2P-NucTag: on-demand phototagging for molecular analysis of functionally identified cortical neurons

Authors: Jingcheng Shi^{1,2,3,*}, Boaz Nutkovich^{4,5,*}, Dahlia Kushinsky^{4,5,*}, Bovey Y. Rao^{1,2,3,*}, Stephanie A. Herrlinger^{1,2,*}, Emmanouil Tsivourakis^{4,5}, Tiberiu S. Mihaila^{1,2}, Margaret E. Conde Paredes^{1,2,3,6}, Katayun Cohen-Kashi Malina^{4,5}, Cliodhna K. O'Toole^{1,2}, Hyun Choong Yong^{1,2}, Brynn M. Sanner^{1,2}, Angel Xie^{1,2}, Erdem Varol⁶, Attila Losonczy^{1,2,#,‡}, and Ivo Spiegel^{4,#,‡}

Affiliations:

- ¹ Department of Neuroscience, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States
- ² Mortimer B. Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States
- ³ Doctoral Program in Neurobiology and Behavior, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States
- ⁴ Department of Brain Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- ⁵ Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- ⁶ Tandon School of Engineering, New York University, New York, NY, United States
- * Co-first authors
- [#] Co-senior authors
- ‡Corresponding authors. Emails: al2856@columbia.edu, ivo.spiegel@weizmann.ac.il

Summary:

Neural circuits are characterized by genetically and functionally diverse cell types. A mechanistic understanding of circuit function is predicated on linking the genetic and physiological properties of individual neurons. However, it remains highly challenging to map the transcriptional properties to functionally heterogeneous neuronal subtypes in mammalian cortical circuits *in vivo*. Here, we introduce a high-throughput two-photon nuclear phototagging (2P-NucTag) approach optimized for on-demand and indelible labeling of single neurons via a photoactivatable red fluorescent protein following *in vivo* functional characterization in behaving mice. We demonstrate the utility of this function-forward pipeline by selectively labeling and transcriptionally profiling previously inaccessible 'place' and 'silent' cells in the mouse hippocampus. Our results reveal unexpected differences in gene expression between these hippocampal pyramidal neurons with distinct spatial coding properties. Thus, 2P-NucTag opens a new way to uncover the molecular principles that govern the functional organization of neural circuits.

One-Sentence Summary: A high-throughput on-demand phototagging approach for direct mapping of molecular and functional properties of cortical neurons in behaving animals.

1 Main text:

2 Information processing in neural circuits requires precise interactions between molecularly and 3 functionally diverse populations of neurons. Since gene expression ultimately dictates neuronal 4 connectivity and function, a fundamental goal of neuroscience has been to characterize gene expression profiles of functionally defined neurons and to measure changes in gene expression 5 6 associated with distinct functional states of neurons¹. High-throughput transcriptomic approaches 7 such as single-cell/-nucleus RNA-sequencing (sc/snRNA-seq) and spatial transcriptomics have 8 greatly accelerated the identification of gene programs in molecularly distinct types of neurons at single-cell resolution^{2–8}. However, the complete biological picture from paired *in vivo* and *ex vivo* 9 functional and anatomical characterization studies of neuronal subtypes⁹⁻¹³ remains missing, 10 requiring the generation and validation of new subtype-specific molecular tools^{14–16}. Recently, 11 12 correlated *in vivo* Ca²⁺ imaging with *post hoc* spatial transcriptomics has been used to relate gene 13 expression with in vivo function, but this approach is limited to spatially sparse GABAergic interneurons^{17–20}. Therefore, a method to identify genes that are differentially expressed in densely 14 packed but functionally distinct glutamatergic pyramidal neurons (PNs), could significantly 15 16 accelerate our understanding of how gene expression determines circuit function and behavior.

17 The inability to tag single functionally identified cortical PNs in vivo in behaving animals presents a significant challenge, as large-scale neural recordings have shown that PNs are highly 18 heterogeneous in their physiological, anatomical, and response properties, and are spatially 19 intermixed within neocortical and hippocampal circuits^{16,21-27}. For example, PNs with distinct 20 spatial coding properties are distributed throughout the dense cell body layer of the hippocampus^{28–} 21 22 ³⁵. However, the origin of this functional diversity in feature selectivity is largely unknown, and it remains unclear if gene expression differences are associated with discrete and transient functional 23 cell states^{35–40}. Thus, there is a critical unmet need for function-forward approaches that directly 24 25 map *in vivo* physiological and transcriptional profiles in cortical circuits in behaving animals.

Previous attempts using Ca²⁺ and light-dependent labeling of transiently active neurons⁴¹ 26 27 were limited by their spatial resolution, deficiencies in targeting neurons with high baseline intracellular Ca²⁺ levels, and the inability to label neurons that decrease their activity in response 28 29 to behavioral state or sensory stimuli. Similarly, immediate early gene-dependent labeling 30 approaches^{42–45} lack the temporal and spatial resolution to faithfully report the precise activity patterns and response properties of single neurons. Finally, previous attempts to tag cortical 31 neurons with photoactivatable fluorescent proteins⁴⁶ with single-cell precision have been deployed 32 with limited success⁴⁷. 33

34 Here we introduce a robust in vivo pipeline (2P-NucTag), based on a photoactivatable red 35 fluorescent protein (PAmCherry) and a genetically encoded green Ca²⁺ indicator (GCaMP7f), that optimizes a previously described framework⁴⁸ mainly used *ex vivo*. Our approach combines large-36 37 scale in vivo two-photon (2P) functional imaging of cortical PNs with reliable and selective 2P 38 phototagging of nuclei in a subset of neurons based on their functional properties. Using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to isolate phototagged neuronal nuclei post hoc, 39 combined with our recently developed Meso-seq approach for transcriptomics in ultra-sparse 40 populations⁴⁹, we achieve previously unattainable molecular characterization of *functionally* 41 identified PNs in vivo in behaving animals. 42

43 In vivo two-photon phototagging with 2P-NucTag

44 A major challenge in combining *in vivo* functional recording with stable tagging in the same 45 neuron is the co-expression of an activity sensor and a photoactivatable tag with spectrally

46 separable fluorescent imaging and photoactivation. We overcame this challenge by generating a 47 bicistronic construct on a recombinant adeno-associated viral (rAAV) backbone that co-expresses cytosolic GCaMP7f⁵⁰ for 2P Ca²⁺ imaging and a nucleus-targeted photoactivatable red fluorescent 48 protein (H2B-PAmCherry) for 2P phototagging⁴⁸ under a promoter that is selective for cortical 49 glutamatergic neurons⁵¹ (2P-NucTag, Fig. 1A). Upon injection of the 2P-NucTag rAAV into the 50 51 CA1 region of the mouse dorsal hippocampus to label CA1 PNs, we found that GCaMP7f is 52 properly expressed in the perinuclear space of the infected PNs (Fig. 1B). Targeting the nuclei of 53 GCaMP-expressing neurons, we achieved rapid nuclear PAmCherry photoconversion using 810nm excitation light on a three-dimensional acousto-optical deflector microscope (3D-AOD)^{52,53} 54 (Fig. 1B; supplementary movie 1, see methods) and orthogonal GCaMP-Ca²⁺ activity imaging with 55 56 940 nm excitation light (fig. S1A,B). Photoconverted PAmCherry red fluorescence was detected at >1000 nm excitation (1040 or 1070 nm, see methods) and was localized to the targeted nuclei 57 (Fig. 1B, top). 2P-NucTag enabled the imprinting of arbitrary tagging patterns into the CA1 58 59 pyramidal cell layer with 3D-AOD scanning, showing spatially precise photoconversion of H2B-60 PAmCherry with single-nuclear and even sub-nuclear resolution (Fig. 1B, middle and bottom). We carried out a detailed characterization of wavelength, laser power, and duration-dependence 61 62 of PAmCherry photoactivation *in vivo* to identify the optimal parameters for spatially precise 63 photoactivation (Fig. 1C). Based on our results, we opted for 810 nm excitation light with 37-42 mW laser power (measured after the objective), 1.3 ms/pixel dwell time over 70 pixel x 70 pixel 64 65 regions-of-interest (ROIs, with 0.1 µm/pixel resolution). The 810-nm wavelength is spectrally separated from the GCaMP-based Ca²⁺ imaging wavelength at 940 nm, and these photoactivation 66 wavelengths, laser power, and duration parameters yielded robust increases in PAmCherry 67 fluorescence of targeted nuclei (192-379% increase in PAmCherry red fluorescence visualized 68 69 using 1040 nm excitation: n = 36 cells, $295\% \pm 8\% \Delta F/F$, mean \pm s.e.m.) after single scans while 70 minimizing total scan time and power. We confirmed that phototagged nuclei remained detectable 71 over multiple days after photolabeling (Fig. 1D). We next photoactivated a subset of CA1 PNs in a large (700 x 700 µm) field of view (FOV), similar to the FOV size used for *in vivo* 2P population 72 73 imaging experiments in CA1 (Fig. 1E, left). We found clear photoactivation of individual target nuclei when visualized *in vivo*. We then confirmed that *in vivo* photolabeling was preserved in *post* 74 75 hoc histological slices (Fig. 1E, middle), and that phototagged cells can be reliably registered 76 across in vivo z-stacks and post hoc confocal images (Fig. 1E, right, Fig. 1F, Fig. S1C,D, 77 supplementary movie 2). In addition, we segmented the nuclei from both the *in vivo* and *ex vivo* z-78 stacks to observe the average axial and lateral fluorescence profiles of phototagged nuclei, 79 demonstrating single-nucleus resolution (Fig 1F, right).

To demonstrate the compatibility of the 2P-NucTag construct with downstream cell sorting 80 and transcriptomic applications, we further prepared CA1 PN samples and subjected them to 81 82 FACS and Meso-seq (fig. S2). We collected 3 samples of AAV-infected nuclei ('Injected, 83 infected'), 2 samples of nuclei that were not infected, but the mice received AAV injections 84 ('Injected, non-infected'), and 2 negative control samples from mice that were not injected with AAVs ('non-injected'). For each sample, 50-100 nuclei were collected and analyzed in bulk via 85 Meso-seq. Between these groups, we found that they have comparable sequencing statistics in 86 terms of total reads and percent of uniquely mapped reads (fig. S2A); notably, these sequencing 87 statistics are similar to those when we applied Meso-seq to AAV-infected visual cortex samples 88 in previous studies^{49,54}. Gene expression levels between groups were highly correlated (fig. 89 S2B&C). Reads of representative genes are also similar between groups except for the inhibitory 90 91 neuron markers (fig. S2D). Thus, our results demonstrate that the previously established Meso-seq

92 protocol works well with low numbers of hippocampal PN nuclei as input, and that the 2P-NucTag 93 construct does not cause changes in transcriptional properties in AAV-infected PNs. We also 94 obtained ex vivo whole-cell patch-clamp intracellular recordings from phototagged and control 95 CA1 PNs (fig. S3A) in acute hippocampal slices: comparing H2B-TAG (infected and photoactivated), H2B (infected), and control (non-infected) cells revealed no differences in 96 97 intrinsic properties (fig. S3B-G). Together, these results confirm the utility of 2P-NucTag to 98 analyze ex vivo the cellular properties of single CA1 PNs that were functionally characterized and 99 phototagged in vivo and demonstrate that the 2P-NucTag construct does not affect the intrinsic physiological properties of these PNs. Thus, 2P-NucTag enables high-throughput, indelible 100 101 phototagging of neuronal nuclei in vivo that can also be identified via our registration pipeline for post hoc analyses ex vivo. Phototagged nuclei further enable downstream RNA-sequencing and ex 102 103 vivo electrophysiology analyses.



