Review

Check for updates

CXCR4: from B-cell development to B cell–mediated diseases

Stéphane Giorgiutti^{1[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4791-9618)2,3}®, Julien Rottura², Anne-Sophie Korganow^{1,2,3}, Vincent Gies^{1,2,4}

Chemokine receptors are members of the G protein–coupled receptor superfamily. The C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), one of the most studied chemokine receptors, is widely expressed in hematopoietic and immune cell populations. It is involved in leukocyte trafficking in lymphoid organs and inflammatory sites through its interaction with its natural ligand CXCL12. CXCR4 assumes a pivotal role in B-cell development, ranging from early progenitors to the differentiation of antibodysecreting cells. This review emphasizes the significance of CXCR4 across the various stages of B-cell development, including central tolerance, and delves into the association between CXCR4 and B cell–mediated disorders, from immunodeficiencies such as WHIM (warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and myelokathexis) syndrome to autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus. The potential of CXCR4 as a therapeutic target is discussed, especially through the identification of novel molecules capable of modulating specific pockets of the CXCR4 molecule. These insights provide a basis for innovative therapeutic approaches in the field.

DOI [10.26508/lsa.202302465](https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302465) | Received 30 October 2023 | Revised 11 March 2024 | Accepted 12 March 2024 | Published online 22 March 2024

Introduction

Chemokine receptors are heptahelical surface molecules, typically coupled to G proteins, which respond to a large family of small secreted proteins. They play a pivotal role in cell migration, most notably leukocytes [\(Hughes & Nibbs, 2018](#page-8-0)). Among these receptors, the C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), alternatively known as a cluster of differentiation 184 (CD184) or fusin ([Murphy et al,](#page-8-1) [2000\)](#page-8-1), is a widely expressed chemokine receptor present in various tissues, including the brain, heart, and kidney. Its predominant expression is observed in hematopoietic and immune cells [\(Zou](#page-9-0) [et al, 1998;](#page-9-0) [Pawig et al, 2015](#page-8-2)). Along with its natural ligand CXCL12, also named SDF-1 (stromal cell–derived factor 1), CXCR4 plays important roles in leukocyte trafficking in lymphoid organs and

inflammatory sites [\(Janssens et al, 2018\)](#page-8-3). It has critical physiological roles from the earliest stages of embryonic development to the extent that the loss of CXCR4 function leads to lethality during the perinatal period in mice ([Ma et al, 1998](#page-8-4)). In pathological contexts, CXCR4 is implicated in both cancer development and progression, as well as viral infections, particularly in the case of HIV-1, where CXCR4 serves as the coreceptor for CD4 on T cells during infection ([Deng et al, 1996;](#page-7-0) [Guo et al, 2016\)](#page-7-1).

The significant role of CXCR4 in the development, differentiation, and homeostasis of B cells is less well known but is increasingly being demonstrated. Long-standing observations have established that mice lacking CXCL12 exhibit compromised maturation of B cells, indicating the key contribution of CXCR4 [\(Nagasawa et al, 1996\)](#page-8-5). In humans, gain-of-function (GOF) mutations in CXCR4 are associated with lymphopenia and hypogammaglobulinemia in the so-called WHIM (warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and myelokathexis) syndrome [\(Hernandez et al, 2003\)](#page-8-6).

In this review, we provide an overview that emphasizes key structural and signaling aspects of CXCR4 and underscores the significance of this receptor in various stages of B-cell development, spanning from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) to the emergence of antibody-producing cells. In addition, we explore the potential involvement of this receptor in pathological processes associated with non-malignant B-cell diseases.

CXCR4: structure and signaling

CXCR4 structure

CXCR4 is a member of the G protein–coupled receptor family, characterized by seven alpha helices that traverse the cellular membrane. Situated on chromosome 2, the CXCR4 gene encodes a 352–amino acid protein [\(Caruz et al, 1998\)](#page-7-2). The receptor's sequence is highly conserved between species, showing a sequence homology of ~91% between mice and humans, confirming its indispensable role in species evolution ([Heesen et al, 1996](#page-8-7); [Doranz et al,](#page-7-3) [1999](#page-7-3); [Wu et al, 2010](#page-9-1)).

Within the extracellular segment of CXCR4, two disulfide bonds establish a connection between the N-terminus and the second

Correspondence: Stephane.Giorgiutti@chru-strasbourg.fr

¹Department of Clinical Immunology and Internal Medicine, National Reference Center for Systemic Autoimmune Diseases (CNR RESO), Tertiary Center for Primary Immunodeficiency, Strasbourg University Hospital, Strasbourg, France ²INSERM UMR - S1109, Institut thématique interdisciplinaire (ITI) de Médecine de Précision de Strasbourg, Transplantex NG, Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire OMICARE, Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg (FMTS), Strasbourg, France ³Faculty of Medicine, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France "Faculty of Pharmacy, Université de Strasbourg, Illkirch, France

extracellular loop, forming the entrance of a ligand-binding pocket ([Wu et al, 2010\)](#page-9-1). The negative charges present in this pocket further facilitate the binding of CXCL12 ([Qin et al, 2015](#page-9-2)). The crystallographic structure reveals a division of the binding pocket into a major and a minor subpocket ([Scholten et al, 2012](#page-9-3); [Qin et al, 2015](#page-9-2)). The major subpocket is delineated by the side chains originating from alpha helices III, IV, V, VI, and VII, whereas the minor subpocket is defined by the side chains arising from alpha helices I, II, III, and VII ([Scholten et al, 2012](#page-9-3)). The precise interactions of CXCL12 with these two subpockets remain currently unclear ([Qin et al, 2015](#page-9-2); [Stephens](#page-9-4) [et al, 2020\)](#page-9-4).

The intracellular side of CXCR4, corresponding to three intracellular loops and the C-terminal domain, is structurally more conserved between species [\(Heesen et al, 1996;](#page-8-7) [Wu et al, 2010\)](#page-9-1). The C-terminal tail is rich in serine/threonine amino acids, constituting targets for phosphorylation events central in CXCR4's downstream signaling cascades and desensitization mechanisms [\(Busillo et al,](#page-7-4) [2010;](#page-7-4) [Cronshaw et al, 2010\)](#page-7-5). Remarkably, mutations truncating this C-terminal tail have been linked to the acquisition of enhanced CXCR4 function, a hallmark of WHIM syndrome (see paragraph "WHIM syndrome") [\(Hernandez et al, 2003](#page-8-6)).

CXCR4 signaling

CXCL12 binding to CXCR4 triggers a conformational change in CXCR4 that activates the heterotrimeric G protein. This activation leads to the exchange of GDP with GTP on the alpha subunit, and dissociation of Gα subunit from the Gβ/Gγ subunit [\(Oldham & Hamm,](#page-8-8) [2008\)](#page-8-8). Gα inhibits cyclic AMP production by adenylate cyclase but can activate the Ras/ERK1/2 pathway. Gβ/Gγ dimers trigger intracellular calcium mobilization through phospholipase C-β (PLCβ), and activate phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathways ([Scala, 2015](#page-9-5)). These intracellular signaling pathways induce various cellular responses, such as migration, chemotaxis, proliferation, and survival ([Scala, 2015\)](#page-9-5).

A desensitization process, initiated by the binding of CXCL12 to the receptor, involves the β-arrestin family of proteins. Indeed, activation of CXCR4 allows the recruitment of receptor kinases coupled to G protein kinases (i.e., GRK2, GRK3, GRK6). GRKs phosphorylate the serine–threonine residues of the C-terminal tail, leading to the recruitment of β -arrestins [\(Balabanian et al,](#page-6-0) [2008](#page-6-0); [Busillo et al, 2010\)](#page-7-4). β -arrestins interact with clathrin to internalize the receptor and uncouple it from the heterotrimeric G protein ([Claing et al, 2002](#page-7-6)). CXCR4 can then be recycled back to the plasma membrane or be targeted for lysosomal degradation by the E3 ubiquitin ligase atrophin-interacting protein 4 in a β-arrestin–dependent manner ([Bhandari et al, 2007](#page-6-1)). The β-arrestin role seems not limited to CXCR4 signaling desensitization, and may have a signaling role of its own, in particular, through ERK pathway activation ([Busillo et al, 2010](#page-7-4); [Jean-Charles](#page-8-9) [et al, 2017](#page-8-9)).

The dynamic spatial arrangement of the receptor at the cell membrane also plays a crucial role in its functions, although this aspect remains less understood (Martí[nez-Muñoz et al, 2018\)](#page-8-10). G protein–coupled receptors are organized as monomers, homo- or heterodimers, or even oligomers. CXCR4 can heterodimerize with ACKR3 (also known as CXCR7), an atypical chemokine receptor uncoupled to G proteins. ACKR3 is thought to be a CXCL12 scavenger receptor, and heterodimerization may modulate CXCR4-mediated responses ([Sierro et al, 2007](#page-9-6); [Levoye et al, 2009\)](#page-8-11).

CXCR4 in B-cell development and functions

CXCR4 is expressed on all B-cell subsets during B-cell development from HSCs to antibody-secreting cells. However, the level of the expression of the receptor varies over time, allowing B-lineage cells to progress in their development and maturation [\(Aiuti et al, 1997](#page-6-2); [Honczarenko et al, 1999](#page-8-12); [Palmesino et al, 2006\)](#page-8-13) [\(Fig 1\)](#page-2-0).

