ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Functional screening of the *Arabidopsis* **2C protein phosphatases family identifies PP2C15 as a negative regulator of plant immunity by targeting BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1**

Zhihong Dia[o1](#page-0-0) | **Rongqian Yang[1](#page-0-0)** | **Yizhu Wan[g1](#page-0-0)** | **Junmei Cu[i1](#page-0-0)** | **Junhao L[i1](#page-0-0)** | **Qiqi W[u2](#page-0-1)** | **Yaxin Zhang[2](#page-0-1)** | **Xiaosong Y[u1](#page-0-0)** | **Benqiang Gon[g3](#page-0-2)** | **Yan Huang[1](#page-0-0)** | **Guozhi Yu[1](#page-0-0)** | **Huipeng Ya[o1](#page-0-0)** | **Jinya Gu[o1](#page-0-0)** | **Huaiyu Zhang[1](#page-0-0)** | **Jinbo Shen[4](#page-0-3)** | **Andrea A. Gust[5](#page-0-4)** | **Yi Ca[i1](#page-0-0)**

 $^{\rm 1}$ Department of Biotechnology and Applied Biology, College of Life Sciences, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China

2 Chengdu Lusyno Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Chengdu, China

 3 Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Resources, State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, MOE Key Laboratory of Gene Function and Regulation, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

4 Zhejiang A&F University State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture, School of Forestry and Biotechnology, Zhejiang A&F University, Zhejiang, Hangzhou, China

⁵Department of the Centre for Plant Molecular Biology, Plant Biochemistry, Eberhard Karls University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

Correspondence

Yi Cai, College of Life Sciences, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China. Email: yicai@sicau.edu.cn

Funding information

Chengdu Science and Technology Bureau, Sichuan, China, Grant/Award Number: 2020-RC02-00060-CG; National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/ Award Number: 31971940; The Henry Fok Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 151104; Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province, China, Grant/Award Number: 2022NSFSC1704

Abstract

Genetic engineering using negative regulators of plant immunity has the potential to provide a huge impetus in agricultural biotechnology to achieve a higher degree of disease resistance without reducing yield. Type 2C protein phosphatases (PP2Cs) represent the largest group of protein phosphatases in plants, with a high potential for negative regulatory functions by blocking the transmission of defence signals through dephosphorylation. Here, we established a PP2C functional protoplast screen using *pFRK1*::*luciferase* as a reporter and found that 14 of 56 PP2Cs significantly inhibited the immune response induced by flg22. To verify the reliability of the system, a previously reported MAPK3/4/6-interacting protein phosphatase, PP2C5, was used; it was confirmed to be a negative regulator of PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). We further identified PP2C15 as an interacting partner of BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1), which is the most well-known co-receptor of plasma membrane-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), and a central component of PTI. PP2C15 dephosphorylates BAK1 and negatively regulates BAK1-mediated PTI responses such as MAPK3/4/6 activation, defence gene expression, reactive oxygen species bursts, stomatal immunity, callose deposition, and pathogen resistance. Although

Zhihong Diao and Rongqian Yang contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Authors. *Molecular Plant Pathology* published by British Society for Plant Pathology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

plant growth and 1000-seed weight of *pp2c15* mutants were reduced compared to those of wild-type plants, *pp2c5* mutants did not show any adverse effects. Thus, our findings strengthen the understanding of the mechanism by which PP2C family members negatively regulate plant immunity at multiple levels and indicate a possible approach to enhance plant resistance by eliminating specific PP2Cs without affecting plant growth and yield.

KEYWORDS *Arabidopsis*, BAK1, flg22, PP2C15, PP2C5

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

The recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) initiates a complex signalling cascade, resulting in PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) (Dangl et al., [2013](#page-13-0); Ronald & Beutler, [2010](#page-14-0)). In plants, PRRs comprise receptor-like proteins (RLPs) or receptor-like kinases (RLKs) located at the plasma membrane (PM) (Macho et al., [2014](#page-14-1)). PRRs usually form dynamic complexes with other RLKs to regulate plant immune signalling (Böhm et al., [2014](#page-12-0); Macho & Zipfel, [2014](#page-14-2)). FLAGELLIN-SENSITIVE 2 (FLS2) and Pep receptors (PEPRs) are RLKs in *Arabidopsis* with extracellular leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) that recognize the PAMP flg22, a small peptide conserved at the N-terminus of bacterial flagellin, and endogenous Peps as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP), respectively (Monaghan & Zipfel, [2012](#page-14-3); Zipfel et al., [2004](#page-15-0)).

The FLS2 and PEPRs are widely used models for studying PTI (Chinchilla et al., [2006](#page-13-1); Krol et al., [2010](#page-13-2); Robatzek et al., [2006](#page-14-4); Yamaguchi et al., [2010](#page-15-1)). In the resting state, FLS2 and BIK1 interact with related AVRPPHB SUSCEPTIBLE1-like (PBL) proteins, and BIK1 can also associate with BAK1 (Lu et al., [2011](#page-14-5); Macho & Zipfel, [2014](#page-14-2); Zhang et al., [2010](#page-15-2)). When FLS2 senses flg22, BAK1 is recruited to the FLS2/BIK1 complex. BIK1 is subsequently phosphorylated and released from the complex (Lu et al., [2011](#page-14-5); Zhang et al., [2010](#page-15-2)), leading to multiple phosphorylation events. For example, the MAPK and calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) pathways are triggered, further inducing the expression of defence genes. Increasing evidence suggests that the first substrate downstream of the activated RLK complex at the PM is a receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase (RLCKs), particularly in the PTI signal transduction pathway (Liu et al., [2013](#page-14-6); Lu et al., [2011](#page-14-5); Zhang et al., [2010](#page-15-2)). Furthermore, induced defence responses include the influx of calcium ions and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediated by the NADPH oxidase RBOHD (Kadota et al., [2014](#page-13-3); Laluk et al., [2011](#page-13-4); Li et al., [2014](#page-13-5); Monaghan et al., [2015](#page-14-7); Ranf et al., [2014](#page-14-8); Zhang et al., [2010](#page-15-2)), ultimately resulting in plant resistance to pathogenic microorganisms.

BAK1 regulation before and after PAMP sensing has been extensively studied. In the absence of the corresponding PAMPs, BAK1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE2 (BIR2) and

BAK1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE3 (BIR3) prevent BAK1 from forming a complex with PRR, thus negatively regu-lating plant immunity (Halter et al., [2014](#page-13-6); Imkampe et al., [2017](#page-13-7)). Additionally, the protein phosphatase family 2A (PP2A) holoenzyme can regulate the phosphorylation of BAK1. Overexpression of PP2A-C4 significantly reduces BAK1 kinase activity and weakens PTI signalling (Segonzac et al., [2014](#page-14-9)). After ligand binding, the *Arabidopsis* E3 ubiquitin ligases PUB12 and PUB13 are phosphorylated by BAK1 and target FLS2 for degradation via ubiquitination to attenuate the constitutive activation of the immune system (Lu et al., [2011](#page-14-5); Smith et al., [2014](#page-14-10)).

The protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) family is evolutionarily conserved and includes most plant protein phosphatases (Cao et al., [2016](#page-12-1); Singh et al., [2016](#page-14-11)). PP2C family members are monomeric enzymes that were found to have regulatory functions in abiotic stresses (for example, drought and high salt) in earlier studies, indicating that this protein family plays an important role in plant adaptation to adverse environmental factors (Cui et al., [2023;](#page-13-8) Fu et al., [2023](#page-13-9); Guo, Lu, et al., [2023](#page-13-10); Liu et al., [2023](#page-14-12); Wang, Li, et al., [2023](#page-14-13); Zhang et al., [2023](#page-15-3)). Most class A members of the PP2C family are involved in the abscisic acid (ABA) pathway. By analysing the ability of all RCAR–PP2C combinations to regulate ABA signalling through transient expression in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, it was found that the blocking rate of the ABA response by all class A PP2Cs exceeded 90% (Tischer et al., [2017](#page-14-14)). In the class B family, AP2C1 negatively regulates the wound-induced MAPK signalling pathway (Ayatollahi et al., [2022](#page-12-2)). Members of the class C protein family are involved in cell development (Song et al., [2020](#page-14-15)). In recent years, it has been reported that PP2Cs also participate in the PTI pathways. For example, the *Arabidopsis* PP2C38 negatively regulates PTI by dephosphorylating BIK1 (Couto et al., [2016\)](#page-13-11). AP2C1, AP2C1targeted MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE3 (MAPK3), and MAPK6 are important regulators of plant–nematode interactions (Sidonskaya et al., [2016](#page-14-16)). Furthermore, CERK1 associates with a previously unknown protein phosphatase, CERK1-interacting protein phosphatase 1 (CIPP1), to dynamically control chitin-triggered immunity via a phosphorylation/dephosphorylation cycle at a tyrosine residue (Gong et al., [2019](#page-13-12)). A PP2C in tomato, Pic1, negatively regulates the phosphorylation of Pti1b, an immunoregulatory factor triggered by flagellin (Giska & Martin, [2019](#page-13-13)). Relatively little is known

about the PP2C-mediated negative regulation of PTI. Whether other PP2C family members play a role in plant disease resistance and the regulatory mechanisms involved requires further investigation.

