The role of *N*-glycosylation in spike antigenicity for the SARS-CoV-2 gamma variant

Cassandra L. Pegg^{1,†}, Naphak Modhiran^{1,2,†}, Rhys H. Parry¹, Benjamin Liang¹, Alberto A. Amarilla¹, Alexander A. Khromykh^{1,3}, Lucy Burr⁴, Paul R. Young^{1,2,3}, Keith Chappell^{1,2,3}, Benjamin L. Schulz^{1,3,*}, Daniel Watterson^{1,2,3,*}

¹School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, Chemistry Building 68, Cooper Road, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia, ²Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, Building 75, Corner College Road and Cooper Road, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia, ³Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre, Global Virus Network Centre of Excellence, Brisbane, Queensland 4072 and 4006, Australia, ⁴Department of Respiratory Medicine, Mater Health Services, Raymond Terrace, South Brisbane, Queensland 4101, Australia

*Corresponding author: School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. Email: b.schulz@uq.edu.au and d.watterson@uq.edu.au

[†]Cassandra L. Pegg and Naphak Modhiran contributed equally.

The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants alters the efficacy of existing immunity towards the viral spike protein, whether acquired from infection or vaccination. Mutations that impact *N*-glycosylation of spike may be particularly important in influencing antigenicity, but their consequences are difficult to predict. Here, we compare the glycosylation profiles and antigenicity of recombinant viral spike of ancestral Wu-1 and the Gamma strain, which has two additional *N*-glycosylation sites due to amino acid substitutions in the N-terminal domain (NTD). We found that a mutation at residue 20 from threonine to asparagine within the NTD caused the loss of NTD-specific antibody COVA2-17 binding. Glycan site-occupancy analyses revealed that the mutation resulted in *N*-glycosylation switching to the new sequon at N20 from the native N17 site. Site-specific glycosylation profiles demonstrated distinct glycoform differences between Wu-1, Gamma, and selected NTD variant spike proteins, but these did not affect antibody binding. Finally, we evaluated the specificity of spike proteins against convalescent COVID-19 sera and found reduced cross-reactivity against some mutants, but not Gamma spike compared to Wuhan spike. Our results illustrate the impact of viral divergence on spike glycosylation and SARS-CoV-2 antibody binding profiles.

Key words: antibodies; coronavirus; glycoprotein; glycoproteomics; mass spectrometry.

Introduction

In December of 2019, a new coronavirus was detected in Wuhan, China which has subsequently been named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, has accounted for more than 651 million infections and more than six million deaths worldwide (World Health Organization Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) Dashboard; https://covid19.who.int/, Accessed 03 January 2023). Virus genomic sequences are being generated and shared at an unprecedented rate, with more than one million SARS-CoV-2 sequences available via the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza DATA (GISAID), permitting near real-time surveillance of the unfolding pandemics.

The SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) glycoprotein is composed of two subunits: S1, which contains the receptor-binding domain (RBD) responsible for interaction with receptors on host cells, and S2, which mediates membrane fusion and viral entry. The S1 subunit contains two highly immunogenic domains, the N-terminal domain (NTD) and the RBD, which are the major targets of neutralizing antibodies. While the RBD binds to the host cell receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the NTD is proposed to interact with auxiliary receptors including DC-SIGN/L-SIGN (Chiodo et al. 2020; Thépaut et al. 2021). Serological analysis of plasma or serum from SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals has revealed only $\sim 6\%$ -20% of circulating antibodies target the NTD compared to $\sim 65\% - 80\%$ that target the RBD, with the remaining $\sim 4\% - 20\%$ targeting the S2 subunit (McCallum et al. 2021). Nonetheless, NTD-targeting mAbs can neutralize SARS-CoV2-2 infection in vitro and in vivo, suggesting they could be useful for COVID-19 prophylaxis or treatment (Chi et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Cerutti et al. 2021; McCallum et al. 2021; Sun et al. 2021; Voss et al. 2021). The NTDdirected monoclonal antibodies identified to-date recognize a glycan-free epitope named the NTD-supersite (residues 14-20, 140-158 and 245-264 (Harvey et al. 2021; McCallum et al. 2021)). NTD-directed antibodies are a major selective pressure against the virus, and promote the emergence of NTD escape mutations of SARS-CoV-2 variants (McCallum et al. 2021).

In late 2020, the SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern (VOC), designated P.1 or Gamma, was first detected in Manaus, Amazonas state, Brazil. This lineage was first detected in four travelers returning to Japan from Amazonas state on

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press.

Received: June 28, 2023. Revised: November 10, 2023. Accepted: November 28, 2023

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1. Mutations of the spike protein of the SARS-CoV-2 gamma variant and evolution of T20N and R190S mutations. A) Schematic of the key domains of spike and locations of the 12 mutations present in the gamma variant. SS, signal sequence; HR, heptad repeat; CH, central helix; CD, connector domain; TM, transmembrane domain; CT, cytoplasmic domain. The spike construct used in this study comprised residues 1,204 of SARS-CoV-2 S with "GSG" substituted at the furin cleavage site and a molecular clamp substituted for the TM and CT domains. B) Early evolution and fixation of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoforms in gamma (P1 and descendent lineages). The left y-axis shows the proportion of sequences with complete collection dates on GISAID harboring T20N (red), R190S (blue) or both T20N/R190S (green). The right y-axis shows the cumulative number of P1 sequences deposited on GISAID between 20 Nov 2020 to 1 Jul 2021.

2 January 2021 (Fujino et al. 2021) and was soon recognized as an emergent lineage in Manaus (Faria et al. 2021). Gamma evolved from a local B.1.1.28 clade and replaced the parental lineage in <2 months. The Gamma spike protein harbors multiple substitutions: L18F, T20N, P26S, D138Y, R190S, K417T, E484K, N501Y, D614G, H655Y, T1027I and V1176F (Fig. 1A). Most of these mutations localize to the NTD and RBD, which are the major targets of neutralizing antibodies in convalescent and vaccinated individuals, raising concerns about the efficacy of available vaccines and therapeutic monoclonal antibodies towards this lineage. Some of these mutations had occurred in other VOCs: E484K was shared with B.1.351 (Beta), and N501Y was shared with B.1.1.7 (Alpha) and B.1.351 (Beta). Both these mutations reduce the neutralization potency of some monoclonal antibodies (Zhang et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021c).

A key post-translational modification that can also modulate the biophysical properties of proteins is glycosylation, whereby carbohydrate moieties (glycans) are attached to nascent proteins during synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Lee et al. 2015). Asparagine- (N-) glycans are attached at acceptor asparagine residues within an amino acid consensus motif or sequon (Asn-Xaa-Thr/Ser; Xaa \neq Pro). This peptide motif binds with high affinity to the active site of the oligosaccharyltransferase, the enzyme that catalyzes N-glycosylation in the ER (Shrimal and Gilmore 2019). Glycans play critical roles in virus-host interactions, including stabilizing the conformation of viral and host proteins; serving as viral attachment factors, co-receptors, or receptors; promoting structural conformations that facilitate or enhance receptor binding; shielding or presenting underlying viral epitopes; and acting as antigens to direct immune response (Bagdonaite and Wandall 2018; Watanabe et al. 2019; Dugan et al. 2022). Indeed, the spike protein is heavily glycosylated, with 22 occupied *N*-glycosylation sequons present per protomer (Watanabe et al. 2020) and glycosylation has been shown to influence SARS-CoV-2 infection (Reis et al. 2021). Host glycans act as ligands, facilitating virus binding and uptake (Clausen et al. 2020; Nguyen et al. 2022) and inhibiting cellular glycosylation blocks SARS-CoV-2 entry (Yang et al. 2020). Furthermore, molecular dynamics (MD) studies indicate that spike *N*-glycosylation sites N165, N234, and N343 (Casalino et al. 2020; Sztain et al. 2021; Harbison et al. 2022), stabilize the protein in an open conformation allowing more favorable interactions with the ACE receptor, and that glycan-protein and glycan-glycan interactions form between ACE and spike (Zhao et al. 2020).

