REVIEW

Roles of reactive oxygen species in inflammation and cancer

Yunfei Yu Shengzhuo Liu | Luchen Yang | Pan Song | Zhenghuan Liu **Xiaoyang Liu** ● Xin Yan → Qiang Dong^{*} ●

Department of Urology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

[∗]**Correspondence**

Qiang Dong, Department of Urology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. Email: dongqiang@scu.edu.cn

Abstract

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) constitute a spectrum of oxygenic metabolites crucial in modulating pathological organism functions. Disruptions in ROS equilibrium span various diseases, and current insights suggest a dual role for ROS in tumorigenesis and the immune response within cancer. This review rigorously examines ROS production and its role in normal cells, elucidating the subsequent regulatory network in inflammation and cancer. Comprehensive synthesis details the documented impacts of ROS on diverse immune cells. Exploring the intricate relationship between ROS and cancer immunity, we highlight its influence on existing immunotherapies, including immune checkpoint blockade, chimeric antigen receptors, and cancer vaccines. Additionally, we underscore the promising prospects of utilizing ROS and targeting ROS modulators as novel immunotherapeutic interventions for cancer. This review discusses the complex interplay between ROS, inflammation, and tumorigenesis, emphasizing the multifaceted functions of ROS in both physiological and pathological conditions. It also underscores the potential implications of ROS in cancer immunotherapy and suggests future research directions, including the development of targeted therapies and precision oncology approaches. In summary, this review emphasizes the significance of understanding ROS-mediated mechanisms for advancing cancer therapy and developing personalized treatments.

KEYWORDS

cancer, immune, reactive oxygen species, treatment

1 INTRODUCTION

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) epitomize an expansive array of oxidants originating from molecular oxygen. They are constituents of the broader category of reactive species, which encompasses reactive nitrogen, sulfur,

Yunfei Yu, Shengzhuo Liu and Luchen Yang contributed equally to this work.

carbon, selenium, electrophile, and halogen species. Capable of undergoing redox reactions (reduction–oxidation), they give rise to oxidative modifications on biological macromolecules, thereby participating in redox signaling and physiological processes. $¹$ $¹$ $¹$ These species emerge from</sup> the one-electron reduction of oxygen, including hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , superoxide anion (O_2^-) , and hydroxyl radical (OH[−]).² Mounting evidence underscores the pivotal role of ROS as critical intracellular and extracellular

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2024} The Authors. *MedComm* published by Sichuan International Medical Exchange & Promotion Association (SCIMEA) and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

signaling entities, capable of both cellular harm and regulation, affecting lipids, proteins, and DNA. Crucial to the intricate balance of redox control are two primary enzyme systems, namely the glutathione (GSH) and thioredoxin (Trx) systems, governing the reductive pathways. These systems facilitate redox regulatory functions and the activities of antioxidant enzymes, utilizing reducing equivalents derived from NADPH.^{3,4}

Inflammation, a physiological response to infections and injuries, serves to restore homeostasis and safeguard tissue function[.5](#page-18-0) However, persistent local inflammation can promote cancer development and progression. Conditions like gastritis induced by *Helicobacter pylori* or chronic hepatitis are linked to the development of gastric and liver cancers, respectively. 6 Many tumors exhibit a proinflammatory microenvironment where infiltrated immune cells release factors fostering the differentiation of normal cells and facilitating the survival and metastasis of cancer cells[.7](#page-18-0) Remarkably, ROS assumes a dual role in both inflammation and cancer. Physiologically, ROS at optimal concentrations are crucial for an effective immune response, while excessive ROS levels can lead to sustained inflammation, even progressing to sepsis. 8 Elevated ROS levels, coupled with enhanced antioxidant capacity and the maintenance of redox homeostasis, play a pivotal role in promoting cancer progression and metastasis.^{[9](#page-18-0)} Importantly, a high concentration of ROS can induce apoptosis in cancer cells.^{[10](#page-18-0)}

The unique roles of ROS in inflammation and cancer facilitate its impact on tumor immunotherapy. Extensive research has long underscored the significance of ROS within the realm of immunology, indicating the essential role of ROS in tumor immunotherapy. Notably, as early as 1946, scientists identified a correlation between H_2O_2 and the generation of antibodies in bacteria.^{[11](#page-18-0)} Subsequently, during the 1970s, heightened attention was directed towards the existence and function of oxygen radicals in the context of immunity.¹² Carp and Janoff¹³ demonstrated that polymorphonuclear leukocytes generated ROS, thereby diminishing serum elastase-inhibitory capacity, thus exacerbating damage to neighboring connective tissue at sites of inflammation. In 1990, Weitzman and Gordon 14 highlighted the potential critical role of ROS originating from inflammatory cells in the process of tumorigenesis, along with the prospect that antioxidants could prove effective in the chemoprevention of cancer. Subsequently, in the 2010s, the association of mitochondria with innate immune signaling was underscored, emphasizing the indispensable role of mitochondrial ROS in the process of bacterial eradication.¹⁵ In 2012, a new form of cell death termed Ferroptosis was proposed, which was dependent on intracellular iron and oxidative stress related to ROS, resulting in the nonapoptotic destruction of specific cancer cells.¹⁶ More recently, the critical role of ferroptosis in cancer immunity has come to light. Wang et al.¹⁷ in 2019 elucidated how CD8+ T cells could downregulate the glutamate–cystine antiporter system xc-, fostering the accumulation of lipid peroxidation and prompting ferroptosis in cancer cells. In 2022, Kim et al.¹⁸ elucidated how the collaboration of ferroptosis with myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) facilitated tumor progression by instigating immune suppression within the context of cancer.

This review comprehensively examines the multifaceted role of ROS in both immunological processes and tumorigenesis. It covers ROS sources, signaling pathways, and their impacts on normal cells. The discussion extends to ROS roles in inflammation, inflammation-induced tumorigenesis, and cancer. Emphasizing the significance of ROS in cancer immunity, the focus of this review delves into the multifaceted implications of ROS in the intricate balance of immune responses and the progression of cancer. By highlighting various ROS-mediated pathways, the review aims to offer insights into potential therapeutic targets and innovative approaches for cancer treatment and immunotherapy. It bridges recent advancements with a comprehensive overview of ROS in both physiological and pathological contexts, contributing to a clearer understanding and paving the way for future research and interventions.

2 ROS GENERATION AND SIGNALING PATHWAYS

Mitochondria and peroxisome are the primary sources of endogenous ROS, engendering intracellular oxidative stress.¹⁹ The generation of ROS primarily occurs within the electron transport chain (ETC) situated on the inner mitochondrial membrane. This process, integral to oxidative phosphorylation, facilitates the generation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) from oxygen and simple sugars.²⁰ Notably, this intricate process involves five pivotal protein complexes, namely NADH (complex I), succinate (complex II), ubiquinol (complex III), cytochrome *c* oxidase (complex IV), and F1F0-ATP synthase (complex V). 21 21 21 The electron leakage at these complexes promotes the production of superoxide. Subsequently, superoxide is converted to H_2O_2 by superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) in the intermembrane space[.20](#page-18-0) These molecular processes culminate in the generation of superoxide and H_2O_2 , the principal ROS emanating from mitochondria. Additionally, a subset of ROS is produced by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOX), a transmembrane protein family orchestrating the transport of electrons across biological membranes, catalyzing the conversion

FIGURE 1 Generation and signaling pathways of ROS. ROS emanates from diverse sources, notably oxidative phosphorylation transpiring in the electron transport chain on the inner mitochondrial membrane. Concurrently, metabolic reactions within peroxisomes contribute to ROS production. Another subset of ROS is engendered by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOX), which catalyze the conversion of oxygen into superoxide. Additionally, ROS can stem from cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenases, and thymidine phosphorylase. Significantly, transition metals, particularly iron, can initiate ROS formation independently of enzymatic processes through a Fenton reaction. Noteworthy is the involvement of ROS in cell metabolism signaling pathways, influencing the survival, death, and proliferation of cells. TNF, tumor necrosis factor; JNK, c-jun N-terminal kinase; HIFs, hypoxia-inducible transcription factors; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; ERK/MAPK, the extracellular-signal-regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway.

of oxygen into superoxide. Among these, the catalytic subunit, NADPH oxidase 2 (NOX2), manifests a prominent role[.22](#page-18-0) The NOX family comprises six distinct NOX2 variants discernible in diverse tissue contexts[.23](#page-18-0) Furthermore, ROS can also derive from cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenases, and thymidine phosphorylase.²⁴ Transition metals, notably iron, can engender ROS independently of enzymes through the Fenton reaction.²⁵ Notably, Fe^{2+} can react with H_2O_2 to yield a hydroxy radical, causing damage to DNA and other related molecules²⁶ (Figure 1).

The cellular generation of ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) during metabolic processes Is inevitable, often characterized as detrimental to cellular integrity. However, under specific conditions, these species assume pivotal biological roles within cellular signaling pathways. 27 ROS orchestrates crucial regulatory

functions in the modulation of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and TNF receptor signaling, exerting influence over cell survival or cell death.[28](#page-18-0) Pertinently, targeted inhibition of mitochondrial ROS in monocytes and T cells via the mitochondria-specific antioxidant MitoVit E has validated the significance of mitochondrial ROS in the activation of the NF-*κ*B signaling pathway, a downstream mediator of TNF signaling[.29](#page-18-0) Furthermore, ROS serve as triggering agents for key components of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 kinase (p38MAPK) pathways, thereby initiating the apoptotic cascade. 30 Activated JNK translocates to the mitochondrial membrane, intensifying ROS production, subsequently perpetuating JNK activation through a positive feedback loop mediated by mitochondrial ROS[.31](#page-18-0) The inhibition of nitric oxide (NO) synthesis has been demonstrated to impede insulin-stimulated glucose transport and glucose transporter 4 recruitment, underscoring the positive regulatory role of NO in insulin signaling. 32

The endogenous ROS can interact with proteins, regulating different signaling pathways of cell metabolism. ROS can activate nonreceptor protein kinases of the Src family, small G proteins, and the tyrosine kinase receptors of growth factor[.33,34](#page-18-0) The essential role of mitochondrial ROS in stabilizing hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIFs) under hypoxic conditions has been a subject of research for several decades[.35,36](#page-19-0) Stabilized HIFs prompt the initiation of a comprehensive transcriptional program, encompassing genes vital to angiogenesis. 37 The angiogenic process necessitates the proliferation of endothelial $cells₁³⁸$ which is facilitated by the upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression mediated by HIF. VEGF binding to its receptor activates crucial signaling pathways pivotal to endothelial cell proliferation.³⁹ Moreover, activation of the extracellular-signal-regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase (ERK/MAPK) pathway can amplify the mitogenic effects of VEGF, thus potently stimulating cellular proliferation.[40](#page-19-0)

3 THE IMPACTS OF ROS ON NORMAL CELLS

Redox biology significantly influences numerous physiological processes in normal cellular function, including the facilitation of extracellular matrix formation, regulation of wound healing, and modulation of immune responses.[41–43](#page-19-0) Extensive evidence underscores the enduring impact of ROS on cellular function through the modification of epigenetic biomolecules. Conversely, in the short term, ROS is implicated in three distinctive forms of cell death, namely apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy. Furthermore, ROS can intricately interact with transcription factors (TFs), thereby exerting additional regulatory effects on cellular metabolism.

3.1 Long-term impacts of ROS on normal cells

The redox state exerts a persistent influence on cells by intricately modulating epigenetic processes, such as the methylation of DNA and histone methylation.⁴⁴ Under conditions of oxidative stress, the upregulation of GSH synthesis serves as a crucial antioxidant defense mechanism, while enzymes responsible for regenerating methionine from homocysteine experience downregulation.⁴⁵ Notably, S-adenosyl methionine, derived from methionine, serves as the methyl donor for DNA-methyltransferases.

Furthermore, select metabolites from the mitochondrial tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle can serve as substrates and regulators for epigenetic modifier enzymes. Histone acetylases catalyze histone acetylation, utilizing acetyl- CoA as a substrate donor.^{[46](#page-19-0)} ROS can also influence energy metabolism in cells. In the mitochondrial TCA cycle, cysteine residues in isocitrate dehydrogenase and a-KGDH can turn into an inactivated state under higher H_2O_2 conditions, limiting the function of NADH for the ETCs.⁴⁷ Additionally, under H_2O_2 treatment, cys392, a cysteine residue, undergoes S-CoAlation (protein thiol modification by coenzyme A) in mice, leading to the deactivation of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 2 and the inhibition of pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphorylation.⁴⁸ The increased expression of catalase in astrocytes modulates various biological pathways in neurons, including carbohydrate, lipid, and amino acid metabolism. Furthermore, ROS in astrocytes exhibit a neuroprotective function by activating nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2), subsequently inhibiting NOX enzymes and reducing extracellular ROS levels. 49 Redox biology significantly influences proteostasis, encompassing crucial processes such as protein biosynthesis, folding, quality control, and degradation. Redox-dependent regulation impacts mRNA translation, including initiation, elongation, and termina-tion processes.^{[50,51](#page-19-0)} Phosphorylation of eIF2, a translational initiation factor, is regulated by kinases sensitive to the redox state, ultimately leading to global repression of mRNA translation.⁵²

3.2 The short-term impacts of ROS on cells by causing cell death

Oxidative stress constitutes a potent catalyst for three classic types of cell death, encompassing apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy[.53](#page-19-0) In eukaryotes, mitochondria-derived ROS are implicated in the induction of cell death. A point mutation observed in cerevisiae cells has been linked to the highly conserved AAA ATPase Cdc48/VCP, disrupting the connection between Cdc48 and Vmsl1. This dissociation results in the aberrant localization of Cdc48 to mitochondria, facilitating the production of elevated levels of ROS from impaired mitochondria, ultimately leading to apoptosis.[54,55](#page-19-0) In another aspect, prodeath proteins BAX and BAK contribute to mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP), prompting the release of mitochondrial prodeath molecules, including the hemoprotein cytochrome *c*, subsequently promoting the formation of the apoptosome and activating caspase-3 and caspase-7. Remarkably, it was found that in the process of apoptosis, cardiolipin was oxidized by the peroxidase activity of the cytochrome *c* hemoprotein, which is crucial for

subsequent cytochrome *c* release and the execution of apoptosis[.56](#page-19-0) Autophagy serves as a critical mechanism for maintaining cellular homeostasis under conditions of oxidative stress, facilitating the degradation of damaged cellular components and the recycling of cellular contents. p53 has been implicated in oxidative stress-related autophagy processes, as evidenced by delayed tissue damage and extended lifespan observed in mice following p53 and Atg7 gene knockdown.⁵⁷ Oxidative stress can trigger necrosis, wherein NF-kB serves as a vital modulator, suppressing the Nrf2–ARE antioxidant pathway via transcriptional silencing and potentially inhibiting the expression of prosurvival genes, such as Nrf2.^{[58](#page-19-0)} Recent advancements have led to the recognition of a novel form of oxidative and iron-dependent cell death termed ferroptosis, with system x_c[−]–GSH–GPX4 pathway playing an essential role in its initiation. Notably, phospholipid hydroperoxides derived from lipids, along with their precursors, polyunsaturated fatty acids, have been found to intimately associate with the mechanistic pathways of ferroptosis.⁵⁹ Moreover, p53 participates in the regulation of ferroptosis in conjunction with other inducers, such as GPX4 inhibitors or heightened levels of ROS.⁶⁰ However, p53 exhibits a complex role in attenuating cell death, as it can induce ROS production leading to apoptosis and ferroptosis, $61,62$ while also demonstrating antioxidant activity to mitigate cellular damage accumulation[.63](#page-19-0)

3.3 The impacts of ROS on TFs

Redox regulation is also connected with transcription factors (TFs). Further, TFs can interact with corresponding genes to regulate redox homeostasis (Table 1). NRF2, acknowledged as a stress-responsive TF, adeptly discerns alterations in cellular redox status through its cysteine-rich adaptor kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1). Elevated ROS levels induce conformational changes through the oxidation of cysteines in KEAP1, liberating NRF2 and facilitating its translocation to the nucleus. Subsequently, NRF2 targets enzymes crucial for redox home-ostasis and cofactor generation pivotal to redox reactions.^{[64](#page-19-0)} Hypoxia-inducible factor 1*α* (HIF1*α*) can promote energy production through glycolysis under low oxygen levels, maintaining redox status.⁶⁵ Under normal oxygen levels, HIF1*α* undergoes hydroxylation by prolyl hydroxylase PHD2, leading to its degradation. Contrastingly, oxidative stress can deactivate PHD2 through oxidative dimerization, culminating in the activation of HIF-1*α* and the induction of aerobic glycolysis in response to stress. 66 The forkhead box O (FOXO) family of TFs also contributes to the maintenance of redox balance. MnSOD, a FOXO-regulated antioxidant, catalyzes the dismutation

 $\mathsf{ModComm} \longrightarrow \mathsf{ModComm}$ 5 of 29

TABLE 1 Major TFS and their related genes in the regulation of redox homeostasis.

TFs	Related genes that interact to regulate redox homeostasis	References
NRF ₂	Keap1, STAT3, HO-1, TIGAR, AMPK, KRAS, NRG1, PRAK, p53	$73 - 81$
HIF-1a	PHD, FIH-1, COX, LON, NDUFA4L2, ELAVL1, HO-1	$82 - 87$
FOXO	AKT, JNK, SIRT1, AMPK. transporting 1	88-92
BACH ₁	NRF2, HO-1, AKT, mTOR, c-Myc	$93 - 96$

Abbreviations: AKT: protein kinase B; AMPK: AMP-activated kinase; BACH1: The BTB and CNC homology 1; COX: cytochrome *c* oxidase; FOXO: forkhead box O; HO-1: heme oxygenase-1 signaling; HIF-1a: hypoxia-inducible transcription factors; JNK: c-jun N-terminal kinase; Keap1: kelch-like ECHassociated protein 1; mTOR: mammalian target of rapamycin; NRG1: neuregulin 1; NRF2: nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; PRAK: p38 regulated/activated protein kinase; PHD: prolyl hydroxylase domain protein; STAT3: signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; SIRT1: sirtuin 1; TFs: transcription factors; TIGAR: TP53-induced glycolysis and apoptosis regulator.

of oxygen reduction products to produce oxygen and H_2O_2 .^{[67](#page-19-0)} Catalase, a peroxisomal heme peroxidase regulated by FOXO3a, facilitates the dismutation of H_2O_2 to water and oxygen.⁶⁸ Alterations in ROS levels can prompt posttranslational modifications of FOXO, including phosphorylation, acetylation, and ubiquitination, ultimately governing the subcellular localization, activity, and stability of FOXO. 69 The BTB and CNC homology 1 (BACH1), a heme-binding TF and an inhibitor of heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1), contributes significantly to the oxidative stress response. HMOX1 facilitates the conversion of heme to ferrous iron and biliverdin, further progressing to bilirubin, an effective radical scavenger.⁷⁰ A study has reported that BACH1 knockdown influences genes implicated in heme degradation (HMOX1, FTL, SCL48A1) and redox regulation (GCLC, SCL7A11), underscoring the involvement of BACH1 in the response to oxidative stress.⁷¹ Additionally, Wiel et al.⁷² established that BACH1 promotes the transcription of hexokinase 2 and GAPDH, thereby upregulating glucose uptake, glycolysis rates, and lactate secretion, ultimately fostering glycolysis-dependent metastasis in lung cancer.