Central development: from HSCs to immature B cells

CXCR4 is already involved at a very early stage of hematopoiesis in the BM, maintaining a pool of quiescent HSCs. The CXCL12/CXCR4 axis, throughout contacts with the so-called CXCL12-abundant reticular (CAR) cells, maintains retention of HSCs within specialized niches [\(Sugiyama et al, 2006;](#page-9-7) [Tzeng et al, 2011](#page-9-8)). Indeed, the induced deletion of CXCR4 in adult mice leads to a reduction in the number of HSCs within the BM. HSCs, in the absence of CXCR4, demonstrate heightened vulnerability to myelosuppressive stress triggered by 5- fluorouracil and exit the G0 quiescent phase ([Sugiyama et al, 2006\)](#page-9-7). In accordance, the in vitro addition of CXCL12 inhibits the entry of murine HSCs into the cell cycle in a dose-dependent manner, confirming the central role of CXCR4 in governing HSC proliferation ([Nie et al, 2008\)](#page-8-14). Depletion of CAR cells in mice results in a reduced number of HSCs and an up-regulation of early myeloid selector genes, resembling the phenotype observed in wild-type HSCs cultured without a niche [\(Omatsu et al, 2010\)](#page-8-15). Conversely, aberrant CXCR4 signaling is also deleterious to generate early lymphoid progenitors, as the increased quiescence of short-term HSCs observed in CXCR4 GOF Cxcr4^{+/1013} mice impairs transition to multipotent progenitors and to the common lymphoid progenitor (CLP) ([Freitas et al, 2017](#page-7-7)). Hence, both CAR cells and a fine regulation of CXCR4 signaling are essential for the generation of lymphoid progenitors and for the maintenance of HSCs in an undifferentiated state [\(Omatsu et al, 2010](#page-8-15)).

Furthermore, CXCR4 enables CLP to position in the vicinity of IL7⁺CXCL12⁺ stromal cells, allowing the commitment of CLP in the B-lineage ([Tokoyoda et al, 2004](#page-9-9); [Cordeiro Gomes et al, 2016](#page-7-8); [Kaiser](#page-8-16) [et al, 2023](#page-8-16)). Subsequently, pro-B cells in contact with the IL-7– expressing cells proliferate, while remaining anchored within these niches ([Tokoyoda et al, 2004\)](#page-9-9) ([Fig 1\)](#page-2-0). During this stage, the IL-7 signaling pathway sustains the expression of CXCR4 and the adhesion protein FAK augmenting adherence to the stromal environment [\(Clark et al, 2014;](#page-7-9) [Fistonich et al, 2018](#page-7-10)). VDJ recombination ensues, leading to the formation of a functional immunoglobulin heavy chain (Igµ), constitutive of the pre-BCR [\(Clark et al, 2014\)](#page-7-9). Observations from high-power field confocal microscopy of mouse BM have revealed that small pre-B cells, which cease proliferating, tend to localize in proximity to stromal cells exhibiting low levels of IL-7 but high levels of CXCL12 [\(Mandal et al, 2019\)](#page-8-17). This strategic localization is attributed to the effect of the pre-BCR, which induces an up-regulation of CXCR4 expression through IRF4, concurrently down-regulating the expression of adhesion factors ([Mandal et al,](#page-8-17) [2019](#page-8-17)). This orchestrated response enables pre-B cells to disengage from IL-7–rich niches within the BM [\(Johnson et al, 2008](#page-8-18); [Fistonich](#page-7-10) [et al, 2018\)](#page-7-10). Between pre-B cells and immature/mature B cells, a

Figure 1. CXCR4 and B-cell development.

CXCR4 is involved in maintaining the HSC pool throughout contacts with CXCL12-abundant reticular cells in the BM. The level of CXCR4 expression varies during B-cell differentiation. Pro-B cells undergo intensive proliferation in the vicinity of IL-7⁺ CXCL12⁺ stromal cells. An up-regulation of CXCR4 expression characterizes pre-B-cell stage, with disengagement from IL-7–rich niches. Then, the low level of CXCR4 expression in immature B cells induces BM egress to secondary lymphoid organs (see paragraph "Central development: from hematopoietic stem cells to immature B cells"). During the T-dependent response in the germinal center, centroblasts in the dark zone, abundant in CXCL12-expressing stromal cells, strongly express CXCR4, and undergo proliferation and somatic hypermutation. Centrocytes down-regulate CXCR4 to enter the light zone through the CXCL13/CXCR5 axis. Plasma cells emerging from the germinal center re-express CXCR4 and accumulate in the BM, where some of them mature into long-lived plasma cells. Regulation of CXCR4 signaling is also required to limit extrafollicular response and avoid plasmablast accumulation in the BM (see paragraph "From mature B cells to antibodies response"). BCR, B-cell receptor; CAR, CXCL12-abundant reticular cells; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor; HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; SHM, somatic hypermutation. The figure was partly generated using Servier Medical Art, provided by Servier, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license.

twofold down-regulation of CXCR4 leads to a decreased response to CXCL12 in mice and allows the egress of these cells from the BM ([Honczarenko et al, 1999](#page-8-12); [Beck et al, 2014](#page-6-3)) [\(Fig 1\)](#page-2-0). Immature and mature B cells increase simultaneously CCR7 expression favoring the formation of CXCR4-CCR7 heterodimers, which may also impair CXCR4 signaling and induce BM exit ([Mcheik et al, 2019](#page-8-19)).

Discerning the direct and indirect effects of CXCR4 signaling in vivo poses a considerable challenge. Numerous data from studies conducted in artificial BM progenitor culture systems indicate that CXCR4 exerts effects beyond mere chemotaxis. In vitro, the expression of pre-BCR and evasion of IL-7 only result in minor effects on B-cell developmental transcriptional and epigenetic programs without CXCL12 [\(Mandal et al, 2019\)](#page-8-17). B220⁺IgM⁻ progenitors from WT mice, cultivated with a reduced IL-7 dose in the presence of CXCL12, have a transcriptomic profile of cell cycle repression and tend toward differentiation programs involving Irf4, Irf8, and Ikzf3 (encoding the transcription factor Aiolos) in an ERKdependent manner ([Mandal et al, 2019](#page-8-17)). Consistently, ATAC-seq experiments reveal that CXCR4 opens binding sites for transcription factors involved in late B lymphopoiesis (e.g., FOXO1, E2A) while

preventing the binding of factors such as MYC and STAT5, implicated in earlier processes [\(Mandal et al, 2019](#page-8-17)). CXCR4 is also involved in light-chain recombination in small pre-B cells, favoring Rag expression and Igk transcription [\(Mandal et al, 2019](#page-8-17); [McLean &](#page-8-20) [Mandal, 2020](#page-8-20)).

In addition to the pivotal role of CXCR4 in B-cell ontogeny, recent data highlight its involvement in central B-cell tolerance. In the $3-83$ Igi, H -2^b mb1Cre mouse model, autoreactive immature B cells, characterized by high avidity for the self-antigen MHC-I H-2K^b, have a 1.5-fold increase in CXCR4 expression compared with nonautoreactive cells, preventing them from migrating to the periphery ([Greaves et al, 2019;](#page-7-11) [Pelanda et al, 2022\)](#page-9-10). This CXCR4 higher expression in immature autoreactive B cells is also observed in a human immune system humanized mouse (HIS hu-mice), in which all mouse cells express a self-antigen that reacts with developing human Igk⁺ B cells [\(Alves da Costa et al, 2021](#page-6-4)). In this model, autoreactive immature B cells show a higher migration potential in response to CXCL12 than non–self-reactive immature B cells. Furthermore, treatment of these mice with a CXCR4 antagonist (AMD3100) results in a twofold increase in the frequency of

BEED Life Science Alliance

autoreactive B cells in the spleen after 48 h, confirming that CXCR4 plays functionally a key role in the retention of autoreactive B cells in the BM [\(Alves da Costa et al, 2021\)](#page-6-4).

More recently, Okoreeh et al suggested, with in vitro culture of B220⁺IgM⁻ progenitors, the direct requirement for CXCR4 signaling in orchestrating receptor editing and Igλ recombination [\(Okoreeh](#page-8-21) [et al, 2022](#page-8-21)). Indeed, CXCR4 deficiency impairs Igλ+ B-cell development, as CXCR4 signaling promotes chromatin accessibility of the Igλ locus and modulates accessibility at binding motifs for tran-scription factors critical in receptor editing ([Okoreeh et al, 2022](#page-8-21)).

Altogether, CXCR4 not only plays a role in B-cell development in BM but also contributes to central B-cell tolerance mechanisms.

From mature B cells to antibody response

In the periphery, CXCR4 function on mature naive B cells requires the expression of the IgD-BCR [\(Becker et al, 2017\)](#page-6-5). In mice, the absence of IgD results in the abolition of CXCL12-induced migration, underlining the pivotal role of IgD in this migratory process. CXCR4 signaling further activates the ERK and AKT pathways in an IgDdependent manner, and intriguingly, CD19 emerges as a potential intermediary between CXCR4 and IgD-BCR. This is supported by the restoration of CXCR4 signaling upon in vitro stimulation of CD19 in IgD-deficient B cells, indicating a functional connection between CXCR4, CD19, and IgD-BCR during B-cell activation [\(Becker et al,](#page-6-5) [2017\)](#page-6-5).

