International Survey on Mechanical Ventilation During Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

Olivier van Minnen, Floris E.J. Jolink, Walter M. van den Bergh, Joep M. Droogh, and Annemieke Oude Lansink-Hartgring[®], on behalf of the Dutch ECLS Study Group

The optimal ventilation strategy for patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) remains uncertain. This survey reports current mechanical ventilation strategies adopted by ECMO centers worldwide. An international, multicenter, cross-sectional survey was conducted anonymously through an internet-based tool. Participants from North America, Europe, Asia, and Oceania were recruited from the extracorporeal life support organization (ELSO) directory. Responses were received from 48 adult ECMO centers (response rate 10.6%). Half of these had dedicated ventilation protocols for ECMO support. Pressure-controlled ventilation was the preferred initial ventilation mode for both venovenous ECMO (VV-ECMO) (60%) and venoarterial ECMO (VA-ECMO) (34%). In VV-ECMO, the primary goal was lung rest (93%), with rescue therapies commonly employed, especially neuromuscular blockade (93%) and prone positioning (74%). Spontaneous ventilation was typically introduced after signs of pulmonary recovery, with few centers using it as the initial mode (7%). A guarter of centers stopped sedation within 3 days after ECMO initiation. Ventilation strategies during VA-ECMO focused less on lung-protective goals and transitioned to spontaneous ventilation earlier. Ventilation strategies during ECMO support differ considerably. Controlled ventilation is predominantly used initially to provide lung rest, often facilitated by sedation and neuromuscular blockade. Few centers apply "awake ECMO" early during ECMO support, some utilizing partial neuromuscular blockade. ASAIO Journal 2024; 70:300-304

Submitted for consideration June 2023; accepted for publication in revised from October 2023.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text, and links to the digital files are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (www.asaiojournal.com).

Drafting of the article was done by O.v.M. Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content was done by F.E.J.J., W.M.v.d.B., J.M.D., and A.O.L.-H. All authors read and approved the final article.

Correspondence: Annemieke Oude Lansink-Hartgring, Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Groningen, Room P4.101a, PO Box 30001, 9700 RB, Groningen, the Netherlands. Email: a.oudelansink@umcg.nl.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the ASAIO. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

DOI: 10.1097/MAT.000000000002101

Key Words: ECMO, acute respiratory distress syndrome, mechanical ventilation

Background

Venovenous (VV) and venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) support are potentially lifesaving therapies for respiratory and cardiac failure after conventional therapies have failed. Despite best efforts, even on ECMO, mortality remains high, and optimal care strategies during ECMO support remain unclear in various areas. Mechanical ventilation strategies during ECMO support are one of these areas. Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a condition characterized by respiratory failure that may warrant using ECMO support to provide adequate gas exchange, whereas minimizing ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) risk. Avoiding injurious forces of mechanical ventilation using low tidal volumes and subsequent low driving pressure (ΔP) are principles of lung-protective ventilation.¹ In ARDS, lower ΔP is independently associated with increased survival.^{2,3} Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation is an invasive therapy, but its deployment allows the ΔP to be set at lower levels, whereas maintaining adequate oxygenation and ventilation to give the lungs time to rest and recover.

An increasing number of centers aim for "awake ECMO," allowing spontaneous mechanical ventilation or even endotracheal extubation early after initiation of ECMO support.^{6,7,9-12}

All taken together, the optimal mechanical ventilation strategy during ECMO support is unclear. Previous surveys of mechanical ventilation have shown ventilator settings and subsequent sedation strategies differ widely between centers and that only 3–16% of centers aim for early spontaneous ventilation after initiating ECMO support. Therefore, this survey aimed to describe current ventilation strategies and the subsequent use of sedation and rescue therapies during ECMO support.^{13,14}

Materials and Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional, semistructured international survey on mechanical ventilation practices during ECMO that was e-mailed to 454 ECMO centers in Northern America, Europe, Asia, and Oceania. The ELSO directory was used to retrieve each center's e-mail addresses of the ECMO program directors. We included centers providing either or both VV-ECMO and VA-ECMO support in adult patients only. The survey was conducted through an internet-based tool (Survey Monkey, Palo Alto, CA, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/ASAIO/B155).