Here, a protoplast transient expression system using the *pFRK1*::*luciferase* (*pFRK1*::*LUC*) reporter was established for functional screening of the *Arabidopsis* PP2C protein family. This system was verified using a previously reported MAPK3/4/6-interacting protein phosphatase, PP2C5, which is involved in the negative regulation of the PTI response. We identified PP2C15 as a negative regulator of pathogen resistance by dephosphorylating BAK1. Based on functional studies of individual genes, our findings suggest that PP2C family members negatively regulate plant immunity by targeting diverse signalling components and play different roles in regulating plant growth and seed development.

2 | **RESULTS**

2.1 | **Establishment and verification of a PP2C functional protoplast screen**

Most studies of *Arabidopsis* PP2C family members have focused on abiotic stress. However, several PP2C family members have been found to play important roles in plant immunity (Couto et al., [2016](#page-13-11); Sidonskaya et al., [2016\)](#page-14-16). We aimed to explore whether other PP2C members play a role in regulating plant immunity, using a protoplast screen with the *pFRK1*::*LUC* system as a reporter. The results showed that among the 56 PP2Cs, 14 strongly inhibited *pFRK1*::*LUC* reporter activity (less than 1/2 of the control activity), and 22 had some, but not significant, inhibitory effects (inhibition between 1/2 and 1; Figure [1a](#page-3-0)). PP2C5 (AT2G40180), which acts as a MAPK3/4/6 phosphatase and affects the ABA response and PTI-mediated effector-triggered immunity (ETI) suppression (Brock et al., [2010](#page-12-3); Wang et al., [2023b](#page-14-17)), showed the strongest inhibitory effect in our screen. Therefore, we studied whether PP2C5 could regulate the PTI response in PP2C5 overexpression plants and mutants, which was verified by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and western blot analysis (Figure [S2](#page-15-4)). In the PP2C5 overexpression lines, flg22 induced a much weaker immune response than that in the wild-type (Col-0) plants, including the accumulation of callose and resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Pst) DC3000, whereas *pp2c5–1* and *pp2c5–2* mutants showed opposite effects (Figure [1b–f](#page-3-0)). After treatment with flg22, the expression levels of the disease resistance-related genes *FRK1*, *WRKY33*, *PR2*, and *PR4* were significantly reduced in PP2C5 overexpression lines, whereas the two *pp2c5* mutants showed significant upregulation of these four genes (Figure [2a–d\)](#page-4-0).

The MAPK cascade is involved in various physiological, developmental, and hormonal responses in plants. Molecular and biochemical studies using MAPK-specific antibodies showed that when plants are subjected to biological or biotic stresses such as pathogen invasion, trauma, drought, high salt, and high or low permeability, the MAPK pathway is activated (Lin et al., [2021](#page-14-18); Romeis, [2001](#page-14-19); Segonzac

& Zipfel, [2011](#page-14-20); Zhang & Klessig, [2001](#page-15-5)). While overexpression of PP2C5 significantly inhibited the phosphorylation and activation of MAPKs, *pp2c5* mutants showed the opposite effects (Figure [2e](#page-4-0)). Thus, PP2C5 acts as a negative regulator of plant PTI response.

2.2 | **Overexpression of PP2C15 negatively regulates typical plant immune responses**

After verifying the protoplast screen, the functions of unreported genes that exhibited strong inhibitory effects during screening were further studied. We identified the top 20 genes co-expressed with PP2C15 using the *Arabidopsis* RNA-Seq database ([http://ipf.suste](http://ipf.sustech.edu.cn/pub/athrdb/) [ch.edu.cn/pub/athrdb/](http://ipf.sustech.edu.cn/pub/athrdb/)) (Zhang et al., [2020](#page-15-6)). Gene network and GO enrichment analyses were performed using the STRING database ([http://string-db.org\)](http://string-db.org) (Szklarczyk et al., [2021](#page-14-21)). We found that the regulation of the defence response to bacteria was significantly enriched (Figure [S3\)](#page-15-4). Therefore, we inferred that PP2C15 may be involved in the negative regulation of *Arabidopsis* immunity against bacterial infections. We constructed PP2C15 overexpression lines (OE PP2C15) and identified two *pp2c15* mutants. Transgenic lines and mutants were verified using RT-qPCR and western blot analyses (Figure [S4](#page-15-4)). After treatment with flg22, activation of the MAPK cascade and expression levels of the disease resistance-related genes *FRK1*, *WRKY33*, *PR2*, and *PR4* were significantly reduced in OE PP2C15, whereas the two *pp2c15* mutants showed significant upregulation of MAPK phosphorylation and expression of the four genes (Figure [3a–e](#page-5-0)). When transiently expressed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves, PP2C15-GFP was localized to the cytoplasm and nucleus, similar to GFP (Figure [S5\)](#page-15-4).

When a PRR senses a PAMP, it activates a downstream immune response, including the accumulation of callose, rapid phosphorylation of RLCK, ROS burst, and the influx of calcium ions across the cell membrane (Tang et al., [2017](#page-14-22)). Additionally, stomatal closure helps prevent pathogens from entering leaf tissue (Melotto et al., [2008\)](#page-14-23). Callose accumulation in OE PP2C15 was significantly lower than that in wild-type plants and *pp2c15* mutants (Figure [4a](#page-6-0)). Simultaneously, when Pst DC3000 was injected into *Arabidopsis* leaves, the overexpression of PP2C15 significantly inhibited ROS production as seen upon 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining (Figure [4b,c](#page-6-0)). Similarly, after 4 μM flg22 treatment, stomatal openings in OE PP2C15 were significantly larger than those in Col-0 and *pp2c15* mutants (Figure [4d,e\)](#page-6-0). Therefore, PP2C15 negatively regulates the typical immune responses.

2.3 | **Overexpression of PP2C15 impacts** *Arabidopsis* **resistance to bacteria**

Next, we investigated whether PP2C15 depletion or overexpression affected the resistance of *Arabidopsis* to bacterial infection or infection-related cell death. The results showed that the resistance of two independent OE PP2C15 lines to Pst DC3000 was lower than

FIGURE 1 Establishment and verification of PP2C functional protoplast screen by PP2C5. (a) Protoplast screening of 56 PP2C genes in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, using *pFRK1*::*LUC* as a reporter. *n*= 3. (b) *Arabidopsis* seedlings treated with 100 nM flg22 for 20 h were stained with 0.01% aniline blue. *n*= 3; Bar = 100 μm. (c) Hydrogen peroxide accumulation was detected using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB). *n*= 3; Bar = 2 mm. (d) Leaf phenotypes of *Arabidopsis* injected with 10⁵ cfu/mL *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Pst) DC3000 containing 300 nM flg22. *n*= 3; Bar = 2 mm. (e) Analysis of DAB staining using the ImageJ software. *n*= 3. (f) Analysis of bacterial numbers on Days 0 and 3 after the injection of pathogenic bacteria. $n=3.$, ** and *** indicates $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively (Student's t test).

that of Col-0 and mutants (Figure [5a,b](#page-7-0)), and the relative cell death in the overexpression lines was higher (Figure [5c,d](#page-7-0)). These results suggest that PP2C15 negatively regulates the resistance of *Arabidopsis* to pathogens and that overexpression of this gene accelerates the mass death of plant cells during pathogen infection.