Furthermore, CXCR4 is essential for the T-dependent immune response notably through its role in the mobilization of centroblasts in the germinal center (GC) of secondary lymphoid organs. Centroblasts located in the dark zone of the GC, which contains a network of CXCL12-expressing reticular cells, exhibit strong CXCR4 expression in both mice and humans [\(Allen et al, 2004](#page-6-6); [Caron et al,](#page-7-12) [2009](#page-7-12); [Rodda et al, 2015\)](#page-9-11). In contrast, centrocytes down-regulate CXCR4, enabling them to leave the dark zone and to enter the light zone directed by the CXCL13/CXCR5 axis ([Allen et al, 2004](#page-6-6), [2007](#page-6-7); [Caron et al, 2009;](#page-7-12) [Victora et al, 2010](#page-9-12)) ([Fig 1](#page-2-0)). Multiple mechanisms may contribute to the differential CXCR4 expression between the light and dark zones. In the light zone, follicular dendritic cells express CD161, which is the binding partner for the C-type lectin-like receptor LLT1 found on the membranes of GC B cells. When human tonsillar GC B cells are exposed to recombinant human CD161 in culture, a decrease in CXCR4 expression is observed, indicating that CD161/LLT1 interactions could potentially trigger the phenotypic transition from the dark to the light zone ([Llibre et al, 2016](#page-8-22)). Another potential mechanism for explaining this transition comes from murine data, which demonstrate that IL-21 produced by follicular helper T cells, along with an increase in CD63 expression, facilitates internalization and may contribute to the down-regulation of CXCR4 in centrocytes ([Yoshida et al, 2011\)](#page-9-13). As a result, altered CXCR4 expression could have an impact on the homeostasis of the GC. In accordance with this, altered lymphoid follicle architecture has been reported in CXCR4 GOF Cxcr4^{+/1013} mice and in two histopathological reports of lymph nodes from WHIM patients, but the origin of these abnormalities is difficult to interpret in the context of WHIM-associated lymphopenia ([Zuelzer, 1964](#page-9-14); [Mentzer et al, 1977](#page-8-23); [Balabanian et al, 2012\)](#page-6-8).

Besides the GC, CXCR4 regulation is also important to control extrafollicular response, that is, the T-independent immune

response, which serves as the primary line of defense during infections. In CXCR4 GOF Cxcr4+/1013 mice, the defect of CXCR4 desensitization leads to an exacerbated extrafollicular B-cell response, marked by an increase in IgM⁺ splenic plasmablasts and an elevation in total IgM serum titers after T-independent antigen immunization [\(Alouche et al, 2021\)](#page-6-9). Accordingly, in vitro plasmablast differentiation, with TLR4 ligands, of splenic B cells from Cxcr4^{+/1013} mice is increased compared with controls [\(Alouche et al, 2021\)](#page-6-9). Mechanistically, the persistence of CXCR4 signaling favors B-cell entry into the cell cycle through the mTORC1 pathway and promotes differentiation into extrafollicular plasmablasts [\(Alouche et al,](#page-6-9) [2021\)](#page-6-9). Furthermore, $Cxcr4^{+/1013}$ mice exhibit a fivefold increase in BM plasmablast cells compared with WT mice 3 d after immunization ([Alouche et al, 2021\)](#page-6-9). Interestingly, this finding is corroborated in humans, as WHIM patients display a significant increase in CD19+ CD138[−] plasmablasts in the BM compared with healthy donors ([Alouche et al, 2021\)](#page-6-9). Regulation of CXCR4 expression is therefore necessary to contain the extrafollicular response and the medullary tropism of plasmablasts.

Antigen-specific antibody-producing cells that emerge from the GC also re-express CXCR4, enabling them to accumulate within the BM, where some mature into long-lived plasma cells [\(Hargreaves](#page-7-13) [et al, 2001;](#page-7-13) [Hauser et al, 2002\)](#page-7-14) ([Fig 1\)](#page-2-0). Immunohistological analysis of WT mouse BMs confirms that almost all plasma cells cluster in niches with cells expressing CXCL12 [\(Tokoyoda et al, 2004\)](#page-9-9). This localization is necessary for plasma cells to benefit from a favorable microenvironment, in particular, to be exposed to survival factors such as APRIL ([Cassese et al, 2003;](#page-7-15) [Belnoue et al, 2012](#page-6-10)). A defect in CXCR4 expression in plasma cells results in their accumulation in the spleen and peripheral blood in mice [\(Hargreaves et al, 2001](#page-7-13); [Nie](#page-8-24) [et al, 2004;](#page-8-24) [Tokoyoda et al, 2004](#page-9-9)), and through time-lapse intravital tibial imaging, Benet et al demonstrate that blocking CXCR4 with an antagonist, AMD3100, inhibits intra-BM plasma cell dynamics [\(Benet](#page-6-11) [et al, 2021\)](#page-6-11). Paradoxically, in CXCR4 GOF mice, GC-derived antigenspecific plasma cells fail to accumulate in the BM and to induce a long-term immune response ([Biajoux et al, 2016](#page-7-16)). This highlights our incomplete understanding of plasma cell homing and survival, both within and outside the BM environment. However, this counterintuitive finding could be explained by the exacerbated extrafollicular reaction in this mouse model, leading to the accumulation of low-affinity extrafollicular plasmablasts, which competes with antibody-producing cells from the GC reaction for the BM niches ([Alouche et al, 2021](#page-6-9)). This is consistent with a defect of durable humoral T-dependent immune response (i.e., after vaccination) observed in some WHIM patients ([Gulino et al, 2004;](#page-7-17) [Handisurya](#page-7-18) [et al, 2010](#page-7-18)).

Altogether, a fine regulation of CXCR4 signaling is necessary to obtain a specific and durable humoral response. The apparent contradiction between its roles in plasma cell differentiation and HSC quiescence underscores CXCR4's context-dependent functions across cell types.

B cell–mediated disease and CXCR4

The activation of the CXCR4/CXCL12 axis is a frequent event in a wide spectrum of pathological conditions [\(Berger et al, 1999](#page-6-12); [Balkwill,](#page-6-13) [2004](#page-6-13); [Teicher & Fricker, 2010\)](#page-9-15). Given its pivotal involvement in B-cell homeostasis, and beyond its extensively documented role in B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders ([Du et al, 2019](#page-7-19); [Kaiser et al, 2021\)](#page-8-25), CXCR4 can play a contributory role, if not a central one, in nonmalignant B-cell disorders, ranging from immunodeficiencies to autoimmune diseases.

WHIM syndrome

WHIM (warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and myelokathexis) syndrome is an autosomal dominant primary immunodeficiency caused by GOF mutations of CXCR4 ([Hernandez et al, 2003\)](#page-8-6). The pathological variants affect the C-terminal region and lead to defective internalization of CXCR4 [\(Geier et al, 2022](#page-7-20)). In a 66-patient cohort, 92% of the patients developed infections including otitis, pneumonia, and human papillomavirus–related manifestations ([Geier et al, 2022\)](#page-7-20). 88% of patients suffer from lymphopenia involving both T and B cells, and 65% have hypogammaglobulinemia ([Geier et al, 2022\)](#page-7-20). Circulating B-cell immunophenotyping shows a reduction in both unswitched and switched circulating memory B cells [\(Gulino et al, 2004;](#page-7-17) [Mc Guire et al, 2010\)](#page-8-26). Circulating B cells from WHIM patients are more prone to apoptosis and to spontaneous up-regulation of the activation marker CD69 compared with healthy donors [\(Roselli et al, 2017\)](#page-9-16). In vitro, CXCL12 induces an aberrant costimulatory signal after BCR activation in contrast to B cells from healthy subjects, which may lead to a form of activation-induced cell death ([Roselli et al, 2017](#page-9-16)). The sustained response to vaccination seems impaired in WHIM patients, because of a humoral response that is not maintained over time ([Gulino](#page-7-17) [et al, 2004;](#page-7-17) [Handisurya et al, 2010;](#page-7-18) [Badolato et al, 2017\)](#page-6-14). In this way, after a neoantigen challenge (bacteriophage immunization) of a patient, Mc Guire et al were able to demonstrate an abnormal isotype switching with a reduction in phage-specific IgG antibodies (3.3% IgG compared with 47% for controls), although the primary IgM response was normal ([Mc Guire et al, 2010\)](#page-8-26). Given the major role of CXCR4 in the organization of the GC, abnormalities in leukocyte trafficking in the GC may explain this humoral response defect ([Allen et al, 2004;](#page-6-6) [Mc Guire et al, 2010](#page-8-26)). However, data from the $Cxcr4^{+/1013}$ mouse model suggest instead a defect in the regulation of plasma cells homing in BM niches, with an aberrant accumulation of immature plasmablasts (see paragraph "CXCR4 in B-cell development and functions"). This remains to be demonstrated in the human disease, especially as mice do not develop hypogammaglobulinemia, but it is an interesting avenue of research to better understand the humoral response in WHIM [\(Alouche et al,](#page-6-9) [2021\)](#page-6-9).