4 THE CROSSTALK BETWEEN ROS AND INFLAMMATION

Considerable research endeavors have delved into elucidating the intricate interplay between ROS and inflammation. Oxidative stress induced by ROS manifests a bidirectional interaction with inflammation, culminating in a feedback loop. Inflammatory cells and related cytokines can result in redox imbalances. Evidence of

DNA oxidative damage has been documented in hepatocytes and lung epithelial cells cocultured with activated neutrophils.⁹⁷ Melatonin, through the ROS/TXNIP/HIF-1*α* axis, exhibits the capacity to modulate T cells, thereby alleviating autoimmune uveitis.⁹⁸ Inflammatory cytokines serve to augment ROS accumulation in phagocytic and nonphagocytic cells, instigating oxidative stress in both acute and chronic pathological conditions.[99,100](#page-20-0) TNF-*α* has been demonstrated to impede oxidative phosphorylation in a hippocampal cell line, underscoring the detrimental impact of inflammation on mitochondrial function.¹⁰¹ Furthermore, in instances of sepsis and acute inflammation, the balance of redox homeostasis is disrupted, characterized by a prooxidant state and excessive production of ROS and RNS, ultimately leading to endothelial cell damage. 102

Besides, cellular redox status regulates the inflammation response. During the formation of inflammation, the functions of immune cells can be altered, turning into a phenotype with increased production of proinflammatory cytokines and ROS, fostering the progression of chronic inflammation and genome oxidative damage. 103 Elevated ROS production and subsequent oxidative stress can incite inflammatory responses and apoptosis, ultimately resulting in hepatic steatosis and liver tissue injury.¹⁰⁴ Yu et al.¹⁰⁵ have demonstrated that amplified mitochondrial mass in macrophages precipitates excessive ROS generation, subsequently activating the NF-*κ*B pathway and steering the proinflammatory differentiation of macrophages. The escalation of ROS levels from inflammatory cells can intensify inflammation, while ROS-dependent inflammation engenders further oxidative stress.¹⁰⁶ Gong et al.¹⁰⁷ suggest that the administration of sulforaphane, an antioxidant, in a mouse model, can counteract cell death and suppress the activation of microglia and the inflammasome, underscoring the pivotal role of ROS in the upstream pathways of inflammation. Additionally, mitochondrial dysfunction can trigger an upsurge in inflammatory signaling through the cyclic GMP–AMP synthase (cGAS)-stimulator of interferon genes (STING) pathway[.108](#page-20-0) Treatment with chebulinic acid in mice has been found to mitigate oxidative stress, thereby alleviating lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation.^{[109](#page-20-0)}

ROS is intricately linked with factors contributing to the inflammatory response, including HIF-1*α*, Wnt/*β*catenin, NF- κ B, and growth factors.¹¹⁰ In the progress of inflammation, immune cells release inflammatory biomolecules, enhancing vascular permeability and facilitating the migration of leukocytes to the injury site. $\frac{111}{11}$ Simultaneously, ROS plays a pivotal role in regulating the expression of pertinent proteins like intercellular adhesion molecule 1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1, and selectin in endothelial cells, which can interact with leukocytes and promote their migration. 112 Inflammatory cytokines such as TNF, platelet-derived growth factor, angiopoietin-1, and VEGF induce immune cell migration and adhesion by binding to corresponding receptors on the cell surface, activating NADPH oxidases to generate ROS.^{[113,114](#page-21-0)}

5 THE ROLES OF ROS IN INFLAMMATION-INDUCED TUMORIGENESIS

5.1 Inflammation and ROS are crucial in tumorigenesis

The immune system plays a crucial role in tumorigenesis. Studies have demonstrated the substantial presence of inflammatory cells, including T cells, macrophages, and neutrophils, within various types of solid tumors, highlighting their significant involvement in the tumor microenvironment (TME).¹¹⁵ Notably, $CD8⁺$ T cells serve as direct executioners, integral to the landscape of cancer immunotherapy.¹¹⁶ CD4⁺ helper T cells (Th), including Th1 and Th2, can secret inflammatory cytokines that exert influence over the proliferation and cytotoxicity of $CD8+$ T cells.¹¹⁷ FasL and PDL-1 in TME can lead to the apoptosis and exhaustion of $CD8⁺$ T cells, resulting in tumor immune escape. 118 The accumulation of neutrophils in the TME is linked to the initiation and progression of tumors, often serving as a marker of unfavorable clinical outcomes across several cancer types.¹¹⁹ Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) has been found to be involved in regulating tumorigenesis, tumor invasion and chemoresistance. 120 TAMs foster tumor cell growth and angiogenesis by secreting associated growth factors such as transforming growth factor-*β*, epidermal growth factor (EGF), and VEGF.¹²¹

Moreover, immune cells and inflammatory cytokines frequently engender a state of redox imbalance in response to infections, trauma, and cancer. Activated neutrophils and macrophages produce substantial quantities of ROS to counteract pathogens. Proinflammatory cytokines contribute to the accumulation of ROS within cells, thereby inducing oxidative stress observed in various chronic diseases. Notably, TNF-*α* has been associated with the proinflammatory differentiation of macrophages and the excessive generation of ROS.^{99,100} The presence of accumulated ROS has been implicated in the promotion of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma initiation via JNK-mediated cholangiocyte proliferation and oncogenic transformation triggered by $TNF₁₂₂$ In gastritis, inflammation induces the activation of the NOX1 complex and the subsequent elevation of ROS levels through the TNF-*α*/NF-*κ*B pathway. The NOX1/ROS signaling cascade is purported to play a crucial role in promoting abnormal proliferation of gastric epithelial cells within the inflamed mucosa, fos-tering gastric tumorigenesis.^{[123](#page-21-0)} Additionally, ROS has the capacity to disrupt DNA repair pathways. Lower base excision repair efficiency has been observed in cancer patients relative to healthy individuals, primarily attributed to the inhibition of DNA repair enzymes by ROS. Furthermore, cytokine-induced NO production has been shown to suppress specific DNA repair proteins.^{124,125}

5.2 ROS promote DNA damage and genomic instability induced by inflammation

ROS can interact with chronic inflammation in different steps of tumorigenesis, including cell malignant transformation, proliferation, migration. ROS has been recognized as a crucial molecule driving DNA damage and genomic instability induced by inflammation during carcinogenesis. 126 The relationship between inflammation and genomic instability has been stated in multiple studies. Coculturing normal cells with activated macrophages and neutrophils has been shown to increase the incidence of genetic damage, including DNA strand breaks and sister chromatid exchanges[.127,128](#page-21-0) Neutrophils can activate certain carcinogens, such as benzopyrene, aflatoxins, and quartz particles, thereby exacerbating genomic instability.^{129,130} Additionally, 8-nitroguanine, a byproduct of nitrative DNA damage, has been found to be notably concentrated in patients infected with tumor-associated viruses, including human papillomavirus, helicobacter pylori, and Epstein–Barr virus[.131,132](#page-21-0) It is pertinent to note that DNA oxidative damage and mutations frequently manifest at sites of tumorigenesis induced by infections and chronic inflammation.¹³³

Extensive research has illuminated the association between inflammation-induced DNA damage and mutations, primarily linked to the intricate interplay of ROS and RNS[.134](#page-21-0) The accumulation of hepatic neutrophils has been shown to regulate ROS production and elicit telomeric damage, a process that can be effectively reversed through the application of antioxidants.^{[127](#page-21-0)} Furthermore, the infiltration of inflammatory cells in adjacent epithelial cells has been found to stimulate the generation of ROS, consequently fostering DNA damage.¹³⁵ In the context of psoriasis, the heightened concentration of phagocytes has been implicated in ROS production, engendering DNA mutations and facilitating the perpetuation of these mutated cells, thereby promoting the onset of skin cancer.¹³⁶ A study suggested that SIRT3 deficiency, a mitochondrial deacetylase, can elevate mitochondrial ROS levels, rendering cells more vulnerable to transformation and fostering tumor development.¹³⁷

6 THE ROLES OF ROS IN CANCER

6.1 The dual roles of ROS in cancer

ROS wield a dual role in the context of cancer, representing both a contributing factor and a potential suppressor of malignancy. Elevated ROS levels have been observed across various cancer types, concomitant with oxidative DNA damage and the onset of genomic instability. The upregulation of antioxidant and detoxifying systems enables cancer cells to survive under heightened oxidative stress.¹³⁸ The enhanced ROS levels within cancer cells sustain the activation of protumorigenic signaling pathways associated with evading cell death, facilitating angiogenesis, and promoting invasion and metastasis. 139 Notably, the glycolytic shifts occurring in cancer cells contribute to ROS production, thereby sustaining malignancy and influencing cancer stem cells, which serve as a pivotal driver of tumor recurrence and therapeutic resistance.¹⁴⁰ Conversely, certain studies have demonstrated the antitumor functions of ROS. In a mouse model of lung cancer, the administration of antioxidants was shown to accelerate tumor progression and reduce overall mouse survival. 141 Inhibiting the antioxidant systems of cancer cells can lead to the suppression of tumor growth in mouse burdened with breast cancer or colon cancer.¹⁴² It has been suggested that ROS can impede tumor progression, partly by activating antitumor pathways and inducing the senescence or apoptosis of cancer cells.¹⁴³

6.2 NRF2 is crucial for supporting the roles of ROS in cancer

Among those modulators, the TF NRF2 plays a vital role in cancer cells and the cellular antioxidant response. Many studies have demonstrated that activation of NRF2 in cancer cells can promote tumor progression and metastasis[.144–146](#page-21-0) NRF2 is involved in redox homeostasis through multiple mechanisms. It can regulate GSH metabolism, including xCT, GCLC/GCLM, and GS. The expression of the antioxidant enzyme system (GPX, GR, and PRX) and their cofactors, such as NADPH and FADH2, are also regulated by NRF2 to alter redox homeostasis.^{[147,148](#page-22-0)} In normal cells, NRF2 is regulated by three kinds of E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes, including the KEAP1–CUL3–RBX1 complex, the *β*-TrCP–SKP1–CUL1– RBX1 complex and HRD1.¹⁴⁹ The expression of NRF2 is found in all cell types and is limited in the cytoplasm, targeted for proteasomal degradation via KEAP1 to maintain its low level of basal protein. Enhanced ROS can induce the activation of NRF2, which in turn regulates cellular redox state by inducing enzymes that inhibit the

accumulation of intracellular ROS.¹⁵⁰ Increased oxidative stress induces alkylation or oxidation of KEAP1 cysteines, a formational change of KEAP1 that causes the liberation of NRF2 from KEAP1–E3 complex, suppression of ubiquitylation, and degradation of NRF2, promoting translocation of NRF2 to the nucleus to activate antioxidant genes.¹⁵¹ The role of NRF2 in cancer is complex. At the early stage of tumorigenesis, loss of NRF2 promotes epithelial–mesenchymal transition by increased ROS to support migration and invasion. Abnormal activation of NRF2 and its accumulation is associated with tumor-promoting effects in cancer. Mutations in NRF2 activation and KEAP1 preventing NRF2 repression occur in multiple types of cancer, such as liver cancer and lung cancer, leading to persistent activation of NRF2 in cancer cells.[152,153](#page-22-0) Consistently, promoter methylation resulting in KEAP1 inactivation, p62-mediated sequestra-

tion, and regulations of the oncometabolite fumarate and methylglyoxal can also cause NRF2 accumulation.¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁵⁶ NRF2 regulates several metabolic pathways of antioxidant defense and proliferative processes, including the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and serine synthesis pathway[.157,158](#page-22-0) The function of NRF2 to ROS detoxification helps cancer cells survive under an oxidative environment (Figure 2).

6.3 The roles of ROS modulators in cancer

Other ROS modulators are also nonnegligible in supporting tumor progression. GSH is found to prevent DNA damage and maintain protein stability in cancers. The GSH-S-transferases (GST) and GSH peroxidases (GPXs)

FIGURE 2 Activation of NRF2 pathway under oxidative stress. The regulation of NRF2 involves three distinct E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes: the KEAP1–CUL3–RBX1 complex, the *β*-TrCP–SKP1–CUL1–RBX1 complex, and HRD1. When exposed to oxidative stress, ubiquitination processes transpire within these complexes, leading to the liberation of Nrf2. Upon its migration to the nucleus, Nrf2 binds to the antioxidant response element (ARE), triggering the expression of antioxidant proteins. This activation suppresses the release of proinflammatory cytokines and facilitates the detoxification of ROS. Nrf2, nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; Keap, kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; Cul3, Cullin-3; RBX1, Ring box 1; *β*-TrCP, *β*- transducin repeats-containing proteins; SKP1, the S-phase Kinase-Associated Protein 1; HRD1, HMG-coA reductase degradation protein 1; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1 signaling; GSH, glutathione; SOD, superoxide dismutase 1; CAT, catalase.

enzymes involved in the GSH pathway also contribute to tumor progression.^{[159,160](#page-22-0)} GST can promote the expression of oncogenic proteins, such as Akt, while GPXs are essential to reach a balance when ROS generation in tumor progression. $161,162$ The thioredoxin (Trx) system supports tumor growth, including Trx and Trx reductase 1 (TrxRD1). 163 The Trx system is associated with the regeneration of PRDX. The expression of PRDX1 and PRDX4 increases in cancer cells and promotes tumor progression[.164,165](#page-22-0) Furthermore, inhibiting SOD1 leads to the suppression of lung tumorigenesis. 166 In non-smallcell lung cancer, targeting SOD1 or TrxRD can cause enhanced exposure to ROS, which is harmful to cancer cell survival.¹⁶⁷ The generation and reduction of NADPH are crucial for tumor survival. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) is a key enzyme for the generation of NADPH.¹⁶⁸ It is found that G6PD-deficient patients with lower NADPH levels are less susceptible to colorectal cancer.¹⁶⁹

Supplementation of exogenous antioxidants, mostly Nacetyl cysteine (NAC) and vitamin E, is utilized as a therapy for interfering with tumor processes. Application of NAC to p53 loss mice can inhibit DNA oxidation and genic mutation, impairing lymphoma and lung cancer survival.¹⁷⁰ NAC can also affect the stabilization of HIF1a and disturb tumor growth of hepatocellular xenografts. 171 171 171 However, other studies indicated that NAC treatment supported the initiation, progression and metastasis of several mouse models of melanoma and lung cancer.^{141,172} Vitamin E is also recognized as an antitumor supplement. A multicenter clinical trial found that vitamin E supplementation group has a higher incidence of prostate cancer and this trial was stopped quickly.¹⁷³ Glutamatecysteine ligase (GCL) is a rate-limiting enzyme in the GSH metabolism. Anderton et al. 174 suggested that inhibition of GCL by the MYC-induced microRNA miR-18a promoted the depletion of GSH in liver cancer, followed by increased sensitivity to oxidative stress in tumors. Buthionine-(S, R) sulfoximine (BSO) is a drug inhibiting GCL activity for cancer therapy, further suppressing GSH synthesis and leading to GSH depletion.^{175,176} However, an early clinical study demonstrated that BSO had limited clinical benefits as an anticancer treatment.¹⁷⁷ Research from Nishizawa et al[.178](#page-22-0) indicated that tumors with low levels of GSH appeared to be more sensitive to the BSO treatment, and inhibiting GCL resulted in ferroptosis in cancer cells.

7 THE IMPACT OF ROS ON CANCER IMMUNITY IN THE TME

The TME is made up of different cell types, including tumor cells, noncancer stroma cells, tumor-infiltrating

 $\mathsf{MedComm} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Mod}$

leukocytes, and microbial populations. With those neighbor cells and surrounding molecules, cancer cells develop specific mechanisms to survive even in the conditions of hypoxia, higher ROS level, and lower $pH_{179,180}$ Notably, ROS plays a dual role in the immune response of the TME. Activated T cells and NK cells can produce ROS, then promote the recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages to kill cancer cells.¹⁸¹ While the increased level of ROS can promote the survival of cancer cells by regulating tumorcontributing immune cells, such as MDSCs, regulatory T cells (Tregs), and TAMs. Furthermore, ROS in TME produced by other cells can also contribute to the inadequate response of T cells in cancer patients.¹⁸² It was also found that oxidative stress could induce the apoptosis of Treg cells, and the apoptotic Treg cells contributed to the immunosuppressive environment in the TME, which was an emerging mechanism of tumor-immune evasion. 183 In the following section, we provide an insight to how ROS affects immune cells in the TME.