2.4 | **PP2C15 directly interacts with and dephosphorylates BAK1**

Although overexpression of PP2C15 greatly reduced the activation of MAPKs, it is unclear whether PP2C15 acts on MAPKs or their upstream components. Therefore, we first tested whether PP2C15 interacts with MAPK3/4/6 using yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays. PP2C15 neither self-activated nor interacted with MAPK3/4/6 (Figure [6a,b](#page-7-1)). Previous studies have identified multiple signalling components that act upstream of the MAPK cascade, including FLAGELLIN SENSING2 (FLS2), BAK1, IMPAIRED OOMYCETE SUSCEPTIBILITY1 (IOS1), and NUCLEAR SHUTTLE PROTEIN-INTERACTING KINASE 1 (NIK1) (Feng et al., [2012](#page-13-14); Li et al., [2019](#page-13-15); Liu et al., [2013](#page-14-6); Yeh et al., [2016](#page-15-7); Zhang et al., [2010](#page-15-2); Zou et al., [2018\)](#page-15-8). Therefore, we tested the interactions between PP2C15 and BIK1 and the kinase domains (KDs) of FLS2, BAK1, IOS1, and NIK1. The results showed that PP2C15 specifically interacted with BAK1 KD but not with any other tested proteins (Figure [6b](#page-7-1)). In order to exclude the possibility that the interaction between BD-PP2C15 and AD-BAK1 KD is caused by the self-activation of AD-BAK1 KD, we verified that AD-BAK1 KD did not self-activate in the Y2H assay (Figure [S6\)](#page-15-4). The interaction between PP2C15 and BAK1 was further confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and luciferase complementation assay (LCA) (Figure [6c,d\)](#page-7-1). BAK1/SERK3 (Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor Kinase 3) belongs to the SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR KINASE (SERK) protein family, which has five members and functions redundantly in plant immunity (Albrecht et al., [2008](#page-12-4); Brandt & Hothorn, [2016;](#page-12-5) Karlova et al., [2006;](#page-13-16) Liu et al., [2020](#page-14-24); Peng & Kaloshian, [2014](#page-14-25)). Therefore, we verified the interaction between PP2C15 and SERK1/2/4/5. The results showed that PP2C15 only interacted with BAK1 KD but not with any other tested SERK KDs, which indicated a very specific recognition between PP2C15 and its substrate (Figure [S7\)](#page-15-4).

FRK1/ACTIN2

FIGURE 2 PP2C5 negatively regulates the expression of disease resistance-related genes and the phosphorylation level of MAPK3/4/6. (a–d) Expression levels of disease resistance-related reporter genes *FRK1*, *WRKY33*, *PR2*, and *PR4* in PP2C5 overexpression (OE) and mutant plants. $n=3$. (e) MAPK3/4/6 phosphorylation levels in different plants, detected by western blotting. Rubisco serves as the loading control. *n*= 3; ** and *** indicates *p*< 0.01 and *p*< 0.001, respectively (Student's *t* test).

As a protein phosphatase, PP2C15 may inhibit downstream signalling by dephosphorylating BAK1, thereby achieving negative immunological regulation. To test this hypothesis, we performed an in vitro phosphatase assay using purified recombinant proteins. His-SUMO-tagged PP2C15 significantly reduced the phosphorylation level of the BAK1 cytoplasmic domain (CD), as detected by an anti-pSer/Thr antibody, and caused a gel shift in BAK1 CD due to the removal of the phosphate group (Figure [7](#page-8-0)). We also attempted to analyse the phosphorylation status of BAK1 in vivo; however, we were unable to detect the phosphorylation of BAK1 with or without

PP2C15 transient co-expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves, possibly because of the relatively low activity of BAK1 or the sensitivity of the system (data not shown). Furthermore, we examined the effects of PP2C15 on *pFRK1*::*LUC* expression in Col-0 and *bak1-4* protoplasts with and without flg22 and no significant reduction on LUC activity was detected in the absence of flg22, as the BAK1-mediated PTI signalling cascade was not activated. In addition, flg22 did not effectively induce the expression of *pFRK1*::*LUC* in *bak1-4* and overexpression of PP2C15 did not cause a significant difference in LUC activity compared with the control vector (Figure [S8](#page-15-4)). Thus, PP2C15

FIGURE 3 PP2C15 negatively regulates the expression of disease resistance-related genes and the phosphorylation level of MAPK3/4/6. (a–d) Expression levels of disease resistance-related reporter genes *FRK1*, *WRKY33*, *PR2*, and *PR4* in PP2C15 overexpression (OE) and mutant plants. $n=3$. (e) MAPK3/4/6 phosphorylation levels in different plants, detected by western blotting. Rubisco serves as the loading control. *n*= 3; *, ** and *** indicates *p*< 0.05, *p*< 0.01 and *p*< 0.001, respectively (Student's *t* test).

negatively regulates BAK1-mediated PTI responses via dephosphorylation of BAK1.

2.5 | **PP2C5 and PP2C15 differentially affect growth and seed phenotypes in** *Arabidopsis*

To determine whether overexpression or mutation of PP2C5 or PP2C15 affects plant phenotype, we recorded the size of 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* rosette leaves and the weight of 1000 mature seeds. As shown in Figure [8,](#page-9-0) no difference in leaf disc size between OE PP2C5, *pp2c5*, and Col-0 was observed (Figure [8a\)](#page-9-0);

however, *pp2c15* had smaller leaf discs than that of OE PP2C15 and Col-0 (Figure [8d](#page-9-0)). While no difference was observed in the 1000 seed weight between OE PP2C5, *pp2c5*, and Col-0 (Figure [8b,c\)](#page-9-0), *pp2c15* had a lower 1000-seed weight than those in OE PP2C15 and Col-0 (Figure [8e,f\)](#page-9-0).

3 | **DISCUSSION**

In mammals, immune signalling must be initiated at a specific time and intensity; otherwise, excessive immune activation leads to auto-immune diseases (Coll & O'Neill, [2010](#page-13-17); Kondo et al., [2012](#page-13-18)). Similarly,

FIGURE 4 PP2C15 negatively regulates callose accumulation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst, and stomatal openness. (a) *Arabidopsis* seedlings treated with 100 nM flg22 for 20 h were stained with 0.01% aniline blue. *n*= 3; bar = 100 μm. (b) Hydrogen peroxide accumulation was detected using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB). $n=3$; bar = 2 mm. (c) Analysis of DAB staining using the ImageJ software. $n=3$. (d) The stomatal opening of Col-0, OE PP2C15 (OE-1, OE-2), and *pp2c15* in 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves treated with 4 μM flg22 were compared with water treatment. $n=3$; bar $=20 \mu m$. (e) Statistics of stomatal apertures. The results were mean \pm standard deviation (*n* > 100); *** indicates *p*< 0.001 (Student's *t* test).

plants grow better when there is a fine balance between immunity and growth (Belkhadir et al., [2014](#page-12-6); Lozano-Durán & Zipfel, [2015](#page-14-26)). An effective plant immune response involves the transmission of immune signals via protein kinases and phosphatases, which regulate

the function of target proteins through phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. In addition to protein kinases, an increasing number of studies have revealed that protein phosphatases are also important in the regulation of dynamic signal transduction networks in

FIGURE 5 PP2C15 negatively regulates plant immunity. (a) Leaf phenotypes of *Arabidopsis* injected with 10⁵ cfu/mL *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (Pst) DC3000 containing 300 nM flg22. *n*= 3; bar = 2 mm. (b) Analysis of bacterial numbers on Days 0 and 3 after the injection of pathogenic bacteria. *n*=3. (c) and (d) Evans blue staining and relative cell activity were observed 3 days after the injection of 10⁵ cfu/mL Pst DC3000 containing 300 nM flg22. *n*= 3; bar = 2 mm. *, ** and *** indicates *p*< 0.05, *p*< 0.01 and *p*< 0.001, respectively (Student's *t* test).

FIGURE 6 PP2C15 interacts with BAK1 kinase domain (KD) but not MAPK3/4/6, FLS2 KD, NIK1 KD, IOS1 KD, or BIK1 KD. (a) BD-PP2C15 showed no self-activation in yeast ywo-hybrid assay. *n*= 3. (b) PP2C15 interacts with BAK1 KD, but not with MAPK3/4/6, FLS2 KD, NIK1 KD, IOS1 KD, or BIK1 KD. *n*= 3. (c) Luciferase complementation assay verification of PP2C15 interaction with BAK1 KD. *n*= 3. (d) Co-immunoprecipitation verification of PP2C15 interaction with BAK1 KD. *n*= 3.

FIGURE 7 PP2C15 negatively regulates BAK1 cytoplasmic domain (CD) phosphorylation. In vitro phosphatase assay demonstrated that PP2C15 dephosphorylate BAK1 CD. CBB, Coomassie brilliant blue staining. HIS-SUMO and BAK1^{CD+Km} were used as negative controls. pBAK1CD: phosphorylated BAK1; BAK1 CD+Km: kinase-dead (Km) BAK1 CD. *n*= 3.

plants (Ayatollahi et al., [2022](#page-12-2); Couto et al., [2016](#page-13-11); Macho et al., [2014](#page-14-1); Segonzac et al., [2014](#page-14-9); Singh et al., [2016;](#page-14-11) Tischer et al., [2017](#page-14-14)).

