



OPEN

Effect of different exercise modalities on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Yaqi Xue^{2,5}, Yang Peng^{4,5}, Litian Zhang³, Yi Ba², Gang Jin⁴ & Ge Liu^{1✉}

Physical exercise intervention can significantly improve the liver of patients with Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), but it is unknown which exercise mode has the best effect on liver improvement in NAFLD patients. Therefore, we systematically evaluated the effect of exercise therapy on liver and blood index function of NAFLD patients through network meta-analysis (NMA). Through systematic retrieval of PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, EBSCO, and CNKI (National Knowledge Infrastructure), two reviewers independently screened the literature, extracted data, and assessed the risk of bias of the included studies by means of databases from inception to January 2023. The NMA was performed using the inconsistency model. A total of 43 studies, 2070 NAFLD patients were included: aerobic training (n = 779), resistance training (n = 159), high-intensity interval training (n = 160), aerobic training + resistance training (n = 96). The results indicate that aerobic training + resistance training could significantly improve serum total cholesterol (TC) (Surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) = 71.7), triglyceride (TG) (SUCRA = 96.8), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (SUCRA = 86.1) in patients with NAFLD including triglycerides. Aerobic training is the best mode to improve ALT (SUCRA = 83.9) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (SUCRA = 72.3). Resistance training is the best mode to improve aspartate transaminase (AST) (SUCRA = 81.7). Taking various benefits into account, we believe that the best modality of exercise for NAFLD patients is aerobic training + resistance training. In our current network meta-analysis, these exercise methods have different effects on the six indicators of NAFLD, which provides some reference for further formulating exercise prescription for NAFLD patients.

Abbreviations

NAFLD	Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
NMA	Network meta-analysis
T2DM	Type 2 diabetes mellitus
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
OS	Oxygen species
TC	Triglycerides cholesterol
TG	Triglyceride
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
AST	Aspartate transaminase
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
RCTs	Randomized clinical trials
PRISMA	Preferred reporting items in the system review and meta-analysis
SMD	Standard mean deviation
SD	Standard deviation
SUCRA	Surface under the cumulative ranking curve

¹School of Economics and Management, Hebei University of Architecture, Hebei, China. ²Beijing Normal University College of Physical Education and Sport, Beijing, China. ³Qilu Normal University, Jinan, Shandong, China. ⁴Physical Education Department, Northeastern University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China. ⁵These authors contributed equally: Yang Peng and Yaqi Xue. ✉email: made@mail.bnu.edu.cn

C	Control group
T	Training group
AT	Aerobic training
RT	Resistance training
HIIT	High-intensity-interval-training
AT + RT	Aerobic training + Resistance training
HOMA-IR	Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance
MCH	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) was originally defined in 1980¹. It is defined as the presence of steatosis in more than 5% of hepatocytes, associated with metabolic risk factors (especially obesity and type 2 diabetes) and without excessive alcohol consumption (≥ 30 g/day in men and ≥ 20 g/day in women) or other chronic liver disease². NAFLD is a major cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. And it encompasses a spectrum of diseases ranging from steatosis with or without mild inflammation NAFLD to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which is characterized by necroinflammation and more rapid fibrosis progression than NAFLD³. Currently, NAFLD is considered one of the most common causes of chronic liver disease, causing 1.2 million deaths annually and rising to the eighth most common cause of death in the world⁴. In recent years, the prevalence of NAFLD has been increasing year by year in different regions of the world. According to a meta-analysis, the current global prevalence of NAFLD is an alarming 32.4%, which places a huge economic burden on society⁵. In many parts of the world, NAFLD has become a more common chronic liver disease, and it is closely associated with obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), dyslipidemia, and other patients⁶. For example, about 30.45% in South American states, Europe (23.71%), Korea (27.3%) and Japan (23–26%)^{7–9}. As a result, complications of NAFLD place a significant health, economic, and patient experience burden on patients, their families, and society¹⁰. Because of the increasing prevalence of NAFLD, the search for an effective treatment has become an urgent issue.

NAFLD is a multi-system disease related to genetic, environmental and metabolic stress, including simple fatty liver disease, which progresses to NASH and cirrhosis (LC)¹¹. The mechanism of NAFLD occurrence and development may be related to overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxygen species (OS). And it is also linked to DNA, lipid and protein oxidation and subsequent hepatocyte death¹². Lipid metabolism disorder is also closely related to NAFLD, so Serum total cholesterol (TC), Triglyceride (TG), Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C) and High-density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C) in NAFLD patients show abnormalities¹³. In addition, Aspartate Transaminase (AST) and serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) are good indicators for evaluating NAFLD patients¹⁴. However, NAFLD is the most prevalent liver disease worldwide and there is no approved pharmacotherapy. At present, pioglitazone and vitamin E are now recommended as effective drug therapy for NAFLD patients confirmed by biopsy¹⁵. Meanwhile diets high in caloric, high fat, and fructose-rich foods, along with a sedentary lifestyle, and obesity are significant risk factors implicated in the development and progression of NAFLD¹⁶. Therefore, making lifestyle changes may be a good choice. Exercise is a good way. It is an important factor affecting metabolism control by increasing physical activity of NAFLD patients. In some cross-sectional studies, it was found that NAFLD patients had low levels of physical activity^{17,18}. Therefore, exercise intervention to improve the activity level of NAFLD patients is very important to cultivate a healthy lifestyle. The effect of exercise on NAFLD has been confirmed in previous studies^{19,20}. Moderate intensity exercise of any degree is associated with a reduced risk of NAFLD and remission of NAFLD. The frequency of exercise plays a decisive role in reducing the incidence of NAFLD by 16% and improving the remission rate of NAFLD by 40%. Higher baseline exercise levels and increased weekly exercise over time were also associated with a reduced risk of NAFLD events and NAFLD resolution²¹. Exercise may be to reduce excessive ROS and OS production in NAFLD by regulating several mechanisms of action of exercise on NAFLD antioxidant enzymes and anti-inflammatory mediators. In addition, exercise on NAFLD patients is reflected in the effective improvement of TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, AST and ALT levels²². This is very beneficial for the NAFLD patients.

