ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prognostic role of gamma‑glutamyl transferase in metastatic melanoma patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors

Johanna Winter¹ • Max M. Lenders¹ • Maximilian Gassenmaier¹ • Andrea Forschner¹ • Ulrike Leiter¹ • Benjamin Weide¹ • Mette-Triin Purde² • Lukas Flatz² • Antonio Cozzio² • Martin Röcken¹ • Claus Garbe¹ • **Thomas K. Eigentler1 · Nikolaus B. Wagner1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4708-2886)**

Received: 19 June 2020 / Accepted: 15 October 2020 / Published online: 28 October 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Background Hepatic immune-related adverse events (irAE) including elevated liver function tests (transaminases) occur in 1.4–22.3% of melanoma patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICPI) and constitute a potentially serious toxicity that is challenging to treat. In contrast to the liver transaminases alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), only little is known about the frequency and impact of gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) elevations.

Methods GGT determined prior to and during therapy of metastatic melanoma patients treated with ICPI were retrospectively assessed in two independent cohorts (PD-1: *n*=218, Ipi+Nivo: *n*=148). Overall survival (OS) and best objective response were analyzed according to baseline and immune-related GGT (irGGT) elevations during treatment.

Results In multivariate analysis, OS was reduced in patients with elevated baseline GGT (PD-1 group: hazard ratio [HR] 1.76, *p*=.0073; Ipi+Nivo group: HR 1.77, *p*=.032). Immune-related GGT elevation was recorded in 17% (PD-1 group) and 38.5% (Ipi+ Nivo group). Of these patients, the majority (81 and 68%, respectively) had normal ALT and AST and showed no clinical signs of hepatotoxicity. Patients who experienced irGGT elevation had superior response (PD-1 group: odds ratio [OR] 3.57, *p*=.00072; Ipi+Nivo group: OR 1.74, *p*=.12) and OS (PD-1 group: HR 0.37, *p*=.0016; Ipi+Nivo group: HR 0.33, *p*=.00050).

Conclusions The frequency of hepatic irAE is currently underestimated. The addition of the sensitive enzyme GGT to the laboratory panel before and during therapy with ICPI allows to detect two to three times more patients developing hepatic or hepatobiliary toxicity than known so far. Immune-related GGT elevations correlate with response and favorable survival. Precis for use in the Table of Contents

The frequency of hepatotoxicity under immune checkpoint blockade is currently underestimated. We suggest the addition of gamma-glutamyl transferase to the laboratory panel in checkpoint inhibitor patients for the detection of hepatobiliary toxicity.

Keywords Gamma-glutamyl transferase · Melanoma · Immune checkpoint inhibitors · PD-1 · Immune-related adverse events · Hepatotoxicity

 \boxtimes Nikolaus B. Wagner nikolausbenjamin.wagner@kssg.ch

Introduction

Immune-related adverse events (irAE) are a common phenomenon in cancer patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICPI). In metastatic melanoma, clinically serious grade irAE (grade 3 or higher according to CTCAE criteria) occur in 10–16% of patients receiving PD-1 inhibitors [[1,](#page-8-0) [2](#page-8-1)] and in 55–56% receiving combined immunotherapy with the CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab and the PD-1 antibody nivolumab [[3](#page-8-2), [4](#page-8-3)]. Although irAE constitute a challenge for the clinician, their occurrence is now considered being related with a favorable outcome,

 1 Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

² Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen, Rorschacherstrasse 95, CH-9007 St. Gallen, Switzerland

even in cases when the treatment with ICPI must be quit and corticosteroids are necessary [[5](#page-8-4)[–7\]](#page-8-5). The prognostic impact of irAE differs between the distinct sites affected by this excessive immune response, with the skin being most clearly associated with favorable prognosis [[8](#page-8-6)–[11](#page-8-7)]. Hepatic irAE are less frequent compared to cutaneous irAE or diarrhea and colitis, yet they can run complicated and should be handled carefully to preserve the long-

term function of this crucial metabolic organ $[12-14]$ $[12-14]$. In contrast to cutaneous or endocrine irAE, the prognostic impact of hepatic irAE remains elusive. Liver metastasis is considered an unfavorable prog-

nostic factor in melanoma patients treated with ICPI which is associated with lower CD8 + T-cell infiltration at the invasive tumor margin [[15\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, the intracellular enzyme lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a widely used serum biomarker in metastatic melanoma, increases exceptionally strong in patients with advanced hepatic metastases. Besides its well-known function as a parameter for cholestasis, the biliary enzyme gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) plays a fundamental role in the metabolism of glutathione $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. In contrast to this function as an anti-oxidant enzyme, it has been shown that, under certain conditions, GGT is also able to exert pro-oxidant effects, promoting tumor formation and progression [[17](#page-9-2)]. GGT is mainly expressed on the luminal surface of secretory epithelial cells, especially in epithelial cells of the hepato-biliary tract, the pancreas, and the kidneys [\[16\]](#page-9-1). In various malignancies, such as colorectal carcinoma [[18](#page-9-3), [19](#page-9-4)], urothelial carcinoma [[20\]](#page-9-5), endometrial carcinoma [[21](#page-9-6)], renal cell carcinoma [\[22\]](#page-9-7), and others, elevated levels of GGT correlated with impaired survival, higher disease stages, or presence of hepatic metastases. However, in metastatic uveal melanoma, the prognostic role of liver function tests (LFTs) including GGT in detecting metastasis was contradictory [\[23–](#page-9-8)[26](#page-9-9)]. In metastatic cutaneous melanoma and in other cancer patients receiving ICPI, it is still unknown, whether LFTs are prognostically relevant. We conducted the present study to evaluate the association between baseline serum levels of GGT and survival of advanced melanoma patients receiving ICPI. The second aim of this study was to characterize GGT serum levels over time during treatment with ICPI. Based on the experience that GGT elevations occur frequently in patients receiving ICPI, our hypothesis before conducting this study was that GGT elevations constitute a hitherto underreported and undescribed hepatic or hepatobiliary irAE. We aimed at evaluating its association with response and survival in melanoma patients receiving either PD-1 antibodies or the combined immunotherapy with CTLA-4 and PD-1 antibodies.