The management of humoral immunodeficiency in WHIM is based on a symptomatic treatment with immunoglobulin supplementation, in addition to the management of neutropenia with G-CSF and antibiotic prophylaxis [\(Badolato et al, 2017\)](#page-6-14). Administration of a CXCR4 antagonist, AMD310 or plerixafor, increases the total number of circulating B cells, but these are essentially naive B cells, and it does not improve hypogammaglobulinemia ([McDermott et al, 2014,](#page-8-27) [2023\)](#page-8-28). Consistently, mavorixafor, an oral CXCR4 antagonist, increases the absolute number of lymphocytes and may improve the infection rate in WHIM, but the specific effect on the humoral response is not known at present ([Dale et al, 2020](#page-7-21); [Badolato & Donadieu, 2023\)](#page-6-15). It is noteworthy that one spontaneous cure of WHIM syndrome has been previously reported through

chromothripsis, a cellular event in which chromosomes undergo massive deletion and rearrangement. In this patient, deletions of one copy of chromosome 2, including the disease allele $CXCR4^{R334X}$, resulted in cure. Transplantation experiments in the mouse model significantly improved donor BM engraftment over BM from wild-type or WHIM syndrome model mice. This suggests that partial CXCR4 inactivation may serve as a broadly applicable strategy to HSC engraftment in transplantation ([McDermott et al,](#page-8-29) [2015](#page-8-29)).

B cell–mediated autoimmune diseases

As previously described, CXCR4 contributes to central B-cell tolerance, thereby indicating the potential involvement of the CXCL12/ CXCR4 axis in autoimmune diseases. However, few data are available on the role of CXCR4 in B cell–mediated autoimmune diseases.

In mouse models with active lupus nephritis, such as MRL/lpr or BXSB mice, a strain in which TLR7 is overexpressed, an increase in the expression of CXCR4 on the surface of B cells is observed ([Wang](#page-9-17) [et al, 2009\)](#page-9-17). In accordance, the overexpression of CXCR4 has been demonstrated on peripheral blood B cells from active systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients, especially those with lupus nephritis, a complication associated with heightened disease severity in flares-up ([Wang et al, 2010](#page-9-18); [Hanaoka et al, 2015](#page-7-22); [Zhao et al,](#page-9-19) [2017\)](#page-9-19). Concordantly, B cells from active SLE demonstrate an increased migration ability in a transwell-based chemotaxis assay compared with inactive patients and healthy controls [\(Hanaoka](#page-7-22) [et al, 2015](#page-7-22)). A positive correlation between the CXCR4 level on B cells and the SLEDAI score (i.e., a disease activity score), as well as a negative correlation with the serum complement C3 level, indicative of increased consumption attributed to immune complex formation and complement activation, was further described [\(Zhao et al,](#page-9-19) [2017\)](#page-9-19).

In addition, a higher frequency of CXCR4-positive B cells is found in the interstitial lesions of lupus nephritis ([Hanaoka et al,](#page-7-22) [2015](#page-7-22); [Ma et al, 2018](#page-8-30)). Using a single-cell RNA-sequencing strategy, Arazi et al recently confirmed this observation by comparing the immune cell landscape in kidney biopsies from 24 patients with lupus nephritis and 10 living donors. CXCR4 was strongly expressed in nearly all the cell clusters including all B-cell subtypes (naive B cells, activated B cells, plasma cells, and plasmablasts) ([Arazi et al, 2019](#page-6-16)). Concerning CXCL12, both NZB/W mice and human SLE kidneys exhibit an increase in positive cell frequency ([Balabanian et al, 2003](#page-6-17); [Wang et al, 2010](#page-9-18)). CXCL12 is concurrently expressed in the kidney epithelial cells and M2-like CD16⁺ macrophages ([Arazi et al, 2019](#page-6-16)). CXCL12 concentrations in the serum of patients remain nevertheless in the normal range ([Hanaoka et al, 2015\)](#page-7-22). Overall, the data obtained in both mice and humans suggest that a defect in the regulation of CXCR4 expression in B cells associated with an increase in CXCL12 expression in the kidney contributes to the immune infiltration of kidneys in active SLE. Of note, earlier studies reported a downregulation of CXCR4 in B cells from SLE patients compared with healthy donors ([Henneken et al, 2005](#page-8-31); [Biajoux et al, 2012](#page-6-18)). The discrepant results of these two studies may be attributed to a more heterogeneous patient population in terms of clinical manifestations, particularly lupus nephritis, and a less stringent definition of active disease (SLEDAI score cutoff retained at 3 in [Biajoux et al \(2012\)](#page-6-18) versus 5–10 in other studies).

More recently, an increase in TLR4⁺CXCR4⁺ double-positive circulating plasma cells has been described in active SLE patients, which also correlates with anti-dsDNA antibody titer, proteinuria, and SLEDAI ([Ma et al, 2018\)](#page-8-30). TLR4⁺CXCR4⁺ are terminally differentiated plasma cells, which demonstrate the capacity for producing IgG anti-dsDNA ([Ma et al, 2018](#page-8-30)). Transfer of murine TLR4*CXCR4* plasma cells into RAG-2–deficient mice induces autoantibody production and immune complex glomerulonephritis, demonstrating the role of these cells in lupus nephritis pathogenesis [\(Ma](#page-8-30) [et al, 2018\)](#page-8-30). Recent work by Chen et al seems to confirm the existence of a circulating population of mature autoantibodyproducing cells expressing high levels of CXCR4 in patients with active SLE ([Chen et al, 2023](#page-7-23)). These cells appear to develop prosurvival mechanisms, notably through the autocrine production of IL-10 and APRIL [\(Chen et al, 2023](#page-7-23)).

The mechanism explaining the increase in CXCR4 expression in active SLE has not been fully elucidated yet. JAK/STAT and PI3K/AKT pathways may be involved, as in vitro blockade of both pathways inhibits CXCR4 expression in B cells from SLE patients [\(Zhao et al,](#page-9-19) [2017\)](#page-9-19). Zhao et al showed an inhibition of CD63 transcription, a protein that promotes trafficking of CXCR4 toward endosomes, in B cells from SLE patients compared with healthy controls. They hypothesized that the PI3K/AKT pathway in SLE contributes to CD63 down-regulation and therefore to the defect in CXCR4 internalization [\(Zhao et al, 2017\)](#page-9-19).

Involvement of the CXCR4/CXCL12 axis is also reported in other autoimmune diseases. In active rheumatoid arthritis (RA), circulating B-cell phenotyping reveals an enhanced expression of CXCR4 compared with healthy individuals [\(Mahmood et al, 2020](#page-8-32)). In these patients, CXCR4⁺ terminally differentiated plasma cells accumulate in the synovial tissue, thanks to the high expression of CXCL12 in the supporting tissue ([Hardt et al, 2022\)](#page-7-24). In primary Sjögren's syndrome, circulating B cells also overexpress CXCR4, but Hansen et al were not able to demonstrate an enhanced migratory response to CXCL12 ([Hansen et al, 2005](#page-7-25)). In bullous pemphigoid, CXCR4 expression appears increased on peripheral B cells from patients compared with controls, and a high level of CXCL12 was measured in bullous fluid, potentially attracting B cells to skin lesions. Interestingly, in vitro analysis reveals that binding of CXCL12 to CXCR4 on B cells from patients leads to plasma cell differentiation and autoantibody production in a c-Myc–dependent manner ([Fang et al, 2023](#page-7-26)).

Given these results, it is essential to explore B cells in diverse autoantibody-mediated immune diseases, in order to consider targeting CXCR4.

A new place for targeting CXCR4 in B cells?

For several years, CXCR4 has captivated drug discovery efforts ([Adlere et al, 2019](#page-6-19)). However, despite the numerous data available concerning CXCR4, there remains a limited understanding of the various facets related to ligand-binding and signaling mechanisms at the molecular level, which impedes the progression of new discoveries. Recent investigations reveal that targeting of the minor allosteric subpocket yields an immunomodulatory effect (see paragraph "CXCR4 structure"), contributing to the heightened

interest in this receptor in the context of autoimmunity and inflammation [\(Smith et al, 2019\)](#page-9-20).

So far, plerixafor or AMD3100 remains the only treatment targeting CXCR4 approved in routine use for the mobilization and collection of HSCs in autologous stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma or lymphoma [\(DiPersio et al, 2009](#page-7-27)). This reversible CXCR4 antagonist is a bicyclam molecule, which binds mainly to the major binding subpocket of the receptor ([Rosenkilde](#page-9-21) [et al, 2007](#page-9-21)). AMD3100 and other CXCR4 antagonists were tested in oncology and HIV, but with low efficacy and high toxicity ([Caspar](#page-7-28) [et al, 2022](#page-7-28)). In NZB/W lupus mice, AMD3100 has been tested after short-term depletion of antibody-secreting cells with bortezomib. This treatment delays the occurrence of proteinuria and significantly improves survival, rising from 20% in the control group to 60% at 30 wk of life ([Cheng et al, 2018](#page-7-29)). Likewise, the early administration of a monoclonal antibody targeting CXCL12 to NZB/W mice reduces anti-dsDNA IgG levels, postpones the initiation of proteinuria, and extends the lifespan of the animals. Indeed, at 40 wk of age, only 10% of the mice in the control group remained alive, contrasting with an 80% survival rate in the treatment group ([Balabanian et al, 2003\)](#page-6-17).