3.1 | **PP2C protein family members negatively regulate PTI at multiple targets**

In plants, protein serine/threonine phosphatases, especially PP2Cs, play key roles in the protein phosphatase family (Umbrasaite et al., [2011](#page-14-27)). Earlier studies on PP2Cs focused on abiotic stress, whereas relatively few studies had been conducted on biotic stress. Only a few PP2C family members had been identified to be involved in the PTI pathway (Couto et al., [2016;](#page-13-11) Giska & Martin, [2019](#page-13-13); Wang, Wei, et al., [2023](#page-14-17)). However, considering their abundance and diverse functions, it is likely that some important functional PP2Cs involved in the regulation of PTI signalling pathways had not yet been identified. Therefore, we systematically screened *Arabidopsis* PP2Cs using rapid protoplast screening technology. This approach has been widely used to study the functional components of plant immunity (Kovtun et al., [2000](#page-13-19); Sheen, [2001](#page-14-28); Yoo et al., [2007](#page-15-9)). In this study, we screened 56 PP2Cs in the protoplast system using *pFRK1*::*LUC* as a reporter and identified 14 *PP2C* genes that significantly inhibited the expression of *pFRK1*::*LUC* (Figure [1a](#page-3-0)). Among these 14 genes, PP2C38 was reported to negatively regulate PTI by targeting BIK1 (Couto et al., [2016\)](#page-13-11). PP2C5, a previously identified MAPK3/4/6 phosphatase (Brock et al., [2010](#page-12-3)), exerted the most significant inhibitory effect. From the functional analysis of overexpression lines and mutants of PP2C5, we confirmed its negative regulatory role in plant immune responses (Figure [1b–f](#page-3-0)).

Through protoplast screening and bioinformatics analysis, we found that a newly identified PP2C, PP2C15, significantly suppressed *pFRK1*::*LUC* reporter expression and was transcriptionally upregulated upon bacterial infection (Figure [1a;](#page-3-0) Figure [S3](#page-15-4)). Additionally, PP2C15 co-expressed genes were highly coordinated with plant defence responses (Figure [S3\)](#page-15-4). Further functional analysis of PP2C15 confirmed its negative regulatory role in PTI pathways

 DIAO ET AL. **19 of 16**
 19 of 16

such as MAPK activation, defence gene expression, ROS burst, stomatal immunity, callose deposition, and pathogen resistance. To reveal the possible mechanism by which PP2C15 negatively regulates plant immunity, a Y2H screen was conducted between PP2C15 and known PTI signalling components, such as MAPK3/4/6, FLS2, NIK1, IOS1, BIK1, SERK1/2/4/5, and BAK1 (Figures [6](#page-7-1); Figure [S7](#page-15-4)). Among all combinations, only the kinase domain of BAK1 interacted with PP2C15, which was further confirmed by Co-IP and LCA (Figure [6\)](#page-7-1). An in vitro phosphatase assay showed that PP2C15 dephosphorylated BAK1-KD cells (Figure [7](#page-8-0)).

Based on earlier reports and our findings, we summarize a simplified working model by which PP2Cs regulate *Arabidopsis* immunity (Figure [9\)](#page-10-0). PAMP signals generated by bacteria, fungi, and nematodes, such as flg22, chitin, and ascaroside, are recognized by plasma membrane-localized receptors, followed by the activation of co-receptors and downstream signalling components (Bethke et al., [2012](#page-12-7); Cheval et al., [2020](#page-12-8); Denoux et al., [2008](#page-13-20); Huang et al., [2020](#page-13-21); Jelenska et al., [2017](#page-13-22); Khokhani et al., [2021;](#page-13-23) Manosalva et al., [2015](#page-14-29); Winkler et al., [2017](#page-14-30); Yu et al., [2021](#page-15-10)). PP2C family members negatively regulate these pathways by targeting different components (Couto et al., [2016;](#page-13-11) Sidonskaya et al., [2016\)](#page-14-16). AP2C1 participates in the negative regulation of nematode- and wound-induced defence responses, although it is not clear whether AP2C1 functions downstream of ascaroside signalling (Ayatollahi et al., [2022](#page-12-2); Sidonskaya et al., [2016\)](#page-14-16). Currently, it is unknown whether PP2C5 plays a role in chitin-or ascorbic glycoside-induced PTI; however, our results indicate that it functions in flg22-induced immunity and bacterial resistance by targeting MAPKs, which are core components of the PTI pathway. Contrastingly, PP2C38 and PP2C15 negatively regulate immunity by interfering with receptor complexes in the plasma membrane.

3.2 | **PP2C protein family members may positively regulate PTI**

Cross-talk exists in the regulatory networks of different signals, and proteins may play opposing roles in different biological processes. During screening, we noticed that three PP2C family members, SSPP, AT2G25070, and AT2G34740, upregulated the expression of the reporter by at least two-fold (Figure [1a](#page-3-0)), indicating that these genes may positively regulate PT1. SSPP was first found to negatively regulate senescence via direct interaction and dephosphorylation of an LRR receptor-like kinase, SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE (AtSARK) (Xiao et al., [2015](#page-14-31)). However, studies found that overexpression of SSPP significantly improves salt tolerance and suppresses normal plant growth (You et al., [2022](#page-15-11)). Considering the convergence of biotic and abiotic stresses and the similar dwarfing phenotypes of plants with constitutive immunity, SSPP may act as a positive regulatory factor in immunity. However, this conclusion needs to be verified through functional studies (Ayatollahi et al., [2022](#page-12-2); Cheng et al., [2011](#page-12-9); Li et al., [1999](#page-13-24), [2001;](#page-13-25) Shirano et al., [2002](#page-14-32)).

FIGURE 8 PP2C5 and PP2C15 differentially affect growth and seed phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*. (a) Phenotypes of PP2C5 overexpression (OE) and mutant plants. Bar = 1 cm. (b) and (c) Phenotypes of PP2C5 overexpressing and mutant plant seeds. *n*= 3; bar = 1 mm. (d) Phenotypes of PP2C15 overexpression and mutant plants. Bar = 1 cm. (e) and (f) Phenotypes of PP2C15 overexpression and mutant plant seeds. *n*= 3; bar = 1 mm. *, **, and *** indicates *p*< 0.05, *p*< 0.01, and *p*< 0.001, respectively (Student's *t* test).

Previous studies have reported that transgenic tobacco plants overexpressing *OsBIPP2C1* and *OsBIPP2C2* show enhanced disease resistance against tobacco mosaic virus and *Phytophthora parasitica* (Hu et al., [2006](#page-13-26), [2009](#page-13-27)). The overexpression of *ZmPP2C55* enhances drought stress tolerance in transgenic maize plants (Zhang et al., [2022](#page-15-12)). Therefore, PP2C protein family members may positively regulate plant responses, such as PTI, possibly by targeting negative regulators or signalling components of antagonistic pathways.

3.3 | **Negative regulators of plant immunity are potential targets for agricultural biotechnology**

Globally, millions of tonnes of food crops are lost every year due to various diseases (Savary et al., [2019\)](#page-14-33), and recent studies on the negative regulation of plant immunity suggest a possible way to achieve a higher degree of disease resistance. The RNA interference of potato StLecRK-IV.1, which affects the stability of a positive immune regulator, reduces disease symptoms caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (Guo et al., [2022](#page-13-28)). OsTGAL1 interacts with the promoter of *OsSGT1*, which encodes a key enzyme in salicylic acid metabolism. *OsSGT1* knockout lines exhibit enhanced resistance to *Xanothomonas oryzae* (Li et al., [2022](#page-13-29)). A natural allele of a C2H2-type transcription factor, *Bsr-d1*, causes the binding of a repressive MYB transcription factor to its promoter, consequently

reducing *BSR-D1* expression and H₂O₂ degradation, and conferring non-race-specific resistance to blast in rice without reducing yield (Li et al., [2017](#page-13-30)).