From the Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands.

Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Two intensivists and a PhD-student developed this survey after an extensive review of the available literature. The e-mail to potential respondents consisted of a cover letter explaining the purpose of the survey and a hyperlink to the survey tool. We sent one follow-up reminder 3 weeks after the first invitation.

The final survey contained 34 targeted questions about demographics, mechanical ventilation mode and settings, rescue therapies, sedative use, and support ventilation during ECMO support. The survey was divided into VV- and VA-ECMO parts. Skip logic was used to ask relevant questions only. The survey was not publicly available. After completion, no reentry was allowed. Responses were exported anonymously from the survey tool 1 month after the reminder e-mail. Surveys with no answers were removed. The number of respondents to each question was recorded, and each question was analyzed separately. The answers were combined in case of more than one response from a single center. Demographic and qualitative data (multiple choice questions) were expressed as frequencies and percentages (n, %). Qualitative data (open questions) were categorized when possible. If not, we described the answers.

Results

Of all the surveys sent, we received responses from 48 centers in 18 countries (response rate 10.6%) (Table 1); 30 (63%) from Europe, 15 (31%) from North America, six (13%) from Oceania, and one (2%) from Asia. Of these responding centers, 47 (98%) completed the relevant questions. Most respondents were intensivists (n = 39, 81%) and practiced in a university medical center (n = 39, 81%).

Venovenous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

Demographics. Table 1 summarizes the general demographics of the centers. Most centers had a VV-ECMO load of 10–29 per annum (n = 30, 64%). Half of all centers (n = 25, 52%) had a dedicated protocol available regarding mechanical ventilation during VV-ECMO support.

Ventilator mode and settings. Most centers (n = 40, 93%) considered lung rest as the primary goal of mechanical ventilation during VV-ECMO support. This was reflected by the most preferred initial ventilation mode, which was pressurecontrolled ventilation (n = 26, 60%), volume-controlled ventilation was the second most used initial ventilation mode (n = 7, 16%), and only three centers (7%) stated that pressure support was their preferred initial ventilation mode. In line with the goal of lung rest were the preferred ventilator settings as shown in Table 2, describing characteristics of mechanical ventilation settings during ECMO support: a targeted positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 10 cm H₂O (n = 18, 44%), ΔP of 10 cm H₂O (n = 14, 39%), plateau pressure lower than 20 cm H₂O (n = 14, 39%)14, 41%), tidal volumes lower than 4 ml/kg (n = 10, 30%) and respiratory rate targeted equal or below 10 breaths per minute (n = 21, 54%). None of the centers targeted an initial PEEP or ΔP above 15 cm H₂O, plateau pressure above 30 cm H₂O, tidal volumes, and respiratory rate of more than 8 ml/kg and 20 breaths/minute.

Pulmonary rescue therapies and monitoring. Neuromuscular blockade was used by most respondents (n = 40, 93%). Most