Although previous systematic studies included different exercise interventions and indirect comparisons, it was not possible to determine the best exercise model^{23,24}. Therefore, the effect of different exercise methods on NAFLD can be well determined through systematic review. In this study, we reviewed several randomized clinical trials (RCTs), discussed the efficacy of different exercise methods in NAFLD, and analyzed its characteristics using mesh meta-analysis. It aims to provide scientific and comprehensive reference for the formulation of exercise prescription for the treatment of NAFLD.

Methods

Network meta-analysis is performed according to the preferred reporting items in the System Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) guide²⁵. (PROSPERO: CRD42023457428).

Literature search strategy

The literature search was performed for the related research studies, mainly from the following databases: PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, EBSCO, CNKI. The search keywords we used were (“Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease” OR “NAFLD” OR “non-alcoholic steatohepatitis” OR “NASH”) AND (“Randomized controlled trial” OR “Random” OR “RCT”) AND (“Exercise” OR “Training” OR “Aerobic training” OR “Aerobic exercise” OR “Resistance training” OR “Resistance exercise” OR “high-intensity interval training” OR “high-intensity interval exercise” OR “HIIT”). The meta-analysis is limited to January 2023, and the included study only includes randomized controlled trials.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included according to the following criteria: (1) RCTs with exercises as the intervention treating patients with NAFLD; (2) Subjects were diagnosed as NAFLD through pathological or imaging examination; (3) There was no significant difference in the basic indicators of patients included before intervention; (4) Results indicators included triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein (HDL-C), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and Aspartate aminotransferase (AST); (5) The data before and after intervention were obtained. Studies were excluded based on the following criteria: (1) Unable to obtain exact data; (2) The detection indicators did not meet the inclusion criteria; (3) Studies such as animal experiments, abstracts, case reports, reviews, systematic reviews, and repeated publications; (4) The mode, duration, and period of the movement are unclear.

Data extraction

The two authors independently screened abstracts and full-text articles from these selected works, extracted and cross-checked the data. In case of disagreement, we consult a third party for mediation and reach consensus. In the literature screening process, the first thing is to read the title and abstract, and then the full text to determine the excluded literature. The following data were extracted from the selected works: research title; author name; publication time; sample size; interventions; type, intensity, frequency and duration of exercise; relevant patient outcomes (TC/TG/LDL-C/HDL-C/AST/ALT); and risks of literature bias.

Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was evaluated. Then two authors assessed the quality of the included studies. Any disagreement was discussed with a third reviewer. The two authors/It is important to use the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Interventions to assess the quality of studies. It includes the evaluation of randomization methods, concealment of distribution, blindness of patients and physicians, outcome evaluation, data integrity, selective reporting, and other biased sources²⁶.

Statistical analysis

STATA (Version 17.0) command 'mvmeta' was used to perform a multivariate network meta-analysis within a frequentist framework. The therapeutic effect of each study on NAFLD was calculated by standard mean deviation (SMD) and standard deviation (SD). For studies that provide only median and quartile ranges, we derive SMD and SD to overcome the heterogeneity between research interventions and results^{27,28}. The I^2 statistics are used to measure heterogeneity, which is considered high heterogeneity when it exceeds 50%. Consistency means that the treatment effect estimated by direct comparison is consistent with that estimated by indirect comparison. Statistical indicators include TG, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, AST and ALT. Subsequently, interventions were ranked using the surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA). SUCRA is considered to be a more accurate estimate of the cumulative ranking probability. Simultaneously, SUCRA reported the overall probability based on the ranking of all interventions, that is, a given intervention is one of the best treatments^{29,30}. When calculating the SUCRA impact of each exercise on NAFLD indicators, it is necessary to combine the corresponding indicators and observe the impact of exercise on the paired indicators.

Result

Literature selection

A total of 1753 studies were initially identified. After reviewing the title, summary and full text, 43 studies met the inclusion criteria. Among them, there are 21 Chinese studies^{31–51} and 22 English studies^{52–73} in this review (Fig. 1).

Characteristics of the included studies

The characteristics of these included studies are presented in Table 1. A total of 2070 NAFLD patients were included in 42 studies, including 876 in the control group and 1194 in the intervention group. The exercise cycle varies from 8 to 24 weeks, and exercise modulations include aerobic exercise, resistance exercise, HIIT, aerobic exercise + resistance exercise. There is aerobic training (AT) (n = 779), resistance training (RT) (n = 159), high-intensity interval training (HIIT) (n = 160), aerobic training + resistance training (AT + RT) (n = 96) (Table 1).