PP2Cs represent more than 60% of the total phosphatase repertoire, with 80, 90, 91, and 88 genes identified in *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, and hot pepper genomes, respectively (Singh et al., [2016\)](#page-14-11). Sequence and gene structure analyses of the PP2C family members in different plant species support their conservation in higher plants (Guo, Shi, et al., [2023](#page-13-31); Wu et al., [2023](#page-14-34)). Similar to that observed in earlier studies (Ayatollahi et al., [2022](#page-12-2); Couto et al., [2016](#page-13-11); Sidonskaya et al., [2016\)](#page-14-16), although mutant plants showed a more prominent response to immune signals, no autoimmunity was detected in *pp2c5* and *pp2c15* mutant plants (Figures [1–5\)](#page-3-0). Although the growth and seed weight of *pp2c15* mutant plants were reduced, *pp2c5* mutants did not show any adverse effects compared with those of wildtype plants. The CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing technology (Gupta et al., [2019\)](#page-13-32) was widely used in plant genome editing. This technology may be a valuable tool for plant breeders to knock out endogenous negative immune regulators such as PP2Cs to develop new cultivars with improved plant resistance without a yield penalty (Li et al., [2017](#page-13-30)).

In conclusion, our study revealed that PP2C15 negatively regulates PTI signalling by inhibiting BAK1 phosphorylation, thus affecting downstream signals, such as ROS production, callose deposition, stomatal closure, Pst DC3000 infection, and expression of defencerelated genes, ultimately leading to enhanced disease susceptibility

FIGURE 9 Schematic working model of PP2Cs on the negative regulation of the immune response.

in PP2C15 overexpression plants. In addition to PP2C15, many other PP2C genes, including PP2C5, inhibited *pFRK1*::*LUC* expression in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts. PP2C5 may act via the regulation of the MAPK cascade, although for the other PP2Cs it is unknown whether they interact with the signalling components of PTI, such as receptors or co-receptors. With technological developments, we hope that the molecular mechanisms underlying the function of PP2Cs will be fully elucidated through future studies, thereby contributing to the breeding of crops with improved disease resistance.

4 | **E XPERIMENTAL MATERIAL S AND METHODS**

4.1 | **Plant materials and growth conditions**

Arabidopsis plants used for ROS measurements, pathogen infection, stomatal closure experiments, and phenotype studies were planted in nutrient soil and grown at 24°C with 16 h of light for 4 weeks. For the callose and MAPK experiments, the seeds were sterilized with 75% ethanol and planted on $1/2 \times$ Murashige and Skoog (MS)

plates. After 2 days of vernalization at 4°C, the seeds were cultured vertically for 10 days at 24°C and 16 h of light. The *Arabidopsis* plants used included Columbia wild-type *Arabidopsis* (Col-0), AT1G68410 overexpression lines (OE PP2C15), *pp2c15–1* mutant (*WiscDsLoxHs124_01C*) from NASC, *pp2c15–2* mutant produced by CRISPR/Cas9 (Figure [S1](#page-15-13)), AT2G40180 overexpression lines (OE PP2C5), *pp2c5–1* mutants (Brock et al., [2010](#page-12-3)), and *pp2c5–2* mutants (SALK_015191). *N. benthamiana* plants used for the LCA and Co-IP experiments were planted in nutrient soil and grown at 24°C with 16 h of light for 5 weeks.

4.2 | **Vector construction**

Primers for constructing the 56 PP2C transient expression vectors, plant overexpression vectors, Y2H vectors, Co-IP vectors, LCA vectors, and *pRSETA-SUMO-PP2C15* vectors were designed according to the instructions of the one-step cloning kit (Vazyme). Table [S1](#page-15-4) summarizes the primer and vector information. *His-MBP-BAK1CD* and *His-MBP-BAK1CD+Km* (negative control) were prepared as previously described (Gong et al., [2019\)](#page-13-12).

4.3 | *Arabidopsis* **protoplasts transient expression assay**

Transient expression in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts was performed as described previously (He et al., [2006](#page-13-33); Yoo et al., [2007](#page-15-9)). *HBT-PP2Cs-HAHA*, *pFRK1*::*LUC*, and *p35S*::*GUS* were transformed together into *Arabidopsis* protoplasts under the flg22 treatment and cultured for 12 h before detecting *pFRK1*::*LUC* and *p35S*::*GUS* activity. *HBT-HAHA* was used as the control.

4.4 | **MAPK phosphorylation assay**

The seeds of Col-0, OE PP2C5 (OE-1, OE-2), OE PP2C15 (OE-1, OE-2), *pp2c5–1*, *pp2c5–2*, *pp2c15–1*, and *pp2c15–2* were grown vertically on 1/2× MS solid medium for 11 days after sterilization and vernalization. The cells were then transferred to a $1/2\times$ MS broth containing 100 nM flg22 for 15 min. Seedlings were ground with protein extraction buffer (125 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.005% bromo-formaldehyde) and incubated at 95°C for 10 min. Gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting were performed according to the standard protocols. MAPK phosphorylation was detected using a phospho-p44/42 MAPK antibody, following the manufacturer's instructions.

4.5 | **RT-qPCR**

The *Arabidopsis* seedlings were cultured under the same conditions as those used for the MAPK assay. Seedlings grown vertically for 14 days were treated with 1 μM flg22 or water for 1 h. RNA was extracted according to the instructions of the M5 Quickspin universal plant RNA rapid extraction kit (Mei5bio). Reverse transcription was performed using the HiScript III RT Supermix for qPCR (+gDNA wiper) kit (Vazyme) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Experiments were performed using a Bio-Rad Q5 qPCR. The primers used are listed in Table [S1](#page-15-4).

4.6 | **Subcellular localization**

To visualize PP2C15 subcellular localization, the PP2C15-GFP fusion protein was expressed in the leaves of 6-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants. Protein localization was visualized using a confocal laser scanning microscope (FV1200) as described by Majhi et al. ([2019\)](#page-14-35).

4.7 | **Callose deposition assay**

Arabidopsis seedlings were cultured under the same conditions as those used for MAPK assays. The seedlings were treated with

100 nM flg22 for 20 h and rinsed with 95% ethanol overnight. On the second day, the seedlings were washed three times with 150 mM K_2 HPO₄ and stained with a 50mM K_2 HPO₄ solution containing 0.01% aniline blue in the dark for 2 h. The fluorescence intensity was observed using a fluorescence microscope (BX51; Olympus).

4.8 | **Pathogen infection assay**

Pst DC3000 cells were cultured in *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* broth (YEB) medium containing rifampicin and kanamycin at 28°C for 16 h. After centrifugation at 3000 *g* for 10 min, the cells were washed twice with sterile water. The cells were resuspended in 10 mM \textsf{MgCl}_{2} . Pst DC3000 (10⁵ cfu/mL) was mixed with 300 nM flg22 and injected into 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves using a 1-mL needleless syringe. Leaves were collected on Days 0 and 3, and six rosette leaves per sample were punched (6 mm in diameter). After grinding in 200μL 10mM MgCl₂, 10μL spots were placed on Super Optimal broth (SOC) plates containing rifampicin and kanamycin. Colony numbers were counted after 2 days.

4.9 | **Stomatal opening assay**

Four-week-old *Arabidopsis* leaves were incubated in stomatal buffer (20 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 2.5 mM MES-KOH, pH 6.15) for 2–3h in light (450 mol m^{-2} s⁻¹). Water or flg22 with a final concentration of 4 μM was added to the samples. After 2 h of continuous illumination, the lower leaf epidermis was observed under a microscope.

4.10 | **DAB and Evans blue staining**

Pst DC3000 (10⁵ cfu/mL) was injected into 4-week-old A. thaliana leaves. To detect ROS, the leaves were collected after 24 h and immersed in DAB solution (Vanacker et al., [2000](#page-14-36)). To detect cell death, leaves were collected after 72 h and immersed in Evans blue solution (Baker & Mock, [1994](#page-12-10)). The images were recorded using a Leica stereomicroscope. Relative cell activity was analysed according to a previously described method (Baker & Mock, [1994](#page-12-10)).

4.11 | **Y2H assay**

Paired plasmids were transformed into the receptive states of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* AH109 using the polyethylene glycol/ lithium acetate method (Gietz & Schiestl, [2007](#page-13-34)). After being coated on SD − LW solid medium and cultured at 28°C for 3 days, single colonies were spotted on SD − LWH or SD − LWHA plates. X-Gal staining was performed after 3 days of incubation at 28°C (Möckli & Auerbach, [2004](#page-14-37)).