Methods

Patients

From October 2013 to May 2019, 366 patients with unresectable melanoma were treated with pembrolizumab or nivolumab (referred to as PD-1 group, $n = 218$ patients) or ipilimumab plus nivolumab (also denoted as $Ipi + Nivo$ group, $n = 148$ patients) and were enrolled retrospectively in this study. The two cohorts were analyzed separately to account for the major diferences of PD-1 monotherapy and the combined PD-1 plus CTLA-4 blockade in respect of efficacy and toxicity profles [[3\]](#page-8-2). The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 and succeeding amendments. Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the local ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of University Tübingen (project No. 436/2017BO2).

Laboratory and clinical parameters

Clinical characteristics, including age and sex, American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) clinical staging, and other factors, were extracted from clinical records. Laboratory tests, including gamma-glutamyl-transferase (GGT; upper limit of normal [ULN], male 60 U/l, female 40 U/l), alanine aminotransferase (ALT; normal range, male 10–50 U/l, female 10–34 U/l), aspartate aminotransferase (AST; normal range, male 10–50 U/l, female 10–35 U/l), total bilirubin (upper limit of normal 1.1 mg/dl), alkaline phosphatase (ALP; normal range 40–130 U/l), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; upper limit of normal 250 U/l), were evaluated. Grading of immune-related adverse events (irAE) was done in accordance with the common toxicity criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) of the National Cancer Institute, version 5.0. For increased GGT, grade 1 refers to > ULN—2.5 \times ULN, grade 2 refers to > 2.5—5.0 \times ULN, grade 3 refers to > 5.0 —20.0 \times ULN, and grade 4 refers $\text{to} > 20.0 \times \text{ULN}$. Treatment-related hepatitis was diagnosed based on ALT, AST, and bilirubin, irrespective of presence of hepatitis-related clinical symptoms or other related fndings. Increases of liver enzymes during therapy due to hepatic metastasis, comedication, or infections were not considered as being immune related.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors

The patients in the PD-1 group were treated with either nivolumab (until 2018: 3 mg/kg intravenously every 2 weeks, since 2018: 480 mg fat dose every 4 weeks or 240 mg fat dose every 2 weeks) or pembrolizumab (until 2018: 2 mg/kg intravenously every 3 weeks, since 2018: 200 mg fat dose every 3 weeks), whereas the patients in the Ipi+Nivo group were treated with combined therapy with nivolumab 1 mg/kg followed by ipilimumab 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks for up to four cycles, continued with nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks or 240 mg every 2 weeks (since 2018).

Statistical analysis

Overall survival (OS) was calculated from start of therapy with ICPI to death (event) or last follow-up (censored). Univariate analysis of OS was performed utilizing Kaplan–Meier estimator and two-sided log-rank test. Threemonth landmark analysis as well as time-dependent Cox regression were applied to account for guarantee-time bias [\[27\]](#page-9-10). Multivariate analysis of OS was performed utilizing Cox regression. Besides baseline GGT (\leq ULN vs. > ULN) or immune-related GGT (irGGT) elevation (absence vs. occurrence), the known prognostic factors liver metastasis (absence vs. presence), LDH (normal vs.>ULN), number of metastatic sites (1 vs. 2–4 vs. 5 or more), and number of prior therapies (0 vs. 1–2 vs. 3 or more) were included in the multivariate models. Associations between categorial variables were compared with Fisher's exact test. Diferences between continuous numeric variables were calculated with Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Mann–Whitney *U* test). All analyzes were carried out with R, version 3.6.1 and the 'survival' package. Analysis of statistical power was performed using the powerCT.default0 function of the powerSurvEpi package for R. All reported tests were two sided, and *P* values<0.05 were considered signifcant.

Results

218 of 220 patients receiving anti-PD-1 monotherapy with either nivolumab or pembrolizumab (henceforth referred to as PD-1 group) and 148 of 150 patients receiving combination therapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab (henceforth referred to as $Ipi + Nivo group$ had at least one available baseline GGT measurement and could therefore be included in the analyzes. Detailed clinicopathologic information is summarized in Table [1](#page-2-0).

