

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## IJC Heart & Vasculature



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/ijc-heart-and-vasculature

## Pathophysiological roles of the serum acylcarnitine level and acylcarnitine/ free carnitine ratio in patients with cardiovascular diseases



Takafumi Nakajima<sup>a</sup>, Taira Fukuda<sup>b,\*</sup>, Ikuko Shibasaki<sup>c</sup>, Syotaro Obi<sup>a</sup>, Masashi Sakuma<sup>a</sup>, Shichiro Abe<sup>a</sup>, Hirotsugu Fukuda<sup>c</sup>, Shigeru Toyoda<sup>a</sup>, Toshiaki Nakajima<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, School of Medicine, Dokkyo Medical University, Shimotsuga-gun, Tochigi, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Kanagawa University of Human Services, Yokosuka, Kanagawa, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, School of Medicine, Dokkyo Medical University, Shimotsuga-gun, Tochigi, Japan

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Carnitine Acylcarnitine Cardiovascular disease Chronic kidney disease Heart failure Muscle wasting	Introduction: L-carnitine exerts protective effects, such as maintaining mitochondrial functions and decreasing reactive oxygen species, while acylcarnitine (AC) is linked to the development of heart failure and atherosclerosis. <i>Hypothesis</i> : Serum carnitines play important pathophysiological roles in cardiovascular diseases. <i>Methods</i> : Pre-operative biochemical data were obtained from 117 patients (71 men, average age 69.9 years) who underwent surgery for cardiovascular diseases. Measurements included pre-operative biochemical data including estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), physical functions, skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis, anterior thigh muscle thickness (MTh) measured by ultrasound, and routine echocardiography. Carnitine components were measured with the enzyme cycling method. Muscle wasting was diagnosed based on the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia criteria. <i>Results</i> : Plasma brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) level was correlated with serum free carnitine (FC) and AC level, and the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio (AC/FC). AC/FC was elevated with stage of chronic kidney disease. In multivariate analysis, log (eGFR) and log (BNP) were extracted as independent factors to define log (serum AC) (eGFR: $\beta = 0.258$ , $p = 0.008$ ; BNP: $\beta = 0.273$ , $p = 0.011$ ), even if corrected for age, sex and body mass index. AC/FC was negatively correlated with hand-grip strength ( $r = -0.387$ , $p = 0.006$ ), SMI ( $r = -0.314$ , $p = 0.012$ ), and anterior thigh MTh ( $r = -0.340$ , $p = 0.014$ ) in men. <i>Conclusions</i> : A significant association between serum AC level and AC/FC, and chronic kidney disease and heart failure exists in patients with cardiovascular diseases who have undergone cardiovascular surgery. Skeletal muscle loss and muscle wasting are also linked to the elevation of serum AC level and AC/FC.

## 1. Introduction

L-carnitine, an essential compound, plays vital roles in the body, particularly in energy metabolism [1–3]. Carnitine accumulates in skeletal muscle [4], and its primary role is to transport fatty acids (FAs) to the mitochondria, thereby contributing to the skeletal muscle energy supply [5,6]. Also, in cardiac muscle, the primary energy source of the human heart is free FAs, which are broken down by  $\beta$ -oxidation and enter the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, where they ultimately convert into adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Although dysfunctional FA metabolism leads to excess production of free radicals and undesired apoptosis, L-carnitine treatment positively affects the pathological

course [7], and is used in patients with carnitine deficiency on hemodialysis (HD) [7,8]. L-carnitine also helps overcome FA oxidation defects associated with metabolic diseases [9] and improves energy metabolism linked to mitochondrial disorders in diseases such as heart failure (HF) [10]. Diagnostic methods for carnitine deficiency include the liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) detection and enzymatic cycling methods. While the LC-MS detection method is essential for the definitive diagnosis of congenital metabolic disorders [11], the enzyme cycling method is an alternative method for follow-up, and in most cases, the enzyme cycling method is considered a viable alternative for the diagnosis of carnitine deficiency due to other causes [12–14].

Carnitine exists as either non-esterified, termed free carnitine (FC),

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fukuda-h9w@kuhs.ac.jp (T. Fukuda).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2024.101386

Received 23 January 2024; Received in revised form 6 March 2024; Accepted 9 March 2024

2352-9067/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### Table 1

Patient Characteristics.

