SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

EFFECTIVENESS OF KETAMINE FOR THE TREATMENT OF POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER – A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Thales Marcon Almeida, Ursula Raianny Lacerda da Silva, Jeully Pereira Pires, Isaac Neri Borges, Clara Rosa Muniz Martins, Quirino Cordeiro, Ricardo R. Uchida

Abstract

Objective: Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is an enduring condition characterized by a chronic course and impairments across several areas. Despite its significance, treatment options remain limited, and remission rates are often low. Ketamine has demonstrated antidepressant properties and appears to be a promising agent in the management of PTSD.

Method: A systematic review was conducted in PubMed/MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, Clinicaltrials.gov, Lilacs, Scopus, and Embase, covering studies published between 2012 and December 2022 to assess the effectiveness of ketamine in the treatment of PTSD. Ten studies, consisting of five RCTs, two crossover trials, and three non-randomized trials, were included in the meta-analysis.

Results: Ketamine demonstrated significant improvements in PCL-5 scores, both 24 hours after the initial infusion and at the endpoint of the treatment course, which varied between 1 to 4 weeks in each study. Notably, the significance of these differences was assessed using the Two Sample T-test with pooled variance and the Two Sample Welch's T-test, revealing a statistically significant effect for ketamine solely at the endpoint of the treatment course (standardized effect size= 0.25; test power 0.9916; 95% CI = 0.57 to 17.02, p=0.0363). It is important to note that high heterogeneity was observed across all analyses.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that ketamine holds promise as an effective treatment option for PTSD. However, further trials are imperative to establish robust data for this intervention.

Key words: post-traumatic stress disorder, PTSD, ketamine, systematic review, metaanalysis

Thales Marcon Almeida¹ Ursula Raianny Lacerda da Silva² Jeully Pereira Pires² Isaac Neri Borges² Clara Rosa Muniz Martins² Quirino Cordeiro¹ Ricardo R. Uchida¹ ¹Mental Health Department, Santa Casa de São Paulo School of Medical Sciences, São Paulo, Brazil.

²School of Medicine, Federal University of Cariri – UFCA, Barbalha, Ceará, Brazil

Introduction

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a chronic and debilitating condition, commonly associated with comorbidities, primarily mood and substance use disorders. This scenario leads to impairments across several domains, encompassing labor, economic and social areas (Goldberg et al., 2014; Yehuda et al., 2015; Shalev et al., 2017). According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), published by the American Psychiatric Association (2013), there are four primary clusters of symptoms: persistent avoidance, intrusion symptoms, alterations in mood and cognition, and changes in arousal and reactivity. Epidemiological studies have estimated the 12-month prevalence of these conditions at approximately 5.3% and the lifetime prevalence at 8.3% in the United States (Benjet et al., 2016). Worldwide, the most frequently reported traumatic events include various forms of violence, such as physical and sexual assault, as well as accidents and traumatic injuries (Kilpatrick et al., 2013).

Despite their significance in treating PTSD, only two pharmacological agents, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) paroxetine and sertraline, have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). However, other medications, including the serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) venlafaxine, atypical antipsychotics, and the alpha-2 adrenergic receptor blocker prazosin, are frequently prescribed as off-label options (Sharpless & Barber, 2011; Alexander, 2012; Green, 2014). Unfortunately, remission rates with these treatments are relatively low, typically around 20-30%, and patients often experience persistent residual symptoms (Friedman et al., 2007; Berger et al., 2009; Krystal et al., 2017). Recent advances in inflammatory, molecular, neuroendocrine, and neuroimaging markers underscore



Citation: Almeida, T. M., Lacerda da Silva, U. R., Pires, J. P., Borges, I. N., Martins, C. R. M., Cordeiro, Q., Uchida, R. R. (2024). Effectiveness of Ketamine for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, 21(1), 22-31.

doi.org/10.36131/ cnfioritieditore20240102

CC BY-NC-SA This article is published under a Creative Commons license. For more information: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/4.0/

Funding: None.

Competing interests: None.

Corresponding author

Thales Marcon Almeida Mental Health Department, Santa Casa de São Paulo School of Medical Sciences, São Paulo, Brazil. Rua Dona Veridiana, 55 - Higienópolis, São Paulo- SP, - CEP 01238-010 E-mail: thalesmarcona@gmail.com the urgent need for research into new PTSD treatment modalities (Daskalakis et al., 2013; Zoladz & Diamond, 2013; Kunimatsu et al., 2020).

Ketamine, an NMDA glutamate receptor antagonist, has demonstrated notable antidepressant properties. Its effects are not limited to interactions with glutamatergic receptors, as they also involve various complex signaling cascades and molecular targets (Abdallah et al., 2018; Pereira & Hiroaki-Sato, 2018). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have underscored the rapid antidepressant effects of ketamine, which are apparent not only in patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD) (Zarate et al., 2006; Diazgranados et al., 2010; Lapidus et al., 2014) but also in those exhibiting symptoms of suicidality (Andrade, 2018; Lengvenyte et al., 2019).

The use of ketamine in treating PTSD has gained increasing recognition. Glutamatergic activity is crucial in the formation of memories, including the encoding of traumatic events (Malenka & Nicoll, 1999; Reul & Nutt, 2008). Additionally, studies utilizing animal models have shown that chronic stress exposure may lead to alterations in glutamatergic transmission, potentially heightening the susceptibility to mental disorders (McEwen, 1999; Radley & Morrison, 2005). In light of these findings, this systematic review and meta-analysis is dedicated to updating the current evidence on the efficacy of ketamine in the treatment of PTSD.

Methods

The methodology of this study was rigorously designed in alignment with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). Additionally, the protocol for this systematic review and metaanalysis was duly registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews, under the registration number PROSPERO-CRD42022378592. meta-analysis

Search Strategy

The search strategy for this systematic review was meticulously executed across multiple electronic databases, including MEDLINE (PubMed), Embase, Scopus, Lilacs, ClinicalTrials.gov, and the Cochrane Library. Our search parameters were designed to include studies published from 2012 through December 2022, imposing no language restrictions to ensure a comprehensive inclusion of relevant literature. To tailor our search effectively, we adapted keywords relevant to our study's objectives, employing specific indexing terms such as MeSH in PubMed. Additionally, to capture any potentially overlooked studies, we conducted manual searches. The detailed search strategy for each database is thoroughly documented in the supplementary materials accompanying this article.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

This study included articles that met the following criteria: (1) The assessment of adults with a primary diagnosis of PTSD. (2) The use of a validated diagnostic method for PTSD. (3) The inclusion of subjects who received at least one infusion of ketamine, regardless of the route of administration. For the exclusion criteria, the study did not consider: (1) Previously published reviews, case reports, letters, editorials, as well as systematic reviews and meta-analyses. (2) Studies involving patients with comorbid psychotic disorders, active substance use disorders, or severe clinical conditions (neurological, pulmonary, and cardiovascular).