The glycosylation profile of spike has been extensively investigated (Praissman and Wells 2021; Chawla et al. 2022a). Minimal differences in glycosylation have been observed between vaccine constructs of trimeric ancestral spike held in a pre-fusion conformation and native (virion derived) spike (Allen et al. 2021). Conversely, glycosylation differs substantially between native spike and a monomeric form of the S1 subunit from a non-stabilized protein construct (Brun et al. 2021). These studies indicate that the glycosylation profile of spike is relatively stable when proteins are produced in similar cell lines, and overall spike architecture is conserved. However, specific amino acid substitutions in spike can modify site-specific glycosylation. A single substitution D614G (present in the Alpha variant and subsequent VOCs) and mutations specific to the Alpha variant, alter glycosylation profiles at selected sites compared to the ancestral Wuhan-Hu-1 strain (Wang et al. 2021a; Kuo et al. 2022).

In addition to sequence variations that may promote conformational changes, mutations can also introduce, remove, or change N-glycosylation sequents (NxT/S; $x \neq$ Pro) which may alter the structure and function of spike. For instance, a mutation (NST₃₇₂ > A) in spike of human SARS-CoV-2 results in the loss of an N-glycosylation sequon when compared to other related coronaviruses (Kang et al. 2021). MD simulations (Harbison et al. 2022) and binding assays (Kang et al. 2021) reveal that the loss of this site increases the binding affinity of the spike RBD to host ACE2 by stabilising the open conformation of the RBD. In VOCs, a mutation at position 19 in the Delta variant abolishes the N-glycosylation sequon containing site N17 (NLT₁₉ > R) but has little impact on the overall glycosylation profile of spike compared to the ancestral strain (Newby et al. 2022). The Gamma variant mutations T20N and R190S introduce two new N-glycosylation sequons, N₂₀RT and NLS₁₉₀, respectively. In addition, the Gamma substitution L18F changes the middle amino acid within the native N-glycosylation sequon containing site N17 (NF₁₈T). The introduced site N188 in Gamma is occupied with oligomannose type glycans (Yang et al. 2021; Newby et al. 2022), and occupancy of the second introduced site, N20, has been confirmed through cryo-EM mapping (Yang et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021) and was found to contain predominantly complex type glycans (Newby et al. 2022; Shajahan et al. 2022). Intriguingly, molecular modelling of the Gamma spike NTD predicts additional N20 and N188 glycans could sterically block NTD-specific antibodies targeting the supersite (Yang et al. 2021). To our knowledge, the impact of these Gamma NTD mutations on spike-antibody binding has not been described.

With new and emerging variants and the threat of reduced neutralizing antibody capacity, understanding the effects of different mutations on the antibody and glycosylation profiles of the NTD is critical to fully understand SARS-CoV-2 immunity during pandemics. Here, we produced nine trimeric prefusion-stabilized spike proteins that included the ancestral spike (Wu-1), the Gamma variant with all 12 substitutions and Wu-1 spike with single, double or triple mutagenesis of L18F, T20N or R190S. We analyzed the mAb binding profiles of each spike protein and measured site-specific *N*-glycosylation occupancy and glycoform abundance at the acquired N20 and N188 *N*-glycosylation sites, and at the native *N*-glycosylation site N17. In addition, we measured NTD-specific IgG titers in sera from COVID-19 convalescent individuals.

Results and discussion

Sensitivity of gamma spike and its mutations to NTD-specific mAbs

The emergence of the Brazilian VOC (Gamma lineage, P.1) impacted the epidemiological profile of COVID-19 cases due to its higher transmissibility and immune evasion ability (Dejnirattisai et al. 2021; Faria et al. 2021; Imai et al. 2021; Naveca et al. 2021; Souza et al. 2021). It emerged after a period of rapid genetic diversification (Naveca et al. 2021) and accumulated 17 non-synonymous defining mutations, ten of which are in the S gene. Mutations in the RBD at K417T, E484K and N501Y are involved in immune escape (Harvey et al. 2021), while the NTD also contained five mutations, two of which, T20N and R109S, introduce new N-glycosylation sequons (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, sequence analysis revealed the early detection of mutations at T20N and R190S prior to the description of the gamma variant (Fig. 1B). Although several studies documented Gamma's increased transmissibility and immune evasion, there is limited data about the glycosylation profile of Gamma and its impact on antibody binding, particularly within the NTD. Such data may help us better understand immune responses to emerging variants and help mitigate the severe impact of the ongoing pandemic.

To examine potential changes in antibody binding of Gamma spike, we generated recombinant trimeric SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins of the ancestral Wu-1 strain and Gamma with a modified furin cleavage site from Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells, as described previously (Watterson et al. 2021) and assayed their binding to defined neutralizing mAbs originally isolated from SARS-CoV-2 patients (Fig. 2). We found that structurally defined epitope-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) including anti-RBD (B38, CB6, CR3022, 2M10B11 and S309) and anti-NTD (4A8, COVA2-17 and COVA1-22) bound differently to Wu-1 and Gamma spike proteins, consistent with previous studies (Caniels et al. 2021; Tao et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021b). All class I (B38 and CB6) and class IV (CR3022 and 2M10B11) RBD-specific mAbs exhibited lower binding affinity to Gamma spike than to Wu-1 spike, whereas the class III (S309) mAb showed no difference in binding. NTD-specific mAbs also had lower binding affinity to Gamma spike than to Wu-1 spike: 4A8, recognizes the NTD supersite; and COVA1-22, where the binding mode has not yet been determined. Interestingly, the COVA2-17, NTD-specific neutralizing antibody, bound strongly to Wu-1 spike, but its binding was completely abolished for Gamma spike. Although broadly defined as anti-NTD, it should be noted that COVA2-17 was found to bind recombinant RBD (Brouwer et al. 2020) and binding is moderately affected by the mutation N501Y in the RBD (Rees-Spear et al. 2021). Thus, Gamma RBD mutations may also have influenced binding in our assays. In addition to changes in antibody binding, Gamma spike showed slightly lower affinity to monomeric ACE2 (ACE2 FcM). Influenza hemagglutinin specific C05 (Ekiert et al. 2012) and anti-clamp (Young et al. 2022) antibodies served as negative and positive controls for these binding assays, and showed no differences in binding. Together, these data showed substantial changes to antibody binding across the RBD and NTD of Gamma spike.

Antibody binding of trimeric spike from the gamma variant with selected mutations

Although multiple antigenic sites, including one at the surface of the NTD, are present on both Wu-1 and Gamma, a single supersite of vulnerability is targeted by neutralizing Abs elicited upon infection and vaccination (Lok 2021; McCallum et al. 2021). This antigenic supersite (designated site i) comprises the NTD N-terminus (residues 14 to 20), a β -hairpin (residues 140 to 158), and a loop (residues 245 to 264). In the context of this antigenic supersite, the Gamma spike substitutions L18F and T20N are of particular interest, as they alter the native N-glycosylation sequon at N17 (NL₁₈T > NF₁₈T) and introduce a new N-glycosylation sequon at N20 ($T_{20}RT > N_{20}RT$). Given the proximity of the additional Gamma mutation R190S to the antigenic supersite and predictions that glycans at the resulting introduced N-glycosylation sequon (NLS₁₉₀) could alter antibody binding (Yang et al. 2021), this substitution is also of high interest. To dissect the consequences of these substitutions, we performed all combinations of single, double or triple mutagenesis at L18F, T20N, and R190S on Wu-1 spike, resulting in seven proteins that were expressed and



Fig. 2. Wu-1 and gamma SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins exhibit different sensitivities to RBD and NTD specific mAbs. A) Indirect ELISA show binding curves of Wu-1 (red) and gamma (blue) spike to RBD- and NTD-specific antibodies as indicated. Kd shown for each curve, nM.

purified in addition to Wu-1 and Gamma. We then tested binding affinities for selected mAbs to these variant spike proteins (Fig. 3). As expected, these substitutions in the NTD did not affect binding of the anti-RBD mAb CB6. Despite anti-NTD mAb COVA1-22 having reduced binding to Gamma, its binding was not reduced to the variant spike proteins with targeted substitutions. In contrast, while anti-NTD COVA2-17 mAb bound Wu-1 spike with high affinity (Kd ~0.77 nM), the spike variants T20N (Kd ~8.35 nM), L18F/ T20N (Kd >10 nM), and L18F/T20N/R190S (Kd >10 nM) showed substantially reduced or completely abrogated binding for this mAb. COVA2-17 binding affinity did not change with the L18F single mutation (Kd \sim 0.5 nM). This data suggests that introduction of a new N-glycosylation sequon with the T20N substitution, particularly in combination with L18F, eliminated binding of the anti-NTD COVA2-17 mAb.

