## Obesity, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, and the role of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists

Giuliana Cimino<sup>1</sup>, Muthiah Vaduganathan<sup>2</sup>, Carlo M. Lombardi<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Pagnesi<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Vizzardi<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Tomasoni<sup>1</sup>, Marianna Adamo<sup>1</sup>, Marco Metra<sup>1\*</sup> D and Riccardo M. Inciardi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia and Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; and <sup>2</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

### Abstract

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) has a high prevalence, affecting more than 50% of patients with heart failure. HFpEF is associated with multiple comorbidities, and obesity is one of the most common. A distinct phenotype has been proposed for obese patients with HFpEF. Recent data show the beneficial role of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) for weight loss in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with obesity or overweight when given as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise. The mechanisms of action are related to paracrine and endocrine signalling pathways within the gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, and central nervous system that delay gastric emptying, decrease appetite, augment pancreatic beta-cell insulin secretion, and suppress pancreatic glucagon release. These drugs are therefore potentially indicated for treatment of patients with HFpEF and obesity or overweight. Efficacy and safety need to be shown by clinical trials with a first one, Semaglutide Treatment Effect in People with obesity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (STEP HFpEF), recently concluded. The aim of the present review is to provide the pathophysiological and pharmacological rationale for GLP-1 RA administration to obese patients with HFpEF.

Keywords Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; Obesity

Received: 5 June 2023; Revised: 2 September 2023; Accepted: 22 September 2023

\*Correspondence to: Marco Metra, Institute of Cardiology, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia and Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. Tel: +393356460581. Email: metramarco@libero.it

# Background: role of obesity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

The prevalence of heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is around 4.9% in the general population aged over 60 years, and HFpEF affects more than 50% of the patients admitted for HF.<sup>1–4</sup> Thus, several millions of people are affected by HFpEF in Europe and the United States. The prevalence of obesity is growing in many developed countries. In the United States, more than 40% of the general population is obese, and it is projected that at least half of the population will be obese in 2030.<sup>5,6</sup> A specific and independent relationship exists between obesity and HFpEF so that these patients have peculiar clinical and haemodynamic features and obesity may be considered not a mere comor-

bidity but rather a direct cause of HFpEF itself.<sup>7–10</sup> Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) have recently been shown to be an effective treatment of obesity and diabetes. They are therefore potentially useful, if not of choice, for the patients with HFpEF and obesity.<sup>9,11</sup> This article will review the rationale for this treatment.

## The obesity heart failure with preserved ejection fraction phenotype

#### Mechanisms

Obesity leads to a biological transformation of the adipose tissue towards an inflammatory state, and this may have adverse effects on the structure and function of the vasculature

<sup>© 2023</sup> The Authors. ESC Heart Failure published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

and most visceral organs.<sup>12,13</sup> Expansion of visceral adipose tissue causes oxidative stress, release of pro-inflammatory adipokines, activation of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, adipocyte apoptosis, autophagy, and gut microbiota dysbiosis: these mechanisms lead to insulin resistance with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), dyslipidaemia, increased vascular stiffness and hypertension, coronary artery disease, and eventually HF, namely, HFpEF.<sup>14–16</sup>

Inflammation can also cause microvascular impairment and fibrosis in the heart and also in the lungs, kidneys, liver, pancreas, and skeletal muscle, leading to the characteristic comorbidities of HFpEF.<sup>17–21</sup> Coronary microvascular endothelial dysfunction is observed with increased expression of endothelial adhesion molecules in myocardial biopsy samples of HFpEF patients, including vascular cell adhesion molecule and E-selectin.<sup>22,23</sup> Pro-inflammatory cytokines are also known to elicit endothelial production of reactive oxygen species through activation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases.<sup>24</sup> This can cause the high nitrosative/oxidative stress, which has been observed in HFpEF myocardium<sup>22,25</sup> and which is also exacerbated in typical comorbidities of HFpEF patients, such as T2DM, and physiological processes, such as ageing.<sup>26,27</sup>

Moreover, it has been shown that overall obesity and higher amount of visceral adipose tissue are associated with greater abnormalities in cardiac structure and function, with higher left ventricular (LV) mass, greater LV concentric hypertrophy, and higher degree of LV diastolic dysfunction.<sup>28</sup> A higher amount of adipose tissue is also associated with plasma volume expansion and impairment in LV relaxation potentially through systemic inflammation. This may contribute to limited ventricular distensibility, higher LV filling pressures, and signs and symptoms of HF.<sup>29–32</sup>

Obesity also affects both resting and exercise-related respiratory physiology. Severe obesity classically produces a restrictive ventilatory abnormality.<sup>33</sup> A peculiarity in these subjects is that decreased peak work rates are usually seen in a setting of normal or decreased ventilatory reserve and normal cardiovascular (CV) response to exercise.<sup>34–36</sup>

On the other hand, even asymptomatic severely obese subjects may develop abnormal echocardiographic indices of LV diastolic filling during exercise, as compared with matched lean controls.<sup>37</sup> This may represent a subclinical form of cardiomyopathy in obese subjects. Considering the poor prognosis of HFpEF in obese patients, we believe that the early identification of these patients and their relatively targeted treatment could represent the turning point in the natural history of the pathology.

#### **Clinical characteristics**

There is a high prevalence of T2DM in patients with HFpEF, and the presence of T2DM has been shown to increase mortality of patients with HFpEF by 30–50% even after adjustment for age, gender, hospital factors, and other patient characteristics. Unlike HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), HFpEF has distinct clinical phenotypes, and the obese–diabetic phenotype is the most often encountered phenotype in clinical practice.<sup>38,39</sup>

In the Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibition to improve clinical status and Exercise capacity in Diastolic HF (RELAX) trial,<sup>40</sup> body mass index (BMI) was 37.1 vs. 30.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in patients with and without T2DM. In this category of patients, LV remodelling was more relevant and associated with reduced ventricular compliance with increased systemic and pulmonary venous pressures and congestion despite preserved systolic function.<sup>41</sup>

In addition to the systemic inflammatory state, obesity is also associated with peculiar abnormalities in patients with HFpEF (*Figure 1*). Specific circulating biomarkers patterns have been identified in obese HFpEF patients, supporting the clinical definition of a distinct obese HFpEF phenotype.<sup>42</sup> Obese HFpEF patients exhibit higher circulating biomarkers of volume expansion [adrenomedullin (ADM)], myocardial fibrosis (thrombospondin-2), and systemic inflammation (galectin-9 and glycoprotein CD4) compared with obese non-HFpEF or lean HFpEF patients.<sup>42</sup> With the only exception of CD4, these proteins were linearly related with increased left atrial (LA) pressure. Importantly, ADM and CD4 were associated with increased mortality in obese HFpEF patients.<sup>42</sup>

The characteristics of the obese patients with HFpEF were compared with those of the normal subjects and with those of the non-obese patients with HFpEF, thus showing the peculiarity of the obese HFpEF phenotype. Obese patients with HFpEF had an increased plasma volume, epicardial fat thickness, and total heart volume. LV mass was increased with concentric LV hypertrophy, and right ventricular (RV) volume was larger with more severe RV dysfunction. The increase in heart volume and in ventricular interdependence was attended by an increased ratio of right- to left-sided heart filling pressures, higher pulmonary venous pressure, relative to LV transmural pressure, and greater LV eccentricity index, defined as the ratio of the anterior-inferior and septal-posterolateral cavity dimensions at the mid-ventricular level. Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure was slightly but significantly correlated with body mass and plasma volume in obese HFpEF (r = 0.22 and 0.27, both P < 0.05) but not in non-obese HFpEF ( $P \ge 0.3$ ).<sup>10</sup> Venous compliance is decreased, thus contributing, in addition to the increased blood volume, to increased filling pressure and peripheral congestion.<sup>15</sup>

Compared with the non-obese HFpEF patients and control subjects, obese patients with HFpEF displayed worse exercise capacity (peak oxygen consumption, 7.7 ± 2.3 vs. 10.0 ± 3.4 and 12.9 ± 4.0 mL/kg/min; P < 0.0001), higher biventricular filling pressures with exercise, and impaired pulmonary artery vasodilator reserve.<sup>10</sup>



J.Inflammation

↓ Neuroinflammation

Figure 1 Direct and indirect effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RA) in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). The figure shows currently known or suggested direct and indirect effects of GLP-1 RA in HFpEF in all human organs.