4.12 | **Protein–protein interaction studies**

Firefly LCA was performed as described (Zhou et al., [2018\)](#page-15-14). For Co-IP experiments, *PP2C15-HAHA*, *BAK1-GFP*, *GFP*, or the silencing suppressor *P19* (Voinnet et al., [2003](#page-14-38)) were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 that was cultured in YEB liquid medium containing rifampicin and kanamycin for 18 h at 28°C. The strains were resuspended in 10 mM MgCl₂ and adjusted to OD₆₀₀=1. Combinations of *PP2C15-HAHA + P19*, *PP2C15-HAHA + PP2C15- GFP + P19*, and *PP2C15-HAHA + BAK1-GFP + P19* were mixed in equal proportions and added to 150 mM acetosyringone. Five-week-old leaves of *N. benthamiana* were injected with the above solutions using a needleless 1 mL syringe and cultured for 3 days. Thereafter, the leaves were ground to a powder with liquid nitrogen in the presence of a protein extraction buffer (Couto et al., [2016\)](#page-13-11). Anti-GFP magnetic beads (YaMei Biology) were added to the supernatant, and Co-IP experiments were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the Co-IP results were analysed by western blotting using anti-GFP (GenScript) and anti-HA (GenScript) antibodies.

4.13 | **Recombinant protein expression and purification**

Escherichia coli BL21 cells (TransGen Biotech) containing prokaryotic expression constructs were grown at 37°C overnight in lysogeny broth (LB) medium and were transferred into 200 mL fresh medium at a ratio of 1:100. The bacteria were grown for 3–4 h at 37°C before the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG, and then cultured at 18°C for another 12 h for protein expression. 6 × His-tagged recombinant proteins were purified using glutathione beads 4FF (Smart-Lifesciences) or Ni-NTA Sefinose (TM) resin (BBI) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

4.14 | **In vitro phosphatase assay**

One microgram of purified recombinant His-SUMO-PP2C15 or His-SUMO and 1μ g of purified recombinant His-MBP-BAK1^{CD} or His-MBP-BAK1^{CD+Km} (Gong et al., [2019\)](#page-13-12) were mixed and incubated in a 25μ L reaction containing 250 mM imidazole (pH 7.2), 25 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol for 4 h. Loading buffer was added to terminate the reaction. The phosphorylation status of His-MBP-BAK1^{CD} and His-MBP-BAK1^{CD+Km} was analysed using an anti-pSer/Thr antibody (ECM Biosciences).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Jianfeng Li for providing His-MBP-BAK1^{CD} and His-MBP-BAK1 $^{CD+Km}$. This work was supported by the Chengdu Science and Technology Bureau, Sichuan, China (2020-RC02-00060-CG), the Henry Fok Foundation (151104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31971940), and

the Youth Science Foundation Project of Sichuan Natural Science Foundation (2022NSFSC1704).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

ORCID

Zhihong Dia[o](https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6172-4269) <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6172-4269> *Yan Huang* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5226-2390>

REFERENCES

- Albrecht, C., Russinova, E., Kemmerling, B., Kwaaitaal, M. & De Vries, S.C. (2008) *Arabidopsis* SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR KINASE proteins serve brassinosteroid-dependent and -independent signaling pathways. *Plant Physiology*, 148, 611–619.
- Ayatollahi, Z., Kazanaviciute, V., Shubchynskyy, V., Kvederaviciute, K., Schwanninger, M., Rozhon, W. et al. (2022) Dual control of MAPK activities by AP2C1 and MKP1 MAPK phosphatases regulates defence responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 73, 2369–2384.
- Baker, C.J. & Mock, N.M. (1994) An improved method for monitoring cell death in cell suspension and leaf disc assays using Evans blue. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 39, 7–12.
- Belkhadir, Y., Yang, L., Hetzel, J., Dangl, J.L. & Chory, J. (2014) The growth–defense pivot: crisis management in plants mediated by LRR-RK surface receptors. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 39, 447–456.
- Bethke, G., Pecher, P., Eschen-Lippold, L., Tsuda, K., Katagiri, F., Glazebrook, J. et al. (2012) Activation of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* mitogen-activated protein kinase MPK11 by the flagellin-derived elicitor peptide, flg22. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions*, 25, 471–480.
- Böhm, H., Albert, I., Fan, L., Reinhard, A. & Nürnberger, T. (2014) Immune receptor complexes at the plant cell surface. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 20, 47–54.
- Brandt, B. & Hothorn, M. (2016) SERK co-receptor kinases. *Current Biology*, 26, R225–R226.
- Brock, A.K., Willmann, R., Kolb, D., Grefen, L., Lajunen, H.M., Bethke, G. et al. (2010) The *Arabidopsis* mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase PP2C5 affects seed germination, stomatal aperture, and abscisic acid-inducible gene expression. *Plant Physiology*, 153, 1098–1111.
- Cao, J., Jiang, M., Li, P. & Chu, Z. (2016) Genome-wide identification and evolutionary analyses of the PP2C gene family with their expression profiling in response to multiple stresses in *Brachypodium distachyon*. *BMC Genomics*, 17, 175.
- Cheng, Y.T., Li, Y., Huang, S., Huang, Y., Dong, X., Zhang, Y. et al. (2011) Stability of plant immune-receptor resistance proteins is controlled by SKP1-CULLIN1-F-box (SCF)-mediated protein degradation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108, 14694–14699.
- Cheval, C., Samwald, S., Johnston, M.G., De Keijzer, J., Breakspear, A., Liu, X. et al. (2020) Chitin perception in plasmodesmata characterizes submembrane immune-signaling specificity in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117, 9621–9629.
- Chinchilla, D., Bauer, Z., Regenass, M., Boller, T. & Felix, G. (2006) The *Arabidopsis* receptor kinase FLS2 binds flg22 and determines the specificity of flagellin perception. *The Plant Cell*, 18, 465–476.
- Coll, R.C. & O'Neill, L.A. (2010) New insights into the regulation of signalling by Toll-like receptors and Nod-like receptors. *Journal of Innate Immunity*, 2, 406–421.
- Couto, D., Niebergall, R., Liang, X., Bücherl, C.A., Sklenar, J., Macho, A.P. et al. (2016) The *Arabidopsis* protein phosphatase PP2C38 negatively regulates the central immune kinase BIK1. *PLoS Pathogens*, 12, e1005811.
- Cui, M.H., Li, Y.P., Li, J.H., Yin, F.X., Chen, X.Y., Qin, L.M. et al. (2023) Ca²⁺-dependent TaCCD1 cooperates with TaSAUR215 to enhance plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase activity and alkali stress tolerance by inhibiting PP2C-mediated dephosphorylation of TaHA2 in wheat. *Molecular Plant*, 16, 571–587.
- Dangl, J.L., Horvath, D.M. & Staskawicz, B.J. (2013) Pivoting the plant immune system from dissection to deployment. *Science*, 341, 746–751.
- Denoux, C., Galletti, R., Mammarella, N., Gopalan, S., Werck, D., De Lorenzo, G. et al. (2008) Activation of defense response pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Molecular Plant*, 1, 423–445.
- Feng, F., Yang, F., Rong, W., Wu, X., Zhang, J., Chen, S. et al. (2012) A *Xanthomonas* uridine 5′-monophosphate transferase inhibits plant immune kinases. *Nature*, 485, 114–118.
- Fu, H.Q., Yu, X., Huang, Y.Y., Wang, Y.H., Yang, Y.Q., Chen, S. et al. (2023) SALT OVERLY SENSITIVE 1 is inhibited by clade D protein phosphatase 2C D6 and D7 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Cell*, 35, 279–297.
- Gietz, R.D. & Schiestl, R.H. (2007) Large-scale high-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nature Protocols*, 2, 38–41.
- Giska, F. & Martin, G.B. (2019) PP2C phosphatase Pic1 negatively regulates the phosphorylation status of Pti1b kinase, a regulator of flagellin-triggered immunity in tomato. *The Biochemical Journal*, 476, 1621–1635.
- Gong, B.Q., Guo, J.H., Zhang, N.N., Yao, X.R., Wang, H.B. & Li, J.F. (2019) Cross-microbial protection via priming a conserved immune coreceptor through juxtamembrane phosphorylation in plant. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 26, 810–822.
- Guo, L., Lu, S., Liu, T., Nai, G., Ren, J., Gou, H. et al. (2023) Genomewide identification and abiotic stress response analysis of PP2C gene family in woodland and pineapple strawberries. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24, 4049.
- Guo, L., Qi, Y., Mu, Y., Zhou, J., Lu, W. & Tian, Z. (2022) Potato StLecRK-IV.1 negatively regulates late blight resistance by affecting the stability of a positive regulator StTET8. *Horticulture Research*, 11, uhac010.
- Guo, Y.Z., Shi, Y.B., Wang, Y.L., Liu, F., Li, Z., Qi, J. et al. (2023) The clade F PP2C phosphatase ZmPP84 negatively regulates drought tolerance by repressing stomatal closure in maize. *New Phytologist*, 237, 1728–1744.
- Gupta, D., Bhattacharjee, O., Mandal, D., Sen, M.K., Dey, D., Dasgupta, A. et al. (2019) CRISPR-Cas9 system: a new-fangled dawn in gene editing. *Life Sciences*, 232, 8.
- Halter, T., Imkampe, J., Mazzotta, S., Wierzba, M., Postel, S., Bücherl, C. et al. (2014) The leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase BIR2 is a negative regulator of BAK1 in plant immunity. *Current Biology*, 24, 134–143.
- He, P., Shan, L., Lin, N.C., Martin, G.B., Kemmerling, B., Nürnberger, T. et al. (2006) Specific bacterial suppressors of MAMP signaling upstream of MAPKKK in *Arabidopsis* innate immunity. *Cell*, 125, 563–575.
- Hu, X., Song, F. & Zheng, Z. (2006) Molecular characterization and expression analysis of a rice protein phosphatase 2C gene, *OsBIPP2C1*, and overexpression in transgenic tobacco conferred enhanced

disease resistance and abiotic tolerance. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 127, 225–236.