Number117eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²58.9 ± 26.2 26.2Male / Female71 (618NP, pg/mL881 ± 90, 46(39 %)(39.9 ±Greatinine, mg/dL6.1 ± 1.27Age, y69.9 ±Creatinine, mg/dL6.1 ± 0.8Atrial fibrillation36 (31 %)LDL cholesterol, mg/92 ± dLAtrial fibrillation36 (31 %)LDL cholesterol, mg/92 ± dLMYHA functional classification2.2 ± 1.0HDL cholesterol, mg/92 ± dLRisk factors		Total patient	s	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number	117	eGFR, mL/min/1.73	58.9 $\pm$
Male / Female         71 (61 %)/ 46         BNP, pg/mL         381 $\pm$ Age, y         69.9 $\pm$ Creatinine, mg/dL         1.6 $\pm$ Age, y         69.9 $\pm$ Creatinine, mg/dL         1.6 $\pm$ Atrial fibrillation         36 (31 %)         LDL cholesterol, mg/         92 $\pm$ Atrial fibrillation         36 (31 %)         LDL cholesterol, mg/         94 $\pm$ MYHA functional classification         2.2 $\pm$ 1.0         HDL cholesterol, mg/         54 $\pm$ Mypertension         83 (71 %)         TG, mg/dL         107 $\pm$ Diabetes         27 (23 %)         hsCRP, mg/L         0.8 $\pm$ Dyslipidemia         57 (49 %)         Carnitine and derivatives         32.6           Hemodialysis         9 (8 %)         FC, µmol/L         66.8 $\pm$ Gardiovascular surgery         AC, µmol/L         16.5           AVR or TAVI         28 (24 %)         Echocardiographic findings         10.7           MVR (MVP) with or without TVR (TAP)         25 (21 %)         LVDd, mm         44.0 $\pm$ OATE         10.5         39         39         39           Oncomined with valve (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases 			m <sup>2</sup>	26.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Male / Female	71 (61	BNP, pg/mL	$381~\pm$
Age, y(39 %) $69.9 \pm$ Creatinine, mg/dL1.6 ± $12.7$ 1.6 ± $2.3$ BMI, kg/m² $23.6 \pm 3.8$ HbA1c, % $6.1 \pm$ $0.8$ Atrial fibrillation $36 (31 %)$ LDL cholesterol, mg/ $92 \pm$ dLAtrial fibrillation $2.2 \pm 1.0$ HDL cholesterol, mg/ $54 \pm$ dLRisk factorsTotal cholesterol, mg/ $54 \pm$ dL $77 \pm 38$ Risk factorsTotal cholesterol, mg/ $168 \pm$ dL $38$ Hypertension $83 (71 %)$ TG, mg/dL $107 \pm$ 63Diabetes $27 (23 %)$ hsCRP, mg/L $0.8 \pm$ 1.7Dyslipidemia $57 (49 %)$ Carnitine and derivatives $32.6$ Hemodialysis $9 (8 %)$ FC, µmol/L $66.8 \pm$ 2.3.2Gardiovascular surgery $AC/FC$ $0.34 \pm$ 0.14AVR or TAVI $28 (24 %)$ Echocardiographic findingsMVR (MVP) with or without TVR replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP) $15 (13 \%)$ LVD, mmAVR combined with valve (TAP) $10 (9 \%)$ LVDd, mm $51.9 \pm$ $12.6$ Others $9 (8 \%)$ EF, % $57.4 \pm$ $93$ Concomitant medications $Fc'$ $39$ Concomitant medications $57 (49 \%)$ $39 (33 \%)$ ACE-Is/ARBs $66 (55 \%)$ $39 (33 \%)$ $1.57 (49 \%)$ Auti-diabetic drugs $57 (49 \%)$ $57 (49 \%)$		%)/ 46		582
Age, y $69.9 \pm$ Creatinine, mg/dL $1.6 \pm$ 12.7       2.3         BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> $23.6 \pm 3.8$ HbA1c, % $6.1 \pm$ Atrial fibrillation $36$ (31 %)       LDL cholesterol, mg/ $92 \pm$ NYHA functional classification $2.2 \pm 1.0$ HDL cholesterol, mg/ $54 \pm$ Risk factors       Total cholesterol, mg/ $168 \pm$ Hypertension $83$ (71 %)       TG, mg/dL $107 \pm$ Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L $0.8 \pm$ Diabetes       27 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives $23.6 \pm$ Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L $66.8 \pm$ Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L $50.3 \pm$ Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L $16.5 \pm$ $10.7$ CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC $0.34 \pm$ MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm $44.0 \pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, (MVP, TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm $51.9 \pm$ AVR combined with valve (MVP, TAP)       9 (8 %)       EF, % $57.7 \pm$ et al.)       Carbockers		(39 %)		
12.7       23.6 ± 3.8       HbA1c, %       6.1 ±         Atrial fibrillation       36 (31 %)       LDL cholesterol, mg/       92 ±         NYHA functional classification       2.2 ± 1.0       HDL cholesterol, mg/       64         NYHA functional classification       2.2 ± 1.0       HDL cholesterol, mg/       168 ±         dL       17       Total cholesterol, mg/       168 ±         dL       107 ±       63         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 ±         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 ±         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L       66.8 ±         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L       50.3 ±         Cardiovascular surgery       -       AC, µmol/L       10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ±         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic       10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       LVD, mm       51.9 ±       10.7         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 ±       10.4         CABG       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 ±       10.5         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       <	Age, y	69.9 ±	Creatinine, mg/dL	$1.6 \pm$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pres 1 / 2	12.7		2.3
Atrial fibrillation       36 (31 %)       LDL cholesterol, mg/ dL       92 ± dL       28         NYHA functional classification       2.2 ± 1.0       HDL cholesterol, mg/ dL       54 ± dL       17         Risk factors       Total cholesterol, mg/ dL       168 ± dL       38         Hypertension       83 (71 %)       TG, mg/dL       63 ± 1.7         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       66.8 ± 2.7         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L       66.8 ± 2.3         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L       50.3 ± 2.3         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L       16.5 ± 10.7       16.5 ± 10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ± 0.14       0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR (TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9         AVR combined with valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9         MVR (MVP) TAP) or aortic diseases       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9         AVR combined with other valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9         MVR, TAP) or aortic dise	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$23.6\pm3.8$	HbA1c, %	$6.1 \pm$
Artha infinitation3636376LDL cholesterol, ing/ dL $22 \pm$ allNYHA functional classification $2.2 \pm 1.0$ HDL cholesterol, mg/ dL $54 \pm$ dL17Risk factorsTotal cholesterol, mg/ dL $168 \pm$ dL38Hypertension $83$ (71 %)TG, mg/dL $107 \pm$ 63Diabetes $27$ (23 %)hsCRP, mg/L $0.8 \pm$ 1.7Dyslipidemia $57$ (49 %)Carnitine and derivatives $32.6$ Hemodialysis9 (8 %)FC, µmol/L $50.3 \pm$ 2.3.5Cardiovascular surgeryAC, µmol/L $16.5 \pm$ 10.7CABG21 (18 %)AC/FC $0.34 \pm$ 0.14AVR or TAVI28 (24 %)Echocardiographic findingsMVR (MVP) with or without TVR (TAP)25 (21 %)LAD, mm $44.0 \pm$ 10.4AVR combined with valve (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR)15 (13 %)LVDs, mm $36.0 \pm$ 9.8Avartic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR)9 (8 %)LVMI, g/m² $113 \pm$ 39Concomitant medications $57 (49 \%)$ LVMI, g/m² $113 \pm$ 39 $39 (33 \%)$ ACE-1s/ARBs $66 (56 \%)$ Diuretics $57 (49 \%)$ Auti-diabetic drugs25 (21 \%)LVMI, g/m 4utice $11.2$ 112.6	Atuial Chaillation	26 (21 0/)	IDI chalastanal ma (	0.8
NYHA functional classification         2.2 ± 1.0         HDL cholesterol, mg/ dL         54 ± dL           Risk factors         Total cholesterol, mg/ dL         168 ± dL           Hypertension         83 (71 %)         TG, mg/dL         107 ± 63           Diabetes         27 (23 %)         hsCRP, mg/L         0.8 ± 1.7           Dyslipidemia         57 (49 %)         Carnitine and derivatives         32.6           Femodialysis         9 (8 %)         FC, µmol/L         66.8 ± 23.5           Cardiovascular surgery         AC, µmol/L         16.5 ± 10.7           CABG         21 (18 %)         AC/FC         0.14           AVR or TAVI         28 (24 %)         Echocardiographic findings         10.4           MVR (MVP) with or without TVR (TAP)         25 (21 %)         LAD, mm         51.9 ± 10.5           AVR combined with other valve (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR)         15 (13 %)         LVDs, mm         36.0 ± 9.8           Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, 9 (8 %)         9 (8 %)         LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> 113 ± 39           Concomitant medications         E/e'         12.6           Others         9 (8 %)         LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> 113 ± 39           Ca-blockers         39 (33 %)         ACE/e <sup>1</sup> (49 %)         11.2	Atriai librillation	30 (31 %)	LDL Cholesterol, hig/	92 ±
$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{NTAV} \operatorname{Infructional Classification} & 2.2 \pm 1.0 & \operatorname{Infructional Classification} & 12.2 \pm 1.0 & \operatorname{Infructional Classification} & 17 \\ \operatorname{Risk factors} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	NVUA functional abassification	22 + 10	UDI abalastaral mg/	20
Risk factors       Total cholesterol, mg/       168 ±         Mypertension       83 (71 %)       TG, mg/dL       107 ±         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 ±         Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 ±         Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives       1.7         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L       66.8 ±       2.6         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L       50.3 ±       2.3.5         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L       16.5 ±       10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ±         Ottal Cholesterol, mg/L       10.5       10.7         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 ±         (TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       10.4         CABG combined with valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       36.0 ±         (MVR, (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ±         (TAP)       10.5       10.5       10.5       10.5         MVR, (MVP) or aortic diseases       10       9       8%)       LVDd, mm       12.6         Others       9 (8 %) <td>NTHA Iulicuollai classificatioli</td> <td><math>2.2 \pm 1.0</math></td> <td>AI</td> <td>54 ± 17</td>	NTHA Iulicuollai classificatioli	$2.2 \pm 1.0$	AI	54 ± 17
Abs factors       Joan Chroster Or, mg/L       103         dL       38         Hypertension       83 (71 %)       TG, mg/dL       107 $\pm$ Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 $\pm$ Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 $\pm$ Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives       22.6         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L       66.8 $\pm$ Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L       66.8 $\pm$ Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L       16.5 $\pm$ 10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 $\pm$ 0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4       10.4       10.4         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 $\pm$ 10.4       10.4       10.5         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LVDd, mm       44.0 $\pm$ 10.5         MVR, (MVP) or aortic diseases       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       36.0 $\pm$ (TAR)       12.6       11.2       9.8       12.6         Others       9 (8 %)       EF, %       57.7 $\pm$ 11.2	Pick factors		uL Total cholecterol mg/	17 168 ⊥
Hypertension       83 (71 %)       TG, mg/dL       107 $\pm$ Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 $\pm$ Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and       1.7         Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and       derivatives         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, $\mu$ mol/L       66.8 $\pm$ Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, $\mu$ mol/L       50.3 $\pm$ Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       50.3 $\pm$ 23.5         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       16.5 $\pm$ 10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 $\pm$ 0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 $\pm$ 0.14         AVR cor TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         CABG       21 (18 %)       AU, mm       51.9 $\pm$ no4         CABG combined with valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 $\pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       9 (8 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 $\pm$ (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases       12.6       11.2       9	NISK TACTOIS		di	100 ±
1) pertension       00 (1 1 %)       10, mg/ml       10, mg/ml         63       Diabetes       27 (23 %)       hsCRP, mg/L       0.8 $\pm$ 1.7       Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives       32.6         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L       66.8 $\pm$ 32.6         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L       50.3 $\pm$ 23.5         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L       16.5 $\pm$ 10.7         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 $\pm$ AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         CABG combined with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 $\pm$ (TAP)       10.4       CABG combined with valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 $\pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 $\pm$ 9.8       (TAR)         Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, 9 (8 %)       EF, %       57.7 $\pm$ 11.2       9 $\phi$ -blockers       9 (8 %)       LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> 113 $\pm$ 39         Concomitant medications       E/e'       20.3 $\pm$ 11.2 $\rho$ -blockers       39 (33 %)	Hypertension	83 (71 %)	TG mg/dL	107 +
Diabetes $27 (23 \%)$ hsCRP, mg/L $0.8 \pm$ Dyslipidemia $57 (49 \%)$ Carnitine and derivatives $1.7$ Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L $66.8 \pm$ $32.6$ Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, µmol/L $65.3 \pm$ $23.5$ Cardiovascular surgery       AC, µmol/L $16.5 \pm$ 10.7 $10.7$ CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC $0.34 \pm$ 0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm $44.0 \pm$ 10.4         CABG combined with valve replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm $51.9 \pm$ 9.8         AVR combined with other valve (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm $36.0 \pm$ 9.8         Avric diseases (TAR, TEVAR, et al.)       9 (8 %)       EF, % $57.7 \pm$ 12.6         Others       9 (8 %)       LVMI, g/m² $113 \pm$ 39         Concomitant medications       E/e' $20.3 \pm$ 11.2 $\beta$ -blockers       39 (33 %) $57 (49 \%)$ $57 (49 \%)$ Ca-blockers       39 (33 %) $57 (49 \%)$ $57 (49 \%)$	Hypertension	00 (/1 /0)	10, 116/ 01	63
1.7         Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, $\mu$ mol/L       66.8 ±         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, $\mu$ mol/L       50.3 ±         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       16.5 ±         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ±         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       0.4         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 ±         (TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 ±         replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ±         (MVP, TAP)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ±         (TAR)       9 (8 %)       EF, %       57.7 ±         et al.)       12.6       12.6       39         Others       9 (8 %)       LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> 113 ±         β-blockers       39 (33 %)       11.2       39         Canominant medications       E/e'       20.3 ±       11.2         β-blockers       39 (33 %)       11.2       39	Diabetes	27 (23 %)	hsCRP, mg/L	0.8 ±
Dyslipidemia       57 (49 %)       Carnitine and derivatives         Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, µmol/L $66.8 \pm$			, B	1.7
Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, $\mu$ mol/L       66.8 ±         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, $\mu$ mol/L       50.3 ±         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       16.5 ±         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ±         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ±       0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       10.4         CABG combined with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 ±         (TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 ±         replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ±         (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases       9       8 %)       EF, %       57.7 ±         et al.)       12.6       11.2       13 ±       39         Concomitant medications       E/e'       20.3 ±       11.2         β-blockers       39 (33 %)       11.2       11.2         β-blockers       35 (47 %)       55 (47 %)       55 (47 %)         Statins       57 (49 %) </td <td>Dyslipidemia</td> <td>57 (49 %)</td> <td>Carnitine and</td> <td></td>	Dyslipidemia	57 (49 %)	Carnitine and	
Smoking       19 (16 %)       TC, $\mu$ mol/L       66.8 ± 32.6         Hemodialysis       9 (8 %)       FC, $\mu$ mol/L       50.3 ± 23.5         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       16.5 ± 23.5         Cardiovascular surgery       AC, $\mu$ mol/L       16.5 ± 23.5         CABG       21 (18 %)       AC/FC       0.34 ± 0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings       0.14         AVR or TAVI       28 (22 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 ± 0.14         (TAP)       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 ± 0.5         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 ± 0.5         replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ± 0.5         (MVP, TAP)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 ± 0.5         (MVP, TAP)       9 (8 %)       EF, %       57.7 ± 0.2         et al.)       12.6       11.2       39         Concomitant medications       E/e'       20.3 ± 11.2         β-blockers       39 (33 %)       25 (21 %)       11.2         β-blockers       55 (47 %)       55 (47 %)       11.2         β-blockers       55 (47 %)       55 (21 %)       11.2   <			derivatives	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smoking	19 (16 %)	TC, μmol/L	66.8 $\pm$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				32.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hemodialysis	9 (8 %)	FC, µmol/L	50.3 $\pm$
$\begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Cardiovascular surgery} & AC, $\mu$mol/L & 16.5 $\pm$ 10.7$ \\ 10.7$ \\ \mbox{CABG} & 21 (18 \%) & AC/FC & 0.34 $\pm$ 0.14$ \\ \mbox{AVR or TAVI} & 28 (24 \%) & Echocardiographic findings \\ \mbox{findings} & 10.4$ \\ \mbox{CABG combined with or without TVR} & 25 (21 \%) & LAD, mm & 44.0 $\pm$ (TAP) & 10.4$ \\ \mbox{CABG combined with valve} & 10 (9 \%) & LVDd, mm & 51.9 $\pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, $$ 10.5$ \\ MVP, TAP) & 10.4$ \\ \mbox{AVR combined with other valve} & 15 (13 \%) & LVDs, mm & 36.0 $\pm$ (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR) & 15 (13 \%) & EF, \% & 57.7 $\pm$ et al.) & 12.6$ \\ \mbox{Others} & 9 (8 \%) & EF, \% & 57.7 $\pm$ et al.) & 12.6$ \\ \mbox{Others} & 9 (8 \%) & EVMI, $g/m^2$ & 113 $\pm$ 39$ \\ \mbox{Concomitant medications} & 57 (49 \%) & 11.2$ \\ \mbox{\beta-blockers} & 55 (47 \%) & 517 (49 \%) & 517 (49 \%) & 511 (49 \%) & 517 (49 \%) & 511 (49 \%) & 511 (49 \%) & 511 (49 \%) & 511 (41 \%) & 51 (49 \%) & 51 (41 \%) $				23.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cardiovascular surgery		AC, µmol/L	16.5 $\pm$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				10.7
$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	CABG	21 (18 %)	AC/FC	0.34 $\pm$
AVR or TAVI       28 (24 %)       Echocardiographic findings         MVR (MVP) with or without TVR       25 (21 %)       LAD, mm       44.0 $\pm$ (TAP)       10.4         CABG combined with valve       10 (9 %)       LVDd, mm       51.9 $\pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)       10.5       10.5       10.5         AVR combined with other valve       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 $\pm$ (MVP, TAP)       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 $\pm$ AVR combined with other valve       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm       36.0 $\pm$ (MVP, TAP)       12.6       9.8       11.2         Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, 9 (8 %)       EF, %       57.7 $\pm$ 12.6         Others       9 (8 %)       LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> 113 $\pm$ 39       200.03 $\pm$ 11.2       39         Concomitant medications       E/e'       20.3 $\pm$ 11.2 $\beta$ -blockers       39 (33 %)       11.2       11.2 $\beta$ -blockers       55 (47 %)       55 (47 %)       55 (47 %)         Statins       57 (49 %)       55 (21 %)       55 (21 %)				0.14
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	AVR or TAVI	28 (24 %)	Echocardiographic	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05 (01 0/)	findings	
$ \begin{array}{c} 10.4 \\ \text{CABG combined with valve} & 10 (9 \%) & \text{LVDd, mm} & 51.9 \pm \\ \text{replacement / repair (AVR, } & 10.5 \\ \text{MVP, TAP} & 10.5 \\ \text{MVP, TAP} & 15 (13 \%) & \text{LVDs, mm} & 36.0 \pm \\ (\text{MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases} & 9.8 \\ (\text{TAR}) & 15 (13 \%) & \text{EVDs, mm} & 36.0 \pm \\ \text{Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, } 9 (8 \%) & \text{EF, }\% & 57.7 \pm \\ \text{et al.} & 12.6 \\ \text{Others} & 9 (8 \%) & \text{LVMI, g/m}^2 & 113 \pm \\ 39 \\ \text{Concomitant medications} & \text{E/e'} & 20.3 \pm \\ 11.2 \\ \beta\text{-blockers} & 39 (33 \%) \\ \text{ACE-Is/ARBs} & 66 (56 \%) \\ \text{Diuretics} & 55 (47 \%) \\ \text{Statins} & 57 (49 \%) \\ \text{Anti-diabetic drugs} & 25 (21 \%) \end{array} $	(TAD)	25 (21 %)	LAD, mm	44.0 ±
CASE combined with valve10 (9 %)LVDd, hill $31.9 \pm$ replacement / repair (AVR, MVP, TAP)10.5AVR combined with other valve15 (13 %)LVDs, mm $36.0 \pm$ (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases (TAR)9 (8 %)EF, % $57.7 \pm$ Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, et al.)9 (8 %)EF, % $57.7 \pm$ Others9 (8 %)LVMI, g/m²113 ± $39$ 20113 ± $39$ Concomitant medicationsE/e² $20.3 \pm$ 11.2 $\beta$ -blockers57 (49 %)11.2Ca-blockers39 (33 %)ACE-Is/ARBs66 (56 %)Diurretics55 (47 %)Statins57 (49 %)Anti-diabetic drugs25 (21 %)	(IAP)	10 (0 %)	IUDd mm	10.4 E1.0
$\begin{array}{cccc} 10.3 \\ \text{MVP, TAP} \\ \text{AVR combined with other valve} \\ (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases \\ (TAR) \\ \text{Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, 9 (8 %) EF, % 57.7 \pm \\ et al.) \\ 0 thers \\ 9 (8 %) \\ \text{LVMI, g/m}^2 \\ 113 \pm \\ 39 \\ \text{Concomitant medications} \\ \text{E/e'} \\ 20.3 \pm \\ 11.2 \\ \beta\text{-blockers} \\ 39 (33 \%) \\ \text{ACE-Is/ARBs} \\ 66 (56 \%) \\ \text{Diuretics} \\ 55 (47 \%) \\ \text{Statins} \\ 57 (49 \%) \\ \text{Anti-diabetic drugs} \\ 25 (21 \%) \\ \end{array}$	cabe combined with valve	10 (9 %)	LVDa, mm	51.9 ±
AVR combined with other valve (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases       15 (13 %)       LVDs, mm $36.0 \pm$ (MVP, TAP) or aortic diseases       9.8 $9.8$ $9.8$ (TAR)       12.6       12.6         Others       9 (8 %)       LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup> $113 \pm$ $9.8$ 20.3 ±       11.2 $\rho$ -blockers       57 (49 %)       11.2 $\rho$ -blockers       55 (47 %)       55 (47 %)         Statins       57 (49 %)       Anti-diabetic drugs	MVD TAD			10.5
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (MVP, TAP) \mbox{ or a ortic diseases} & 9.8 & 9.8 & 9.8 & 9.8 & 9.8 & 9.8 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 12.6 & 0.1 & 13.4 & 39 & 0.1 $	AVB combined with other valve	15 (13 %)	LVDs mm	36.0.+
$\begin{array}{c} (TAR) \\ (TAR) \\ Artic diseases (TAR, TEVAR, 9 (8 %) EF, \% 57.7 \pm 12.6 \\ 0 thers 9 (8 %) LVMI, g/m^2 113 \pm 39 \\ concomitant medications E/e' 20.3 \pm 11.2 \\ \beta-blockers 57 (49 %) \\ Ca-blockers 39 (33 %) \\ ACE-1s/ARBs 66 (56 %) \\ Diuretics 55 (47 %) \\ Statins 57 (49 %) \\ Anti-diabetic drugs 25 (21 %) \end{array}$	(MVP_TAP) or aortic diseases	10 (10 /0)	LvD3, mm	9.8
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Actric diseases (TAR, TEVAR, & 9 (8 \%) & EF, \% & 57.7 \pm \\ \mbox{et al.}) & 12.6 \\ \mbox{Others} & 9 (8 \%) & LVMI, g/m^2 & 113 \pm \\ \mbox{39} \\ \mbox{Concomitant medications} & E/e^{3} & 20.3 \pm \\ \mbox{11.2} \\ \mbox{\beta-blockers} & 57 (49 \%) \\ \mbox{Ca-blockers} & 39 (33 \%) \\ \mbox{ACE-Is/ARBs} & 66 (56 \%) \\ \mbox{Diuretics} & 55 (47 \%) \\ \mbox{Statins} & 57 (49 \%) \\ \mbox{Anti-diabetic drugs} & 25 (21 \%) \\ \end{array} $	(TAR)			510
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \text{relations} & \text{for all prime} & \text{for all prime} & 12.6 \\ \text{Others} & 9 (8 \%) & \text{LVMI, g/m}^2 & 113 \pm \\ & & 39 \\ \text{Concomitant medications} & E/e^{\circ} & 20.3 \pm \\ & & 11.2 \\ \hline \beta\text{-blockers} & 57 (49 \%) \\ \text{Ca-blockers} & 39 (33 \%) \\ \text{ACE-Is/ARBs} & 66 (56 \%) \\ \text{Diuretics} & 55 (47 \%) \\ \text{Statins} & 57 (49 \%) \\ \text{Anti-diabetic drugs} & 25 (21 \%) \\ \end{array} $	Aortic diseases (TAR, TEVAR,	9 (8 %)	EF. %	57.7 +
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	et al.)	- (0 )	,	12.6
	Others	9 (8 %)	LVMI, g/m <sup>2</sup>	$113 \pm$
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Concomitant medications} & \mbox{E/e'} & \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				39
β-blockers     57 (49 %)       Ca-blockers     39 (33 %)       ACE-Is/ARBs     66 (56 %)       Diuretics     55 (47 %)       Statins     57 (49 %)       Anti-diabetic drugs     25 (21 %)	Concomitant medications		E/e'	$20.3~\pm$
β-blockers         57 (49 %)           Ca-blockers         39 (33 %)           ACE-Is/ARBs         66 (56 %)           Diuretics         55 (47 %)           Statins         57 (49 %)           Anti-diabetic drugs         25 (21 %)				11.2
Ca-blockers     39 (33 %)       ACE-Is/ARBs     66 (56 %)       Diuretics     55 (47 %)       Statins     57 (49 %)       Anti-diabetic drugs     25 (21 %)	β-blockers	57 (49 %)		
ACE-Is/ARBs     66 (56 %)       Diuretics     55 (47 %)       Statins     57 (49 %)       Anti-diabetic drugs     25 (21 %)	Ca-blockers	39 (33 %)		
Diuretics         55 (47 %)           Statins         57 (49 %)           Anti-diabetic drugs         25 (21 %)	ACE-Is/ARBs	66 (56 %)		
Statins         57 (49 %)           Anti-diabetic drugs         25 (21 %)	Diuretics	55 (47 %)		
Anti-diabetic drugs 25 (21 %)	Statins	57 (49 %)		
	Anti-diabetic drugs	25 (21 %)		