Recently, an unexpected immunomodulatory role of CXCR4 has emerged. Small peptides including endogenous monoamines such as histamine, clobenpropit (CB), a synthetic peptide derived from histamine, and IT1t, an isothiourea amine, inhibited proinflammatory cytokine production after stimulation of resiquimod, a TLR7 and TLR8 agonist [\(Smith et al, 2017,](#page-9-22) [2019](#page-9-20)). In vivo, daily intraperitoneal administration of IT1t over a 10-wk period in pristane-induced SLE mice was well tolerated and lowered IFN-α, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-17 serum levels compared with untreated mice ([Smith et al, 2019\)](#page-9-20). Furthermore, IT1t administration diminished anti-dsDNA production and the occurrence of lupus nephritis in mice [\(Smith et al, 2019](#page-9-20)). In line with these observations, PBMCs from SLE patients incubated with IT1t showed a decreased TLR7 mediated IFN-α production [\(Smith et al, 2019](#page-9-20)). Pro-inflammatory cytokines and the transcription of interferon-stimulated genes were also normalized in PBMCs from four juvenile SLE patients after IT1t incubation ([Smith et al, 2019\)](#page-9-20). Thanks to crystallographic analysis, IT1t is now known to mainly target the minor subpocket of CXCR4, which suggests a pivotal role of the minor pocket in these immunomodulatory effects ([Wu et al, 2010](#page-9-1)).

Molecular mechanisms remain nevertheless to be determined. Gaining a more comprehensive understanding of the CXCR4 minor pocket's role and function is thus an intriguing research avenue, with the aim of potentially turning it into a therapeutic target in autoimmune diseases.

Conclusion

The CXCR4 axis plays an undeniable role in orchestrating the progression of B cells throughout their developmental journey and maturation into antibody-producing cells. Precisely timed and finely regulated CXCR4 signaling, and desensitization are indispensable for maintaining B-cell homeostasis, as evidenced by the manifestation of CXCR4 GOF mutations in mice and WHIM patients.

Dysregulations within this pathway can lead to a spectrum of B cell–mediated disorders spanning from immunodeficiency to lymphoproliferative and autoimmune conditions. Although its role is not fully elucidated and requires further exploration, recent advancements in understanding the structural intricacies of CXCR4, coupled with the development of small peptides targeting the receptor's minor subpocket, hold promise for unveiling novel therapeutic avenues in this field.

Pending issues

- i Clarify the role of CXCR4 in B-cell tolerance and the retention of autoreactive B cells in the BM.
- ii Further investigate the role of CXCR4 in autoimmune diseases, such as SLE, for the potential consideration of CXCR4 as a therapeutic target.
- iii Decipher the function of the CXCR4 minor pocket in B cells and unravel the associated molecular mechanisms.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information is available at [https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.](https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302465) [202302465](https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202302465).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Direction de la Recherche Clinique et des Innovations (DRCI) from the Strasbourg University Hospital for supporting article processing charges. We also thank the Agence Nationale de la Recherche for its financial support (grant number ANR-21-CE15-0048 X4Inflam).

Author Contributions

- S Giorgiutti: writing—original draft, review, and editing.
- J Rottura: writing—original draft, review, and editing.
- A-S Korganow: writing—original draft, review, and editing.
- V Gies: writing—original draft, review, and editing.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Adlere I, Caspar B, Arimont M, Dekkers S, Visser K, Stuijt J, de Graaf C, Stocks M, Kellam B, Briddon S, et al (2019) Modulators of CXCR4 and CXCR7/ ACKR3 function. Mol Pharmacol 96: 737–752. doi[:10.1124/mol.119.117663](https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.119.117663)
- Aiuti A, Webb IJ, Bleul C, Springer T, Gutierrez-Ramos JC (1997) The chemokine SDF-1 is a chemoattractant for human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells and provides a new mechanism to explain the mobilization of CD34+ progenitors to peripheral blood. J Exp Med 185: 111–120. doi:[10.1084/jem.185.1.111](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.185.1.111)
- Allen CDC, Ansel KM, Low C, Lesley R, Tamamura H, Fujii N, Cyster JG (2004) Germinal center dark and light zone organization is mediated by CXCR4 and CXCR5. Nat Immunol 5: 943–952. doi:[10.1038/ni1100](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1100)
- Allen CDC, Okada T, Cyster JG (2007) Germinal-center organization and cellular dynamics. Immunity 27: 190–202. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.07.009) [j.immuni.2007.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.07.009)
- Alouche N, Bonaud A, Rondeau V, Hussein-Agha R, Nguyen J, Bisio V, Khamyath M, Crickx E, Setterblad N, Dulphy N, et al (2021) Hematologic disorder-associated Cxcr4 gain-of-function mutation leads to uncontrolled extrafollicular immune response. Blood 137: 3050–3063. doi[:10.1182/blood.2020007450](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007450)
- Alves da Costa T, Peterson JN, Lang J, Shulman J, Liang X, Freed BM, Boackle SA, Lauzurica P, Torres RM, Pelanda R (2021) Central human B cell tolerance manifests with a distinctive cell phenotype and is enforced via CXCR4 signaling in hu-mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118: e2021570118. doi[:10.1073/pnas.2021570118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021570118)
- Arazi A, Rao DA, Berthier CC, Davidson A, Liu Y, Hoover PJ, Chicoine A, Eisenhaure TM, Jonsson AH, Li S, et al (2019) The immune cell landscape in kidneys of patients with lupus nephritis. Nat Immunol 20: 902–914. doi:[10.1038/s41590-019-0398-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0398-x)
- Badolato R, Donadieu J (2023) Results of a phase 3 trial of an oral CXCR4 antagonist, mavorixafor, for treatment of patients with WHIM syndrome. Clin Immunol 250: 109349. doi[:10.1016/j.clim.2023.109349](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2023.109349)
- Badolato R, Donadieu J, WHIM Research Group (2017) How I treat warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and myelokathexis syndrome. Blood 130: 2491–2498. doi:[10.1182/blood-2017-02-708552](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-02-708552)
- Balabanian K, Couderc J, Bouchet-Delbos L, Amara A, Berrebi D, Foussat A, Baleux F, Portier A, Durand-Gasselin I, Coffman RL, et al (2003) Role of the chemokine stromal cell-derived factor 1 in autoantibody production and nephritis in murine lupus. J Immunol 170: 3392–3400. doi[:10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3392](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3392)
- Balabanian K, Levoye A, Klemm L, Lagane B, Hermine O, Harriague J, Baleux F, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Bachelerie F (2008) Leukocyte analysis from WHIM syndrome patients reveals a pivotal role for GRK3 in CXCR4 signaling. J Clin Invest 118: 1074–1084. doi[:10.1172/JCI33187](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI33187)
- Balabanian K, Brotin E, Biajoux V, Bouchet-Delbos L, Lainey E, Fenneteau O, Bonnet D, Fiette L, Emilie D, Bachelerie F (2012) Proper desensitization of CXCR4 is required for lymphocyte development and peripheral compartmentalization in mice. Blood 119: 5722–5730. doi:[10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-01-403378) [blood-2012-01-403378](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-01-403378)
- Balkwill F (2004) The significance of cancer cell expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR4. Semin Cancer Biol 14: 171–179. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2003.10.003) [j.semcancer.2003.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2003.10.003)
- Beck TC, Gomes AC, Cyster JG, Pereira JP (2014) CXCR4 and a cell-extrinsic mechanism control immature B lymphocyte egress from bone marrow. J Exp Med 211: 2567–2581. doi:[10.1084/jem.20140457](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20140457)
- Becker M, Hobeika E, Jumaa H, Reth M, Maity PC (2017) CXCR4 signaling and function require the expression of the IgD-class B-cell antigen receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114: 5231–5236. doi[:10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621512114) [pnas.1621512114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621512114)
- Belnoue E, Tougne C, Rochat A-F, Lambert P-H, Pinschewer DD, Siegrist C-A (2012) Homing and adhesion patterns determine the cellular composition of the bone marrow plasma cell niche. J Immunol 188: 1283–1291. doi:[10.4049/jimmunol.1103169](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103169)
- Benet Z, Jing Z, Fooksman DR (2021) Plasma cell dynamics in the bone marrow niche. Cell Rep 34: 108733. doi:[10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108733](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108733)
- Berger EA, Murphy PM, Farber JM (1999) Chemokine receptors as HIV-1 coreceptors: Roles in viral entry, tropism, and disease. Annu Rev Immunol 17: 657–700. doi[:10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.657](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.657)
- Bhandari D, Trejo J, Benovic JL, Marchese A (2007) Arrestin-2 interacts with the ubiquitin-protein isopeptide ligase atrophin-interacting protein 4 and mediates endosomal sorting of the chemokine receptor CXCR4. J Biol Chem 282: 36971–36979. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M705085200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M705085200)
- Biajoux V, Bignon A, Freitas C, Martinez V, Thelen M, Lima G, Jakez-Ocampo J, Emilie D, Llorente L, Balabanian K (2012) Expression of CXCL12 receptors in B cells from Mexican Mestizos patients with systemic