Along with abnormalities related to obesity, increased epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) has been shown to be associated with cardiac abnormalities and represents a pathological feature of obese HF patients.<sup>43–45</sup> Among obese patients with HFpEF, the presence of increased EAT is associated with greater haemodynamic impairment at rest and exercise, with a greater elevation in cardiac filling pressures, more severe pulmonary hypertension, and greater pericardial restraint.<sup>46</sup> The greater external restraint on the heart may alter the relationship between intravascular pressures and stress markers among obese patients complaining dyspnoea. In this context, standard biomarkers, namely, natriuretic peptides, may underestimate circulatory congestion leading to underrecognition of its clinical signs in patients with obesity.<sup>47</sup>

EAT is greater in obese HFpEF patients compared with the HFrEF ones and is associated with worse LA and LV function as shown by echocardiographic strain analysis.<sup>48</sup> Among HFpEF patients, increased EAT was also associated with worse haemodynamic and metabolic profile expressed by proteomic markers of inflammation, insulin resistance, and endothelial dysfunction,<sup>45</sup> expressed by effort intolerance, and impaired left atrioventricular and right ventriculo-arterial coupling.<sup>49</sup>

### Glucagon-like peptide-1

Glucose homeostasis is dependent upon a complex interplay of multiple hormones: (i) insulin and amylin, produced by pancreatic beta cells; (ii) glucagon, produced by pancreatic alpha cells; and (iii) gastrointestinal peptides, including glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), and gastric inhibitory polypeptide, a glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide.<sup>50</sup> The role of GLP-1 in glucose homeostasis is related to its incretin effect and is shown by the greater stimulatory effect on insulin secretion of oral glucose compared with intravenous glucose, as GLP-1 is released from intestinal L cells in response to nutrients.<sup>51</sup>

GLP-1 is produced from the proglucagon gene in L cells of the small intestine and is secreted in response to nutrients and binds to a specific GLP-1 receptor, which is expressed in various tissues, including pancreatic beta cells, kidney, lung, heart, brain, gastric mucosa, and other organs.<sup>52</sup> GLP-1 exerts its main effect by stimulating glucose-dependent insulin release from the pancreatic islets, but it also slows gastric emptying and inhibits inappropriate post-meal glucagon release, thus also reducing food intake. The satiety effect of GLP-1 may involve both meal entero-enteric reflexes and central signalling mechanisms that mediate changes in appetite and promote satiety.<sup>53,54</sup> Given its effects on slowed gastric emptying and on appetite centres in the hypothalamus, therapy with GLP-1 and its receptor agonists is associated with weight loss (*Figure 1*).<sup>55</sup>

# Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists

Synthetic GLP-1 RAs are variably resistant to degradation by the enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase 4 and therefore have a longer half-life, with consequent favourable pharmacological effects. They bind to the GLP-1 receptor and stimulate glucose-dependent insulin release from the pancreatic islets, as described above. They do not usually cause hypoglycaemia in the absence of therapies that otherwise can cause it.<sup>56</sup>

#### **Results in patients with type 2 diabetes**

GLP-1 receptor agonists reduce the risk of myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, and CV death in patients with T2DM.<sup>11,57</sup> Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated a reduction in CV events with liraglutide,<sup>58</sup> once-weekly semaglutide,<sup>59</sup> dulaglutide,<sup>60</sup> and albiglutide,<sup>61</sup> whereas lixisenatide, extended-release exenatide, and oral semaglutide showed a neutral effect (*Table 1*).<sup>65–67</sup>

In the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results (LEADER) trial, the primary composite outcome of CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke was significantly lower in the liraglutide group as compared with the placebo group (13% vs. 15%, P < 0.001 for non-inferiority.<sup>58</sup> These beneficial effects observed in patients with no history of HF were, however, not replicated in patients with HF at baseline.

#### Results in patients with heart failure

Given the results derived from RCTs, international scientific societies currently recommend the use of GLP-1 RAs as part of a comprehensive strategy to reduce the risk of CV events in patients with T2DM,<sup>11,57</sup> though, not yet, for the prevention of HF in patients with diabetes.<sup>2</sup>

Overall, although hospitalization for HF did not represent the primary endpoint of the main RCTs, GLP-1 RAs slightly reduced the risk of hospitalization for HF by 11% (*Figure 2*).<sup>77,78</sup> However, their effects on HF-related events were different depending on the patients treated. HF events were reduced in diabetic patients with no HF at baseline whereas they were generally not changed in the RCTs enrolling patients with HF. A further distinction is possible depending on the HF phenotype with a possible increased risk of HF events in the patients with HFrEF at baseline and, on the opposite, beneficial effects in the patients with HFpEF above all with concomitant obesity.<sup>79–81</sup>

With respect of the results in patients with HFrEF, liraglutide had no effect on LV ejection fraction (LVEF), increased heart rate, and increased serious cardiac events in a randomized placebo-controlled trial in 241 patients with HFrEF with and without diabetes.<sup>82</sup> A significant increase in serious cardiac events, although with small numbers, 12 (10%) with liraglutide vs. 3 (3%) with placebo (P = 0.04), occurred in another small randomized trial in patients with HFrEF.<sup>83</sup> Results could be ascribed to the increase in heart rate with liraglutide. Similar trends were observed also with other GLP-1 RAS.<sup>60,61</sup>

In a *post hoc* analysis of the Harmony Outcomes trial,<sup>61</sup> albiglutide, compared with placebo, reduced the composite of CV death or HF hospitalization as well as HF hospitalizations alone in patients without HF history but not in those with a history of HF (interaction P = 0.062 and 0.025, respec-

Different results are likely in patients with HFpEF, above all if the obesity phenotype (see below).

#### **Results in patients with obesity**

low-up.60,84

Along with the benefits on CV outcome, it has been shown that the administration of GLP-1 RA is associated with weight loss regardless of the diabetic status, although this may be less in patients with diabetes.<sup>85–87</sup> A systematic review comparing GLP-1 RA with placebo in patients with T2DM and suboptimal control on oral agents showed that all GLP-1 RAs except albiglutide reduce body weight.