Result of assessment

According to the Cochrane Intervention System Evaluation Manual, the quality of the study was assessed by the quality assessment method of the RCT. The Cochrane Bias Risk Assessment Chart shows the risks of different biases in 43 studies. In the included studies, the selection of new concepts to check whether blinding performance bias and outcome evaluation detection bias showed the highest risk. In addition, other biases such as attribution bias, reporting bias and random sequence generation show low risk (Fig. 2).

Network meta-analysis

This study includes various types of exercise therapy, HIIT, RT, AT, and AT + RT. The effects of four different exercises on TC, TG, AST, ALT, HDL-C and LDL-C in NAFLD patients were analyzed. Figure 3 shows the network meta-analysis of the effects of different exercise interventions on efficacy. The size of the node is related to the number of participants in the exercise interventions, and the thickness of the lines between different nodes is related to the number of studies compared. Compared with the control group, AT ($p < 0.01$), RT, HIIT, AT + RT and other exercise modes can reduce the TC level of NAFLD patients. Similarly, for TG, AT ($p < 0.01$), HIIT ($p < 0.05$) and AT + RT ($p < 0.01$) show significant differences. Different exercise modalities can reduce HDL-C




Figure 1. Study flow diagram.

and ALT levels in NAFLD patients, and AT ($p < 0.01$) shows a significant decrease. The high expression of LDL-C in vivo brings bad benefits, which can be effectively reduced by different exercise modalities. On the contrary, RT (0.16 (−0.30, 0.62)) did not show a good reduction effect. For AST, RT (0.26 (−2.62, 3.14)) and AT (0.09 (−2.75, 2.93)) have no effect on AST reduction. About ALT, a significant improvement effect is brought by AT ($p < 0.01$). However, AT + RT does not appear to offer any significant improvement benefits (Table 2). Not only the global inconsistency, but also the node-splitting method is used to continue the local inconsistency inspection. Then, the node splitting method confirmed the difference in the influence of different motion modes on NAFLD patients. It was found that the improvement effect of AT VS RT ($p < 0.05$) on NAFLD was significantly different between TC, TG and LDL-C (Table 3). Subsequently, we will calculate the effect of different exercise modes on NAFLD patients through SUCRA.

The effect of different exercise modalities

TC and TG

In the network analysis, SUCRA is considered to be a more accurate estimate of the cumulative ranking probability. It can use different ranking methods, maximum or minimum, according to the different benefits of sports. Among the effects of different exercise modes on TC in NAFLD patients, AT + RT (SUCRA = 71.7) has the best effect, HIIT (SUCRA = 69.0), RT (SUCRA = 67.4), AT (SUCRA = 39.0). For TG, RT + AT (SUCRA = 96.8) still provides the best intervention benefits. HIIT (SUCRA = 69.1), AT (SUCRA = 57.5), RT (SUCRA = 12.9) (Fig. 4). Subsequently, after fitting the effects of different exercise modes on TC and TG, it was found that AT + RT had the best overall effect on TC and TG reduction in NAFLD patients, followed by HIIT (Fig. 5a). HIIT also takes great improvement.

ALT and AST

ALT and AST are two important indicators of liver function. By calculating the effect of different exercise modalities on ALT, AT (SUCRA = 83.9) had the best effect on ALT in NAFLD patients. HIIT (SUCRA = 74.3), RT (SUCRA = 37.3), RT + AT (SUCRA = 30). For AST, RT (SUCRA = 81.7), RT + AT (SUCRA = 55.6), AT (SUCRA = 54.2), HIIT (SUCRA = 36.6) (Fig. 4). Among them, AT has the best effect on reducing ALT and AST in patients with NAFLD (Fig. 5b).

LDL-C and HDL-C

LDL-C is a kind of bad cholesterol, which is usually too high in patients with NAFLD. By calculating the effect of different exercise modalities on LDL-C, RT + AT (SUCRA = 86.1) had the best effect on LDL-C in NAFLD patients. HIIT (SUCRA = 84.2), AT (SUCRA = 54.5), RT (SUCRA = 6.4). For HDL-C, AT (SUCRA = 72.3) is the best exercise modality in NAFLD patients, subsequently, RT + AT (SUCRA = 67.5), RT (SUCRA = 60.4), HIIT