Screening Selection

Two authors, TMA and JPP, independently conducted the initial screening of titles and abstracts, followed by a detailed examination of the full-text manuscripts, adhering to the pre-established selection criteria. In instances of disagreement regarding inclusion, the issues were resolved through group discussions until a consensus was reached.

Data extraction from the included articles was performed using standardized spreadsheets. The extracted data encompassed: (1) first author and year of publication; (2) country of the study; (3) study design; (4) participant characteristics; (5) sample size; (6) severity of diagnosis; (7) study outcomes. The initial data extraction was carried out by author TMA and subsequently reviewed for accuracy and completeness by JPP, INB, CRMM, URLS, RRU, and QC.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was the improvement in PTSD symptoms, assessed using the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) scores, at two specific time points:

- 1) 24 hours after the first ketamine infusion.
- 2) At the pharmacological endpoint, as defined by the protocol in each individual study.

Notably, secondary depressive symptoms, typically measured by the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), were not included in the analysis.

Risk of Bias Assessment

We conducted a comprehensive risk of bias assessment using three distinct tools tailored to the study designs employed. The Version 2 of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) (Sterne et al., 2019) was utilized for randomized trials, including a specialized version for crossover trials that specifically addressed potential carryover effects. Additionally, we employed the Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies - of Interventions (ROBINS-I) tool (Sterne et al., 2016).

For the RoB 2 assessment, we evaluated the risk of bias across six key domains: the randomization process, timing of participant identification or recruitment, deviations from the intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result. The overall risk of bias was categorized as either low, moderate, or high.

In the case of the ROBINS-I assessment, we examined seven domains of bias: confounding, participant selection into the study, classification of interventions, deviations from intended interventions, missing data, measurement of outcomes, and selection of the reported result. The overall risk of bias was classified as "low risk," "moderate risk," "serious risk," or "critical risk."

To ensure the robustness of our assessment, two authors (TMA, URLS) independently performed the risk of bias evaluation. In instances where disagreements arose, a third author (RRU) was consulted to facilitate consensus.

Statistical Analysis

In order to evaluate the impact of ketamine on the improvement of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, we conducted data extraction at two key time points: baseline and post ketamine infusion. The assessment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) severity in these studies was carried out using three distinct measurement scales: the PCL-5, the Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R), and the Clinician-Administered Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5). For each study, we gathered data on the mean scores and standard deviations (SD) associated with these measurement scales, enabling a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of ketamine in mitigating post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms.

Since most of the studies employed the PCL-5 as the baseline score scale, the IES-R and CAPS-5 scores were parameterized using simple linear regression. The linear regression models were powered by studies that assessed both PCL-5 x IES-R and PCL-5 x CAPS-5 scores (Ashbaugh et al., 2016; Sveen et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2017). (The figures depicting the results of the linear regression can be found in the supplementary material).

The mean difference score (MD) was computed by subtracting the mean score at baseline from the mean score at the treatment endpoint of interest. The standard deviation for the mean difference (SDMD) was calculated using the following formula:

$$\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2} \times r \times S_1 \times S_2$$

In this equation, 'S₁' represents the standard deviation

of the mean score at baseline, 'S₂' represents the standard deviation of the mean score after ketamine infusion, and 'r' is the Pearson correlation coefficient. The 'r' value is calculated from the mean scores of all studies included in the analysis. Our meta-analysis utilized the mean score difference at baseline and at the treatment endpoint (MD \pm SDMD). These scores were stratified into intervention vs. control groups and categorized by immediate effects (at 24 hours) and effects over time (ranging from 1 day to a 4-week period).

To compare the pooled mean differences in the intervention and control groups, we examined the 24-hour time point and the endpoint defined by each study, which typically corresponded to the last ketamine infusion and the final outcome measured in relation to the pharmacological intervention.

To determine the statistical significance of differences between the two groups, we employed both the twosample Welch's T-test and the two-sample T-test with pooled variances, considering the Hypothesis Testing (H0: μ 1= μ 2 and H1: μ 1 \neq μ 2).

Results

Out of the initial 548 references, 237 duplicates were removed, leaving 311 records for title and abstract assessment. Among these, 297 studies were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria, resulting in 14 studies evaluated for eligibility. Three studies were excluded as they utilized data from the same sample, and one study was excluded due to insufficient data.

Ultimately, our systematic review and meta-analysis included a total of ten studies, comprising five RCTs, two crossover randomized trials, and three non-randomized trials. These studies are cited as follows: Feder et al., 2014; Pradhan et al., 2017; Albott et al., 2018; Pradhan

Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 flow diagram for new systematic reviews which included searches of databases and registers only



et al., 2018; Ross et al., 2019; Dadabayev et al., 2020; Shiroma et al., 2020; Feder et al., 2021; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022; and Abdallah et al., 2022.

Population

The total sample size for this study was 363 individuals; however, one study (Ross et al., 2019) did not provide demographic information for the 30 participants in their trial. Among the 333 individuals with available data, the mean age was 41.9 years (\pm 3.95), with 208 of them being male (62.4%). The mean baseline PCL-5 score was 51.88 (\pm 8.86).

Among the ten studies included in our analysis, four (Feder et al., 2014; Feder et al., 2021; Abdallah et al., 2022; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022) assessed patients with moderate to severe PTSD based on their CAPS-5 scores. Two studies focused on patients with refractory PTSD, defined as those who had not responded to at least two antidepressants and had undergone cognitive behavioral therapy for a minimum of six months (Pradhan et al., 2017; Pradhan et al., 2018). Additionally, one study examined patients with comorbid TRD (Albott et al., 2018), while another study specifically assessed military veterans with combat-related PTSD (Ross et al., 2019).