N-glycosylation occupancy of introduced sites N20 and N188 and native N17 in the NTD of gamma

The introduction of the Gamma mutations T20N and R190S creates two additional *N*-glycosylation sequons ($N_{20}RT$ and NLS_{190}) in the spike protein, while L18F changes the middle residue of the native N17 sequon proximal to N20 ($N_{17}F_{18}TN_{20}RT$). To confirm if the decreased antibody binding we observed (Fig. 3) was associated with changes in glycosylation at this region, we performed biochemical confirmation of glycosylation site-occupancy in spike from Wu-1, Gamma, and variant spike proteins with selected Gamma substitutions introduced by site-directed mutagenesis. We measured site-specific *N*-glycosylation occupancy and glycoform abundance at the acquired N20 and N188 *N*-glycosylation sites, and at the native *N*-glycosylation site N17. To increase the accuracy with which we could measure



Fig. 3. Binding characterization of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins of Wu-1, gamma and NTD mutants. A). Indirect ELISA show binding curves of RBD- and NTD-specific antibodies as indicated. B) Dissociation constant of mAbs against Wu-1 or gamma, mutated SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins.

site-specific N-glycosylation occupancy, we aliquoted pools of peptides and N-glycopeptides into two equal portions, one for endoglycosidase treatments with peptide-N-Glycosidase F (PNGase F) in ¹⁸O water to obtain de-glycosylated peptides, and one left untreated for intact glycopeptide analyses. PNGase F removes N-glycans converting the previously glycosylated Asn to Asp which results in a mass shift of +0.984 compared to unmodified Asn. In the presence of ¹⁸O water, the mass difference is increased to +2.984 Da which increases the confidence of site assignment, particularly when multiple N-glycosylation sites are potentially present on the same peptide. Using LC-MS/MS, we then measured the abundance of the resulting peptides and deglycosylated peptides (Supplementary Table 1) and validated N-glycosylation occupancy through the identification of glycopeptides. We observed high occupancy at N17 in the Wu-1 spike. High occupancy was also observed at N17 in Wu-1 spike proteins with one or both of the introduced L18F and R190S mutations (Fig. 4A). However, in Gamma spike and all variant proteins with the T20N substitution, the introduction of the sequon at N20 resulted in a switch in site usage, with the new sequon being fully occupied and minimal occupancy at the original N17 site (Fig. 4A and B). Similarly, occupancy was high at all variants with the introduced Nglycosylation sequon at N188 (Fig. 4C). Together, this data showed that loss of binding of the COVA2-17 mAb was associated with N-glycosylation site switching from N17 to N20.

The *N*-linked sites N17 and N20 are adjacent to each other in Gamma (N₁₇FTN₂₀RT), and glycosylation sites can be skipped when sequons are closely spaced (Shrimal and Gilmore 2013). The competitive higher occupancy at N20 may be due to the type of amino acid in the middle of the *N*-linked sequon (NxS/T; $x \neq P$) as sequons with Leu/L or Phe/F, as at position X of the N17 sequon in the variant proteins, are less efficiently glycosylated (Malaby and Kobertz 2014). The positioning or Phe at -2 relative to N20 may also play a role, as aromatic residues at that position have been reported to enhance glycosylation efficiency (Murray et al. 2015).

Glycoform abundance

The precise glycan structures present at specific N-glycosylation sites can be critical for various aspects of spike's function. We therefore used intact glycopeptide analyses to determine the glycosylation profiles at the native N17, the newly introduced N20 and N188, and six other native N-linked sites reliably measured after trypsin digestion (N122, N165, N234, N282, N801, N1098) (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 2). Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed clear separation of glycoforms between Wu-1, Gamma, and the variant spike proteins (Fig. 4D). The sitespecific glycosylation profile of both N17 and N20 showed predominately complex N-glycans with a high degree of fucosylation. These profiles are similar to those reported at N17 after production of trimeric spike in mammalian cell lines (Watanabe et al. 2020; Yao et al. 2020; Zhao et al. 2020; Allen et al. 2021; Brun et al. 2021; Newby et al. 2022; Chawla et al. 2022b), and are consistent with the surfaceexposed location of N17 and N20 on the NTD, which would allow processing of glycans to more mature forms (Yang et al. 2021; Newby et al. 2022). Glycan heterogeneity was greater at N17, with more sialylation (NeuAc, N-acetylneuraminic acid). The glycans at N20 were more homogenous and contained two predominant forms: HexNAc₄Hex₃Fuc₁ and HexNAc5Hex3Fuc1 (HexNAc, N-acetylhexosamine; Hex, hexose; Fuc, fucose) (Fig. 4E). Compared to Wu-1, there was a substantial decrease in sialylation in Gamma and all seven variants, with the greatest difference apparent in the variants with the T20N mutation (Fig. 4F). Site-specific glycosylation analyses of N188 revealed predominantly oligomannose Nglycans for all proteins in which it was present (Fig. 4G), in agreement with previous reports (Yang et al. 2021; Newby et al. 2022), and consistent with its inaccessible location within a cleft in the NTD (Newby et al. 2022). N188 resides in a region of the spike NTD that binds the heme metabolites biliverdin and bilirubin (Rosa et al. 2021). When biliverdin is at physiological concentrations, binding of the ligand by spike Wu-1 results in a rearrangement of an antigenic loop in NTD (amino acid positions 174-188) and a reduction in the activity of a number of antibodies including COVA2-17



Fig. 4. Site-specific *N*-linked glycosylation occupancy and structural heterogeneity of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins of Wu-1, gamma and NTD mutants. Site-specific *N*-glycosylation occupancy at sites (A) N17, (B) N20 and (C) N188. D) Principal component analysis (PCA) of glycoform abundances excluding N188. Site-specific glycoform analysis at sites (E) N17 and N20 with the (F) \log_2 fold change relative to Wu-1 (blue increased abundance, red decreased abundance, P < 0.05). For N17 in (E) the unmodified intensity for T20N, L18F/T20N, L18F/T20N/R190S and gamma were inferred from the occupancy analysis. (G) Site-specific glycoform analysis at site N188. HexNAc, *N*-acetylhexosamine; hex, hexose; Fuc, fucose; NeuAc, *N*-acetylneuraminic acid.

and COVA1-22 (Rosa et al. 2021). It has been proposed that glycosylation of N188 exerts an allosteric effect similar to the Wu-1 spike-biliverdin complex (Newby et al. 2022), although we did not observe changes in the affinities of COVA2-17 and COVA1-22 to the R190S variant which we confirmed was glycosylated at N188.