Baseline GGT

Baseline GGT was elevated in 69 patients (31.7%) in the PD-1 group and in 64 patients (43.2%) in the Ipi + Nivo group. Presence of liver metastasis was overrepresented in patients with elevated baseline GGT in the PD-1 group (43.5% vs. 24.8%, $p = 0.0072$), but not in the Ipi + Nivo group (50.0% vs. 38.1%, $p = 0.18$). Univariate analysis of overall survival (OS) showed a signifcant association

Table 1 Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristic	PD-1 group No. of Patients (%)	Ipi+Nivo group No. of Patients $(\%)$	
Total no	218	148	
Age: Median [range], y	64.5 [27-94]	62 [28-87]	
Sex			
Male	131 (60)	86 (58)	
Female	87 (40)	62(42)	
Mutational status			
BRAF mutant	73 (33)	44 (30)	
NRAS mutant	44 (20)	28 (19)	
BRAF/NRAS wt	101(46)	76(51)	
LDH			
Normal	119 (55)	82 (55)	
Elevated	99 (45)	66 (45)	
AJCC v7 ^a			
ШВ	2(1)	1(1)	
ШC	8(4)	7(5)	
M1a	8(4)	4(3)	
M ₁ b	31(14)	10(7)	
M1c	169 (78)	126(85)	
Liver metastasis			
No	151 (69)	84 (57)	
Yes	67(31)	64 (43)	
Brain metastasis			
No	151 (69)	108 (73)	
Yes	67 (31)	40 (27)	
No. of metastatic sites			
1	29(13)	29 (20)	
\overline{c}	71 (33)	25 (17)	
3	48 (22)	42 (28)	
$\overline{4}$	30(14)	20 (14)	
5 or more	40(18)	32 (22)	
Prior lines of therapy			
$\boldsymbol{0}$	69 (32)	59 (40)	
1	60(28)	48 (32)	
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	47 (22)	19(13)	
3	36 (17)	14(9)	
$\overline{4}$	6(3)	8(5)	
Prior therapy regimens			
Anti-CTLA-4	96 (44)	17(11)	
Anti-PD-1	5(2)	48 (32)	
$BRAFi + MEKi$	46(21)	26 (18)	
Chemotherapy	40(18)	13(9)	
Radiotherapy	92 (42)	48 (32)	
Other	5(2)	0(0)	

AJCC American Joint Committee on Cancer, version 7; *Ipi* ipilimumab; *LDH* lactate dehydrogenase; *Nivo* nivolumab; *no.* number of patients; *y* years

a Staging included LDH according to AJCC 2009 classifcation

of impaired OS with elevated baseline GGT in the PD-1 group (hazard ratio [HR] 1.57, 95% confdence interval [CI] 1.08–2.29, $p = 0.019$) as well as in the Ipi + Nivo group (HR 1.93, 95% CI 1.17–3.17, *p*=0.010) (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). In multivariate Cox regression analysis including baseline GGT, presence or absence of liver metastasis, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), number of metastatic sites, and number of prior therapies, OS was signifcantly reduced for patients with elevated baseline GGT (Table [2](#page-3-1)).

Immune‑related elevations of GGT

Immune-related GGT (irGGT) increase during ICPI therapy was found in 37 of 218 patients (17.0%) in the PD-1 group and in 57 of 148 patients (38.5%) in the Ipi+Nivo group, respectively. The median time to onset of irGGT elevation was 6.0 weeks (IQR 3.0–9.0, range 0.86–42.9) in the PD-1 group and 4.4 weeks (IQR 3.0–6.6, range $1.0-13.7$) in the Ipi + Nivo group. In univariate analysis of OS, patients who experienced an irGGT elevation showed signifcantly favorable survival in the PD-1 group (HR 0.41, 95% CI 0.23–0.75, $p = 0.0036$ as well as in the Ipi + Nivo group (HR 0.33, 95% CI 0.18–0.60, p=0.00025) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a, b). Importantly, irGGT elevation retained signifcance in a time-dependent Cox model (PD-1 group: HR 0.46, 95% CI 0.25–0.85, *p*=0.012; Ipi+ Nivo group: HR 0.38, 95% CI 0.21–0.70, $p = 0.0019$) as well as in a 3-month landmark analysis (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0), d) which both account for guarantee-time bias. Power analysis based on the irGGT data obtained in the

Fig. 1 Overall survival expressed by Kaplan–Meier estimator according to baseline levels of gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) in **a** patients receiving PD-1 antibodies, and in **b** patients receiving com-

Table 2 Multivariate Cox regression analysis of baseline GGT regarding overall survival

Three cases of the PD-1 group and four cases of the $Ipi + Niv$ group were excluded due to missing information on one or more of the analyzed factors

CI confdence interval; *GGT* gamma-glutamyl transferase; *HR* hazard ratio; *LDH* lactate dehydrogenase; *n* number of patients; *P p* value

bined therapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab. *GGT* gamma-glutamyl transferase; *HR* hazard ratio; *P p* value

 $\mathbf B$ Ipi+Nivo group

D Ipi+Nivo group (3-month landmark analysis)

Fig. 2 Overall survival expressed by Kaplan–Meier estimator according to occurrence of immune-related elevations of gamma-glutamyl transferase (irGGT elevation) in **a** patients receiving PD-1 antibodies, and in **b** patients receiving combined therapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab. Three-month landmark analysis of overall survival **c**