No.	Author	Sample size		Treatment		Interventiontime (weeks)	Outcome indicator	Other outcome
		T	C	T	C			
1	Hallsworth et al. ⁶⁴	11	8	RT	None	8	1, 5, 6	None
2	de Piano ⁶⁶	AT:15 RT:15	14	AT AT + RT	None	48	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
3	Sullivan ⁵³	12	6	AT	None	16	1, 2, 5	None
4	Pugh et al. ⁵⁶	13	7	AT	None	16	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Decreased liver fat content
5	O Al-Jiffri ⁷¹	50	50	AT	None	12	4, 5	None
6	Jakovljevic ⁶¹	9	8	RT	None	8	1, 5, 6	None
7	Hallsworth ⁶³	12	11	HIIT	None	12	1, 4, 5, 6	None
8	Shamsoddini ⁵⁵	AT:10 RT:10	10	AT RT	None	8	4, 5	None
9	Keating ⁵⁹	36	12	AT	None	8	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
10	Croci ⁶⁸	10	6	AT	None	24	2, 3, 6	None
11	de Lira ⁶⁷	HIIT:26 AT:25	33	HIIT AT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
12	Oh et al. ⁵⁷	AT:33 RT:19		AT RT		12	4, 5, 6	None
13	Winn et al. ⁸⁸	AT:5 HIIT:5	5	AT HIIT	None	4	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
14	Keating et al. ⁶⁰	7	5	HIIT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
15	Stine et al. ⁸⁹	18	10	AT	None	20	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
16	Charatcharoenwitthaya et al. ⁶⁹	AT:18 RT:17		AT RT		12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
17	Whyte et al. ⁵²	HIIT:16 AT:15	16	HIIT AT	None	8	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	None
18	Abdelbasset et al. ⁷³	15	12	AT	None	16	2	None
19	Moradi ⁵⁸	12	11	RT	None	12	4, 5	Structural changes in the liver
20	Ghamarchehreh ⁶⁵	AT:10 RT:10	8	AT RT	None	8	1, 2, 3, 6	None
21	Banitalebi et al. ⁷⁰	AT:17 AT + RT:17	18	AT AT + RT	None	10	4, 5	Fatty liver index decreased
22	Abdelbasset et al. ⁷²	16	16	HIIT	None	8	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	None
23	Peng ⁴²	27	27	AT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Altered fatty deposits in the liver
23	Liu ⁴⁵	48	44	HIIT	None	12	1, 2, 3	None
25	Luo ⁴⁴	30	30	HIIT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	None
26	Zuo ³¹	12	12	AT	None	24	1, 2, 3, 6	None
27	Liu ⁴⁶	30	30	AT	None	16	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	None
28	Fu ⁴⁸	AT:37 RT:37	36	AT RT	None	16	1, 2, 3, 6	None
29	Yang ³⁷	AT:34 RT:34	35	AT RT	None	20	1, 2, 3, 5, 6	None
30	Zhao ³²	17	14	AT	None	35	1, 3, 6	
31	Yang ³⁶	48	48	AT	None	24	1, 2, 3, 6	None
32	Yao ³⁵	15	15	AT	None	24	1, 2, 4, 5, 6	None
33	Xu ³⁸	42	29	AT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 6	None
34	Guo ⁴⁷	18	15	AT	None	24	1, 5, 6	None
35	Chen ⁵⁰	36	51	AT	None	52	1, 6	None
36	Mao ⁴³	30	30	AT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 6	None
37	Fan ⁴⁹	11	10	AT	None	16	2, 3, 5, 6	None
38	Zhang ³⁴	60	60	AT	None	16	1, 2, 3, 6	None
39	Wu ³⁹	15	15	AT	None	16	2, 3, 5, 6	None
40	Wu ⁴⁰	13	13	AT	None	16	2, 3, 6	None
41	Tan ⁴¹	18	19	AT	None	24	1, 2, 3, 6	None
42	Zhang ³³	14	13	AT	None	24	1, 2, 3, 6	None
43	Li ying ⁵¹	64	64	AT + RT	None	12	1, 2, 3, 6	None

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the included studies. 1 TC 2 HDL-C 3 LDL-C 4 AST 5 ALT 6 TG. C Control group; T Training group; AT Aerobic training; RT Resistance training; HIIT: High-intensity-interval-training; AT + RT Aerobic training + Resistance training.




Figure 3. Effects of different exercise modes on lipids, liver enzyme networking, and plasma cholesterol in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. (a) ALT (b) AST (c) HDL-C (d) LCL-C (e) TC (f) TG.

and controlling cardiovascular risk factors⁸⁷. One study found that the cure rate of AT + RT combined training for NAFLD patients was higher than that of AT alone after 60 patients received AT alone or AT + RT combined training for one year⁶⁶. Regarding the exercise cycle, the research intervention duration we included is generally 12 weeks. Perhaps the effects of exercise need to be achieved over a long period of time. Combined training (AT + RT) was more effective than AT alone in promoting high amplitude changes in fat mass (kg), lean mass (kg, %), homeostasis model assessment-Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), LDL-C, adiponectin, adiponectin/leptin ratio, and mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH)⁶⁶. Most patients with NAFLD are middle-aged or older, and attention should be paid to the patient's physical condition when choosing exercise methods. Therefore, finding more scientific training methods is of great significance for the rehabilitation of NAFLD patients.

Although this NMA has many benefits, it must admit some limitations: (1) There is a large difference in the number of exercise modes included in each study; (2) Only the choice of motion mode is considered, and the