Nine studies utilized intravenous ketamine at a dosage of 0.5 mg/kg. One of these studies (Abdallah et al., 2022) included an additional intervention arm with a lower dosage of 0.2 mg/kg, while another study (Ross et al., 2019) employed a higher dosage of 1 mg/kg. Furthermore, four studies investigated the use of ketamine in conjunction with psychotherapy. Two studies (Pradhan et al., 2017; Pradhan et al., 2018), authored by the same group, implemented a mindfulness-related modality called TIMBER. The other two studies (Shiroma et al., 2020; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022) assessed the combination of ketamine with prolonged exposure therapy. It is noteworthy that all of these studies were conducted within the United States of America.

 Table 1 presents the characteristics of the studies included in our analysis.

Meta-analysis for effects over time

The meta-analysis was conducted using the methodology outlined in the *Comprehensive Meta-analysis Software* – Version 3.0 (2022). Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic, with moderate heterogeneity assumed at I² values greater than 50%, and high heterogeneity at values exceeding 75%. A random-effects model was employed to account for both within-study and between-study variability. In our initial assessment, four studies (Ross et al., 2019; Shiroma et al., 2020; Feder et al., 2021; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022) were excluded as they did not measure the PCL-5 score 24 hours post the initial ketamine infusion.

The improvement in PCL-5 mean scores was more pronounced in the ketamine group compared to the control groups in both analyses: at the endpoint of the treatment course (30.85 vs. 22.06) and in the 24-hour analysis (29.15 vs. 25.63). We employed both the Two Sample T-test with pooled variance and the Two Sample Welch's T-test to evaluate the significance of these differences. For the endpoint of the treatment course, ketamine showed a significant effect (standardized effect size = 0.25; test power = 0.9916; 95% CI = 0.57 to 17.02; p = 0.0363). However, the effects of ketamine after the first 24 hours were not statistically significant

(standardized effect size = 0.069; test power = 0.9747; 95% CI = -9.31 to 16.36; p = 0.5897). There was evidence of high between-study heterogeneity in all subgroup analyses ($I^2 = 99.04\%$, 97.28%, 98.69%, and 97.88%, respectively; p < 0.001).

The forest plots for each analysis are presented in figures 2 and 3.

The confidence interval for the difference between active and control groups is presented in **tables 2** and **3**.

In the analysis of the risk of bias, three studies (Feder et al., 2014; Abdallah et al., 2022; Feder et al., 2021) representing 30% of the total, were classified as having a low risk of bias in the overall assessment. Four studies, accounting for 40% (Pradhan et al., 2017; Pradhan et al., 2018; Dadabayev et al., 2020; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022), were classified as having a moderate risk of bias. Meanwhile, all three non-randomized studies (Albott et al., 2018; Ross et al., 2019; Shiroma et al., 2020), also representing 30%, were classified as having a serious overall risk of bias. Specifically, the most problematic dimensions of bias identified were: (1) bias due to confounding; (2) bias due to deviations from intended interventions; and (3) bias due to missing data, with these concerns primarily associated with the nonrandomized studies. For the studies classified as having an overall moderate risk of bias, it is important to note that a low risk of bias was found in most subdomains of analysis, as detailed in the supplementary material.

Discussion

our primary objective in conducting this metaanalysis was to evaluate the effectiveness of ketamine as a pharmacological intervention for PTSD, in light of the emerging data in this field. We anchored our analysis on a linear regression of PCL-5 scores to assess improvements in the core symptoms of PTSD. Our findings indicate that ketamine is associated with symptom improvements in PTSD, noticeable both 24 hours after the first infusion and at the conclusion of the treatment period. Importantly, these improvements were found to be statistically significant when compared to control agents only in the endpoint analysis.

A previous meta-analysis by Albuquerque et al. (2022) also found evidence supporting the beneficial effects of ketamine in treating PTSD. In their analysis, the positive effects were predominantly observed in MADRS scores, which may indicate improvements in secondary depressive symptoms associated with PTSD, rather than the primary symptoms of the disorder. This finding is particularly relevant considering the high rates of co-occurrence of PTSD and major depressive disorder (MDD), especially among military veteran populations, who form a significant portion of the study samples. Epidemiological data indicate that approximately 50% of veterans in the US are afflicted with both PTSD and MDD (Seal et al., 2010; Rytwinski et al., 2013). Additionally, long-term treatment with ketamine has been associated with reduced hospitalization rates, particularly for severe comorbid conditions such as PTSD and TRD (Hartberg et al., 2018).

Future researchers should focus on the longterm effects of ketamine and the assessment of adjunctive psychotherapy treatment. Synaptic deficits are intimately linked to the development of PTSD and related disabilities (Krystal et al., 2017). Stress conditions act directly in glutamate synapsis leading to a reducing signaling of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and resulting in loss and reduction of dendritic spines. Moreover, there is evidence that

2
(ja
į,
ct
б
lsa
7
S,
dn
Ģ,
50
10
trc
n
8
g
m
10
10
ιti
ы
2
te
in
4
fo
'n
10
15
ψı
i,
1e
ui
un
etc
k
10
fί
a,
rS
т
ЧC
4
\sim
'n,
0
SC
5
<u>-</u> -
Q
Р
in
e
\mathcal{IC}
~
e,
ere
iffere
Differe
n Differe
san Differe
Mean Differe
r Mean Differe
for Mean Differe
t for Mean Differe
lot for Mean Differe
Plot for Mean Differe
st Plot for Mean Differe
rest Plot for Mean Differe
⁷ orest Plot for Mean Differe
. Forest Plot for Mean Differe
2. Forest Plot for Mean Differe
re 2. Forest Plot for Mean Differe
ure 2. Forest Plot for Mean Differe
igure 2. Forest Plot for Mean Differe
Figure 2. Forest Plot for Mean Differe