Ipi+Nivo group, revealed a statistical power of 0.93. In multivariate Cox regression analysis of OS, irGGT elevation was shown to be signifcantly associated with favorable survival in both cohorts (PD-1 group: HR 0.37, 95% CI 0.20–0.69, *p*=0.0016; Ipi+Nivo group: HR 0.33, 95% CI 0.18–0.62, $p=0.00050$) (Table [3](#page-5-0)). Presence of liver metastasis and prior therapies were also signifcantly related with OS in both cohorts, whereas lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and number of metastatic sites were only signifcant prognostic factors

in the PD-1 group and \bf{d} in the Ipi+Nivo group excluding patients who died or were lost to follow-up within the frst three months after start of therapy. *HR* hazard ratio; *irGGT elevation* immune-related gamma-glutamyl transferase elevation; *P p* value

in one of the two cohorts each. In the PD-1 group, 73% (27 of 37 cases) of irGGT elevations were CTCAE grade 1 whereas only five cases (13.5%) each were CTCAE grade 2 or 3. In the Ipi+Nivo group, CTCAE grade 2 or 3 irGGT elevations were more common (15 of 57 cases=26%, and 11 of 57 cases $=19\%$, respectively) than in the PD-1 group, and CTCAE grade 1 was noticed in 31 cases (54%). However, these differences between the PD-1 group and the $Ipi + Nivo$ group were not statistically signifcant (Fisher's exact test:

	PD-1 group $(n=217)$		$Ipi + Nivo group$ $(n=146)$	
	HR (95% CI)	P	HR (95% CI)	P
irGGT elevation				
N ₀	1		1	
Yes	$0.37(0.20-0.69)$ 0.0016		$0.33(0.18 - 0.62)$ 0.00050	
Liver metastasis				
N ₀	1		1	
Yes	$1.74(1.17-2.57)$ 0.0057		2.25 (1.28–3.94) 0.0047	
LDH				
Normal	1		1	
	Elevated $2.40(1.64-3.50) < 0.0001$		$1.48(0.87-2.51)$ 0.15	
Metastatic sites				
1	1		1	
$2 - 4$	$1.08(0.57-2.07)$ 0.81		$1.74(0.76-4.01)$ 0.19	
	5 or more 1.80 (0.85–3.83) 0.12		2.93 (1.19–7.18) 0.019	
Prior therapies				
θ	1		1	
$1 - 2$	$1.40(0.87-2.26)$ 0.17		$1.83(1.03-3.25)$ 0.040	
3 or more	$1.78(1.00-3.15)$ 0.049		$2.13(0.95-4.77)$ 0.066	

Table 3 Multivariate Cox regression analysis of irGGT elevation regarding overall survival

Three cases of the PD-1 group and four cases of the $Ipi + Niv$ group were excluded due to missing information on one or more of the analyzed factors

CI confdence interval; *GGT* gamma-glutamyl transferase; *HR* hazard ratio; *irGGT* elevation, immune-related gamma-glutamyl transferase elevation; *LDH* lactate dehydrogenase; *n* number of patients; *P p* value

 $p=0.19$). No grade 4 ir GGT elevation was registered in each of the two cohorts. Moreover, there were no statistically signifcant diferences in OS according to the distinct CTCAE grades (data not shown).

Analysis of best objective response and presence or absence of irGGT elevation revealed an odds ratio (OR) of 3.57 (1.61–8.24), $p = 0.00072$ to develop an objective response (CR/PR) compared to no response (SD/PD) for the patients with irGGT elevations in the PD-1 group (Fig. [3](#page-8-10)a). For disease control (CR/PR/SD vs. PD) the results were similar (OR: 2.88 [1.26–7.09], *p*=0.0067) (data not shown). In the $Ipi + Nivo$ group, likelihood for response (OR: 1.74 [0.84–3.65], *p*=0.12) and disease control (OR: 2.11 [0.98–4.68], $p = 0.052$) was also higher in the patients developing irGGT elevations, but not reaching statistical significance (Fig. [3b](#page-8-10)).

Analyzing factors associated with occurrence of irGGT elevation, median baseline eosinophil count was identifed as being signifcantly higher in the patients developing irGGT elevation in the PD-1 group (absolute eosinophils: 180/µl vs. 120/µl, *p*=0.0067; relative eosinophils: 2.4% vs. 1.7%, $p = 0.0060$; data not shown). In the Ipi + Nivo group,

elevations of eosinophils after the frst cycle of therapy correlated signifcantly with occurrence of irGGT elevation (absolute eosinophils: $+100/\mu l$ vs. $+50/\mu l$, $p=0.037$; relative eosinophils: $+1.7\%$ vs. $+0.6\%$, $p=0.023$; data not shown). Occurrence of irGGT elevation correlated significantly with the occurrence of autoimmune hepatitis (defned as elevated transaminases with or without clinical symptoms of hepatitis) in both cohorts (PD-1 group: OR 5.73, 95% CI 1.59–20.70, *p*=0.0033; Ipi+Nivo group: OR 7.74, 95% CI 2.53–28.72, *p*<0.0001) (Fig. [3](#page-8-10)c, d). Interestingly, 30 of 37 (81%) patients in the PD-1 group and 38 of 56 $(68%)$ patients in the Ipi + Nivo group experienced an irGGT elevation but showed no elevations of transaminases or other signs of hepatitis (occurrence of irGGT elevation vs. occurrence of hepatitis defned by other LFTs: PD-1 group: OR 2.97 [1.51–6.15], *p*=0.00089; Ipi+ Nivo group: OR 3.33 [1.86–6.13], $p < 0.0001$). However, elevation of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) correlated with irGGT elevation (PD-1 group: OR 3.64, 95% CI 1.65–8.12, *p*=0.00060; Ipi+Nivo group: OR 2.08, 95% CI 1.01–4.35, *p*=0.041) (Fig. [3](#page-8-10)e, f). Elevation of bilirubin was not signifcantly correlated with irGGT elevation but showed a strong correlation with hepatitis (data not shown).