Non-conformance inspection			
(vs Control)	n	95%CI	p
TC			
AT	25	-0.69 (-1.11, -0.26)	0.001*
RT	5	-0.41 (-1.45, 0.63)	0.438
HIIT	7	-0.61 (-1.86, 0.64)	0.339
AT + RT	2	-1.16 (-2.86, 0.533)	0.179
TG			
AT	28	-0.58 (-0.91, -0.25)	0.001*
RT	7	-0.23 (-1.08, 0.61)	0.59
HIIT	6	-1.10 (-2.16, -0.37)	0.043*
AT + RT	2	-1.98 (-3.37, -0.59)	0.005*
HDL-C			
AT	25	0.61 (0.09, 1.12)	0.022*
RT	5	0.48 (-0.73, 1.69)	0.434
HIIT	6	0.61 (-0.87, 2.01)	0.419
AT + RT	2	1.22 (-0.81, 3.26)	0.237
LDL-C			
AT	23	-0.56 (-0.77, -0.34)	0.00*
RT	5	0.16 (-0.30, 0.62)	0.497
HIIT	6	-0.99 (-1.58, -0.40)	0.001*
AT + RT	2	-1.01 (-1.69, -0.35)	0.003*
AST			
AT	11	-0.66 (-1.83, 0.50)	0.264
RT	4	0.26 (-2.62, 3.14)	0.860
HIIT	5	-0.40 (-3.20, 2.39)	0.777
AT + RT	2	0.09 (-2.75, 2.93)	0.950
ALT			
AT	19	-0.85 (-1.26, -0.43)	0.00*
RT	6	-0.14 (-1.13, 0.84)	0.773
HIIT	7	-0.73 (-1.70, 0.24)	0.140
AT + RT	2	0.56 (-1.37, 1.49)	0.938

Table 2. Global inconsistency detection. *AT* aerobic training; *RT* resistance training; *HIIT* high intensity interval training; *AT + RT* aerobic training + resistance training; * $p < 0.05$.

choice of motion content, period and frequency is ignored; (3) The article only includes Chinese and English, and there are high-quality RCTs in other languages that are not included; (4) Only assessed changes in blood biomarkers, and lacked more intuitive indicators associated with NAFLD, including steatosis inside the liver, biopsy, ultrasound or elastography tests.

Conclusion

Through network meta-analysis, we found that for patients with NAFLD, *AT + RT* modalities is the best way to improve TC, TG and LDL-C. Next, *AT* is the best mode to improve ALT and HDL-C. *RT* is best mode to improve AST. For the overall effect, *AT + RT* has the best effect on improving TC, TG, LDL-C and HDL-C in NAFLD patients. *AT* has the best effect on improving AST and ALT in patients with NAFLD. Therefore, NAFLD patients are recommended to participate in *AT* OR *AT + RT* modes improving NAFLD. In addition, we also added the effect of exercise on LDL-C and HDL-C, which are important for improving NAFLD patients. Therefore, our results show that *AT + RT* at least three times a week, 50 min each time, for 10 weeks, has a better effect on liver improvement in NAFLD patients.

Group	Direct	Indirect	p
TC			
AT VS RT	-1.03	1.42	0.002*
AT VS HIIT	-0.17	0.67	0.721
AT VS AT + RT	-0.32	0.96	0.772
TG			
AT VS RT	0.23	1.45	0.048*
AT VS HIIT	-0.17	-0.18	0.996
AT VS AT + RT	-0.44	-1.45	0.336
HDL-C			
AT VS RT	-0.10	-0.08	0.986
AT VS HIIT	0.26	-0.77	0.207
AT VS AT + RT	-0.58	0.66	0.363
LDL-C			
AT VS RT	-0.26	1.36	0.000*
AT VS HIIT	-0.91	-0.40	0.510
AT VS AT + RT	-0.36	-0.58	0.450
AST			
AT VS RT	-0.82	0.19	0.485
AT VS HIIT	0.31	0.27	0.975
AT VS AT + RT	-0.60	0.37	0.583
HIIT VS AT + RT	-0.22	-0.53	0.868
ALT			
AT VS RT	-0.78	0.57	0.083
AT VS HIIT	0.07	0.09	0.971
AT VS AT + RT	-1.01	0.50	0.226
HIIT VS AT + RT	0.12	-0.64	0.569

Table 3. Node-splitting inconsistency detection. *AT* aerobic training; *RT* resistance training; *HIIT* high intensity interval training; *AT + RT* aerobic training + resistance training; * $p < 0.05$.




Figure 4. Ranking chart of different outcome indicators for each intervention.




Figure 5. Efficacy of different exercise modalities. (a) ALT and AST (b) TG and TC (c) HDL-C and LDL-C.

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Received: 1 September 2023; Accepted: 5 January 2024

Published online: 14 March 2024

References

- Ludwig, J., Viggiano, T. R., McGill, D. B. & Oh, B. J. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **55**(7), 434–438 (1980).
- European Association for the Study of the Liver, European Association for the Study of O: EASL–EASD–EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetologia* **2016**, 59(6):1121–1140.
- Powell, E. E., Wong, V. W. & Rinella, M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet* **397**(10290), 2212–2224 (2021).
- DALYs, G. B. D. *et al.* Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *Lancet* **386**(10009), 2145–2191 (2015).
- Riazi, K. *et al.* The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD worldwide: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **7**(9), 851–861 (2022).
- Browning, J. D. *et al.* Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in an urban population in the United States: Impact of ethnicity. *Hepatology* **40**(6), 1387–1395 (2004).
- Younossi, Z. M. *et al.* Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease—meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* **64**(1), 73–84 (2016).
- Jeong, E. H. *et al.* Regional prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Seoul and Gyeonggi-do, Korea. *Clin. Mol. Hepatol.* **19**(3), 266–272 (2013).
- Hamaguchi, M. *et al.* The metabolic syndrome as a predictor of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **143**(10), 722–728 (2005).
- Younossi, Z. M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A global public health perspective. *J. Hepatol.* **70**(3), 531–544 (2019).
- Rezende, R. E. *et al.* Randomized clinical trial: benefits of aerobic physical activity for 24 weeks in postmenopausal women with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Menopause* **23**(8), 876–883 (2016).
- Farzanegi, P., Dana, A., Ebrahimipour, Z., Asadi, M. & Azarbayjani, M. A. Mechanisms of beneficial effects of exercise training on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): Roles of oxidative stress and inflammation. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* **19**(7), 994–1003 (2019).
- Deprince, A., Haas, J. T. & Staels, B. Dysregulated lipid metabolism links NAFLD to cardiovascular disease. *Mol. Metab.* **42**, 101092 (2020).