Liraglutide has been shown to be effective for weight loss in non-diabetic patients with obesity or overweight when given as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise (*Table 1*).<sup>62-64,88</sup> Semaglutide is also highly effective in both patients with and without T2DM (*Table 1*).<sup>70,71,73,89–91</sup> Its efficacy was greater compared with other agents. In the SUS-TAIN trials, a slightly greater weight loss has been observed with subcutaneous once-weekly semaglutide, compared with exenatide, dulaglutide, or liraglutide.<sup>91–93</sup> Similarly, a secondary analysis of PIONEER 4 showed a greater weight loss with once-daily oral semaglutide compared with subcutaneous liraglutide.<sup>71,90</sup>

The 'STEP Program' trials have been designed to test the efficacy of semaglutide, at the higher dose of 2.4 mg/week, for weight loss in patients with and without type 2 diabetes. STEP 1 showed an average 14.9% reduction in body weight with semaglutide 2.4 mg plus a lifestyle intervention, compared with a 2.4% reduction in the placebo plus lifestyle intervention group (treatment difference of -12.4%, P < 0.001), among obese or overweight participants with related comorbidities, but not T2DM.<sup>70</sup> The STEP 2 trial showed an average body weight reduction of 9.6% and 6.9% with semaglutide 2.4 and 1.0 mg vs. 3.4% with placebo (P < 0.001) among participants with T2DM and overweight or obesity. The higher dose also achieved slightly better glycaemic control, reductions in cardiometabolic risk, and improved physical function relative to the standard dose.<sup>71</sup> In STEP 3, a weight reduction treatment difference of 10.3% was observed when treating overweight or obese people with related comorbidities, but not T2DM, with semaglutide 2.4 mg compared with placebo.<sup>72</sup> Patients who continued to take semaglutide after the first 20 weeks lost an additional 7.9% of their body weight in the STEP 4 trial.<sup>73</sup> Consistent results have been observed in other STEP trials.94-96

Recently, the Food and Drug Administration approved semaglutide injection 2.4 mg once weekly for chronic weight

Table 1 Randomized co	ntrolled trials of GLP-1 RA for boo	dy weight red	uction in patients with and without T	[2DM	
Trial	Design	Patients	Active drug	Patients	Main results
NCT00422058 <sup>62</sup>	Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	564	Liraglutide (1.2, 1.8, 2.4, or 3.0 mg) or placebo administered once a day subcutaneously, or orlistat three times a day orally	BMI of 30–40 kg/m² and fasting plasma glucose ≤7 mmol/L at run- in	Weight loss was proportional to liraglutide dose (mean 4.8– 7.2 kg). With the highest doses of liraglutide (2.4 and 3.0 mg), there was higher weight lost compared with orlistat
SCALE Maintenance <sup>63</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	422	Liraglutide 3.0 mg/day or placebo (subcutaneous administration) for 56 weeks	BMI ≥ 30 or ≥27 kg/m² with dyslipidaemia and/or hvoertension	Estimated weight loss difference of 6.1% in the liraglutide group $(P < 0.001)$
SCALE Obesity and Prediabetes <sup>64</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	731	Liraglutide 3 mg once daily vs. placebo injection	BMI ≥ 30 or ≥27 kg/m² with dyslipidaemia or hypertension	Estimated weight loss difference of 5.6% in the liraglutide group (P < 0.001)
LEADER <sup>58</sup>	Multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	9340	1.8 mg of liraglutide or placebo	≥50 years with at least one CV coexisting condition or an age of ≥60 years with at least one CV risk factor	Weight loss was 2.3 kg higher in the liraglutide group
SUSTAIN-6 <sup>59</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial	3297	0.5 or 1.0 mg of once-weekly subcutaneous semaglutide or placebo	≥50 years with CV disease, chronic HF (NYHA II or III) or CKD of stage ≥3, or ≥60 years with at least one CV risk factor	Weight loss was greater in patients taking higher doses of semaglutide ( $P < 0.001$ )
PIONEER 6 <sup>65</sup>	Randomized, placebo- controlled, Phase 3a trial	3183	Once-daily oral semaglutide (14 mg) or placebo	Established CV disease or CKD if 250 years or with at least one cardiovascular risk factor if >60 years	Weight loss was 3.4 kg higher in the semaglutide group
REWIND <sup>60</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	9901	Weekly subcutaneous dulaglutide (1.5 mg) or placebo	<ul> <li>550 years with T2DM and HbA1c ≤ 9.5% and BMI ≥ 23 kg/ m<sup>2</sup> or CV disease</li> <li>255 years with CAD, carotid or PAD, LV hypertrophy, and CKD</li> <li>260 years with at least two of tobacco use, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, or abdominal obesity</li> </ul>	Lower least squares mean body weight of 1.46 kg in the dulaglutide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
ELIXA <sup>66</sup>	Multicentre, randomized, double-blind, alarebo-controlled trial	6068	10–20 μg of subcutaneous lixisenatide or placebo in addition to other diabates medications	T2DM and either an MI or hospitalization for unstable andina in the nast 180 days	Weight loss was 0.6 kg higher in the lixisenatide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
EXSCEL <sup>67</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, event-driven trial	14 752	Subcurate descriptions of the second structure of the second structure of the second structure of the second secon	T2DM with or without previous CV events	Lower least squares mean of 1.27 kg in the exenatide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
FREEDOM <sup>68</sup>	Non-inferiority, randomized controlled trial	4156	Subcutaneous exenatide (ITCA 650) or placebo	T2DM with or at risk for atherosclerotic CV disease	Weight loss was higher in the exenatide group (–4.24 kg; P < 0.001)

(Continues)

Table 1 (continued)					
Trial	Design	Patients	Active drug	Patients	Main results
AMPLITUDE-0 <sup>69</sup>	Randomized, placebo- controlled trial	4076	Weekly doses of efpeglenatide or placebo	T2DM and an HbA1c $\geq$ 7%, with history of CV disease (defined as CAD, stroke, or PAD) or if they had CKD and at least one additional CV risk factor	Weight loss was higher in the efpeglenatide group (2.6 kg, $P < 0.001$ )
Harmony Outcomes <sup>61</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	9463	Subcutaneous albiglutide (30– 50 mg) or of a matched volume of placebo once a week	240 years old with T2DM and established CAD, cerebrovascular disease, or PAD with an	Weight loss was higher in the albiglutide group (-0.66 kg at 8 months and -0.83 kg at
STEP 1 <sup>70</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial	1961	Once-weekly subcutaneous 2.4 mg semaglutide or placebo,	Adults without T2DM and a BMI of 230 kg/m <sup>2</sup> (or 227 with 21	Estimated weight loss difference of 12.4% in the semaglutide
STEP 2 <sup>71</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo- controlled, Phase 3 trial	1210	Puta messore mercention Semaglutide 2.4 mg or semaglutide 1.0 mg or placebo once a week for 68 weeks, plus a lifestule intervantion	weight reflect computivity BMI $\geq 27$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> and HbA1c 7– 10% and diagnosis of T2DM at least 180 days before screening	group $v < 0.001$ Estimated weight loss difference of 6.2% in the semaglutide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
STEP 3 <sup>72</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, 68 week, Phase 3a study	611	Dire-weekly subcutaneous Once-weekly subcutaneous semaglutide 2.4 mg vs. placebo as an adjunct to intensive behavioural therapy with initial how.calorio diat	Adults without T2DM and with either overweight (BMI $\geq$ 27 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) plus at least one comorbidity or obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Estimated weight loss difference of 10.3% in the semaglutide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
STEP 4 <sup>73</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, 68 week, Phase 3a withdrawal study	902	Dove-weekly treatment with Subcutaneous semaglutide, 2.4 mg, compared with switching	Adults with overweight or obesity after a 20 week run-in with subcutaneous semaglutide	Estimated weight loss difference of 14.8% in the semaglutide group ( $P < 0.001$ )
NCT05111912 <sup>74</sup> (recruiting)	Phase 2, open-label, randomized, interventional,	200	Oproce-weekly human GLP-1 Once-weekly human GLP-1 analogue, compared with	BMI ≥ 30.0 and ≤40.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> at screening, in the absence of type	Percentage change in participants' body weight (%) from the brocline to MVob 26
NCT03671733 <sup>75</sup> (recruiting)	cose-municy study Randomized, interventional open-label, Phase 3 study	150	Uncertainy magnature of mig Liraglutide vs. exenatide vs. exenatide microspheres for initerior	∠ or any outer sype or unables BMI ≥ 28 kg/m <sup>2</sup> or with abdominal obesity and with weight etable for >2 months	Weight change at 3 months measured in kilograms
NCT05005741 <sup>76</sup> (recruiting)	Multicentre, open-label, randomized controlled, Phase 4 trial	120	Three times a day of subcutaneous beinaglutide or once weekly of 1.5 mg subcutaneous dulaglutide for 16 weeks	Adults with T2DM and Adults with T2DM and overweight or obesity (BMI from 24 to 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) or waistline longer than 90 cm (male)/85 cm (female)	Change from baseline to Week 16 in HbA1c. The secondary endpoint is the change from baseline to Week 16 in weight
BMI, body mass index; ( HF, heart failure; LV, lef	CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, cl t ventricular; MI, myocardial infarct	ronic kidne ion; NYHA, I	y disease; CV, cardiovascular; GLP-1 RA Vew York Heart Association; PAD, peri	<ul> <li>A. glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor ago ipheral artery disease; T2DM, type 2 d</li> </ul>	nist; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; iabetes mellitus.