Table 1. Summary of Survey Mechanical Ventilation During ECMO

General Demographics (n) n (%) Role (48) Intensivist 39 (81) Nurse 1 (2) Anesthesiologist 2 (4) Other 6 (13) Setting (48) 39 (82) Academic 39 (82) Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 9 Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) V-ECMO Goal (43) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) As soon as possible 4	ЕСМО	
Intensivist 39 (81) Nurse 1 (2) Anesthesiologist 2 (4) Other 6 (13) Setting (48) 9 (19) Academic 39 (82) Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 1 (2) Europe 30 (63) Oceania 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO 6 (13) Goal (43) 1 (2) Ung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varias on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2)	General Demographics (n)	n (%)
Nurse 1 (2) Anesthesiologist 2 (4) Other 6 (13) Academic 39 (82) Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 6 (13) Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO Goal (43) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) P PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Ponitubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Partial NB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) <td></td> <td></td>		
Anesthesiologist 2 (4) Other 6 (13) Setting (48)		
Other 6 (13) Setting (48) 39 (82) Academic 39 (82) Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 15 (31) Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO Goal (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Partial NMB use, yets (39) 5 (13) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Partial NMB use, yets (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (42) Partial NMB use, yets (39) 5 (13)		()
Academic 39 (82) Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 9 Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO 6031 Goal (43) 1 (2) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Poster 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Never during ECMO run		
Regional 9 (19) Continent (48) 30 (63) Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO 6oal (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (6) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart se	Setting (48)	
Continent (48) 30 (63) Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO 60al (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Paraical NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) Aster 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Neurower during ECMO run 2 (5)		
Europe 30 (63) Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO Goal (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes		9 (19)
Oceania 6 (13) North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO 60al (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) Porone positioning Neuromuscular blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 (9) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35		30 (63)
North America 15 (31) Asia 1 (2) Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) V-ECMO 2(5) Qoal (43) 1 (2) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 3 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia		
Ventilation protocol, yes (48) 25 (52) VV-ECMO Goal (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC PC 2 (6 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 (9) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other	North America	
VV-ECMO Goal (43) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP		
Goal (43) 40 (93) Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) 7 PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 7 Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Other 3 (7) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other <td< td=""><td></td><td>25 (52)</td></td<>		25 (52)
Lung rest 40 (93) Lung-recruitment 1 (2) Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) 2 PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Other 3 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Other 2 (5) Initial ventilation mode (43) 26 (61) PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Permanently stop sedative (43) 4 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) <t< td=""><td></td><td>40 (93)</td></t<>		40 (93)
Initial ventilation mode (43) 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 2 (5) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 41 (93)		
PC 26 (61) VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Cother 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 (9) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24)		2 (5)
VC 7 (16) PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) </td <td></td> <td>26 (61)</td>		26 (61)
PRVC 3 (7) PS 3 (7) Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 2 (5) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0		
Nonintubated 1 (2) Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) Ventilator dyssynchrony Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) When pulmonary recovery Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) When pulmonary recovery Variesotomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 0 PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubat	PRVC	
Varies on physician 2 (5) Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) 1 (2) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2)		
Other 1 (2) Rescue therapies (43) Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 7 (16) Other 3 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PR 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1(2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
Rescue therapies (43) 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (
Neuromuscular blockade 40 (93) Prone positioning 32 (74) Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Initial eafter starting ECMO 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1 (2)</td></t<>		1 (2)
Beta-blockade 18 (42) Partial NMB use, yes (39) 5 (13) Stop sedatives (43) 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 4 (9) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 29 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) Immediate after starting ECMO Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66)<		40 (93)
Partial NMB use, yes (39)5 (13)Stop sedatives (43)4 (9)After 3 days5 (12)Ventilator support diminishes15 (35)Compliance increase15 (35)Never during ECMO run2 (5)Other2 (5)Restart sedatives (43)20 (47)High TV/upper DP13 (30)Persistent hypoxemia7 (16)Other3 (7)Permanently stop sedative (43)41 (93)When pulmonary recovery24 (56)Other19 (44)Tracheostomy (43)41 (93)Wean, ECMO first (43)34 (79)VA-ECMO7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other10 (35)VC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (25)Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Stop sedatives (43)4 (9)After 3 days5 (12)Ventilator support diminishes15 (35)Compliance increase15 (35)Never during ECMO run2 (5)Other2 (5)Restart sedatives (43)20 (47)High TV/upper DP13 (30)Persistent hypoxemia7 (16)Other3 (7)Permanently stop sedative (43)41 (93)When pulmonary recovery24 (56)Other19 (44)Tracheostomy (43)41 (93)Wean, ECMO first (43)34 (79)VA-ECMO7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other10 (35)VC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		1
As soon as possible 4 (9) After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) Ventilator dyssynchrony 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PC 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		5 (13)
After 3 days 5 (12) Ventilator support diminishes 15 (35) Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		4 (9)
Compliance increase 15 (35) Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 0 Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) PC 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)	After 3 days	5 (12)
Never during ECMO run 2 (5) Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 0 Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Other 2 (5) Restart sedatives (43) 20 (47) High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 (93) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 0 Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Restart sedatives (43)20 (47)High TV/upper DP13 (30)Persistent hypoxemia7 (16)Other3 (7)Permanently stop sedative (43)When pulmonary recoveryWhen pulmonary recovery24 (56)Other19 (44)Tracheostomy (43)41 (93)Wean, ECMO first (43)34 (79)VA-ECMO7Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41)30 (73)Initial ventilation mode (29)10 (35)VC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
High TV/upper DP 13 (30) Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Persistent hypoxemia 7 (16) Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 41 When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 7 (24) PC 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Other 3 (7) Permanently stop sedative (43) 24 (56) When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 70 Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 7 (24) PC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Permanently stop sedative (43)When pulmonary recovery24 (56)Other19 (44)Tracheostomy (43)41 (93)Wean, ECMO first (43)34 (79)VA-ECMO0Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41)30 (73)Initial ventilation mode (29)10 (35)PC10 (35)VC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
When pulmonary recovery 24 (56) Other 19 (44) Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) PC 10 (35) VC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) Immediate after starting ECMO Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		5(7)
Tracheostomy (43) 41 (93) Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 30 (73) Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) PC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) Immediate after starting ECMO Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		24 (56)
Wean, ECMO first (43) 34 (79) VA-ECMO 30 (73) Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41) 30 (73) Initial ventilation mode (29) 10 (35) PC 7 (24) PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) 1 Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		- ()
VA-ECMO30 (73)Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41)30 (73)Initial ventilation mode (29)10 (35)PC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Different strategy than VV-ECMO, yes (41)30 (73)Initial ventilation mode (29)10 (35)PC10 (35)VC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		34 (79)
Initial ventilation mode (29)PC10 (35)VC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		30 (73)
VC7 (24)PRVC7 (24)PS0Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)	Initial ventilation mode (29)	
PRVC 7 (24) PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
PS 0 Nonintubated 0 Varies on physician 2 (7) Other 1 (2) Stop sedatives (29) Immediate after starting ECMO 7 (24) Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		
Nonintubated0Varies on physician2 (7)Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)7Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Other1 (2)Stop sedatives (29)1Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Stop sedatives (29)7 (24)Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Immediate after starting ECMO7 (24)Hemodynamic stability19 (66)Other3 (10)		
Hemodynamic stability 19 (66) Other 3 (10)		7 (0 4)
Other 3 (10)		