14. Amernia, B., Moosavy, S. H., Banookh, F. & Zoghi, G. FIB-4, APRI, and AST/ALT ratio compared to FibroScan for the assessment of hepatic fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Bandar Abbas, Iran. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **21**(1), 453 (2021).
15. Sumida, Y. & Yoneda, M. Current and future pharmacological therapies for NAFLD/NASH. *J. Gastroenterol.* **53**(3), 362–376 (2018).
16. Nseir, W., Hellou, E. & Assy, N. Role of diet and lifestyle changes in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **20**(28), 9338–9344 (2014).
17. George, A. S. *et al.* Independent effects of physical activity in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* **50**(1), 68–76 (2009).
18. Zelber-Sagi, S. *et al.* Role of leisure-time physical activity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A population-based study. *Hepatology* **48**(6), 1791–1798 (2008).
19. Ghaffari, M., Sadeghiyan, S., Faramarzi, M., Moghaddam, M. & Baghurst, T. The effect of aerobic exercise on metabolic parameters of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* **63**(1), 178–187 (2023).
20. Keating, S. E., Hackett, D. A., George, J. & Johnson, N. A. Exercise and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hepatol.* **57**(1), 157–166 (2012).
21. Sung, K. C. *et al.* Effect of exercise on the development of new fatty liver and the resolution of existing fatty liver. *J. Hepatol.* **65**(4), 791–797 (2016).
22. Xiong, Y., Peng, Q., Cao, C., Xu, Z. & Zhang, B. Effect of different exercise methods on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **18**(6), 3242 (2021).
23. Wang, S. T. *et al.* Physical activity intervention for non-diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **20**(1), 1–12 (2020).
24. Liu, Y., Zou, J., Dan, L., Zhang, R. & Feng, Q. The efficacy of Qigong exercises for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* **99**(44), e22753 (2020).
25. Hutton, B. *et al.* The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: Checklist and explanations. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **162**(11), 777–784 (2015).
26. Cumpston M, Li TJ, Page MJ, Chandler J, Welch VA, Higgins JPT, Thomas J: Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2019(10): ED000142.
27. Bennett, M. M., Crowe, B. J., Price, K. L., Stamey, J. D. & Seaman, J. W. Jr. Comparison of Bayesian and frequentist meta-analytical approaches for analyzing time to event data. *J. Biopharm. Stat.* **23**(1), 129–145 (2013).
28. Wan, X., Wang, W., Liu, J. & Tong, T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **14**, 135 (2014).
29. Mbuagbaw, L. *et al.* Approaches to interpreting and choosing the best treatments in network meta-analyses. *Syst. Rev.* **6**(1), 79 (2017).
30. Shim, S., Yoon, B. H., Shin, I. S. & Bae, J. M. Network meta-analysis: application and practice using Stata. *Epidemiol. Health* **39**, e2017047 (2017).
31. Zuo, J. *et al.* Effects of swimming and diet control on levels of SREBP-1c, RBP4 and FS in serum of female patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hebei North Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed.* **35**(4), 22–25 (2019).
32. Zhao, C. *Effects of Nordic Walking Intervention on Abdominal Adiposity, Hepatic Fat Content and Serum Lipid of Postmenopausal Women with Pre-Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease* (Shanghai University of Sport, 2016).
33. Zhang, Y. *Effects of Nordic Walking on Body Composition and Muscle Strength in Prediabetic Postmenopausal Women with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease* (Shanghai University of Sport, 2015).
34. Zhang, D., Wang, S. & Wang, S. Application of aerobic exercise training to patients with NAFLD. *J. Qilu Nurs.* **19**(03), 25–26 (2013).
35. Yao, Z. *The Influence of Xuezhikang Associated Aerobic Movement in the Serum of TN- α and TGF- β of the Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Patients* (Qingdao University, 2006).