654

**Figure 2** Reduction of adverse events in type 2 diabetes patients treated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs). The figure is adapted from Sattar *et al.*,<sup>77</sup> showing the beneficial effects on mortality, hospital admission for heart failure (HF), and MACE meta-analysed from GLP-1 RA clinical trials (ELIXA, LEADER, SUSTAIN-6, EXSCEL, Harmony Outcomes, REWIND, PIONEER 6, and AMPLITUDE-O). MACE included cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, and stroke. *X* axis represents the % reduction of the analysed endpoints. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events.





Figure 3 Obesity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). The figure shows the clinical feature and pathophysiology of obesity and HFpEF and the potential benefit deriving from glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. LV, left ventricular.



management in adults with obesity or overweight with at least one weight-related condition (such as high blood pressure, T2DM, or high cholesterol), for use in addition to a reduced calorie diet and increased physical activity. Semaglutide is the first drug approved for chronic weight management in adults with general obesity or overweight since 2014. Although tirzepatide is at an earlier stage of development, it has shown a similar, if not greater, efficacy for weight loss.<sup>97</sup>

#### Treatment of patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction

With the recent exception of the sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor (SGLT2i),<sup>98,99</sup> trials in patients with HFpEF have failed to show significant results so that no specific treatment was recommended in the 2021 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for HF.<sup>2</sup> It can be, however, hypothesized that, similarly to the beneficial effects of caloric restriction and physical activity leading to weight loss, also treatment with weight reducing GLP-1 RA may be an effective for the patients with the obese HFpEF phenotype (*Figure 3*) (see below).

## Treatment of the obese heart failure with preserved ejection fraction phenotype

Treatment of obesity includes a variety of modalities including lifestyle intervention, medications, and bariatric surgery.<sup>100,101</sup> The effect of weight loss in HF patients is still partially unsettled. The significance of obesity and, more specifically, increased epicardial fat is likely different in patients with HFrEF or HFpEF. It is associated with a reduced risk of events in HFrEF, whereas it is associated with worse symptoms and likely outcomes in HFpEF patients.<sup>44,45,48,49,102,103</sup>

Weight loss should be a target of treatment only in obese patients with HFpEF. In the FLAGSHIP study, non-obese HFpEF patients with weight loss had higher all-cause mortality and re-hospitalization rates than their pairs without weight loss.<sup>104</sup> Furthermore, at 6 months of hospital discharge, a high proportion of patients in the weight loss group in the non-obesity group presented with functional limitations and anorexia, suggesting that their physical function and nutritional status were deteriorating.

Conversely, weight loss had beneficial effects in obese patients with HFpEF. Kitzman *et al* showed that among obese older patients with clinically stable HFpEF, caloric restriction and/or aerobic exercise training increased peak oxygen consumption, and their effects were additive.<sup>101</sup> Similarly, dietary treatment/prevention programmes among obese

		Estimate			
Study or trial	Type of study	enrolment	Drug vs. comparator	Inclusion criteria	Primary endpoints
STEP HFpEF <sup>81</sup>	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 3 trial	529	Once-weekly subcutaneous semaglutide 2.4 mg add-on to	BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , NYHA Class II–IV, and LVEF > 45%	1 7.8 points estimated difference in KCCQ from baseline to Week 52
			standard of care vs. placebo		2 –10.7 estimated difference in body weight from baseline to Week 52
STEP HFpEF DM <sup>113</sup> (recruitina)	Randomized, quadruple-blind, placebo-controlled Phase 3 trial	610	Once-weekly subcutaneous semaalutide 2.4 ma add-on to	Adults (>18 years old), with BMI > 30.0 ka/m <sup>2</sup> , NYHA Class II–IV.	1 Change in KCCQ clinical summary score from baseline to Week 52
à			standard of care vs. placebo	LVEF > 45% at screening, T2DM	2 Change in body weight percentage
				alagnosea ∠30 aays prior to the screening, and HbA1c ≤ 10%	from baseline to week 52
SUMMIT <sup>97</sup>	Randomized, double-blind,	700	Tirzepatide administered	NYHA Class II-IV and elevated NT-	1 All-cause mortality, HF events,
(recruiting)	placebo-controlled Phase 3 trial		subcutaneously vs. placebo	proBNP, structural heart disease, or	6MWD test, and KCCQ from baseline
				HF decompensation within	to Week 120
				12 months	2 6MWD test variation from baseline
					to Week 52
SELECT <sup>114</sup>	Randomized, quadruple-blind,	17 500	Once-weekly subcutaneous	BMI $\ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ : prior myocardial	Time to first occurrence of CV death,
(recruiting)	placebo-controlled Phase 3 trial		semaglutide from 0.24 up to 2.4 mg	infarction or prior stroke or PAD	non-fatal myocardial infarction, or
			add-on to standard of care vs.		non-fatal stroke from 0 to
			placebo		59 months
6MWD, 6 min walk heart failure with p peptide; NYHA, Nev	ing distance; BMI, body mass index; preserved ejection fraction; KCCQ, K w York Heart Association; PAD, peri	CV, cardiova ansas City Ca pheral artery	scular; GLP-1 RA, glucagon-like peptide diomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEF, left disease; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitu	e-1 receptor agonist; HbA1c, glycated P ft ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proB us.	aemoglobin; HF, heart failure; HFpEF, NP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic

GLP-1 RA and clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure and cardiovascular disease: ongoing

Table 2

trials

ESC Heart Failure 2024; **11**: 649–661 DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.14560 HFpEF patients showed that a loss of  $\approx$ 7% body weight was associated with a 37% decrease in Minnesota Living With Heart Failure (MLWHF) score and a 29% increase in 6 min walking distance (6MWD) test at completion of the 15 week programme, compared with baseline.<sup>105</sup>

Also, bariatric surgery in obese patients with HFpEF has been shown to improve symptoms and New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, as well as reduce HF readmissions and reverse LV remodelling, and improve LV distensibility.<sup>106–108</sup> In a nationwide analysis, mortality was lower among obese HFpEF patients with bariatric surgery compared with obese HFpEF patients without bariatric surgery. Obese HFpEF patients with bariatric surgery also had lower total hospitalization charges and lower total hospitalization costs compared with obese HFpEF patients without bariatric surgery. These results suggest that bariatric surgery in morbidly obese HFpEF patients may reduce mortality and improve resource utilization.