or esterified, known as acylcarnitine (AC). AC is produced in mitochondria as part of FA metabolism and is dysregulated in many diseases, such as sepsis [15], cancer [16,17] and HF [18-20]. A pathway for intracellular AC formation from FA metabolism in organelles other than mitochondria has been proposed [20,21]. In a study of patients with HF, levels of long-chain AC metabolites were significantly higher in HF with reduced ejection fraction (EF) than in HF with preserved EF, and were inversely correlated with EF [18]. Also, a study with mechanical circulatory support showed that increased serum AC levels were independently associated with adverse clinical outcomes and decreased after long-term mechanical circulatory support [19]. Thus, increased AC might be associated with an increased risk of reduced outcomes and/or adverse clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. Also, a decrease in the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio (AC/FC), a marker of carnitine deficiency suggests improved mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation [13]. It has been recently reported that aerobic and resistance exercise training decreases AC/FC, improving mitochondrial function and even inhibiting CKD progression in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) not receiving HD [22]. However, many aspects of the

 Table 2

 Relationship between carnitine components and clinical data.

	TC r-value (p- value)	FC r-value (p- value)	AC r-value (p- value)	AC/FC r-value (p- value)
Age	-0.011 (0.904)	-0.034 (0.718)	0.051 (0.588)	0.139 (0.134)
Sex	-0.116	-0.099	-0.133	-0.104
	(0.212)	(0.287)	(0.153)	(0.265)
BMI	0.034	0.043 (0.642)	0.040 (0.661)	-0.033
	(0.711)			(0.715)
eGFR	-0.112	-0.024	-0.360	-0.543
	(0.217)	(0.787)	(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***
Hb	0.054	0.089 (0.325)	-0.120	-0.257
	(0.552)		(0.183)	(0.004)**
LDL	0.026	0.036 (0.697)	0.003 (0.972)	-0.017
cholesterol	(0.782)			(0.851)
HDL	-0.172	-0.127	-0.234	-0.070
cholesterol	(0.058)	(0.163)	(0.010)*	(0.447)
Total	-0.021	0.011 (0.908)	-0.084	0.139 (0.125)
cholesterol	(0.816)		(0.359)	
Alb	0.066	0.115 (0.205)	-0.093	-0.281
	(0.470)		(0.305)	(0.002)**
CRP	-0.017	-0.024	0.031 (0.734)	0.098 (0.279)
	(0.855)	(0.795)		
Creatinine	0.139	0.063 (0.486)	0.343	0.493
	(0.122)		(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***
HbA1C	-0.021	-0.050	0.084 (0.358)	0.060 (0.514)
	(0.823)	(0.586)		
BNP	0.210	0.177	0.281 (0.001)	0.257 (0.004)
	(0.019)*	(0.048)*	**	**
TC	-	0.962	0.755	0.022 (0.811)
		(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***	
FC	-	-	0.574	-0.202
			(<0.001)***	(0.023)*
AC	-	-	-	0.582
				(<0.001)***

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; Alb, albumin; CRP, C-reactive protein; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide.

physiological role of AC and AC/FC remain to be elucidated, especially in patients with cardiovascular disease. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate how serum AC level and AC/FC play pathological roles using the enzyme cycling method in patients with cardiovascular disease undergoing cardiovascular surgery.