DP, driving pressure; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; NMB, neuromuscular blockade; PC, pressure-controlled; PRVC, pressure released volume-controlled; PS, pressure support; TV, tidal volume; VA, venoarterial; VC, volume-controlled; VV, venovenous.

Table 2. Mechanical Ventilation Setting During ECMO Support

	VV-ECMO n (%)	VA-ECMO n (%)(%)
PEEP (cm H ₂ O)	41	28
<10`	7 (17%)	15 (54%)
10	18 (44%)	8 (29%)
10–15	10 (26%)	3 (11%)
>15	0	1 (4%)
Based on the esophagus balloon	3 (7%)	0
Based on the pre-ECMO level	2 (5%)	0
Driving pressure (cm H ₂ O)	36	23
<104	7 (19%)	0
10	14 (39%)	5 (22%)
10–15	13 (36.1%)	15 (65%)
>15	0	1 (4%)
Based on the esophagus balloon	2 (6%)	0
Not targeted	0	2 (9%)
Plateau pressure (cm H ₂ O)	34	22
<20	14 (41%)	2 (9%)
20–25	13 (38%)	11 (50%)
25–30	5 (15%)	7 (32%)
Not targeted	2 (6%)	2 (9%)
Tidal volume (ml/kg)	33	26
<4	10 (30%)	0
4–5	8 (24%)	3 (12%)
<6	9 (27%)	16 (62%)
>6	2 (6%)	6 (23%)
Not targeted	4 (12%)	1 (4%)
Respiratory rate/minute	39	25
Spontaneous	1 (3%)	2 (8%)
<10	21 (54%)	6 (24%)
10–15	12 (31%)	13 (52%)
15–20	5 (13%)	4 (16%)

ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; VA, venoarterial; VV, venovenous.

centers aim to stop neuromuscular blockade as soon as possible. Partial neuromuscular blockade was used in five centers (13%) to facilitate lung-protective spontaneous ventilation, whereas maintaining diaphragm activity. Prone positioning was used by (n = 32) 74% of the centers during VV-ECMO support. An esophagus balloon was used to titrate PEEP by three centers (7%) and ΔP by two centers (6%).

