RESEARCH



Role of plasma EBV-DNA load and EBER status on newly diagnosed peripheral T-cell lymphoma

Jing Chen¹ · Jie Zhou¹ · Fei Cheng² · Donghe Chen³ · Fangshu Guan¹ · Enfan Zhang¹ · Jingsong He¹ · Zhen Cai¹ · Yi Zhao¹

Received: 7 January 2024 / Accepted: 14 March 2024 / Published online: 8 April 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Purpose To explore the prognostic and therapeutic role of Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) on peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL). **Methods** Totally 262 newly diagnosed PTCL patients who were hospitalized from January 2014 to December 2022 were retrospectively enrolled. Molecular analysis included 31 eligible patients. EBV-encoded RNA (EBER) presence in tumor tissue and EBV DNA levels in patients at baseline (DNA1) and after 4 cycles of chemotherapy (DNA4) were assessed.

Results Our findings revealed that the EBER-positive cohort exhibited significant differences compared to counterparts in overall survival (OS, P = 0.047) and progression-free survival (PFS, P = 0.009). Both DNA1 and DNA4 were significantly associated with inferior OS. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that DNA4 independently affected PTCL prognosis for OS (hazard ratio = 5.1617; 95% confidence interval 1.1017–24.1831; P = 0.037). Treatment with the cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) plus azacytidine regimen showed a better OS compared to CHOP or CHOP plus etoposide for patients with partially positive EBER and EBER positive statuses (P = 0.192), although the improvement was not statistically significant. This study delineated the genetic paradigm of PTCL, comparing genetic differences by EBV status and found that EBER partially positive plus positive patients were more likely to have DNMT3A (P = 0.002), RHOA^{G17V} (P = 0.023), and TET2 mutations (P = 0.032).

Conclusion EBER, DNA1, and DNA4 emerged as sensitive markers for prognosis. CHOP plus azacytidine might present a preferable option for PTCL patients with DNA methylation due to EBV infection.

Keywords Epstein-Barr virus · Prognosis · Treatment · Peripheral T-cell lymphoma

Introduction

Peripheral T-cell lymphomas (PTCLs), a group of heterogeneous T-cell neoplasms, originate from post-thymic lymphocytes and constitute approximately 15% of non-Hodgkin lymphomas, including peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not

⊠ Yi Zhao zhaoyi999@zju.edu.cn

- ¹ Bone Transplantation Center, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China
- ² Pathology Department, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China
- ³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China

otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS), angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), nodal T-follicular helper (TFH) cell lymphoma (PTCL-TFH), systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma (sALCL), among others (Alaggio et al. 2022). ALCLs, classified based on the anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) status, comprise two groups. Apart from ALK + ALCLs, most PTCLs exhibit a grim prognosis, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) ranging from 10 to 51% (Zhang et al. 2016; Rodríguez et al. 2021; Mina and Pro 2022).

Recognizing patients at high risk and selecting appropriate therapeutic regimens are essential. Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) is a ubiquitous DNA virus belonging to the gamma subfamily of herpesviruses, associated with several lymphoma subtypes, such as Burkitt's lymphoma (BL) and extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma (ENKTL) (Bednarska et al. 2024; Damania et al. 2022; Lopez et al. 2022; Xiong et al. 2020). EBV-associated lymphomas are characterized by the presence of EBV-encoded RNA (EBER) in tumor tissue. However, the effect of EBV on PTCL remains controversial. Some studies (Dupuis et al. 2006; Weisenburger et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2021) have demonstrated poor survival outcomes in patients with EBV infection, while others have presented contrary views (Haverkos et al. 2017; Shen et al. 2022). Additionally, only a few studies have compared different EBV detection methods, such as EBER using in situ hybridization (ISH) in neoplasms and EBV DNA polymerase gene in peripheral blood using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Kim et al. 2021; Shen et al. 2022; Zeng et al. 2022).

The optimal first-line chemotherapy regimen for PTCL remains undefined. The addition of etoposide to cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP/E) has been proposed to enhance clinical benefit, but its therapeutic efficacy is disputed (Deng et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2018; Jia et al. 2016). Some studies have indicated that EBV infection typically leads to extensive methylation, contributing to immune escape and disease progression in conditions such as gastric cancers, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and breast cancer (Matsusaka 2014; Usui et al. 2021; Peng et al. 2016; Abdallah et al. 2018). Hypomethylating agents, such as azacytidine (AZA), have the potential to reduce genomic methylation levels and reactivate hypermethylated tumor suppressor genes. Therefore, targeting DNA methylation may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for EBVassociated diseases (Zhang et al. 2022).