Lupus erythematosus. J Transl Med 10: 251. doi[:10.1186/1479-5876-10-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-251) [251](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-251)

- Biajoux V, Natt J, Freitas C, Alouche N, Sacquin A, Hemon P, Gaudin F, Fazilleau N, Espeli M, Balabanian K (2016) Efficient plasma cell differentiation and trafficking require Cxcr4 desensitization. Cell Rep 17: 193–205. doi[:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.068)
- Busillo JM, Armando S, Sengupta R, Meucci O, Bouvier M, Benovic JL (2010) Site-specific phosphorylation of CXCR4 is dynamically regulated by multiple kinases and results in differential modulation of CXCR4 signaling. J Biol Chem 285: 7805–7817. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M109.091173](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.091173)
- Caron G, Le Gallou S, Lamy T, Tarte K, Fest T (2009) CXCR4 expression functionally discriminates centroblasts versus centrocytes within human germinal center B cells. J Immunol 182: 7595–7602. doi[:10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0804272) [jimmunol.0804272](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0804272)
- Caruz A, Samsom M, Alonso JM, Alcami J, Baleux F, Virelizier JL, Parmentier M, Arenzana-Seisdedos F (1998) Genomic organization and promoter characterization of human CXCR4 gene. FEBS Lett 426: 271–278. doi[:10.1016/s0014-5793\(98\)00359-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(98)00359-7)
- Caspar B, Cocchiara P, Melet A, Van Emelen K, Van der Aa A, Milligan G, Herbeuval J-P (2022) CXCR4 as a novel target in immunology: Moving away from typical antagonists. Future Drug Discov 4: FDD77. doi[:10.4155/fdd-2022-0007](https://doi.org/10.4155/fdd-2022-0007)
- Cassese G, Arce S, Hauser AE, Lehnert K, Moewes B, Mostarac M, Muehlinghaus G, Szyska M, Radbruch A, Manz RA (2003) Plasma cell survival is mediated by synergistic effects of cytokines and adhesiondependent signals. J Immunol 171: 1684–1690. doi[:10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.4.1684) [jimmunol.171.4.1684](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.4.1684)
- Chen W, Hong SH, Jenks S, Anam F, Tipton C, Woodruff M, Hom J, Cashman K, Faliti C, Wang X, et al (2023) SLE antibody-secreting cells are characterized by enhanced peripheral maturation and survival programs. Res Sq 3: rs-3016327. doi:[10.21203/rs.3.rs-3016327/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3016327/v1)
- Cheng Q, Khodadadi L, Taddeo A, Klotsche J, F Hoyer B, Radbruch A, Hiepe F (2018) CXCR4-CXCL12 interaction is important for plasma cell homing and survival in NZB/W mice. Eur J Immunol 48: 1020–1029. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201747023) [eji.201747023](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201747023)
- Claing A, Laporte SA, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ (2002) Endocytosis of G proteincoupled receptors: roles of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and beta-arrestin proteins. Prog Neurobiol 66: 61-79. doi:[10.1016/s0301-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(01)00023-5) [0082\(01\)00023-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0082(01)00023-5)
- Clark MR, Mandal M, Ochiai K, Singh H (2014) Orchestrating B cell lymphopoiesis through interplay of IL-7 receptor and pre-B cell receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol 14: 69–80. doi:[10.1038/nri3570](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3570)
- Cordeiro Gomes A, Hara T, Lim VY, Herndler-Brandstetter D, Nevius E, Sugiyama T, Tani-Ichi S, Schlenner S, Richie E, Rodewald H-R, et al (2016) Hematopoietic stem cell niches Produce lineage-instructive signals to control multipotent progenitor differentiation. Immunity 45: 1219–1231. doi:[10.1016/j.immuni.2016.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.11.004)
- Cronshaw DG, Nie Y, Waite J, Zou Y-R (2010) An essential role of the cytoplasmic tail of CXCR4 in G-protein signaling and organogenesis. PLoS One 5: e15397. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0015397](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015397)
- Dale DC, Firkin F, Bolyard AA, Kelley M, Makaryan V, Gorelick KJ, Ebrahim T, Garg V, Tang W, Jiang H, et al (2020) Results of a phase 2 trial of an oral CXCR4 antagonist, mavorixafor, for treatment of WHIM syndrome. Blood 136: 2994–3003. doi:[10.1182/blood.2020007197](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007197)
- Deng H, Liu R, Ellmeier W, Choe S, Unutmaz D, Burkhart M, Di Marzio P, Marmon S, Sutton RE, Hill CM, et al (1996) Identification of a major coreceptor for primary isolates of HIV-1. Nature 381: 661–666. doi[:10.1038/381661a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/381661a0)
- DiPersio JF, Stadtmauer EA, Nademanee A, Micallef INM, Stiff PJ, Kaufman JL, Maziarz RT, Hosing C, Früehauf S, Horwitz M, et al (2009) Plerixafor and G-CSF versus placebo and G-CSF to mobilize hematopoietic stem cells for autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma. Blood 113: 5720–5726. doi[:10.1182/blood-2008-08-174946](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-08-174946)
- Doranz BJ, Orsini MJ, Turner JD, Hoffman TL, Berson JF, Hoxie JA, Peiper SC, Brass LF, Doms RW (1999) Identification of CXCR4 domains that support coreceptor and chemokine receptor functions. J Virol 73: 2752–2761. doi:[10.1128/JVI.73.4.2752-2761.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.4.2752-2761.1999)
- Du H, Gao L, Luan J, Zhang H, Xiao T (2019) C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 in diffuse large B cell lymphoma: Achievements and challenges. Acta Haematol 142: 64–70. doi[:10.1159/000497430](https://doi.org/10.1159/000497430)
- Fang H, Xue K, Cao T, Li Q, Dang E, Liu Y, Zhang J, Qiao P, Chen J, Ma J, et al (2023) CXCL12/CXCR4 Axis drives the chemotaxis and differentiation of B cells in bullous pemphigoid. J Invest Dermatol 143: 197–208.e6. doi[:10.1016/j.jid.2022.08.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2022.08.041)
- Fistonich C, Zehentmeier S, Bednarski JJ, Miao R, Schjerven H, Sleckman BP, Pereira JP (2018) Cell circuits between B cell progenitors and IL-7+ mesenchymal progenitor cells control B cell development. J Exp Med 215: 2586–2599. doi:[10.1084/jem.20180778](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180778)
- Freitas C, Wittner M, Nguyen J, Rondeau V, Biajoux V, Aknin M-L, Gaudin F, Beaussant-Cohen S, Bertrand Y, Bellanné-Chantelot C, et al (2017) Lymphoid differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells requires efficient Cxcr4 desensitization. J Exp Med 214: 2023–2040. doi:[10.1084/](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20160806) [jem.20160806](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20160806)
- Geier CB, Ellison M, Cruz R, Pawar S, Leiss-Piller A, Zmajkovicova K, McNulty SM, Yilmaz M, Evans MO, Gordon S, et al (2022) Disease progression of WHIM syndrome in an international cohort of 66 pediatric and adult patients. J Clin Immunol 42: 1748–1765. doi:[10.1007/s10875-022-01312-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-022-01312-7)
- Greaves SA, Peterson JN, Strauch P, Torres RM, Pelanda R (2019) Active PI3K abrogates central tolerance in high-avidity autoreactive B cells. J Exp Med 216: 1135–1153. doi[:10.1084/jem.20181652](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20181652)
- Gulino AV, Moratto D, Sozzani S, Cavadini P, Otero K, Tassone L, Imberti L, Pirovano S, Notarangelo LD, Soresina R, et al (2004) Altered leukocyte response to CXCL12 in patients with warts hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, myelokathexis (WHIM) syndrome. Blood 104: 444–452. doi[:10.1182/blood-2003-10-3532](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-10-3532)
- Guo F, Wang Y, Liu J, Mok SC, Xue F, Zhang W (2016) CXCL12/CXCR4: A symbiotic bridge linking cancer cells and their stromal neighbors in oncogenic communication networks. Oncogene 35: 816–826. doi[:10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.139) [onc.2015.139](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.139)
- Hanaoka H, Okazaki Y, Hashiguchi A, Yasuoka H, Takeuchi T, Kuwana M (2015) Overexpression of CXCR4 on circulating B cells in patients with active systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Exp Rheumatol 33: 863–870.
- Handisurya A, Schellenbacher C, Reininger B, Koszik F, Vyhnanek P, Heitger A, Kirnbauer R, Förster-Waldl E (2010) A quadrivalent HPV vaccine induces humoral and cellular immune responses in WHIM immunodeficiency syndrome. Vaccine 28: 4837–4841. doi[:10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.04.057) [j.vaccine.2010.04.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.04.057)
- Hansen A, Reiter K, Ziprian T, Jacobi A, Hoffmann A, Gosemann M, Scholze J, Lipsky PE, Dörner T (2005) Dysregulation of chemokine receptor expression and function by B cells of patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome. Arthritis Rheum 52: 2109-2119. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21129) [art.21129](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21129)
- Hardt U, Carlberg K, Af Klint E, Sahlström P, Larsson L, van Vollenhoven A, Hernandez Machado S, Israelsson L, Amara K, Chemin K, et al (2022) Integrated single cell and spatial transcriptomics reveal autoreactive differentiated B cells in joints of early rheumatoid arthritis. Sci Rep 12: 11876. doi[:10.1038/s41598-022-15293-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15293-5)
- Hargreaves DC, Hyman PL, Lu TT, Ngo VN, Bidgol A, Suzuki G, Zou Y-R, Littman DR, Cyster JG (2001) A coordinated change in chemokine responsiveness guides plasma cell movements. J Exp Med 194: 45–56. doi[:10.1084/jem.194.1.45](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.194.1.45)
- Hauser AE, Debes GF, Arce S, Cassese G, Hamann A, Radbruch A, Manz RA (2002) Chemotactic responsiveness toward ligands for CXCR3 and CXCR4 is regulated on plasma blasts during the time course of a memory immune response. J Immunol 169: 1277–1282. doi:[10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.3.1277) [jimmunol.169.3.1277](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.169.3.1277)