To gain insights into the global glycosylation profiles of the various spike proteins, we performed a clustered heatmap analysis of their site-specific *N*-glycosylation profiles (Fig. 5). This analysis revealed that Gamma clustered distinctly from Wu-1, while the other variant spike glycoproteins clustered together. This clustering was primarily driven by abundant sialylation in Wu-1, in contrast to abundant fucosylation and short complex glycans (HexNAc₍₃₋₆)Hex₍₃₎) in Gamma. Both Wu-1 and Gamma had similar afucosylation and oligomannose glycans, while these were more abundant in the site-directed mutagenesis variants. To confirm these differences were due to the protein sequence, rather than being expression



Fig. 5. SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins of Wu-1, gamma and NTD mutants have diverse glycosylation profiles. Clustered heatmap of the relative abundance of all identified glycoforms in all replicates, excluding N188. Both rows and columns were clustered using correlation distance and average linkage. The percentage of types of glycans observed in each selected cluster are represented in bar graphs (refer to the methods for the allocation of glycan-types). The full clustered heatmap with labelled rows (glycopeptide glycoforms) is shown in Supplementary Fig. 3A.

artefacts, we performed independent expression, purification, and glycosylation analysis, which revealed these glycosylation profiles were robust and reproducible, and were driven by spike protein sequences (Supplementary Figs 1–3 and Supplementary Table 3). Together, our site-specific glycosylation analysis showed that in addition to causing N-glycosylation site switching from N17 to N20, the protein sequence changes in Gamma spike caused changes to the N-glycan structures at multiple sites across spike, with an overall decrease in sialylation compared to Wu-1.

Previous comparisons of the glycosylation of Gamma and Wu-1 spike produced in HEK cells observed less sialylation on Gamma, although less extreme than we observe here in spike produced in CHO cells (Newby et al. 2022; Shajahan et al. 2022). It is therefore possible that the considerable differences in glycosylation of Gamma and Wu-1 we report here may be cell line specific. Nonetheless, the mechanisms underlying the reduced sialylation of *N*-glycans at diverse sites across Gamma spike compared with Wu-1 spike remain unclear.

Antibody binding of sialidase treated spike proteins

Our site-specific glycosylation analysis showed two key changes that correlated with reduced binding of select mAbs to Gamma compared to Wu-1 spike: glycosylation site switching from N17 to N20; and lower sialylation at *N*-glycosylation sites across Gamma spike. We therefore tested if this reduced binding was due to *N*-glycosylation site switching or changes in global sialylation. Variant spike proteins were treated with sialidase or left untreated, with removal of sialic acid confirmed by mass spectrometry. The proteins were then tested for anti-RBD (CB6) and anti-NTD (COVA2-17) binding. No change in mAb binding was observed in any neuraminidase-treated spike compared to untreated spike (Fig. 6). These results indicated that the significant changes in sialylation associated with the Gamma mutations were not responsible for the decreased binding of CB6 and COVA2-17 to Gamma, but that these changes in mAb binding were driven by protein sequence changes in the case of CB6, and *N*-glycosylation site switching in the case of COVA2-17.

These results are consistent with previous reports showing that global changes in spike glycosylation have minimal influence on its antibody binding profile. For instance, the binding profile of spike to sera from patients previously infected with COVID-19 was similar in spike with near-native glycosylation or which had been engineered to contain only oligomannose glycans (Chawla et al. 2022b). Furthermore, glycosidase digestion of spike glycans to leave only the core GlcNAc elicited effective immune responses in in vitro and in vivo and protected mice from challenge with the Gamma



Fig. 6. The binding affinities of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins of Wu-1, gamma and NTD mutants are not dependent on sialic acid content. Variant spike proteins were treated with neuraminidase for 1 h or left untreated. The proteins were then coated on ELISA plates and binding affinities determined for COVA2-17 or CB6 mAb.

variant (Huang et al. 2022). Glycosylation of spike also likely affects its interactions with innate immune regulators and receptors. For example, spike lacking sialylation has decreased binding avidity for ACE2 (Huang et al. 2022), while RBDs with complex glycans bind the receptor with greater affinity than RBDs with high mannose glycans (Bouwman et al. 2021). Lectins, including the sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectin 1 (SIGLEC1) have also been demonstrated to function as attachment factors for SARS-CoV-2 (Lempp et al. 2021). The global changes we observe in Gamma spike glycosylation may therefore have diverse unexpected biophysical or biological consequences.

ELISA of convalescence sera (3mths after exposure) against NTD domains

The mutations in Gamma spike reduced binding to mAbs with binding sites across the NTD and RBD (Figs 2 and 3). To extend these observations, we characterized the total IgG antibody titers from infected individuals (3 months after infection in 2020, most probably with the Wu-1 strain) against Wu-1, Gamma, and the seven variant spikes. COVID-19 convalescence sera had high affinity to Wu-1, and approximately two to five times lower affinity to L18F, T20N and L18F/T20N/R190S, but not to Gamma (Fig. 7). It was unexpected that we observed a reduction in IgG binding titer to spike variants with few targeted site-directed mutations but not in Gamma spike, which contains those same mutations as well as additional sequence changes, including P26S and D138Y. It is possible that the single, double, or triple point mutant variants induce subtle global changes to spike structure or dynamics that perturb domain folding and IgG binding in isolation, but that these changes are rescued by the additional mutations in Gamma Spike. It is also possible that the introduction of the N188 glycan and associated allosteric effects that mimic heme-metabolite binding (Rosa et al. 2021; Newby et al. 2022) may allow the recognition of Gamma NTD by Wuhan raised mAbs, but that in the absence of heme metabolites or a glycan at the NTD pocket, the effects of single point mutations near to the NTD supersite are more pronounced.

Conclusion

The emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants and evolution of existing variants will result in ongoing diversification of spike protein sequences in circulating virus. Many of these sequence changes may impact a key feature of spike-its glycan shield. The associated impacts of changes in glycosylation on spike function and antibody-mediated immunity are yet to be fully understood. Our results here emphasize that biochemical validation is required to confirm how protein sequence changes that introduce or alter N-glycosylation sequons actually affect glycosylation occupancy and associated antibody binding and neutralization. We found that the structures of N-glycans across spike were altered in Gamma compared to Wu-1, highlighting that sequence changes in proteins can globally alter their glycosylation profile. We found no evidence that glycan structure affects antibody binding to spike, but it is likely to impact other spike functions including receptor binding, protein stability, and diverse protein-protein interactions. Our results highlight the importance of continued monitoring of the glycosylation profiles of spike from emerging variants and



Fig. 7. NTD-specific IgG titer of 30 COVID-19 patients (COVID-19 pos) and close contact (COVID-19 neg). Blood samples were obtained from 30 COVID-19 patients and close contact at 3 months after infection IgG titers against the recombinant NTD domains (***P*-value < 0.01, ****P*-value < 0.001).

consideration of the structural, functional, and immunological functions of glycosylation in infection, and in vaccine design and production.

Materials and methods

Analysis of P.1 SARS-CoV-2 spike T20N and R190S variation over time

The metadata of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from the P.1 lineage a with complete collection dates (n = 75,879) were downloaded from the GISAID EpiCoVTM portal. Accession IDs with combinations of individual T20N and R190S mutations and combined T20N/R190S mutations were programmatically identified using base Unix grep and sort commands. Cumulative proportions of sequences were calculated using Excel and visualized using GraphPad Prism (v9.0.0).