104 Fig. 1. In vivo two-photon phototagging with 2P-NucTag. (A) Schematics of the 2P-NucTag pipeline. Top: 105 bicistronic rAAV construct, injection to the hippocampus. Middle: *in vivo* two-photon (2P) GCaMP- Ca²⁺ population 106 imaging followed by 2P PAmCherry photoactivation, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and mesoscale 107 sequencing (Meso-seq). (B) Top: representative in vivo time-averaged (6 frames average) 2P images of individual 108 cells before (*Pre*) and after (*Post*) in vivo two-photon PAmCherry photoactivation in the CA1 pyramidal layer of the 109 mouse dorsal hippocampus. Individual nuclei were photoactivated with 810-nm 2P laser chessboard scanning region-110 of-interest (ROI, yellow boxes) over target nuclei (70 x 70 pixel for each ROI, 0.1 µm/px, 1.3 ms/px total pixel dwell 111 time, 6,370 ms total scan time per ROI. Laser power was 40 mW measured after the objective) with a 3-dimensional 112 acousto-optical deflector microscope (3D-AOD). Gray: GCaMP7f (940 nm excitation), magenta: PAmCherry, (1040 113 nm excitation). Scale bar: 50 µm. Middle and bottom: imprints of letters 'BI' and 'ZI' following patterned in vivo two-114 photon photoactivation in the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal layer (scale bar, 50 µm). (C) Characterization of *in vivo* 115 2P photoactivation parameters for PAmCherry: duration, wavelength, laser power (measured after the objective) (n = 116 11-12 cells per condition). Relative change in PAmCherry red fluorescence (ΔF) is based on normalizing the tagged 117 nuclei fluorescence to the fluorescence of neighboring untagged nuclei measured with 1040 nm excitation. (D) In vivo 118 stability of the PAmCherry fluorescence signal over days after a single photoactivation scan (n = 8 cells). (E) 119 Representative time-averaged images from z-stacks of photoactivated nuclei in vivo (magenta: PAmCherry, scale bar: 120 100 µm). Middle: ex vivo post hoc confocal z-stack image of the same field of view (FOV, magenta: PAmCherry). 121 Right: registered in vivo and ex vivo images following non-rigid image transformation (magenta: in vivo, vellow: ex 122 vivo, see methods). (F) Left: 3D overlay of tagged nuclei registered between in vivo (magenta) and ex vivo (yellow) 123 z-stacks with increasing lateral resolution (as in E). Gray box represents the segmented area for subsequent images. 124 Right: normalized lateral (x-y, left) and axial (z, right) fluorescence profiles (mean \pm s.e.m.) of tagged cells in vivo 125 (magenta, n = 1 mouse, 200 cells). Yellow: mean \pm s.e.m. of *ex vivo* confocal images (as in E and F, same mouse and 126 nuclei). Inset: (x-y) top: average in vivo maximum z-projection, bottom: average ex vivo maximum z projection; (z) 127 top: average in vivo lateral projection, bottom: average ex vivo lateral projection. Scale bar: 10 µm. Boxplots show the 128 25th, 50th (median), and 75th quartile ranges, with the whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th quartiles, respectively. Outliers are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges. 129

130 131

132 Phototagging of functionally identified PNs in the hippocampus *in vivo*

To demonstrate the utility of 2P-NucTag for on-demand labeling of *functionally* defined PNs, we 133 deployed 2P-NucTag to label hippocampal PNs located in the dorsal CA1, a region with well-134 established spatial coding heterogeneity^{28–35}. Only a subset of hippocampal PNs ('place cells') 135 exhibit reliable spatial tuning for a location ('place field') during exploration⁵⁵. Place cell identity 136 is thought to be randomly allocated within a seemingly homogenous population of PNs⁵⁶, and is 137 highly dynamic, with the majority of cells changing their spatial tuning properties over the 138 timescale of days^{35–40}. The spatial intermingling of place cells with active-non-place and silent 139 PNs⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ without apparent topographical organization in the densely packed CA1 pyramidal 140 laver^{35,60} allows us to test the utility of 2P-NucTag in selectively labeling PNs occupying these 141 142 distinct functional states.

143 To selectively label CA1 PNs with distinct spatial coding properties, we trained mice in a spatial navigation task for water rewards in a linear virtual reality environment^{61–63} and performed 144 in vivo 2P GCaMP- Ca²⁺ imaging of PNs (Fig. 2A.B). We reliably detected GCaMP-Ca²⁺ signals 145 from individual PNs (Fig. 2C, fig. S1B). We analyzed the basic characteristics of GCaMP7f in the 146 bicistronic 2P-NucTag construct and confirmed that the basic properties of the indicator are similar 147 to those for a previously published single-construct version of GCaMP7f⁵⁰ (fig. S1B). We 148 classified all PNs in the imaging FOV as place cells, active non-place cells, or silent cells (Fig. 149 2D, see methods). Following the functional identification of PNs, we generated spatial masks of 150 place cell locations in the FOV and used these masks to guide 2P phototagging of all identified 151 place cells in the imaging FOV with 3D-AOD (n = 5 mice, Fig. 2E, F). In a separate set of mice 152 (n = 4 mice), we tagged a subset of CA1 PNs that exhibited no detectable activity during the 153

imaging session ('silent cells' see methods, fig. S4, Table 1), whereby we photoactivated a similar number of silent cells as place cells in each mouse despite their higher abundance in our recordings. We could reliably register photoactivated nuclei to the spatial masks of functional profiles that we generated for cells of interest (Fig. 2F, fig. S4D,E, fig. S5, see methods). We quantified the number of place cell nuclei that we successfully photoactivated and compared it to the number of spatial masks we generated for each animal. Across all animals, we were able to phototag 93.3% ± 4.2% (n = 5 mice) of all targeted place cell masks and 53.6 ± 6.6% of all targeted silent cells (Fig. 2F).

161 To assess the accuracy of 2P photoactivation in the densely packed CA1 pyramidal layer, we quantified the number of tagged nuclei for each targeted cell and found that photoactivation is 162 163 well restricted to the target cells' nuclei with limited off-target labeled nuclei, which had a smaller increase in mCherry fluorescence (Fig. 2G, fig. S4F). Furthermore, 2P GCaMP-Ca²⁺ imaging at 164 940 nm over the course of the imaging session (18-40 min, see methods) resulted in a minimal 165 increase of mCherry red fluorescence $(37.4\% \pm 16.2\% \Delta F/F, n = 5 \text{ mice}, \text{ Fig. 2G, fig. S4F, 1070})$ 166 167 nm excitation). Targeted place cells demonstrated higher mCherry fluorescence ($487.7\% \pm 67\%$ 168 $\Delta F/F$, n = 5 mice) compared to the background and off-target fluorescence (210.4% ± 32.1% $\Delta F/F$. n = 5 mice). Mouse behavior and the quality of GCaMP recordings were consistent between the 169 two groups of mice (silent and place, fig. S4G-I). In sum, 2P-NucTag offers on-demand in vivo 170 171 labeling of functionally defined neurons with high efficacy and accuracy.



172 Fig. 2. Selective phototagging of place cells in the hippocampus with 2P-NucTag. (A) Pipeline for two-photon 173 (2P) phototagging of functionally identified hippocampal neurons during spatial navigation. (B) Left: schematics of 174 2P imaging setup in virtual reality (VR). Head-fixed mice are trained to run for a water reward in a 4-m long linear 175 VR corridor projected onto LCD screens surrounding the animal. At the end of the corridor, mice are teleported back 176 to the start position after a 2-second delay. Right: example 2P field of view (FOV) of GcaMP in the CA1 pyramidal layer. Scale bar: 100 μ m.(C) Left: Traces of relative GcaMP-Ca²⁺ fluorescence changes (Δ F/F) from five example 177 178 CA1 place cells during VR spatial navigation. Right: heatmaps of normalized $\Delta F/F$ activity from three example place 179 cells over 20 laps during VR navigation. (D) Left: heatmap of all CA1PNs detected with Suite2p/Cellpose in the FOV 180 shown in B. Identified place cells are marked with an orange box. Right: Zoomed-in heatmap of place cell tuning 181 curves. (E) Left: spatial mask (orange) of identified place cells from D in the FOV. Right: PAmCherry fluorescence 182 (magenta) of tagged nuclei after 2P phototagging. Scale bar: 100 µm. (F) Left: overlay of spatial masks of identified CA1PNs and tagged nuclei for the FOVs in E. Scale bar: 100 µm. Right: tagging efficacy, defined as the fraction of 183 184 successfully tagged place cell nuclei (93.3% \pm 4.2%, mean \pm s.e.m., n = 5 mice). (G) Left: Proportion of single, double, 185 and triple-tagged nuclei following phototagging of a single place cell. Right: relative change in PAmCherry red 186 fluorescence (1070 nm excitation) for non-tagged cells in the FOV after 2P imaging (green), after 2P phototagging of 187 targeted place cell nuclei (orange) and off-target nuclei (gray, n = 5 mice). Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and 188 75^{th} quartile ranges, with the whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25^{th} or 75^{th} quartiles, 189 respectively. Outliers are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges.

190

191 Transcriptional profiling of functionally identified CA1 PNs

192 The ability to tag single cells *in vivo* enables a powerful new form of hypothesis generation and 193 testing by which, for the first time, functionally defined cells with known behavioral relevance can 194 be isolated and characterized. To demonstrate this, we sought to interrogate transcriptomic 195 signatures of the functional 'place' and 'silent' cell states of CA1 PN.

Following functional imaging and in vivo phototagging, brain tissue containing dorsal CA1 196 197 was collected, and nuclei were dissociated and stained with CoreLite 488-conjugated NeuN 198 antibody and DAPI to identify neuronal nuclei (see methods). In vivo photoactivated nuclei were 199 identified by bright mCherry fluorescence (Fig. 3A,B) and were separated out from the non-200 photolabeled neuronal nuclei by fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS), enabling an estimated 18-67% recovery of all photoactivated nuclei identified during in vivo imaging (Fig. 3C). To 201 determine whether place cells and silent cells differed in their gene expression programs, RNA-202 seq was performed on both populations of sorted nuclei by Meso-seq, an approach that enables 203 reliable identification of differentially expressed genes in ultra-low amounts for FACS-isolated 204 neuronal nuclei (i.e., tens of sorted nuclei, Fig. 3D)⁴⁹. After isolating the phototagged nuclei, 205 206 libraries were generated with the Meso-seq protocol and were sequenced at a depth of 40-60 207 million reads per library (fig. S4A). Reads were aligned to the mm39 mouse genome assembly 208 with STAR, counted with HTSeq, and gene expression patterns were compared between nuclei isolated from mice in which place cells were tagged (n = 5) and mice in which silent cells were 209 210 tagged (n = 4) via PyDESeq2.

211 In both populations, canonical CA1 PN marker genes (Map2, Actb, Dlg4, Neurod6) were 212 highly and non-differentially expressed, supporting the cellular precision of our tagging approach 213 (Fig. 3E,F). However, 219 genes were identified as differentially expressed in a significant manner between silent and place cells (Fig. 3E, see Supplementary Table). In some cases, specific genes 214 215 were reliably identified in one group and absent from the other. For example, no counts were measured for an inward rectifying potassium channel (Kcnj12) in place cell samples, but the 216 217 expression was present in all silent cell samples. Conversely, mRNA from two transcription factors 218 of the zinc finger protein family (Zfp84, Zfp977) and a protocadherin gene (Pcdha8) were 219 identified in every place cell sample and in none of the silent cell samples (Fig. 3G). To ensure 220 that the observed differences did not arise from other sources of variation between our place and

221 silent cell samples, we performed several additional analyses, investigating potential contributions 222 from anatomical positioning, transcriptional responses to neural activity, cellular health, and sex. 223 Genes for which expression has been shown to vary along the dorsoventral and proximodistal axes 224 of CA1 were not differentially expressed in our place and silent-cell nuclei (fig. S6B)^{29,64}, suggesting that any observed differences are unlikely to have originated from differences in 225 226 anatomical positioning during cell tagging. Immediate early gene levels (Fos, Arc, Egr1, Npas4) 227 did not differ (Fig. 3F)^{65–67}, suggesting that the \geq 25 hours between the last behavior session and 228 tissue collection was sufficient time to eliminate the impact of immediate transcriptional responses 229 to neural activity. Apoptotic gene counts also did not differ between the two groups (Fig. S6). 230 suggesting that there was no significant difference in cell health between the silent and place 231 cells 68 . Finally, to test for gross molecular differences between the cells in an unbiased fashion, we 232 performed gene set enrichment analysis on fifty hallmark gene sets from the mouse molecular 233 signatures database and found no significant differences between silent and place cell samples 234 (Fig. 3H). Since the sex of mice used for both groups was not well balanced in this study (Table 235 1), it is possible that gene expression differences originated from the mouse's sex rather than the 236 functional identity of the tagged cells. To explore this possibility, we compared gene expression 237 in randomly tagged (function-blind) CA1 PN nuclei from both male (n = 2) and female (n = 2)238 mice. Although we found that known sex-specific genes⁶⁹ were differentially expressed, none of the genes identified as enriched in either silent or place cells had significant sex associations (Fig. 239 240 S7C,D). To assess if our differentially expressed genes-of-interest signified upregulation or 241 downregulation in place cells from the mean or, conversely, downregulation or upregulation in 242 silent cells, we compared gene expression in place cells and silent cells to randomly tagged cells 243 separately. We found that Kcnil2 expression was enriched in silent cells compared to random 244 cells. Zfp84 and Zfp977 were significantly downregulated in silent cells compared to random cells, 245 while Pcdha8 expression was significantly enriched in place cells compared to random cells (Fig. 246 S7A,B).