#### 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Participants

A total of 117 patients (71 men, average age 69.9 years) undergoing cardiovascular surgery between October 13th 2015 and January 31st 2019 at Dokkyo Medical University Hospital were included in this crosssectional study. The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of Dokkyo Medical University Hospital (No. 27074), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Biochemical data were measured before surgery and analyzed by routine chemical methods in the Dokkyo Medical University Hospital clinical laboratory. Fasting total cholesterol, albumin (Alb), hemoglobin (Hb), HbA1c, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), low-density lipoproteincholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, total cholesterol, triglyceride levels, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) were measured. Levels of the inflammatory marker, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, were measured with a latex-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay (N Latex CRP II and N Latex SAA, Dade Behring Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

To measure carnitine components and cytokine concentrations, peripheral venous blood was collected into pyrogen-free tubes with and



Fig. 1A. Relationship between estimated glomerular filtration rate and carnitine components.

without ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) on the morning of surgery. For plasma, the EDTA-containing tubes were placed on melting ice, subsequently centrifuged at 1500g for 20 min, 10 min of which was at 4 °C. Plasma and serum were stored in aliquots at -80 °C for all enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). Serum tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$  level was measured using a Human TNF- $\alpha$  ELISA Kit (Quantikine® HS ELISA, R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). The detection threshold for TNF- $\alpha$  was 0.022 pg/mL. Serum growth differentiation factor (GDF)-15 level was measured using a Human GDF-15 ELISA Kit (Quantikine® DGD150 for GDF-15, R&D Systems, Inc.). The detection threshold for GDF-15 was 0.002 ng/mL. Serum fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-21 level was measured using a Human FGF-21 ELISA Kit (Quantikine® ELISA, R&D Systems, Inc.). The detection threshold for FGF-21 was 4.67 pg/mL. Serum carnitine levels were measured with enzymatic cycling. Carnitine insufficiency was defined as a reduced FC level or an increased AC/FC, which indicates the presence of abnormal carnitine metabolism, reflecting relative carnitine deficiency due to increased demand of fatty acid metabolism. In accordance with previous studies, the diagnostic criterion for carnitine deficiency in this study was a low FC level (<20 µmol/L) or a high AC/FC (>0.4) [23,24].

## 2.2. Transthoracic echocardiography

Each patient underwent preoperative transthoracic echocardiography. Two-dimensional (2D) images were recorded with an iE33 and EPICQ7 cardiovascular ultrasound system (PHILIP, Amsterdam, Netherlands) with a 1.7–3.4 MHz Doppler transducer. 2D echocardiography was performed according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography. Left atrial diameter (LAD), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVDd), left ventricular endsystolic diameter (LVDs), interventricular septal thickness (IVSth), and left ventricular posterior wall thickness (PWth) were measured using the parasternal long-axis view. Left ventricular mass (LV mass) was estimated by LVDd and wall thickness (IVSth and PWth) and then indexed to body surface area.

## $LVmass = 0.8\{1.04[(LVDd + IVSth + PWth)^3 - LVDd^3]\} + 0.6$

Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV) and end-systolic volume (LVESV) were measured from the apical view with the biplane method. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was calculated using the Simpson method.

## LVEF = 100(LVEDV - LVESV)/LVEDV

Doppler echocardiography was performed and E/e' was determined by the ratio of early-diastolic left ventricular inflow velocity (E) to earlydiastolic mitral annular velocity (e').

## 2.3. Measurement of hand-grip strength, knee extension voluntary isometric contraction, and walking speed

Maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) of the hand grip was determined with a factory-calibrated hand dynamometer (TKK 5401, TAKEI Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Each subject underwent two trials, and the highest value of the two trials was



Fig. 1B. Relationship between plasma brain natriuretic peptide and carnitine components.

Table 3CKD stage and serum data.

	Group 1 (eGFR $\geq$ 60 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	Group 2 (eGFR 30–59 mL/min/ 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	Group 3 (eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )
Age, y BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> eGFR, mL/ min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	67.3 (14.36) 22.98 (3.72) 81.88 (28.6)	71.83 (10.6) 25.05 (4.53) <b>48.0 (8.75)</b> ***	71.6 (7.96) 22.95 (2.68) /* 12.03 (8.76) ***/***
BNP, pg/mL	307.88 (510.9)	290.89 (328.5)	847.9 (945.5)**
Hb, g/dL	12.78 (2.00)	12.09 (1.90)	10.87 (1.36)***
Alb, g/dL	3.93 (0.60)	3.85 (0.58)**	3.42 (0.56)**
TC, µmol/L	60.83 (12.56)	69.08 (26.54)	73.81 (59.1)
FC, μmol/L	47.41 (10.23)	52.24 (19.80)	57.28 (49.09)
AC, µmol/L	13.41 (4.51)	16.84 (8.72)	25.76 (20.22)
AC/FC	0.28 (0.09)	0.32 (0.10)***	0.51 (0.16)***
TNF-α, pg/ mL	0.98 (0.51)	1.17 (0.40)***	2.21 (0.78)***
GDF-15, pg/ mL	1067.0 (711.6)	2072.9 (1974.8)***	5116.6 (2425.8) ***/***
FGF-21, pg/ mL	226.3 (228.7)	339.2 (394.7)***	1497.7 (1411.8) ***

Data are shown as mean (SD). \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001 vs. Group1/ Group 2. CKD, chronic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; Hb, hemoglobin; Alb, albumin; TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; GDF, growth differentiation factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor. used for analysis. MVIC of the knee extensors was determined with a digital handheld dynamometer ( $\mu$ Tas MT-1, ANIMA Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) as described previously [25–27]. Measurements were conducted with participants in the sitting position in which the trunk was vertical and the hip and knee joints were bent to 90 degrees, and the upper limbs were located in front of the anterior chest. The position of the sensor was set at the distal end of the lower leg, and a fixing belt was attached at a right angle to the direction in which the force was applied. Each subject performed two trials with an interval of at least 2 min between trials. The maximum value was adopted as the muscle strength of isometric knee extension. The walking speed was calculated by measuring the time required to walk 4 m at a typical pace.

## 2.4. Measurements with the bioelectrical impedance analyzer

A multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analyzer (BIA), InBody S10 Biospace device (Biospace Co, Ltd, Korea/Model JMW140) was used according to the manufacturer's guidelines, as described previously [25–27]. Thirty impedance measurements were obtained at 6 different frequencies (1, 5, 50, 250, 500, and 1000 kHz) at 5 segments of the body (right and left arms, trunk, and right and left legs). The measurements were carried out while the subjects rested quietly in the supine position, with their elbows extended and relaxed along their trunk. Body fat volume, body fat percentage, and skeletal muscle volume were measured. Skeletal muscle mass index (SMI; appendicular skeletal muscle mass/height<sup>2</sup>, kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was measured as the sum of lean soft

#### Table 4

Correlations between serum carnitine components concentrations, physical function and the BIA findings.

Α	Total	natients	

	TC	FC	AC	AC/FC
	r value (n	r value (p	r value (p	r value (p
	r-value)	r-value (p-	r-value (p-	relue)
	value)	value)	value)	value)
Hand-grip strength	0.020	0.060	-0.065	-0.155
01 0	(0.848)	(0.569)	(0.542)	(0.141)
Knee extension	-0.016	0.025	-0.098	-0.194
Ruce extension	(0.883)	(0.810)	(0.370)	(0.073)
Antonion thick MTh	(0.663)	(0.819)	(0.370)	(0.073)
Anterior ungn with	-0.103	-0.045	-0.207	-0.202
(supine)	(0.349)	(0.681)	(0.056)	(0.062)
Anterior thigh MTh	-0.144	-0.077	-0.271	-0.250
(standing)	(0.211)	(0.504)	(0.017)*	(0.028)*
Walking speed	0.049	0.064	0.015	-0.154
	(0.646)	(0.550)	(0.889)	(0.148)
Skeletal muscle	0.112	0.152	0.014	-0.152
volume	(0.262)	(0.127)	(0.888)	(0.127)
			<b>(</b> ,	
SMI	0.040	0.090	-0.068	-0.196
0.000	(0.601)	(0.372)	(0.502)	(0.050)
The second second second	(0.091)	(0.373)	(0.303)	(0.050)
Lean body mass	0.118	0.154	0.029	-0.132
	(0.237)	(0.123)	(0.776)	(0.186)
Body fat mass	0.032	0.040	0.043	-0.068
	(0.749)	(0.688)	(0.671)	(0.496)
Body fat percentage	-0.051	-0.043	-0.061	-0.009
	(0.609)	(0.668)	(0.542)	(0.928)
Muscle volume	0.110	0.154	0.004	-0.163
(lower extremities)	(0.272)	(0.123)	(0.969)	(0.101)
D Mole Detiente				
b. Male Patients				
B. Male Patients	ТС	FC	AC	AC/FC
b. Male Patients	TC	FC	AC	AC/FC
b. Male Patients	TC r-value (p-	FC r-value (p-	AC r-value (p-	AC/FC r-value (p-
b. Male Patients	TC r-value (p- value)	FC r-value (p- value)	AC r-value (p- value)	AC/FC r-value (p- value)
Hand-grip strength	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090	AC r-value (p- value) - <b>0.283</b>	AC/FC r-value (p- value) - <b>0.387</b>
Hand-grip strength	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)**
Hand-grip strength	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371
Hand-grip strength Knee extension	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)**
Hand-grip strength Knee extension	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine)	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine)	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing)	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing)	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)*	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434)
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle volume	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)*
<ul> <li>B. Mate Patients</li> <li>Hand-grip strength</li> <li>Knee extension</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (supine)</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (standing)</li> <li>Walking speed</li> <li>Skeletal muscle volume</li> </ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)*
B. Mate Patients Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle volume	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314
B. Mate Patients Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle volume SMI	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle volume SMI	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) 0.054	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) 0.004	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) 0.147	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)*
<ul> <li>B. Mate Patients</li> <li>Hand-grip strength</li> <li>Knee extension</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (supine)</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (standing)</li> <li>Walking speed</li> <li>Skeletal muscle volume</li> <li>SMI</li> <li>Lean body mass</li> </ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.076)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.147)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.259
<ul> <li>B. Mate Patients</li> <li>Hand-grip strength</li> <li>Knee extension</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (supine)</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (standing)</li> <li>Walking speed</li> <li>Skeletal muscle volume</li> <li>SMI</li> <li>Lean body mass</li> <li>D. J. Guess</li> </ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674) 0.032	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.976) 2.021	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.245) 0.020	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.259 (0.039)*
Hand-grip strength Knee extension Anterior thigh MTh (supine) Anterior thigh MTh (standing) Walking speed Skeletal muscle volume SMI Lean body mass Body fat mass	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674) 0.003	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.976) 0.021	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.245) -0.033	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.259 (0.039)* -0.116
<ul> <li>B. Mate Patients</li> <li>Hand-grip strength</li> <li>Knee extension</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (supine)</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (standing)</li> <li>Walking speed</li> <li>Skeletal muscle volume</li> <li>SMI</li> <li>Lean body mass</li> <li>Body fat mass</li> </ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674) 0.003 (0.979)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.976) 0.021 (0.867)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.245) -0.033 (0.799)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.259 (0.039)* -0.116 (0.359)
<ul> <li>B. Mate Patients</li> <li>Hand-grip strength</li> <li>Knee extension</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (supine)</li> <li>Anterior thigh MTh (standing)</li> <li>Walking speed</li> <li>Skeletal muscle volume</li> <li>SMI</li> <li>Lean body mass</li> <li>Body fat mass</li> <li>Body fat</li> </ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674) 0.003 (0.979) 0.051	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.976) 0.021 (0.867) 0.059	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.245) -0.033 (0.799) 0.030	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.314 (0.039)* -0.116 (0.359) -0.076
<ul> <li>b. Mate Patients</li> <li>b. Mate P</li></ul>	TC r-value (p- value) -0.159 (0.246) -0.171 (0.229) -0.184 (0.191) -0.219 (0.144) 0.033 (0.813) -0.060 (0.636) -0.136 (0.287) -0.054 (0.674) 0.003 (0.979) 0.051 (0.979)	FC r-value (p- value) -0.090 (0.512) -0.116 (0.417) -0.126 (0.374) -0.154 (0.307) 0.040 (0.775) -0.010 (0.938) -0.079 (0.540) -0.004 (0.976) 0.021 (0.867) 0.059 (0.645)	AC r-value (p- value) -0.283 (0.036)* -0.267 (0.058) -0.286 (0.040)* -0.343 (0.020)* 0.016 (0.907) -0.154 (0.223) -0.237 (0.062) -0.147 (0.245) -0.033 (0.799) 0.030 (0.817)	AC/FC r-value (p- value) -0.387 (0.006)** -0.371 (0.007)** -0.340 (0.014)* -0.363 (0.013)* -0.109 (0.434) -0.288 (0.021)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.314 (0.012)* -0.259 (0.039)* -0.116 (0.359) -0.076 (0.550)

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01. TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; MTh, muscle thickness; SMI, skeletal muscle mass index.

tissue of the two upper limbs and two lower limbs. In this study, muscle wasting was defined according to the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) criteria (hand-grip strength < 26 kg for men and < 18 kg for women or gait speed  $\leq$  0.8 m/sec, and SMI < 7.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for men and < 5.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for women) [28].