Sedatives. Table 3 provides an overview of the most used sedatives. The top three sedatives, whether or not in combination, were propofol (74%), midazolam (50%), and α -2 adrenergic receptor agonists (clonidine or dexmedetomidine) (48%).

Discontinuing of sedatives. Most centers discontinued sedation and started spontaneous ventilation only when there were signs of pulmonary recovery (n = 30, 70%). Almost

Table 3. Top Three Sedative Use During ECMO

	VV-ECMO n = 42 (%)	VA-ECMO n = 29 (%)
Clonidine/dexmedetomidine	20 (48)	13 (45)
Fentanyl	16 (38)	8 (28)
Ketamine	4 (10)	2 (7)
Midazolam	21 (50)	16 (55)
Morphine	10 (24)	6 (21)
Propofol	31 (74)	20 (69)
Other	11 (26)	9 (31)
None	1 (2)	4 (14)

ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VA: venoarterial; VV, venovenous.

one-fourth of the centers attempted to switch to spontaneous ventilation within 3 days after initiating ECMO. The main reasons for restarting controlled ventilation and sedation were high tidal volumes or ΔP (n = 13, 30%) and patient-ventilator dyssynchrony (n = 20, 47%). After the restart of sedatives, more than two-thirds performed daily wake-up calls. Sedatives were permanently stopped in case of pulmonary recovery (n = 24, 56%) when tolerated in terms of hypoxia, agitation, work of breathing, and dyssynchrony (n = 17, 40%). Only two centers declared that they never stopped sedatives or used spontaneous ventilation unless weaning from ECMO was started.

Weaning practices. Almost every center performed tracheostomy in patients supported with VV-ECMO (n = 41, 93%), and one-third routinely placed a tracheostomy after an expected specified duration, usually 2 weeks, of mechanical ventilation (n = 15, 35%).

Most centers (n = 39, 79%) chose to wean and discontinue ECMO before mechanical ventilation.

Venoarterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation

Demographics. The average VA-ECMO load was 10-29 per annum (n = 20, 48%). Nearly three-quarters of all respondents indicated that they used different ventilation strategies in patients supported with VA-ECMO for a cardiac indication depending on respiratory compromise.

Ventilator mode and settings. Lung rest was barely used during VA-ECMO support (Table 1). Initial ventilator settings were partly different from those reported during VV-ECMO. In general, targeted PEEP was lower, and targeted ΔP , tidal volumes, and respiratory rate were higher (Table 2).

Sedatives. The sedatives used were comparable to those used in patients supported with VV-ECMO (Table 3). Sedatives were stopped, and support ventilation was started directly after ECMO initiation (n = 7, 24%) or as soon as hemodynamic stability was achieved (n = 19, 66%). The reason for restarting sedatives was less focused on ventilatory problems but more based on hemodynamic instability (n = 18, 62%). After restarting sedation, almost every respondent performed a daily interruption of sedation when hemodynamically allowed.

Weaning practices. Tracheostomy was performed less in patients supported with VA-ECMO (n = 33, 80%). Like in VV-ECMO patients, a third of the centers performed a tracheostomy routinely when a mechanical ventilation duration of more than 2 weeks was expected.

Discussion

The major finding of our survey was that most centers preferred controlled mechanical ventilation as the initial mode in the early phase after ECMO start. Despite its potential benefits, awake ECMO was not widely adopted.^{6,10–12,15} However, spontaneous ventilation was increasingly used as the initial ventilation mode or applied early, within 3 days after start of ECMO, compared to previously conducted studies.^{10–12} Nevertheless, these studies included only VV-ECMO. The preferred initial ventilator settings during VV-ECMO support ranged from lungprotective to ultra-lung-protective.