Recombinant protein production

For spike protein, soluble, trimeric spikes (residue 1-1,204 amino acid) of SARS-CoV 2 /human/China/Wuhan-Hu-1/2019 (GenBank: MN908947), Gamma variant (P.1) (L18F, T20N, P26S, D138Y, R190S, K417T, E484K, N501Y, D614G, D655Y, T1027I and V1176F) were generated using an additional trimerization domain (Chappell et al. 2021; Watterson et al. 2021). Mutations at residue 18, (L18F), 20, (T20N) or 190 (R190S) were introduced as single/double/triple mutations by mutagenesis. Spike mutations were added through PCR into the codon-optimized Wuhan reference stain and were cloned into pNBF plasmid via standard infusion cloning procedures. Spike proteins contain substitutions at the furin cleavage site (residues 682-685) (Watterson et al. 2021). For monoclonal antibodies, heavy and light chains of S309 (Pinto et al. 2020), CB6 (Shi et al. 2020), B38 (Yuan et al. 2020), CR3022 (Yuan et al. 2020), 2M-10B11 (Chi et al. 2020), COVA1-22, COVA2-17 (Brouwer et al. 2020) and C05 (Ekiert et al. 2012) were cloned into a human IgG1 expression vector as described previously (Modhiran et al. 2021). For N-terminal domain, sequence encoding residue

1–305 amino acid of SARS-CoV 2 was cloned in-frame with maltose-binding protein for purification purpose. In brief, proteins were produced in ExpiCHO cells transfected with ExpifectamineTM reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per manufacturer's protocol (Watterson et al. 2021). Clarified supernatants were then filtered using 0.22 μ m and were affinity purified, concentrated and buffer exchanged into PBS pH 7.4.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

To test antibodies, SARS-CoV-2 spike variant proteins in PBS pH 7.4 were immobilized on Maxisorb ELISA (Nunc) plates at a concentration of 2 μ g/mL overnight. Serial 5-fold dilutions of Fc-fusion nanobodies or antibodies in blocking buffer (Seracare) were incubated with the immobilized antigen, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled anti-human IgG (MilleniumScience) before adding the chromogenic substrate 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (ThermoFisher). Reactions were stopped with 2 M H₂SO₄ and absorption measured at 450 nm.

For sialic acid removal, 15–20 μ g of each sample was treated with Neuraminidase A (α 2-3,6,8,9 specific, New England Bioloabs, catalogue number P0722S) using 4 U/ μ g of protein in 100 μ L of PBS for 1 h at 37 °C. Confirmation of sialic acid removal was confirmed by mass spectrometry using 2.5–5 μ g of protein, which was proteolytically digested as described in *Proteomic and glycoproteomic sample preparation*.

Proteomic and glycoproteomic sample preparation

Spike protein samples (10 μ g of protein) were prepared in triplicate (n = 3, total samples 27). Proteins were denatured, reduced, alkylated, quenched and methanol/acetone precipitated overnight as previously described (Pegg et al. 2020). Protein pellets were resuspended in 50 μ L of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and digested for 16 h with trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich, Product code T6567) with an enzyme to protein ratio of 1:20. The proteolytic enzyme was inactivated by heating for 5 min at

95 °C before incubation with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride at room temperature for 10 min. The proteolytic digests were aliquoted into two equal volumes (containing 5 μ g of protein each, total samples 54) and one aliquot was dried. At the same time, 15,000 U of glycerol free PNGase F (New England Bioloabs, catalogue number P0705S) was also dried. From here, all steps were preformed quickly to prevent exposure to air. The freshly dried PNGase F was resuspended in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ prepared in ¹⁸O water (Sigma-Aldrich, Product code 329878) and 500 U of PNGase F was added to the dried proteolytic digests. The tubes were flushed with nitrogen and the samples were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h with gentle shaking. The peptides were desalted and concentrated with a C18 ZipTip (10 μ L pipette tip with a 0.6 µL resin bed; Millipore, Part No: ZTC18S960) according to the manufacture's recommendations. Samples were dried and stored at -20 °C then reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid directly before mass spectrometry analysis. Protein samples (5 μ g of protein) from a second batch of spike were prepared in triplicate (n = 3, total samples 27) on a different day in the same manner described above except that the PNGase F steps were omitted.

Mass spectrometry for site occupancy analysis

The samples (+/- PNGase F) were randomized and run on an Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as three full experimental technical replicates (n = 3, total)samples 54) with ~ 100 ng of peptides injected for each chromatographic run using a Dionex UltiMate 3,000 uHPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Solvent A was 1% CH₃CN in 0.1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid and solvent B was 80% (v/v) CH₃CN containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. Samples were loaded onto a C18 AcclaimTM PepMapTM trap column (100 Å, 5 μ m x 0.3 mm x 5 mm, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and washed for 3 min at 30 μ L/min before peptides were eluted onto a C18 Acclaim[™] PepMap[™] column (100 Å, 5 μ m x 0.75 mm x 150 mm, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a flow rate of 0.3 μ L/min. Peptides and glycopeptides were separated with a gradient of 3%-8% solvent B in 5 min to 50% solvent B over 40 min. Survey scans of peptide precursors from m/z of 300 to 1,800 were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 60 K (full width at half-maximum, FWHM) at 400 m/z using an automatic gain control target of 1000,000 and maximum injection time of 200 ms. The ten most intense precursors with an intensity over 1,000 and charge states above two were selected for fragmentation by beam-type collision-induced dissociation (CID) using a normalized collision energy of 35% with a precursor isolation window of 2 Da. Fragment ions were acquired in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 30 K using an automatic gain control target of 100,000 and maximum injection time of 200 ms.

Mass spectrometry for glycoform analyses and confirmation of sialic acid removal

For glycoform analyses of each batch, samples were randomized and run as technical replicates with \sim 300 ng of peptides injected. Batch 1 (n = 3, total samples 27) and 2 (n = 3, total samples 27) were run on different days. For confirmation of sialic acid removal, each of the samples ($+\setminus-$ Neuraminidase A) were analyzed (n = 1, total samples 18). LC-ESI-MS/MS was performed using a Prominence nanoLC system (Shimadzu) coupled to a TripleTof 5,600 instrument (SCIEX) using a Nanospray III interface using a data-dependent acquisition method as described (Zacchi et al. 2021) with minor alterations. Peptides and glycopeptides were separated with solvent A (1% CH₃CN in 0.1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid) and solvent B (80% (v/v) CH₃CN containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid) with a gradient of 2%–60% solvent B in 45 min. Full MS scans were obtained with a range of m/z 350–1,800 with accumulation times of 0.5 s. High sensitivity mode was used where the top 20 most intense precursors with charge states of 2–5 and intensities greater than 100 were selected for fragmentation with a collision energy (CE) of 40 V and a 15 V spread. An accumulation time of 0.05 s was used with a scan range of m/z 40–1,800 and precursors were excluded for 5 s after two selections.

Data analysis N-glycosylation occupancy at N17, N20 and N188

The Sequest HT node in Proteome Discoverer (v. 2.0.0.802 Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to search RAW files from the PNGase F treated samples. The protein FASTA files contained the relevant SARS-CoV-2 spike-clamp protein sequence without the signal peptide combined with a custom contaminants protein database that included porcine trypsin and PNGase F and the UniProt proteome for Chinese hamster (Cricetulus griseus, UP000001075, downloaded 20 March 2018). Cleavage specificity was set to specific, allowing one missed cleavage. Mass tolerances of 10 ppm and 0.02 Da were applied to precursor and fragment ions, respectively. Cys-S-beta-propionamide was set as a static modification and dynamic modifications were set to deamidation of Asn (Asn>Asp, +0.984, and +2.984), pyroglutamic acid formation from N-terminal Gln and mono-oxidized Met. A maximum of four dynamic modifications were allowed per peptide. Confident peptide-to-spectrum matches (PSMs) were assigned using the "Fixed PSM Validator" node and a maximum Delta Cn of 0.05 was applied. Precursor peak areas were calculated using the Precursor Ions Area Detector node. The results from the Sequest HT search were investigated using a modified version of an in-house Python script (Pelingon et al. 2020). Each Asn residue in an N-glycosylation consensus site from identified PSMs (with AUC values greater than 1×10^{6}) was assigned U (unmodified), D (deamidated), ¹⁸O-D (deamidated). Occupancy was defined as the proportion of the ion intensity peak area of a peptide with U or D (unmodified) or ¹⁸O-D (occupied) identified in all charge states to the sum of the intensities of all peptide ions. All PSMs were manually validated.