Authors	Subjects	Criteria	Dosage	nfusions	Course of treatment	Mean difference	95% confident interval	ð				Weight
Abdallah et al. 2022	PTSD natients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mo/ko)	-	1 dav	16 50	15 46	,	17 44	•.		14 90%
Abdallah et al. 2022	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Low (0.2 mg/kg)		1 day	14.10	13.12		15.08			14.90%
Dadabayev et al. 2020	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg)	-	1 day	11.66	3.34	,	19.99	Ť		12.66%
Albott et al., 2018	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg)		1 day	22.67	20.24	,	25.10	-	Į	14.71%
Pradhan et al. 2018	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg)		1 day	52.60	47.52	,	57.68	-	ł	14.00%
Pradhan et al. 2017	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg)	-	1 day	54.40	50.22	,	58.58	ž		14.29%
Feder et al. 2014	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg)	-	1 day	31.94	28.70	,	35.18			14.54%
Effect Summary: P =	39.04%					29.15	21.55	,	36.75	KC CC /7 17 CI K C	/ 10 10 4	
Note: Weights are from rando	Ę											
effects analysis												

					Course of	Mean	95% confidence			
Authors	Subjects	Criteria	Dosage	Infusions	treatment	difference	interval			
										•
Abdallah et al., 2022	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Placebo (normal saline)	-	1 day	10.93	9.94	,	11.92	I
Dedabayev et al., 2020	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Ketorolac 15mg in 500cc of normal saline	-	1 day	12.13	4.12	,	20.14	I
Predhan et al., 2018	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Placebo (normal saline)	-	1 day	41.40	33.36	,	49.44	
Predhan et al., 2017	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Placebo (normal saline)	-	1 day	43.80	32.30	,	55.30	Ŧ
Feder et al., 2014	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Midazolan (0.045 mg/kg)	-	1 day	23.87	21.00	,	26.74	
Effect Summary: P =	97.28%					25.63	15.11	,	36.14	-4 2 8 14 20 26 32 38 44 50 56
Note: Weights are from ran.	dom									
offects analysis										

Figure 3. Forest Plot for Mean Difference in baseline PCL-5 score and at the endpoint ketamine treatment for intervention and control groups, respectively

		- 112									J
Authors	Subjects	Criteria	Dosage	Course of treatment	difference	Joy confid interval	ence				Weight
Abdallah et al., 2022	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 8	28 days	22.10	21.51	'	22.69	•		212.01
Abdallah et al., 2022	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Low (0.2 mg/kg) 8	28 days	24.09	23.51	'	24.67	•		:41.01
Harpaz-Rotem, 2022	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 1	7 days	19.30	8.18	'	30.42			5.97%
Feder et al., 2021	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 6	14 days	20.06	18.90	'	21.26	•		10.6374
Dedebayev et al., 2020	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 1	7 days	20.51	14.27	1	26.76	Ī	_	8,58%
Shiroma et al., 2020	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 3	21 days	30.72	16.96	,	44.49		I	4,837.
Ross et al., 2019	PTSD patients	PCL-S	High: starting at 1mg/kg 6	21 days	24.90	17.51	'	32.29	1	ľ	7.94%
Albott et al., 2018	PTSO patients	PCL-5	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 6	12 days	33.37	31.59	'	35.15	-		10.5TV
Pradhan et al. 2018	PTSD patients	PCL-5	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 1	1 day	52.60	48.69	ı	56.51	-	ł	9.777.6
Pradhan et al. 2017	PTSD patients	PCL-5	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 1	1 day	54.40	51.10	ı	57.70		Ŧ	10.03%
Feder et al. 2014	PTSD patients	PCL-5	Standard (0.5 mg/kg) 1	7 days	31.94	29.46	ı	34.42		ž	10.32%
Effect Summary: P =	38.69%				30.85	26.77	1	34.93			
Note: Weights are from randor	F								6 12 18 24 30	0 36 42 48 54	
effects analysis											
					Course of	Mean	95% confi	dence			
Authors	Subjects	Criteria	Dosage	Infusions	treatment	difference	interv	le			
Abdallah et al. 2022	PTSD patients	PCL-5	Piacebo (normal saline)	60	28 days	18.13	17.30	1	18.83	+	
Harpaz-Rotem, 2022	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Midazolan (0.045 mg/kg)	-	7 days	9.30	-4.01	ï	22.61		
Feder et al., 2021	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Midazolan (0.045 mg/kg)	9	14 days	5.78	4.20	'	7.37	Í	
Dedebayev et al., 2020	PTSD patients	PCL-S	Ketorolac 15mg in 500cc of normal set	line 1	7 days	15.89	7.93	'	23.85	-	Į
Pradhan et al., 2018	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Placebo (normal saline)	-	1 day	41.40	33.55	'	49.21	-	Į
Pradhan et al. 2017	PTSO patients	PCL-S	Placebo (normal saline)	-	1 day	43.80	32.60	1	54.97	ž.	
Feder et al., 2014	PTSO patients	PCL-6	Midazolan (0.045 mg/kg)	-	7 days	23.87	21.00	1	26.66		10 41 40 44
Effect Summary: P ^a =	97.88%					22.06	14.90	'	29.14	C 30 0 7 80 80 98 0	00 00 11 0
Note: Weights are from rando	E										
effects analysis											