This study aimed to investigate the frequency, clinical features, and survival outcomes of PTCL with EBV, compare the use of two methods for detecting EBV (EBER vs. EBV DNA), and monitor dynamic changes in EBV DNA. This study also found evidence suggesting that CHOP plus AZA may offer promising treatment efficacy for EBV-associated patients, along with relative genetic analysis.

Methods

Patients

We retrospectively collected data from 262 eligible and newly diagnosed PTCL patients between January 2014 and December 2022 at our hospital for survival analysis. During this period, 31 patients were enrolled for molecular analysis. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in the supplementary material.

Clinical characteristics, plasma EBV DNA titers before chemotherapy (DNA1) and after 4 cycles of chemotherapy (DNA4), response status, and survival outcomes were recorded. Lymphoma response criteria included overall response rate (ORR): complete remission (CR) plus partial remission (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD). Recurrence was defined as PD after more than 6 months of CR. The follow-up deadline was June 30, 2023.

This study protocol received approval from the Research Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from all patients or their immediate relatives.

EBV DNA and EBER detection

The presence of EBV-specific small RNAs was assessed using ISH. EBER oligonucleotides were applied to formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections following the Inform EBER Probe Assay Protocol. EBER positivity was defined as the presence of EBER-positive neoplastic cells in the highest density region exceeding 50/high-power field, as per relevant literature (Shen et al. 2022; Zeng et al. 2022). EBER partial positivity (classified as EBV negative) indicated the presence of EBER-positive neoplastic cells but not exceeding 50% in high-power field.

Plasma samples collected before treatment were utilized for EBV DNA analysis. DNA was extracted from plasma samples using the EBV PCR Fluorescence Quantitative Diagnostic Kit. Copy numbers were analyzed using a standard curve, with 500 copies/mL defined as the critical value based on previous studies (Kim et al. 2021; Shen et al. 2022; Zeng et al. 2022; Qiu et al. 2022). If EBV DNA was less than 500 copies/mL, it was recorded as 0. Given the significance of interim evaluation [such as interim positron emission tomography/computed tomography (Wang et al. 2017)] for prognosis, DNA levels were also collected after 4 courses of treatment. The optimal cut-off points for DNA1 and DNA4 (> 6750 copies/mL) were determined using receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis.

Comparison of three treatment options

To explore the optimal regimen for PTCL with EBV infection, survival outcomes for patients receiving different firstline treatments were compared. Considering the typical characteristic of EBV infection (extensive methylation), patients were classified into three groups: Option A (CHOP plus AZA cohort), Option B (CHOPE cohort), and Option C [CHOP cohort including cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisolone (COP), and cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, vindesine, and prednisolone (CDOP)].

Next generation sequencing (NGS)

NGS covered the exons, fusion-related intron regions, and alternative splicing regions of 103 genes related to T/NK cell lymphoma, based on authoritative TCGA database, NCCN guidelines, and 2016 WHO consensus. The detection platform used was illumina Hiseq/MiSeqDx/NextSeq. Relevant gene expression profiling was obtained from the medical record system.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were transformed into categorical variables using ROC analysis or reports from relevant literature. Chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests were used for categorical variables, while the Mann-Whitney U test and Student's t test were used for continuous variables. Competing risk analyses were performed according to the Fine-Gray method, assessing relapse and death as competing events. Agreement between EBER status and DNA level was characterized using the kappa statistic and measures of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV). Endpoint events (OS; progression-free survival, PFS) were analyzed using Kaplan-Meier methods, with a log-rank test used for comparison. Potential factors were initially analyzed by univariate analysis. Factors with P < 0.1 in univariate analysis or deemed important clinical factors according to the Cox proportional hazard regression model were included in multivariate analysis. Reported p values were two-sided, with P < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Result

Baseline characteristics

A total of 262 patients with PTCL were enrolled in this retrospective study, and the baseline characteristics according to different EBER statuses are presented in Table 1. Of these patients, 147 had AITL, 56 had PTCL-NOS, 19 had PTCL-TFH, and 40 had sALCL. The median age was 60.1 years (range 15–87), with 21.4% of patients having Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status scores (ECOG PS) \geq 2. Two hundred and thirty-one patients had advanced disease stage (stage III/IV), including 52 with bone marrow infiltration (BMI). Approximately 7% (19/262) of cases among all patients had extranodal sites (ENSs) \geq 2. Furthermore, patients with AITL (14.3%, 21/147) and PTCL-TFH (15.8%, 3/19) were more prone to EBV infection compared to those with PTCL-NOS (8.9%, 5/56) and sALCL (2.5%, 1/40).