## 2.5. Measurement of muscle thickness by ultrasound

Quadriceps muscle thickness was measured by ultrasound evaluation at the midpoint of the thigh length with a real-time linear electronic scanner with a 10.0-MHz scanning head and ultrasound probe (L4-12 tRS Probe, GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan) and LOGIQ e ultrasound (GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan) as previously described [25–27]. The scanning head was coated with a water-soluble transmission gel to provide acoustic contact without depressing the dermal surface. The subcutaneous adipose tissue-muscle interface and the muscle-bone interface were identified from the ultrasound image. The perpendicular distance from the adipose tissue-muscle interface to the muscle-bone interface was considered to represent the quadriceps muscle thickness. The anterior mid-thigh muscle thickness (MTh) was measured in the supine position; the measurement was performed twice at each side of the thigh, and the average value was adopted.

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), or number (proportion). After testing for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov), the comparison of means between groups was analyzed with a two-sided, unpaired Student's t-test in the case of normally distributed parameters or with the Mann-Whitney-U Test in the case of non-normally distributed parameters. Associations among parameters were evaluated with Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients. Multiple linear regression analysis with serum AC or AC/FC as the dependent variable was performed to identify independent predictors (clinical laboratory data). Also, multiple linear regression analysis with eGFR or BNP as the dependent variable was performed to identify independent predictors (serum AC or AC/FC). When the residuals of the dependent or independent data were not normally distributed, they were logarithmically transformed to achieve a normal distribution. Age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) were employed as covariates. All analyses were performed with SPSS version 24 (IBM Corp., New York, USA) for Windows. A p value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. Patients

The clinical characteristics of the study patients are shown in Table 1. Their BMI was 23.6  $\pm$  3.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and preoperative New York Heart Association functional classification was 2.2  $\pm$  1.0. The majority of patients exhibited conventional risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, current smoking, and HD. Patients underwent coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) (n = 21 [18 %]), aortic valve replacement (AVR)/transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) (n = 28 [24 %]), mitral valve replacement (MVR)/mitral valve plasty (MVP) with or without tricuspid valve replacement (TVR)/tricuspid annuloplasty (TAP) (n = 25 [21 %]), CABG combined with valve replacement or repair (n = 10 [9 %]), AVR combined with other value or aortic diseases (n = 15 [13 %]), aortic diseases (n = 9 [8 %]), or other procedures (n = 9 [8 %]). All patients were receiving pharmacologic treatment, including  $\beta$ -blocking agents (n = 57 [49 %]), calciumchannel blockers (n = 39 [33 %]), angiotensin receptor blockers/ angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (n = 66 [56 %]), diuretics (n = 55 [47 %]), statins (n = 57 [49 %]), and anti-diabetic drugs (n = 25 [21 %]). Total carnitine (TC) and FC concentrations were 66.8  $\pm$  32.6  $\mu mol/L$  and 50.3  $\pm$  23.5  $\mu mol/L,$  respectively. AC concentration was 16.5  $\pm$  10.7  $\mu mol/L$  and AC/FC was 0.34  $\pm$  0.14. The difference in serum carnitine components (TC, FC, AC, AC/FC) between men and women was not significant. Carnitine deficiency was observed in 6 (5%) and 29 (25 %) of 117 patients according to low FC level and high AC/FC, respectively.

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, or number (proportion). BMI, body mass index; NYHA, New York Heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; AVR, aortic valve replacement; SD, standard deviation; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; MVR, mitral valve replacement; MVP, mitral valve plasty; TVR, tricuspid valve replacement; TAP, tricuspid annuloplasty; TAR, total arch replacement; TEVAR,



Fig. 2. Relationship between serum carnitine components and physical data in male patients.

 Table 5

 Relationships between cytokines and carnitine components.

	TNF-α	GDF-15	FGF-21
	r-value (p-value)	r-value (p-value)	r-value (p-value)
AC	0.307 (<0.001)***	0.196 (0.027)*	0.266 (0.002)**
AC/FC	0.420 (<0.001)***	0.494 (<0.001)***	0.372 (<0.001)***
TC	0.179 (0.044)*	0.125 (0.160)	0.096 (0.282)
FC	0.143 (0.109)	0.045 (0.614)	0.036 (0.690)

\*p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. TNF, tumor necrosis factor; GDF, growth differentiation factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine.

 Table 6

 Relationship between carnitine components and echocardiographic findings.

	LAD	LVDd	LVDs	EF (bp) %	LVMI	E/e'
AC (men)	0.252 (0.041) *	0.210 (0.088)	0.248 (0.043) *	-0.286 (0.033) *	0.070 (0.577)	0.055 (0.673)
AC (women)	0.044 (0.771)	0.070 (0.642)	-0.035 (0.818)	0.134 (0.411)	-0.165 (0.283)	0.063 (0.684)
AC/FC (men)	0.137 (0.272)	0.069 (0.578)	0.101 (0.415)	-0.191 (0.158)	0.012 (0.923)	0.293 (0.021) *
AC/FC (women)	-0.010 (0.946)	0.044 (0.771)	-0.091 (0.547)	0.154 (0.342)	-0.222 (0.148)	0.101 (0.514)

\*p < 0.05. AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVDd, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVDs, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; EF, ejection fraction; bp, biplane; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; E/e', the ratio of early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to early diastolic mitral annular velocity.

thoracic endovascular aortic repair; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; Anti-diabetic drugs (i.e.,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitor, sulfonylurea, biguanide, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor); eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, highdensity lipoprotein; TG, triglyceride; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVDd, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVDs, left ventricular endsystolic diameter; EF, ejection fraction; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; E/e', the ratio of early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to early diastolic mitral annular velocity.

## 3.2. Correlations between serum carnitine components and clinical data

The correlations between serum carnitine components and clinical data are shown in Table 2. The serum carnitine components (TC, FC, AC, AC/FC) were not correlated with age, sex, or BMI. The concentrations of AC (r = -0.360, p < 0.001) and the AC/FC (r = -0.543, p < 0.001) were negatively correlated with eGFR, as shown in Fig. 1A. AC/FC was negatively correlated with Hb (r = -0.257, p = 0.004) and Alb (r = -0.281, p = 0.002). The serum TC and FC concentrations were positively correlated with BNP (TC: r = 0.210, p = 0.019; FC: r = 0.177, p = 0.019; FC: r = 0.177; p = 0.019; FC: r = 0.019; FC: r = 0.177; p = 0.019; FC: r = 0.0.048), as shown in Fig. 1B. The serum AC concentration and the AC/FC were also positively correlated with BNP (AC: r = 0.281, p = 0.001; AC/ FC: r = 0.257, p = 0.004). Table 2 also shows the relationships between carnitine components. The serum FC concentration was positively correlated with the TC (r = 0.962, p < 0.001) and AC concentrations (r = 0.574, p < 0.001) and negatively correlated with the AC/FC (r = -0.202, p = 0.023). The AC concentration was positively correlated with the AC/FC (r = 0.582, p < 0.001).

## 3.3. CKD stage and clinical data

The mean eGFR of all patients was  $58.9 \pm 26.2 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ . Patients were also classified into three groups based on eGFR level (Table 3): Group 1, normal (eGFR  $\geq$  90 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) and low

## Table 7

Multiple	linear	regression	analysis	of serum	AC,	AC/FC,	and	clinical	data	A.
Multiple	linear	regression	analysis o	of serum A	AC, A	C/FC, ai	nd cli	inical da	ta.	

A. Multiple line	ear regression and	alysis of serum A	AC, AC/FC, and o	clinical data
		Dependent var	iable: serum AC (	(log)
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Independent	β-value (p-	β-value (p-	β-value (p-	β-value (p-
variable	value)	value)	value)	value)
oCER (log)	-0.267	-0.280	-0.267	0.258
egrk (log)	(0.005)**	(0.003)**	(0.011)*	(0.008)**
TTh	0.002	-0.053	-0.082	-0.085
Hb	(0.857)	(0.643)	(0.480)	(0.468)
A 11-	-0.021	0.003	0.043	0.040
AID	(0.378)	(0.976)	(0.722)	(0.741)
DUD (1 )	0.221	0.260	0.262	0.273
BNP (log)	(0.029)*	(0.012)*	(0.011)*	(0.011)*
		Dependent var	iable: AC/FC (log	g)
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Independent	β-value (p-	β-value (p-	β-value (p-	β-value (p-
variable	value)	value)	value)	value)
eGFR (log)	-0.510	-0.514	-0.516	-0.519
-	(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***	(<0.001)***
Hb	-0.038(	-0.056	-0.052	-0.051
	(0.696)	(0.583)	(0.619)	(0.627)
Alb	-0.175	-0.167	-0.171	-0.171
	(0.086)	(0.105)	(0.113)	(0.117)
BNP (log)	-0.009	0.003	0.003	0.000
0.	(0.915)	(0.551)	(0.973)	(0.996)
Model 1, unadju Model 4, adju	sted; Model 2, adj sted by age, sex. a	usted by age, Moo nd BMI.	del 3, adjusted by	age and sex,

#### B. Multiple linear regression analysis of eGFR, BNP and serum AC, AC/FC

		Dependent variable: eGFR (log)			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3		
Independent variable	$\beta$ -value (p-value)	$\beta$ -value (p-value)	$\beta$ -value (p-value)		
Serum AC (log)	0.119 (0.173)	0.114 (0.193)	0.133 (0.133)		
AC/FC (log)	-0.646 (<0.001)	-0.632 (<0.001)	-0.628 (<0.001)		
	***	***	***		
		Dependent variable	:: BNP (log)		
	Model 1	Dependent variable Model 2	:: BNP (log) Model 3		
Independent variable	Model 1 β-value (p-value)	Dependent variable Model 2 β-value (p-value)	:: BNP (log) Model 3 β-value (p-value)		
Independent variable Serum AC (log)	Model 1 β-value (p-value) <b>0.248 (0.017)</b> *	Dependent variable Model 2 β-value (p-value) 0.263 (0.010)*	:: BNP (log) Model 3 β-value (p-value) 0.262 (0.011)*		

Model 1, unadjusted; Model 2, adjusted for age, Model 3, adjusted for age and sex.

\* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; Alb, albumin; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide.

#### Table 8

Clinical differences between patients with and without muscle wasting.

(eGFR 60–89 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>); Group 2, moderate (eGFR 30–59 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>); Group 3, severe (eGFR 15–29 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) and kidney failure (eGFR < 15 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>). eGFR and Alb were decreased depending on the stage of CKD. The AC/FC was elevated depending on the stage of CKD (Group 1: 0.28  $\pm$  0.09, Group 2: 0.32  $\pm$  0.10, Group 3: 0.51  $\pm$  0.16). In contrast, TC, FC, and AC concentrations were not significantly different among these groups. TNF- $\alpha$ , GDF-15, and FGF-21 were elevated depending on the stage of CKD.