Data analysis glycoforms

Searches of the proteolytic digests without PNGase F were used to investigate site-specific glycosylation. Glycopeptide identification was performed using Byonic (v2.13.2, Protein Metrics). Cleavage specificity was fully specific with two missed cleavages allowed. Mass tolerances of 50 ppm and 75 ppm were applied to precursor and fragment ions, respectively. For the samples acquired on an Elite Orbitrap instrument to validate occupancy data, mass tolerances of 10 ppm and 20 ppm were applied to precursor and fragment ions, respectively. Cys-S-beta-propionamide was set as a static modification and dynamic modifications were set to deamidation of Asn (common 2), pyroglutamic acid formation from N-terminal Gln (common 1) and mono-oxidized Met (common 1). The N-linked database (rare 1) contained 243 N-glycans (Supplementary Material 1; N-linked glycan database), the O-linked database (rare 1) was "6 most common" (Supplementary Material 1; O-linked glycan database) with the addition of three NeuGc glycans -HexNAc(1)Hex(1)NeuAc(1)NeuGc(1), HexNAc(1)Hex(1)NeuGc(1) and HexNAc(1)Hex(1)NeuGc(2). A maximum of three common modifications and one rare modification were allowed per peptide. Before the glycopeptide searches were conducted, a focused protein database was created in Byonic using the protein FASTA files described in the Sequest HT searches, and the parameters described above but without the dynamic glycan modifications. Glycopeptide PSMs were manually validated and occupancy was calculated using the Molecule Interface of Skyline (v20.2.0.343). A unique list of glycoforms was produced using the Byonic results. The unique list of glycopeptides contained the precursor name, precursor (m/z), charge and retention time were inserted into the Transition List in Skyline. A retention time window of 4 min was applied and quantification was performed at MS1 level with a mass tolerance of 0.05 (m/z). Instrument settings were specific to an ABSCIEX 5600 instrument. The results from the Skyline were converted to a readable format for GlypNirO (Phung et al. 2020). Heatmaps and bar graphs were produced using PRISM v9.1.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). PCA and clustered heatmaps were produced with ClustVis (Metsalu and Vilo 2015) where glycoform abundances were normalized to the summed abundance of all detected forms with the same site. Glycans were categorized as follows: Oligomannose, contains HexNAc(2); Hybrid, contains HexNAc(3); Complex, contains more than 3 HexNAc; Complex short, contains Hex(3) and more than 3 HexNAc; Fucose, contains at least one Fuc; Sialic acid, contains at least one NeuAc.

Human convalescent sera

Subjects were identified from samples stored in the David Serisiser Research Biobank (DSRB) (HREC/14/QPAH/275) at Mater Misericordiae Ltd. Previously biobanked sera from a total of 30 subjects who had been infected with SARS-CoV-2, and 6 non-infected close contacts were used in this project. Subjects were at least 3 months following a PCR defined SARS-CoV-2 infection and were not unwell at the time of sample collection. Samples were predominantly collected during mid to late 2020 and likely represent infection with the original "Wuhan" strain. In addition to sample collection, demographic data and clinical details pertaining to the original infection were also noted. All data and biological samples held within the DSRB are de-identified prior to sharing between collaborating sites. Access to samples for this project was approved by the DSRB biobank advisory committee under project number HREC/MML/68320.

Human ethics statement

All human sera collections were approved by the relevant ethics committees either by Mater Misericordiae Ltd Human Research Ethics Committee (Reference: HREC/MML/68320) or the University of Queensland (Reference: 2021/HE000139).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Amanda Nouwens and Peter Josh at The University of Queensland, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences Mass Spectrometry Facility for their assistance and expertise.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Glycobiology Journal online.

Author contributions

Cassandra Pegg (Conceptualization [supporting], Formal analysis [equal], Investigation [equal], Methodology [equal], Validation [equal], Visualization [equal], Writing-original draft [equal], Writing-review & editing [equal]), Naphak Modhiran (Conceptualization [supporting], Formal analysis [equal], Investigation [equal], Methodology [equal], Validation [equal], Visualization [equal], Writing-original draft [equal], Writing-review & editing [equal]), Rhys Parry (Formal analysis [supporting], Investigation [supporting], Methodology [equal], Visualization [equal], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Benjamin Liang (Investigation [supporting], Methodology [supporting], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Alberto Amarilla (Investigation [supporting], Methodology [supporting], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Alexander Khromykh (Funding acquisition [equal], Validation [equal], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Lucy Burr (Resources [supporting], Validation [supporting], Writingreview & editing [supporting]), Paul Young (Funding acquisition [supporting], Validation [supporting], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Keith Chappell (Funding acquisition [supporting], Validation [supporting], Writing-review & editing [supporting]), Benjamin Schulz (Conceptualization [equal], Funding acquisition [equal], Resources [equal], Supervision [equal], Validation [equal], Visualization [supporting], Writing-original draft [equal], Writingreview & editing [equal]), and Daniel Watterson (Conceptualization [equal], Funding acquisition [equal], Resources [equal], Supervision [equal], Validation [equal], Visualization [supporting], Writingoriginal draft [equal], Writing-review & editing [equal])

Funding

This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) MRFF Coronavirus Research Response grant [APP1202445 to D.W., K.C. and P.R.Y.]; a NHMRC Ideas Grant [APP1186699 to B.S. and C.P., 2012883 to A.A.K].

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Data availability

All genome sequences and associated metadata used in this work are published in GISAID's EpiCoV database under GISAID Identifier: EPI_SET_230311as. To view the contributors of each individual sequence with details such as accession number, Virus name, Collection date, Originating Lab and Submitting Lab and the list of Authors, visit 10.55876/gis8.230311as

The N-occupancy and glycoproteomic datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the MassIVE repository, [ftp://MSV000091533@massive.ucsd.edu; with the identifier MSV000091533. A directory of files within this data set can be found in Supplementary Material 1.

References

- Allen JD, Chawla H, Samsudin F, Zuzic L, Shivgan AT, Watanabe Y, He WT, Callaghan S, Song G, Yong P, et al. Site-specific steric control of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycosylation. *Biochemistry*. 2021:60(27): 2153–2169.
- Bagdonaite I, Wandall HH. Global aspects of viral glycosylation. Glycobiology. 2018:28(7):443–467.
- Bouwman KM, Tomris I, Turner HL, van der Woude R, Shamorkina TM, Bosman GP, Rockx B, Herfst S, Snijder J, Haagmans BL, et al.

Multimerization- and glycosylation-dependent receptor binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins. *PLoS Pathog*, 2021:17(2):e1009282.