Discussion

Immune-related adverse events (irAE) are common in patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICPI). With combined ICPI therapy with ipilimumab plus nivolumab 55–56% of the patients experience grade 3–4 treatment-related adverse events (AE), while this proportion is between 10 and 16% in ICI monotherapy with PD-1 antibodies nivolumab or pembrolizumab [\[1](#page-8-0)–[4\]](#page-8-3). Autoimmune hepatitis of any grade was recorded in 1% of patients receiving pembrolizumab and in 3.2% of patients receiving the combination of ipilimumab plus nivolumab [\[2,](#page-8-1) [4](#page-8-3)]. Transaminases (i.e. alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) were reported to increase in 1.4–5% of patients receiving pembrolizumab or nivolumab and in 15.3–22.3% of patients receiving ipilimumab + nivolumab $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$ $[1, 3, 4]$. In the present study, these proportions were 6.4% (PD-1 group) and 16.4% (Ipi+Nivo group), respectively. Interestingly, 17.0% (PD-1 group) and 38.5% (Ipi + Nivo group) experienced a treatmentrelated increase of gamma-glutamyl transferase (irGGT) in our study which is in sharp contrast to 1.1% reported incidence (0 cases with grade 3–4 GGT increase) receiving ipilimumab plus nivolumab in the CheckMate 069 trial [[4\]](#page-8-3). In a pooled analysis of advanced melanoma patients receiving nivolumab monotherapy, Weber et al. reported only 0.2% treatment-related increases of gamma-glutamyl transferase [[5](#page-8-4)]. And a meta-analysis of 48 studies by Xing et al. reported incidence rates of GGT elevations of 1.02% (95% CI 0.37–2.80) for nivolumab monotherapy and 5.13% (3.51–7.43) for ipilimumab plus nivolumab in patients with advanced solid tumors [\[8](#page-8-6)]. Of note, the study protocols of all large phase 1–3 melanoma trials on PD-1-based immunotherapy did not include GGT measurements in the prerequisite on-study laboratory tests $[1-4]$ $[1-4]$. Thus, it is not surprising that in detail characterization of GGT at baseline and during treatment with ICPI is lacking.

Immune‑related GGT elevations

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to describe irGGT elevations as a commonly occurring adverse event that is associated with response to ICPI and favorable survival in metastatic melanoma. Patients with occurrence of irGGT elevation showed favorable OS in both cohorts. Importantly, we also found statistically signifcant results for irGGT in the 3-month landmark analysis and the timedependent extended Cox model which both account for guarantee-time bias [[27](#page-9-10)]. In the PD-1 group, irGGT elevations were also strongly associated with objective response.

Unlike in monotherapy with the CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab alone, treatment-related hepatotoxicity in PD-1-based regimens is predominantly characterized by a cholestatic or mixed cholestatic-hepatocellular pattern compared to a pan-hepatocellular pattern [[28](#page-9-11)[–34\]](#page-9-12). In a large twocenter study from Japan, markedly elevated levels of ALP and GGT but only mild increases of the liver enzymes ALT and AST were observed in patients developing pathologyproven hepatotoxicity which further supports the notion that immune-related cholangitis and cholestatic hepatitis are more frequent than hepatocellular hepatitis [\[34](#page-9-12)]. Importantly, the discrimination between hepatocellular and cholestatic liver injury, e.g. by means of the biliary enzymes GGT and ALP in contrast to the hepatocellular enzymes ALT and AST, stratifes patients with diferent response to corticosteroids [[32,](#page-9-13) [35](#page-9-14)]. Many of these cases with cholangitis with non-obstructive dilation of the bile ducts resemble primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and show resistance to steroid therapy [[34](#page-9-12), [35](#page-9-14)]. Nevertheless, Imoto et al. reported that most patients with grade \leq 2 liver injury improved spontaneously and five of eight patients with grade \geq 3 liver injury required prednisolone or additional immunosuppressants, or ursodeoxycholic acid [\[33\]](#page-9-15). Regarding the severity of liver injury, this study reported a statistically signifcant diference in the distribution between hepatocellular type (11% in grade 1 or 2 liver injury, 55% in grade 3 or 4 liver injury) and cholestatic or mixed type of liver injury (64% in grade 1 or 2 liver injury, 45% in grade 3 or 4 liver injury) [[33\]](#page-9-15). These fndings are in accordance with our data with most cases being mild or moderate grades 1–2 and that GGT elevations were signifcantly more frequent than ALT/AST elevations.