## 3.4. Correlations between concentrations of serum carnitine components, physical function and BIA findings

Tables 4A and 4B show the relationships between serum carnitine components (TC, FC, AC, AC/FC), physical function, and BIA findings in total patients and in male patients, respectively. The serum AC level was negatively correlated with hand-grip strength and anterior thigh MTh in men. The AC/FC was negatively correlated with hand-grip strength (r = -0.387, p = 0.006), SMI (r = -0.314, p = 0.012), and anterior thigh MTh (r = -0.340, p = 0.014) in men, as shown in Fig. 2.

# 3.5. Correlations between levels of serum carnitine components and cytokines

Table 5 shows the relationships between cytokines and carnitine components. Both the serum AC concentration and the AC/FC were positively correlated with serum TNF- $\alpha$ , GDF-15, and FGF-21 levels, whereas the serum TC concentration was positively correlated only with the serum TNF- $\alpha$  concentration, and the serum FC concentration did not correlate with the level of any of the cytokines.

## 3.6. Correlations between levels of serum carnitine components and echocardiographic findings

Table 6 shows the relationships between serum AC, AC/FC, and echocardiographic findings in men and women. The baseline echocardiographic data are shown in Table 1. The serum AC concentration was correlated with the LAD (r = 0.252, p = 0.041) and LVDs (r = 0.248, p = 0.043) in men. It was also negatively correlated with the LVEF (r = -0.286, p = 0.033) in men.

## 3.7. Multiple linear regression analysis of serum carnitine components and clinical data

Linear regression analysis of serum AC concentrations and AC/FC with clinical data was investigated in all patients. Multiple regression analysis showed that log (BNP) ( $\beta = 0.273$ , p = 0.011) and log (eGFR) ( $\beta = 0.258$ , p = 0.008) were independent predictors of log (serum AC) after

	Total		Men		Women	
	Muscle wasting (-) $n = 63$	Muscle wasting (+) $n = 36$	Muscle wasting (-) $n = 43$	Muscle wasting (+) $n = 20$	Muscle wasting (-) $n = 19$	Muscle wasting (+) $n = 17$
Age, years	65.6 (12.9)	73.8 (10.2)***	64.5 (13.9)	71.7 (11.9)*	68.0 (10.0)	76.3 (7.3)**
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25.4 (4.0)	22.8 (3.1)**	25.5 (4.3)	21.9 (2.6)**	25.2 (3.4)	23.9 (83.4)
BNP, pg/mL	188.2 (203.4)	560.8 (723.6)***	214.6 (229.8)	686.6 (870.9)**	128.4 (107.1)	412.9 (484.2)*
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	64.2 (22.1)	49.9 (28.0)**	63.1(26.4)	44.91(29.5)*	66.8 (16.1)	55.8 (25.6)
TC, μmol/L	62.3 (17.2)	75.2 (46.8)	62.7 (15.4)	88.0 (58.8)	61.2 (21.2)	59.2 (15.9)
FC, µmol/L	48.2 (14.6)	54.4 (31.7)	48.4 (12.8)	62.3(40.1)	47.7 (18.5)	44.7 (11.0)
AC, μmol/L	14.1 (4.6)	20.8 (16.3)	14.3 (4.9)	25.7 (19.7)*	13.5 (4.0)	14.6 (7.6)
AC/FC	0.30 (0.09)	0.38 (0.17)*	0.30 (0.09)	0.42 (0.16)***	0.29 (0.08)	0.34 (0.17)

Data are shown as mean (SD). \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. BMI, body mass index; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TC, total carnitine; FC, free carnitine; AC, acylcarnitine; AC/FC, the acylcarnitine/free carnitine ratio.

adjusting for age, sex, and BMI (Table 7A). Also, log (eGFR) ( $\beta$  = -0.519, p < 0.001) was an independent predictor of log (AC/FC) after adjusting for age, sex, and BMI. Multiple regression analysis showed that log (AC/FC) ( $\beta$  = -0.628, p < 0.001) was an independent predictor of log (eGFR) after adjusting for age and sex (Table 7B). Also, log (serum AC) ( $\beta$  = 0.262, p = 0.011) was an independent predictor of log (BNP) after adjusting for age and sex.

# 3.8. Clinical differences between patients with and without muscle wasting

Muscle wasting was identified in 36 (36 %) of 99 patients based on the AWGS criteria. Table 8 shows a comparison of various parameters of patients with and without muscle wasting. Compared to men and women without muscle wasting, those with muscle wasting had a significantly higher mean age. Men and women with muscle wasting had significantly higher BNP levels compared to those without muscle wasting. BMI and eGFR were significantly lower in men with muscle wasting compared to those without muscle wasting, and tended to be lower in women. The serum AC concentration and AC/FC in men with muscle wasting, and tended to be higher in women. In contrast, the serum concentrations of TC and FC were not significantly different between patients with and without muscle wasting.

## 4. Discussion

The major findings of the present study are as follows: Plasma BNP and eGFR levels correlated well with the serum AC concentration and the AC/FC. The AC/FC was elevated depending on the stage of CKD. In multivariate analysis, even when corrected for age, sex and BMI, log (eGFR) and log (BNP) were identified as independent factors to define log (serum AC), and log (eGFR) was an independent factor to define log (AC/FC). The serum AC concentration was correlated with LAD, LVDs, and LVEF in men. In addition, the serum AC concentration and AC/FC were negatively correlated with hand-grip strength and anterior thigh MTh in men. The serum AC concentration and the AC/FC were higher in men with versus without muscle wasting. The serum AC concentration and the AC/FC correlated well with several cytokines (TNF- $\alpha$ , GDF-15, and FGF-21).

Carnitine is involved in the transport of FAs in mitochondria mainly in the heart, muscle, and liver by several mechanisms [19]. First, longchain FAs are converted to acyl-coenzyme A (CoA) esters by ATPdependent acyl-CoA synthase. Second, these acyl-CoA esters are then converted to AC and free CoA by carnitine palmitoyltransferase (CPT)-I in the mitochondrial outer membrane. The resulting AC is transported across the mitochondrial inner membrane by carnitine-acylcarnitine translocase (CACT). Once within the mitochondrial matrix, the acyl-CoA ester and FC are reformed from AC and free CoA by CPT-II, and FC is exchanged by CACT. In the failing myocardium, dysfunction of these important enzymes may lead to inadequate utilization of substrates, which is reflected by elevated serum levels of long-chain FA intermediate metabolites, such as long-chain AC, in serum [19]. The various AC species that are increased in patients with HF are produced from the corresponding acyl-CoA, and production may occur in one or many tissues, such as heart, muscle, and liver, by several potential mechanisms [20].

In the present study, which examined patients with cardiovascular disease undergoing cardiovascular surgery, the serum AC concentration and the AC/FC were inversely correlated with hand-grip strength and supine anterior thigh MTh in men. AC is a metabolite produced in mitochondria by FA metabolism and might be dysregulated in numerous diseases, affecting muscle [21,29,30]. A previous study showed that serum medium- and long-chain AC concentrations were negatively associated with hand-grip strength in linear regression analysis in older men [29]. Also, another study showed that serum short-chain AC

concentrations were negatively correlated with hand-grip strength, and short-chain AC levels defined the decline in hand-grip strength at 18 months in community-dwelling elderly subjects [21]. In contrast, there was no relationship between the serum AC concentration and sarcopenia in a study that examined the relationship between carnitine components and nutritional status in patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery [30]. Thus, further research is needed, because disease and gender may influence the association between serum AC, the AC/FC and muscle function.

Serum AC might contribute to the worsening of HF through the promotion of arrhythmias, insulin resistance, adverse remodeling, and reduced energy production [19]. Previous studies have reported an association between the AC/FC and cardiac events in patients with HF, suggesting that the AC/FC may increase in patients with HF due to mitochondrial dysfunction, which is associated with impaired energy metabolism [13,14]. Yoshihisa et al. [13] reported that patients with HF with an AC/FC  $\geq$  0.27 had the highest rate of cardiac events, including cardiac death and HF progression, and the AC/FC was a predictor of cardiac events. Also, Kinugasa et al. [14] found that in patients with HF, sarcopenia was associated with older age (>77 years) and a high AC/FC (>0.31) in patients aged 64–76 years, suggesting that carnitine deficiency is a potential therapeutic target against sarcopenia in patients with HF. The present study showed that the serum AC concentration, but not the AC/FC, is associated with echocardiographic findings and BNP in male patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

The present study showed that the AC/FC, a marker of carnitine deficiency increased with increasing CKD stage. Serum ACs accumulate due to decreased renal clearance of esterified carnitine in patients with chronic renal failure not on HD; patients with CKD are usually reported to have a higher AC/FC [4]. Also, in patients on HD, serum FC levels progressively decrease due to restricted dietary intake, lack of endogenous synthesis in the kidney, and FC removal by HD due to a small molecular weight (161 Da) and its very low protein binding [7], but serum AC levels increase [31]. Yano et al. [31] showed that serum AC levels increased with renal dysfunction independently of urinary excretion levels, while serum FC was not affected by renal function in CKD patients not on HD. These results indicate that AC, unlike FC, is affected by renal function. Uchiyama et al. [22] also examined the effect of a 6-month home-based exercise program that included aerobic exercise at 40-60 % max heart rate 3 times per week and resistance training at 70 % 1 repetition maximum 2 times per week at home in stage 4 CKD patients (eGFR 15-30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), and found a greater reduction in AC/FC in the exercise group compared to controls (-0.058  $\pm$  0.024, p = 0.01). The significantly greater reduction in AC/FC in this exercise group suggests improved mitochondrial β-oxidation [13], and mitochondrial dysfunction is not only an important cause of uremic sarcopenia [32], but also is associated with steeper eGFR decline [33]. In addition, improvement of mitochondrial dysfunction may inhibit CKD progression [34].

While the diagnosis of mitochondrial respiratory chain (MRC) dysfunction is hampered by the limited number of surrogates and biomarkers for MRC dysfunction, the hormone-like cytokines, FGF-21 [35,36] and GDF-15 [37], may have some utility as potential biomarkers for its diagnosis. FGF-21 is a metabolic hormone produced in the liver and expressed in the pancreas and adipocytes [38]; the primary role of FGF-21 is to regulate glucose and lipid metabolism. In mouse skeletal muscle, mitochondrial dysfunction has been reported to induce FGF-21 expression, which may correlate with disease severity and progression in MRC-deficient patients with muscle symptoms [35]. In subsequent studies, FGF-21 was found to be effective in identifying patients with abnormal mitochondrial DNA maintenance [36]. Furthermore, Lehtonen et al. [39] showed that serum FGF-21 can be used as a biomarker for deficient mitochondrial maintenance and translation presenting with muscle symptoms. In contrast, GDF-15 is a member of the transforming growth factor- $\beta$  cytokine superfamily and plays various roles in cardiovascular disease, inflammation, cancer, and

kidney disease [40–43]. GDF-15 is more sensitive in detecting mitochondrial dysfunction in non-muscle organs; thus, GDF-15 is more sensitive but less specific [44]. Interestingly, a combined evaluation of both GDF-15 and FGF-21 in serum from adult patients with mitochondrial disease did not improve the diagnostic value of the individual tests [45]. Also, a recent study by Riley et al. [46] compared a group of healthy children without mitochondrial disease to a group of children with MRC and suggested that FGF-21 was superior to GDF-15 in discriminating between the two groups.

