

Rituximab for Multiple Sclerosis

Hiding in Plain Sight

Annette Langer-Gould, MD, PhD, Elias S. Sotirchos, and Dennis Bourdette, MD

Neurology® 2024;102:e208063. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000208063

Correspondence

Dr. Langer-Gould
annette.m.langer-gould@
kp.org

In February 2023, following extensive discussions with stakeholders and data review, the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review issued final policy recommendations for treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis (RMS)¹: “All stakeholders have a responsibility and an important role to play in ensuring that all effective treatment options for patients with RMS, including off-label use of rituximab, are utilized in ways to help improve affordability and access and reduce health inequities.” The report calls on payers to remove barriers to rituximab coverage, the American Academy of Neurology and the National MS Society to publicly endorse rituximab for RMS, and clinicians to advocate for coverage of rituximab and its biosimilars. In July 2023, the World Health Organization listed rituximab as an essential medicine for MS.² Yet not much has changed. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved MS disease-modifying therapies continue to generate enormous profits for pharma, and rituximab remains hidden in plain sight.

Rituximab, an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (mAb), has led to dramatic strides in improving disease control and pathophysiologic understanding of MS. In 2007, Roche/Genentech announced the phase II randomized controlled trial (RCT) results of rituximab in RMS, stunning and delighting the MS community because B cells were not believed to play an important role in MS pathophysiology. These results indicated that anti-CD20 mAb therapy would be a game changer in the treatment of RMS. However, further development of rituximab was halted to prioritize development of a similar anti-CD20 mAb, ocrelizumab. Not surprisingly, ocrelizumab, FDA-approved in late 2017, is sold at a much higher annual price (\$75,000) than brand rituximab and its biosimilars (\$2,000–\$14,000). The continued underutilization of rituximab and this delay in widespread availability of anti-CD20 mAb treatment have been a huge loss for persons with MS.

Most insurance carriers in the United States and Europe limited access to rituximab for MS, citing lack of regulatory approval. Kaiser Permanente and Sweden, however, authorized use, enabling evidence to satisfy FDA criteria for drug approval to be generated. Rituximab’s staying power (a combined measure of efficacy, compliance, safety, and tolerability) outlasts natalizumab, fingolimod, and dimethyl fumarate.³ A phase III RCT demonstrated significant superiority in controlling relapses and inflammatory disease activity compared with dimethyl fumarate.⁴ Increasing use of rituximab and its biosimilars over the past 12 years has resulted in dramatically improved quality and affordability of MS care.⁵ Rituximab’s staying power and low cost are particularly advantageous for patients with high inflammatory disease activity, unstable health insurance or unaffordable co-pays, many of whom are young, Black, and/or Hispanic in the United States. Yet FDA-approval remains elusive because only Roche/Genentech can request rituximab approval for MS; without this, biosimilars cannot be granted an MS indication (Section 351[a], Public Health Services Act).

From the Department of Neurology (A.L.-G.), Los Angeles Medical Center, Southern California Permanente Medical Group; Department of Clinical Science (A.L.-G.), Kaiser Permanente School of Medicine, Pasadena, CA; Department of Neurology (E.S.S.), Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; and Department of Neurology (D.B.), Oregon Health & Science University, Portland.

Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Rituximab use presents a unique opportunity to lower the cost of MS care without loss of benefit. We urge the American Academy of Neurology and the National MS Society to support the use of rituximab for MS, Medicare to approve its coverage, and neurologists to prescribe it. It is time for us all to bring rituximab out of hiding.

Study Funding

The authors report no targeted funding.

Disclosure

A. Langer-Gould is a site principal investigator for clinical trials in MS sponsored by Atara Biotherapeutics and a former Genentech employee (assistant medical director, rituximab and ocrelizumab MS development programs 2006–2007). E. Sotirchos reports scientific advisory boards and/or consulting for Alexion, Horizon Therapeutics, Roche/Genentech, and Ad Scientiam; receives speaking honoraria from Alexion; and is a site principal investigator for clinical trials in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody associated disease funded by Roche/Genentech and UCB. D. Bourdette is a consultant for Best Doctors/Teladoc and Magellan Health and a co-inventor of thyromimetic drugs for multiple sclerosis with founder stock shares for Autobahn Therapeutics. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology* August 16, 2023. Accepted in final form October 13, 2023. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Editor-in-Chief José Merino, MD, MPhil, FAAN.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Annette Langer-Gould, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Los Angeles Medical Center, Southern California Permanente Medical Group, Los Angeles, CA, Department of Clinical Science, Kaiser Permanente School of Medicine, Pasadena, CA	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Elias S. Sotirchos	Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Dennis Bourdette, MD	Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data

References

1. Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER). Oral and monoclonal antibody treatments for relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis: final policy recommendations; 2023. Accessed July 27, 2023. icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/ICER_MS_Policy_Recommendations.pdf.
2. World Health Organization. The selection and use of essential medicines 2023: Executive summary of the report of the 24th WHO expert committee on the selection and use of essential medicines. Accessed August 11, 2023. apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/371291/WHO-MHP-HPS-EML-2023.01-eng.pdf.
3. Granqvist M, Boremalm M, Poorghobad A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of rituximab and other initial treatment choices for multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol*. 2018;75:320-327.
4. Svenningsson A, Frisell T, Burman J, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab versus dimethyl fumarate in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis or clinically isolated syndrome in Sweden: a rater-blinded, phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2022;21:693-703.
5. Langer-Gould A, Cheng SC, Li BH, Smith JB, Kanter MH. Kaiser permanente Southern California multiple sclerosis specialist g. Decreasing multiple sclerosis treatment expenditures and improving quality at the health system level. *Ann Neurol*. 2022;92:164-172.

The *Neurology*[®] Null Hypothesis Online Collection...

Contributing to a transparent research reporting culture!



The *Neurology* journals have partnered with the Center for Biomedical Research Transparency (CBMRT) to promote and facilitate transparent reporting of biomedical research by ensuring that all biomedical results—including negative and inconclusive results—are accessible to researchers and clinicians in the interests of full transparency and research efficiency.

Neurology's Null Hypothesis Collection is a dedicated online section for well conducted negative, inconclusive, or replication studies. View the collection at: [NPub.org/NullHypothesis](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36888888)