Whereas cardiac rehabilitation and intentional weight loss through caloric restriction, physical activity, and/or bariatric surgery are promising strategies to improve exercise capacity in these patients, future large studies are needed to test whether such interventions may modify the risk of long-term adverse clinical outcomes.<sup>109</sup>

## Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist

Agents that lead to a weight loss may be effective in patients with obesity and HFpEF. Also, the efficacy of GLP-1 RA to reduce the generation of reactive oxygen species and reduce systemic inflammation<sup>110</sup> could represent a key factor to promote their use in HFpEF. Preliminary data show that GLP-1 RA may improve diastolic function by reducing diastolic filling pressures and unloading the ventricle.111 Beneficial effects of GLP-1 RA also exert on the kidney by the protection from oxidative injury and by reducing the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system activation and thereby contributing to blood pressure lowering.<sup>112</sup> This may be particularly important in HFpEF. A recent meta-analysis of the main GLP-1 RA CV outcome trials (CVOTs) has shown a reduction of the composite kidney outcome (development of macroalbuminuria, doubling of serum creatinine, end-stage renal disease, and renal-related deaths) by 21%.77,78

RCTs are needed to test the efficacy of these drugs in this population. The STEP HFpEF trial has recently shown a significant improvement in symptoms, quality of life, and exercise tolerance, assessed by the 6MWD test, along with body weight reduction, in patients with obesity and HFpEF treated with semaglutide (2.4 mg) compared with placebo.<sup>81</sup> Similarly, the STEP HFpEF DM trial (NCT04916470) will test the effect of semaglutide in subjects with obesity-related HFpEF and with T2DM.<sup>113</sup>

SUMMIT is another ongoing RCT that will assess the efficacy and safety of tirzepatide (LY3298176), a combined gastric inhibitory peptide and GLP-1 RA, in participants with HFpEF and obesity, compared with placebo.<sup>97</sup> Finally, SELECT has tested the superiority of semaglutide, compared with placebo, when added to standard of care for preventing major adverse CV events in patients with established CV disease and overweight or obese but without T2DM. Given the potential inclusion of HFpEF patients and the assessment of hospitalization for HF as a secondary outcome, SELECT will have potential for exploring new approaches to reduce CV events and HF events while targeting obesity (*Table 2*).<sup>114,115</sup>

With worsening epidemiological trends for both the incidence and prevalence of HF worldwide, it is critical to implement optimal prevention and treatment strategies for patients with or without comorbidities as T2DM.<sup>116</sup> Consensus statements and guidelines have recommended GLP-1 RA and SGLT2i as additions to lifestyle interventions with or without metformin in those at high atherosclerotic CV disease risk.<sup>57,117-120</sup>

However, these recommendations fail to differentiate between the prevention and treatment of patients with HF and do not differentiate among those with different HF phenotypes.

From this perspective, GLP-1 RA could represent a cornerstone treatment to modify the natural history of HFpEF. This could potentially lead to a breakthrough in the treatment of HF, which is constantly evolving, especially if we consider the high prevalence and adverse prognosis of patients affected by HFpEF.<sup>121,122</sup>

### Conclusions

The number of patients with HFpEF is expected to grow, given the increased life expectancy and the increasing prevalence of risk factors predisposing to HFpEF. It is well known that obesity is one of the most common and clinically relevant phenotypes of HFpEF with specific pathophysiological mechanisms. Therapies targeting body weight reduction are therefore promising. Trials with GLP-1 RA in obese patients, with or without T2DM, have shown their efficacy for weight loss. Future studies are ongoing to assess whether GLP-1 RA can prevent and treat patients with HFpEF and obesity.

## References

- 1. van Riet EE, Hoes AW, Wagenaar KP, Limburg A, Landman MA, Rutten FH. Epidemiology of heart failure: The prevalence of heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in older adults over time. A systematic review. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;**18**:242–252.
- Authors/Task Force Members, McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, et al. 2021 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: Developed by the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). With the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail 2022;24: 4–131.
- Seferovic PM, Vardas P, Jankowska EA, Maggioni AP, Timmis A, Milinkovic I, et al. The Heart Failure Association Atlas: Heart failure epidemiology and management statistics 2019. Eur J Heart Fail 2021;23:906–914.
- Redfield MM, Borlaug BA. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A review. JAMA 2023;329:827–838.
- Ng ACT, Delgado V, Borlaug BA, Bax JJ. Diabesity: The combined burden of obesity and diabetes on heart disease and the role of imaging. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2021;18:291–304.
- Ward ZJ, Bleich SN, Cradock AL, Barrett JL, Giles CM, Flax C, et al. Projected U.S. state-level prevalence of adult obesity and severe obesity. N Engl J Med 2019;381:2440–2450.
- Borlaug BA, Jensen MD, Kitzman DW, Lam CSP, Obokata M, Rider OJ. Obesity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: New insights and pathophysiological targets. *Cardiovasc Res* 2023;118:3434–3450.
- 8. Pandey A, Parashar A, Kumbhani DJ, Agarwal S, Garg J, Kitzman D, *et al.* Exercise training in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: Meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *Circ Heart Fail* 2015;**8**:33–40.
- 9. Anker SD, Usman MS, Anker MS, Butler J, Bohm M, Abraham WT, et al. Patient phenotype profiling in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction to guide therapeutic decision making. A scientific statement of the Heart Failure Association, the European Heart Rhythm Association of the European Society of Cardiology, and the European Society of Hypertension. Eur J Heart Fail 2023;25:936–955.
- Obokata M, Reddy YN, Pislaru SV, Melenovsky V, Borlaug BA. Evidence supporting the existence of a distinct obese phenotype of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circulation* 2017;**136**:6–19.