7.1 T cells

T cells play essential roles in anticancer immune responses. However, TME creates an immunosuppressive environment, resulting in the suppression of cytotoxic T lymphocytes and thus leading to cancer progression. ROS has been studied as one crucial factor in the activation and metabolism of T cell. $184,185$ Enhanced activity of metabolism in activated T cells results in ROS generation. The inappropriate elimination of ROS can lead to DNA damage and cell death. T cell receptor (TCR) signaling pathways are affected by ROS, activating several proximal and distal signaling pathways in T cells and impacting the activation of T cells. 184 It has been shown that TCR stimulation induced the generation of ROS, leading to the activation of TFs such as a nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), activator protein-1 (AP-1).¹⁸⁶ Overexpression of ROS further inhibits the activity of mTOR and expression of NFAT and c-Myc TFs, regulating metabolic reprogramming and T-cell activation^{[187,188](#page-23-0)} (Figure [3\)](#page-9-0). It has been demonstrated that activated neutrophils inhibited DNA synthesis in human T cells by increasing the superoxide levels. Further research suggested that the inhibition of DNA synthesis was associated with alterations in TCR signaling.¹⁸² Another study found that the viability of CD4 T cells decreased when cocultured with autologous granulocytes, and adding catalase could reverse this adverse effect.¹⁸⁹ Therefore, all those studies indicate that ROS has a negative impact on T-cell signaling, activation, proliferation, and viability.

Stimulating activated T cells, known as T-cell blasts, leads to activation-induced cell death (AICD), which is

FIGURE 3 ROS involves in the process of metabolism of activated T cell. T cells are activated when the MHC of antigen presenting cells bind to the TCR. In activated T cells, ROS at low levels stimulate the expression of NFAT and c-myc, thereby accelerating the progression of glycolysis. This process involves the transportation of glutamate and glucose into the cytoplasm, followed by their participation in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle within the mitochondria. Conversely, heightened ROS levels hinder NFAT and c-myc expression, resulting in glycolysis suppression. ROS can also induce the expression of other transcription factors in T cells, such as AP1 and NF-*κ*B, subsequently prompting cytokines release. TCR. T cell receptor; GSH, glutathione; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; ASCT2, alanine-serine-cysteine transporter; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cells; AP-1, activator protein-1; IL, Interleukin.

also accompanied by the release of $ROS¹⁹⁰$ As the significant ROS-producing enzyme of phagocytes, NOX2 has been shown to be expressed in T-cell blasts with the TCR stimulation, inducing the Fas-dependent oxidation of ROS-sensitive dye 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFDA) by NOX2-derived ROS. This stimulation also induces transient oxidation that is not dependent on NOX-2, indicating that two or more sources of ROS are involved in AICD.¹⁹¹ TCR-triggered DCFDA oxidation in human CD4+ T cell blasts is associated with DUOX-1. 192 It can be concluded that TCR or Fas signaling in T-cell blasts can produce ROS through NOX-A, DUOX-1, and perhaps other sources. Then ROS can activate the downstream signaling events, potentially responding to ERK signaling and triggering the expression of FasL. Fas ligation further activates NOX-2, assisting the execution of the apoptotic program, $AICD.¹⁹³$

It has been studied that increased levels of ROS or oxidative stress caused immunosuppression inside the TME via Tregs. Tregs are a subset of $CD4⁺$ T cells capable of suppressing immune responses and maintaining immune homeostasis and tolerance.¹⁹⁴ A study found that Tregs derived from neutrophil cytosolic factor 1-deficient mice with a lower level of ROS were less functional compared with those from the wild type. And this process can be blocked by thiol-bearing antioxidants or NADPH oxidase inhibitors by decreasing the level of $ROS.195$ $ROS.195$ Increased ROS levels contributed to the hyperfunction of Tregs in psoriatic dermatitis.¹⁹⁶ Metformin (complex I inhibitor) decreased the number of tumor infiltrating Tregs by preventing the differentiation of CD4 T cells into Tregs through Foxp3, the transcriptional regulator for metabolic reprogramming[.197](#page-23-0) Mitochondrial complex III is also crucial for inhibiting Treg function.¹⁹⁸ SENP3 is a

regulator of Treg stability and function, which can elucidate the underlying mechanism of the impact of ROS in Tregs-mediated immunosuppression. SENP3 deficiency results in abnormal Tregs homeostasis and enhanced antitumor immunity. ROS can stabilize SENP3 quickly and thus maintain the function of Tregs via BACH2 deSUMOylation[.199,200](#page-23-0)

7.2 Myeloid-derived suppressor cells

MDSCs are a subset of immunosuppressive immature myeloid cells that exist in various tumor patients.²⁰¹ MDSCs can interact with other immune cells, such as T cells, to develop immunosuppression and antiinflammation, leading to the immune escape of tumors. 202 In normal conditions, the number of MDSCs participating in the immune response is low. While in the early and advanced stages of cancer, the amount of MDSCs increases rapidly with the production of ROS. 203 The sources of ROS in MDSCs mostly come from NOX2.^{[204](#page-23-0)} ROS has been proven to play a crucial role in maintaining the undifferentiated state of MDSCs. A study showed that catalase treatment could induce myeloid cells to turn into macrophages by eliminating H_{2}O_{2} .^{[205](#page-23-0)} Corzo et al.^{[204](#page-23-0)} also found that the level of ROS produced in MDSCs increased in mice bearing five different tumors because of the upregulated NOX2 activity.

MDSCs could suppress T cell proliferation and response by producing ROS in mice and humans.^{206,207} High levels of ROS and peroxynitrite have been detected in MDSCs in tumor, modifying T cell receptors and CD8 molecules. Further, $CD8⁺$ T cell would lose their ability to bind phosphorylated major histocompatibility complex and induce antigen-specific tolerance of peripheral $CD8⁺$ T cell.²⁰⁸ Moreover, it has been suggested that MDSCs suppressed B cell proliferation and the production of antibodies to affect B cell-mediated immune responses through NO and ROS[.209](#page-23-0)

7.3 Macrophages

Macrophages consist of two polarized types, the proinflammatory M1-like macrophages and the immunosup-pressive M2-like macrophages.^{[210](#page-23-0)} Macrophages are the central immune cell in the TME, which can account for more than 50% of tumor mass in breast cancer. 211 In the TME, TAMs play important roles in cancer cell growth, metastasis, and cancer cell evasion of the immune system.²¹² TAMs can transform their phenotypes and are mostly skewed towards the immunosuppressive type, M2 like macrophages. 213 CD163, the M2 macrophage surface

MedComm 11 of 29

marker, is associated with poor survival, metastasis, and grade in tumor patients.^{214,215}

Extracellular ROS is a positive factor in promoting the recruitment of monocyte and its differentiation into macrophages. Many studies suggested that H_2O_2 is a chemoattractant for monocytes[.216,217](#page-23-0) Increased expression of uncoupling protein-2 significantly decreases oxidative stress and intracellular ROS level in THP 1 human monocytes, preventing the migration and adhesion of monocytes and causing the reduction of macrophages. Besides, the ROS level is linked with the polarization of macrophages, which can transform the TME to be immunosuppressive. $218,219$ Recent studies showed that the functions of ROS were different in the two types of macrophages. ROS and NO derived from inducible NO synthase are essential to the antimicrobial activity of M1 macrophages. Extracellular ROS is the critical factor in M2 macrophage differentiation. Elevated levels of ROS in the TME can contribute to the monocyte differentiation into M2 macrophages[.220](#page-24-0) Research in 2020 indicated that ROS was necessary for the polarization and function of M2 macrophages. The highly oxidized TME can maintain an immunosuppressive environment by promoting polarized TAM to M2 phenotype. And clinical redox-active drugs can selectively target M2 macrophages, inhibiting their protumorigenesis and immunosuppression ability. 221

7.4 Dendritic cells

Dendritic cells (DCs) are antigen-presenting cells that are crucial to both innate and humoral immunity. 222 Immature DCs can recognize and capture antigens through pattern recognition receptors expressed on the membrane, further activating itself into a mature state and migrating to the T cell zone in lymph nodes, where they present antigens to naïve T cells and activate cytolytic T cells by cross-presentation.^{[223](#page-24-0)} The internal and external environment influences the maturation of DCs and their ability to initiate immune responses, especially ROS level[.224](#page-24-0) Though ROS generally influences DCs function by affecting cytokine production, maturation, migration, and antigen presentation, the specific role of ROS derived from DCs in tumors remains unclear.²²⁵ NOX2 expressed in DCs could persistently produce low levels of ROS and promote cross-presentation with the regulation of phagosomal and endosomal pH, which further could be blocked in NOX2 defective DCs and DCs treated with NOX2 inhibitor. 226 It is also indicated that the ROS in DCs regulated the immune response against cancer. The production of ROS increased in several subtypes of DCs in the process of crosspresentation to cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells. NOX2 is recruited to the phagosome, leading to alkalization of it by low-level production of ROS. And the alkalization of phagosome can result in structural preservation of the internalized antigen presented by MHC I complex. Therefore, ROS produced by DCs can affect the immune response of CD8⁺ T cells and tumoral antigens.[227,228](#page-24-0) High levels of ROS in the TME can suppress the function of DCs. ROS can enter DCs by several pathways, including diffusion across the plasma membrane or extracellular vesicles released by tumor cells.²²⁵ Chougnet et al. 229 suggested that ROS derived from dysfunctional mitochondria have an inhibitory effect on the cross-presentation ability of DCs. Besides, DCs maturation would be repressed by endoplasmic reticulum stress caused by $ROS₁²³⁰$

7.5 Natural killer cells

Natural killer (NK) cells are lymphocytes that can directly eliminate infected or tumor cells without specific antigens[.231](#page-24-0) The proliferation of NK cells is associated with the prognosis of many solid cancers, and the cytolytic activ-ity of NK cells correlates with tumor progression.^{[232](#page-24-0)} In TME, ROS is regarded as having a detrimental role in the viability and function of NK cells. NK cells are susceptible to apoptosis induced by oxidative stress. And ROS in NK cells derived from tryptophane catabolite kynurenine or lactate could promote their apoptosis. 233 233 233 In non-smallcell lung cancer, activation of antioxidative pathways such as thioredoxin increases the ability of NK cells to resist oxidative stress and possess a higher thiol capacity.²³⁴ The high level of ROS in the TME is considered one of the reasons leading to the exhaustion of NK cells. Moreover, Aydin et al.²³⁵ demonstrated that ROS derived from NOX medullary cells might suppress the release of IFNy from NK cells, thus promoting pulmonary metastasis of melanoma in mice. And by fostering antioxidant capacity or decreasing ROS levels, NK cells could maintain their normal function and avoid exhaustion.^{[236](#page-24-0)}

7.6 Neutrophils

Neutrophils are crucial to the innate immune system. Neutrophils are identified as having significant cellular plasticity and a wide range of subtypes, leading to the functional heterogeneity of both pro and antitumorigenesis functions of neutrophils in TME[.237,238](#page-24-0) Many studies have indicated that neutrophils exerted cytotoxic effects regulated by ROS in TME. A vivo study suggested that $H₂O₂$ derived from neutrophils could kill metastatic breast cancer cells in the pre-metastatic lung, thus reducing the distant growth of primary tumors. And when used the H_2O_2 scavenger catalase, this effect would be abolished, indicating that it was H_2O_2 that was responsible for tumor cell apoptosis.²³⁹ Another study focused on the underlying mechanism and found that H_2O_2 induced apoptosis of cancer cells via the TRPM2 ion channel. 240 Besides those direct impacts, neutrophil ROS also regulates the functions of other immune cells in the TME. H_2O_2 derived from neutrophils can inhibit the function of NK cells, decreasing tumor clearance and promoting lung colonization in mice with metastatic breast cancer. 241 Neutrophils-derived ROS can also impair the proliferation of T cells and influence the production of the protumorigenic cytokine IL-17. 242 Recently, several studies have indicated that neutrophil ROS correlated with tumor initiation. Another study observed that colon tumors were more invasive in mice with specific deletion of GPX4, a ROS scavenger, after repeatedly injecting the carcinogenic agent azoxymethane. GPX4-deficient myeloid cells could produce excessive ROS, increasing the mutational burden of colonic epithelial cells and initiating more aggressive tumors[.243](#page-24-0) A study in 2020 found that ROS derived from neutrophils could enhance the DNA damage that helps the early tumor development in primary lung cancer. Neutrophils contribute directly to neoplastic transformation by amplifying the genotoxicity of urethane in lung cells via ROS[.244](#page-24-0)

NETosis (neutrophil cell death with releasing neutrophil extracellular traps) has been found to regulate tumor progression. Depletion of albumin and free thiols can cause a systemic redox imbalance, leading to ROS accumulation in neutrophils and further triggering NETosis in the lung, promoting lung metastasis in vivo. 245

7.7 B cells

It has been suggested that B cells receptor (BCR) activated by antigen stimulation can induce elevated levels of ROS[.246](#page-24-0) Hematopoietic stem cells differentiate into multipotent progenitor cells and common lymphoid progenitor cells in the bone marrow and further transform into mature B cells.[247](#page-24-0) Mature B cells can differentiate into antibody-secreting plasma B cells, memory B cells, and cytokine-producing B cells when combined with antigens or other molecules. 248 ROS is an essential modulator in the maturation, activation, and proliferation of B cells, also involved in the apoptosis of B cells by mediating oxidative stress. 249 ROS is proven to affect some physiological processes of B-lineage lymphoid cells through the signaling pathway of the tyrosine phosphorylation of proteins[.250](#page-24-0) In the tumor immune responses, B cells are involved in the clonal expansion of tumor antigen-specific T cells via antigen presentation and antibody secretion, suppressing the brain tumor and modulating B cell HLA genes as an antitumor vaccine.^{[251,252](#page-24-0)} Elevated ROS levels were found in several B-cell malignancies, such as B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and B-cell lymphomas.^{253,254} Moreover, B-cell-derived cancer cells are sensitive to prooxidant treatments, which may be related to the specific metabolic reprogramming of these cells.²⁵⁵

8 ROS AND CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY

8.1 Synergistic effects of ROS and immunotherapy

As highlighted earlier, the levels of ROS intricately intertwine with the immune response, thereby significantly impacting the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. Notably, ROS plays critical roles in diverse forms of immunotherapy, encompassing immune checkpoint blockade, chimeric antigen receptors (CARs), and cancer vaccines. In the subsequent section, we will elucidate the intricate relationship between ROS and these distinct modalities of immunotherapy (Figure [4\)](#page-13-0).

8.1.1 Immune checkpoint blockade

The successful targeting of immune checkpoints, such as CTLA-4 and PD1/PDL1, represents a significant advancement in cancer therapy over the past decade.²⁵⁶ Studies have proved that ROS plays essential role in the response of ICB. In ovarian cancer, a novel synthesis stimulating ROS production has exhibited synergistic effects with anti-CTLA-4 therapy, effectively impeding tumor metastasis progression and promoting the infiltration of T cells. 257 Furthermore, heightened levels of ROS and oxidative stress within the TME have been associated with intensified immunosuppression mediated by Tregs. Apoptotic Tregs have demonstrated greater efficacy in suppressing T cell activation and have been implicated in undermining the therapeutic efficacy of PD-L1 blockade in mouse models bearing tumors.¹⁸³ Additionally, combined treatment involving an anti-PD-L1 agent and the photosensitizer (PS) indocyanine green (ICG) has been observed to induce ROS during photodynamic therapy (PDT), resulting in enhanced infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells within tumors and a concomitant attenuation in both primary and metastatic tumor growth. 258 Notably, a separate study has proposed that the application of a ROS generator can heighten the effectiveness of PD-1 blockade, even leading to the complete recovery of certain mice in an immunogenic colorectal cancer xenograft model.²⁵⁹ Moreover, the mod-

ulation of ROS generation through Nano-FdUMP has been demonstrated to enhance the efficacy of immunogenic cell death induced by Nano-Folox, with subsequent combined treatments involving Nano-FdUMP/Nano-Folox and anti-PD-L1 antibodies displaying the capacity to suppress colorectal cancer liver metastasis and enhance overall survival in murine models.^{[260](#page-25-0)}

8.1.2 Chimeric antigen receptors

Therapies involving engineered T cells, notably CARs (CAR-T cells), confer the dual advantage of antigen recognition and T cell response, showcasing clinical efficacy in both solid tumors and hematological malignancies.^{261,262} Specifically, B7-H3 CAR-T cells have demonstrated effective inhibition of non-small cell lung cancer, concurrently modulating tumor glucose metabolism through the activation of the ROS pathway. 263 In the context of CLL, tumor cells can disrupt T cell mitochondria, enhancing mitochondrial respiration, membrane potential, and the production of ROS, consequently diminishing the effectiveness of CAR-T cell therapies. 264 Yoo et al. 265 found that application of prodrugs of ROS accelerators increased accumulation of ROS specifically within the TME, significantly augmenting the cytotoxicity of CAR-T cells in Burkitt lymphoma cell lines Raji and Daudi. Furthermore, in a mouse model of myeloma, c-reactive protein has been found to induce increased levels of ROS and oxidized GSH in CD8⁺ T cells, consequently suppressing the immune response of CAR-T treatments.²⁶⁶ It is also worth mentioning that mesenchymal stem cells have the capacity to modulate ROS levels, thereby impeding the formation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and ultimately hindering the efficacy of CAR-T therapies.^{[267](#page-25-0)}

8.1.3 Cancer vaccine

Cancer vaccine utilizes tumor-associated antigen and tumor-specific antigen to initiate the immune system of cancer patients, effectively triggering tumor antigenspecific cellular immune responses that target and elimi-nate cancer cells.^{[268](#page-25-0)} Research has highlighted the crucial role of ROS in the antitumor activity induced by cationic liposome-based cancer vaccines.²⁶⁹ For instance, the development of a folic acid-modified liposome loaded with chlorin e6 (FA-Lipo-Ce6) as a DC vaccine has proven to be a promising approach. Upon irradiation, the loaded chlorin e6 can be activated, leading to the generation of ROS at the tumor site, subsequently inducing cancer cell apoptosis and the exposure of tumor-associated antigens.²⁷⁰ In a similar vein, Zhang et al.²⁷¹ demonstrated

FIGURE 4 The association between ROS and cancer immunotherapy. The role of ROS is closely intertwined with cancer immunotherapy. ROS profoundly influences the efficacy of various immunotherapies, including immune checkpoint therapy, CAR-T cells, and cancer vaccines. Notably, novel immunotherapies such as photodynamic therapy (PDT) and metal nanoparticle therapy (MNT) have been developed based on ROS. Targeting ROS modulators shows promising potential for achieving significant clinical effects. xCT, the glutamate/cystine antiporter solute carrier family 7 member 11 (SLC7A11).

the efficacy of a programmable nanomedicine based on supramolecular assembly. This nanomedicine, upon accumulation at the tumor site, triggered increased ROS levels within the TME, thereby facilitating the dissociation of the programmable immune activation nanomedicine and the subsequent release of components that guide the killing of cancer cells and the release of antigens. 271 Additionally, Xu et al[.272](#page-25-0) observed that a personalized cancer vaccine in the form of reduced graphene oxide nanosheets (RGO-PEG) could enhance the production of ROS in DCs, thereby facilitating the processing and presentation of antigens to T cells for the specific elimination of cancer cells.