Two studies showed that although ultraprotective lung ventilation during VV-ECMO support was largely adopted,

mechanical ventilator settings and mechanical power during the first 2 days of ECMO support did not impact the patient's prognosis.^{6,7} These findings raise important questions about optimal ventilation strategies for patients on ECMO.

Mechanical ventilation strategies during VA-ECMO were less lung-protective, and spontaneous ventilation was used earlier compared to VV-ECMO. The transition to spontaneous ventilation could be divided into two strategies: 1) switch as soon as possible to spontaneous ventilation after starting ECMO, or 2) wait for the lung to recover before switching to spontaneous ventilation.

Considering that controlled ventilation can contribute to diaphragmatic atrophy and injury, which is linked to unfavorable outcomes, the application of spontaneous breathing during invasive mechanical ventilation also raises questions. So far, studies focusing on applying spontaneous ventilation modes during ECMO are underrepresented. Limited literature shows the safety and benefits of early spontaneous or nonintubated ventilation in patients supported with VV- and VA-ECMO. Potential benefits include maintaining respiratory muscle function, decreased hospital length of stay, and increased survival. Potential adverse effects are ventilation dyssynchrony, patient discomfort, and possibly self-inflicted lung injury.⁸

We found that the vast majority of the centers used controlled ventilation (pressure or volume-controlled) as the preferred initial ventilation mode, which makes it possible to strictly control the ΔP and assumingly avoid VILI.¹⁵ This was in agreement with earlier conducted studies.^{13,14,16} To achieve lung rest, patients usually require sedation and neuromuscular blockade. The high rate of neuromuscular blockade was consistent with other studies.^{2,13} In general, interrupting sedation or no sedation with spontaneous breathing is a beneficial strategy in critically ill patients.¹⁷ In most patients on ECMO as a bridge to transplant or patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, spontaneous breathing was possible. However, in patients on ECMO with ARDS, it was only possible in less than 30%.¹⁸ Crotti et al.¹⁸ postulated that the different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms might account for this finding. As an increased work of breathing was a primary reason for an unsuccessful switch to spontaneous ventilation, some centers now use partial neuromuscular blockade, which is a new phenomenon compared with previous surveys. Partial neuromuscular blockade may facilitate lung-protective spontaneous ventilation without inducing self-inflicting lung injury while maintaining diaphragm activity. This potentially allows a safe compromise between the risks and benefits of "awake ECMO" but requires careful and repeated monitoring of diaphragmatic function.¹⁹ More studies are needed to determine the safety and efficacy of this strategy.

Most centers (79%) decannulate from ECMO before extubation, per the 2019 EuroELSO weaning survey.²⁰ However, ECMO reduces breathing effort sufficiently in extubated patients,²¹ and therefore, extubation before decannulation seems safe and may be beneficial in selected cases.²²⁻²⁴

Prone positioning was used to a greater extent in our study compared to previously reported studies.^{13,14,16} A possible explanation could be that the study from Camporota *et al.*¹³ contains data from before the proning severe ARDS patients (PROSEVA) trial that showed that prone positioning was beneficial, as the authors already stated.²⁵ During the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, clinicians widely

adopted prone positioning; therefore, expertise in the maneuver has increased, which may lead to the earlier application of this rescue therapy during ECMO support. Moreover, recent studies have shown that prone positioning during ECMO improves gas exchange and may reduce hospital mortality.²⁶⁻²⁹ We included beta-blockers as possible respiratory rescue therapy during ECMO support because we suspect these were still widely used. However, one should note there is no physiologic background to use them during ECMO support. Beta-blockers reduce cardiac output; this decreases the amount of oxygenation provided by the native lung, which consequently leads to an apparent increase in arterial oxygenation. However, this increase is misleading and appears at the expense of a reduction in venous oxygenation and, thus, organ perfusion and oxygenation.³⁰