Based on the fnding that most cases of immune-related hepatotoxicity do not present with clinical manifestations, Tan et al. concluded that close monitoring of liver function tests is mandatory [[36](#page-9-16)]. Although only the hepatocellular enzymes ALT and AST together with bilirubin are recommended by the CTCAE to assess hepatotoxicity, these LFTs should be complemented with the regular assessment of GGT in patients receiving therapy with ICPI, thus, accounting for the diverse clinical features of hepatic irAE, particularly microscopic biliary liver injury [\[33\]](#page-9-15). The results of the present study underline the importance of GGT measurements to avoid missing silent cholestatic hepatotoxicity. However, most irGGT elevations were temporary and selflimited and the extent of liver damage cannot be estimated based on our data.

The median time to onset of irGGT elevation (median: 6.0 in the PD-1 group, median 4.4 in the Ipi + Nivo group) was slightly shorter than the median time to onset of treatment-related select hepatic AEs (median 7.7 weeks, range 2.0–38.9) as reported by Weber et al. for PD-1 blockade with nivolumab [[5](#page-8-4)]. In the present study, irGGT elevation was recorded prior to the frst staging in 86% of the patients. Therefore, irGGT elevation is a suitable early prognostic marker that enables the clinician to gain prognosis-related information days or weeks before the frst radiological staging. As GGT is highly expressed not only in melanoma but also in several other cancer cells like colorectal, breast, and lung cancer as well as in astrocytic glioma and Ewing's sarcoma, it is likely that the results of our study can be translated to anti-PD-1 treatment of other tumor entities [\[37](#page-9-17)].

Immune-related adverse events are considered an antibody-driven autoimmune effect of the host. Thus, it is important to consider that immune-related hepatotoxicity is likely to serve as a prognostic marker only in patients with an intact immune system.

Baseline GGT

Our study is the frst to analyze baseline GGT and its prognostic impact on response and survival in metastatic melanoma and in patients receiving therapy with ICPI. Increased levels of GGT have been described in the context of hepatocellular carcinoma, viral hepatitis, chronic alcoholism, and several diseases related to increased oxidative stress like cardiovascular disease, Alzheimer's disease, and diabetes mellitus [\[38–](#page-9-18)[46](#page-9-19)]. Although it has been shown that elevated GGT is a prognostic marker for liver metastasis in breast cancer and colorectal cancer, its prognostic impact in metastatic melanoma had not been uncovered so far. In mice with transplanted B16 melanomas, serum levels of GGT correlated with tumor growth [[47](#page-9-20)]. Moreover, Melezinek et al. showed in their mouse model that the B16 melanoma cells express a soluble isoform

A PD-1 group

C PD-1 group

B Ipi+Nivo group

Ipi+Nivo group D

F. Ipi+Nivo group

Fig. 3 Associations of immune related gamma-glutamyl transferase ◂elevations with **a** and **b** best objective response, **c** and **d** occurrence of hepatitis considering cases with clinical signs of hepatitis or elevations of transaminases alone, and **e** and **f** elevations of alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Statistical diferences were calculated utilizing twosided Fisher's exact test. *ALP* alkaline phosphatase; *CR* complete response; *irGGT* immune-related gamma-glutamyl transferase elevation; *OR* odds ratio (given together with 95% confdence interval); *P p* value, *PD* progressive disease; *PR* partial response; *SD* stable disease

of GGT which was the exclusive driver of the observed serum GGT elevations [\[47\]](#page-9-20). Obrador et al. demonstrated that overexpression of GGT leads to altered glutathione metabolism and increased metastatic growth in a B16 melanoma mouse model [\[48\]](#page-9-21). Specifc isoforms of GGT complexed with low density lipoproteins (LDL) and very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) have been demonstrated to discriminate liver tumor patients from patients with chronic hepatitis or liver cirrhosis [\[49,](#page-9-22) [50](#page-10-0)]. In our data, multivariate Cox regression analysis including presence or absence of liver metastasis, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), number of metastatic sites, and number of prior therapies, elevated baseline GGT was an independent predictor for impaired overall survival (OS) in both cohorts. As its determination can be routinely done and is cheap, GGT could amend other prognostic biomarkers and should be measured before starting therapy with ICPI.

We conclude that the sensitive enzyme GGT is worth to be determined at baseline and during therapy with ICPI in addition to the hepatocellular enzymes ALT and AST, and bilirubin. By continuous monitoring of GGT, it is possible to detect two to three times more patients with hepatotoxicity than with the widely utilized LFTs. After exclusion of liver metastasis or other confounding factors like viral or steatohepatitis, irGGT elevation can be considered an independent prognostic factor for response and favorable survival.

Acknowledgements We thank the whole team of the melanoma unit for their passionate patient care and support in data collection.

Author contributions JW: collected the clinical data, analyzed the data, revised the article, and approved the submission. MML, MG, AF, UL, BW, MTP, LF, AC, MR, CG, TKE: analyzed the data, revised the article, and approved the submission. NBW: conceived and designed the study, collected the clinical data, analyzed the data, wrote the article, revised the article, and approved the submission.

Funding The project was funded, in part, by a joint program of the Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences and the Gottfried and Julia Bangerter-Rhyner Foundation (NBW).

Data availability Data can be obtained upon request (nikolausbenjamin.wagner@kssg.ch).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no conficts of interest.