247 We were particularly interested in the enriched expression of Kcnj12 in silent cells: this gene encodes for an inward rectifying potassium channel⁷⁰⁻⁷², its expression pattern suggests that 248 249 there could be a difference in the intrinsic electrophysiological properties of silent and non-silent 250 cells. Thus, to validate the expression of Kcnj12, we first performed RNAScope Fluorescent in 251 situ hybridization (FISH) in brain sections with tagged silent CA1 PNs (fig. S8). Using a probeset 252 for detecting Kcnj12 (fig. S8A,B), we then quantified the number of Kcnj12 puncta in tagged silent cells and a number-matched subset of randomly selected non-tagged cells (n = 170 cells each, 2 253 254 mice). This analyses confirmed that tagged silent cells indeed express more Kcnj12 transcripts 255 than non-tagged cells (fig. S8C,D). To test whether silent CA1 PNs differ in their intrinsic electrophysiological properties, we next performed targeted whole-cell patch-clamp recordings 256 257 from tagged silent and nontagged cells ex vivo in acute hippocampal slices (fig. S9). These analyses 258 revealed that silent cells had a hyperpolarized resting membrane potential as compared to random 259 non-tagged cells.

In summary, our results demonstrate that, for the first time, we have the ability to apply differential gene expression analyses on populations of cells from the same brain region that differ only by their functional identity. Moreover, our in-depth sequencing approach can indeed identify differences in gene expression between functionally defined cells tagged *in vivo* with 2P-NucTag, and these functionally-molecularly distinct neurons can be further analyzed at high-resolution with downstream molecular, cellular and electrophysiological approaches.



266 Fig. 3. Post hoc transcriptional profiling of phototagged place and silent cells. (A) Schematics of in vivo 267 photoactivated nuclei. 'Place' cell sample and 'Silent' cell samples from different mice were collected for FACS and 268 Meso-seq. (B) Representative FACS graph. Gating for mCherry was set after the first 5000 events of DAPI+ nuclei 269 to the border of the 'dim' mCherry+ population to separate out the sparse and high-intensity mCherry+ population. 270 Bright mCherry+ NeuN+ populations were collected as the photoactivated nuclei. (C) Top: number of FACS sorted 271 nuclei from 'place' and 'silent' samples (n = 9, 17-79 sorted nuclei, 40.3 ± 6.43 , mean \pm s.e.m.). Bottom: Proportion 272 of FACS sorted nuclei compared to the number of *in vivo* photoactivated nuclei (n = 9, 18.45% to 66.39% FACS 273 recovery, $39.94 \pm 6.30\%$, mean \pm s.e.m.). (D) Volcano plot of Meso-seq differential expressed gene (DEG) analysis 274 for 'place' and 'silent' cells (significantly different genes are shown in orange and blue. Orange: enriched in place 275 cells; blue: enriched in silent cells). (E) Meso-seq MA plot depicting DeSeq2 normalized gene counts versus log2 fold 276 change of silent/place samples. Genes that are significantly different are labeled in orange and blue (same as above). 277 Genes shown in panels F & G are highlighted and labeled in E. (F) Bar graph showing the normalized counts for 278 genes that are not differentially expressed (FDR adjusted p-value. *<0.05, **<0.001, ***<0.001, PyDeSeq2. 279 Otherwise, comparisons are not significant). (G) Bar graph showing the normalized counts for differentially expressed 280 genes (FDR adjusted p-value. *<0.05, **<0.001, ***<0.001, PyDeSeq2. Otherwise, comparisons are not significant). (H) Gene ontology analysis performed on all differentially expressed genes. Vertical line: FDR-adjusted p value of 281 0.05. NES = normalized enrichment score. Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th quartile ranges, with the 282

whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th quartiles, respectively. Outliers are
 defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges.

- 285
- 286

287 Discussion

Comprehensive molecular-functional characterization of cortical circuits remains elusive due to 288 the limited toolkit for correlated in vivo functional recording techniques and post hoc molecular 289 290 analyses. Here, we introduced 2P-NucTag, a robust function-forward approach combining in vivo 291 imaging, on-demand single-nucleus tagging, and post hoc transcriptomics. This pipeline 292 seamlessly integrates 2P Ca²⁺ imaging in behaving mice, 2P phototagging of functionally identified neuronal nuclei, FACS-isolation of the tagged nuclei, and subsequent analyses of 293 294 isolated nuclei with a mesoscale protocol for in-depth transcriptomics in ultra-sparse neuronal 295 populations.

296 We demonstrated the utility of 2P-NucTag by selectively labeling and analyzing 297 transcriptional profiles of two functionally orthogonal subpopulations of hippocampal PNs, 'place 298 cells' and 'silent cells'. Unexpectedly, our data revealed a number of genes that are putatively differentially expressed between these distinctly transient physiological cell states^{35–40}. The low 299 300 counts for some of these genes indicate that further replicates may be necessary to confirm their 301 differential expression. Nevertheless, several of the identified genes generate new hypotheses 302 about the origin of these two functional cell identities, which had canonically been considered otherwise indistinguishable. Specifically, the marked enrichment of an inward rectifying 303 304 potassium channel (Kcnj12) in silent cells implicates intrinsic excitability as a putative cellular and 305 molecular mechanism that might influence the activity and spatial coding properties of PNs in the hippocampus.⁷³ This result and model align with previous studies showing that that intrinsic 306 excitability is a major factor governing cell recruitment to a memory trace^{74–77}. Future experiments 307 will be needed to test the function of specific genes that we have identified with our tagging 308 309 technique, which could reveal a non-random allocation of functional activity onto CA1 PNs during 310 learning and experience. In particular, future application of 2P-NucTag to selectively tag PNs with 311 highly distinct and shared coding properties, including place field propensity^{28,36,78,79}, recruitment to 'replay' and 'preplay' events⁸⁰⁻⁸³ and participation in functionally interconnected subnetworks⁸⁴ 312 may uncover genetic and developmental^{85–89} backbones of functional heterogeneity among PNs. 313

Our results showed low levels of unintended PAmCherry photoactivation during functional 314 315 imaging as well as limited off-target labeling. Although the activation level of these background 316 and off-target neurons is lower and the actual targeted cells' photoactivation can be distinguished 317 through *in vivo* imaging, FACS, and *ex vivo* confocal imaging, this presents a complicating factor 318 when setting gating levels for FACS. Therefore, in this study, we sorted only the brightest population of cells with high levels of mCherry fluorescence (Fig. 3B). Future optimization of the 319 320 phototagging vector and the 2P tagging parameters could help refine photoactivation specificity. 321 Nevertheless, our proof-of-principle implementation of 2P-NucTag to functionally heterogeneous 322 hippocampal PNs that are spatially intermixed in the densely packed pyramidal cell layer 323 demonstrates that 2P-NucTag should be readily applicable to neocortical tissue with lower cell 324 density.

Beyond this first application to the mouse hippocampus, 2P-NucTag will have broad appeal for correlated structural, molecular, and functional analysis of neural circuits. Our approach offers the ability to bridge molecular and functional architecture in cortical circuits and facilitates the identification of candidate genes that determine the distinct circuit functions of neurons. Our pipeline should be potent for uncovering molecular signatures of phenomenologically described neuronal subsets with distinct feature selectivity, task-related activity, and longitudinal stability
 that are spatially intermixed in cortical circuits^{21,23,25,90–94}.

Our approach can also be extended in multiple directions. Firstly, as 2P-NucTag is 332 333 compatible with *post hoc* histological analysis of imaged tissue, it can be combined with spatially resolved transcriptomics^{17,18,95,96} following automated registration between *in vivo* and *post hoc* 334 335 images^{97,98}. Secondly, *ex vivo* electrophysiological recordings from tagged cells would allow for 336 detailed ex vivo physiological, molecular, and anatomical characterization of in vivo tagged cells^{9–} 337 ¹². Thirdly, in principle, our pipeline is compatible with sc/snRNA-seq, given the single-cell 338 resolution achieved through targeted photoactivation. Fourthly, further optimization of 339 phototagging with multi-color fluorophores may enable simultaneous labeling of multiple functionally defined cell types in the same animal, while cytosolic tags⁴⁶ can promote anatomical 340 341 labeling of subcellular axonal or dendritic compartments for downstream structural and 342 connectivity analyses. Lastly, the on-demand nature of photoactivation and its days-long stability 343 following single photoactivation makes our pipeline a valuable tool for precise analysis of 344 transcriptional trajectories following cellular plasticity events during, for example, behavioral learning^{52,67,99,100}. 345

In summary, our novel phototagging approach aids in expanding our knowledge of the fundamental relationship between the molecular and functional architecture of mammalian cortical circuits and allows for the identification of candidate genes that determine the distinct circuit functions of seemingly homogeneous populations of neurons. Beyond the proof-of-principle implementation to hippocampal circuits, our approach provides a general framework and roadmap for linking genes to cells across neural circuits and model organisms.

352 Materials and Methods

353 Animals

All animal care and experiment procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institute of Health. Animal protocols were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the Weizmann Institute of Science Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were group-housed under normal lighting conditions in a 12-hour light/dark cycle. *Ad libitum* water was provided until the beginning of training for the spatial navigation task.

360

361 Plasmids and Viral Constructs

pAAV-CW3SL-GCaMP7f-4Ala-H2B-PAmCherry was generated by standard cloning techniques. 362 GCaMP7f was PCR-amplified from Addgene plasmid #104492 with a 3' Primer that contained 363 sequences encoding four Alanine residues and the P2A sequence (both in frame with the coding 364 365 sequence of GCaMP7f). H2B-PAmCherry was amplified from Addgene plasmid #133419. The PCR products were then subcloned by Gibson-assembly into Addgene plasmid #61463 after 366 367 removing EGFP from this plasmid by restriction with ClaI and EcoRI. The sequence of the cloned 368 plasmid was validated by Sanger sequencing and GCaMP7f + 4xAla, P2A and H2B-PAmCherry were all found to be in frame. The plasmid was packaged into AAVDJ at a viral titer of 7.26E+15 369 370 essentially as described⁴⁹.

371

372 Surgery

373 All procedures were performed with mice under anesthesia using isoflurane (4% induction, 1.5% 374 maintenance in 95% oxygen). Mice's body temperature was maintained using a heating pad both during and after the procedure. Surgeries were performed on a stereotaxic instrument (Kopf 375 376 Instruments). Before incision, mice were given subcutaneous meloxicam, as well as bupivacaine 377 at the incision site. Doses were calculated based on the animal's weight. An incision above the 378 skull was made to expose bregma and lambda for vertical alignment. Skull surfaces were cleaned 379 and scored to improve dental cement adhesion. For viral injection, a glass capillary loaded with 380 rAAV is attached to a Nanoject device (Drummond Scientific).

381

For all experimental mice, viruses were injected unilaterally in the left dorsal CA1 at 4 depths 382 383 using the coordinates: -2.2 AP, -1.75 ML, and -1.2, -1.1, -1.0, -0.9 DV (relative to Bregma). At each depth, 75nl of AAVDJ-CW3SL-GCaMP7f-4Ala-H2B-PAmCherry was injected. After 384 injection, surgical sites were closed with sutures. Three days after injection, the skull was exposed 385 386 and a 3mm craniotomy was made centered at the same coordinate of the injection site. Dura was 387 removed, and the cortex was slowly aspirated with continuous irrigation of cold 1X PBS until the 388 fiber tract above the hippocampus was visible. A 3-mm imaging cannula fitted with a 3mm glass 389 coverslip was implanted over the craniotomy site. Cannulas were secured by Vetbond. A custom 390 titanium headpost for head-fixation was secured first with C&B Metabond (Parkell) and then 391 dental acrylic. At the end of each procedure, the mice received a 1.0 ml saline injection 392 subcutaneously and recovered in their home cage with heating applied. Mice were monitored for 393 3 days after the procedure.