8.2 Photodynamic immunotherapy based on ROS

PDT is a noninvasive treatment that harnesses the power of ROS generated by PSs to target and combat tumors.²⁷³ PSs are compounds capable of absorbing specific wavelengths of ultraviolet light, resulting in the production of free radicals or ions and initiating photopolymerization. Upon irradiation, PSs activate and transfer energy to oxygen, leading to the generation of ROS. PDT is known for its reduced adverse effects and systemic toxicity in comparison with conventional radiotherapy and chemotherapy.²⁷⁴ By inducing oxidative stress, PDT stimulates the activation of innate immune cells and the expression of IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-*υ*. Notably, studies have shown that fluorineassembled photodynamic immunotherapy (PMPt) is able to generate significant levels of ROS upon laser irradiation, releasing cisplatin-conjugated PMPt and inhibiting tumor growth by targeting Treg cells and MDSCs. 275 Several preclinical investigations have demonstrated that combining immune checkpoint inhibitors with PDT can lead to enhanced antitumor effects.²⁷⁶ For instance, in the CT26 bilateral tumor model, the administration of PD-L1 blockade alongside light-induced ROS production led to increased infiltration of CD8⁺ T cells in both primary and distal tumors, ultimately suppressing tumor progression[.277](#page-25-0) However, the efficacy of PDTs in clinical settings is often hindered by insufficient oxygen supply

and hypoxia within the TME of solid tumors, resulting in reduced ROS production from PSs. Consequently, various research efforts have focused on strategies to generate ROS in situ or deliver oxygen to the TME, aiming to improve the effectiveness of PDTs[.278,279](#page-25-0) Recent studies have introduced innovative approaches such as a catalytic nano platform ($nGO-hemin-Ce6$), 280 and piezophotocatalytic therapy, 281 both of which have exhibited promising tumor-suppression effects through the induction of intracellular ROS and subsequent apoptosis of cancer cells. Furthermore, the utilization of cancer cell membrane-camouflaged gold nanocages loaded with doxorubicin (DOX) and I-buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) has been found to evoke ferroptosis through the consumption of GSH and ROS generation. This approach, combined with photothermal therapy, has demonstrated the potential to repolarize TAMs from a protumor M2 phenotype to an antitumor M1 phenotype, thus improving the immune response against tumors.²⁸²

8.3 Metallic immunotherapy based on ROS

Metal nanoparticles (MNPs), characterized by their small size and high tensile strength, have demonstrated the ability to penetrate solid tumors alongside target materials, making them a promising tool in cancer therapy.²⁸³ MNPs exert their therapeutic effects by generating ROS through interactions with cell mitochondria. MNPs such as iron (Fe), copper (Cu), and manganese (Mn) can impede electron transfer and influence the mitochondrial membrane potential, resulting in the accumulation of excessive ROS via Fenton and Fenton-like reactions. $284-286$ For instance, iron oxide has been shown to convert intracellular H_2O_2 into reactive hydroxyl radicals, enhancing oxidative stress and inducing cancer cell death through heterogeneous Fenton reactions.²⁸⁷ Elevated levels of ROS in the TME and the systemic circulation have been found to promote the release of proinflammatory cytokines, thereby enhancing the immune response. Moreover, studies have indicated that magnetite IONPs can drive the polarization of macrophages, shifting M2 macrophages to an M1 phenotype by downregulating the expression of M2-associated arginase 1[.288](#page-25-0) Additionally, in vivo experiments with tumor models treated with gold nanospheres containing the pardaxin (FAL) peptide and ICG demonstrated increased ROS levels compared with the untreated group, suggesting a synergistic antitumor effect resulting from the combination of PDT and photothermal therapy $(PTT).^{289}$ $(PTT).^{289}$ $(PTT).^{289}$ By enhancing the structure and composition of metal-organic frameworks, researchers aim to develop a new clinically applicable nanotechnology platform that facilitates

MedComm 15 of 29

immune stimulation within the TME, enabling catalytic PDT and immunogenic cell death, thus improving cancer immunotherapy.²⁹⁰ Notably, Xiang et al.²⁹¹ have developed a novel polyphenol-metal nanocarrier for the fabrication of core–shell nanoparticles containing cisplatin, which remain stable in circulation and specifically disassemble at the tumor site due to polyphenol dissociation induced by ROS. Furthermore, $SnFe₂O₄$ nanocrystals have shown promise as heterogeneous Fenton catalysts and can be delivered to the lungs through respiratory movement, exhibiting antitumor effects via the enhanced permeability and retention effect or magnetically guided drug targeting technology[.292](#page-26-0)

8.4 Treatments targeting ROS modulators in cancer as a new immunotherapy

Targeting ROS modulators has been a novel immunotherapy. Among those modulators, we focused on the promising and widely studied component, including mitochondrial ETC, NOXs, xCT, and thioredoxin reductases (TrxRs). Drugs targeting these ROS modulators have been developed, even undergoing clinical trials (Table [2\)](#page-15-0).

8.4.1 Targeting mitochondrial metabolism

The cores of solid tumors are mostly with poor vascularization and low oxygen level. And these tumors could maintain the function of respiration since the ETC could generally work at low oxygen levels.^{293,294} Moreover, diminishing ETC function can suppress the TCA cycle, 295 further increasing the levels of ROS and suppressing tumor growth. A study conducted in several models of liver cancer, including cell line, organoid, and murine xenografts, found that inhibition of ETC complex I and III could suppress cancer cell growth and clonogenicity by regulating the generation of ROS, inducing apoptosis and reducing ATP production.²⁹⁶ Therefore, the mitochondria ETC can be a potential therapeutic target in tumors. Elesclomol is a chemotherapeutic drug treating metastatic melanoma.[297](#page-26-0) It can transport copper ions into the cancer cell, which can be selectively enriched in mitochondria and affect the lipoylated TCA cycle proteins. Finally elesclomol results in a novel form of cell death termed cuproptosis[.298,299](#page-26-0) MitoQ is an antioxidant targeting mitochondria that can localize to mitochondrial membrane and exert a long-lasting function.³⁰⁰ It can suppress the initiation of hepatocarcinoma by regulating mtROS, connexins and the expression of p53 as a chemopreventive treatment. 301 DT-010, a synthetic product of danshensu and tetramethylpyrazine

16 of 29 YU et al.

Agent	Mechanisms	Status	Clinical trial no.	References		
Targeting mitochondrial electron transport chain complexes (ETC)						
Elesclomol	Transport copper ions into cells and cause the oligomerization of lipoylated dihydrolipoamide S-acetyl-transferase	Clinical trials phase I/II/III	NCT00522834 ^a	335,336		
MitoQ	Inactivate mitochondrial tumor necrosis factor. target mitochondrial ETC I, III, and IV	Clinical trials phase I/II	NCT06069245 ^a	337-339		
DT-010	Inhibit mitochondrial respiratory chain complex II and the glycolysis pathway, increase DOX accumulation in cells	Preclinical study		340,341		
Targeting NOXs						
GKT137831	Inhibit NOX1, NOX4, and NOX5, downregulate MAPK pathway	Clinical trials phase I/II	NCT03226067ª	$342 - 345$		
NOS31	Inhibit NOX1, NOX4	Preclinical study		311		
Capsaicin	Inhibit SIRT1/NOX4 signaling pathway, inhibit NAD+-dependent SIRT1 deacetylase, suppress activated microglia-derived NADPH oxidase	Clinical trials phase I/II/III	NCT00993070 ^a	346-349		
Targeting xCT						
Erastin	INHIBIT VDAC2/VDAC3, block GSH synthesis, increase lipid peroxidation and lipid ROS	Preclinical study		350,351		
Sulfasalazine	Regulate CD44v9-xCT system, inhibit GPX4, trigger ferroptosis	FDA approved		352,353		
Aspirin	Inhibit xCT, induce ROS-dependent depolarization and activate voltage-gated Ca2+ entry	FDA approved		354,355		
Targeting TrxRs						
Auranofin	Reduce activity of TrxR1, decrease GSH levels, increase intracellular ROS levels, inhibit the activity of STAT3 and telomerase	FDA approved		330, 356, 357		
Ethaselen	Inhibit TrxR1 and NF- κ B, increase ratio of Bax to B cell lympoma-2 protein	Preclinical study		333,358		
Ruthenium(II) complex	Induce the potential loss of mitochondria membrane, inhibit TrxR activity, promote oxidative stress-sensitive MAPK	Preclinical study		359-361		

TABLE 2 The mechanisms and research status of typical drugs that target ROS modulators mentioned above.

Abbreviations: DOX, doxorubicin; GSH, glutathione; MAPK, mitogen activated protein kinase; NOXs, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases; NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; SIRT1, sirtuin1; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TrxRs, thioredoxin reductases; VDAC, voltage-dependent anion channel; xCT, the glutamate/cystine antiporter solute carrier family 7 member 11 (SLC7A11); clinical trials are available from: [http://ClinicalTrials.gov/.](http://ClinicalTrials.gov/)

aTrials with reported results.

that can kill breast cancer cells via inhibiting ETC complex II, which can further be reversed by NAC, a cysteine compound that has an antioxidant effect.^{[302](#page-26-0)}

8.4.2 Targeting NOXs

NOXs can produce H_2O_2 and O_2^- , one kind of essential molecules that regulate cellular redox levels, growthrelated responses, and cancer progression[.303,304](#page-26-0) Growing evidence has suggested that ROS derived from NOXs fam-

ily may contribute to genomic instability, promoting the initiation of cancer and drug resistance. $305,306$ A study conducted in vivo and in vitro found that NOX5-derived ROS could mediate epithelial cell proliferation and sur-vival in prostate cancer.^{[307](#page-26-0)} The level of NOX2 increased in gastric cancer and promoted angiogenesis and tumorigenesis, thus rendering NOX2 a potential therapeutic target.³⁰⁸ GKT137831 is a selective NOX4 inhibitor that can attenuate the generation of hypoxia-induced H_2O_2 in human pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells and human pulmonary artery endothelial cells.³⁰⁹ An in vitro and in

vivo study suggested that GKT137831 could inhibit glucose and glutamine metabolic phenotypes and cancer progression when combined with 2-DG.³¹⁰ NOS31 is a novel specific NOX1 inhibitor identified by Yamamoto et al. in 2017, which can specifically suppress the production of NOX1-derived ROS. Further study found that NOS31 could inhibit the proliferation of several colon and stomach cancer cells overexpressing NOX1, while those cells that expressed low levels of NOX1 would not be influenced.^{[311](#page-26-0)} Capsaicin, a natural component extracted from chili peppers, is regarded as a potential NOX inhibitor. Capsaicin suppresses the activity of NOXs and induces an abnormal ROS stress to selectively kill k-ras-transformed cells, which occurs in >90% of pancreatic ductal carcinoma[.312](#page-26-0)

8.4.3 Targeting xCT

xCT, known as the glutamate/cystine antiporter solute carrier family 7 member 11 (SLC7A11), is a molecule of the antiporter system x_c^- that regulates the exchange of extracellular l-cystine and intracellular l-glutamate across the plasma membrane for GSH synthesis. xCT is involved in modulating the survival of somatic and immune cells and the progression of cancer cells, further promoting chemotherapy resistance.³¹³ It is indicated that the elevated expression level of xCT is associated with the recurrence of colorectal cancer and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma[.314,315](#page-26-0) Moreover, the low expression level of xCT leads to a decreased level of GSH and an increased level of ROS, further causing tumor cell death and thus indicating xCT as a potential therapeutic target. 316

Sulfasalazine is an xCT inhibitor with chemosensitizing efficacy[.317](#page-26-0) Sulfasalazine inhibits xCT, impairing the ROS defense system and promoting the efficacy of cisplatin and DOX in CD133-positive HCC.³¹⁸ Sulfasalazine can also downregulate the levels of GSH, increasing the effectiveness of celastrol in celastrol-resistant glioma cells characterized by overexpression of xCT ³¹⁹ Erastin is a voltage-dependent, anion channel-binding xCT inhibitor. Erastin can induce an iron-dependent cell death, known as ferroptosis[.16](#page-18-0) When combined with cannabidiol, Erastin exhibits a synergistic increase in ROS levels and suppresses glioma stem cell viability, invasion, and self-renewal.³²⁰ In addition, aspirin can potently inhibit xCT and decrease GSH, inducing ROS accumulation in head and neck cancer cells when combined with sorafenib.³²¹

8.4.4 Targeting thioredoxin reductases

TrxRs are a group of selenium-containing pyridine nucleotide-disulfide oxidoreductases.^{[322](#page-26-0)} TrxRs can cat-

MedComm 2 17 of 29

alyze the NADPH-dependent reduction of the redox protein Trx to regulate the intracellular oxidative balance.³²³ The thioredoxin system affects many biological functions, such as resisting oxidative stress, deoxyribonucleotide synthesis, and cell apoptosis[.324,325](#page-27-0) Several studies have suggested that upregulating the expression of TrxR-induced drug-specific cytotoxic responses while knocking down TrxR could improve the efficacy of several chemotherapeutics.^{326,327} Therefore, TrxR is regarded as a potential therapeutic target for some chemotherapy-resistant cancers. Auranofin, used to treat RA, has been found the ability to induce cancer cell death[.328](#page-27-0) In leukemic cells that overexpressed TrxR, auranofin is more productive than DOX in suppressing cancer cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis. 329 It was also reported that in vitro study, auranofin could decrease cancer side population cells and downregulate stem cell markers to prevent colony formation. Moreover, in vivo, auranofin could suppress the initiation of tumors.^{[330](#page-27-0)} Ethaselen is another compound that can inhibit TrxR1 and exhibit a synergistic effect when combined with certain chemotherapeutic drugs[.331,332](#page-27-0) Ethaselen could increase the efficacy of cisplatin in cisplatin-resistant human erythrocyte leukemic K562/CDDP cells. Besides, it could also potently increase levels of ROS by inhibiting NF-kB, leading to the release of cytochrome release and cancer cell apoptosis.³³³ Zeng et al.³³⁴ synthesized Ruthenium(II) complex 4, a more efficacious compound than cisplatin in inhibiting MDR A549R cell proliferation. It can inhibit the expression of TrxR and cause the accumulation of intracellular ROS, resulting in mitochondrial dysfunction and an arrested cell cycle that induce apoptosis of A549R cells[.334](#page-27-0)

9 CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS

As one of the molecular reactive species, ROS plays a vital role in cell signaling and various biochemical reactions. ROS can regulate epigenetic modifications to exhibit a long-term impact on cells. Subsequent oxidative stress by ROS is proved to be directly associated with multiple types of cell death, including apoptosis, necrosis, and autophagy. Moreover, transcriptional factors are connected with redox regulation for maintaining the cell physiology. While in abnormal pathological conditions, ROS is still inevitable to be involved in the process of inflammation. The interaction between ROS and inflammation is a feedback loop, where inflammation state result in redox imbalances and redox status regulates the inflammation response. Based on this relation, the functions of ROS in inflammation-induced tumorigenesis are further elucidated. Many research have emphasized the crucial roles of ROS and inflammation in tumorigenesis. Notably, ROS is found to enhance DNA damage and genomic instability caused by inflammation, accelerating neoplasm. After the development of tumor, ROS still exhibit its unique functions in cancer. ROS is related to the proliferation, metabolism, invasion and metastasis of cancer cells. The functions of ROS in cancer are intricate and multifaceted. Typically, cancer cells exhibit a higher level of ROS accumulation. When ROS levels surpass the cellular antioxidant capacity, excessive oxidative stress will induce genomic instability and subsequent cell death in cancer cells. In those processes, NRF2 is crucial in both tumor progression and redox homeostasis. Loss of NRF2 triggers tumorigenesis, while abnormal activation and accumulation of NRF2 promote tumor progression. Besides, other antioxidants also contribute to the survival of cancer cells. Therefore, supplementation of exogenous antioxidants, including NAC and vitamin E, is regarded as a therapy for interfering with tumor process. More specifically, ROS can influence cancer immunity in the TME, further exerting its function on tumors. Current studies have shown that ROS is associated with almost all kinds of immune cells in the TME, including T cells, MDSCs, macrophages, DCs, NK cells, neutrophils, and B cells. A clear understanding of the relationship between ROS and immune cells will help further recognize the immune microenvironment of tumors and develop new therapeutic approaches. Based on its impact on cancer immunity, ROS is further found to play a vital role in cancer immunotherapy. However, the exact functions of ROS in immunotherapy are still needed to be explored. In some cases, accumulation of ROS can enhance the immune response of ICB or CAR-T, while in other cases, increased levels of ROS impair the efficacy of immunotherapy. Despite those widely applied treatment, two novel types of immunotherapies directly based on ROS, PDT and MNPs, is promising to achieve clinical benefits. Meanwhile, the regulatory molecules in the process of ROS generation, such as the mitochondrial transport chain, NOXs, and xCT, are also worthy of attention. Currently, many basic studies have proved that drugs targeting ROS modulators have a certain effect on inhibiting the growth of tumor cells, but unfortunately, very few can be used in clinical practice.

Certainly, the complex interplay between ROS, inflammation, and cancer presents several key areas that warrant focused investigation and the development of targeted therapeutic strategies. Moving forward, a more comprehensive understanding of the precise molecular mechanisms through which ROS modulate inflammatory pathways and impact cancer progression is crucial. Unraveling the specific signaling cascades and crosstalk between ROS and proinflammatory TFs could provide insights into their regulatory roles within the TME. Moreover, exploring the intricate connections between ROS and the immune response, particularly their influence on immune cell polarization and modulation of checkpoint molecules, is essential for designing effective immunotherapies. Investigating the implications of ROS on the tumor mutational burden, neoantigen presentation, and the efficacy of cancer vaccines can guide the development of personalized immunotherapeutic interventions targeting ROS-associated pathways. In parallel, the development of innovative ROS-targeted therapies for cancer treatment holds promise. The design of targeted ROS modulators, such as scavengers or ROS-generating agents, specific to the TME, could provide novel strategies for controlling tumor progression and preserving antitumor immune responses. Integrating advanced imaging techniques for real-time ROS monitoring and nanoparticle-based delivery systems for ROS modulators can further enhance the efficacy of ROS-based therapies.