Ethics approval This study was approved by the Medical Faculty of University Tübingen ethical committee (436/2017BO2). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

References

- 1. Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L et al (2015) Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N Engl J Med 372:2521–2532
- 2. Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Hamid O, Robert C et al (2015) Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 16:908–918
- 3. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD et al (2015) Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. N Engl J Med 373:23–34
- 4. Postow MA, Chesney J, Pavlick AC, Robert C, Grossmann K, McDermott D et al (2015) Nivolumab and ipilimumab versus ipilimumab in untreated melanoma. N Engl J Med 372:2006–2017
- 5. Weber JS, Hodi FS, Wolchok JD, Topalian SL, Schadendorf D, Larkin J et al (2017) Safety profle of nivolumab monotherapy: a pooled analysis of patients with advanced melanoma. J Clin Oncol 35:785–792
- 6. Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD (2018) Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. N Engl J Med 378:158–168
- 7. Schadendorf D, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Rutkowski P et al (2017) Efficacy and safety outcomes in patients with advanced melanoma who discontinued treatment with nivolumab and ipilimumab because of adverse events: a pooled analysis of randomized phase II and III trials. J Clin Oncol 35:3807–3814
- 8. Xing P, Zhang F, Wang G, Xu Y, Li C, Wang S et al (2019) Incidence rates of immune-related adverse events and their correlation with response in advanced solid tumours treated with NIVO or NIVO+IPI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Immunother Cancer 7:341
- 9. Berner F, Bomze D, Diem S, Ali OH, Fassler M, Ring S et al (2019) Association of checkpoint inhibitor-induced toxic efects with shared cancer and tissue antigens in non-small cell lung cancer. JAMA Oncol 5:1043–1047
- 10. Sanlorenzo M, Vujic I, Daud A, Algazi A, Gubens M, Luna SA et al (2015) Pembrolizumab cutaneous adverse events and their association with disease progression. JAMA Dermatol 151:1206–1212
- 11. Hua C, Boussemart L, Mateus C, Routier E, Boutros C, Cazenave H et al (2016) Association of vitiligo with tumor response in patients with metastatic melanoma treated with pembrolizumab. JAMA Dermatol 152:45–51
- 12. Reddy HG, Schneider BJ, Tai AW (2018) Immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated colitis and hepatitis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 9:180
- 13. McGuire HM, Shklovskaya E, Edwards J, Trevillian PR, McCaughan GW, Bertolino P et al (2018) Anti-PD-1-induced high-grade hepatitis associated with corticosteroid-resistant T cells: a case report. Cancer Immunol Immunother 67:563–573
- 14. Spankuch I, Gassenmaier M, Tampouri I, Noor S, Forschner A, Garbe C et al (2017) Severe hepatitis under combined

immunotherapy: Resolution under corticosteroids plus antithymocyte immunoglobulins. Eur J Cancer 81:203–205