394395 Behavior paradigm

396 Mice were first water-deprived and habituated to handling and head fixation at least 7 days after 397 implant surgery. They were then exposed to a 4-m long linear virtual reality (VR) corridor⁶¹⁻⁶³ that

398 stayed consistent in the training and recording. At the end of the environment, an inter-trial interval

of 2 seconds of blank screen was included before the start of the next lap. For the next 10-14 days,

400 mice were trained to run through the virtual environment and lick for a 5% sucrose reward. The

401 rewards were first randomly distributed across the environment, and the number of rewards was

402 slowly reduced from 30 at the beginning of the training to 2 when the mouse was deemed ready

- 403 for recording. The final reward location was fixed toward the end of the VR environment. Mice 404 were trained to run at least 30-60 laps in the environment. During behavioral imaging, mice were
- 405 imagined during a single VR session (range: 18-40 min, 26 ± 3 min, n = 9 mice).
- 406

407 *In vivo* two-photon imaging and data processing

408 2P functional imaging was conducted using an 8-kHz resonant scanner (Bruker) and a 16x near-409 infrared (NIR) water immersion objective (Nikon, 0.8 NA, 3.0-mm working distance). For population imaging, a field of view of 700 um x 700 um was acquired at 30 Hz, 512 x 512 pixels 410 411 using a 940-nm laser (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent, 45-91 mW after the objective). Red (PAmCherry) and green (GCaMP7f) channels were separated by an emission cube set (green, 412 HO525/70 m-2p; red, HO607/45 m-2p; 575dcxr, Chroma Technology), and fluorescence signals 413 were collected with GaAsP photomultiplier tube modules (7422P-40, Hamamatsu). Following the 414 acquisition of two-photon imaging data, Ca²⁺ imaging data was structured and aligned with 415 behavior data using the SIMA analysis package¹⁰¹. CA1 ROIs were detected using the Suite2p 416 417 (v0.14.2) package¹⁰². To allow detection of all potential ROIs regardless of their activities during the recording, Suite2p was run with Cellpose ('anatomical only')¹⁰³ for the ROI detection step. 418 The pre-trained cyto2 model included in the published Cellpose package was used for ROI 419 420 detection. When capturing two-photon z-stack images of photoactivated PAmCherry nuclei, a 421 fixed wavelength 1070-nm laser (Fidelity-2W, Coherent) was used for excitation.

422

423 In vivo two-photon phototagging

Photoactivation was conducted using a three-dimensional random-access acousto-optical (3D-424 AOD) microscope (3D Atlas, Femtonics)^{19,53}. Mice were head-fixed and anesthetized with 425 isoflurane to minimize motion and increase the spatial precision of phototagging. The same 16x 426 427 NIR water immersion objective was used to find the same field of view as in the functional 428 recordings. Photoactivation was performed at 810-nm (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent). Two-429 photon images of the mCherry red fluorescence were taken before and after photoactivation using 430 a 1040-nm excitation laser (Alcor 1040-5W, Spark Lasers). Red (mCherry) and green (GCaMP7f) channels were separated by an emission cube set (green, HQ520/60 m-2p; red, HQ650/160 m-2p; 431 565dcxr, Chroma Technology), and fluorescence signals were collected with GaAsP 432 433 photomultiplier tube modules (7422P-40, Hamamatsu). Two-photon images of the mCherry red 434 fluorescence were taken before and after photoactivation using a 1040-nm excitation laser (Alcor 1040-5W, Spark Lasers). For photoactivation, a 7 x 7 µm, 0.1 µm /pixel scanning pattern was 435 placed on the cell to be photoactivated. Each pixel was activated for a total dwell time of 1.3 ms 436 437 with a laser power of 40 mW. This gave the total scanning time of each cell at 6,370 ms. Following photoactivation, a z-stack was taken for each mouse to assess the photoactivation efficacy. 438

439

440 For phototagging of 'place cells' and 'silent cells', photoactivation experiments were conducted a

day after functional recording sessions. The imaging field of view of 700 µm x 700 µm was

- 442 matched between the 3D-AOD microscope and the time-averaged GCaMP image from functional
- 443 recording. After confirming the same field of view as functional imaging, the viewport was

444 zoomed in to a dimension of 250 x 250 μm for more effective identification of targeted cells 445 according to the generated spatial masks. Following photoactivation of all cells, a z-stack image 446 was taken for each mouse to confirm the tagging accuracy. Mice were given *ad libitum* water after 447 functional imaging for at least 12 hours before photoactivation. During the session, mice were 448 monitored every 10 min for breathing rate and reflexes. Heating and eye ointment were applied. 449 Following the photoactivation, mice were returned to the home cage to recover with a heating pad.

450

451 Tissue dissociation and preparation of nuclei for FACS

For all animals used in this manuscript, tissue collection was performed at the same time of the day (5 pm) and at least one hour after the photoactivation. Mice were euthanized using CO₂. The headpost and metal cannulas were removed. Dorsal CA1 of the hippocampus was collected by first using a 3-mm biopsy punch to cut a circular section of tissue the same size as the craniotomy. A microspatula was used to remove the shallow section of tissue that contained dorsal CA1. The tissue was placed in a 1.5 ml RNase-free Eppendorf tube and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues were stored at -80 °C until the start of the nuclei isolation.

459

Nuclei were prepared for FACS sorting essentially as previously described (46). In short, to isolate 460 the nuclei, each collected tissue was transferred to a dounce tissue homogenizer (DWK Life 461 Sciences) with 1ml of homogenization buffer (10 mM Tris Buffer, 250mM Sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 462 5mM MgCl₂, 0.1mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1X Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, and 40U/µl 463 464 RNAsin Plus RNase Inhibitor in nuclease-free water). Loose and tight pestles were used to break apart the tissue 10 times each. Following the homogenization, 1 ml of homogenization buffer was 465 466 added to each dounce, and the homogenate was pipetted up and down to further break apart tissue before being passed through a 30 µm cell strainer (Miltenyi Biotec) and collected in a 15 ml conical 467 468 tube.

469

The homogenate was centrifuged at 4 °C, 700g for 8 min. The supernatants were then removed 470 from the visible cell pellet. The cell pellet was resuspended with 800 µl of blocking buffer (1X 471 472 PBS, 1% BSA, and 40 U/µl RNasin Plus RNase Inhibitor in nuclease-free water). The 473 resuspension was incubated on ice for 15 min and transferred to a 1.5 ml tube. 2 µl of CoraLite Plus 488-conjugated NeuN Monoclonal antibody (Proteintech) was added to the resuspension, and 474 incubated on an orbital rotator at 4 °C for 30 min. After incubation, nuclei were centrifuged at 4 475 476 °C, 700 g for 8 min. The supernatant was removed, and the cell pellet was resuspended with 1000 477 µl of blocking buffer. DAPI was added to the suspension at a final concentration of 0.001 mg/ml, and the samples were passed through a 40- µm Flowmi Cell Strainer (Bel-Art). All samples were 478 479 kept on ice until the start of FACS.

480

481 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

The sorting was performed at the Zuckerman Institute Flow Cytometry Core using a MoFlo Astrios 482 Cell Sorter (Beckman Coulter). Event rates were kept between 5000-10000 events per second. The 483 484 cell sorter uses a linear array of lasers ordered as 640 nm, 488 nm, 561 nm, 532 nm, 405 nm and 355 nm from top to bottom. For experiments described in this manuscript, 488 nm, 561 nm and 485 405 nm lasers were used to detect the fluorescence of NeuN, mCherry and DAPI respectively. A 486 487 gating control sample was used to set the gates for DAPI, NeuN and mCherry. Dissociated nuclei were passed through the cell sorter to collect those with high mCherry signals. Bright and Dim 488 489 mCherry gates were determined after the first 5000 events of DAPI-positive nuclei. Gating was

490 set to collect only the sparse and bright population that showed a high mCherry signal, and these

491 events were collected as the photoactivated nuclei ('Bright' mCherry). Nuclei were collected into

492 SMART-Seq CDS sorting buffer that contains 1X lysis buffer, SMART-Seq Oligo-dT and RNase

inhibitor. All sorted samples were kept on dry ice as recommended by the SMART-Seq protocol

494 until the start of first-strand synthesis. To increase the likelihood of collecting nuclei in this ultra-

sparse population, aborted events for 'Bright' mCherry and all events for other positive mCherry
 were collected into the blocking buffer (200 ml). This suspension was passed through the sorter

- 450 were concerted into the blocking burrer (200 inf). This suspension was passed through the sorter 497 again with the same fluorescence gating following the completion of the first sorting to capture
- 498 the bright nuclei.
- 499

500 Meso-seq

501 We followed the previously published Meso-seq protocol⁴⁹ with minor modifications. Sorted 502 nuclei were collected in a lysis buffer following the SMART-Seq mRNA LP (with UMIs) protocol. 503 Reverse transcription for cDNA was followed by cDNA amplification using 17-18 PCR cycles. 504 Purified cDNA was prepared for sequencing the library using the SMART-seq Library Preparation 505 Kit. Libraries were amplified using 14 PCR cycles. The concentration of the final library was 506 determined using a Qubit3.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen), and the average DNA fragment size was determined using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Sequencing was performed on a NextSeq 2000 507 508 sequencer with P2-100 reagents (Illumina). Libraries were diluted and pooled according to the

- 509 recommendation of the sequencing kit.
- 510

511 *Ex vivo* electrophysiology in acute hippocampus slices

512 Mice were transcardially perfused with ice-cold sucrose dissection media (26 mM NaHCO3, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgSO4, 11 mM glucose, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 234 mM sucrose; 513 340 mOsm). Brains were then dissected and sliced, while being kept in ice-cold sucrose dissection 514 515 media, into coronal sections (300 µm thick) containing the hippocampal CA1 using a Leica 516 VT1200S vibratome. Slices were incubated in high osmotic concentrated artificial cerebrospinal 517 fluid (aCSF) (28.08 mM NaHCO3, 1.35 mM NaH2PO4, 132.84 mM NaCl, 3.24 mM KCl, 1.08 518 mM MgCl2, 11.88 mM glucose, 2.16 mM CaCl2; 320 mOsm) at 32°C for 30 minutes immediately 519 after slicing. Then, slices were incubated in normal osmotic concentrated artificial cerebrospinal 520 fluid (26 mM NaHCO3, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 123 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 11 mM 521 glucose, 2 mM CaCl2; 300 mOsm) at 32°C for 30 minutes and subsequently at room temperature. All solutions were saturated with 95%-O2/5%-CO2, and slices were used within 6 hours of 522 preparation. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed in aCSF at 32°C from neurons in 523 524 the visual cortex. Recording pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillary tubing with 525 filaments (OD 1.50 mm, ID 0.86 mm, length 10 cm) using a P-700 micropipette puller (Sutter 526 Instruments) and yielded tips of 3-5 MQ resistance. Recordings were sampled at 20 kHz and 527 filtered at 3 kHz. Data were acquired via Clampex10 using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and 528 digitized with an Axon Digidata 1550B data acquisition board (Axon Instruments). Tagged 529 neurons were identified based on mCherry nuclear fluorescence using a pE-300 white MB LED light (CoolLED) with GYR (525-660nm) spectrum, combined with an Olympus Cy5 Filter Cube 530 531 Set (ex. 604-644nm; em. 672-712nm).

532

533 To ensure that the recorded cells were indeed phototagged neurons, Alexa 594 Hydrazide (10uM)

- 534 was added to the internal solution to allow co-localization of the fluorescence of the tagged neuron 535 to the one that was patched using confocal imaging in PEA(4%) fixed slices
- 535 to the one that was patched using confocal imaging in PFA(4%)-fixed slices.

536

537 For measuring the intrinsic properties, the following internal solution was used: 135 mM k-538 gluconate, 4mM KCl, 10mM HEPES, 10mM Pcreatine, 4mM Mg-ATP, 4mM GTP-Na and 2mM 539 Na2-ATP. Intrinsic properties were calculated by giving 1.2s long current steps (20pA).

540

541 Tissue collection and processing for in situ hybridization

542 Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and transcardially perfused with 20 mL of ice-cold 0.01M 543 phosphate base saline (PBS, Sigma) followed by 20 mL ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, 544 Electron Microscopy Sciences) in PBS. Brains were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours and then 545 saturated with a 10%, 20%, and 30% sucrose solution sequentially over 48 hours until they sunk 546 to the bottom of each successive solution. 30% Sucrose-saturated brains were then embedded in 547 OCT (Optimal Cutting Temperature Compound, Sakura, cat#4583), frozen, stored overnight at -548 80 °C, and sliced transversely at 20 µm thickness with a cryostat. Sections were stored at -80 °C 549 on slides and used for RNAScope in situ hybridization.