In the present study, we showed for the first time that both the serum AC concentration and the AC/FC correlate with serum TNF- $\alpha$ , GDF-15, and FGF-21 levels. Several inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-a, are associated with cachexia and anorexia [47,48]. High levels of TNF- $\alpha$ were also associated with decreased muscle mass and hand-grip strength in the elderly [49]. Furthermore, systemic inflammation and elevated serum TNF- $\alpha$  levels were implicated in various pathologies involving muscle atrophy [50], and GDF-15 was reported to be induced by inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$  [41]. Several previous studies investigated the relationships between serum AC, cytokines such as GDF-15 and FGF-21, and muscle function [51,52]. Kemp et al. [51] investigated the preoperative and postoperative cross-sectional area (CSA) of the thigh muscle by ultrasound, hand-grip strength, and serum biomarkers, such as AC and GDF-15, in male patients undergoing aortic valve surgery. Preoperative serum AC was inversely correlated with preoperative serum GDF-15 and postoperative muscle CSA and handgrip strength, suggesting that preoperative mitochondrial dysfunction contributes to postoperative muscle loss, probably due to GDF-15 [51]. Yano et al. [52] followed patients on HD who received either L-carnitine supplementation or exercise therapy for 3 months and showed that Lcarnitine supplementation significantly increased muscle mass by BIA and thigh circumference compared with baseline. The change in the serum AC/FC was inversely correlated with the change in thigh circumference before and after L-carnitine administration or exercise, and serum FGF-21 before L-carnitine administration correlated with the change in thigh circumference before and after L-carnitine administration [52]. The present study for the first time found that serum TNF- $\alpha$ , GDF-15, and FGF-21 were potentially associated with serum AC and the AC/FC in cardiac patients undergoing cardiovascular surgery, suggesting that these cytokines might be involved in the association between serum AC and the AC/FC and muscle wasting.

There are several limitations in the present study. Firstly, we recruited patients who underwent kinds of cardiovascular surgery with diverse pharmacological interventions. This might render it challenging to draw definitive conclusions due to the presence of confounding variables. Secondly, we did not have healthy controls. Therefore, further researches including healthy controls should be needed.

## 5. Conclusion

A significant association between the serum AC concentration and the AC/FC ratio with chronic kidney disease and heart failure exists in patients with cardiovascular diseases who undergo cardiovascular surgery. In addition, skeletal muscle loss, muscle wasting, and cardiac remodeling are also linked to the elevation of serum AC and the AC/FC, especially in men. Thus, it is likely that serum AC and the AC/FC are novel biomarkers of chronic kidney disease, cardiac dysfunction, and muscle wasting in patients with cardiovascular diseases who undergo cardiovascular surgery.

## 6. Supporting information captions

This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H03981, 22H03457 (to TN). The funding sources for this study had no roles in study design, data collection, analysis, or interpretation. The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors. No additional external funding was received for this study.

## 7. Registration number of clinical studies

The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of Dokkyo Medical University Hospital (No. 27074), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Takafumi Nakajima: Writing – original draft. Taira Fukuda: Writing – review & editing, Resources, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Ikuko Shibasaki: Resources, Formal analysis. Syotaro Obi: Resources, Formal analysis. Masashi Sakuma: Resources, Formal analysis. Shichiro Abe: Resources, Formal analysis. Hirotsugu Fukuda: Supervision. Shigeru Toyoda: Supervision, Funding acquisition. Toshiaki Nakajima: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all of the cardiovascular doctors and staff members at Dokkyo Heart Center for helping us with this study. This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H03981, 22H03457 (to TN), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K11259 (to TF).

#### References

- J. Pekala, B. Patkowska-Sokoła, R. Bodkowski, D. Jamroz, P. Nowakowski, S. Lochyński, T. Librowski, L-carnitine–metabolic functions and meaning in humans life, Curr. Drug Metab. 12 (7) (2011) 667–678.
- [2] M.A. Virmani, M. Cirulli, The role of l-Carnitine in mitochondria, prevention of metabolic inflexibility and disease initiation, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 23 (5) (2022).
- [3] M.R. McCann, M.V. George De la Rosa, G.R. Rosania, K.A. Stringer, L-Carnitine and Acylcarnitines: mitochondrial Biomarkers for Precision medicine, Metabolites 11 (1) (2021).
- [4] M. Calvani, P. Benatti, A. Mancinelli, S. D'Iddio, V. Giordano, A. Koverech, A. Amato, E.P. Brass, Carnitine replacement in end-stage renal disease and hemodialysis, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1033 (2004) 52–66.
- [5] L. Zhang, W. Keung, V. Samokhvalov, W. Wang, G.D. Lopaschuk, Role of fatty acid uptake and fatty acid beta-oxidation in mediating insulin resistance in heart and skeletal muscle, BBA 1801 (1) (2010) 1–22.
- [6] F.B. Stephens, D. Constantin-Teodosiu, P.L. Greenhaff, New insights concerning the role of carnitine in the regulation of fuel metabolism in skeletal muscle, J. Physiol. 581 (Pt 2) (2007) 431–444.
- [7] H. Takashima, T. Maruyama, M. Abe, Significance of Levocarnitine treatment in dialysis patients, Nutrients 13 (4) (2021).
- [8] G. Eknoyan, D.L. Latos, J. Lindberg, Practice recommendations for the use of Lcarnitine in dialysis-related carnitine disorder, National Kidney Foundation Carnitine Consensus Conference, American Journal of Kidney Diseases : the Official Journal of the National Kidney Foundation 41 (4) (2003) 868–876.
- [9] R.J. Pollitt, Disorders of mitochondrial long-chain fatty acid oxidation, J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 18 (4) (1995) 473–490.
- [10] M. Calvani, E. Reda, E. Arrigoni-Martelli, Regulation by carnitine of myocardial fatty acid and carbohydrate metabolism under normal and pathological conditions, Basic Res. Cardiol. 95 (2) (2000) 75–83.
- [11] M.S. Rashed, Clinical applications of tandem mass spectrometry: ten years of diagnosis and screening for inherited metabolic diseases, J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 758 (1) (2001) 27–48.
- [12] M. Takahashi, S. Ueda, H. Misaki, N. Sugiyama, K. Matsumoto, N. Matsuo, S. Murao, Carnitine determination by an enzymatic cycling method with carnitine dehydrogenase, Clin. Chem. 40 (5) (1994) 817–821.
- [13] A. Yoshihisa, S. Watanabe, T. Yokokawa, T. Misaka, T. Sato, S. Suzuki, M. Oikawa, A. Kobayashi, Y. Takeishi, Associations between acylcarnitine to free carnitine ratio and adverse prognosis in heart failure patients with reduced or preserved ejection fraction, ESC Heart Failure 4 (3) (2017) 360–364.
- [14] Y. Kinugasa, T. Sota, K. Nakamura, M. Hirai, M. Kato, K. Yamamoto, Association of carnitine insufficiency with sarcopenia and dynapenia in patients with heart failure, Geriatr. Gerontol. Int. 23 (7) (2023) 524–530.
- [15] R.J. Langley, E.L. Tsalik, J.C. van Velkinburgh, S.W. Glickman, B.J. Rice, C. Wang, B. Chen, L. Carin, A. Suarez, R.P. Mohney, D.H. Freeman, M. Wang, J. You,

#### T. Nakajima et al.

J. Wulff, J.W. Thompson, M.A. Moseley, S. Reisinger, B.T. Edmonds, B. Grinnell, D. R. Nelson, D.L. Dinwiddie, N.A. Miller, C.J. Saunders, S.S. Soden, A.J. Rogers, L. Gazourian, L.E. Fredenburgh, A.F. Massaro, R.M. Baron, A.M. Choi, G.R. Corey, G.S 5 (2013) 195ra95.. Woods, S.F. Kingsmore, An integrated clinico-metabolomic model improves prediction of death in sepsis, Science translational medicine.