Author(s) and Year	Country	Study Design	Characteristics of the Participants	Sample size	Diagnosis	Outcomes
Abdallah et al.,2022 ³³	USA	RCT	Veterans and active-duty service members	158 participants [standard dose 0.5 mg/kg (n= 51); Low dose 0.2 mg/kg (n= 53); Placebo (n=54)] in a schedule of 8 infusions in 4 weeks.	Severe PTSD	In the primary analysis, there was no significant difference in the treatment effect. There was improvement in PCL-5 scores for all treatment groups. Moreover, the lower dose compared to placebo was not significantly different after the first administration of Ketamine.
Albott et al., 2018 ³⁴	USA	Non- rand- omized	Male and female veterans, aged 18–75 years	15 participants completed the schedule of 6 infusions (0.5 mg/kg)	Moderate to severe PTSD and TRD	The study found a decrease in baseline symptoms 24 hours after the sixth ketamine infusion. In addition, the CAPS-5 score showed a significant reduction after completing the series of 6 infusions.
Dadabayev et al., 2020 ³⁸	, USA	RCT	US military veterans in an outpatient setting	41 participants (aged 29 to 65) were ran- domized to receive one dose of ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) or ketorolac (15mg)	PTSD and Chronic Pain (CP)	There was a significant main effect in the group with CP and PTSD in reduction of symptoms. The analysis was performed through the IES-R scale in 24 hours and 7 days after ketamine administration.
Feder et al., 202 1^{36}	USA	RCT	Individuals between 18 and 70 years	30 participants were randomized to receive Ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) or midazolam (0.045 mg/kg) in a schedule of 6 infusions in 2 weeks.	Severe PTSD	The use of ketamine in the active group significantly improved the CAPS-5 and MADRS scores compared to control for two weeks.
Feder et. al, 2014 37	USA	randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, crossover clinical trial	Patients with chronic PTSD	41 subjects were randomized to receive one infusion of ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) or midazolam (0.045 mg/kg)	Severe PTSD	The primary results showed positive results regarding the total scores of IES-R 24 hours after ketamine infusion compared to mida-zolam.
Harpaz-Rotem., 2022 ³⁸	USA	RCT	Subjects between 21-75 years	28 participants were randomized to receive ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) or midazolam (0.045 mg/kg) + Prolonged Exposure Therapy	Severe PTSD	Baseline x end of treatment PCL-5 scores [Mean (SD)] in the intervention arm: 48.8 (12.3) x 29.5 (20.7). Baseline x end of treatment PCL-5 scores in the control arm: 44.4 (14.4) x 35.1 (16.8).
Pradhan et al., 2018^{39}	USA	RCT	Adults in an outpa- tient setting	20 patients were randomized to receive 01 infusion of ketamine (0.5mg/kg) or normal saline + TIMBER psychotherapy	Refractory PTSD	In PCL scores, there were no significant differences between the two study arms after 24h, TIMBER-Ketamine and TIMBER-Placebo.
Pradhan et al., 2017 ⁴⁰	USA	randomized, double-blind, placebo- controlled, crossover clinical trial	Adults in an outpa- tient setting	10 participants patients were randomized to receive 01 infusion of ketamine (0.5mg/ kg) or normal saline + TIMBER psycho- therapy	Refractory PTSD	TIMBER psychotherapy augmented with ketamine were associated with better response and prolonged therapeutic effects compared to TIMBER-Placebo.
Ross et al., 2019^{41}	USA	Non- rand- omized	US veterans aged 18-75 years	30 participants received 6 infusions of ketamine (1 mg/kg)	Combated related PSTD	A 50% reduction in depression symptoms and 44% reduction in PTSD symptoms was found.
Shiroma et al., 2020 ⁴²	NSA	Non- rand- omized	US veterans aged 18-75 years	10 participants received 03 weekly infu- sions of ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) + 10 weeks prolonged exposure therapy trial.	Chronic and at least moderate PTSD	Repeated IV ketamine showed potential efficacy-enhancing effects of standard prolonged exposure therapy with good tolerability.

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies (n = 10)

RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial; PTSD = Post Traumatic Stress Disorder; PCL-5 = Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5; TRD = Treatment Resistant Depression; CAPS-5 = Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5; IES-R = Impact of Events Scale-Revised; MADRS = Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating;

	Immediate effects (2	24 hours) - Two Sample T-test v	with pooled variance
Group	Sample size	Variance	Pooled Mean Difference
Study (1)	167	2509.51	29.15
Control (2)	98	2820.25	25.63
		Results	
Pooled variance	2624.12	Standardized effect sizes	0.0690
t-statistic	0.5400	Test power	0.9747
P-value	0.5897	95% Confidence Interval	-9.31 < μ ₁ - μ ₂ < 16.36

Table 2. Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Interval Analysis for 24-Hour Treatment, Comparing Mean Differences

 Between Intervention and Control Groups

Table 3. Hypothesis	Testing and Confidence	e Interval for the	Endpoint Cour	se of Treatment,	Comparing Mean
Differences Between	Intervention and Cont	rol Groups			

	Time effect	s (1-10 weeks) - Two Sample Wel	ch's T-test
Group	Sample size	Variance	Pooled Mean Difference
Study (1)	234	1014.71	30.85
Control (2)	126	1645.53	22.06
		Results	
Pooled variance	1234.97	Standardized effect sizes	0.25
t-statistic	2.1076	Test power	0.9916
P-value	0.0363	95% Confidence Interval	0.57 < μ ₁ - μ ₂ < 17.02

severe symptomatology is related to reduced cortical thickness. Such mechanisms are intricately connected to the pathophysiology of PTSD (Popoli et al, 2011; Wrocklage et al., 2017).

The properties of ketamine may contribute to the restoration of synaptic connectivity and an increase in neuronal plasticity. The proposed mechanisms behind these actions are believed to involve the release of glutamate and the blockade of extra-synaptic NMDA receptors, which lead to changes in intracellular cascade signaling pathways. These neural mechanisms increase BDNF, AMPA signaling and changes in intracellular messenger phosphorylation (Autry et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011; Krystal et al., 2013). Furthermore, ketamine has shown effect in reducing traumatic memories and fear, an effect attributed to its action on synaptic pathways in key brain regions, including the hippocampus, basal ganglia, amygdala, and prefrontal cortex (Pradhan et al., 2017; Shiroma et al., 2020).

Most studies in our meta-analysis evaluated ketamine as a monotherapy for treating PTSD. A RCT by Rothbaum et al. (2006) found that patients who partially responded to an initial treatment with sertraline showed increased benefits from 10 sessions (twice a week) of prolonged exposure (PE) therapy. Another RCT (Schneier et al., 2012) demonstrated that patients treated with both PE and paroxetine for 10 weeks experienced significant improvements in PTSD symptoms compared to those treated with PE and a placebo. Additionally, there is evidence supporting the effectiveness of ketamine-assisted therapy (KAP) in significantly reducing depressive and anxiety symptoms (Dore et al., 2019). Moreover, combination of ketamine and prolonged exposure therapy presents a promising avenue for future research (Shiroma et al., 2020; Harpaz-Rotem, 2022).

Ketamine was found to be well-tolerated in the studies analyzed. The most common side effects reported

were dose-related dissociative and psychomimetic symptoms. These side effects generally peaked 40 minutes post-infusion and subsided to baseline levels within two hours. Notably, no psychotic or manic symptoms were observed during treatment (Feder et al., 2014; Feder et al., 2021; Abdallah et al., 2022). Additionally, exposure to ketamine was not linked to an exacerbation of PTSD-related symptoms. These data are consistent with previous studies that have assessed the safety and tolerability of ketamine (Wan et al., 2015; Vázquez et al., 2021).