550

551 **RNAScope Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH)**

20 µm fixed frozen sections of the frozen tissue block were taken and the RNAScopeTM Multiplex 552 Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2 – User Manual was followed (cat#: 323100). The Kcnj12 targeting 553 554 probe was designed and generated by Advanced Cell Diagnostics Inc (Kcnj12: cat#: 525171-C3, 555 Entrez Gene ID: 16515, GenBank Accession #: NM 010603.6). Slides were sequentially dehydrated using ethanol solutions of increasing concentrations (50%, 70% and 100%) for 5 min 556 557 at RT. 5-8 drops of H2O2 were added to each sample, followed by a 10 min incubation at RT. After 558 rinsing with distilled water, antigen retrieval was performed for 5 min at 99°C. To digest sections, 559 RNAscope Protease III was applied to the sections for 30 min at 40°C. The probes were hybridized for 2 h at 40°C and amplified with AMP1 (30 min), AMP2 (30 min), AMP3 (15 min); each was 560 561 incubated at 40°C. The probe was fluorescently tagged with 1:2000 TSA Vivid Fluorophore 650 (PN 323273). Slides were counterstained with DAPI for a nuclear stain to identify viable cells and 562 563 mounted in ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant. 20 µm sections were imaged in 3 µm z-steps using 564 an inverted confocal microscope with 20x oil objective (A1 HD25, Nikon Instruments Inc.).

565

Data analysis 566

567 **Quantification and Statistical Analysis**

All statistical details for comparisons are described in the text. No statistical methods were used 568 to determine sample sizes. Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th quartile ranges with the 569 570 whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th quartiles, respectively. Outliers are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges. For comparisons 571 572 between two populations with non-normal distributions, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. For 573 comparisons between gene expression datasets, the Wald test followed by multiple corrections via 574 the Benjamini and Hochberg method was used as described in PyDESeq2¹⁰⁴.

- 575

576 **Event detection**

Fluorescence GCaMP traces were deconvolved using OASIS for fast nonnegative 577 deconvolution¹⁰⁵. As in ref.¹⁰⁶, these putative spike events were filtered at 3 median absolute

- 578
- 579 deviations (MAD) above the raw trace, using a predetermined signal decay constant of 400 ms.
- 580 The binarized signal was used to qualify whether a neuron was active at the respective frame. In

- our analysis, we do not claim to uncover true spiking events in these neurons but use deconvolution for denoising and diminishing Ca^{2+} autocorrelation.
- 583

584 Spatial tuning curves

The virtual environment was divided into 100 evenly spaced bins (4 cm), which were then utilized to bin a histogram of each cell's neuronal activity. Neuronal activity was filtered to include activity from when the animal was running above 3 cm/s and to exclude activity during the 2-sec teleportation at the end of the 4-m track. The spatial tuning curves were normalized for the animal's occupancy and then smoothed with a Gaussian kernel ($\sigma = 12$ cm) to obtain a smoothed activity

- 590
- 591

592 Place/silent cell detection

estimate.

593 Place fields were detected by identifying locations in the virtual environment where a neuron was

- 594 more active than expected by chance. We circularly shifted each neuron's deconvolved spike trace
- and recomputed the smoothed, trial-averaged spatial tuning curve of the shifted trace to generate
- 596 a shuffled null tuning curve per cell. We repeated this procedure 1000 times in order to calculate 597 the 95th percentile of null tuning values at every spatial bin to generate a threshold for a p<0.05
- significance curve. Spatial tuning curves that surpassed the null threshold were marked as
- 599 candidate place fields, and the place field width was calculated as the total bins where the tuning
- 600 curve exceeded the shuffled null tuning curve. To restrict our analysis to neurons with specific
- 601 firing fields, we additionally required that place fields have a width greater than 8 cm and less than
- one-third of the virtual environment (1.3 m). To ensure that the place field activity was stable, we
- also required that all place cells had activity for at least 20 laps.
- 604

If the binarized signal trace for a cell did not have any detected events via OASIS deconvolution,the cell was classified as silent.

607

608 PAmCherry fluorescence quantification

609 (Fig. 1C,D)

610 Red PAmCherry fluorescence was calculated as the tagged nuclei fluorescence versus the 611 background fluorescence of nearby untagged nuclei for the image taken post-tagging. This was 612 intentional to control for periodic two-photon imaging at 940 nm that may cause an increase in

- 613 fluorescence for every cell in the FOV.
- 614

615 Fluorescence intensity distribution analysis

616 (Fig. 1F, right)

617 Red PAmCherry tagged nuclei were segmented using Cellpose with manual curation performed 618 within the Cellpose GUI for the max axial projections of the *ex vivo* z-stack (excitation: 568 nm 619 nm) and *in vivo* z-stack (1070 nm) to generate masks. The masks were used to segment the 3-D 620 volumes of each cell and the respective fluorescence profiles were normalized and aligned based 621 on the peak value. The average and standard error were computed based on the aligned 622 fluorescence profiles of the cells from the respective *in vivo* and *ex vivo* volumes.

623

624 Two-photon background excitation fluorescence quantification

625 [Fig. 2G(2P imaging), fig. S2F (2P imaging)]

- 626 Changes in PAmCherry red fluorescence due to two-photon fluorescence excitation at 940 nm
- 627 from functional recordings were examined. By taking the average fluorescence of the pre-imaging
- 628 red channel image and post-imaging red channel image detected at 1070 nm, $\Delta F/F$ was computed
- 629 using the change in fluorescence between the average post-imaging red image and pre-imaging
- 630 red image divided by the pre-imaging red image average [(post pre) / pre].
- 631

632Tagged and Off-target Fluorescence Change Quantification

- 633 [Fig. 1G (target, off-target), fig. S2F (target, off-target)]
- Tagged nuclei were segmented using Cellpose with manual curation performed within the Cellpose GUI for the max axial projection of the *in vivo* -stacks from each mouse to generate masks. These masks were used to segment a 3D volume for each nuclei that was targeted across all mice. The number of off-target nuclei was manually quantified laterally and axially per targeted nuclei.
- 639
- 640 Masks for off-target nuclei were hand-drawn on the max axial projection to exclude the targeted
- nuclei and were at most 2 nuclei bodies away. Experimental background fluorescence masks weredrawn on the surrounding areas with successfully tagged cells and excluded all targeted and off-
- 642 drawn on the surrounding areas with successfully tagged cells and excluded all targeted and off-643 target nuclei.
- 644
- The fluorescence values for all tagged and off-target nuclei were percentile-filtered to exclude the lower 10% of fluorescence values to account for vignetting effects and mask inhomogeneities over the max axial projection. The percentile filtered fluorescence values for tagged and off-target
- 648 nuclei were averaged, and the $\Delta F/F$ was computed by taking the difference between the average
- tagged or off-target fluorescence value and the average background fluorescence and then
 normalizing by the average background fluorescence [tagged: (tagged background)/background;
- 651 off-target = background/background]. Note this background value is distinct from the
- one described in the two-photon background excitation fluorescence quantification.
- 653

654 GCaMP quantifications

- 655 [fig. S1AB, fig. S2I]
- Frequency: The total number of deconvolved events by OASIS was normalized by the total duration of the recording.
- 658

For each cell, the average transient was segmented within a 15-second time window and computed
by averaging along aligned deconvolved spike times. If multiple detected events were within 50
frames, the events were treated as a single transient. A cell was only used if there were at least 3
detected transients within the total trace to exclude cells without obvious GCaMP-Ca²⁺ dynamics.
For each average transient, we computed the median value based on 5 seconds pre peak transient

- and the range 5-10 seconds after the peak transient (given that the average transient took
- 665 significantly less than 5 seconds to resolve) to act as the baseline.
- 666

Amplitude: The difference between the max value of the average transient and the baseline valuewas computed

- 669 Half-Rise Time: The time between the half-max value prior to the max and the max value of each
- 670 transient was computed. We excluded cells where the average half-max value was not observed
- 671 prior to the transient and performed 99th percentile filtering to remove extreme outliers. Due to

sampling rate limitations, we cannot comment on the true half-rise time, so these areapproximations.

Half-Decay Time: We computed the time between the max and the half-max value following the

transient peak. To remove extreme outliers, we performed 99th percentile filtering.

676

677 Image denoising

678 To correct for vertical scanning artifacts, we utilized combined wavelet and Fourier filters

- described in ref.¹⁰⁷ [github: https://github.com/DHI-GRAS/rmstripes]. Symlet 20 wavelets were
- 680 used with varying levels of decomposition (2-5) for discrete wavelet transform to perform vertical
- 681 striping correction in static images.
- 682

683 In vivo and ex vivo image registration

The *in vivo* and *ex vivo* images were transformed into 3D volumetric images for registration. The *in vivo* sequential images were concatenated across the z direction, i.e., depth, stacking the 2D images into a volumetric representation using MATLAB. The *ex vivo* images composed of 2D slices in each section were concatenated into 3D volumetric data using a stitching algorithm developed as a precursor for automatic *ex vivo* and *in vivo* registration⁹⁷

[github: https://github.com/ShuonanChen/multimodal image registration]. The discontinuity 689 690 between ex vivo sections results in an unknown spatial correlation between them, requiring 691 registration between sections. Common cells between sections (i.e., sections one and two) were 692 used as reference markers for registration. The common cells were manually selected using a 693 Napari GUI in Python and were utilized as reference markers to inform the scaling and affine 694 transformations to be applied The scaling and affine transformations were run automatically, 695 transforming the second section to align with the first section. In the scaling transformation, the 696 relative distances between cells in a section were compared to the cells in the first section, inducing 697 an enlargement or shrinkage of the second section to match the first. In the affine transformation, the second section was geometrically transformed to align correctly with the first section. The 698 transformations were obtained and applied to each slice in each section, and then each slice was 699

concatenated together across the z direction to form a volumetric image.

701

The *in vivo* and *ex vivo* 3D images were then adjusted to have a uniform pixel size in all dimensions

703 (1 µm in x, y and z), ensuring matching FOVs, and equivalent resolution across both images. Time-704 averaged representations of in vivo and ex vivo volumetric stacks were attained by employing maximum intensity projection (MIP) representations in FIJI, compressing the stacks into 2D 705 images¹⁰⁸. The *in vivo* and *ex vivo* registration was carried out using a non-rigid registration 706 707 algorithm for the (i) 3D volumetric stacks and (ii) MIP (2D) images. All cells common to both in 708 vivo and ex vivo images were manually selected as the centroid of each cell using a Napari GUI in 709 Python. The common cells were utilized as features to inform the scaling, affine transformation, 710 and deformation transformation, which were applied to the ex vivo image. The scaling and affine 711 transformations were run automatically. In the scaling transformation, the distances between the 712 cells in the ex vivo image and matching cells in *in vivo* induce enlargement or shrinking of the ex 713 vivo image to match the in vivo image. In the affine transformation, the ex vivo image was geometrically transformed to align with the *in vivo* image using the matching cells. The images in 714 715 the GUI are updated to reflect the changes induced by the scaling and affine transformation. The 716 deformation transformation uses a vector field, smoothed with Gaussian filtering, to move cells 717 and deform the image, ensuring features in the transformed ex vivo image align with the in vivo

image. The deformation transformation was iteratively employed, beginning with a Gaussian
kernel size of 100, reducing to a kernel size of less than 10, with the user inspecting the alignment
and making manual adjustments to the cell centroid position in the GUI. The completed
transformed *ex vivo* image was then overlaid with the *in vivo* image.

722

723 RNA-sequencing data analysis

724 Sequencing data from the Illumina Sequencer was first post-processed through the Illumina 725 DRAGEN secondary analysis pipeline to de-multiplex based on a unique index for each sample. 726 RNA-seq reads were aligned to the mouse genome (mm39) using STAR¹⁰⁹. Unique reads were 727 counted using HTSeq¹¹⁰. HTSeq generated reads were then analyzed for differential expression using PyDESeq2¹⁰⁴. Following HTSeq counts, any genes with expression in less than 3 samples 728 729 were discarded. FDR adjusted p values were used to determine significantly different genes. Both 730 'place' and 'silent' cell samples were analyzed against randomly tagged, function-blind, and sex-731 matched samples generated from mouse CA1 tissue in the same way as described in this methods 732 section above. The same comparisons were made to identify differentially expressed genes for 733 'place' versus 'random', and 'silent' versus 'random'. Within this 'random' dataset, male-female 734 samples were compared to identify differentially expressed genes influenced by sex. All DEGs 735 from these comparisons were cross-referenced to find common hits.