- Marx N, Federici M, Schutt K, Muller-Wieland D, Ajjan RA, Antunes MJ, *et al.* ESC guidelines for the management of cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes. *Eur Heart J* 2023; 2023.
- 12. Harada T, Obokata M. Obesity-related heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and potential therapies. *Heart Fail Clin* 2020;**16**:357–368.
- Fuster JJ, Ouchi N, Gokce N, Walsh K. Obesity-induced changes in adipose tissue microenvironment and their impact on cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res* 2016;**118**:1786–1807.
- Jia G, Jia Y, Sowers JR. Contribution of maladaptive adipose tissue expansion to development of cardiovascular disease. *Compr Physiol* 2016;7:253–262.
- Sorimachi H, Burkhoff D, Verbrugge FH, Omote K, Obokata M, Reddy YNV, *et al.* Obesity, venous capacitance, and venous compliance in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021;23:1648–1658.
- Sorimachi H, Omote K, Omar M, Popovic D, Verbrugge FH, Reddy YNV, *et al.* Sex and central obesity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;24:1359–1370.
- 17. Paulus WJ, Tschope C. A novel paradigm for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Comorbidities drive myocardial dysfunction and remodeling through coronary microvascular endothelial inflammation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:263–271.
- Ter Maaten JM, Damman K, Verhaar MC, Paulus WJ, Duncker DJ, Cheng C, *et al.* Connecting heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and renal dysfunction: The role of endothelial dysfunction and inflammation. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016;**18**:588–598.
- Marchesini G, Moscatiello S, Di Domizio S, Forlani G. Obesity-associated liver disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:S74–S80.
- 20. Chiyanika C, Chan DFY, Hui SCN, So HK, Deng M, Yeung DKW, et al. The relationship between pancreas steatosis and the risk of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in Chinese adolescents with concurrent obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Pediatr Obes* 2020;15:e12653.
- Tallis J, James RS, Seebacher F. The effects of obesity on skeletal muscle contractile function. *J Exp Biol* 2018; 221.
- 22. Westermann D, Lindner D, Kasner M, Zietsch C, Savvatis K, Escher F, *et al.* Cardiac inflammation contributes to changes in the extracellular matrix in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2011; 4:44–52.

- 23. van Heerebeek L, Hamdani N, Handoko ML, Falcao-Pires I, Musters RJ, Kupreishvili K, *et al.* Diastolic stiffness of the failing diabetic heart: Importance of fibrosis, advanced glycation end products, and myocyte resting tension. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:43–51.
- D'Oria R, Schipani R, Leonardini A, Natalicchio A, Perrini S, Cignarelli A, *et al.* The role of oxidative stress in cardiac disease: From physiological response to injury factor. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020;2020:5732956.
- van Heerebeek L, Hamdani N, Falcao-Pires I, Leite-Moreira AF, Begieneman MP, Bronzwaer JG, *et al*. Low myocardial protein kinase G activity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:830–839.
- 26. Rajapakse AG, Yepuri G, Carvas JM, Stein S, Matter CM, Scerri I, et al. Hyperactive S6K1 mediates oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction in aging: Inhibition by resveratrol. PLoS ONE 2011;6:e19237.
- 27. Sanders-van Wijk S, Tromp J, Beussink-Nelson L, Hage C, Svedlund S, Saraste A, et al. Proteomic evaluation of the comorbidity-inflammation paradigm in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Results from the PROMIS-HFpEF study. Circulation 2020;142: 2029–2044.
- Neeland IJ, Gupta S, Ayers CR, Turer AT, Rame JE, Das SR, et al. Relation of regional fat distribution to left ventricular structure and function. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;6:800–807.
- Packer M. Derangements in adrenergic-adipokine signalling establish a neurohormonal basis for obesity-related heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2018;20:873–878.
- 30. Packer M, Kitzman DW. Obesity-related heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction: The mechanistic rationale for combining inhibitors of aldosterone, neprilysin, and sodium-glucose cotransporter-2. *JACC Heart Fail* 2018;6:633–639.
- Patel VB, Shah S, Verma S, Oudit GY. Epicardial adipose tissue as a metabolic transducer: Role in heart failure and coronary artery disease. *Heart Fail Rev* 2017;22:889–902.
- 32. Fontes-Carvalho R, Fontes-Oliveira M, Sampaio F, Mancio J, Bettencourt N, Teixeira M, *et al.* Influence of epicardial and visceral fat on left ventricular diastolic and systolic functions in patients after myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2014;**114**:1663–1669.
- 33. Sood A. Altered resting and exercise respiratory physiology in obesity. *Clin Chest Med* 2009;**30**:445–454.
- 34. Hansen JE, Sue DY, Wasserman K. Predicted values for clinical exercise

testing. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;129: S49–S55.

- Buskirk E, Taylor HL. Maximal oxygen intake and its relation to body composition, with special reference to chronic physical activity and obesity. *J Appl Physiol* 1957;11:72–78.
- American Thoracic Society, American College of Chest Physicians. ATS/ACCP statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167:211–277.
- Zarich SW, Kowalchuk GJ, McGuire MP, Benotti PN, Mascioli EA, Nesto RW. Left ventricular filling abnormalities in asymptomatic morbid obesity. *Am J Cardiol* 1991;68:377–381.
- Andersson C, Vasan RS. Epidemiology of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Heart Fail Clin* 2014;10: 377–388.
- Mgbemena O, Zhang Y, Velarde G. Role of diabetes mellitus in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A review article. *Cureus* 2021;13:e19398.
- Reddy YNV, Lewis GD, Shah SJ, Obokata M, Abou-Ezzedine OF, Fudim M, et al. Characterization of the obese phenotype of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A RELAX trial ancillary study. Mayo Clin Proc 2019; 94:1199–1209.
- Dhore-Patil A, Thannoun T, Samson R, Le Jemtel TH. Diabetes mellitus and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Role of obesity. *Front Physiol* 2021;12:785879.
- 42. Kresoja KP, Rommel KP, Wachter R, Henger S, Besler C, Kloting N, *et al.* Proteomics to improve phenotyping in obese patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021;23:1633–1644.
- Inciardi RM, Chandra A. Epicardial adipose tissue in heart failure: Risk factor or mediator? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;24: 1357–1358.
- 44. van Woerden G, van Veldhuisen DJ, Westenbrink BD, de Boer RA, Rienstra M, Gorter TM. Connecting epicardial adipose tissue and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Mechanisms, management and modern perspectives. Eur J Heart Fail 2022;24: 2238–2250.
- 45. Venkateshvaran A, Faxen UL, Hage C, Michaelsson E, Svedlund S, Saraste A, *et al.* Association of epicardial adipose tissue with proteomics, coronary flow reserve, cardiac structure and function, and quality of life in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Insights from the PROMIS-HFpEF study. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;24:2251–2260.
- 46. Koepp KE, Obokata M, Reddy YNV, Olson TP, Borlaug BA. Hemodynamic and functional impact of epicardial adipose tissue in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. JACC Heart Fail 2020;8:657–666.
- 47. Obokata M, Reddy YNV, Melenovsky V, Sorimachi H, Jarolim P, Borlaug BA.

Uncoupling between intravascular and distending pressures leads to underestimation of circulatory congestion in obesity. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;24: 353–361.

