

Search for cost-effective strategies to collect long-term trauma outcomes continues

Juan Pablo Herrera-Escobar 

To cite: Herrera-Escobar JP. Search for cost-effective strategies to collect long-term trauma outcomes continues. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2024;**9**:e001442. doi:10.1136/tsaco-2024-001442

In their 2016 report on trauma care, the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine recommended the development of mechanisms for incorporating long-term patient-centered functional outcomes into existing trauma registries.¹ Nearly a decade later, this goal remains elusive. Although there has been considerable progress in analyzing *which* long-term outcomes to measure,² the main barrier remains *how* trauma registries should routinely collect such outcomes in a cost-effective manner.

The article by Baird and colleagues³ examined the feasibility of using automated phone calls to collect postdischarge patient-reported outcomes in a subset of patients with trauma. Despite a well-developed phone call script and a concise questionnaire, half of the respondents hung up, and half of those who initiated the survey did not complete it. Additionally, only 56% of those who completed the survey agreed to participate in future calls. The challenge lay not in getting patients on the phone but in getting them to complete the survey. Indeed, the reported “no answer” rate in this study aligns closely with previous studies on this patient population.⁴ This points out the automated calling method as the likely culprit. As the authors stated well, individuals often avoid engagement with unsolicited “robocalls” and concluded that automated, scripted phone calls were ineffective for collecting long-term trauma outcomes.

Despite the negative results, this article offers valuable insights for future research in the field. It may indicate that focusing on data collection mechanisms that provide value to the patient may yield better results. Electronic patient-reported outcome platforms or mobile health (mHealth) applications offer an interesting approach.⁵ These platforms enable patients to conveniently report their outcomes using digital interfaces accessible via smartphones, tablets, or computers. Additionally, they can enhance patient engagement and retention through features such as progress tracking, goal setting, and personalized feedback. However, such platforms have not yet been tested in trauma, a population with unique challenges in long-term outcomes data collection.

To date, the best method available is likely a combination of approaches, including telephone surveys, email, and text messages. However, we should continue our quest to find a better, more cost-effective approach. Failing to collect, integrate, and share trauma care data across the care continuum, including long-term outcomes, will continue to hinder efforts to improve performance at the frontline of care.

Contributors Author wrote the full commentary.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iD

Juan Pablo Herrera-Escobar <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3496-1470>

REFERENCES

- 1 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. A national trauma care system: integrating military and civilian trauma systems to achieve zero preventable deaths after injury. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2016. Available: <https://doi.org/10.17226/23511>
- 2 Herrera-Escobar JP, Price MA, Reidy E, Bixby PJ, Hau K, Bulger EM, Haider AH, National Trauma Research Action Plan (NTRAP) Long-term Patient-Reported Outcomes Panel. Core outcome measures for research in traumatic injury survivors: the National trauma research action plan modified Delphi consensus study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2022;**92**:916–23.
- 3 Baird E, Black J, Winkler J, Stephens S, Griffin R, Jansen J. Feasibility of using an automated call service to collect quality of life and functional outcome data in trauma patients. [Article in Press]. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2024.
- 4 Haider AH, Herrera-Escobar JP, Al Rafai SS, Harlow AF, Apoj M, Nehra D, Kasotakis G, Brasel K, Kaafarani HMA, Velmahos G, *et al*. Factors associated with long-term outcomes after injury: results of the functional outcomes and recovery after trauma emergencies (FORTE) multicenter cohort study. *Ann Surg* 2020;**271**:1165–73.
- 5 Sim I. Mobile devices and health. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**381**:956–68.



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/tsaco-2023-001317>

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Center for Surgery and Public Health, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Juan Pablo Herrera-Escobar; jherreraescobar@bwh.harvard.edu