736

737 Gene set enrichment analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis was performed on HTSeq-generated counts using the gseapy
package¹¹¹ in Python 3.11. Enrichment of the fifty hallmark pathways from the molecular
signatures database for *Mus musculus* (version 2023.2) was compared in place and silent cells.
Comparisons were done with t-test and 1000 permutations.

742

743 RNAscope FISH signal quantification and statistical analysis

Cell detection was performed using Cellpose, which identified individual cells based on DAPI
nuclear staining. This segmentation was manually curated. The detection and quantification of
RNAscope probe signals was performed using QuPath's Subcellular Detection tool (version 0.5.1).
The detection threshold was set to ensure accurate identification of signal dots. To compare mean
RNA expression levels between tagged and non-tagged cells, a Mann-Whitney U Test was used.
For this comparison, a random subset of non-tagged cells was selected to match the number of
tagged cells.

751

752 Acknowledgments

753 We thank Ira Schieren and Max Wallach (Columbia, Zuckerman Institute, ZI) and Dr. Efrat Hagai 754 (Flow Cytometry Unit, Weizmann Institute of Science) for help with FACS, Columbia University 755 Herbert Irvine Comprehensive Cancer Center Molecular Pathology Shared Resources for help with 756 the Bioanalyzer, the Bendesky lab in ZI and Dr. Hadas Keren-Shaul, Revital Ronen at the 757 Weizmann, Nancy and Stephen Grand Israel National Center (G-INCPM) for help with RNAsequencing, George Zakka (Losonczy Lab) for technical support, Erica Rodriguez (Salzman lab) 758 759 in ZI for help with tissue processing, the Lomvardas lab in ZI for sequencing advice and help, the Gogos lab in ZI for molecular bench space, the Polleux lab in ZI for help with cell counter and 760 confocal microscopy. We thank Franck Polleux, Joseph Gogos, Steven A. Siegelbaum, and Darcy 761 762 Peterka (Columbia, ZI) for feedback on the manuscript.

763

764 Funding

SAH is supported by the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. DK is supported by a fellowship from the
Israel Ministry of Absorption (IMOAb) and by the Horowitz Foundation. AL is supported by
NIMHR01MH124047, NIMHR01MH124867, NINDSR01NS121106, NINDSU01NS115530,

768 NINDSR01NS133381, NINDSR01NS131728, NIARF1AG080818. IS is supported by an ISF

- personal grant (2354/19) and a BSF US-Israel binational grant (2021281) and he is the incumbent
- of the Friends and Linda and Richard Price Career Development Chair and a scholar in the
- 771 Zuckerman STEM leadership program.
- 772

773 Author contributions:

- 774 Conceptualization: JS, BN, DK, AL, IS
- 775 Methodology: JS, BN, DK, BR, SAH, ET, KCK, MECP, HCY, BMS, AX
- 776 Investigation: JS, BR
- 777 Software: BR, JS, TSM, CKO, EV
- 778 Formal analysis: JS, BR, TSM, ET, AL
- 779 Validation: JS, BN, DK, KCK, BR
- 780 Visualization: JS, BR, TSM, SAH, CKO, ET, MECP, KC-KM
- 781 Data curation: JS, BR, ET, TSM
- 782 Funding acquisition: AL, IS
- 783 Resources: AL, IS
- 784 Project administration: AL, IS
- 785 Supervision: AL, IS
- 786 Writing original draft: JS, BN, TSM, AL, IS
- 787 Writing review & editing: all authors
- 788 **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 789
- 790 Data and material availability All data, code, and materials used to generate figures and perform
- 791 statistical tests are available at Zenodo or NWB upon publication. RNA-seq data will be made
- 792 freely available on NCBI GEO upon acceptance of our manuscript. Accession numbers for
- publicly available reagents are included in supplementary materials and methods.

References

- 1. Zeng, H. What is a cell type and how to define it? *Cell* **185**, 2739–2755 (2022).
- 2. Macosko, E. Z. et al. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells
- 796 Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202–1214 (2015).
- 797 3. Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics.
- 798 *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 335–346 (2016).
- 4. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNAseq. *Science* 347, 1138–1142 (2015).
- 5. Harris, K. D. et al. Classes and continua of hippocampal CA1 inhibitory neurons revealed by
- single-cell transcriptomics. *PLoS Biol* **16**, e2006387 (2018).
- 803 6. Piwecka, M., Rajewsky, N. & Rybak-Wolf, A. Single-cell and spatial transcriptomics:
- deciphering brain complexity in health and disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* **19**, 346–362 (2023).
- 7. Zhang, M. *et al.* Molecularly defined and spatially resolved cell atlas of the whole mouse
 brain. *Nature* 624, 343–354 (2023).
- 807 8. Moses, L. & Pachter, L. Museum of spatial transcriptomics. *Nat Methods* **19**, 534–546 (2022).
- 808 9. Cadwell, C. R. *et al.* Multimodal profiling of single-cell morphology, electrophysiology, and
- gene expression using Patch-seq. *Nat Protoc* **12**, 2531–2553 (2017).
- 810 10. Cossell, L. *et al.* Functional organization of excitatory synaptic strength in primary visual
- 811 cortex. *Nature* **518**, 399–403 (2015).
- 812 11. Ko, H. *et al.* Functional specificity of local synaptic connections in neocortical networks.
- 813 *Nature* **473**, 87–91 (2011).
- 814 12. Scala, F. *et al.* Phenotypic variation of transcriptomic cell types in mouse motor cortex.
- 815 *Nature* **598**, 144–150 (2021).

- 816 13. Bock, D. D. *et al.* Network anatomy and in vivo physiology of visual cortical neurons.
- 817 *Nature* 471, 177–182 (2011).
- 818 14. Taniguchi, H. *et al.* A Resource of Cre Driver Lines for Genetic Targeting of GABAergic
- 819 Neurons in Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **71**, 995–1013 (2011).
- 820 15. Vormstein-Schneider, D. et al. Viral manipulation of functionally distinct interneurons in
- mice, non-human primates and humans. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 1629–1636 (2020).
- 822 16. O'Toole, S. M., Oyibo, H. K. & Keller, G. B. Molecularly targetable cell types in mouse
- visual cortex have distinguishable prediction error responses. *Neuron* **111**, 2918-2928.e8
- 824 (2023).
- 825 17. Bugeon, S. *et al.* A transcriptomic axis predicts state modulation of cortical interneurons.
 826 *Nature* 607, 330–338 (2022).
- 18. Condylis, C. *et al.* Dense functional and molecular readout of a circuit hub in sensory cortex.
- 828 *Science* 375, eabl5981 (2022).
- 829 19. Geiller, T. et al. Large-Scale 3D Two-Photon Imaging of Molecularly Identified CA1
- 830 Interneuron Dynamics in Behaving Mice. *Neuron* **108**, 968-983.e9 (2020).
- 831 20. Vancura, B., Geiller, T., Grosmark, A., Zhao, V. & Losonczy, A. Inhibitory control of sharp-
- 832 wave ripple duration during learning in hippocampal recurrent networks. *Nat Neurosci* 1–10
- 833 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41593-023-01306-7.
- 834 21. Cohen-Kashi Malina, K. *et al.* NDNF interneurons in layer 1 gain-modulate whole cortical
- columns according to an animal's behavioral state. *Neuron* **109**, 2150-2164.e5 (2021).
- 836 22. Hansel, D. & Vreeswijk, C. van. The Mechanism of Orientation Selectivity in Primary
- 837 Visual Cortex without a Functional Map. J. Neurosci. **32**, 4049–4064 (2012).

- 838 23. Hirokawa, J., Vaughan, A., Masset, P., Ott, T. & Kepecs, A. Frontal cortex neuron types
 839 categorically encode single decision variables. *Nature* 576, 446–451 (2019).
- 840 24. Mancinelli, S. & Lodato, S. Decoding neuronal diversity in the developing cerebral cortex:
- from single cells to functional networks. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **53**, 146–155
- 842 (2018).
- 25. Ohki, K. & Reid, R. C. Specificity and randomness in the visual cortex. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 17, 401–407 (2007).
- 26. Peng, H. *et al.* Morphological diversity of single neurons in molecularly defined cell types.
- 846 *Nature* **598**, 174–181 (2021).
- 27. Treves, A. & Rolls, E. T. Computational analysis of the role of the hippocampus in memory. *Hippocampus* 4, 374–391 (1994).
- 849 28. Buzsáki, G. & Mizuseki, K. The log-dynamic brain: how skewed distributions affect network
 850 operations. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 15, 264–278 (2014).
- 29. Cembrowski, M. S. et al. Spatial Gene-Expression Gradients Underlie Prominent
- Heterogeneity of CA1 Pyramidal Neurons. *Neuron* **89**, 351–368 (2016).
- 853 30. Mallory, C. S. & Giocomo, L. M. Heterogeneity in hippocampal place coding. *Current*
- 854 *Opinion in Neurobiology* **49**, 158–167 (2018).
- 855 31. Pettit, N. L., Yap, E.-L., Greenberg, M. E. & Harvey, C. D. Fos ensembles encode and shape
 856 stable spatial maps in the hippocampus. *Nature* 609, 327–334 (2022).
- 857 32. Soltesz, I. & Losonczy, A. CA1 pyramidal cell diversity enabling parallel information
- processing in the hippocampus. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 484–493 (2018).
- 33. Sosa, M., Gillespie, A. K. & Frank, L. M. Neural Activity Patterns Underlying Spatial
- 860 Coding in the Hippocampus. in *Behavioral Neuroscience of Learning and Memory* (eds.

- 861 Clark, R. E. & Martin, S. J.) 43–100 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018).
- doi:10.1007/7854_2016_462.
- 34. Tanaka, K. Z. *et al.* The hippocampal engram maps experience but not place. *Science* 361,
 392–397 (2018).
- 35. Ziv, Y. *et al.* Long-term dynamics of CA1 hippocampal place codes. *Nat Neurosci* 16, 264–
 266 (2013).
- 36. Lee, J. S., Briguglio, J. J., Cohen, J. D., Romani, S. & Lee, A. K. The Statistical Structure of
 the Hippocampal Code for Space as a Function of Time, Context, and Value. *Cell* 183, 620-
- 869 635.e22 (2020).
- 870 37. Kentros, C. G., Agnihotri, N. T., Streater, S., Hawkins, R. D. & Kandel, E. R. Increased
- 871 Attention to Spatial Context Increases Both Place Field Stability and Spatial Memory.
- 872 *Neuron* **42**, 283–295 (2004).
- 873 38. Grosmark, A. D., Sparks, F. T., Davis, M. J. & Losonczy, A. Reactivation predicts the
- consolidation of unbiased long-term cognitive maps. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 1574–1585 (2021).
- 875 39. Keinath, A. T., Mosser, C.-A. & Brandon, M. P. The representation of context in mouse
- hippocampus is preserved despite neural drift. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2415 (2022).
- 40. Colgin, L. L., Moser, E. I. & Moser, M.-B. Understanding memory through hippocampal
 remapping. *Trends in Neurosciences* 31, 469–477 (2008).
- 41. Moeyaert, B. *et al.* Improved methods for marking active neuron populations. *Nat Commun*9, 4440 (2018).
- 42. DeNardo, L. A. *et al.* Temporal evolution of cortical ensembles promoting remote memory
 retrieval. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 460–469 (2019).