- Hu, X., Zhang, H., Li, G., Yang, Y., Zheng, Z. & Song, F. (2009) Ectopic expression of a rice protein phosphatase 2C gene *OsBIPP2C2* in tobacco improves disease resistance. *Plant Cell Reports*, 28, 985–995.
- Huang, C., Yan, Y., Zhao, H., Ye, Y. & Cao, Y. (2020) *Arabidopsis* CPK5 phosphorylates the chitin receptor LYK5 to regulate plant innate immunity. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 702.
- Imkampe, J., Halter, T., Huang, S., Schulze, S., Mazzotta, S., Schmidt, N. et al. (2017) The *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase BIR3 negatively regulates bak1 receptor complex formation and stabilizes BAK1. *The Plant Cell*, 29, 2285–2303.
- Jelenska, J., Davern, S.M., Standaert, R.F., Mirzadeh, S. & Greenberg, J.T. (2017) Flagellin peptide flg22 gains access to long-distance trafficking in *Arabidopsis* via its receptor, FLS2. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68, 1769–1783.
- Kadota, Y., Sklenar, J., Derbyshire, P., Stransfeld, L., Asai, S., Ntoukakis, V. et al. (2014) Direct regulation of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-associated kinase BIK1 during plant immunity. *Molecular Cell*, 54, 43–55.
- Karlova, R., Boeren, S., Russinova, E., Aker, J., Vervoort, J. & De Vries, S. (2006) The *Arabidopsis* SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE1 protein complex includes BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE1. *The Plant Cell*, 18, 626–638.
- Khokhani, D., Carrera, C.C., Vayla, S., Irving, T.B., Stonoha-Arther, C., Keller, N.P. et al. (2021) Deciphering the chitin code in plant symbiosis, defense, and microbial networks. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 75, 583–607.
- Kondo, T., Kawai, T. & Akira, S. (2012) Dissecting negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. *Trends in Immunology*, 33, 449–458.
- Kovtun, Y., Chiu, W.L., Tena, G. & Sheen, J. (2000) Functional analysis of oxidative stress-activated mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97, 2940–2945.
- Krol, E., Mentzel, T., Chinchilla, D., Boller, T., Felix, G., Kemmerling, B. et al. (2010) Perception of the *Arabidopsis* danger signal peptide 1 involves the pattern recognition receptor AtPEPR1 and its close homologue AtPEPR2. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285, 13471–13479.
- Laluk, K., Luo, H., Chai, M., Dhawan, R., Lai, Z. & Mengiste, T. (2011) Biochemical and genetic requirements for function of the immune response regulator BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1 in plant growth, ethylene signaling, and PAMP-triggered immunity in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 23, 2831–4289.
- Li, B., Ferreira, M.A., Huang, M., Camargos, L.F., Yu, X., Teixeira, R.M. et al. (2019) The receptor-like kinase NIK1 targets FLS2/BAK1 immune complex and inversely modulates antiviral and antibacterial immunity. *Nature Communications*, 10, 4996.
- Li, D., Zhou, J., Zheng, C., Zheng, E., Liang, W., Tan, X. et al. (2022) OsTGAL1 suppresses the resistance of rice to bacterial blight disease by regulating the expression of salicylic acid glucosyltransferase OsSGT1. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 45, 1584–1602.
- Li, L., Li, M., Yu, L., Zhou, Z., Liang, X., Liu, Z. et al. (2014) The FLS2 associated kinase BIK1 directly phosphorylates the NADPH oxidase RbohD to control plant immunity. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 15, 329–338.
- Li, W., Zhu, Z., Chern, M., Yin, J., Yang, C., Ran, L. et al. (2017) A natural allele of a transcription factor in rice confers broad-spectrum blast resistance. *Cell*, 170, 114–126.
- Li, X., Clarke, J.D., Zhang, Y. & Dong, X. (2001) Activation of an EDS1 mediated R-gene pathway in the *snc1* mutant leads to constitutive, NPR1-independent pathogen resistance. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions*, 14, 1131–1139.
- Li, X., Zhang, Y., Clarke, J.D., Li, Y. & Dong, X. (1999) Identification and cloning of a negative regulator of systemic acquired resistance, SNI1, through a screen for suppressors of *npr1-1*. *Cell*, 98, 329–339.