The primary strength of our study lies in the increased number of included studies and, consequently, the enlarged intervention sample size in our analysis. Furthermore, we conducted a linear regression analysis of PCL-5 values to evaluate improvements in key symptoms of PTSD. Nonetheless, it is important to acknowledge that our study does face several limitations. Firstly, high heterogeneity was observed in all analyses, indicating potential methodological issues in some studies, and raising concerns about bias influence, as confirmed by the risk of bias assessment. Secondly, most studies involved small sample sizes, with the RCT by Abdallah et al. (2022) comprising 43.5% (158 out of 363 participants) of our sample. Thirdly, our analysis was limited to assessing short-term treatment outcomes due to the nature of the available data. Finally, the observed high heterogeneity may be attributed to variations in study designs (such as the absence of control groups), sample sizes, the number of ketamine infusions, baseline pharmacological treatments, associations with psychotherapy, and differences in endpoints as defined by each study's protocol.

Conclusion

We conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis, employing linear regression of PCL-5 values to assess the effectiveness of ketamine in treating PTSD. Improvements were observed both 24 hours post-infusion and at the endpoint of the pharmacological treatment, which ranged from 1 to 4 weeks. Nevertheless, the improvement was notably more pronounced compared to the control group only in the later analysis. Our study suggests that ketamine could be a promising option for the treatment of PTSD, particularly when paired with various psychotherapy approaches. However, it is essential to highlight that further randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are needed to establish more robust evidence for this intervention.

References

- Abdallah, C. G., Roache, J. D., Gueorguieva, R., Averill, L. A., Young-McCaughan, S., Shiroma, P. R., Purohit, P., Brundige, A., Murff, W., Ahn, K. H., Sherif, M. A., Baltutis, E. J., Ranganathan, M., D'Souza, D., Martini, B., Southwick, S. M., Petrakis, I. L., Burson, R. R., Guthmiller, K. B., López-Roca, A. L., ... Krystal, J. H. (2022). Dose-related effects of ketamine for antidepressantresistant symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in veterans and active duty military: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled multi-center clinical trial. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 47(8), 1574–1581. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-022-01266-9
- Abdallah, C. G., Sanacora, G., Duman, R. S., & Krystal, J. H. (2018). The neurobiology of depression, ketamine and rapid-acting antidepressants: Is it glutamate inhibition or activation?. *Pharmacology & therapeutics*, 190, 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.05.010
- Albott, C. S., Lim, K. O., Forbes, M. K., Erbes, C., Tye, S. J., Grabowski, J. G., Thuras, P., Batres-Y-Carr, T. M., Wels, J., & Shiroma, P. R. (2018). Efficacy, Safety, and Durability of Repeated Ketamine Infusions for Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Treatment-Resistant Depression. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, *79*(3), 17m11634. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.17m11634
- Albuquerque, T. R., Macedo, L. F. R., Delmondes, G. A., Rolim Neto, M. L., Almeida, T. M., Uchida, R. R., Cordeiro, Q., Lisboa, K. W. S. C., & Menezes, I. R. A. (2022). Evidence for the beneficial effect of ketamine in the treatment of patients with post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism: official journal of the International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism, 42(12), 2175–2187. https://doi. org/10.1177/0271678X221116477
- Alexander W. (2012). Pharmacotherapy for Post-traumatic Stress Disorder In Combat Veterans: Focus on Antidepressants and Atypical Antipsychotic Agents. P & T: a peer-reviewed journal for formulary management, 37(1), 32–38.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). https:// doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596
- Andrade C. (2018). Ketamine for Depression, 6: Effects on Suicidal Ideation and Possible Use as Crisis Intervention in Patients at Suicide Risk. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, 79(2), 18f12242. https://doi.org/10.4088/ JCP.18f12242
- Ashbaugh, A. R., Houle-Johnson, S., Herbert, C., El-Hage, W., & Brunet, A. (2016). Psychometric Validation of the English and French Versions of the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). *PloS one*, *11*(10), e0161645. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161645
- Autry, A. E., Adachi, M., Nosyreva, E., Na, E. S., Los, M. F., Cheng, P. F., Kavalali, E. T., & Monteggia, L. M.

(2011). NMDA receptor blockade at rest triggers rapid behavioural antidepressant responses. *Nature*, 475(7354), 91–95. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10130

- Benjet, C., Bromet, E., Karam, E. G., Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Ruscio, A. M., Shahly, V., Stein, D. J., Petukhova, M., Hill, E., Alonso, J., Atwoli, L., Bunting, B., Bruffaerts, R., Caldas-de-Almeida, J. M., de Girolamo, G., Florescu, S., Gureje, O., Huang, Y., Lepine, J. P., ... Koenen, K. C. (2016). The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. *Psychological medicine*, 46(2), 327–343. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291715001981
- Berger, W., Mendlowicz, M. V., Marques-Portella, C., Kinrys, G., Fontenelle, L. F., Marmar, C. R., & Figueira, I. (2009). Pharmacologic alternatives to antidepressants in posttraumatic stress disorder: a systematic review. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry*, 33(2), 169–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pnpbp.2008.12.004
- Dadabayev, A. R., Joshi, S. A., Reda, M. H., Lake, T., Hausman, M. S., Domino, E., & Liberzon, I. (2020). Low Dose Ketamine Infusion for Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Chronic Pain: A Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial. *Chronic stress (Thousand Oaks, Calif.)*, 4, 2470547020981670. https://doi. org/10.1177/2470547020981670
- Daskalakis, N. P., Lehrner, A., & Yehuda, R. (2013). Endocrine aspects of post-traumatic stress disorder and implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Endocrinology* and metabolism clinics of North America, 42(3), 503– 513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2013.05.004
- Diazgranados, N., Ibrahim, L., Brutsche, N. E., Newberg, A., Kronstein, P., Khalife, S., Kammerer, W. A., Quezado, Z., Luckenbaugh, D. A., Salvadore, G., Machado-Vieira, R., Manji, H. K., & Zarate, C. A., Jr (2010). A randomized add-on trial of an N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in treatment-resistant bipolar depression. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 67(8), 793–802. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archgenpsychiatry.2010.90
- Dore, J., Turnipseed, B., Dwyer, S., Turnipseed, A., Andries, J., Ascani, G., Monnette, C., Huidekoper, A., Strauss, N., & Wolfson, P. (2019). Ketamine Assisted Psychotherapy (KAP): Patient Demographics, Clinical Data and Outcomes in Three Large Practices Administering Ketamine with Psychotherapy. *Journal of psychoactive drugs*, *51*(2), 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/0279107 2.2019.1587556
- Feder, A., Costi, S., Rutter, S. B., Collins, A. B., Govindarajulu, U., Jha, M. K., Horn, S. R., Kautz, M., Corniquel, M., Collins, K. A., Bevilacqua, L., Glasgow, A. M., Brallier, J., Pietrzak, R. H., Murrough, J. W., & Charney, D. S. (2021). A Randomized Controlled Trial of Repeated Ketamine Administration for Chronic Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 178(2), 193–202. https://doi.org/10.1176/ appi.ajp.2020.20050596
- Feder, A., Parides, M. K., Murrough, J. W., Perez, A. M., Morgan, J. E., Saxena, S., Kirkwood, K., Aan Het Rot, M., Lapidus, K. A., Wan, L. B., Iosifescu, D., & Charney, D. S. (2014). Efficacy of intravenous ketamine for treatment of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA psychiatry*, *71*(6), 681–688. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.62
- Friedman, M. J., Marmar, C. R., Baker, D. G., Sikes, C. R., & Farfel, G. M. (2007). Randomized, double-blind comparison of sertraline and placebo for posttraumatic stress disorder in a Department of Veterans Affairs setting. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, 68(5), 711–720. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.v68n0508
- Green B. (2014). Prazosin in the treatment of PTSD. Journal