36. Yang, Y., Wang, F., Mao, J., Wang, F. L. & Mao, J. J. A comprehensive investigation of the effectiveness of aerobic exercise in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Modern Pract. Med.* **27**(08), 1055–1057 (2015).
37. Yang, S. *Effect of Different Modalities of Exercise on Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver* (Nanjing University Of Chinese Medicine, 2016).
38. Xu, S. Influences of walking on some blood biochemical index of NAFLD patients. *J. Xi'an Phys. Educ. Univ.* **05**, 79–81 (2006).
39. Wu, S., Gao, L. & Gao, L. P. Effect of diet control combined with aerobic exercise on TNF- α , SREB SP-1c levels in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver. *China Modern Doc.* **52**(33), 4–8 (2014).
40. Wu, M., Lu, A. & Lu, A. M. Effects of aerobic exercise combined with controlled diet on the serum level of SREBP-1c and RBP4 in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Chin. J. Rehabil. Med.* **30**(02), 132–137 (2015).
41. Tan, S. *et al.* Treatment of maximal fat oxidation intensity through exercise training on non-alcohol fatty liver disease in middle-aged women. *J. Tianjin Univ. Sport* **30**(03), 185–189 (2015).
42. Peng, Y. *et al.* The effects of 12-week FATmax intensity exercise on blood glucose, blood lipids and liver function in obese non-alcoholic fatty liver patients. *Genomics Appl. Biol.* **41**(03), 648–658 (2022).
43. Mao, Z. Effect of *Oenothera erythrosepala* Borb with aerobic exercise on serum lipid metabolism and liver histomorph of non-alcoholic fatty liver patients. *J. Beijing Sport Univ.* **08**, 1087–1089 (2008).
44. Luo, C. *et al.* High intensity interval exercise on NAFLD under exercise and medical integration: exercise method and evaluation. *J. Beijing Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.)* **56**(01), 132–140 (2020).
45. Liu, J., Chang, Y. N., Cao, S., Chang, Y. N. & Cao, S. Y. Effects of HIIT on visceral lipids, insulin resistance and health-related quality of life in obese patients with NAFLD and diabetes. *Chin. Hepatol.* **25**(04), 426–428 (2020).
46. Liu, F. Effect of aerobic exercise on liver function and blood lipid in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Chin. Foreign Med. Res.* **17**(10), 147–148 (2019).
47. Guo, G. *et al.* Effect of antisecosis and exercise therapy on non-alcoholic fatty liver. *Inner Mongolia Med. J.* **01**, 46–48 (2007).
48. Fu, Y. *et al.* Effect of aerobic exercise and resistance exercise on patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Nanjing Med. Univ. (Nat. Sci.)* **38**(04), 528–531 (2018).
49. Fan, T. *Effert of Aerobic Exercise and Diet Control on the Serum TNF- α , SREBP-1c of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Patients* (Soochow University, 2009).
50. Chen, J. *et al.* Application of behavior interfering in the patients with non-alcohol fatty liver. *J. Nurs. Sci.* **13**, 32–34 (2007).
51. Hm, L. Effects of exercise prescription combined with dietary intervention on body shape and blood biochemical indicators in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Chin. J. Prev. Control Chronic Dis.* **29**(2), 115–118 (2021).
52. Whyte, M. B. *et al.* HDL-*apoA-I* kinetics in response to 16 wk of exercise training in men with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **318**(6), E839–E847 (2020).
53. Sullivan, S., Kirk, E. P., Mittendorfer, B., Patterson, B. W. & Klein, S. Randomized trial of exercise effect on intrahepatic triglyceride content and lipid kinetics in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* **55**(6), 1738–1745 (2012).
54. Stine, J. G. *et al.* NASHFit: A randomized controlled trial of an exercise training program to reduce clotting risk in patients with NASH. *Hepatology* **76**(1), 172–185 (2022).
55. Shamsoddini, A., Sobhani, V., Ghamar, C. M., Alavian, S. M. & Zaree, A. Effect of aerobic and resistance exercise training on liver enzymes and hepatic fat in Iranian men with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepat. Mon.* **15**(10), e31434 (2015).