Additionally, understanding the diverse ROS profiles in different cancer types and their molecular subtypes can facilitate the development of tailored treatment approaches. Investigating the impact of ROS on tumor heterogeneity, metastatic potential, and therapeutic resistance is essential for devising effective strategies specific to different cancer subtypes. Integrating multi-omics approaches and advanced computational models can accelerate the identification of ROS-associated biomarkers and therapeutic targets, ultimately contributing to precision oncology.

In conclusion, future research efforts should focus on unraveling the intricate molecular networks involving ROS in inflammation and cancer. This requires interdisciplinary collaborations and the integration of cutting-edge technologies to decipher complex signaling pathways and spatial dynamics within the TME. By advancing our understanding of ROS-mediated mechanisms and their implications for cancer therapy, the future holds the potential for transformative advancements in precision oncology and the development of personalized ROS-targeted treatments.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Study design and manuscript writing: Yunfei Yu, Shengzhuo Liu, and Luchen Yang. *Language editing*: Pan Song and Xiaoyang Liu. *Figure and table making*: Zhenghuan Liu and Xin Yan. Qiang Dong was responsible for the whole work design and supervising the execution. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Figures in this manuscript were created using BioRender.com.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest for each author.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Not applicable.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Not applicable.

ETH ICS STATEMENT

Not applicable.

ORCID

Yunfei Yu <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-4760-5260> *Xiaoyang Liu* <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7284-8946> *Qiang Dong* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0343-8254>

REFERENCES

- 1. Sies H, Jones DP. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as pleiotropic physiological signalling agents. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2020;21(7):363-383.
- 2. D'Autréaux B, Toledano MB. ROS as signalling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;8(10):813-824.
- 3. Deponte M. Glutathione catalysis and the reaction mechanisms of glutathione-dependent enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013;1830:3217-3266.
- 4. Nordberg J, Arnér ES. Reactive oxygen species, antioxidants, and the mammalian thioredoxin system. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2001;31:1287-1312.
- 5. Netea MG, Balkwill F, Chonchol M, et al. A guiding map for inflammation. *Nat, Immunol*. 2017;18(8):826-831.
- 6. Greten FR, Grivennikov SI. Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. *Immunity*. 2019;51:27-41.
- 7. Marozzi M, Parnigoni A, Negri A, et al. Inflammation, extracellular matrix remodeling, and proteostasis in tumor microenvironment. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;22(15):8102. doi[:10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22158102) [ijms22158102](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22158102)
- 8. Silwal P, Kim JK, Kim YJ, Jo EK. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species: double-edged weapon in host defense and pathological inflammation during infection. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:1649.
- 9. Saikolappan S, Kumar B, Shishodia G, Koul S, Koul HK. Reactive oxygen species and cancer: a complex interaction. *Cancer Lett*. 2019;452:132-143.
- 10. Aggarwal V, Tuli HS, Varol A, et al. Role of reactive oxygen species in cancer progression: molecular mechanisms and recent advancements. *Biomolecules*. 2019;9(11):735. doi[:10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9110735) [biom9110735](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9110735)
- 11. Rosenow EC, Johnson FH. Studies on the nature of antibodies produced in vitro from bacteria with hydrogen peroxide and heat. *J Bacteriol*. 1946;55(3):219-232.
- 12. Badwey JA, Karnovsky ML. Active oxygen species and the functions of phagocytic leukocytes. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1980;49:695- 726.
- 13. Carp H, Janoff A. In vitro suppression of serum elastaseinhibitory capacity by reactive oxygen species generated by phagocytosing polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *J Clin Invest*. 1979;63(4):793-797.
- 14. Weitzman SA, Gordon LI. Inflammation and cancer: role of phagocyte-generated oxidants in carcinogenesis. *Blood*. 1990;76:655-663.
- 15. West AP, Brodsky IE, Rahner C, et al. TLR signalling augments macrophage bactericidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. *Nature*. 2011;472(7344):476-480.
- 16. Dixon SJ, Lemberg KM, Lamprecht MR, et al. Ferroptosis: an iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell*. 2012;149:1060-1072.
- 17. Wang W, Green M, Choi JE, et al. CD8(+) T cells regulate tumour ferroptosis during cancer immunotherapy. *Nature*. 2019;569:270-274.
- 18. Kim R, Hashimoto A, Markosyan N, et al. Ferroptosis of tumour neutrophils causes immune suppression in cancer. *Nature*. 2022;612:338-346.
- 19. Starkov AA. The role of mitochondria in reactive oxygen species metabolism and signaling. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2008;1147:37-52.
- 20. Li X, Fang P, Mai J, Choi ET, Wang H, Yang XF. Targeting mitochondrial reactive oxygen species as novel therapy for inflammatory diseases and cancers.*J Hematol Oncol*. 2013;6:19.
- 21. Schägger H, Pfeiffer K. The ratio of oxidative phosphorylation complexes I-V in bovine heart mitochondria and the composition of respiratory chain supercomplexes. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276:37861-37867.
- 22. Babior BM, Lambeth JD, Nauseef W. The neutrophil NADPH oxidase. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2002;397:342-344.
- 23. Ushio-Fukai M. Localizing NADPH oxidase-derived ROS. *Sci STKE*. 2006;2006:re8.
- 24. Storz P. Reactive oxygen species in tumor progression. *Front Biosci*. 2005;10:1881-1896.
- 25. Beyersmann D, Hartwig A. Carcinogenic metal compounds: recent insight into molecular and cellular mechanisms. *Arch Toxicol*. 2008;82(8):493-512.
- 26. Bystrom LM, Guzman ML, Rivella S. Iron and reactive oxygen species: friends or foes of cancer cells? *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2014;20:1917-1924.
- 27. Veal EA, Day AM, Morgan BA. Hydrogen peroxide sensing and signaling. *Mol Cell*. 2007;26:1-14.
- 28. Nathan C, Ding A. SnapShot: reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI). *Cell*. 2010;140:951-951. e2.
- 29. Hughes G, Murphy MP, Ledgerwood EC. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate the temporal activation of nuclear factor kappaB to modulate tumour necrosis factor-induced apoptosis: evidence from mitochondria-targeted antioxidants. *Biochem J*. 2005;389:83-89.
- 30. Corcoran A, Cotter TG. Redox regulation of protein kinases. *FEBS J*. 2013;280:1944-1965.
- 31. Win S, Than TA, Fernandez-Checa JC, Kaplowitz N. JNK interaction with Sab mediates ER stress induced inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and cell death. *Cell Death Dis*. 2014;5:e989.
- 32. Li J, Hu X, Selvakumar P, et al. Role of the nitric oxide pathway in AMPK-mediated glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation in heart muscle.*Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;287:E834- E841.
- 33. Esposito F, Chirico G, Montesano Gesualdi N, et al. Protein kinase B activation by reactive oxygen species is independent of tyrosine kinase receptor phosphorylation and requires SRC activity. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278(23):20828-20834.
- 34. Sundaresan M, Yu ZX, Ferrans VJ, Irani K, Finkel T. Requirement for generation of H2O2 for platelet-derived growth factor signal transduction. *Science*. 1995;270:296-299.
- 35. Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O2 tension. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1995;92(12):5510- 5514.
- 36. Martínez-Reyes I, Diebold LP, Kong H, et al. TCA cycle and mitochondrial membrane potential are necessary for diverse biological functions. *Mol Cell*. 2016;61:199-209.
- 37. Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ. Regulation of angiogenesis by hypoxia: role of the HIF system. *Nat Med*. 2003;9:677-684.
- 38. De Smet F, Segura I, De Bock K, Hohensinner PJ, Carmeliet P. Mechanisms of vessel branching: filopodia on endothelial tip cells lead the way. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2009;29:639- 649.
- 39. Ferrara N. VEGF-A: a critical regulator of blood vessel growth. *Eur Cytokine Netw*. 2009;20:158-163.
- 40. Hoeben A, Landuyt B, Highley MS, Wildiers H, Van Oosterom AT, De Bruijn EA. Vascular endothelial growth factor and angiogenesis. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2004;56:549-580.
- 41. Roberts DD. Extracellular matrix and redox signaling in cellular responses to stress. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2017;27:771-773.
- 42. Sen CK, Roy S. Redox signals in wound healing. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2008;1780:1348-1361.
- 43. Singla B, Holmdahl R, Csanyi G. Editorial: oxidants and redox signaling in inflammation. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:545.
- 44. Janke R, Dodson AE, Rine J. Metabolism and epigenetics.*Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*. 2015;31:473-496.
- 45. Mosharov E, Cranford MR, Banerjee R. The quantitatively important relationship between homocysteine metabolism and glutathione synthesis by the transsulfuration pathway and its regulation by redox changes. *Biochemistry*. 2000;39(42):13005- 13011.
- 46. Martínez-Reyes I, Chandel NS. Mitochondrial TCA cycle metabolites control physiology and disease. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:102.
- 47. Tretter L, Adam-Vizi V. Inhibition of Krebs cycle enzymes by hydrogen peroxide: a key role of [alpha]-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase in limiting NADH production under oxidative stress. *J Neurosci*. 2000;20:8972-8979.
- 48. Tsuchiya Y, Peak-Chew SY, Newell C, et al. Protein CoAlation: a redox-regulated protein modification by coenzyme A in mammalian cells. *Biochem J*. 2017;474:2489-2508.
- 49. Vicente-Gutierrez C, Bonora N, Bobo-Jimenez V, et al. Astrocytic mitochondrial ROS modulate brain metabolism and mouse behaviour. *Nat Metab*. 2019;1:201-211.
- 50. Jaud M, Philippe C, Di Bella D, et al. Translational regulations in response to endoplasmic reticulum stress in cancers. *Cells*. 2020;9(3):540. doi[:10.3390/cells9030540](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9030540)
- 51. Grant CM. Regulation of translation by hydrogen peroxide. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2011;15:191-203.
- 52. Adomavicius T, Guaita M, Zhou Y, et al. The structural basis of translational control by eIF2 phosphorylation. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10:2136.
- 53. Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Abrams JM, et al. Molecular definitions of cell death subroutines: recommendations of the nomenclature committee on cell death 2012. *Cell Death Differ*. 2012;19:107-120.
- 54. Heo JM, Livnat-Levanon N, Taylor EB, et al. A stressresponsive system for mitochondrial protein degradation. *Mol Cell*. 2010;40:465-480.
- 55. Braun RJ, Zischka H, Madeo F, et al. Crucial mitochondrial impairment upon CDC48 mutation in apoptotic yeast. *J Biol Chem*. 2006;281(35):25757-25767.
- 56. Kagan VE, Tyurin VA, Jiang J, et al. Cytochrome c acts as a cardiolipin oxygenase required for release of proapoptotic factors. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2005;1(4):223-232.
- 57. Yang Y, White E. Autophagy suppresses TRP53/p53 and oxidative stress to enable mammalian survival. *Autophagy*. 2020;16:1355-1357.
- 58. Guo X, Hong S, He H, et al. NF*κ*B promotes oxidative stressinduced necrosis and ischemia/reperfusion injury by inhibiting Nrf2-ARE pathway. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2020;159:125-135.
- 59. Jiang X, Stockwell B, Conrad M. Ferroptosis: mechanisms, biology and role in disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2021;22:266-282.
- 60. Liu Y, Gu W. p53 in ferroptosis regulation: the new weapon for the old guardian. *Cell Death Differ*. 2022;29:895-910.
- 61. Liu G, Chen X. The ferredoxin reductase gene is regulated by the p53 family and sensitizes cells to oxidative stress-induced apoptosis. *Oncogene*. 2002;21(47):7195-7204.
- 62. Jiang L, Kon N, Li T, et al. Ferroptosis as a p53-mediated activity during tumour suppression. *Nature*. 2015;520(7545):57-62.
- 63. Humpton T, Hall H, Kiourtis C, et al. p53-mediated redox control promotes liver regeneration and maintains liver function in response to CCl(4). *Cell Death Differ*. 2022;29:514-526.
- 64. DeBlasi JM, DeNicola G. Dissecting the crosstalk between NRF2 signaling and metabolic processes in cancer. *Cancers*. 2020;12(10):3023. doi[:10.3390/cancers12103023](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12103023)
- 65. Semenza GX. Hypoxia-inducible factors: coupling glucose metabolism and redox regulation with induction of the breast cancer stem cell phenotype. *EMBO J*. 2017;36:252-259.
- 66. Lee G, Won HS, Lee YM, et al. Oxidative dimerization of PHD2 is responsible for its inactivation and contributes to metabolic reprogramming via HIF-1*α* activation. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:18928.
- 67. Kops GJ, Dansen TB, Polderman PE, et al. Forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a protects quiescent cells from oxidative stress. *Nature*. 2002;419:316-321.
- 68. Nemoto S, Finkel T. Redox regulation of forkhead proteins through a p66shc-dependent signaling pathway. *Science*. 2002;295(5564):2450-2452.
- 69. Zhao Y, Wang Y, Zhu WG. Applications of post-translational modifications of FoxO family proteins in biological functions. *J Mol Cell Biol*. 2011;3:276-282.
- 70. Sun J, Hoshino H, Takaku K, et al. Hemoprotein Bach1 regulates enhancer availability of heme oxygenase-1 gene. *EMBO J*. 2002;21:5216-5224.
- 71. Warnatz HJ, Schmidt D, Manke T, et al. The BTB and CNC homology 1 (BACH1) target genes are involved in the oxidative stress response and in control of the cell cycle. *J Biol Chem*. 2011;286:23521-23532.
- 72. Wiel C, Le Gal K, Ibrahim MX, et al. BACH1 stabilization by antioxidants stimulates lung cancer metastasis. *Cell*. 2019;178:330-345. e22.
- 73. Yamamoto M, Kensler TW, Motohashi H. The KEAP1-NRF2 system: a thiol-based sensor-effector apparatus for maintaining redox homeostasis. *Physiol Rev*. 2018;98:1169-1203.
- 74. Zhang TT, Qu N, Sun GH, et al. NRG1 regulates redox homeostasis via NRF2 in papillary thyroid cancer. *Int J Oncol*. 2018;53:685-693.

- 75. Eriksson SE, Ceder S, Bykov VJN, Wiman KG. p53 as a hub in cellular redox regulation and therapeutic target in cancer.*J Mol Cell Biol*. 2019;11(4):330-341.
- 76. Ferino A, Rapozzi V, Xodo LE. The ROS-KRAS-Nrf2 axis in the control of the redox homeostasis and the intersection with survival-apoptosis pathways: implications for photodynamic therapy. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2020;202:111672.
- 77. Qiang Z, Dong H, Xia Y, Chai D, Hu R, Jiang H. Nrf2 and STAT3 alleviates ferroptosis-mediated IIR-ALI by regulating SLC7A11. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2020;2020:5146982.
- 78. Consoli V, Sorrenti V, Grosso S, Vanella L. Heme oxygenase-1 signaling and redox homeostasis in physiopathological conditions. *Biomolecules*. 2021;11(4):589. doi[:10.3390/biom11040589](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11040589)
- 79. Wang H, Wang Q, Cai G, et al. Nuclear TIGAR mediates an epigenetic and metabolic autoregulatory loop via NRF2 in cancer therapeutic resistance. *Acta Pharm Sin B*. 2022;12:1871-1884.
- 80. Petsouki E, Cabrera SNS, Heiss EH. AMPK and NRF2: interactive players in the same team for cellular homeostasis? *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2022;190:75-93.
- 81. Zhao Z, Wang Y, Gao Y, et al. The PRAK-NRF2 axis promotes the differentiation of Th17 cells by mediating the redox homeostasis and glycolysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2023;120:e2212613120.
- 82. Lando D, Peet DJ, Gorman JJ, Whelan DA, Whitelaw ML, Bruick RK. FIH-1 is an asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor. *Genes Dev*. 2002;16(12):1466-1471.
- 83. Fukuda R, Zhang H, Kim et al. HIF-1 regulates cytochrome oxidase subunits to optimize efficiency of respiration in hypoxic cells. *Cell*. 2007;129:111-122.
- 84. Tello D, Balsa E, Acosta-Iborra B, et al. Induction of the mitochondrial NDUFA4L2 protein by HIF-1*α* decreases oxygen consumption by inhibiting Complex I activity. *Cell Metab*. 2011;14:768-779.
- 85. Ivan M. The EGLN-HIF O(2)-sensing system: multiple inputs and feedbacks. *Mol Cell*. 2017;66:772-779.
- 86. Feng X, Wang S, Sun Z, et al. Ferroptosis enhanced diabetic renal tubular injury via HIF-1*α*/HO-1 pathway in db/db mice. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2021;12:626390.
- 87. Lin Z, Song J, Gao Y, et al. Hypoxia-induced HIF-1*α*/lncRNA-PMAN inhibits ferroptosis by promoting the cytoplasmic translocation of ELAVL1 in peritoneal dissemination from gastric cancer. *Redox Biol*. 2022;52:102312.
- 88. Essers MA, Weijzen S, de Vries-Smits AM, et al. FOXO transcription factor activation by oxidative stress mediated by the small GTPase Ral and JNK. *EMBO J*. 2004;23:4802-4812.
- 89. Putker M, Madl T, Vos HR, et al. Redox-dependent control of FOXO/DAF-16 by transportin-1. *Mol Cell*. 2013;49:730-742.
- 90. Guo LT, Wang SQ, Su J, et al. Baicalin ameliorates neuroinflammation-induced depressive-like behavior through inhibition of toll-like receptor 4 expression via the PI3K/AKT/FoxO1 pathway. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2019;16(1):95.
- 91. Zhang Y, Nie L, Xu K, et al. SIRT6, a novel direct transcriptional target of FoxO3a, mediates colon cancer therapy. *Theranostics*. 2019;9:2380-2394.
- 92. Chen Z, Zhao C, Liu P, Huang H, Zhang S, Wang X. Antiapoptosis and autophagy effects of melatonin protect rat chon-

drocytes against oxidative stress via regulation of AMPK/Foxo3 pathways. *Cartilage*. 2021;13:1041S-1053S.