of psychiatric practice, 20(4), 253–259. https://doi. org/10.1097/01.pra.0000452561.98286.1e

- Goldberg, J., Magruder, K. M., Forsberg, C. W., Kazis, L. E., Ustün, T. B., Friedman, M. J., Litz, B. T., Vaccarino, V., Heagerty, P. J., Gleason, T. C., Huang, G. D., & Smith, N. L. (2014). The association of PTSD with physical and mental health functioning and disability (VA Cooperative Study #569: the course and consequences of posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam-era veteran twins). *Quality* of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation, 23(5), 1579–1591. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-013-0585-4
- Harpaz-Rotem I. (2022). Combining neurobiology and new learning: ketamine and prolonged exposure: a potential rapid treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02727998.
- Hartberg, J., Garrett-Walcott, S., & De Gioannis, A. (2018). Impact of oral ketamine augmentation on hospital admissions in treatment-resistant depression and PTSD: a retrospective study. *Psychopharmacology*, 235(2), 393– 398. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-017-4786-3
- Kilpatrick, D. G., Resnick, H. S., Milanak, M. E., Miller, M. W., Keyes, K. M., & Friedman, M. J. (2013). National estimates of exposure to traumatic events and PTSD prevalence using DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria. *Journal of traumatic stress*, 26(5), 537–547. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jts.21848
- Krystal, J. H., Abdallah, C. G., Averill, L. A., Kelmendi, B., Harpaz-Rotem, I., Sanacora, G., Southwick, S. M., & Duman, R. S. (2017). Synaptic Loss and the Pathophysiology of PTSD: Implications for Ketamine as a Prototype Novel Therapeutic. *Current psychiatry reports*, 19(10), 74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-017-0829-z
- Krystal, J. H., Davis, L. L., Neylan, T. C., A Raskind, M., Schnurr, P. P., Stein, M. B., Vessicchio, J., Shiner, B., Gleason, T. C., & Huang, G. D. (2017). It Is Time to Address the Crisis in the Pharmacotherapy of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Consensus Statement of the PTSD Psychopharmacology Working Group. *Biological psychiatry*, 82(7), e51–e59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biopsych.2017.03.007
- Krystal, J. H., Sanacora, G., & Duman, R. S. (2013). Rapidacting glutamatergic antidepressants: the path to ketamine and beyond. *Biological psychiatry*, 73(12), 1133–1141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.03.026
- Kunimatsu, A., Yasaka, K., Akai, H., Kunimatsu, N., & Abe, O. (2020). MRI findings in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI*, 52(2), 380–396. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26929
- Lapidus, K. A., Levitch, C. F., Perez, A. M., Brallier, J. W., Parides, M. K., Soleimani, L., Feder, A., Iosifescu, D. V., Charney, D. S., & Murrough, J. W. (2014). A randomized controlled trial of intranasal ketamine in major depressive disorder. *Biological psychiatry*, *76*(12), 970–976. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.03.026
- Lengvenyte, A., Olié, E., & Courtet, P. (2019). Suicide Has Many Faces, So Does Ketamine: a Narrative Review on Ketamine's Antisuicidal Actions. *Current psychiatry reports*, 21(12), 132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1108-y
- Li, N., Liu, R. J., Dwyer, J. M., Banasr, M., Lee, B., Son, H., Li, X. Y., Aghajanian, G., & Duman, R. S. (2011). Glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists rapidly reverse behavioral and synaptic deficits caused by chronic stress exposure. *Biological psychiatry*, 69(8), 754–761. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.12.015
- Malenka, R. C., & Nicoll, R. A. (1999). Long-term potentiation--a decade of progress?. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 285(5435), 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.285.5435.1870