- Brouwer PJM, Caniels TG, van der Straten K, Snitselaar JL, Aldon Y, Bangaru S, Torres JL, Okba NMA, Claireaux M, Kerster G, et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define multiple targets of vulnerability. *Science*. 2020:369(6504):643–650.
- Brun J, Vasiljevic S, Gangadharan B, Hensen M, V. Chandran A, Hill ML, Kiappes JL, Dwek RA, Alonzi DS, Struwe WB, et al. Assessing antigen structural integrity through glycosylation analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 viral spike. ACS Cent Sci. 2021:7(4):586–593.
- Caniels TG, Bontjer I, van der Straten K, Poniman M, Burger JA, Appelman B, Lavell AHA, Oomen M, Godeke GJ, Valle C, et al. Emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern evade humoral immune responses from infection and vaccination. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.05.26.21257441.
- Casalino L, Gaieb Z, Goldsmith JA, Hjorth CK, Dommer AC, Harbison AM, Fogarty CA, Barros EP, Taylor BC, McLellan JS, et al. Beyond shielding: the roles of glycans in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. ACS Cent Sci. 2020:6(10):1722–1734.
- Cerutti G, Guo Y, Zhou T, Gorman J, Lee M, Rapp M, Reddem ER, Yu J, Bahna F, Bimela J, et al. Potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies directed against spike N-terminal domain target a single supersite. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021:29(5):819–833.e7.
- Chappell KJ, Mordant FL, Li Z, Wijesundara DK, Ellenberg P, Lackenby JA, Cheung STM, Modhiran N, Avumegah MS, Henderson CL, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an MF59-adjuvanted spike glycoprotein-clamp vaccine for SARS-CoV-2: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2021:21(10):1383–1394.
- Chawla H, Fadda E, Crispin M. Principles of SARS-CoV-2 glycosylation. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2022a:75:102402.
- Chawla H, Jossi SE, Faustini SE, Samsudin F, Allen JD, Watanabe Y, Newby ML, Marcial-Juarez E, Lamerton RE, McLellan JS, et al. Glycosylation and serological reactivity of an expression-enhanced SARS-CoV-2 viral spike mimetic. J Mol Biol. 2022b:434(2):167332.
- Chi X, Yan R, Zhang J, Zhang G, Zhang Y, Hao M, Zhang Z, Fan P, Dong Y, Yang Y, et al. A neutralizing human antibody binds to the N-terminal domain of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. *Science*. 2020:369(6504):650–655.
- Chiodo F, Bruijns SCM, Rodriguez E, Li RJE, Molinaro A, Silipo A, Di Lorenzo F, Garcia-Rivera D, Valdes-Balbin Y, Verez-Bencomo V, et al. Novel ACE2-independent carbohydrate-binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to host lectins and lung microbiota. *bioRxiv*. 2020:05.13.092478.
- Clausen TM, Sandoval DR, Spliid CB, Pihl J, Perrett HR, Painter CD, Narayanan A, Majowicz SA, Kwong EM, McVicar RN, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection depends on cellular heparan sulfate and ACE2. *Cell*. 2020:183(4):1043–1057.e15.
- Dejnirattisai W, Zhou D, Supasa P, Liu C, Mentzer AJ, Ginn HM, Zhao Y, Duyvesteyn HME, Tuekprakhon A, Nutalai R, et al. Antibody evasion by the P.1 strain of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell*. 2021:184(11):2939–2954.e9.
- Dugan AE, Peiffer AL, Kiessling LL. Advances in glycoscience to understand viral infection and colonization. *Nat Methods*. 2022:19(4): 384–387.
- Ekiert DC, Kashyap AK, Steel J, Rubrum A, Bhabha G, Khayat R, Lee JH, Dillon MA, O'Neil RE, Faynboym AM, et al. Crossneutralization of influenza a viruses mediated by a single antibody loop. *Nature*. 2012:489(7417):526–532.
- Faria NR, Mellan TA, Whittaker C, Claro IM, Candido DDS, Mishra S, Crispim MAE, Sales FCS, Hawryluk I, McCrone JT, et al. Genomics and epidemiology of the P.1 SARS-CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. *Science*. 2021:372(6544):815–821.
- Fujino T, Nomoto H, Kutsuna S, Ujiie M, Suzuki T, Sato R, Fujimoto T, Kuroda M, Wakita T, Ohmagari N. Novel SARS-CoV-2 variant in travelers from Brazil to Japan. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2021:27(4): 1243–1245.
- Harbison AM, Fogarty CA, Phung TK, Satheesan A, Schulz BL, Fadda E. Fine-tuning the spike: role of the nature and topology of the glycan

shield in the structure and dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2 S. *Chem Sci.* 2022:13(2):386–395.

- Harvey WT, Carabelli AM, Jackson B, Gupta RK, Thomson EC, Harrison EM, Ludden C, Reeve R, Rambaut A, COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2021:19(7):409–424.
- Huang HY, Liao HY, Chen X, Wang SW, Cheng CW, Shahed-Al-Mahmud M, Liu YM, Mohapatra A, Chen TH, Lo JM, et al. Vaccination with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein lacking glycan shields elicits enhanced protective responses in animal models. *Sci Transl Med.* 2022:14(639):eabm0899.
- Imai M, Halfmann PJ, Yamayoshi S, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Chiba S, Watanabe T, Nakajima N, Ito M, Kuroda M, Kiso M, et al. Characterization of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant that emerged in Brazil. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021:118(27):e2106535118.
- Kang L, He G, Sharp AK, Wang X, Brown AM, Michalak P, Weger-Lucarelli J. A selective sweep in the spike gene has driven SARS-CoV-2 human adaptation. *Cell*. 2021:184(17):4392–4400.e4.
- Kuo CW, Yang TJ, Chien YC, Yu PY, Hsu SD, Khoo KH. Distinct shifts in site-specific glycosylation pattern of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins associated with arising mutations in the D614G and alpha variants. *Glycobiology*. 2022:32(1):60–72.
- Lee HS, Qi Y, Im W. Effects of N-glycosylation on protein conformation and dynamics: protein data bank analysis and molecular dynamics simulation study. *Sci Rep.* 2015:5(1):8926.
- Lempp FA, Soriaga LB, Montiel-Ruiz M, Benigni F, Noack J, Park YJ, Bianchi S, Walls AC, Bowen JE, Zhou J, et al. Lectins enhance SARS-CoV-2 infection and influence neutralizing antibodies. *Nature*. 2021:598(7880):342–347.
- Liu L, Wang P, Nair MS, Yu J, Rapp M, Wang Q, Luo Y, Chan JF, Sahi V, Figueroa A, et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. *Nature*. 2020;584(7821):450–456.
- Lok SM. An NTD supersite of attack. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021:29(5): 744–746.
- Malaby HL, Kobertz WR. The middle X residue influences cotranslational N-glycosylation consensus site skipping. *Biochemistry*. 2014:53(30):4884–4893.
- McCallum M, De Marco A, Lempp FA, Tortorici MA, Pinto D, Walls AC, Beltramello M, Chen A, Liu Z, Zatta F, et al. N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. *Cell*. 2021:184(9):2332–2347.e16.
- Metsalu T, Vilo J. ClustVis: a web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data using principal component analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015:43(W1):W566–W570.
- Modhiran N, Song H, Liu L, Bletchly C, Brillault L, Amarilla AA, Xu X, Qi J, Chai Y, Cheung STM, et al. A broadly protective antibody that targets the flavivirus NS1 protein. *Science*. 2021:371(6525): 190–194.
- Murray AN, Chen W, Antonopoulos A, Hanson SR, Wiseman RL, Dell A, Haslam SM, Powers DL, Powers ET, Kelly JW. Enhanced aromatic sequons increase oligosaccharyltransferase glycosylation efficiency and glycan homogeneity. *Chem Biol.* 2015:22(8):1052–1062.
- Naveca FG, Nascimento V, de Souza VC, Corado AL, Nascimento F, Silva G, Costa A, Duarte D, Pessoa K, Mejia M, et al. COVID-19 in Amazonas, Brazil, was driven by the persistence of endemic lineages and P.1 emergence. *Nat Med.* 2021:27(7):1230–1238.
- Newby ML, Fogarty CA, Allen JD, Butler J, Fadda E, Crispin M. Variations within the glycan shield of SARS-CoV-2 impact viral spike dynamics. J Mol Biol. 2022:435(4):167928.
- Nguyen L, McCord KA, Bui DT, Bouwman KM, Kitova EN, Elaish M, Kumawat D, Daskhan GC, Tomris I, Han L, et al. Sialic acidcontaining glycolipids mediate binding and viral entry of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Chem Biol. 2022:18(1):81–90.
- Pegg CL, Zacchi LF, Recinos DR, Howard CB, Schulz BL. Identification of novel glycosylation events on human serum-derived factor IX. *Glycoconj J.* 2020:37(4):471–483.
- Pelingon R, Pegg CL, Zacchi LF, Phung TK, Howard CB, Xu P, Hardy MP, Owczarek CM, Schulz BL. Glycoproteomic measurement of site-specific polysialylation. *Anal Biochem.* 2020:596:113625.