- 48. Jin X, Hung CL, Tay WT, Soon D, Sim D, Sung KT, *et al*. Epicardial adipose tissue related to left atrial and ventricular function in heart failure with preserved versus reduced and mildly reduced ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;**24**:1346–1356.
- 49. Pugliese NR, Paneni F, Mazzola M, De Biase N, Del Punta L, Gargani L, et al. Impact of epicardial adipose tissue on cardiovascular haemodynamics, metabolic profile, and prognosis in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2021;23: 1858–1871.
- Roder PV, Wu B, Liu Y, Han W. Pancreatic regulation of glucose homeostasis. *Exp Mol Med* 2016;48:e219.
- Lee YS, Jun HS. Anti-diabetic actions of glucagon-like peptide-1 on pancreatic beta-cells. *Metabolism* 2014;63:9–19.
- Muller TD, Finan B, Bloom SR, D'Alessio D, Drucker DJ, Flatt PR, et al. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1). Mol Metab 2019;30:72–130.
- Rowlands J, Heng J, Newsholme P, Carlessi R. Pleiotropic effects of GLP-1 and analogs on cell signaling, metabolism, and function. *Front Endocrinol* (*Lausanne*) 2018;9:672.
- Dailey MJ, Moran TH. Glucagon-like peptide 1 and appetite. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2013;24:85–91.
- Shah M, Vella A. Effects of GLP-1 on appetite and weight. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* 2014;15:181–187.
- Deacon CF. Physiology and pharmacology of DPP-4 in glucose homeostasis and the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2019;10: 80.
- 57. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC guidelines on diabetes, prediabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD: The Task Force for Diabetes, Pre-diabetes, and Cardiovascular Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Eur Heart J 2020;41:255–323.
- Alvarez-Villalobos NA, Trevino-Alvarez AM, Gonzalez-Gonzalez JG. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016;375: 1797–1798.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, Eliaschewitz FG, Jodar E, Leiter LA, *et al.* Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375: 1834–1844.
- 60. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, Diaz R, Lakshmanan M, Pais P, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (RE-WIND): A double-blind, randomised

placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2019; 394:121-130.

- Hernandez AF, Green JB, Janmohamed S, D'Agostino RB Sr, Granger CB, Jones NP, et al. Albiglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Harmony Outcomes): A double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2018;392:1519–1529.
- 62. Astrup A, Rossner S, Van Gaal L, Rissanen A, Niskanen L, Al Hakim M, *et al.* Effects of liraglutide in the treatment of obesity: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet* 2009;**374**:1606–1616.
- 63. Wadden TA, Hollander P, Klein S, Niswender K, Woo V, Hale PM, et al. Weight maintenance and additional weight loss with liraglutide after lowcalorie-diet-induced weight loss: The SCALE Maintenance randomized study. Int J Obes (Lond) 2013;37:1443–1451.
- 64. Pi-Sunyer X, Astrup A, Fujioka K, Greenway F, Halpern A, Krempf M, *et al.* A randomized, controlled trial of 3.0 mg of liraglutide in weight management. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:11–22.
- Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, Dungan K, Eliaschewitz FG, Franco DR, *et al.* Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**381**: 841–851.
- 66. Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, Dickstein K, Gerstein HC, Kober LV, *et al.* Lixisenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373: 2247–2257.
- Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, Thompson VP, Lokhnygina Y, Buse JB, *et al.* Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377: 1228–1239.
- Ruff CT, Baron M, Im K, O'Donoghue ML, Fiedorek FT, Sabatine MS. Subcutaneous infusion of exenatide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: A non-inferiority randomized controlled trial. *Nat Med* 2022;28: 89–95.
- Gerstein HC, Sattar N, Rosenstock J, Ramasundarahettige C, Pratley R, Lopes RD, et al. Cardiovascular and renal outcomes with efpeglenatide in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2021; 385:896–907.
- Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, Davies M, Van Gaal LF, Lingvay I, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. N Engl J Med 2021;384:989–1002.
- 71. Davies M, Færch L, Jeppesen OK, Pakseresht A, Pedersen SD, Perreault L, et al. Semaglutide 2.4 mg once a week in adults with overweight or obesity, and type 2 diabetes (STEP 2): A randomised, double-blind, doubledummy, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2021;13:971–984.

- 72. Wadden TA, Bailey TS, Billings LK, Davies M, Frias JP, Koroleva A, et al. Effect of subcutaneous semaglutide vs placebo as an adjunct to intensive behavioral therapy on body weight in adults with overweight or obesity: The STEP 3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021;**325**:1403–1413.
- 73. Rubino D, Abrahamsson N, Davies M, Hesse D, Greenway FL, Jensen C, *et al.* Effect of continued weekly subcutaneous semaglutide vs placebo on weight loss maintenance in adults with overweight or obesity: The STEP 4 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021;**325**: 1414–1425.
- 74. ClinicalTrials.gov. Effects of XW003 versus liraglutide on body weight of adult participants with obesity. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT05111912?term= GLP1&recrs=ab&cond= Obesity&draw=2&rank=1
- 75. ClinicalTrials.gov. Effects of GLP-1 RAs on weight and metabolic indicators in obese patients. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03671733?term=GLP1&recrs= ab&cond=Obesity&draw=2&rank=5
- 76. ClinicalTrials.gov. The effects of glucose control and weight loss between beinaglutide and dulaglutide in type 2 diabetes with overweight or obesity. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05005741?term=GLP1&recrs=ab&cond=Obesity&draw=2&rank=10
- 77. Sattar N, Lee MMY, Kristensen SL, Branch KRH, Del Prato S, Khurmi NS, et al. Cardiovascular, mortality, and kidney outcomes with GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021;9:653–662.
- 78. Kristensen SL, Rorth R, Jhund PS, Docherty KF, Sattar N, Preiss D, et al. Cardiovascular, mortality, and kidney outcomes with GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2019;7: 776–785.
- Ferreira JP, Neves JS. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists in heart failure: The need for a rewind. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2022;24:1813–1815.
- Ferreira JP, Sharma A, Butler J, Packer M, Zannad F, Vasques-Novoa F, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists across the spectrum of heart failure. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2023.
- 81. Kosiborod MN, Abildstrom SZ, Borlaug BA, Butler J, Rasmussen S, Davies M, *et al.* Semaglutide in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and obesity. *N Engl J Med* 2023.
- Margulies KB, Hernandez AF, Redfield MM, Givertz MM, Oliveira GH, Cole R, et al. Effects of liraglutide on clinical

stability among patients with advanced heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2016;**316**:500–508.

- 83. Jorsal A, Kistorp C, Holmager P, Tougaard RS, Nielsen R, Hanselmann A, et al. Effect of liraglutide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 analogue, on left ventricular function in stable chronic heart failure patients with and without diabetes (LIVE)—A multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2017;19:69–77.
- 84. Branch KRHDG, Avezum A, Basile J, Conget I, Cushman WC, Jansky P, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular and heart failure outcomes in patients with and without heart failure: A post-hoc analysis from the REWIND randomized trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2022t;8:1805–1812.
- 85. Davies MJ, Bergenstal R, Bode B, Kushner RF, Lewin A, Skjoth TV, *et al.* Efficacy of liraglutide for weight loss among patients with type 2 diabetes: The SCALE diabetes randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015;**314**:687–699.
- 86. Garvey WT, Birkenfeld AL, Dicker D, Mingrone G, Pedersen SD, Satylganova A, et al. Efficacy and safety of liraglutide 3.0 mg in individuals with overweight or obesity and type 2 diabetes treated with basal insulin: The SCALE insulin randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care 2020;43: 1085–1093.
- Vilsboll T, Christensen M, Junker AE, Knop FK, Gluud LL. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on weight loss: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2012;344:d7771.
- Astrup A, Carraro R, Finer N, Harper A, Kunesova M, Lean ME, *et al.* Safety, tolerability and sustained weight loss over 2 years with the once-daily human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide. *Int J Obes* (*Lond*) 2012;**36**:843–854.
- 89. Rosenstock J, Allison D, Birkenfeld AL, Blicher TM, Deenadayalan S, Jacobsen JB, et al. Effect of additional oral semaglutide vs sitagliptin on glycated hemoglobin in adults with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled with metformin alone or with sulfonylurea: The PIO-NEER 3 randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2019;321:1466–1480.
- Pratley R, Amod A, Hoff ST, Kadowaki T, Lingvay I, Nauck M, et al. Oral semaglutide versus subcutaneous liraglutide and placebo in type 2 diabetes (PIONEER 4): A randomised, doubleblind, phase 3a trial. *Lancet* 2019;**394**: 39–50.
- 91. Pratley RE, Aroda VR, Lingvay I, Ludemann J, Andreassen C, Navarria A, et al. Semaglutide versus dulaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 7): A randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet Diabe*tes Endocrinol 2018;6:275–286.