 DIAO ET AL. **15 of 16**
 15 of 16
 15 of 16

- Lin, L., Wu, J., Jiang, M. & Wang, Y. (2021) Plant mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in environmental stresses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22, 1543.
- Liu, J., Li, J. & Shan, L. (2020) SERKs. *Current Biology*, 30, R293–R294.
- Liu, T.F., Dong, L.P., Wang, E.H., Liu, S.X., Cheng, Y.X., Zhao, J. et al. (2023) StHAB1, a negative regulatory factor in abscisic acid signaling, plays crucial roles in potato drought tolerance and shoot branching. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 74, 6708–6721.
- Liu, Z., Wu, Y., Yang, F., Zhang, Y., Chen, S., Xie, Q. et al. (2013) BIK1 interacts with PEPRs to mediate ethylene-induced immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110, 6205–6210.
- Lozano-Durán, R. & Zipfel, C. (2015) Trade-off between growth and immunity: role of brassinosteroids. *Trends in Plant Science*, 20, 12–19.
- Lu, D., Lin, W., Gao, X., Wu, S., Cheng, C., Avila, J. et al. (2011) Direct ubiquitination of pattern recognition receptor FLS2 attenuates plant innate immunity. *Science*, 332, 1439–1442.
- Macho, A.P., Schwessinger, B., Ntoukakis, V., Brutus, A., Segonzac, C., Roy, S. et al. (2014) A bacterial tyrosine phosphatase inhibits plant pattern recognition receptor activation. *Science*, 343, 1509–1512.
- Macho, A.P. & Zipfel, C. (2014) Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. *Molecular Cell*, 54, 263–272.
- Majhi, B.B., Sreeramulu, S. & Sessa, G. (2019) BRASSINOSTEROID-SIGNALING KINASE5 associates with immune receptors and is required for immune responses. *Plant Physiology*, 180, 1166–1184.
- Manosalva, P., Manohar, M., Von Reuss, S.H., Chen, S., Koch, A., Kaplan, F. et al. (2015) Conserved nematode signalling molecules elicit plant defenses and pathogen resistance. *Nature Communications*, 6, 7795.
- Melotto, M., Underwood, W. & He, S.Y. (2008) Role of stomata in plant innate immunity and foliar bacterial diseases. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 46, 101–122.
- Möckli, N. & Auerbach, D. (2004) Quantitative β-galactosidase assay suitable for high-throughput applications in the yeast two-hybrid system. *BioTechniques*, 36, 872–876.
- Monaghan, J., Matschi, S., Romeis, T. & Zipfel, C. (2015) The calciumdependent protein kinase CPK28 negatively regulates the BIK1 mediated PAMP-induced calcium burst. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 10, 1018497.
- Monaghan, J. & Zipfel, C. (2012) Plant pattern recognition receptor complexes at the plasma membrane. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 15, 349–357.
- Peng, H.C. & Kaloshian, I. (2014) The tomato leucine-rich repeat receptorlike kinases SlSERK3A and SlSERK3B have overlapping functions in bacterial and nematode innate immunity. *PLoS One*, 9, e93302.
- Ranf, S., Eschen-Lippold, L., Fröhlich, K., Westphal, L., Scheel, D. & Lee, J. (2014) Microbe-associated molecular pattern-induced calcium signaling requires the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases, PBL1 and BIK1. *BMC Plant Biology*, 14, 374.
- Robatzek, S., Chinchilla, D. & Boller, T. (2006) Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition receptor FLS2 in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes & Development*, 20, 537–542.
- Romeis, T. (2001) Protein kinases in the plant defence response. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 4, 407–414.
- Ronald, P.C. & Beutler, B. (2010) Plant and animal sensors of conserved microbial signatures. *Science*, 330, 1061–1064.
- Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S.J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N. & Nelson, A. (2019) The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3, 430–439.
- Segonzac, C., Macho, A.P., Sanmartín, M., Ntoukakis, V., Sánchez-Serrano, J.J. & Zipfel, C. (2014) Negative control of BAK1 by protein phosphatase 2A during plant innate immunity. *The EMBO Journal*, 33, 2069–2079.
- Segonzac, C. & Zipfel, C. (2011) Activation of plant pattern-recognition receptors by bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 14, 54–61.
- Sheen, J. (2001) Signal transduction in maize and *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts. *Plant Physiology*, 127, 1466–1475.
- Shirano, Y., Kachroo, P., Shah, J. & Klessig, D.F. (2002) A gain-of-function mutation in an *Arabidopsis* toll Interleukin1 receptor-nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat type R gene triggers defense responses and results in enhanced disease resistance. *The Plant Cell*, 14, 3149–3162.
- Sidonskaya, E., Schweighofer, A., Shubchynskyy, V., Kammerhofer, N., Hofmann, J., Wieczorek, K. et al. (2016) Plant resistance against the parasitic nematode *Heterodera schachtii* is mediated by MPK3 and MPK6 kinases, which are controlled by the MAPK phosphatase AP2C1 in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67, 107–118.
- Singh, A., Pandey, A., Srivastava, A.K., Tran, L.S. & Pandey, G.K. (2016) Plant protein phosphatases 2C: from genomic diversity to functional multiplicity and importance in stress management. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 36, 1023–1035.
- Smith, J.M., Salamango, D.J., Leslie, M.E., Collins, C.A. & Heese, A. (2014) Sensitivity to Flg22 is modulated by ligand-induced degradation and de novo synthesis of the endogenous flagellin-receptor FLAGELLIN-SENSING2. *Plant Physiology*, 164, 440–454.
- Song,S.K.,Yun,Y.B.&Lee,M.M.(2020)POLTERGEISTandPOLTERGEIST-LIKE1 are essential for the maintenance of post-embryonic shoot and root apical meristems as revealed by a partial loss-of-function mutant allele of *pll1* in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes & Genomics*, 42, 107–116.
- Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Nastou, K.C., Lyon, D., Kirsch, R., Pyysalo, S. et al. (2021) The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein– protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49, D605–D612.
- Tang, D., Wang, G. & Zhou, J.M. (2017) Receptor kinases in plant–pathogen interactions: more than pattern recognition. *The Plant Cell*, 29, 618–637.
- Tischer, S.V., Wunschel, C., Papacek, M., Kleigrewe, K., Hofmann, T., Christmann, A. et al. (2017) Combinatorial interaction network of abscisic acid receptors and coreceptors form *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114, 10280–10285.
- Umbrasaite, J., Schweighofer, A. & Meskiene, I. (2011) Substrate analysis of *Arabidopsis* PP2C-type protein phosphatases. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 779, 149–161.
- Vanacker, H., Carver, T.L. & Foyer, C.H. (2000) Early H₂O₂ accumulation in mesophyll cells leads to induction of glutathione during the hyper-sensitive response in the barley-powdery mildew interaction. *Plant Physiology*, 123, 1289–1300.
- Voinnet, O., Rivas, S., Mestre, P. & Baulcombe, D. (2003) An enhanced transient expression system in plants based on suppression of gene silencing by the p19 protein of tomato bushy stunt virus. *The Plant Journal*, 33, 949–956.
- Wang, D., Wei, L., Liu, T., Ma, J., Huang, K., Guo, H. et al. (2023) Suppression of ETI by PTI priming to balance plant growth and defense through an MPK3/MPK6-WRKYs-PP2Cs module. *Molecular Plant*, 16, 903–918.
- Wang, J., Li, C., Li, L., Gao, L., Hu, G., Zhang, Y. et al. (2023) DIW1 encoding a clade I PP2C phosphatase negatively regulates drought tolerance by de-phosphorylating TaSnRK1.1 in wheat. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 65, 1918–1936.
- Winkler, A.J., Dominguez-Nuñez, J.A., Aranaz, I., Poza-Carrión, C., Ramonell, K., Somerville, S. et al. (2017) Short-chain chitin oligomers: promoters of plant growth. *Marine Drugs*, 15, 40.
- Wu, Z.W., Luo, L., Wan, Y.S. & Liu, F.X. (2023) Genome-wide characterization of the PP2C gene family in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and the identification of candidate genes involved in salinity-stress response. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1093913.
- Xiao, D.,Cui, Y., Xu, F., Xu, X., Gao, G.,Wang, Y. et al. (2015) SENESCENCE-SUPPRESSED PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE directly interacts with the cytoplasmic domain of SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED
- Yamaguchi, Y., Huffaker, A., Bryan, A.C., Tax, F.E. & Ryan, C.A. (2010) PEPR2 is a second receptor for the Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 22, 508–522.
- Yeh, Y.H., Panzeri, D., Kadota, Y., Huang, Y.C., Huang, P.Y., Tao, C.N. et al. (2016) The *Arabidopsis* Malectin-like/LRR-RLK IOS1 is critical for BAK1-dependent and BAK1-independent pattern-triggered immunity. *The Plant Cell*, 28, 1701–1721.
- Yoo, S.D., Cho, Y.H. & Sheen, J. (2007) *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. *Nature Protocols*, 2, 1565–1572.
- You, X., Nasrullah, Wang, D., Mei, Y.Y., Bi, J.J., Liu, S. et al. (2022) N7 -SSPP fusion gene improves salt stress tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* and soybean through ROS scavenging. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 45, 2794–2809.
- Yu, Y., Zhang, Y.K., Manohar, M., Artyukhin, A.B., Kumari, A., Tenjo-Castano, F.J. et al. (2021) Nematode signaling molecules are extensively metabolized by animals, plants, and microorganisms. *ACS Chemical Biology*, 16, 1050–1058.
- Zhang, H., Zhang, F., Yu, Y., Feng, L., Jia, J., Liu, B. et al. (2020) A comprehensive online database for exploring ∼20,000 public *Arabidopsis* RNA-Seq libraries. *Molecular Plant*, 13, 1231–1233.
- Zhang, J., Li, W., Xiang, T., Liu, Z., Laluk, K., Ding, X. et al. (2010) Receptorlike cytoplasmic kinases integrate signaling from multiple plant immune receptors and are targeted by a *Pseudomonas syringae* effector. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 7, 290–301.
- Zhang, P., Yuan, Z., Wei, L., Qiu, X., Wang, G., Liu, Z. et al. (2022) Overexpression of ZmPP2C55 positively enhances tolerance to drought stress in transgenic maize plants. *Plant Science*, 314, 23.
- Zhang, S. & Klessig, D.F. (2001) MAPK cascades in plant defense signaling. *Trends in Plant Science*, 6, 520–527.
- Zhang, Y.Y., Zhao, Y.J., Hou, X.Y., Ni, C.Y., Han, L., Du, P.P. et al. (2023) Wheat ABA receptor TaPYL5 constitutes a signaling module with its downstream partners TaPP2C53/TaSnRK2.1/TaABI1 to modulate plant drought response. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24, 7969.
- Zhou, Z., Bi, G. & Zhou, J.M. (2018) Luciferase complementation assay for protein–protein interactions in plants. *Current Protocols in Plant Biology*, 3, 42–50.
- Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E.J., Jones, J.D., Felix, G. et al. (2004) Bacterial disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* through flagellin perception. *Nature*, 428, 764–767.
- Zou, Y., Wang, S., Zhou, Y., Bai, J., Huang, G., Liu, X. et al. (2018) Transcriptional regulation of the immune receptor FLS2 controls the ontogeny of plant innate immunity. *The Plant Cell*, 30, 2779–2794.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Diao, Z., Yang, R., Wang, Y., Cui, J., Li, J., Wu, Q. et al. (2024) Functional screening of the *Arabidopsis* 2C protein phosphatases family identifies PP2C15 as a negative regulator of plant immunity by targeting BRI1 associated receptor kinase 1. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 25, e13447. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13447>