- 93. Pomatto LCD, Sun PY, Yu K, et al. Limitations to adaptive homeostasis in an hyperoxia-induced model of accelerated ageing. *Redox Biol*. 2019;24:101194.
- 94. Casares L, García V, Garrido-Rodríguez M, et al. Cannabidiol induces antioxidant pathways in keratinocytes by targeting BACH1. *Redox Biol*. 2020;28:101321.
- 95. Namgaladze D, Fuhrmann DC, Brüne B. Interplay of Nrf2 and BACH1 in inducing ferroportin expression and enhancing resistance of human macrophages towards ferroptosis. *Cell Death Discov*. 2022;8:327.
- 96. Wang C, Zhang L, Cao M, et al. Thioredoxin facilitates hepatocellular carcinoma stemness and metastasis by increasing BACH1 stability to activate the AKT/mTOR pathway. *FASEB J*. 2023;37:e22943.
- 97. Xiong S, Dong L, Cheng L. Neutrophils in cancer carcinogenesis and metastasis. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2021;14:173.
- 98. Huang J, Li Z, Hu Y, et al. Melatonin, an endogenous hormone, modulates Th17 cells via the reactive-oxygen species/TXNIP/HIF-1*α* axis to alleviate autoimmune uveitis. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2022;19:124.
- 99. Brüne B, Dehne N, Grossmann N, et al. Redox control of inflammation in macrophages. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2013;19:595-637.
- 100. Lei Y, Wang K, Deng L, Chen Y, Nice EC, Huang C. Redox regulation of inflammation: old elements, a new story. *Med Res Rev*. 2015;35:306-340.
- 101. Doll DN, Rellick SL, Barr TL, Ren X, Simpkins JW. Rapid mitochondrial dysfunction mediates TNF-alpha-induced neurotoxicity. *J Neurochem*. 2015;132:443-451.
- 102. Joffre J, Hellman J. Oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction in sepsis and acute inflammation. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2021;35:1291-1307.
- 103. Andrade B, Jara-Gutiérrez C, Paz-Araos M, Vázquez MC, Díaz P, Murgas P. The relationship between reactive oxygen species and the cGAS/STING signaling pathway in the inflammaging process. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23(23):15182. doi[:10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232315182) [ijms232315182](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232315182)
- 104. Tang SP, Mao XL, Chen YH, Yan LL, Ye LP, Li SW. Reactive oxygen species induce fatty liver and ischemia-reperfusion injury by promoting inflammation and cell death. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:870239.
- 105. YuW,Wang X, Zhao J, et al. Stat2-Drp1 mediated mitochondrial mass increase is necessary for pro-inflammatory differentiation of macrophages. *Redox Biol*. 2020;37:101761.
- 106. Biswas SK. Does the interdependence between oxidative stress and inflammation explain the antioxidant paradox? *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2016;2016:5698931.
- 107. Gong Y, Cao X, Gong L, Li W. Sulforaphane alleviates retinal ganglion cell death and inflammation by suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation in a rat model of retinal ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2019;33:2058738419861777.
- 108. West AP, Shadel GS. Mitochondrial DNA in innate immune responses and inflammatory pathology. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2017;17:363-375.
- 109. Sharma K, Kumar S, Prakash R, et al. Chebulinic acid alleviates LPS-induced inflammatory bone loss by targeting the crosstalk

between reactive oxygen species/NF*κ*B signaling in osteoblast cells. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2023;194:99-113.

- 110. Forrester SJ, Kikuchi DS, Hernandes MS, Xu Q, Griendling KK. Reactive oxygen species in metabolic and inflammatory signaling. *Circ Res*. 2018;122:877-902.
- 111. Medzhitov R. Origin and physiological roles of inflammation. *Nature*. 2008;454:428-435.
- 112. Mittal M, Siddiqui MR, Tran K, Reddy SP, Malik AB. Reactive oxygen species in inflammation and tissue injury. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2014;20:1126-1167.
- 113. Hurd TR, DeGennaro M, Lehmann R. Redox regulation of cell migration and adhesion. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2012;22:107-115.
- 114. Bedard K, Krause KH. The NOX family of ROS-generating NADPH oxidases: physiology and pathophysiology. *Physiol Rev*. 2007;87:245-313.
- 115. Piotrowski I, Kulcenty K, Suchorska W. Interplay between inflammation and cancer. *Rep Pract Oncol Radiother*. 2020;119:199-245.
- 116. Philip M, Schietinger A. CD8(+) T cell differentiation and dysfunction in cancer. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2022;22:209-223.
- 117. Oh DY, Fong L. Cytotoxic CD4(+) T cells in cancer: expanding the immune effector toolbox. *Immunity*. 2021;54:2701-2711.
- 118. Dolina JS, Van Braeckel-Budimir N, Thomas GD. Salek-Ardakani S. CD8(+) T cell exhaustion in cancer. *Front Immunol*. 2021;12:715234.
- 119. Shaul ME, Fridlender Z. Tumour-associated neutrophils in patients with cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2019;16:601-620.
- 120. Malfitano A, Pisanti S, Napolitano F, Di Somma S, Martinelli R, Portella G. Tumor-associated macrophage status in cancer treatment. *Cancers*. 2020;12(7):1987. doi[:10.3390/cancers12071987](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12071987)
- 121. Dallavalasa S, Beeraka NM, Basavaraju CG, et al. The role of tumor associated macrophages (TAMs) in cancer progression, chemoresistance, angiogenesis and metastasis—current status. *Curr Med Chem*. 2021;28:8203-8236.
- 122. Yuan D, Huang S, Berger E, et al. Kupffer cell-derived Tnf triggers cholangiocellular tumorigenesis through JNK due to chronic mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;31(6):771-789. e6.
- 123. Echizen K, Horiuchi K, Aoki Y, et al. NF-*κ*B-induced NOX1 activation promotes gastric tumorigenesis through the expansion of SOX2-positive epithelial cells. *Oncogene*. 2019;38(22):4250-4263.
- 124. Bakewell S, Conde I, Fallah Y, McCoy M, Jin L, Shajahan-Haq AX. Inhibition of DNA repair pathways and induction of ROS are potential mechanisms of action of the small molecule inhibitor BOLD-100 in breast cancer. *Cancers*. 2020;12(9):2647. doi[:10.3390/cancers12092647](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12092647)
- 125. Cheng SM, Lin TY, Chang YC, Lin IW, Leung E, Cheung CHA. YM155 and BIRC5 downregulation induce genomic instability via autophagy-mediated ROS production and inhibition in DNA repair. *Pharmacol Res*. 2021;166: 105474.
- 126. Frenkel K. Carcinogen-mediated oxidant formation and oxidative DNA damage. *Pharmacol Ther*. 1992;53:127-166.
- 127. Wilson CL, Jurk D, Fullard N, et al. NF*κ*B1 is a suppressor of neutrophil-driven hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:6818.
- 128. Butin-Israeli V, Bui TM, Wiesolek HL, et al. Neutrophilinduced genomic instability impedes resolution of inflammation and wound healing. *J Clin Invest*. 2019;129:712-726.
- 129. Ventura C, Pereira JFS, Matos P, et al. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of MWCNT-7 and crocidolite: assessment in alveolar epithelial cells versus their coculture with monocyte-derived macrophages. *Nanotoxicology*. 2020;14(4):479-503.
- 130. Borm PJ, Knaapen AM, Schins RP, Godschalk RW, Schooten FJ. Neutrophils amplify the formation of DNA adducts by benzo[a]pyrene in lung target cells. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1997;105(5):1089-1093. Suppl.
- 131. Borba TT, Molz P, Schlickmann DS, et al. Periodontitis: genomic instability implications and associated risk factors. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen*. 2019;840:20-23.
- 132. Krump NA, You J. Molecular mechanisms of viral oncogenesis in humans. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2018;16:684-698.
- 133. Tumurkhuu G, Chen S, Montano EN, et al. Oxidative DNA damage accelerates skin inflammation in pristane-induced lupus model. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:554725.
- 134. Kay J, Thadhani E, Samson L, Engelward B. Inflammationinduced DNA damage, mutations and cancer. *DNA Repair (Amst)*. 2019;83:102673.
- 135. Emerce E, Ghosh M, Öner D, et al. Carbon nanotube- and asbestos-induced DNA and RNA methylation changes in bronchial epithelial cells. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2019;32:850-860.
- 136. Costache D, Bejan H, Poenaru M, Costache R. Skin cancer correlations in psoriatic patients. *Cancers*. 2023;15(9):2451. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15092451) [3390/cancers15092451](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15092451)
- 137. Kim HS, Patel K, Muldoon-Jacobs K, et al. SIRT3 is a mitochondria-localized tumor suppressor required for maintenance of mitochondrial integrity and metabolism during stress. *Cancer Cell*. 2010;17(1):41-52.
- 138. Liou GY, Storz P. Reactive oxygen species in cancer. *Free Radic Res*. 2010;44:479-496.
- 139. Assi M. The differential role of reactive oxygen species in early and late stages of cancer. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2017;313:R646-R653.
- 140. Tuy K, Rickenbacker L, Hjelmeland AB. Reactive oxygen species produced by altered tumor metabolism impacts cancer stem cell maintenance. *Redox Biol*. 2021;44:101953.
- 141. Sayin VI, Ibrahim MX, Larsson E, Nilsson JA, Lindahl P, Bergo MO. Antioxidants accelerate lung cancer progression in mice. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6:221ra15.
- 142. Harris IS, Treloar AE, Inoue S, et al. Glutathione and thioredoxin antioxidant pathways synergize to drive cancer initiation and progression. *Cancer Cell*. 2015;27:211-222.
- 143. Sarmiento-Salinas FL, Perez-Gonzalez A, Acosta-Casique A, et al. Reactive oxygen species: role in carcinogenesis, cancer cell signaling and tumor progression. *Life Sci*. 2021;284: 119942.
- 144. Satoh H, Moriguchi T, Takai J, Ebina M, Yamamoto M. Nrf2 prevents initiation but accelerates progression through the Kras signaling pathway during lung carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res*. 2013;73(13):4158-4168.
- 145. Tao S, Rojo de la Vega M, Chapman E, Ooi A, Zhang DX. The effects of NRF2 modulation on the initiation and progression of chemically and genetically induced lung cancer. *Mol Carcinog*. 2018;57:182-192.

MedComm 23 of 29

- 146. Wang H, Liu X, Long M, et al. NRF2 activation by antioxidant antidiabetic agents accelerates tumor metastasis. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016;8(334):334ra51.
- 147. Hayes JD, Dinkova-Kostova AT. The Nrf2 regulatory network provides an interface between redox and intermediary metabolism. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2014;39(4):199-218.
- 148. Tebay LE, Robertson H, Durant ST, et al. Mechanisms of activation of the transcription factor Nrf2 by redox stressors, nutrient cues, and energy status and the pathways through which it attenuates degenerative disease. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2015;88:108-146. Pt B.
- 149. Rojo de la Vega M, Chapman E, Zhang DD. NRF2 and the hallmarks of cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2018;34:21-43.
- 150. He F, Ru X, Wen T. NRF2, a transcription factor for stress response and beyond. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(13):4777. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134777) [3390/ijms21134777](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134777)
- 151. Canning P, Sorrell FJ, Bullock AN. Structural basis of Keap1 interactions with Nrf2. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2015;88:101-107.
- 152. Eichenmüller M, Trippel F, Kreuder M, et al. The genomic landscape of hepatoblastoma and their progenies with HCClike features. *J Hepatol*. 2014;61(6):1312-1320.
- 153. Padmanabhan B, Tong KI, Ohta T, et al. Structural basis for defects of Keap1 activity provoked by its point mutations in lung cancer. *Mol Cell*. 2006;21:689-700.
- 154. Wang R, An J, Ji F, Jiao H, Sun H, Zhou D. Hypermethylation of the Keap1 gene in human lung cancer cell lines and lung cancer tissues. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2008;373:151-154.
- 155. Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, et al. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2010;12(3):213-223.
- 156. Adam J, Hatipoglu E, O'Flaherty L, et al. Renal cyst formation in Fh1-deficient mice is independent of the Hif/Phd pathway: roles for fumarate in KEAP1 succination and Nrf2 signaling. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;20(4):524-537.
- 157. Mitsuishi Y, Taguchi K, Kawatani Y, et al. Nrf2 redirects glucose and glutamine into anabolic pathways in metabolic reprogramming. *Cancer Cell*. 2012;22(1):66-79.
- 158. DeNicola GM, Chen PH, Mullarky E, et al. NRF2 regulates serine biosynthesis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(12):1475-1481.
- 159. Luo M, Shang L, Brooks MD, et al. Targeting breast cancer stem cell state equilibrium through modulation of redox signaling. *Cell Metab*. 2018;28(1):69-86.
- 160. Harris IS, Endress JE, Coloff JL, et al. Deubiquitinases maintain protein homeostasis and survival of cancer cells upon glutathione depletion. *Cell Metab*. 2019;29(5):1166-1181.
- 161. Liu CJ, Yang JH, Huang FZ, et al. Glutathione-s-transferase A 4 (GSTA4) suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of human hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting AKT pathway. *Am J Transl Res*. 2017;9:301-315.
- 162. Herault O, Hope KJ, Deneault E, et al. A role for GPx3 in activity of normal and leukemia stem cells.*J Exp Med*. 2012;209:895-901.
- 163. Stafford W, Peng X, Olofsson MH, et al. Irreversible inhibition of cytosolic thioredoxin reductase 1 as a mechanistic basis for anticancer therapy. *Sci Transl Med*. 2018;10(428):eaaf7444. doi[:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf7444](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf7444)
- 164. Cha MK, Suh KH, Kim IH. Overexpression of peroxiredoxin I and thioredoxin1 in human breast carcinoma.*J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2009;28:93.
- 165. Jiang H, Wu L, Mishra M, Chawsheen HA, Wei Q. Expression of peroxiredoxin 1 and 4 promotes human lung cancer malignancy. *Am J Cancer Res*. 2014;4:445-460.
- 166. Glasauer A, Sena LA, Diebold LP, Mazar AP, Chandel NS. Targeting SOD1 reduces experimental non–small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Invest*. 2014;124(1):117-128.
- 167. Torrente L, Prieto-Farigua N, Falzone A, et al. Inhibition of TXNRD or SOD1 overcomes NRF2-mediated resistance to *β*-lapachone. *Redox Biol*. 2020;30:101440.
- 168. Cappellini MD, Fiorelli G. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *Lancet*. 2008;30(2):373-393.
- 169. Dore MP, Davoli A, Longo N, Marras G, Pes GM. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and risk of colorectal cancer in Northern Sardinia: a retrospective observational study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95:e5254.
- 170. Sablina AA, Budanov AV, Ilyinskaya GV, Agapova LS, Kravchenko JE, Chumakov PM. The antioxidant function of the p53 tumor suppressor. *Nat Med*. 2005;11:1306-1313.
- 171. Gao P, Zhang H, Dinavahi R, et al. HIF-dependent antitumorigenic effect of antioxidants in vivo. *Cancer Cell*. 2007;12:230- 238.
- 172. Le Gal K, Ibrahim MX, Wiel C, et al. Antioxidants can increase melanoma metastasis in mice. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015;7(308):308re8.
- 173. Lippman SM, Goodman PJ, Klein EA, et al. Designing the selenium and vitamin E cancer prevention trial (SELECT). *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2005;97:94-102.
- 174. Anderton B, Camarda R, Balakrishnan S, et al. MYC-driven inhibition of the glutamate-cysteine ligase promotes glutathione depletion in liver cancer. *EMBO Rep*. 2017;18:569-585.
- 175. Spitz DR, Kinter MT, Roberts RJ. Contribution of increased glutathione content to mechanisms of oxidative stress resistance in hydrogen peroxide resistant hamster fibroblasts. *J Cell Physiol*. 1995;165:600-609.
- 176. Bailey HH. L-S, R-buthionine sulfoximine: historical development and clinical issues. *Chem Biol Interact*. 1998;111-112:239- 254.
- 177. Bailey HH, Ripple G, Tutsch KD, et al. Phase I study of continuous-infusion L-S,R-buthionine sulfoximine with intravenous melphalan. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1997;89:1789-1796.
- 178. Nishizawa S, Araki H, Ishikawa Y, et al. Low tumor glutathione level as a sensitivity marker for glutamate-cysteine ligase inhibitors. *Oncol Lett*. 2018;15(6):8735-8743.
- 179. Luo J, Solimini NL, Elledge SJ. Principles of cancer therapy: oncogene and non-oncogene addiction. *Cell*. 2009;136:823-837.
- 180. Policastro LL, Ibañez IL, Notcovich C, Duran HA, Podhajcer OL. The tumor microenvironment: characterization, redox considerations, and novel approaches for reactive oxygen species-targeted gene therapy. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2013;19:854-895.
- 181. Seledtsov VI, Goncharov AG, Seledtsova GV. Clinically feasible approaches to potentiating cancer cell-based immunotherapies. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2015;11:851-869.
- 182. Cemerski S, Cantagrel A, Van Meerwijk JP, Romagnoli P. Reactive oxygen species differentially affect T cell receptor-signaling pathways. *J Biol Chem*. 2002;277:19585-19593.
- 183. Maj T, Wang W, Crespo J, et al. Oxidative stress controls regulatory T cell apoptosis and suppressor activity and PD-L1-blockade resistance in tumor. *Nat Immunol*. 2017;18:1332- 1341.
- 184. Sena LA, Li S, Jairaman A, et al. Mitochondria are required for antigen-specific T cell activation through reactive oxygen species signaling. *Immunity*. 2013;38(2):225-236.
- 185. Kaminski M, Kiessling M, Süss D, Krammer PH, Gülow K. Novel role for mitochondria: protein kinase Ctheta-dependent oxidative signaling organelles in activation-induced T-cell death. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;27:3625-3639.
- 186. Kamiński MM, Sauer SW, Kamiński M, et al. T cell activation is driven by an ADP-dependent glucokinase linking enhanced glycolysis with mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation. *Cell Rep*. 2012;2(5):1300-1315.
- 187. Lee JU, Kim LK, Choi JM. Revisiting the concept of targeting NFAT to control T cell immunity and autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol*. 2018;9:2747.
- 188. Vaeth M, Feske S. NFAT control of immune function: new frontiers for an abiding trooper. *F1000Res*. 2018;7:260.
- 189. Mougiakakos D, Johansson CC, Kiessling R. Naturally occurring regulatory T cells show reduced sensitivity toward oxidative stress-induced cell death. *Blood*. 2009;113(15):3542-3545.
- 190. Krammer PH, Arnold R, Lavrik IN. Life and death in peripheral T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2007;7:532-542.
- 191. Jackson SH, Devadas S, Kwon J, Pinto LA, Williams MS. T cells express a phagocyte-type NADPH oxidase that is activated after T cell receptor stimulation. *Nat Immunol*. 2004;5(8):818-827.
- 192. Kwon J, Shatynski KE, Chen H, et al. The nonphagocytic NADPH oxidase Duox1 mediates a positive feedback loop during T cell receptor signaling. *Sci Signal*. 2010;3:ra59.
- 193. Belikov AV, Schraven B, Simeoni L. T cells and reactive oxygen species. *J Biomed Sci*. 2015;22:85.
- 194. Lucca L, Dominguez-Villar M. Modulation of regulatory T cell function and stability by co-inhibitory receptors. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2020;20:680-693.
- 195. Efimova O, Szankasi P, Kelley TW. Ncf1 (p47phox) is essential for direct regulatory T cell mediated suppression of CD4+ effector T cells. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(1):e16013.
- 196. Kim HR, Lee A, Choi EJ, et al. Reactive oxygen species prevent imiquimod-induced psoriatic dermatitis through enhancing regulatory T cell function. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e91146.
- 197. Kunisada Y, Eikawa S, Tomonobu N, et al. Attenuation of $CD4(+)CD25(+)$ regulatory T cells in the tumor microenvironment by metformin, a type 2 diabetes drug. *EBioMedicine*. 2017;25:154-164.
- 198. Weinberg SE, Singer BD, Steinert EM, et al. Mitochondrial complex III is essential for suppressive function of regulatory T cells. *Nature*. 2019;565(7740):495-499.
- 199. Yu X, Lao Y, Teng XL, et al. SENP3 maintains the stability and function of regulatory T cells via BACH2 deSUMOylation. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:3157.
- 200. Kim HR, Lee A, Choi EJ, et al. Attenuation of experimental colitis in glutathione peroxidase 1 and catalase double knockout mice through enhancing regulatory T cell function. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):e95332.
- 201. Sivagnanalingam U, Beatty PL, Finn OJ. Myeloid derived suppressor cells in cancer, premalignancy and inflammation: a roadmap to cancer immunoprevention. *Mol Carcinog*. 2020;59(7):852-861.
- 202. Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2009;9(3):162-174.
- 203. Diaz-Montero CM, Salem ML, Nishimura MI, Garrett-Mayer E, Cole DJ, Montero AJ. Increased circulating myeloidderived suppressor cells correlate with clinical cancer stage, metastatic tumor burden, and doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide chemotherapy. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2009;58: 49-59.
- 204. Corzo CA, Cotter MJ, Cheng P, et al. Mechanism regulating reactive oxygen species in tumor-induced myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *J Immunol*. 2009;182:5693-5701.
- 205. Kusmartsev S, Gabrilovich DI. Inhibition of myeloid cell differentiation in cancer: the role of reactive oxygen species.*J Leukoc Biol*. 2003;74:186-196.
- 206. Chen X, Song M, Zhang B, Zhang Y. Reactive oxygen species regulate T cell immune response in the tumor microenvironment. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2016;2016:1580967.
- 207. Zhang H, Li ZL, Ye SB, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells inhibit T cell proliferation in human extranodal NK/T cell lymphoma: a novel prognostic indicator. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2015;64:1587-1599.
- 208. Wei J, Zhang M, Zhou J. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in major depression patients suppress T-cell responses through the production of reactive oxygen species. *Psychiatry Res*. 2015;228(3):695-701.
- 209. Beury DW, Carter KA, Nelson C, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cell survival and function are regulated by the transcription factor Nrf2. *J Immunol*. 2016;196:3470-3478.
- 210. Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity*. 2014;41:14-20.
- 211. Leek RD, Lewis CE, Whitehouse R, Greenall M, Clarke J, Harris AL. Association of macrophage infiltration with angiogenesis and prognosis in invasive breast carcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 1996;56:4625-4629.
- 212. Mantovani A, Marchesi F, Malesci A, Laghi L, Allavena P. Tumour-associated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2017;14(7):399-416.
- 213. Qian BZ, Pollard JW. Macrophage diversity enhances tumor progression and metastasis. *Cell*. 2010;141:39-51.
- 214. Tiainen S, Tumelius R, Rilla K, et al. High numbers of macrophages, especially M2-like (CD163-positive), correlate with hyaluronan accumulation and poor outcome in breast cancer. *Histopathology*. 2015;66(6):873-883.
- 215. Klingen TA, Chen Y, Aas H, Wik E, Akslen LA. Tumorassociated macrophages are strongly related to vascular invasion, non-luminal subtypes, and interval breast cancer. *Hum Pathol*. 2017;69:72-80.
- 216. Li L, Chen J, Xiong G, St Clair DK, Xu W, Xu R. Increased ROS production in non-polarized mammary epithelial cells induces monocyte infiltration in 3D culture. *J Cell Sci*. 2017;130:190-202.
- 217. Tang X, Mo C, Wang Y, Wei D, Xiao H. Anti-tumour strategies aiming to target tumour-associated macrophages.*Immunology*. 2013;138:93-104.
- 218. Hackel D, Pflücke D, Neumann A, et al. The connection of monocytes and reactive oxygen species in pain. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e63564.
- 219. Tan HY, Wang NX, Li S, Hong M, Wang X, Feng YX. The reactive oxygen species in macrophage polarization: reflecting its dual role in progression and treatment of human diseases. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2016;2016:2795090.