- Phung TK, Pegg CL, Schulz BL. GlypNirO: an automated workflow for quantitative N- and O-linked glycoproteomic data analysis. *Beilstein J Org Chem.* 2020:16:2127–2135.
- Pinto D, Park YJ, Beltramello M, Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Bianchi S, Jaconi S, Culap K, Zatta F, De Marco A, et al. Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. *Nature*. 2020:583(7815):290–295.
- Praissman JL, Wells L. Proteomics-based insights into the SARS-CoV-2mediated COVID-19 pandemic: a review of the first year of research. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2021:20:100103.
- Rees-Spear C, Muir L, Griffith SA, Heaney J, Aldon Y, Snitselaar JL, Thomas P, Graham C, Seow J, Lee N, et al. The effect of spike mutations on SARS-CoV-2 neutralization. *Cell Rep.* 2021:34(12):108890.
- Reis CA, Tauber R, Blanchard V. Glycosylation is a key in SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Mol Med (Berl). 2021:99(8):1023–1031.
- Rosa A, Pye VE, Graham C, Muir L, Seow J, Ng KW, Cook NJ, Rees-Spear C, Parker E, Dos Santos MS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 can recruit a heme metabolite to evade antibody immunity. *Sci Adv.* 2021:7(22):eabg7607.
- Shajahan A, Pepi L, Kumar B, Murray N, Azadi P. Site specific Nand O-glycosylation mapping of the spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. *Scientific Reports*. 2022:13:10053.
- Shi R, Shan C, Duan X, Chen Z, Liu P, Song J, Song T, Bi X, Han C, Wu L, et al. A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor-binding site of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. 2020:584(7819):120–124.
- Shrimal S, Gilmore R. Glycosylation of closely spaced acceptor sites in human glycoproteins. J Cell Sci. 2013:126(Pt 23):5513–5523.
- Shrimal S, Gilmore R. Oligosaccharyltransferase structures provide novel insight into the mechanism of asparagine-linked glycosylation in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. *Glycobiology*. 2019:29(4): 288–297.
- Souza WM, Amorim MR, Sesti-Costa R, Coimbra LD, Brunetti NS, Toledo-Teixeira DA, de Souza GF, Muraro SP, Parise PL, Barbosa PP, et al. Neutralisation of SARS-CoV-2 lineage P.1 by antibodies elicited through natural SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination with an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: an immunological study. *Lancet Microbe*. 2021:2(10):e527–e535.
- Sun D, Sang Z, Kim YJ, Xiang Y, Cohen T, Belford AK, Huet A, Conway JF, Sun J, Taylor DJ, et al. Potent neutralizing nanobodies resist convergent circulating variants of SARS-CoV-2 by targeting diverse and conserved epitopes. *Nat Commun.* 2021:12(1): 4676.
- Sztain T, Ahn SH, Bogetti AT, Casalino L, Goldsmith JA, Seitz E, McCool RS, Kearns FL, Acosta-Reyes F, Maji S, et al. A glycan gate controls opening of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Nat Chem.* 2021:13(10):963–968.
- Tao K, Tzou PL, Nouhin J, Gupta RK, de Oliveira T, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Fera D, Shafer RW. The biological and clinical significance of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2021:22(12): 757–773.
- Thépaut M, Luczkowiak J, Vivès C, Labiod N, Bally I, Lasala F, Grimoire Y, Fenel D, Sattin S, Thielens N, et al. DC/L-SIGN recognition of spike glycoprotein promotes SARS-CoV-2 trans-infection and can be inhibited by a glycomimetic antagonist. *PLoS Pathog.* 2021:17(5):e1009576.

13

- Voss WN, Hou YJ, Johnson NV, Delidakis G, Kim JE, Javanmardi K, Horton AP, Bartzoka F, Paresi CJ, Tanno Y, et al. Prevalent, protective, and convergent IgG recognition of SARS-CoV-2 non-RBD spike epitopes. *Science*. 2021:372(6546):1108–1112.
- Wang D, Zhou B, Keppel TR, Solano M, Baudys J, Goldstein J, Finn MG, Fan X, Chapman AP, Bundy JL, et al. N-glycosylation profiles of the SARS-CoV-2 spike D614G mutant and its ancestral protein characterized by advanced mass spectrometry. *Sci Rep.* 2021a:11(1):23561.
- Wang P, Casner RG, Nair MS, Wang M, Yu J, Cerutti G, Liu L, Kwong PD, Huang Y, Shapiro L, et al. Increased resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 to antibody neutralization. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021b:29(5):747–751.e4.
- Wang P, Nair MS, Liu L, Iketani S, Luo Y, Guo Y, Wang M, Yu J, Zhang B, Kwong PD, et al. Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. *Nature*. 2021c:593(7857):130–135.
- Watanabe Y, Bowden TA, Wilson IA, Crispin M. Exploitation of glycosylation in enveloped virus pathobiology. *Biochim Biophys* Acta Gen Subj. 2019:1863(10):1480–1497.
- Watanabe Y, Allen JD, Wrapp D, McLellan JS, Crispin M. Site-specific glycan analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 spike. *Science*. 2020:369(6501): 330–333.
- Watterson D, Wijesundara DK, Modhiran N, Mordant FL, Li Z, Avumegah MS, McMillan CL, Lackenby J, Guilfoyle K, van Amerongen G, et al. Preclinical development of a molecular clampstabilised subunit vaccine for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Clin Transl Immunology*. 2021:10(4):e1269.
- Yang Q, Hughes TA, Kelkar A, Yu X, Cheng K, Park S, Huang WC, Lovell JF, Neelamegham S. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry upon blocking N- and O-glycan elaboration. *elife*. 2020:9:e61552.
- Yang T-J, Yu P-Y, Chang Y-C, Chang N-E, Tsai Y-X, Liang K-H, Draczkowski P, Lin B, Wang Y-S, Chien Y-C, et al. Structure-activity relationships of B.1.617 and other SARS-CoV-2 spike variants. *bioRxiv.* 2021:09.12.459978.
- Yao H, Song Y, Chen Y, Wu N, Xu J, Sun C, Zhang J, Weng T, Zhang Z, Wu Z, et al. Molecular architecture of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. *Cell*. 2020:183(3):730–738.e13.
- Young A, Isaacs A, Scott CAP, Modhiran N, McMillan CLD, Cheung STM, Barr J, Marsh G, Thakur N, Bailey D, et al. A platform technology for generating subunit vaccines against diverse viral pathogens. *Front Immunol*. 2022:13:963023.
- Yuan M, Wu NC, Zhu X, Lee CD, So RTY, Lv H, Mok CKP, Wilson IA. A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. *Science*. 2020:368(6491):630–633.
- Zacchi LF, Roche-Recinos D, Pegg CL, Phung TK, Napoli M, Aitken C, Sandford V, Mahler SM, Lee YY, Schulz BL, et al. Coagulation factor IX analysis in bioreactor cell culture supernatant predicts quality of the purified product. *Commun Biol.* 2021:4(1):390.
- Zhang J, Xiao T, Cai Y, Lavine CL, Peng H, Zhu H, Anand K, Tong P, Gautam A, Mayer ML, et al. Membrane fusion and immune evasion by the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. *Science*. 2021:374(6573):1353–1360.
- Zhao P, Praissman JL, Grant OC, Cai Y, Xiao T, Rosenbalm KE, Aoki K, Kellman BP, Bridger R, Barouch DH, et al. Virus-receptor interactions of glycosylated SARS-CoV-2 spike and human ACE2 receptor. Cell Host Microbe. 2020:28(4):586–601.e6.