56. Pugh, C. J. *et al.* Exercise training improves cutaneous microvascular function in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **305**(1), E50–58 (2013).
57. Oh, S. *et al.* High-intensity aerobic exercise improves both hepatic fat content and stiffness in sedentary obese men with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 43029 (2017).
58. Moradi, K. B., Rahmati-Ahmadabad, S., Farzanegi, P., Helalizadeh, M. & Azarbayjani, M. A. Effects of non-linear resistance training and curcumin supplementation on the liver biochemical markers levels and structure in older women with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* **24**(3), 154–160 (2020).
59. Keating, S. E. *et al.* Effect of aerobic exercise training dose on liver fat and visceral adiposity. *J. Hepatol.* **63**(1), 174–182 (2015).
60. Keating, S. E. *et al.* High-intensity interval training is safe, feasible and efficacious in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: A randomized controlled trial. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **68**(5), 2123–2139 (2023).
61. Jakovljevic, D. G. *et al.* Resistance exercise improves autonomic regulation at rest and haemodynamic response to exercise in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin. Sci.* **125**(3), 143–149 (2013).
62. Houghton, D. *et al.* Exercise reduces liver lipids and visceral adiposity in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in a randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **15**(1), 96–102.e103 (2017).
63. Hallsworth, K. *et al.* Modified high-intensity interval training reduces liver fat and improves cardiac function in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Sci.* **129**(12), 1097–1105 (2015).
64. Hallsworth, K. *et al.* Resistance exercise reduces liver fat and its mediators in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease independent of weight loss. *Gut* **60**(9), 1278–1283 (2011).
65. Ghamarchehreh, M. E., Shamsoddini, A. & Alavian, S. M. Investigating the impact of eight weeks of aerobic and resistance training on blood lipid profile in elderly with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized clinical trial. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Bed. Bench* **12**(3), 190–196 (2019).
66. de Piano, A. *et al.* Long-term effects of aerobic plus resistance training on the adipokines and neuropeptides in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease obese adolescents. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **24**(11), 1313–1324 (2012).
67. de Lira, C. T. *et al.* Aerobic training performed at ventilatory threshold improves liver enzymes and lipid profile related to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adolescents with obesity. *Nutr. Health* **23**(4), 281–288 (2017).
68. Croci, I. *et al.* Independent effects of diet and exercise training on fat oxidation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J. Hepatol.* **8**(27), 1137–1148 (2016).
69. Charatcharoenwitthaya, P. *et al.* Moderate-intensity aerobic vs resistance exercise and dietary modification in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized clinical trial. *Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol.* **12**(3), e00316 (2021).
70. Banitalebi, E., Faramarzi, M., Nasiri, S., Mardaniyan, M. & Rabiee, V. Effects of different exercise modalities on novel hepatic steatosis indices in overweight women with type 2 diabetes. *Clin. Mol. Hepatol.* **25**(3), 294–304 (2019).
71. Al-Jiffri, O. & Al-Sharif, F. M. Abd E-KS, Ashmawy EM: Weight reduction improves markers of hepatic function and insulin resistance in type-2 diabetic patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver. *Afr. Health Sci.* **13**(3), 667–672 (2013).
72. Abdelbasset, W. K., Tantawy, S. A., Kamel, D. M., Alqahtani, B. A. & Soliman, G. S. A randomized controlled trial on the effectiveness of 8-week high-intensity interval exercise on intrahepatic triglycerides, visceral lipids, and health-related quality of life in diabetic obese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Medicine* **98**(12), e14918 (2019).
73. Abdelbasset, W. K. *et al.* Effects of high-intensity interval and moderate-intensity continuous aerobic exercise on diabetic obese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A comparative randomized controlled trial. *Medicine* **99**(10), e19471 (2020).
74. Nam, H. *et al.* Effect of exercise-based interventions in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Dig. Liver Dis.* **55**, 1574–1575 (2023).
75. Orci, L. A. *et al.* Exercise-based interventions for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **14**(10), 1398–1411 (2016).
76. Zhou, B. J. *et al.* Intervention effects of four exercise modalities on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and Bayesian network meta-analysis. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol.* **25**(24), 7687–7697 (2021).
77. Zelber-Sagi, S., Godos, J. & Salomone, F. Lifestyle changes for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A review of observational studies and intervention trials. *Therap. Adv. Gastroenterol.* **9**(3), 392–407 (2016).
78. Golabi, P. *et al.* Effectiveness of exercise in hepatic fat mobilization in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Systematic review. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **22**(27), 6318–6327 (2016).
79. Johnson, N. A., Keating, S. E. & George, J. Exercise and the liver: Implications for therapy in fatty liver disorders. *Semin. Liver Dis.* **32**(1), 65–79 (2012).
80. Vos, M. B. *et al.* NASPGHAN Clinical Practice Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Children: Recommendations from the Expert Committee on NAFLD (ECON) and the North American Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (NASPGHAN). *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **64**(2), 319–334 (2017).
81. Hartz, J., Hegele, R. A. & Wilson, D. P. Low LDL cholesterol—Friend or foe?. *J. Clin. Lipidol.* **13**(3), 367–373 (2019).
82. Smart, N. A., King, N., McFarlane, J. R., Graham, P. L. & Dieberg, G. Effect of exercise training on liver function in adults who are overweight or exhibit fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **52**(13), 834–843 (2018).
83. Hossain, N. *et al.* Independent predictors of fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **7**(11), 1224–1229 (2009).
84. de Alwis, N. M. W. & Day, C. P. Genetics of alcoholic liver disease and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Semin. Liver Dis.* **27**(01), 44–54 (2007).
85. Hadizadeh, F., Faghihimani, E. & Adibi, P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Diagnostic biomarkers. *World J. Gastrointest. Pathophysiol.* **8**(2), 11–26 (2017).
86. Johnson, N. A. *et al.* Aerobic exercise training reduces hepatic and visceral lipids in obese individuals without weight loss. *Hepatology* **50**(4), 1105–1112 (2009).
87. de Mello, M. T. *et al.* Long-term effects of aerobic plus resistance training on the metabolic syndrome and adiponectinemia in obese adolescents. *J. Clin. Hypertens.* **13**(5), 343–350 (2011).
88. Winn, N. C. *et al.* Energy-matched moderate and high intensity exercise training improves nonalcoholic fatty liver disease risk independent of changes in body mass or abdominal adiposity - A randomized trial. *Metabolism* **78**, 128–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2017.08.012> (2018).
89. Stine, J. G. *et al.* Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Fitness Intervention in Thrombosis (NASHFit): Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial of a supervised aerobic exercise program to reduce elevated clotting risk in patients with NASH. *Contemp Clin Trials Commun.* **18**, 100560 (2020).

Acknowledgements

Thank each author for their hard work.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, L.G., G.J.; methodology, L.G., Y.X.; software, L.G., Y.P.; validation, L.Z., Y.P. and Y.X.; data curation, Y.P., L.Z. and Y.X.; writing—original draft preparation, L.G., Y.P. and Y.X.; writing—review and editing, L.G., G.J., Y.X. and Y.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2024