No significant differences were observed in sex, stage, symptoms, BMI, elevated LDH, IPI, or PIT between the EBER-positive and EBER-negative cohorts. There were moderate but non-statistically significant associations between the EBV cohorts and ECOG PS (P = 0.090). EBER-positive patients tended to be older (average age, P = 0.012) and have lower levels of albumin (P = 0.022) than their counterparts.

The majority of eligible patients received CHOP/ CHOP-like therapy as first-line treatment. In addition, 23 patients underwent autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (auto-HCT), and 1 patient received allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT). Only 2 patients received radiotherapy during treatment.

Association between EBV DNA and EBER status

To investigate the relationship between EBV DNA and EBER status, diagnostic tests were conducted. A total of 167 cases were included, with 19 patients confirmed with EBV infection by EBER and 50 confirmed by DNA1 (>6750 copies/mL). The kappa statistic was 0.254, indicating poor consistency. PPV is 26% and NPV is 94.9%. The sensitivity and specificity of DNA1 as a surrogate for EBER were 68.4% and 75.0%, respectively (see Table S1). These results demonstrate poor consistency between DNA1 and EBER status. Out of 124 patients tested by PCR after 4 cycles of treatment, those with EBER positivity were more likely to have a high level of DNA1 (P = 0.000) at diagnosis. However, this tendency was not significant after 4 cycles of treatment (P = 0.075) (see Fig. 1).

Response evaluations

To investigate the possibility that patients with EBER negativity achieve remission more easily, response evaluations were conducted (see Table S2). In the EBER-negative cohort, the ORR was 51.2%, with 33 cases achieving SD and 80 cases experiencing PD. In the EBER-positive cohort, the ORR was 30.0%, with 10 cases achieving SD and 11 cases experiencing PD. A significant difference (P = 0.015) in ORR was observed between EBER-positive and EBER-negative patients. Although not statistically significant, patients with lower levels of DNA1 (≤ 6750 copies/mL) tended to achieve ORR more easily (P = 0.078).

The cumulative incidence function of relapse was comparable between the two groups based on EBER status when death was considered as a competing event. EBER status failed to predict relapse in competing risk models (P=0.496).

Survival analysis

The entire cohort of 262 patients was included in the survival analysis. With a median follow-up of 26.6 months, the 2-year and 5-year OS rates for all cohorts were $71.2\% \pm 3.0\%$ and $55.6\% \pm 5.0\%$, respectively. Regarding OS, the EBER-positive cohort showed a slightly significant difference compared to the EBER-negative cohort (P = 0.047; see Fig. 2A). For PFS, the EBER-positive cohort exhibited significantly poorer outcomes than the rest of the population (P = 0.009; see Fig. 2B). Survival outcomes were also analyzed based on the level of EBV DNA. Patients with DNA1 > 6750 copies/

