Pierre S, Geisslinger G, et al. Eosinophil-derived IL-4 is necessary to establish the inflammatory structure in innate inflammation. EMBO Mol Med. 2023;15(2): e16796.
- 37 Piehler D, Stenzel W, Grahnert A, Held J, Richter L, Köhler G, et al. Eosinophils contribute to IL-4 production and shape the T-helper cytokine profile and inflammatory

response in pulmonary cryptococcosis. Am J Pathol. 2011;179(2):733-44.

- 38 Bjerke T, Gaustadnes M, Nielsen S, Nielsen LP, Schiøtz PO, Rudiger N, et al. Human blood eosinophils produce and secrete interleukin 4. Respir Med. 1996;90(5):271–7.
- 39 de Oliveira VG, Rodrigues VF, Moreira JMP, Rodrigues JL, Maggi L, Resende SD, et al. Eosinophils participate in modulation of liver immune response and tissue damage induced by Schistosoma mansoni infection in mice. Cytokine. 2022;149:155701.
- 40 van der Werf N, Redpath S, Azuma M, Yagita H, Taylor MD. Th2 cell-intrinsic hyporesponsiveness determines susceptibility to helminth infection. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(3): e1003215.
- 41 Knipper JA, Ivens A, Taylor MD. Helminthinduced Th2 cell dysfunction is distinct from exhaustion and is maintained in the absence of antigen. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(12): e0007908.
- 42 Fercoq F, Remion E, Frohberger SJ, Vallarino-Lhermitte N, Hoerauf A, Le Quesne J, et al. IL-4 receptor dependent expansion of

lung CD169+ macrophages in microfilariadriven inflammation. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(8):e0007691.

- 43 Jackson-Jones LH, Rückerl D, Svedberg F, Duncan S, Maizels RM, Sutherland TE, et al. IL-33 delivery induces serous cavity macrophage proliferation independent of interleukin-4 receptor alpha. Eur J Immunol. 2016;46(10):2311–21.
- 44 Loke P, Gallagher I, Nair MG, Zang X, Brombacher F, Mohrs M, et al. Alternative activation is an innate response to injury that requires CD4+ T cells to be sustained during chronic infection. J Immunol. 2007;179(6): 3926–36.
- 45 Ajendra J. Lessons in type 2 immunity: neutrophils in Helminth infections. Semin Immunol. 2021;53:101531.
- 46 Ajendra J, Allen JE. Neutrophils: friend or foe in filariasis? Parasite Immunol. 2022;44(6): e12918.
- 47 Minns D, Smith KJ, Findlay EG. Orchestration of adaptive T cell responses by neutrophil granule contents. Mediators Inflamm. 2019;2019:8968943.