- 43. Guenthner, C. J., Miyamichi, K., Yang, H. H., Heller, H. C. & Luo, L. Permanent Genetic
- 884 Access to Transiently Active Neurons via TRAP: Targeted Recombination in Active

885 Populations. *Neuron* **78**, 773–784 (2013).

- 44. Lee, D., Hyun, J. H., Jung, K., Hannan, P. & Kwon, H.-B. A calcium- and light-gated switch
- to induce gene expression in activated neurons. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 858–863 (2017).
- 45. Sørensen, A. T. et al. A robust activity marking system for exploring active neuronal
- ensembles. *eLife* **5**, e13918 (2016).
- 46. Datta, S. R. et al. The Drosophila pheromone cVA activates a sexually dimorphic neural
- 891 circuit. *Nature* **452**, 473–477 (2008).
- 47. Lien, A. D. & Scanziani, M. In vivo Labeling of Constellations of Functionally Identified
 Neurons for Targeted in vitro Recordings. *Front Neural Circuits* 5, 16 (2011).
- 48. Lee, D., Kume, M. & Holy, T. E. Sensory coding mechanisms revealed by optical tagging of
- physiologically defined neuronal types. *Science* **366**, 1384–1389 (2019).
- 49. Apelblat, D., Roethler, O., Bitan, L., Keren-Shaul, H. & Spiegel, I. Meso-seq for in-depth
- transcriptomics in ultra-low amounts of FACS-purified neuronal nuclei. *Cell Reports*
- 898 *Methods* **2**, 100259 (2022).
- 899 50. Dana, H. *et al.* High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in neuronal
- 900 populations and microcompartments. *Nat Methods* **16**, 649–657 (2019).
- 901 51. Scheyltjens, I. et al. Evaluation of the expression pattern of rAAV2/1, 2/5, 2/7, 2/8, and 2/9
- 902 serotypes with different promoters in the mouse visual cortex. *Journal of Comparative*
- 903 *Neurology* **523**, 2019–2042 (2015).

904	52. Geiller, T., Priestley, J. B. & Losonczy, A. A local circuit-basis for spatial navigation and
905	memory processes in hippocampal area CA1. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 79, 102701
906	(2023).
907	53. Katona, G. et al. Fast two-photon in vivo imaging with three-dimensional random-access
908	scanning in large tissue volumes. Nat Methods 9, 201–208 (2012).
909	54. Roethler, O. et al. Single genomic enhancers drive experience-dependent GABAergic
910	plasticity to maintain sensory processing in the adult cortex. Neuron 111, 2693-2708.e8
911	(2023).
912	55. O'Keefe, J. & Dostrovsky, J. The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from
913	unit activity in the freely-moving rat. Brain Research 34, 171–175 (1971).
914	56. Witharana, W. k. l. et al. Nonuniform allocation of hippocampal neurons to place fields
915	across all hippocampal subfields. Hippocampus 26, 1328-1344 (2016).
916	57. Rich, P. D., Liaw, HP. & Lee, A. K. Large environments reveal the statistical structure
917	governing hippocampal representations. Science 345, 814-817 (2014).
918	58. Thompson, L. T. & Best, P. J. Place cells and silent cells in the hippocampus of freely-
919	behaving rats. J. Neurosci. 9, 2382–2390 (1989).
920	59. Wilson, M. A. & McNaughton, B. L. Dynamics of the Hippocampal Ensemble Code for
921	Space. Science 261, 1055–1058 (1993).
922	60. Zaremba, J. D. et al. Impaired hippocampal place cell dynamics in a mouse model of the
923	22q11.2 deletion. Nat Neurosci 20, 1612–1623 (2017).
924	61. Bowler, J. C. & Losonczy, A. Direct cortical inputs to hippocampal area CA1 transmit
925	complementary signals for goal-directed navigation. Neuron 0, (2023).

- 926 62. Bowler, J. C. *et al.* behaviorMate: An Intranet of Things Approach for
- 927 Adaptable Control of Behavioral and Navigation-Based Experiments. *bioRxiv*
- 928 2023.12.04.569989 (2024) doi:10.1101/2023.12.04.569989.
- 929 63. Priestley, J. B., Bowler, J. C., Rolotti, S. V., Fusi, S. & Losonczy, A. Signatures of rapid
- 930 plasticity in hippocampal CA1 representations during novel experiences. *Neuron*
- 931 S0896627322002628 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2022.03.026.
- 932 64. Cembrowski, M. S., Wang, L., Sugino, K., Shields, B. C. & Spruston, N. Hipposeq: a
- 933 comprehensive RNA-seq database of gene expression in hippocampal principal neurons.
- *eLife* **5**, e14997 (2016).
- 935 65. Bloodgood, B. L., Sharma, N., Browne, H. A., Trepman, A. Z. & Greenberg, M. E. The
- activity-dependent transcription factor NPAS4 regulates domain-specific inhibition. *Nature*503, 121–125 (2013).
- 66. Sheng, M. & Greenberg, M. E. The regulation and function of c-*fos* and other immediate
 early genes in the nervous system. *Neuron* 4, 477–485 (1990).
- 940 67. Spiegel, I. *et al.* Npas4 Regulates Excitatory-Inhibitory Balance within Neural Circuits
- 941 through Cell-Type-Specific Gene Programs. *Cell* **157**, 1216–1229 (2014).
- 942 68. Apoptosis: A Review of Programmed Cell Death Susan Elmore, 2007.
- 943 https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1080/01926230701320337.
- 69. Xu, J., Burgoyne, P. S. & Arnold, A. P. Sex differences in sex chromosome gene expression
 in mouse brain. *Human Molecular Genetics* 11, 1409–1419 (2002).
- 946 70. Nichols, C. G. & Lopatin, A. N. INWARD RECTIFIER POTASSIUM CHANNELS. Annual
- 947 *Review of Physiology* **59**, 171–191 (1997).

- 948 71. Reimann, F. & Ashcroft, F. M. Inwardly rectifying potassium channels. *Current Opinion in*949 *Cell Biology* 11, 503–508 (1999).
- 950 72. Shcherbatyy, V. et al. A Digital Atlas of Ion Channel Expression Patterns in the Two-Week-
- 951 Old Rat Brain. *Neuroinform* **13**, 111–125 (2015).
- 952 73. Epsztein, J., Brecht, M. & Lee, A. K. Intracellular Determinants of Hippocampal CA1 Place
- and Silent Cell Activity in a Novel Environment. *Neuron* **70**, 109–120 (2011).
- 954 74. Santoni, G. *et al.* Chromatin plasticity predetermines neuronal eligibility for memory trace
 955 formation. *Science* 385, eadg9982 (2024).
- 956 75. Yiu, A. P. et al. Neurons Are Recruited to a Memory Trace Based on Relative Neuronal
- 957 Excitability Immediately before Training. *Neuron* **83**, 722–735 (2014).
- 958 76. Josselyn, S. A. & Frankland, P. W. Memory Allocation: Mechanisms and Function. *Annual*959 *Review of Neuroscience* 41, 389–413 (2018).
- 960 77. Sano, Y. *et al.* CREB Regulates Memory Allocation in the Insular Cortex. *Current Biology*961 24, 2833–2837 (2014).
- 962 78. Mizuseki, K. & Buzsáki, G. Preconfigured, Skewed Distribution of Firing Rates in the
- 963 Hippocampus and Entorhinal Cortex. *Cell Reports* **4**, 1010–1021 (2013).
- 964 79. Alme, C. B. *et al.* Place cells in the hippocampus: Eleven maps for eleven rooms.
- 965 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 18428–18435 (2014).
- 80. Dragoi, G. & Tonegawa, S. Preplay of future place cell sequences by hippocampal cellular
 assemblies. *Nature* 469, 397–401 (2011).
- 968 81. Dragoi, G. & Tonegawa, S. Selection of preconfigured cell assemblies for representation of
- 969 novel spatial experiences. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*
- 970 *Sciences* **369**, 20120522 (2014).

- 82. Grosmark, A. D. & Buzsáki, G. Diversity in neural firing dynamics supports both rigid and
 learned hippocampal sequences. *Science* 351, 1440–1443 (2016).
- 973 83. Huszár, R., Zhang, Y., Blockus, H. & Buzsáki, G. Preconfigured dynamics in the
- hippocampus are guided by embryonic birthdate and rate of neurogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* 25,
- 975 1201–1212 (2022).
- 976 84. Geiller, T. *et al.* Local circuit amplification of spatial selectivity in the hippocampus. *Nature*977 601, 105–109 (2022).
- 978 85. Cossart, R. & Khazipov, R. How development sculpts hippocampal circuits and function.
- 979 *Physiological Reviews* **102**, 343–378 (2022).
- 86. Donato, F., Jacobsen, R. I., Moser, M.-B. & Moser, E. I. Stellate cells drive maturation of the
 entorhinal-hippocampal circuit. *Science* 355, eaai8178 (2017).
- 982 87. Deguchi, Y., Donato, F., Galimberti, I., Cabuy, E. & Caroni, P. Temporally matched
- 983 subpopulations of selectively interconnected principal neurons in the hippocampus. *Nat*
- 984 *Neurosci* 14, 495–504 (2011).
- 985 88. Kveim, V. A. *et al.* Divergent recruitment of developmentally defined neuronal ensembles
- supports memory dynamics. *Science* **385**, eadk0997 (2024).
- 987 89. Marissal, T. *et al.* Pioneer glutamatergic cells develop into a morpho-functionally distinct

population in the juvenile CA3 hippocampus. *Nat Commun* **3**, 1316 (2012).

- 989 90. Solstad, T., Boccara, C. N., Kropff, E., Moser, M.-B. & Moser, E. I. Representation of
 990 Geometric Borders in the Entorhinal Cortex. *Science* 322, 1865–1868 (2008).
- 991 91. Taube, J. S., Muller, R. U. & Ranck, J. B. Head-direction cells recorded from the
- 992 postsubiculum in freely moving rats. I. Description and quantitative analysis. J. Neurosci. 10,
- 993 420–435 (1990).

- 994 92. Eichenbaum, H. Time cells in the hippocampus: a new dimension for mapping memories.
 995 *Nat Rev Neurosci* 15, 732–744 (2014).
- 996 93. Driscoll, L. N., Duncker, L. & Harvey, C. D. Representational drift: Emerging theories for
- 997 continual learning and experimental future directions. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **76**,
- 998 102609 (2022).
- 999 94. Josselyn, S. A. & Tonegawa, S. Memory engrams: Recalling the past and imagining the
 1000 future. *Science* 367, eaaw4325 (2020).
- 1001 95. Xu, S. et al. Behavioral state coding by molecularly defined paraventricular hypothalamic
- 1002 cell type ensembles. *Science* **370**, eabb2494 (2020).
- 1003 96. Chen, K. H., Boettiger, A. N., Moffitt, J. R., Wang, S. & Zhuang, X. Spatially resolved,
- highly multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells. *Science* **348**, aaa6090 (2015).
- 1005 97. Chen, S. et al. Multimodal Microscopy Image Alignment Using Spatial and Shape
- 1006 Information and a Branch-and-Bound Algorithm. in *ICASSP 2023 2023 IEEE International*
- 1007 *Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)* 1–5 (2023).
- 1008 doi:10.1109/ICASSP49357.2023.10096185.
- 1009 98. Rao, B. Y. et al. Non-parametric Vignetting Correction for Sparse Spatial Transcriptomics
- 1010 Images. in Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention MICCAI 2021
- 1011 (eds. de Bruijne, M. et al.) 466–475 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021).
- 1012 doi:10.1007/978-3-030-87237-3_45.
- 1013 99. Bittner, K. C., Milstein, A. D., Grienberger, C., Romani, S. & Magee, J. C. Behavioral time
- scale synaptic plasticity underlies CA1 place fields. *Science* **357**, 1033–1036 (2017).
- 1015 100.Magee, J. C. & Grienberger, C. Synaptic Plasticity Forms and Functions. Annual Review of
- 1016 *Neuroscience* **43**, 95–117 (2020).

- 1017 101.Kaifosh, P., Zaremba, J. D., Danielson, N. B. & Losonczy, A. SIMA: Python software for
- analysis of dynamic fluorescence imaging data. *Front. Neuroinform.* **8**, (2014).
- 1019 102.Pachitariu, M. et al. Suite2p: beyond 10,000 neurons with standard two-photon microscopy.
- 1020 *bioRxiv* 061507 (2017) doi:10.1101/061507.
- 1021 103.Stringer, C., Wang, T., Michaelos, M. & Pachitariu, M. Cellpose: a generalist algorithm for
- 1022 cellular segmentation. *Nat Methods* **18**, 100–106 (2021).
- 1023 104. Muzellec, B., Teleńczuk, M., Cabeli, V. & Andreux, M. PyDESeq2: a python package for
- 1024 bulk RNA-seq differential expression analysis. *Bioinformatics* **39**, btad547 (2023).
- 1025 105.Friedrich, J., Zhou, P. & Paninski, L. Fast online deconvolution of calcium imaging data.
- 1026 *PLOS Computational Biology* **13**, e1005423 (2017).
- 1027 106.O'Hare, J. K. et al. Compartment-specific tuning of dendritic feature selectivity by
- 1028 intracellular Ca2+ release. *Science* **375**, eabm1670 (2022).
- 1029 107. Münch, B., Trtik, P., Marone, F. & Stampanoni, M. Stripe and ring artifact removal with
- 1030 combined wavelet Fourier filtering. Opt. Express, OE 17, 8567–8591 (2009).
- 1031 108. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature*
- 1032 *Methods* 9, 676–682 (2012).
- 1033 109.Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21
- 1034 (2013).
- 1035 110. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-
- 1036 throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).
- 1037 111. Fang, Z., Liu, X. & Peltz, G. GSEApy: a comprehensive package for performing gene set
- 1038 enrichment analysis in Python. *Bioinformatics* **39**, btac757 (2023).