Our survey has several limitations, of which a low response rate is the most obvious. An explanation for this might be that e-mails did not reach the recipient due to spam filters or incorrect e-mail addresses. Surveys can be subject to different types of bias. Responders might differ in their practices from nonresponders, considering that most respondents work in university or academic hospitals. Most centers who completed the survey were from Europe and the United States, and only a minority from other parts of the world. Another limitation is that, generally, a survey represents what doctors think they are doing, which may not always reflect what they actually do. When no protocol was available, answers might reflect the individual doctor's view rather than the view of the ECMO center. Also, we have no information about the outcome of the different mechanical ventilation strategies. Finally, this survey did not categorize practices during specific indications for ECMO, which could affect the results, particularly during VA-ECMO support in patients without respiratory compromise. Strengths of this survey were the high completion rate of questions if the survey was opened, the possibility to use open answers to explore this subject and generate hypotheses for further study, and the distinction between VA- and VV-ECMO support.

Conclusions

Mechanical ventilation in patients supported with ECMO varies between centers. Controlled mechanical ventilation was the preferred initial mode in almost all centers to provide lung rest during VV-ECMO. Sedation and neuromuscular blockade were widely used during ECMO support to achieve this. A small number of centers apply "awake ECMO" early in the course of ECMO support, some with the use of partial neuro-muscular blockade.

Acknowledgment

Dutch ECLS Study Group Members: Alexander P. J. Vlaar (Department of Critical Care, Amsterdam Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); S. Jorinde Raasveld (Department of Critical Care, Amsterdam Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); Dirk W. Donker (Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands); Jeannine A. J. Hermens (Department of Critical Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands); Alexander D. Cornet (Department of Critical Care, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands); J. Wytze Vermeijden (Department of Critical Care, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands); Bob Oude Velthuis (Department of Critical Care, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands); Judith M. D. van den Brule (Department of Critical Care, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands); C. V. Elzo Kraemer (Department of Critical Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands); Jacinta J. Maas (Department of Critical Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands); Jeroen Janson (Department of Critical Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands); Jorge Lopez Matta (Department of Critical Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands); Thijs S. R. Delnoij (Department of Critical Care, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands); Marcel van de Poll (Department of Critical Care, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands); Sakir Akin (Department of Critical Care, Haga Hospital, the Hague, the Netherlands); Jesse de Metz (Department of Critical Care, OLVG, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); Bas van den Bogaard (Department of Critical Care, OLVG, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); Luuk Otterspoor (Department of Critical Care, Catharina Hospital, Eindhoven, the Netherlands); Erik Scholten (Department of Critical Care, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands); Ineke van de Pol (Department of Critical Care, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands); Marijn Kuijpers (Department of Critical Care, Isala, Zwolle, the Netherlands); Laurien van Koppenhagen (Department of Critical Care, Isala, Zwolle, the Netherlands); Dinis Dos Reis Miranda (Department of Critical Care, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands); Christiaan Meuwese (Department of Critical Care, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands); Jeroen Bunge (Department of Critical Care, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands).

References

- Amato MBP, Meade MO, Slutsky AS, et al: Driving pressure and survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 372: 747–755, 2015.
- 2. Schmidt M, Bailey M, Sheldrake J, et al: Predicting survival after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory failure: The Respiratory Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Survival Prediction (RESP) score. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 189: 1374–1382, 2014.
- Serpa Neto A, Schmidt M, Azevedo LCP, et al; ReVA Research Network and the PROVE Network Investigators: Associations between ventilator settings during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory hypoxemia and outcome in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A pooled individual patient data analysis: Mechanical ventilation during ECMO. *Intensive Care Med* 42: 1672–1684, 2016.
- 4. Schmidt M, De Chambrun MP, Lebreton G, et al: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation instead of invasive mechanical ventilation in a patient with severe COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 203: 1571–1573, 2021.
- Li T, Yin PF, Li A, Shen MR, Yao YX: Acute respiratory distress syndrome treated with awake extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in a patient with COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 35: 2467–2470, 2021.
- Goligher EC, Dres M, Patel BK, et al: Lung- and diaphragmprotective ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 202: 950– 961, 2020.
- 7. Azzam MH, Mufti HN, Bahaudden H, Ragab AZ, Othman MM, Tashkandi WA: Awake extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in coronavirus disease 2019 patients without invasive mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Explor* 3: e0454, 2021.
- Langer T, Santini A, Bottino N, et al: "Awake" extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO): Pathophysiology, technical considerations, and clinical pioneering. *Crit Care* 20: 150, 2016.
- Montero S, Huang F, Rivas-Lasarte M, et al: Awake venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory

cardiogenic shock. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care 10: 585–594, 2021.

- Gurnani PK, Michalak LA, Tabachnick D, Kotwas M, Tatooles AJ: Outcomes of extubated COVID and non-COVID patients receiving awake venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. ASAIO J 68: 478–485, 2022.
- Camporota L, Nicoletti E, Malafronte M, et al: International survey on the management of mechanical ventilation during ECMO in adults with severe respiratory failure. *Minerva Anestesiol* 81: 1170–83, 77 p following 1183, 2015.
- Marhong JD, Telesnicki T, Munshi L, Del Sorbo L, Detsky M, Fan E: Mechanical ventilation during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation an international survey. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 11: 956–961, 2014.
- 13. Schmidt M, Pham T, Arcadipane A, et al: Mechanical ventilation management during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome an international multicenter prospective cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 200: 1002–1012, 2019.
- 14. Nguyen YL, Perrodeau E, Guidet B, et al; REVA Network: Mechanical ventilation and clinical practice heterogeneity in intensive care units: A multicenter case-vignette study. *Ann Intensive Care* 4: 2, 2014.
- Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB: Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med 342: 1471–1477, 2000.
- Crotti S, Bottino N, Ruggeri GM, et al: Spontaneous breathing during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in acute respiratory failure. *Anesthesiology* 126: 678–687, 2017.
 Strøm T, Martinussen T, Toft P: A protocol of no sedation for
- Strøm T, Martinussen T, Toft P: A protocol of no sedation for critically ill patients receiving mechanical ventilation: A randomised trial. *Lancet* 375: 475–480, 2010.
- Swol J, Shekar K, Protti A, et al: Extubate before venovenous extracorporeal membranous oxygenation decannulation or decannulate while remaining on the ventilator? The EuroELSO 2019 weaning survey. ASAIO J 67: e86–e89, 2021.
- 19. Mauri T, Grasselli G, Suriano G, et al: Control of respiratory drive and effort in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation patients recovering from severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Anesthesiology* 125: 159–167, 2016.
- Lee YJ, Kim DJ, Kim JS, et al: Experience and results with VV-ECMO for severe acute respiratory failure: Weaning versus nonweaning. ASAIO J 61: 184–189, 2015.
- Yeo HJ, Cho WH, Kim D: Awake extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in patients with severe postoperative acute respiratory distress syndrome. *J Thorac Dis* 8: 37–42, 2016.
- Xia J, Gu S, Li M, et al: Spontaneous breathing in patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome receiving prolonged extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *BMC Pulm Med* 19: 237, 2019.
- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard J-C, et al; PROSEVA Study Group: Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 368: 2159–2168, 2013.
- Kimmoun A, Roche S, Bridey C, et al: Prolonged prone positioning under VV-ECMO is safe and improves oxygenation and respiratory compliance. *Ann Intensive Care* 5: 35, 2015.
- 25. Giani M, Rezoagli E, Guervilly C, et al: Prone positioning during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: A pooled individual patient data analysis. *Crit Care* 26: 8, 2022.
- Giani M, Rezoagli E, Guervilly C, et al: Timing of prone positioning during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 51: 25– 35, 2023.
- Rilinger J, Zotzmann V, Bemtgen X, et al: Prone positioning in severe ARDS requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Crit Care* 24: 397, 2020.
- Zanella A, Salerno D, Scaravilli V, et al: A mathematical model of oxygenation during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. J Crit Care 36: 178–186, 2016.