- [16] K. Enooku, H. Nakagawa, N. Fujiwara, M. Kondo, T. Minami, Y. Hoshida, J. Shibahara, R. Tateishi, K. Koike, Altered serum acylcarnitine profile is associated with the status of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and NAFLD-related hepatocellular carcinoma, Sci. Rep. 9 (1) (2019) 10663.
- [17] F. Farshidfar, K.A. Kopciuk, R. Hilsden, S.E. McGregor, V.C. Mazurak, W.D. Buie, A. MacLean, H.J. Vogel, O.F. Bathe, A quantitative multimodal metabolomic assay for colorectal cancer, BMC Cancer 18 (1) (2018) 26.
- [18] W.G. Hunter, J.P. Kelly, R.W. McGarrah 3rd, M.G. Khouri, D. Craig, C. Haynes, O. Ilkayeva, R.D. Stevens, J.R. Bain, M.J. Muehlbauer, C.B. Newgard, G.M. Felker, A.F. Hernandez, E.J. Velazquez, W.E. Kraus, S.H. Shah, Metabolomic profiling identifies novel circulating Biomarkers of mitochondrial dysfunction differentially elevated in heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction: evidence for shared metabolic impairments in clinical heart failure, J. Am. Heart Assoc. 5 (8) (2016).
- [19] T. Ahmad, J.P. Kelly, R.W. McGarrah, A.S. Hellkamp, M. Fiuzat, J.M. Testani, T. S. Wang, A. Verma, M.D. Samsky, M.P. Donahue, O.R. Ilkayeva, D.E. Bowles, C. B. Patel, C.A. Milano, J.G. Rogers, G.M. Felker, C.M. O'Connor, S.H. Shah, W. E. Kraus, Prognostic implications of long-chain Acylcarnitines in Heart failure and reversibility with mechanical circulatory support, J Am Coll Cardiol 67 (3) (2016) 291–299.
- [20] M. Ruiz, F. Labarthe, A. Fortier, B. Bouchard, J. Thompson Legault, V. Bolduc, O. Rigal, J. Chen, A. Ducharme, P.A. Crawford, J.C. Tardif, C., Des rosiers, circulating acylcarnitine profile in human heart failure: a surrogate of fatty acid metabolic dysregulation in mitochondria and beyond, Am. J. Phys. Heart Circ. Phys. 313 (4) (2017) H768–H781.
- [21] T.K.S. Ng, J.P. Kovalik, J. Ching, A.W. Chan, D.B. Matchar, Novel metabolomics markers are associated with pre-clinical decline in hand grip strength in community-dwelling older adults, Mech. Ageing Dev. 193 (2021) 111405.
- [22] K. Uchiyama, K. Adachi, K. Muraoka, T. Nakayama, T. Oshida, M. Yasuda, A. Hishikawa, H. Minakuchi, K. Miyashita, H. Tokuyama, S. Wakino, H. Itoh, Home-based aerobic exercise and resistance training for severe chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial, J. Cachexia. Sarcopenia Muscle 12 (6) (2021) 1789–1802.
- [23] A. Khositseth, S. Jirasakpisarn, S. Pakakasama, L. Choubtuym, D. Wattanasirichaigoon, Carnitine levels and cardiac functions in children with solid malignancies receiving doxorubicin therapy, indian journal of medical and paediatric oncology : official journal of indian Society of Medical & paediatric, Oncology 32 (1) (2011) 38–42.
- [24] Y. Hatanaka, T. Higuchi, Y. Akiya, T. Horikami, R. Tei, T. Furukawa, H. Takashima, H. Tomita, M. Abe, Prevalence of Carnitine deficiency and decreased Carnitine levels in patients on hemodialysis, Blood Purif. 47 (Suppl 2) (2019) 38–44.
- [25] T. Yasuda, T. Nakajima, T. Sawaguchi, N. Nozawa, T. Arakawa, R. Takahashi, Y. Mizushima, S. Katayanagi, K. Matsumoto, S. Toyoda, T. Inoue, Short physical performance battery for cardiovascular disease inpatients: implications for critical factors and sarcopenia, Sci. Rep. 7 (1) (2017) 17425.
- [26] T. Nakajima, I. Shibasaki, T. Sawaguchi, A. Haruyama, H. Kaneda, T. Nakajima, T. Hasegawa, T. Arikawa, S. Obi, M. Sakuma, H. Ogawa, S. Toyoda, F. Nakamura, S. Abe, H. Fukuda, T. Inoue, Growth differentiation Factor-15 (GDF-15) is a Biomarker of muscle wasting and renal dysfunction in preoperative Cardiovascular surgery patients, J. Clin. Med. 8 (10) (2019) 1576.
- [27] S. Hirose, T. Nakajima, N. Nozawa, S. Katayanagi, H. Ishizaka, Y. Mizushima, K. Matsumoto, K. Nishikawa, Y. Toyama, R. Takahashi, T. Arakawa, T. Yasuda, A. Haruyama, H. Yazawa, S. Yamaguchi, S. Toyoda, I. Shibasaki, T. Mizushima, H. Fukuda, T. Inoue, Phase angle as an indicator of Sarcopenia, malnutrition, and cachexia in inpatients with Cardiovascular diseases, J. Clin. Med. 9 (8) (2020) 2554.
- [28] L.K. Chen, L.K. Liu, J. Woo, P. Assantachai, T.W. Auyeung, K.S. Bahyah, M.Y. Chou, L.Y. Chen, P.S. Hsu, O. Krairit, J.S. Lee, W.J. Lee, Y. Lee, C.K. Liang, P. Limpawattana, C.S. Lin, L.N. Peng, S. Satake, T. Suzuki, C.W. Won, C.H. Wu, S. N. Wu, T. Zhang, P. Zeng, M. Akishita, H. Arai, Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the asian working Group for Sarcopenia, J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 15 (2) (2014) 95–101.
- [29] L. Meng, R. Yang, D. Wang, W. Wu, J. Shi, J. Shen, Y. Dang, G. Fan, H. Shi, J. Dong, H. Xi, P. Yu, Specific lysophosphatidylcholine and acylcarnitine related to sarcopenia and its components in older men, BMC Geriatr. 22 (1) (2022) 249.
- [30] A. Takagi, P. Hawke, S. Tokuda, T. Toda, K. Higashizono, E. Nagai, M. Watanabe, E. Nakatani, H. Kanemoto, N. Oba, Serum carnitine as a biomarker of sarcopenia and nutritional status in preoperative gastrointestinal cancer patients, J. Cachexia. Sarcopenia Muscle 13 (1) (2022) 287–295.
- [31] J. Yano, S. Ito, G. Kodama, Y. Nakayama, Y. Kaida, Y. Yokota, Y. Kinoshita, K. Tashiro, K. Fukami, Kinetics of serum Carnitine Fractions in patients with chronic kidney disease not on dialysis, Kurume Med. J. 66 (3) (2021) 153–160.
- [32] K. Uchiyama, S. Wakino, J. Irie, J. Miyamoto, A. Matsui, T. Tajima, T. Itoh, Y. Oshima, A. Yoshifuji, I. Kimura, H. Itoh, Contribution of uremic dysbiosis to insulin resistance and sarcopenia, Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation : Official Publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association - European Renal Association 35 (9) (2020) 1501–1517.
- [33] F. Wang, L. Sun, Q. Sun, L. Liang, X. Gao, R. Li, A. Pan, H. Li, Y. Deng, F.B. Hu, J. Wu, R. Zeng, X. Lin, Associations of plasma amino acid and Acylcarnitine profiles

with incident reduced Glomerular filtration rate, Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN 13 (4) (2018) 560–568.

- [34] F. Afshinnia, T.M. Rajendiran, T. Soni, J. Byun, S. Wernisch, K.M. Sas, J. Hawkins, K. Bellovich, D. Gipson, G. Michailidis, S. Pennathur, Impaired β-oxidation and altered complex lipid fatty acid Partitioning with advancing CKD, J Am Soc Nephrol 29 (1) (2018) 295–306.
- [35] H. Tyynismaa, C.J. Carroll, N. Raimundo, S. Ahola-Erkkilä, T. Wenz, H. Ruhanen, K. Guse, A. Hemminki, K.E. Peltola-Mjøsund, V. Tulkki, M. Oresic, C.T. Moraes, K. Pietiläinen, I. Hovatta, A. Suomalainen, Mitochondrial myopathy induces a starvation-like response, Hum. Mol. Genet. 19 (20) (2010) 3948–3958.
- [36] A. Morovat, G. Weerasinghe, V. Nesbitt, M. Hofer, T. Agnew, G. Quaghebeur, K. Sergeant, C. Fratter, N. Guha, M. Mirzazadeh, J. Poulton, Use of FGF-21 as a Biomarker of mitochondrial disease in clinical Practice, J. Clin. Med. 6 (8) (2017).
- [37] S.G. Kalko, S. Paco, C. Jou, M.A. Rodríguez, M. Meznaric, M. Rogac, M. Jekovec-Vrhovsek, M. Sciacco, M. Moggio, G. Fagiolari, B. De Paepe, L. De Meirleir, I. Ferrer, M. Roig-Quilis, F. Munell, J. Montoya, E. López-Gallardo, E. Ruiz-Pesini, R. Artuch, R. Montero, F. Torner, A. Nascimento, C. Ortez, J. Colomer, C. Jimenez-Mallebrera, Transcriptomic profiling of TK2 deficient human skeletal muscle suggests a role for the p53 signalling pathway and identifies growth and differentiation factor-15 as a potential novel biomarker for mitochondrial myopathies, BMC Genomics 15 (2014) 91.
- [38] Y.C. Woo, A. Xu, Y. Wang, K.S. Lam, Fibroblast growth factor 21 as an emerging metabolic regulator: clinical perspectives, Clin. Endocrinol. 78 (4) (2013) 489–496.
- [39] J.M. Lehtonen, S. Forsström, E. Bottani, C. Viscomi, O.R. Baris, H. Isoniemi, K. Höckerstedt, P. Österlund, M. Hurme, J. Jylhävä, S. Leppä, R. Markkula, T. Heliö, G. Mombelli, J. Uusimaa, R. Laaksonen, H. Laaksovirta, M. Auranen, M. Zeviani, J. Smeitink, R.J. Wiesner, K. Nakada, P. Isohanni, A. Suomalainen, FGF21 is a biomarker for mitochondrial translation and mtDNA maintenance disorders, Neurology 87 (22) (2016) 2290–2299.
- [40] S.A. Bloch, J.Y. Lee, S.J. Wort, M.I. Polkey, P.R. Kemp, M.J. Griffiths, Sustained elevation of circulating growth and differentiation factor-15 and a dynamic imbalance in mediators of muscle homeostasis are associated with the development of acute muscle wasting following cardiac surgery, Crit. Care Med. 41 (4) (2013) 982–989.
- [41] M.R. Bootcov, A.R. Bauskin, S.M. Valenzuela, A.G. Moore, M. Bansal, X.Y. He, H. P. Zhang, M. Donnellan, S. Mahler, K. Pryor, B.J. Walsh, R.C. Nicholson, W. D. Fairlie, S.B. Por, J.M. Robbins, S.N. Breit, MIC-1, a novel macrophage inhibitory cytokine, is a divergent member of the TGF-beta superfamily, PNAS 94 (21) (1997) 11514–11519.
- [42] L. Lerner, T.G. Hayes, N. Tao, B. Krieger, B. Feng, Z. Wu, R. Nicoletti, M.I. Chiu, J. Gyuris, J.M. Garcia, Plasma growth differentiation factor 15 is associated with weight loss and mortality in cancer patients, J. Cachexia. Sarcopenia Muscle 6 (4) (2015) 317–324.
- [43] V. Nair, C. Robinson-Cohen, M.R. Smith, K.A. Bellovich, Z.Y. Bhat, M. Bobadilla, F. Brosius, I.H. de Boer, L. Essioux, I. Formentini, C.A. Gadegbeku, D. Gipson, J. Hawkins, J. Himmelfarb, B. Kestenbaum, M. Kretzler, M.C. Magnone, K. Perumal, S. Steigerwalt, W. Ju, N. Bansal, Growth differentiation Factor-15 and risk of CKD progression, J Am Soc Nephrol 28 (7) (2017) 2233–2240.
- [44] K.N. Varhaug, O. Hikmat, H.L. Nakkestad, C.A. Vedeler, L.A. Bindoff, Serum biomarkers in primary mitochondrial disorders, Brain Communications 3 (1) (2021) fcaa222.
- [45] R.L. Davis, C. Liang, C.M. Sue, A comparison of current serum biomarkers as diagnostic indicators of mitochondrial diseases, Neurology 86 (21) (2016) 2010–2015.
- [46] L.G. Riley, M. Nafisinia, M.J. Menezes, R. Nambiar, A. Williams, E.H. Barnes, A. Selvanathan, K. Lichkus, D. Bratkovic, J. Yaplito-Lee, K. Bhattacharya, C. Ellaway, M. Kava, S. Balasubramaniam, J. Christodoulou, FGF21 outperforms GDF15 as a diagnostic biomarker of mitochondrial disease in children, Mol. Genet. Metab. 135 (1) (2022) 63–71.
- [47] R.E. Hubbard, M.S. O'Mahony, G.M. Savva, B.L. Calver, K.W. Woodhouse, Inflammation and frailty measures in older people, J. Cell Mol. Med. 13 (9b) (2009) 3103–3109.
- [48] A.E. Utech, E.M. Tadros, T.G. Hayes, J.M. Garcia, Predicting survival in cancer patients: the role of cachexia and hormonal, nutritional and inflammatory markers, J. Cachexia. Sarcopenia Muscle 3 (4) (2012) 245–251.
- [49] L.A. Schaap, S.M. Pluijm, D.J. Deeg, T.B. Harris, S.B. Kritchevsky, A.B. Newman, L. H. Colbert, M. Pahor, S.M. Rubin, F.A. Tylavsky, M. Visser, Higher inflammatory marker levels in older persons: associations with 5-year change in muscle mass and muscle strength, the journals of gerontology, Series a, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences 64 (11) (2009) 1183–1189.
- [50] S. von Haehling, L. Steinbeck, W. Doehner, J. Springer, S.D. Anker, Muscle wasting in heart failure: an overview, Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 45 (10) (2013) 2257–2265.
- [51] P.R. Kemp, R. Paul, A.C. Hinken, D. Neil, A. Russell, M.J. Griffiths, Metabolic profiling shows pre-existing mitochondrial dysfunction contributes to muscle loss in a model of ICU-acquired weakness, J. Cachexia. Sarcopenia Muscle 11 (5) (2020) 1321–1335.
- [52] J. Yano, Y. Kaida, T. Maeda, R. Hashida, T. Tonan, S. Nagata, T. Hazama, Y. Nakayama, S. Ito, Y. Kurokawa, T. Otome, R. Shibata, K. Tashiro, T. Kakuma, H. Matsuse, K. Fukami, l-carnitine supplementation vs cycle ergometer exercise for physical activity and muscle status in hemodialysis patients: a randomized clinical trial, therapeutic apheresis and dialysis : official peer-reviewed journal of the International Society for Apheresis, the japanese Society for Apheresis, the japanese Society for Dialysis, Therapy 25 (3) (2021) 304–313.