Supplementary Figures



1039 Fig. S1. Additional data on 2P-NucTag. (A) Representative average GCaMP-Ca²⁺ transients from nine CA1 PNs, 1040 vertical scale bar (50% Δ F/F). (B) Left: GCaMP amplitude (54.6% ± 0.3% Δ F/F, n = 8190 cells in 9 mice). Middle: GCaMP half 1041 rise time ($0.15s \pm 0.001s$, n = 7797 cells in 9 mice), Right: GCaMP half decay time ($0.44s \pm 0.003s$, n = 8282 cells in 9 mice, 940 nm excitation, See methods for cell exclusion criterion). Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th quartile ranges, with the whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th quartiles, respectively. Outliers 1042 1043 1044 are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges. (C) Left: in vivo 3D visualization of the entire field of 1045 view (FOV). Middle: subset of in vivo 3D visualization. Right: representative cell from in vivo 3D visualization. (D) 1046 Left: ex vivo confocal 3D visualization of entire FOV. Middle: subset of ex vivo 3D visualization. Right: representative 1047 cell from ex vivo 3D visualization. C and D are corresponding to Figure 1F.



Fig. S2. Meso-seq on hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons with 2P-NucTag construct. (A) Total reads and percentage of uniquely mapped reads from all libraries (B = biological replicate). (B,C) Pearson-correlations of all pair-wise comparisons of the expression levels of all expressed genes in the 7 libraries. (B) Two example comparisons.
(C) Correlation coefficients of all comparisons (B = biological replicate). (D) Expression values of example genes in non-infected neurons (NI = pool of "Non-injected" and "Injected, non-infected") and infected neurons (I = "Injected, infected") (error-bars = SEM).

1054



1055 Fig. S3. The 2P-NucTag construct does not alter the intrinsic properties of the CA1 pyramidal neurons. (A) 1056 Representative image of CA1 pyramidal neurons with (red fluorescence) and without photoactivation during whole 1057 cell patch clamp recording. Scale bar: 10 um. (B.C) Ouantified data of Resting membrane potential and Input 1058 resistance in CA1 excitatory neurons. Blue - control neurons (Ctrl), recorded in CA1 of the contralateral non-infected 1059 hemisphere. Black- are the neurons recorded from the infected hemisphere but have not been phototagged 1060 (*PAmCherry*). Red (*PAmCherry*+Tag) are the phototagged neurons (Ctrl n = 19 cells from 7 mice; PAmCherry n = 1061 12 cells from 8 mice; PAmCherry+Tag n = 18 cells from 7 mice; Statistics Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunnett's 1062 T3 multiple comparisons test: no statistically significant differences were observed). (D) Average firing rate per 1063 current step (F-I curve) in each condition. (error bars represent SEM in all data panels).



1064 Fig. S4. In vivo 2P-NucTag of silent cells. (A) Pipeline for two-photon (2P) phototagging of functionally identified 1065 'silent' neurons in CA1 during VR spatial navigation (as in Fig. 1B). (B) Example 2P imaging field of view (FOV) of 1066 GCaMP in the CA1 pyramidal layer from a mouse with "silent" cells targeted. Scale bar: 100 µm. (C) CA1PNs 1067 detected with Suite2p/Cellpose in the FOV shown in B. (D) Spatial mask (blue) of identified 'silent' cells from the 1068 FOV in B. Scale bar: 100 μm. (E) Left: PAmCherry fluorescence (magenta) of tagged nuclei after 2P phototagging. 1069 Right: overlay of spatial masks of identified CA1PNs and tagged nuclei for the FOVs in D and E. Note that we only 1070 tagged a subset of silent cells present in the FOV. Scale bar: 100 µm. (F) Left: Proportion of single, double, and triple-1071 tagged nuclei following phototagging of a single silent cell. Right: relative PAmCherry fluorescence change for non-1072 tagged cells in the FOV after 2P imaging (green), after 2P phototagging of targeted silent cell nuclei (blue) and off-1073 target nuclei (gray, n = 4 mice). (G) Average velocity of the mice during virtual reality navigation task (Mann-Whitney 1074 U test, p-value = 0.286). (H) Left: deconvolved events per minute from all cells across all mice from 2P GCaMP-

1075 Ca^{2+} imaging (averaged across mice, n = 5 'Place' mice, n = 4 'Silent' mice, Mann-Whitney U Test, p-value = 0.28). 1076 Right: deconvolved events per minute from place cells across all mice (averaged across mice, place n = 5, silent n = 51077 4, Mann-Whitney U Test, p-value = 0.90). (I) Left: GCaMP transient amplitude of all cells between groups (averaged 1078 across mice, n = 5 'Place' mice, n = 4 'Silent' mice, Mann-Whitney U Test, p-value = 0.14), Middle: GCaMP half 1079 rise time of all cells between groups (averaged across mice, n = 5 'Place' mice, n = 4 'Silent' mice, Mann-Whitney U 1080 Test, p-value = 0.14), Right: GCaMP half decay time of all cells between groups (averaged across mice, n = 5 'Place' 1081 mice, n = 4 'Silent' mice, Mann-Whitney U Test, p-value = 0.81). Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and 75th 1082 quartile ranges, with the whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th quartiles, 1083 respectively. Outliers are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges.



Fig. S5. Additional data on phototagging. From left to right by column: animal ID (animals in *Figure 2* and *figure S2* are not shown here), *in vivo* two-photon (2P) imaging fields of view (FOVs), functionally defined masks (orange for place cells and blue for silent cells), PAmCherry fluorescence (magenta) of tagged nuclei after 2P phototagging and overlay of spatial masks from identified CA1PNs and tagged nuclei for the respective FOV in the same row. Note that we only tagged a subset of silent cells present in the FOV in 'Silent' mice, in order to approximate the number of phototagged place cells in 'Place' mice. Scale bar: 100 μm.



Fig. S6. Additional data on transcriptomics analysis of place and silent cells. (A) Sequencing statistics for all 'place' and 'silent' cell samples. Total number of reads - 40 to 60 million reads, 54.64 ± 2.09, n = 9. Percent mapped by STAR - 80.58 to 86.92%. 84.33 ± 0.73. Number of unique genes - 14176 to 20990, 17486 ± 714. (B) Normalized counts for groups of genes plotted for 'place' versus 'silent'. Here we show that gene expression of apoptotic genes, superficial CA1 genes, deep CA1 genes, housekeeping genes, proximal CA1 genes, and distal CA1 genes are not different between the two groups (FDR adjusted p-value. *<0.05, **<0.001, ***<0.001, PyDeSeq2. All comparisons in this figure are not significant).



1097 Fig. S7. Additional data on transcriptomics analysis of place and silent cells. (A) Top: MA plot of 'place' versus 1098 'random'. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) are labeled in orange. Bottom: MA plot of 'silent' versus 'random'. 1099 DEGs are labeled in blue. Both: DEGs that are common for 'place' versus 'random' were highlighted and labeled. (B) 1100 Normalized counts for 4 example genes that are significantly differentially expressed across comparisons (FDR adjusted p-value. *<0.05, **<0.001, ***<0.001, PyDeSeq2. Showing here a comparison of 'place' versus 'random' 1101 1102 or 'silent' versus 'random'. 'Place' versus 'silent' comparisons were shown in Fig. 3). (C) MA plot of male versus 1103 female for the 'random' dataset. Top: Y-linked genes that are differentially expressed between sex are highlighted and 1104 labeled. Bottom: same four genes in panel A and B are highlighted and labeled. They are not differentially expressed between sex (FDR adjusted p-value. *<0.05, **<0.001, ***<0.001, PyDeSeq2. Otherwise, comparisons are not 1105 1106 significant). (D) Normalized counts for 3 example DEGs between male and female.



1107 Fig. S8. Spatial distribution of Kcnj12 transcripts in silent and random cells. (A) Confocal horizontal image

1108 showing tagged silent cells expressing PAmCherry (magenta with nuclei counterstained by DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 1109

- 20 µm. (B) Confocal image of the same tagged tissues as in (A), hybridized with a probe for Kcnj12 transcripts 1110 (green) using RNAscope Multiplex Assay v2. Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 µm. For
- images shown in A&B, red mCherry image was obtained at 20x zoom pre-RNAScope; blue DAPI channel and
- 1111 1112 green Kcnj12 channels were obtained at 60x zoom post RNAScope. (C) Box plot comparing the expression levels of
- 1113 Kcnj12 in tagged cells (n=170, n=2 mice, median=2, IOR=3) and randomly selected non-tagged cells (n=170, n=2
- 1114 mice, median=1, IQR=2). Mann-Whitney U Test, p-value = 9.56e-08). Boxplots show the 25th, 50th (median), and
- 1115 75th quartile ranges, with the whiskers extending to 1.5 interquartile ranges below or above the 25th or 75th
- 1116 quartiles, respectively. Outliers are defined as values extending beyond the whisker ranges.



Fig. S9. Silent cells display a decrease in resting membrane potential. (A,B) Quantified data of Resting membrane potential and Input resistance in Random (non-silent, *Ctrl*) and silent (PAmCherry+) CA1 PNs (Random n = 19 cells from 4 mice; Silent n = 12 cells from 4 mice). (Mann-Whitney U test. Resting Membrane Potential: p-value=0.0401; Input Resistance: p-value=0.6832; * p<0.05). (C) Average firing rate per current step in each condition. (Error bars

1121 represent SEM in all data panels).

Т	9	hl	e	1
T.	a	U1	i C	T

Mouse	Sex	Group	Place #	Silent #	Active Non-Place #	Mask #	Tag #
12-10	Male	Place	119	208	1754	119	112
12-20	Female	Place	250	470	1232	218	168
12-21	Female	Place	<mark>8</mark> 9	1040	701	74	73
12-27	Female	Place	132	547	548	119	119
12-37	Female	Place	126	290	1440	119	115
12-9	Male	Silent	9	152	655	152	87
12-12	Male	Silent	28	230	287	230	89
12-31	Male	Silent	129	305	1238	200	97
12-34	Male	Silent	187	303	571	113	79

1122 Supplementary Movie 1. Phototagging

1123 Real-time movie of *in vivo* two-photon imaging and phototagging of neurons. Imaging was 1124 performed at 1040 nm to visualize change in PAmCherry fluorescence. During phototagging, the 1125 810-nm laser was scanned over target nuclei and the PMT was blanked. A frame average of 64

frames was applied for resolution and clarity. Final video was edited to include scale bar and laser

switches. Video time is not representative of actual recording time.

1128

1129 Supplementary Movie 2. Registered *in vivo* and *ex vivo* image stacks

1130 Three-dimensional rendering of registered z-stacks of *in vivo* and *ex vivo* tissue volume of the CA1

1131 pyramidal layer with phototagged nuclei. Corresponding to Fig. 1F and Fig S1C,D. Magenta:

1132 PAmCherry in vivo (captured on Bruker 2P microscope, wavelength = 1070 nm). Orange:

1133 PAmCherry *ex vivo* (captured on A1 HD25, Nikon Instruments Inc., wavelength = 568 nm)

1134

1135 Supplementary Table 1. List of DEGs between place and silent cells

1136 List of DEGs between place and silent cells showing log 2-fold change, mean of normalized count

- 1137 (baseMean), and FDR adjusted p-value. All genes in the table have an FDR-adjusted p-value less
- than 0.05 when comparing silent versus place cells. log2FoldChange is computed for silent versus

1139 place (positive: enriched in silent cells, negative: enriched in place cells).

1140 File: Supplementary_Table.