MedComm 25 of 29

- 220. Zhang Y, Choksi S, Chen K, Pobezinskaya Y, Linnoila I, Liu ZG. ROS play a critical role in the differentiation of alternatively activated macrophages and the occurrence of tumor-associated macrophages. *Cell Res*. 2013;23:898-914.
- 221. Griess B, Mir S, Datta K, Teoh-Fitzgerald M. Scavenging reactive oxygen species selectively inhibits M2 macrophage polarization and their pro-tumorigenic function in part, via Stat3 suppression. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2020;147:48-60.
- 222. Gordon JR, Ma Y, Churchman L, Gordon SA, Dawicki W. Regulatory dendritic cells for immunotherapy in immunologic diseases. *Front Immunol*. 2014;5:7.
- 223. Geissmann F, Manz MG, Jung S, Sieweke MH, Merad M, Ley K. Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. *Science*. 2010;327:656-661.
- 224. Paardekooper LM, Dingjan I, Linders PTA, et al. Human monocyte-derived dendritic cells produce millimolar concentrations of ROS in phagosomes per second. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:1216.
- 225. Paardekooper LM, Vos W, van den Bogaart G. Oxygen in the tumor microenvironment: effects on dendritic cell function. *Oncotarget*. 2019;10:883-896.
- 226. Mantegazza AR, Savina A, Vermeulen M, et al. NADPH oxidase controls phagosomal pH and antigen cross-presentation in human dendritic cells. *Blood*. 2008;112:4712-4722.
- 227. Savina A, Jancic C, Hugues S, et al. NOX2 controls phagosomal pH to regulate antigen processing during crosspresentation by dendritic cells. *Cell*. 2006;126:205-218.
- 228. Oberkampf M, Guillerey C, Mouriès J, et al. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate the induction of CD8(+) T cells by plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:2241.
- 229. Chougnet CA, Thacker RI, Shehata HM, et al. Loss of phagocytic and antigen cross-presenting capacity in aging dendritic cells is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction. *J Immunol*. 2015;195(6):2624-2632.
- 230. Cubillos-Ruiz JR, Silberman PC, Rutkowski MR, et al. ER stress sensor XBP1 controls anti-taumor immunity by disrupting dendritic cell homeostasis. *Cell*. 2015;161:1527-1538.
- 231. Abel AM, Yang C, Thakar MS, Malarkannan S. Natural killer cells: development, maturation, and clinical utilization. *Front Immunol*. 2018;9:1869.
- 232. Imai K, Matsuyama S, Miyake S, Suga K, Nakachi K. Natural cytotoxic activity of peripheral-blood lymphocytes and cancer incidence: an 11-year follow-up study of a general population. *Lancet*. 2000;356:1795-1799.
- 233. Betten A, Dahlgren C, Mellqvist UH, Hermodsson S, Hellstrand K. Oxygen radical-induced natural killer cell dysfunction: role of myeloperoxidase and regulation by serotonin. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2004;75:1111-1115.
- 234. Yang Y, Neo SY, Chen Z, et al. Thioredoxin activity confers resistance against oxidative stress in tumor-infiltrating NK cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130:5508-5522.
- 235. Aydin E, Johansson J, Nazir FH, Hellstrand K, Martner A. Role of NOX2-derived reactive oxygen species in NK cell-mediated control of murine melanoma metastasis. *Cancer Immunol Res*. 2017;5:804-811.
- 236. Mimura K, Kua LF, Shimasaki N, et al. Upregulation of thioredoxin-1 in activated human NK cells confers increased tolerance to oxidative stress. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2017;66:605-613.
- 237. Coffelt SB, Wellenstein MD, de Visser KE. Neutrophils in cancer: neutral no more. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2016;16(7):431-446.
- 238. Jaillon S, Ponzetta AX, Di Mitri D, Santoni A, Bonecchi R, Mantovani AX. Neutrophil diversity and plasticity in tumour progression and therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2020;20:485-503.
- 239. Granot Z, Henke E, Comen EA, King TA, Norton L, Benezra R. Tumor entrained neutrophils inhibit seeding in the premetastatic lung. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;20:300-314.
- 240. Gershkovitz M, Caspi Y, Fainsod-Levi T, et al. TRPM2 mediates neutrophil killing of disseminated tumor cells. *Cancer Res*. 2018;78:2680-2690.
- 241. Li P, Lu M, Shi J, et al. Dual roles of neutrophils in metastatic colonization are governed by the host NK cell status. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:4387.
- 242. Mensurado S, Rei M, Lança T, et al. Tumor-associated neutrophils suppress pro-tumoral IL-17+ *γδ* T cells through induction of oxidative stress. *PLoS Biol*. 2018;16(5):e2004990.
- 243. Canli Ö, Nicolas AM, Gupta J, et al. Myeloid cell-derived reactive oxygen species induce epithelial mutagenesis. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;32:869-883. e5.
- 244. Wculek SK, Bridgeman VL, Peakman F, Malanchi I. Early neutrophil responses to chemical carcinogenesis shape long-term lung cancer susceptibility. *iScience*. 2020;23:101277.
- 245. Inoue M, Nakashima R, Enomoto M, et al. Plasma redox imbalance caused by albumin oxidation promotes lung-predominant NETosis and pulmonary cancer metastasis. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:5116.
- 246. Furukawa K, Tengler R, Nakamura M, et al. B lymphoblasts show oxidase activity in response to cross-linking of surface IgM and HLA-DR. *Scand J Immunol*. 1992;35(5):561-567.
- 247. Kondo M. Lymphoid and myeloid lineage commitment in multipotent hematopoietic progenitors. *Immunol Rev*. 2010;238:37- 46.
- 248. LeBien TW, Tedder TF. B lymphocytes: how they develop and function. *Blood*. 2008;112(5):1570-1580.
- 249. Zhang H, Wang L, Chu Y. Reactive oxygen species: the signal regulator of B cell. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2019;142:16-22.
- 250. Suzuki Y, Ono Y. Involvement of reactive oxygen species produced via NADPH oxidase in tyrosine phosphorylation in human B- and T-lineage lymphoid cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1999;255:262-267.
- 251. Kim EK, Seo HS, Chae MJ, et al. Enhanced antitumor immunotherapeutic effect of B-cell-based vaccine transduced with modified adenoviral vector containing type 35 fiber structures. *Gene Ther*. 2014;21:106-114.
- 252. Candolfi M, Curtin JF, Yagiz K, et al. B cells are critical to T-cell-mediated antitumor immunity induced by a combined immune-stimulatory/conditionally cytotoxic therapy for glioblastoma. *Neoplasia*. 2011;13:947-960.
- 253. Jitschin R, Hofmann AD, Bruns H, et al. Mitochondrial metabolism contributes to oxidative stress and reveals therapeutic targets in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2014;123:2663-2672.
- 254. Fidyt K, Pastorczak A, Goral A, et al. Targeting the thioredoxin system as a novel strategy against B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Mol Oncol*. 2019;13(5):1180-1195.
- 255. Xiao G, Chan LN, Klemm L, et al. B-cell-specific diversion of glucose carbon utilization reveals a unique vulnerability in B cell malignancies. *Cell*. 2018;173:470-484. e18.

 $26 \text{ of } 29$ **MedComm**

- 256. Robert C. A decade of immune-checkpoint inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:3801.
- 257. Zhang Q, Wu L, Liu S, et al. Moderating hypoxia and promoting immunogenic photodynamic therapy by HER-2 nanobody conjugate nanoparticles for ovarian cancer treatment. *Nanotechnology*. 2021;32(42). doi[:10.1088/1361-6528/ac07d1](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ac07d1)
- 258. Wang D, Wang T, Yu H, et al. Engineering nanoparticles to locally activate T cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Sci Immunol*. 2019;4(37):eaau6584. doi[:10.1126/sciimmunol.](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aau6584) [aau6584](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aau6584)
- 259. Chamoto K, Chowdhury PS, Kumar A, et al. Mitochondrial activation chemicals synergize with surface receptor PD-1 blockade for T cell-dependent antitumor activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114:E761-E770.
- 260. Guo J, Yu Z, Sun D, Zou Y, Liu Y, Huang L. Two nanoformulations induce reactive oxygen species and immunogenetic cell death for synergistic chemo-immunotherapy eradicating colorectal cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol Cancer*. 2021;20:10.
- 261. Ma S, Li X, Wang X, et al. Current progress in CAR-T cell therapy for solid tumors. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2019;15:2548-2560.
- 262. Zhang X, Zhu L, Zhang H, Chen S, Xiao Y. CAR-T cell therapy in hematological malignancies: current opportunities and challenges. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:927153.
- 263. Liu J, Yang S, Cao B, et al. Targeting B7-H3 via chimeric antigen receptor T cells and bispecific killer cell engagers augments antitumor response of cytotoxic lymphocytes. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2021;14:21.
- 264. van Bruggen JAC, Martens AWJ, Fraietta JA, et al. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells impair mitochondrial fitness in CD8(+) T cells and impede CAR T-cell efficacy. *Blood*. 2019;134:44-58.
- 265. Yoo HJ, Liu Y, Wang L, et al. Tumor-specific reactive oxygen species accelerators improve chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in B cell malignancies. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019;20(10):22469. doi[:10.3390/ijms20102469](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20102469)
- 266. Jiang J, Peng Z, Wang J, et al. C-reactive protein impairs immune response of CD8(+) T cells via Fc*γ*RIIb-p38MAPK-ROS axis in multiple myeloma. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2023;11(10):e007593. doi[:10.1136/jitc-2023-007593](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2023-007593)
- 267. Zhang R, Liu Q, Zhou S, He H, Zhao M, Ma W. Mesenchymal stem cell suppresses the efficacy of CAR-T toward killing lymphoma cells by modulating the microenvironment through stanniocalcin-1. *Elife*. 2023;12:e82934. doi[:10.7554/eLife.82934](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.82934)
- 268. Liu J, Fu M, Wang M, Wan D, Wei Y, Wei X. Cancer vaccines as promising immuno-therapeutics: platforms and current progress. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2022;15(1):28.
- 269. Yan W, Chen W, Huang L. Reactive oxygen species play a central role in the activity of cationic liposome based cancer vaccine. *J Control Release*. 2008;130:22-28.
- 270. Pan H, Shi H, Fu P, Shi P, Yang J. Liposomal dendritic cell vaccine in breast cancer immunotherapy. *ACS Omega*. 2021;6:3991-3998.
- 271. Zhang Y, Ma S, Liu X, et al. Supramolecular assembled programmable nanomedicine as in situ cancer vaccine for cancer immunotherapy. *Adv Mater*. 2021;33:e2007293.
- 272. Xu CX, Hong H, Lee Y, et al. Efficient lymph node-targeted delivery of personalized cancer vaccines with reactive oxygen species-inducing reduced graphene oxide nanosheets. *ACS Nano*. 2020;14:13268-13278.
- 273. Kwiatkowski S, Knap B, Przystupski D, et al. Photodynamic therapy—mechanisms, photosensitizers and combinations. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018;106:1098-1107.
- 274. Felsher DW. Cancer revoked: oncogenes as therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003;3(5):375-380.
- 275. Li Z, Zhu L, Sun H, et al. Fluorine assembly nanocluster breaks the shackles of immunosuppression to turn the cold tumor hot. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2020;117:32962-32969.
- 276. Wang L, Liu Y, Liu H, et al. The therapeutic significance of the novel photodynamic material TPE-IQ-2O in tumors. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2020;13:1383-1409.
- 277. He C, Duan X, Guo N, et al. Core-shell nanoscale coordination polymers combine chemotherapy and photodynamic therapy to potentiate checkpoint blockade cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:12499.
- 278. He S, Lu S, Liu S, et al. Spatiotemporally controlled O(2) and singlet oxygen self-sufficient nanophotosensitizers enable the in vivo high-yield synthesis of drugs and efficient hypoxic tumor therapy. *Chem Sci*. 2020;11:8817-8827.
- 279. Ni K, Lan G, Song Y, Hao Z, Lin W. Biomimetic nanoscale metal-organic framework harnesses hypoxia for effective cancer radiotherapy and immunotherapy. *Chem Sci*. 2020;11:7641- 7653.
- 280. Sahu A, Min K, Jeon J, Yang HS, Tae G. Catalytic nanographene oxide with hemin for enhanced photodynamic therapy. *J Control Release*. 2020;326:442-454.
- 281. Kang Y, Lei L, Zhu C, Zhang H, Mei L, Ji X. Piezo-photocatalytic effect mediating reactive oxygen species burst for cancer catalytic therapy. *Mater Horiz*. 2021;8:2273-2285.
- 282. Wei Y, Wang Z, Yang J, et al. Reactive oxygen species /photothermal therapy dual-triggered biomimetic gold nanocages nanoplatform for combination cancer therapy via ferroptosis and tumor-associated macrophage repolarization mechanism. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2022;606:1950-1965.
- 283. Canaparo R, Foglietta F, Limongi T, Serpe L. Biomedical applications of reactive oxygen species generation by metal nanoparticles. *Materials*. 2020;14(1):53. doi[:10.3390/ma14010053](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14010053)
- 284. Ranji-Burachaloo H, Gurr PA, Dunstan DE, Qiao G. Cancer treatment through nanoparticle-facilitated fenton reaction. *ACS Nano*. 2018;12(12):11819-11837.
- 285. Wu W, Pu Y, Shi JX. Dual size/charge-switchable nanocatalytic medicine for deep tumor therapy. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. 2021;8:2002816.
- 286. Ding D, Feng Y, Qin R, et al. Mn(3+)-rich oxide/persistent luminescence nanoparticles achieve light-free generation of singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radicals for responsive imaging and tumor treatment. *Theranostics*. 2021;11:7439-7449.
- 287. Huo M, Wang L, Wang Y, Chen Y, Shi JX. Nanocatalytic tumor therapy by single-atom catalysts. *ACS Nano*. 2019;13:2643-2653.
- 288. Gu Z, Liu T, Tang J, et al. Mechanism of iron oxide-induced macrophage activation: the impact of composition and the underlying signaling pathway. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2019;141:6122- 6126.
- 289. Li W, Yang J, Luo L, et al. Targeting photodynamic and photothermal therapy to the endoplasmic reticulum enhances immunogenic cancer cell death. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10:3349.
- 290. Ni K, Luo T, Nash GT, Lin W. Nanoscale metal-organic frameworks for cancer immunotherapy. *Acc Chem, Res*. 2020;53(9):1739-1748.