- Heesen M, Berman MA, Benson JD, Gerard C, Dorf ME (1996) Cloning of the mouse fusin gene, homologue to a human HIV-1 co-factor. J Immunol 157: 5455–5460. doi:[10.4049/jimmunol.157.12.5455](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.157.12.5455)
- Henneken M, Dörner T, Burmester G-R, Berek C (2005) Differential expression of chemokine receptors on peripheral blood B cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Res Ther 7: R1001–R1013. doi[:10.1186/ar1776](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar1776)
- Hernandez PA, Gorlin RJ, Lukens JN, Taniuchi S, Bohinjec J, Francois F, Klotman ME, Diaz GA (2003) Mutations in the chemokine receptor gene CXCR4 are associated with WHIM syndrome, a combined immunodeficiency disease. Nat Genet 34: 70–74. doi[:10.1038/ng1149](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1149)
- Honczarenko M, Douglas RS, Mathias C, Lee B, Ratajczak MZ, Silberstein LE (1999) SDF-1 responsiveness does not correlate with CXCR4 expression levels of developing human bone marrow B cells. Blood 94: 2990–2998. doi[:10.1182/blood.v94.9.2990.421k36_2990_2998](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.v94.9.2990.421k36_2990_2998)
- Hughes CE, Nibbs RJB (2018) A guide to chemokines and their receptors. FEBS J 285: 2944–2971. doi[:10.1111/febs.14466](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14466)
- Janssens R, Struyf S, Proost P (2018) Pathological roles of the homeostatic chemokine CXCL12. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 44: 51–68. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.10.004) [j.cytogfr.2018.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.10.004)
- Jean-Charles P-Y, Kaur S, Shenoy SK (2017) G protein-coupled receptor signaling through β-arrestin-dependent mechanisms. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 70: 142–158. doi[:10.1097/FJC.0000000000000482](https://doi.org/10.1097/FJC.0000000000000482)
- Johnson K, Hashimshony T, Sawai CM, Pongubala JMR, Skok JA, Aifantis I, Singh H (2008) Regulation of immunoglobulin light-chain recombination by the transcription factor IRF-4 and the attenuation of interleukin-7 signaling. Immunity 28: 335–345. doi:[10.1016/j.immuni.2007.12.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.12.019)
- Kaiser LM, Hunter ZR, Treon SP, Buske C (2021) CXCR4 in waldenström's macroglobulinema: Chances and challenges. Leukemia 35: 333–345. doi[:10.1038/s41375-020-01102-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-020-01102-3)
- Kaiser FMP, Janowska I, Menafra R, de Gier M, Korzhenevich J, Pico-Knijnenburg I, Khatri I, Schulz AS, Kuijpers TW, Lankester AC, et al (2023) IL-7 receptor signaling drives human B-cell progenitor differentiation and expansion. Blood 142: 1113–1130. doi:[10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2023019721) [blood.2023019721](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2023019721)
- Levoye A, Balabanian K, Baleux F, Bachelerie F, Lagane B (2009) CXCR7 heterodimerizes with CXCR4 and regulates CXCL12-mediated G protein signaling. Blood 113: 6085–6093. doi:[10.1182/blood-2008-12-196618](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-12-196618)
- Llibre A, López-Macías C, Marafioti T, Mehta H, Partridge A, Kanzig C, Rivellese F, Galson JD, Walker LJ, Milne P, et al (2016) LLT1 and CD161 expression in human germinal centers promotes B cell activation and CXCR4 downregulation. J Immunol 196: 2085–2094. doi:[10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1502462) [jimmunol.1502462](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1502462)
- Ma Q, Jones D, Borghesani PR, Segal RA, Nagasawa T, Kishimoto T, Bronson RT, Springer TA (1998) Impaired B-lymphopoiesis, myelopoiesis, and derailed cerebellar neuron migration in CXCR4- and SDF-1-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 9448–9453. doi[:10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.16.9448) [pnas.95.16.9448](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.16.9448)
- Ma K, Li J, Wang X, Lin X, Du W, Yang X, Mou F, Fang Y, Zhao Y, Hong X, et al (2018) TLR4+CXCR4+ plasma cells drive nephritis development in systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 77: 1498–1506. doi:[10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213615) [annrheumdis-2018-213615](https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213615)
- Mahmood Z, Schmalzing M, Dörner T, Tony H-P, Muhammad K (2020) Therapeutic cytokine inhibition modulates activation and homing receptors of peripheral memory B cell subsets in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Front Immunol 11: 572475. doi:10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.572475](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.572475)
- Mandal M, Okoreeh MK, Kennedy DE, Maienschein-Cline M, Ai J, McLean KC, Kaverina N, Veselits M, Aifantis I, Gounari F, et al (2019) CXCR4 signaling directs Igk recombination and the molecular mechanisms of late B lymphopoiesis. Nat Immunol 20: 1393–1403. doi[:10.1038/s41590-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0468-0) [0468-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0468-0)
- Martínez-Muñoz L, Rodríguez-Frade JM, Barroso R, Sorzano COS, Torreño-Pina JA, Santiago CA, Manzo C, Lucas P, García-Cuesta EM, Gutierrez E,

et al (2018) Separating actin-dependent chemokine receptor nanoclustering from dimerization indicates a role for clustering in CXCR4 signaling and function. Mol Cell 70: 106–119.e10. doi[:10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.034) [j.molcel.2018.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.034)

- Mc Guire PJ, Cunningham-Rundles C, Ochs H, Diaz GA (2010) Oligoclonality, impaired class switch and B-cell memory responses in WHIM syndrome. Clin Immunol 135: 412–421. doi:[10.1016/j.clim.2010.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2010.02.006)
- McDermott DH, Liu Q, Velez D, Lopez L, Anaya-O'Brien S, Ulrick J, Kwatemaa N, Starling J, Fleisher TA, Priel DAL, et al (2014) A phase 1 clinical trial of long-term, low-dose treatment of WHIM syndrome with the CXCR4 antagonist plerixafor. Blood 123: 2308–2316. doi[:10.1182/blood-2013-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-09-527226) [09-527226](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2013-09-527226)
- McDermott DH, Gao J-L, Liu Q, Siwicki M, Martens C, Jacobs P, Velez D, Yim E, Bryke CR, Hsu N, et al (2015) Chromothriptic cure of WHIM syndrome. Cell 160: 686–699. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.014)
- McDermott DH, Velez D, Cho E, Cowen EW, DiGiovanna JJ, Pastrana DV, Buck CB, Calvo KR, Gardner PJ, Rosenzweig SD, et al (2023) A phase III randomized crossover trial of plerixafor versus G-CSF for treatment of WHIM syndrome. J Clin Invest 133: e164918. doi:[10.1172/JCI164918](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI164918)
- Mcheik S, Van Eeckhout N, De Poorter C, Gales C, Parmentier M, Springael J-Y (2019) Coexpression of CCR7 and CXCR4 during B cell development controls CXCR4 responsiveness and bone marrow homing. Front Immunol 10: 2970. doi:10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.02970](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02970)
- McLean KC, Mandal M (2020) It takes three receptors to raise a B cell. Trends Immunol 41: 629–642. doi[:10.1016/j.it.2020.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2020.05.003)
- Mentzer WC, Johnston RB, Baehner RL, Nathan DG (1977) An unusual form of chronic neutropenia in a father and daughter with hypogammaglobulinaemia. Br J Haematol 36: 313–322. doi[:10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.1977.tb00654.x) [j.1365-2141.1977.tb00654.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.1977.tb00654.x)
- Murphy PM, Baggiolini M, Charo IF, Hebert CA, Horuk R, Matsushima K, Miller ´ LH, Oppenheim JJ, Power CA (2000) International union of pharmacology. XXII. Nomenclature for chemokine receptors. Pharmacol Rev 52: 145–176.
- Nagasawa T, Hirota S, Tachibana K, Takakura N, Nishikawa S, Kitamura Y, Yoshida N, Kikutani H, Kishimoto T (1996) Defects of B-cell lymphopoiesis and bone-marrow myelopoiesis in mice lacking the CXC chemokine PBSF/SDF-1. Nature 382: 635–638. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/382635a0) [382635a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/382635a0)
- Nie Y, Waite J, Brewer F, Sunshine M-J, Littman DR, Zou Y-R (2004) The role of CXCR4 in maintaining peripheral B cell compartments and humoral immunity. J Exp Med 200: 1145–1156. doi:[10.1084/jem.20041185](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20041185)
- Nie Y, Han Y-C, Zou Y-R (2008) CXCR4 is required for the quiescence of primitive hematopoietic cells. J Exp Med 205: 777–783. doi[:10.1084/](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20072513) [jem.20072513](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20072513)
- Okoreeh MK, Kennedy DE, Emmanuel AO, Veselits M, Moshin A, Ladd RH, Erickson S, McLean KC, Madrigal B, Nemazee D, et al (2022) Asymmetrical forward and reverse developmental trajectories determine molecular programs of B cell antigen receptor editing. Sci Immunol 7: eabm1664. doi:[10.1126/sciimmunol.abm1664](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abm1664)
- Oldham WM, Hamm HE (2008) Heterotrimeric G protein activation by Gprotein-coupled receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9: 60–71. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2299) [nrm2299](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2299)
- Omatsu Y, Sugiyama T, Kohara H, Kondoh G, Fujii N, Kohno K, Nagasawa T (2010) The essential functions of adipo-osteogenic progenitors as the hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell niche. Immunity 33: 387–399. doi[:10.1016/j.immuni.2010.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.08.017)
- Palmesino E, Moepps B, Gierschik P, Thelen M (2006) Differences in CXCR4 mediated signaling in B cells. Immunobiology 211: 377–389. doi[:10.1016/j.imbio.2005.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2005.12.003)
- Pawig L, Klasen C, Weber C, Bernhagen J, Noels H (2015) Diversity and interconnections in the CXCR4 chemokine receptor/ligand family: Molecular perspectives. Front Immunol 6: 429. doi:[10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00429) fi[mmu.2015.00429](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00429)