- McEwen B. S. (1999). Stress and hippocampal plasticity. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 22, 105–122. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.22.1.105
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pmed.1000097
- Murphy, D., Ross, J., Ashwick, R., Armour, C., & Busuttil, W. (2017). Exploring optimum cut-off scores to screen for probable posttraumatic stress disorder within a sample of UK treatment-seeking veterans. *European journal of psychotraumatology*, 8(1), 1398001. https://doi.org/10.108 0/20008198.2017.1398001
- Pereira, V. S., & Hiroaki-Sato, V. A. (2018). A brief history of antidepressant drug development: from tricyclics to beyond ketamine. *Acta neuropsychiatrica*, 30(6), 307–322. https:// doi.org/10.1017/neu.2017.39
- Popoli, M., Yan, Z., McEwen, B. S., & Sanacora, G. (2011). The stressed synapse: the impact of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, 13(1), 22–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3138
- Pradhan, B., Mitrev, L., Moaddell, R., & Wainer, I. W. (2018). d-Serine is a potential biomarker for clinical response in treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder using (R,S)ketamine infusion and TIMBER psychotherapy: A pilot study. *Biochimica et biophysica acta. Proteins and proteomics*, 1866(7), 831–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbapap.2018.03.006
- Pradhan, B., Wainer, I., Moaddel, R., Torjman, M., Goldberg, M., Sabia, M., Parikh, T., & Pumariega, A. J. (2017). Trauma Interventions using Mindfulness Based Extinction and Reconsolidation (TIMBER) psychotherapy prolong the therapeutic effects of single ketamine infusion on post-traumatic stress disorder and comorbid depression: a pilot randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover clinical trial. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Trials: Nervous System Diseases, 2(3), 80. https://doi.org/10.4103/2542-3932.211589
- Radley, J. J., & Morrison, J. H. (2005). Repeated stress and structural plasticity in the brain. *Ageing research reviews*, 4(2), 271–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2005.03.004
- Reul, J. M., & Nutt, D. J. (2008). Glutamate and cortisol--a critical confluence in PTSD? *Journal of psychopharmacology (Oxford, England)*, 22(5), 469–472. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881108094617
- Ross, C., Jain, R., Bonnett, C. J., & Wolfson, P. (2019). Highdose ketamine infusion for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder in combat veterans. *Annals of clinical psychiatry : official journal of the American Academy of Clinical Psychiatrists*, 31(4), 271–279.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Cahill, S. P., Foa, E. B., Davidson, J. R., Compton, J., Connor, K. M., Astin, M. C., & Hahn, C. G. (2006). Augmentation of sertraline with prolonged exposure in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of traumatic stress*, 19(5), 625–638. https://doi. org/10.1002/jts.20170
- Rytwinski, N. K., Scur, M. D., Feeny, N. C., & Youngstrom, E. A. (2013). The co-occurrence of major depressive disorder among individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *Journal of traumatic stress*, 26(3), 299–309. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21814
- Schneier, F. R., Neria, Y., Pavlicova, M., Hembree, E., Suh, E. J., Amsel, L., & Marshall, R. D. (2012). Combined prolonged exposure therapy and paroxetine for PTSD related to the World Trade Center attack: a randomized controlled trial. *The American journal of psychiatry*, 169(1), 80–88. https:// doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.11020321
- Seal, K. H., Maguen, S., Cohen, B., Gima, K. S., Metzler, T. J., Ren, L., Bertenthal, D., & Marmar, C. R. (2010). VA mental health services utilization in Iraq and Afghanistan

veterans in the first year of receiving new mental health diagnoses. *Journal of traumatic stress*, 23(1), 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20493

- Shalev, A., Liberzon, I., & Marmar, C. (2017). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *The New England journal of medicine*, 376(25), 2459–2469. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMra1612499
- Sharpless, B. A., & Barber, J. P. (2011). A Clinician's Guide to PTSD Treatments for Returning Veterans. *Professional psychology, research and practice*, 42(1), 8–15. https://doi. org/10.1037/a0022351
- Shiroma, P. R., Thuras, P., Wels, J., Erbes, C., Kehle-Forbes, S., & Polusny, M. (2020). A Proof-of-Concept Study of Subanesthetic Intravenous Ketamine Combined With Prolonged Exposure Therapy Among Veterans With Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, *81*(6), 20113406. https://doi.org/10.4088/ JCP.20113406
- Sterne, J. A., Hernán, M. A., Reeves, B. C., Savović, J., Berkman, N. D., Viswanathan, M., Henry, D., Altman, D. G., Ansari, M. T., Boutron, I., Carpenter, J. R., Chan, A. W., Churchill, R., Deeks, J. J., Hróbjartsson, A., Kirkham, J., Jüni, P., Loke, Y. K., Pigott, T. D., Ramsay, C. R., ... Higgins, J. P. (2016). ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* (*Clinical research ed.*), 355, i4919. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmj.i4919
- Sterne, J. A. C., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., Cates, C. J., Cheng, H. Y., Corbett, M. S., Eldridge, S. M., Emberson, J. R., Hernán, M. A., Hopewell, S., Hróbjartsson, A., Junqueira, D. R., Jüni, P., Kirkham, J. J., Lasserson, T., Li, T., McAleenan, A., ... Higgins, J. P. T. (2019). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, 366, 14898. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.14898
- Sveen, J., Bondjers, K., & Willebrand, M. (2016). Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5: a pilot study. *European journal of psychotraumatology*, 7, 30165. https://

doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v7.30165

- Vázquez, G. H., Bahji, A., Undurraga, J., Tondo, L., & Baldessarini, R. J. (2021). Efficacy and Tolerability of Combination Treatments for Major Depression: Antidepressants plus Second-Generation Antipsychotics vs. Esketamine vs. Lithium. *Journal of psychopharmacology* (Oxford, England), 35(8), 890–900. https://doi. org/10.1177/02698811211013579
- Wan, L. B., Levitch, C. F., Perez, A. M., Brallier, J. W., Iosifescu, D. V., Chang, L. C., Foulkes, A., Mathew, S. J., Charney, D. S., & Murrough, J. W. (2015). Ketamine safety and tolerability in clinical trials for treatment-resistant depression. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, *76*(3), 247– 252. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.13m08852
- Wrocklage, K. M., Averill, L. A., Cobb Scott, J., Averill, C. L., Schweinsburg, B., Trejo, M., Roy, A., Weisser, V., Kelly, C., Martini, B., Harpaz-Rotem, I., Southwick, S. M., Krystal, J. H., & Abdallah, C. G. (2017). Cortical thickness reduction in combat exposed U.S. veterans with and without PTSD. *European neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 27(5), 515–525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.02.010
- Yehuda, R., Hoge, C. W., McFarlane, A. C., Vermetten, E., Lanius, R. A., Nievergelt, C. M., Hobfoll, S. E., Koenen, K. C., Neylan, T. C., & Hyman, S. E. (2015). Post-traumatic stress disorder. *Nature reviews. Disease primers*, 1, 15057. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.57
- Zarate, C. A., Jr, Singh, J. B., Carlson, P. J., Brutsche, N. E., Ameli, R., Luckenbaugh, D. A., Charney, D. S., & Manji, H. K. (2006). A randomized trial of an N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in treatment-resistant major depression. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 63(8), 856–864. https://doi. org/10.1001/archpsyc.63.8.856
- Zoladz, P. R., & Diamond, D. M. (2013). Current status on behavioral and biological markers of PTSD: a search for clarity in a conflicting literature. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*, 37(5), 860–895. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.03.024