- 15. Tumeh PC, Hellmann MD, Hamid O, Tsai KK, Loo KL, Gubens MA et al (2017) Liver metastasis and treatment outcome with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody in patients with melanoma and NSCLC. Cancer Immunol Res 5:417–424
- 16. Whitfeld JB (2001) Gamma glutamyl transferase. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 38:263–355
- 17. Corti A, Franzini M, Paolicchi A, Pompella A (2010) Gammaglutamyltransferase of cancer cells at the crossroads of tumor progression, drug resistance and drug targeting. Anticancer Res 30:1169–1181
- 18. Wu XZ, Ma F, Wang XL (2010) Serological diagnostic factors for liver metastasis in patients with colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 16:4084–4088
- 19. He WZ, Guo GF, Yin CX, Jiang C, Wang F, Qiu HJ et al (2013) Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase level is a novel adverse prognostic indicator in human metastatic colorectal cancer. Colorectal Dis 15:e443-452
- 20. Takemura K, Fukushima H, Ito M, Kataoka M, Nakanishi Y, Sakamoto K et al (2019) Prognostic significance of serum gamma-glutamyltransferase in patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma. Urol Oncol 37:108–115
- 21. Seebacher V, Polterauer S, Grimm C, Rahhal J, Hofstetter G, Bauer EM et al (2012) Prognostic signifcance of gamma-glutamyltransferase in patients with endometrial cancer: a multicentre trial. Br J Cancer 106:1551–1555
- 22. Hofbauer SL, Stangl KI, de Martino M, Lucca I, Haitel A, Shariat SF et al (2014) Pretherapeutic gamma-glutamyltransferase is an independent prognostic factor for patients with renal cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer 111:1526–1531
- 23. Eskelin S, Pyrhonen S, Summanen P, Prause JU, Kivela T (1999) Screening for metastatic malignant melanoma of the uvea revisited. Cancer 85:1151–1159
- 24. Kaiserman I, Amer R, Pe'er J (2004) Liver function tests in metastatic uveal melanoma. Am J Ophthalmol 137:236–243
- 25. Hendler K, Pe'er J, Kaiserman I, Baruch R, Kalickman I, Barak V et al (2011) Trends in liver function tests: a comparison with serum tumor markers in metastatic uveal melanoma (part 2). Anticancer Res 31:351–357
- 26. Mouriaux F, Diorio C, Bergeron D, Berchi C, Rousseau A (2012) Liver function testing is not helpful for early diagnosis of metastatic uveal melanoma. Ophthalmology 119:1590–1595
- 27. Giobbie-Hurder A, Gelber RD, Regan MM (2013) Challenges of guarantee-time bias. J Clin Oncol 31:2963–2969
- 28. Kim KW, Ramaiya NH, Krajewski KM, Jagannathan JP, Tirumani SH, Srivastava A et al (2013) Ipilimumab associated hepatitis: imaging and clinicopathologic fndings. Invest New Drugs 31:1071–1077
- 29. Johncilla M, Misdraji J, Pratt DS, Agoston AT, Lauwers GY, Srivastava A et al (2015) Ipilimumab-associated hepatitis: clinicopathologic characterization in a series of 11 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 39:1075–1084
- 30. Tirumani SH, Ramaiya NH, Keraliya A, Bailey ND, Ott PA, Hodi FS et al (2015) Radiographic profling of immune-related adverse events in advanced melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab. Cancer Immunol Res 3:1185–1192
- 31. Kawakami H, Tanizaki J, Tanaka K, Haratani K, Hayashi H, Takeda M et al (2017) Imaging and clinicopathological features of nivolumab-related cholangitis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Invest New Drugs 35:529–536
- 32. Doherty GJ, Duckworth AM, Davies SE, Mells GF, Brais R, Harden SV et al (2017) Severe steroid-resistant anti-PD1 T-cell checkpoint inhibitor-induced hepatotoxicity driven by biliary injury. ESMO Open 2:e000268
- 33. Imoto K, Kohjima M, Hioki T, Kurashige T, Kurokawa M, Tashiro S et al (2019) Clinical features of liver injury induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors in japanese patients. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019:6391712
- 34. Mizuno K, Ito T, Ishigami M, Ishizu Y, Kuzuya T, Honda T et al (2020) Real world data of liver injury induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors in Japanese patients with advanced malignancies. J Gastroenterol 55:653–661
- 35. Onoyama T, Takeda Y, Yamashita T, Hamamoto W, Sakamoto Y, Koda H et al (2020) Programmed cell death-1 inhibitorrelated sclerosing cholangitis: a systematic review. World J Gastroenterol 26:353–365
- 36. Tan B, Li Y, Xu Y, Chen M, Wang M, Qian J (2020) Recognition and management of the gastrointestinal and hepatic immunerelated adverse events. Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 16:95–102
- 37. Pompella A, De Tata V, Paolicchi A, Zunino F (2006) Expression of gamma-glutamyltransferase in cancer cells and its signifcance in drug resistance. Biochem Pharmacol 71:231–238
- 38. Hann HW, Wan S, Myers RE, Hann RS, Xing J, Chen B et al (2012) Comprehensive analysis of common serum liver enzymes as prospective predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma in HBV patients. PLoS ONE 7:e47687
- 39. Lin YJ, Lee MH, Yang HI, Jen CL, You SL, Wang LY et al (2013) Predictability of liver-related seromarkers for the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B patients. PLoS ONE 8:e61448
- 40. Zhu J, Jiang F, Ni HB, Xiao MB, Chen BY, Ni WK et al (2013) Combined analysis of serum gamma-glutamyl transferase isoenzyme II, alpha-L-fucosidase and alpha-fetoprotein detected using a commercial kit in the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Exp Ther Med 5:89–94
- 41. Xu XS, Wan Y, Song SD, Chen W, Miao RC, Zhou YY et al (2014) Model based on gamma-glutamyltransferase and alkaline phosphatase for hepatocellular carcinoma prognosis. World J Gastroenterol 20:10944–10952
- 42. Wu SJ, Lin YX, Ye H, Xiong XZ, Li FY, Cheng NS (2016) Prognostic value of alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase and lactate dehydrogenase in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with liver resection. Int J Surg 36:143–151
- 43. Huang R, Yang CC, Liu Y, Xia J, Su R, Xiong YL et al (2015) Association of serum gamma-glutamyl transferase with treatment outcome in chronic hepatitis B patients. World J Gastroenterol 21:9957–9965
- 44. Yavuz BB, Yavuz B, Halil M, Cankurtaran M, Ulger Z, Cankurtaran ES et al (2008) Serum elevated gamma glutamyltransferase levels may be a marker for oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease. Int Psychogeriatr 20:815–823
- 45. Koehler EM, Sanna D, Hansen BE, van Rooij FJ, Heeringa J, Hofman A et al (2014) Serum liver enzymes are associated with all-cause mortality in an elderly population. Liver Int 34:296–304
- 46. Koenig G, Senef S (2015) Gamma-glutamyltransferase: a predictive biomarker of cellular antioxidant inadequacy and disease Risk. Dis Markers 2015:818570
- 47. Melezinek I, Borovansky J, Elleder M, Bubnova E (1998) Tumour tissue is a source of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase sialoform in the sera of melanoma-bearing mice. Melanoma Res 8:39–45
- 48. Obrador E, Carretero J, Ortega A, Medina I, Rodilla V, Pellicer JA et al (2002) Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase overexpression increases metastatic growth of B16 melanoma cells in the mouse liver. Hepatology 35:74–81
- 49. Sacchetti L, Castaldo G, Cimino L, Budillon G, Salvatore F (1988) Diagnostic efficiency in discriminating liver

50. Castaldo G, Oriani G, Lofrano MM, Cimino L, Topa M, Budillon G et al (1996) Diferential diagnosis between hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis through a discriminant function based on results for serum analytes. Clin Chem 42:1263–1269

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.