- Pelanda R, Greaves SA, Alves da Costa T, Cedrone LM, Campbell ML, Torres RM (2022) B-cell intrinsic and extrinsic signals that regulate central tolerance of mouse and human B cells. Immunol Rev 307: 12–26. doi[:10.1111/imr.13062](https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.13062)
- Qin L, Kufareva I, Holden LG, Wang C, Zheng Y, Zhao C, Fenalti G, Wu H, Han GW, Cherezov V, et al (2015) Structural biology. Crystal structure of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 in complex with a viral chemokine. Science 347: 1117–1122. doi[:10.1126/science.1261064](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261064)
- Rodda LB, Bannard O, Ludewig B, Nagasawa T, Cyster JG (2015) Phenotypic and morphological properties of germinal center dark zone cxcl12 expressing reticular cells. J Immunol 195: 4781–4791. doi[:10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1501191) [jimmunol.1501191](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1501191)
- Roselli G, Martini E, Lougaris V, Badolato R, Viola A, Kallikourdis M (2017) CXCL12 mediates aberrant costimulation of B lymphocytes in warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, myelokathexis immunodeficiency. Front Immunol 8: 1068. doi:[10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01068) fi[mmu.2017.01068](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01068)
- Rosenkilde MM, Gerlach L-O, Hatse S, Skerlj RT, Schols D, Bridger GJ, Schwartz TW (2007) Molecular mechanism of action of monocyclam versus bicyclam non-peptide antagonists in the CXCR4 chemokine receptor. J Biol Chem 282: 27354–27365. doi[:10.1074/jbc.M704739200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704739200)
- Scala S (2015) Molecular pathways: Targeting the CXCR4-CXCL12 axis– untapped potential in the tumor microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res 21: 4278–4285. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0914](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0914)
- Scholten DJ, Canals M, Maussang D, Roumen L, Smit MJ, Wijtmans M, de Graaf C, Vischer HF, Leurs R (2012) Pharmacological modulation of chemokine receptor function. Br J Pharmacol 165: 1617–1643. doi[:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01551.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01551.x)
- Sierro F, Biben C, Martínez-Muñoz L, Mellado M, Ransohoff RM, Li M, Woehl B, Leung H, Groom J, Batten M, et al (2007) Disrupted cardiac development but normal hematopoiesis in mice deficient in the second CXCL12/SDF-1 receptor, CXCR7. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 14759–14764. doi[:10.1073/pnas.0702229104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702229104)
- Smith N, Pietrancosta N, Davidson S, Dutrieux J, Chauveau L, Cutolo P, Dy M, Scott-Algara D, Manoury B, Zirafi O, et al (2017) Natural amines inhibit activation of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells through CXCR4 engagement. Nat Commun 8: 14253. doi:[10.1038/ncomms14253](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14253)
- Smith N, Rodero MP, Bekaddour N, Bondet V, Ruiz-Blanco YB, Harms M, Mayer B, Bader-Meunier B, Quartier P, Bodemer C, et al (2019) Control of TLR7-mediated type I IFN signaling in pDCs through CXCR4 engagement-A new target for lupus treatment. Sci Adv 5: eaav9019. doi[:10.1126/sciadv.aav9019](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav9019)
- Stephens BS, Ngo T, Kufareva I, Handel TM (2020) Functional anatomy of the full-length CXCR4-CXCL12 complex systematically dissected by quantitative model-guided mutagenesis. Sci Signal 13: eaay5024. doi[:10.1126/scisignal.aay5024](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aay5024)
- Sugiyama T, Kohara H, Noda M, Nagasawa T (2006) Maintenance of the hematopoietic stem cell pool by CXCL12-CXCR4 chemokine signaling

in bone marrow stromal cell niches. Immunity 25: 977–988. doi[:10.1016/j.immuni.2006.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2006.10.016)

- Teicher BA, Fricker SP (2010) CXCL12 (SDF-1)/CXCR4 pathway in cancer. Clin Cancer Res 16: 2927–2931. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2329](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2329)
- Tokoyoda K, Egawa T, Sugiyama T, Choi B-I, Nagasawa T (2004) Cellular niches controlling B lymphocyte behavior within bone marrow during development. Immunity 20: 707–718. doi[:10.1016/j.immuni.2004.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2004.05.001)
- Tzeng Y-S, Li H, Kang Y-L, Chen W-C, Cheng W-C, Lai D-M (2011) Loss of Cxcl12/ Sdf-1 in adult mice decreases the quiescent state of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells and alters the pattern of hematopoietic regeneration after myelosuppression. Blood 117: 429–439. doi[:10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-01-266833) [blood-2010-01-266833](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-01-266833)
- Victora GD, Schwickert TA, Fooksman DR, Kamphorst AO, Meyer-Hermann M, Dustin ML, Nussenzweig MC (2010) Germinal center dynamics revealed by multiphoton microscopy with a photoactivatable fluorescent reporter. Cell 143: 592–605. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.032)
- Wang A, Fairhurst A-M, Tus K, Subramanian S, Liu Y, Lin F, Igarashi P, Zhou XJ, Batteux F, Wong D, et al (2009) CXCR4/CXCL12 hyperexpression plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of lupus. J Immunol 182: 4448–4458. doi[:10.4049/jimmunol.0801920](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0801920)
- Wang A, Guilpain P, Chong BF, Chouzenoux S, Guillevin L, Du Y, Zhou XJ, Lin F, Fairhurst A-M, Boudreaux C, et al (2010) Dysregulated expression of CXCR4/CXCL12 in subsets of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 62: 3436–3446. doi:[10.1002/art.27685](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27685)
- Wu B, Chien EYT, Mol CD, Fenalti G, Liu W, Katritch V, Abagyan R, Brooun A, Wells P, Bi FC, et al (2010) Structures of the CXCR4 chemokine GPCR with small-molecule and cyclic peptide antagonists. Science 330: 1066–1071. doi[:10.1126/science.1194396](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1194396)
- Yoshida N, Kitayama D, Arima M, Sakamoto A, Inamine A, Watanabe-Takano H, Hatano M, Koike T, Tokuhisa T (2011) CXCR4 expression on activated B cells is downregulated by CD63 and IL-21. J Immunol 186: 2800–2808. doi[:10.4049/jimmunol.1003401](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1003401)
- Zhao L-D, Liang D, Wu X-N, Li Y, Niu J-W, Zhou C, Wang L, Chen H, Zheng W-J, Fei Y-Y, et al (2017) Contribution and underlying mechanisms of CXCR4 overexpression in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Cell Mol Immunol 14: 842–849. doi[:10.1038/cmi.2016.47](https://doi.org/10.1038/cmi.2016.47)
- Zou YR, Kottmann AH, Kuroda M, Taniuchi I, Littman DR (1998) Function of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 in haematopoiesis and in cerebellar development. Nature 393: 595–599. doi:[10.1038/31269](https://doi.org/10.1038/31269)
- Zuelzer WW (1964) "Myelokathexis"–A new form of chronic granulocytopenia. Report of a case. N Engl J Med 270: 699–704. doi:[10.1056/](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM196404022701402) [NEJM196404022701402](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM196404022701402)

License: This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at [https://creativecommons.org/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [licenses/by/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).