- 92. Ahmann AJ, Capehorn M, Charpentier G, Dotta F, Henkel E, Lingvay I, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus exenatide ER in subjects with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 3): A 56-week, open-label, randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41: 258–266.
- 93. Capehorn MS, Catarig AM, Furberg JK, Janez A, Price HC, Tadayon S, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide 1.0 mg vs once-daily liraglutide 1.2 mg as add-on to 1–3 oral antidiabetic drugs in subjects with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 10). Diabetes Metab 2020;46:100–109.
- 94. Kadowaki TIJ, Khalid U, Lee SY, Nishida T, Ogawa W, Tobe K, et al. Semaglutide once a week in adults with overweight or obesity, with or without type 2 diabetes in an east Asian population (STEP 6): A randomised, doubleblind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, phase 3a trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2022;10:193–206.
- 95. Rubino DM, Greenway FL, Khalid U, O'Neil PM, Rosenstock J, Sorrig R, *et al.* Effect of weekly subcutaneous semaglutide vs daily liraglutide on body weight in adults with overweight or obesity without diabetes: The STEP 8 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2022; 327:138–150.
- 96. Garvey WT, Batterham RL, Bhatta M, Buscemi S, Christensen LN, Frias JP, et al. Two-year effects of semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity: The STEP 5 trial. Nat Med 2022;28: 2083–2091. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-02026-4
- 97. NCT04847557. A study of tirzepatide (LY3298176) in participants with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and obesity (SUMMIT). 2021.
- 98. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B, de Boer RA, DeMets D, Hernandez AF, et al. Dapagliflozin in heart failure with mildly reduced or preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2022;387: 1089–1098.
- 99. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Bohm M, et al. Empagliflozin in heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1451–1461.
- 100. Tabucanon T, Wilcox J, Tang WHW. Does weight loss improve clinical outcomes in overweight and obese patients with heart failure? *Curr Diab Rep* 2020;**20**:75.
- 101. Kitzman DW, Brubaker P, Morgan T, Haykowsky M, Hundley G, Kraus WE, *et al.* Effect of caloric restriction or aerobic exercise training on peak oxygen consumption and quality of life in obese older patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2016;**315**: 36–46.
- 102. Rao VN, Fudim M, Mentz RJ, Michos ED, Felker GM. Regional adiposity and heart failure with preserved ejec-

tion fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;**22**: 1540–1550.

- 103. Haass M, Kitzman DW, Anand IS, Miller A, Zile MR, Massie BM, et al. Body mass index and adverse cardiovascular outcomes in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction: Results from the Irbesartan in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction (I-PRESERVE) trial. Circ Heart Fail 2011;4:324–331.
- 104. Kamisaka K, Kamiya K, Iwatsu K, Iritani N, Imoto S, Adachi T, *et al.* Impact of weight loss in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: Results from the FLAGSHIP study. *ESC Heart Fail* 2021;8:5293–5303.
- 105. El Hajj EC, El Hajj MC, Sykes B, Lamicq M, Zile MR, Malcolm R, *et al.* Pragmatic weight management program for patients with obesity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Heart Assoc 2021;10:e022930.
- 106. Miranda WR, Batsis JA, Sarr MG, Collazo-Clavell ML, Clark MM, Somers VK, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on quality of life, functional capacity, and symptoms in patients with heart failure. Obes Surg 2013;23: 1011–1015.
- 107. Shimada YJ, Tsugawa Y, Brown DFM, Hasegawa K. Bariatric surgery and emergency department visits and hospitalizations for heart failure exacerbation: Population-based, self-controlled series. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;67: 895–903.
- 108. Mikhalkova D, Holman SR, Jiang H, Saghir M, Novak E, Coggan AR, et al. Bariatric surgery-induced cardiac and lipidomic changes in obesity-related heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2018; 26:284–290.
- 109. Pandey A, Patel KV, Vaduganathan M, Sarma S, Haykowsky MJ, Berry JD,

*et al.* Physical activity, fitness, and obesity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *JACC Heart Fail* 2018;**6**:975–982.

- Chaudhuri A, Ghanim H, Vora M, Sia CL, Korzeniewski K, Dhindsa S, et al. Exenatide exerts a potent antiinflammatory effect. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:198–207.
- 111. Nguyen TD, Shingu Y, Amorim PA, Schenkl C, Schwarzer M, Doenst T. GLP-1 improves diastolic function and survival in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2018;11:259–267.
- 112. Wang C, Li L, Liu S, Liao G, Li L, Chen Y, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonist ameliorates obesity-induced chronic kidney injury via restoring renal metabolism homeostasis. *PLoS ONE* 2018;13: e0193473.
- 113. Kosiborod MN, Abildstrøm SZ, Borlaug BA, Butler J, Christensen L, Davies M, *et al.* Design and baseline characteristics of STEP-HFpEF program evaluating semaglutide in patients with obesity HFpEF phenotype. *JACC Heart Fail* 2023;**11**:1000–1010.
- Novo Nordisk A/S. Semaglutide effects on heart disease and stroke in patients with overweight or obesity (SELECT).
- 115. Ryan DH, Lingvay I, Colhoun HM, Deanfield J, Emerson SS, Kahn SE, *et al.* Semaglutide effects on cardiovascular outcomes in people with overweight or obesity (SELECT) rationale and design. *Am Heart J* 2020;**229**: 61–69.
- 116. McHugh K, DeVore AD, Wu J, Matsouaka RA, Fonarow GC, Heidenreich PA, et al. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and diabetes: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:602–611.
- 117. Das SR, Everett BM, Birtcher KK, Brown JM, Cefalu WT, Januzzi JL Jr, *et al.*

2018 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on novel therapies for cardiovascular risk reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72: 3200–3223.

- 118. Dunlay SM, Givertz MM, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Chan M, Desai AS, *et al.* Type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure, a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and Heart Failure Society of America. *J Card Fail* 2019; 25:584–619.
- 119. Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, Carballo D, Koskinas KC, Bäck M, *et al.* 2021 ESC guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42: 3227–3337.
- 120. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: Executive summary: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;74:1376–1414.
- 121. Kaplon-Cieslicka A, Benson L, Chioncel O, Crespo-Leiro MG, Coats AJS, Anker SD, et al. A comprehensive characterization of acute heart failure with preserved versus mildly reduced versus reduced ejection fraction—Insights from the ESC-HFA EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. Eur J Heart Fail 2022;24:335–350.
- 122. Shah KS, Xu H, Matsouaka RA, Bhatt DL, Heidenreich PA, Hernandez AF, *et al.* Heart failure with preserved, borderline, and reduced ejection fraction: 5-year outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;**70**:2476–2486.