MedComm 27 of 29

- 291. Xiang J, Li Y, Zhang Y, et al. Polyphenol-cisplatin complexation forming core-shell nanoparticles with improved tumor accumulation and dual-responsive drug release for enhanced cancer chemotherapy. *J Control Release*. 2021;330:992- 1003.
- 292. Lee KT, Lu YJ, Mi FL, et al. Catalase-modulated heterogeneous fenton reaction for selective cancer cell eradication: $snFe(2)O(4)$ nanocrystals as an effective reagent for treating lung cancer cells. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2017;9(2):1273- 1279.
- 293. Le A, Stine ZE, Nguyen C, et al. Tumorigenicity of hypoxic respiring cancer cells revealed by a hypoxia-cell cycle dual reporter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014;111:12486-12491.
- 294. Rumsey WL, Schlosser C, Nuutinen EM, Robiolio M, Wilson DF. Cellular energetics and the oxygen dependence of respiration in cardiac myocytes isolated from adult rat. *J Biol Chem*. 1990;265:15392-15402.
- 295. DeBerardinis R, Chandel N. We need to talk about the Warburg effect. *Nat Metab*. 2020;2(2):127-129.
- 296. Yang Q, Wang L, Liu J, Cao W, Pan Q, Li MX. Targeting the complex I and III of mitochondrial electron transport chain as a potentially viable option in liver cancer management. *Cell Death Discov*. 2021;7(1):293.
- 297. Chen S, Sun L, Koya K, et al. Syntheses and antitumor activities of N'1,N'3-dialkyl-N'1,N'3-di-(alkylcarbonothioyl) malonohydrazide: the discovery of elesclomol. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2013;23(18):5070-5076.
- 298. Nagai M, Vo NH, Shin Ogawa L, et al. The oncology drug elesclomol selectively transports copper to the mitochondria to induce oxidative stress in cancer cells. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2012;52:2142-2150.
- 299. Tsvetkov P, Coy S, Petrova B, et al. Copper induces cell death by targeting lipoylated TCA cycle proteins. *Science*. 2022;375:1254- 1261.
- 300. Pham T, MacRae CL, Broome SC, et al. MitoQ and CoQ10 supplementation mildly suppresses skeletal muscle mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide levels without impacting mitochondrial function in middle-aged men. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2020;120(7):1657-1669.
- 301. Qsee HS, Tambe PK, De S, Bharati S. MitoQ demonstrates connexin- and p53-mediated cancer chemoprevention in N-nitrosodiethylamine-induced hepatocarcinogenesis rodent model. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2022;453:116211.
- 302. Wang L, Chan JY, Zhou X, et al. A novel agent enhances the chemotherapeutic efficacy of doxorubicin in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Front Pharmacol*. 2016;7:249.
- 303. Weng MS, Chang JH, Hung WY, Yang YC, Chien M. The interplay of reactive oxygen species and the epidermal growth factor receptor in tumor progression and drug resistance. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2018;37:61.
- 304. Miyata Y, Matsuo T, Sagara Y, Ohba K, Ohyama K, Sakai H. A mini-review of reactive oxygen species in urological cancer: correlation with NADPH oxidases, angiogenesis, and apoptosis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(10):2214. doi[:10.3390/ijms18102214](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18102214)
- 305. Shanmugasundaram K, Nayak BK, Friedrichs WE, Kaushik D, Rodriguez R, Block K. NOX4 functions as a mitochondrial energetic sensor coupling cancer metabolic reprogramming to drug resistance. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8(1):997.
- 306. Ameziane-El-Hassani R, Schlumberger M, Dupuy C. NADPH oxidases: new actors in thyroid cancer? *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2016;12(8):485-494.
- 307. Höll M, Koziel R, Schäfer G, et al. ROS signaling by NADPH oxidase 5 modulates the proliferation and survival of prostate carcinoma cells. *Mol Carcinog*. 2016;55:27-39.
- 308. Wang P, Shi Q, Deng WH, et al. Relationship between expression of NADPH oxidase 2 and invasion and prognosis of human gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(20):6271-6279.
- 309. Green DE, Murphy TC, Kang BY, et al. The Nox4 inhibitor GKT137831 attenuates hypoxia-induced pulmonary vascular cell proliferation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. 2012;47:718-726.
- 310. Zeng C, Wu Q, Wang J, et al. NOX4 supports glycolysis and promotes glutamine metabolism in non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2016;101:236-248.
- 311. Yamamoto T, Nakano H, Shiomi K, et al. Identification and characterization of a novel NADPH oxidase 1 (Nox1) inhibitor that suppresses proliferation of colon and stomach cancer cells. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2018;41(3):419-426.
- 312. Wang P, Sun YC, Lu WH, Huang P, Hu Y. Selective killing of Kras-transformed pancreatic cancer cells by targeting NAD(P)H oxidase. *Chin J Cancer*. 2015;34:166-176.
- 313. Dai L, Noverr MC, Parsons C, Kaleeba JA, Qin Z. xCT, not just an amino-acid transporter: a multi-functional regulator of microbial infection and associated diseases. *Front Microbiol*. 2015;6:120.
- 314. Sugano K, Maeda K, Ohtani H, Nagahara H, Shibutani M, Hirakawa K. Expression of xCT as a predictor of disease recurrence in patients with colorectal cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2015;35(2):677-682.
- 315. Shiozaki A, Iitaka D, Ichikawa D, et al. xCT, component of cysteine/glutamate transporter, as an independent prognostic factor in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol*. 2014;49(5):853-863.
- 316. Drayton RM, Dudziec E, Peter S, et al. Reduced expression of miRNA-27a modulates cisplatin resistance in bladder cancer by targeting the cystine/glutamate exchanger SLC7A11. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2014;20:1990-2000.
- 317. Oerlemans R, Vink J, Dijkmans BA, et al. Sulfasalazine sensitises human monocytic/macrophage cells for glucocorticoids by upregulation of glucocorticoid receptor alpha and glucocorticoid induced apoptosis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2007;66:1289-1295.
- 318. Song Y, Jang J, Shin TH, et al. Sulfasalazine attenuates evading anticancer response of CD133-positive hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2017;36:38.
- 319. Pham AN, Blower PE, Alvarado O, Ravula R, Gout PW, Huang Y. Pharmacogenomic approach reveals a role for the x(c)- cystine/glutamate antiporter in growth and celastrol resistance of glioma cell lines. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2010;332:949-958.
- 320. Singer E, Judkins J, Salomonis N, et al. Reactive oxygen speciesmediated therapeutic response and resistance in glioblastoma. *Cell Death Dis*. 2015;6:e1601.
- 321. Roh JL, Kim EH, Jang HJ, Park JY, Shin D. Induction of ferroptotic cell death for overcoming cisplatin resistance of head and neck cancer. *Cancer Lett*. 2016;381:96-103.
- 322. Turanov AA, Kehr S, Marino SM, et al. Mammalian thioredoxin reductase 1: roles in redox homoeostasis and characterization of cellular targets. *Biochem J*. 2010;430:285-293.

 $28 \text{ of } 29$ **MedComm**

- 323. Zhang G, Wang W, Yao C, Ren J, Zhang S, Han M. Salinomycin overcomes radioresistance in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells by inhibiting Nrf2 level and promoting ROS generation. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2017;91:147-154.
- 324. Arnér ES. Focus on mammalian thioredoxin reductases– important selenoproteins with versatile functions. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2009;1790:495-526.
- 325. Arnér ES, Holmgren A. The thioredoxin system in cancer. *Semin Cancer Biol*. 2006;16(6):420-426.
- 326. Eriksson SE, Prast-Nielsen S, Flaberg E, Szekely L, Arnér ES. High levels of thioredoxin reductase 1 modulate drugspecific cytotoxic efficacy. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2009;47:1661- 1671.
- 327. Myers CR. Enhanced targeting of mitochondrial peroxide defense by the combined use of thiosemicarbazones and inhibitors of thioredoxin reductase. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2016;91:81-92.
- 328. Roder C, Thomson MJ. Auranofin: repurposing an old drug for a golden new age. *Drugs R D*. 2015;15:13-20.
- 329. Liu JJ, Liu Q, Wei HL, Yi J, Zhao HS, Gao LP. Inhibition of thioredoxin reductase by auranofin induces apoptosis in adriamycin-resistant human K562 chronic myeloid leukemia cells. *Pharmazie*. 2011;66:440-444.
- 330. Hou GX, Liu PP, Zhang S, et al. Elimination of stem-like cancer cell side-population by auranofin through modulation of ROS and glycolysis. *Cell Death Dis*. 2018;9(2):89.
- 331. Wang L, Yang Z, Fu J, et al. Ethaselen: a potent mammalian thioredoxin reductase 1 inhibitor and novel organoselenium anticancer agent. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2012;52:898-908.
- 332. Tan Q, Li J, Yin HW, et al. Augmented antitumor effects of combination therapy of cisplatin with ethaselen as a novel thioredoxin reductase inhibitor on human A549 cell in vivo. *Invest New Drugs*. 2010;28(3):205-215.
- 333. Ye SF, Yang Y, Wu L, Ma WW, Zeng HH. Ethaselen: a novel organoselenium anticancer agent targeting thioredoxin reductase 1 reverses cisplatin resistance in drug-resistant K562 cells by inducing apoptosis. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*. 2017;18:373-382.
- 334. Zeng L, Chen Y, Liu J, et al. Ruthenium(II) complexes with 2-phenylimidazo[4,5-f][1,10]phenanthroline derivatives that strongly combat cisplatin-resistant tumor cells. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:19449.
- 335. Zheng P, Zhou C, Lu L, Liu B, Ding Y. Elesclomol: a copper ionophore targeting mitochondrial metabolism for cancer therapy. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2022;41:271.
- 336. O'Day SJ, Eggermont AM, Chiarion-Sileni V, et al. Final results of phase III SYMMETRY study: randomized, doubleblind trial of elesclomol plus paclitaxel versus paclitaxel alone as treatment for chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced melanoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(9):1211-1218.
- 337. Siska PJ, Beckermann KE, Mason FM, et al. Mitochondrial dysregulation and glycolytic insufficiency functionally impair CD8 T cells infiltrating human renal cell carcinoma. *J C I Insight*. 2017;2(12):e93411. doi[:10.1172/jci.insight.93411](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.93411)
- 338. Yoon N, Lee H, Kim S, et al. Mitoquinone inactivates mitochondrial chaperone TRAP1 by blocking the client binding site.*J Am Chem Soc*. 2021;143(47):19684-19696.
- 339. Masoumi-Ardakani Y, Najafipour H, Nasri H, Aminizadeh S, Jafari S, Moflehi D. Effect of combined endurance training and MitoQ on cardiac function and serum level of antioxidants, NO,

miR-126, and miR-27a in hypertensive individuals. *Biomed Res Int*. 2022;2022:8720661.

- 340. Wang L, Zhang X, Cui G, et al. A novel agent exerts antitumor activity in breast cancer cells by targeting mitochondrial complex II. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7:32054-32064.
- 341. Zhou X, Wang A, Wang L, et al. A danshensutetramethylpyrazine conjugate DT-010 overcomes multidrug resistance in human breast cancer. *Front Pharmacol*. 2019;10:722.
- 342. Deliyanti D, Wilkinson-Berka JL. Inhibition of NOX1/4 with GKT137831: a potential novel treatment to attenuate neuroglial cell inflammation in the retina. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2015;12:136.
- 343. Jha J, Dai A, Garzarella J, et al. Independent of renox, NOX5 promotes renal inflammation and fibrosis in diabetes by activating ROS-sensitive pathways. *Diabetes*. 2022;71:1282-1298.
- 344. Zheng H, Xu N, Zhang Z, Wang F, Xiao J, Ji X. Setanaxib (GKT137831) ameliorates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by inhibiting the NOX1/NOX4/reactive oxygen species/MAPK pathway. *Front Pharmacol*. 2022;13:823975.
- 345. Jones D, Carbone M, Invernizzi P, et al. Impact of setanaxib on quality of life outcomes in primary biliary cholangitis in a phase 2 randomized controlled trial. *Hepatol Commun*. 2023;7:e0057.
- 346. Chung YC, Baek JY, Kim S, et al. Capsaicin prevents degeneration of dopamine neurons by inhibiting glial activation and oxidative stress in the MPTP model of Parkinson's disease. *Exp Mol Med*. 2017;49:e298.
- 347. Stevens RM, Ervin J, Nezzer J, et al. Randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial of intraarticular trans-capsaicin for pain associated with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2019;71:1524-1533.
- 348. Islam A, Su AJ, Zeng ZM, Chueh P, Lin MH. Capsaicin targets tNOX (ENOX2) to inhibit G1 cyclin/CDK complex, as assessed by the cellular thermal shift assay (CETSA). *Cells*. 2019;8(10):1275. doi[:10.3390/cells8101275](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8101275)
- 349. Hacioglu C. Capsaicin inhibits cell proliferation by enhancing oxidative stress and apoptosis through SIRT1/NOX4 signaling pathways in HepG2 and HL-7702 cells. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol*. 2022;36(3):e22974.
- 350. Yagoda N, von Rechenberg M, Zaganjor E, et al. RAS-RAF-MEK-dependent oxidative cell death involving voltagedependent anion channels. *Nature*. 2007;447:864-868.
- 351. Ye Y, Chen A, Li L, et al. Repression of the antiporter SLC7A11/glutathione/glutathione peroxidase 4 axis drives ferroptosis of vascular smooth muscle cells to facilitate vascular calcification. *Kidney Int*. 2022;102:1259-1275.
- 352. Ogihara K, Kikuchi E, Okazaki S, et al. Sulfasalazine could modulate the CD44v9-xCT system and enhance cisplatininduced cytotoxic effects in metastatic bladder cancer. *Cancer Sci*. 2019;110(4):1431-1441.
- 353. Yu H, Yang C, Jian L, et al. Sulfasalazine‑induced ferroptosis in breast cancer cells is reduced by the inhibitory effect of estrogen receptor on the transferrin receptor. *Oncol Rep*. 2019;42(2):826- 838.
- 354. Roh JL, Kim EH, Jang H, Shin D. Aspirin plus sorafenib potentiates cisplatin cytotoxicity in resistant head and neck cancer cells through xCT inhibition. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2017;104:1-9.
- 355. Fujikawa I, Ando T, Suzuki-Karasaki M, Suzuki-Karasaki M, Ochiai T, Suzuki-Karasaki Y. Aspirin induces

mitochondrial Ca(2+) remodeling in tumor cells via ROS‒depolarization‒voltage-gated Ca(2+) entry. *Int, J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(13):4771. doi[:10.3390/ijms21134771](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134771)

- 356. Kim NH, Park HJ, Oh MK, Kim IS. Antiproliferative effect of gold(I) compound auranofin through inhibition of STAT3 and telomerase activity in MDA-MB 231 human breast cancer cells. *B M B Rep*. 2013;46(1):59-64.
- 357. Fan C, Zheng W, Fu X, Li X, Wong YS, Chen T. Enhancement of auranofin-induced lung cancer cell apoptosis by selenocystine, a natural inhibitor of TrxR1 in vitro and in vivo. *Cell Death Dis*. 2014;5:e1191.
- 358. Zheng X, Chen Y, Bai M, et al. The antimetastatic effect and underlying mechanisms of thioredoxin reductase inhibitor ethaselen. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2019;131:7-17.
- 359. Li Y, Wu Q, Yu G, et al. Polypyridyl Ruthenium(II) complexinduced mitochondrial membrane potential dissipation acti-

vates DNA damage-mediated apoptosis to inhibit liver cancer. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2019;164:282-291.

- 360. Luo Z, Yu L, Yang F, et al. Ruthenium polypyridyl complexes as inducer of ROS-mediated apoptosis in cancer cells by targeting thioredoxin reductase. *Metallomics*. 2014;6(8):1480-1490.
- 361. Chen JC, Zhang Y, Jie XM, et al. Ruthenium(II) salicylate complexes inducing ROS-mediated apoptosis by targeting thioredoxin reductase. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2019;193:112-123.

How to cite this article: Yu Y, Liu S, Yang L, et al. Roles of reactive oxygen species in inflammation and cancer. *MedComm*. 2024;1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mco2.519>