Table 1Baseline characteristicsin PTCL patients by the statusof EBV

Characteristic	Total	EBER negative $(n=232)$	EBER positive $(n=30)$	<i>P</i> -value
Female, no. (%)	109 (41.6)	98 (42.2)	11 (36.7)	0.560
Age > 60 years, no. (%)	148 (56.5)	127 (54.7)	21 (70.0)	0.113
Stage III/IV, no. (%)	231 (88.2)	205 (88.4)	26 (86.7)	0.432
ENSs≥2, no. (%)	19 (7.3)	19 (8.2)	0 (0)	0.142
B symptom, no. (%)	118 (45.0)	103 (44.4)	15 (50.0)	0.566
BMI, no. (%)	52 (19.8)	46 (19.8)	6 (20.0)	1.000
ECOG PS \geq 2, no. (%)	56 (21.4)	46 (19.8)	10 (33.3)	0.090
Elevated LDH, no. (%)	144 (59.0)	128 (59.5)	16 (55.2)	0.647
IPI, no. (%)	n=244	n=215	n=29	0.476
0–1 (a Low IPI)	45 (18.4)	39 (18.2)	6 (20.6)	
2 (a Low-Intermediate IPI)	96 (39.3)	88 (40.9)	8 (27.6)	
3 (a High-Intermediate IPI)	76 (31.1)	66 (30.7)	10 (34.5)	
4–5 (a High IPI)	27 (11.1)	22 (10.2)	5 (17.2)	
PIT, no. (%)	n = 244	n=215	n = 29	0.386
0	32 (14.8)	32 (14.9)	4 (13.8)	
1	79 (29.4)	71 (33.0)	8 (27.6)	
2	89 (36.5)	80 (37.2)	9 (31.0)	
3–4	40 (16.4)	32 (14.9)	8 (27.6)	
Subtypes, no. (%)	n = 262	n=232	n = 30	0.131
PTCL-NOS	56 (21.4)	51 (22.0)	5 (16.7)	
AITL	147 (56.1)	126 (54.3)	21 (70.0)	
PTCL-TFH	19 (7.3)	16 (6.9)	3 (10.0)	
ALCL	40 (15.3)	39 (16.8)	1 (3.3)	
First-line treatment, no. (%)	n=262	n=232	n = 30	0.392
CHOP and CHOP-like	125 (47.7)	110 (47.4)	15 (50.0)	
CHOPE	63 (24.0)	58 (25.0)	5 (16.7)	
CHOP+AZA	22 (8.4)	19 (8.2)	3 (10.0)	
Combined with chidamide	9 (3.4)	9 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	
Combined with brentuximab vedotin	15 (5.7)	14 (6.0)	1 (3.3)	
Combined with lenalidomide	10 (3.8)	7 (3.0)	3 (10.0)	
Others	18 (6.9)	15 (6.5)	3 (10.0)	

PTCL peripheral T-cell lymphoma, EBV Epstein-Barr virus, EBER EBV-encoded RNA, ENSs extranodal sites, BMI bone marrow infiltration, ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, IPI International Prognostic Index, PIT prognostic index for PTCL, PTCL-NOS peripheral T-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified, AITL angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, PTCL-TFH nodal T-follicular helper (TFH) cell lymphoma, ALCL anaplastic large cell lymphoma, CHOP cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine and prednisone, CHOPE etoposide plus CHOP, AZA azacytidine

mL demonstrated substantially inferior OS (P = 0.001; see Fig. 3A) but similar PFS (P = 0.061; see Fig. 3B) compared to those with lower DNA1 levels. Excluding ALK + ALCL patients from the analysis, there was a statistical difference in PFS (P = 0.020) but not in OS (P = 0.100) by EBER, in OS (P = 0.003) but not in PFS (P = 0.146) by DNA1, and in both OS (P = 0.007) and PFS (P = 0.038) by DNA4.

There was no difference observed between first-line treatments based on DNA4 level analysis (P = 0.629). Patients with higher DNA4 levels exhibited inferior OS (P = 0.005; see Fig. 4A) and PFS (P = 0.039; see Fig. 4B) compared to those with lower DNA4 levels. Furthermore, survival analysis integrating EBV status and DNA1 was conducted. Due to the small number of EBER +/DNA1- patients (N=6), this group was excluded. EBER +/DNA1 + patients had the worst OS (P=0.003), followed by EBER-/DNA1 + and EBER-/DNA1- patients. The final outcomes of univariate and multivariate statistical tests are presented in Table 2. In the univariate analysis, DNA1 and DNA4 were significantly associated with inferior OS. Multivariate analysis revealed that DNA4 load had an independent effect on the prognosis of PTCL for OS [hazard ratio (HR)=5.1617; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.1017–24.1831; P=0.037]. Survival analysis of different types of PTCL by EBER is presented in Fig. 1 EBV DNA quantification analysis before and after treatment. When DNA is 0, lgDNA takes the value 0; other DNA levels are greater than 1 (DNA here is a continuous variable). *EBV* Epstein–Barr virus, *EBER* EBV-encoded RNA, *DNA1* the EBV DNA level of patient at baseline, *DNA4* the EBV DNA level of patient after 4 cycles of chemotherapy



Fig. 2 Overall survival (OS) (A) and progression-free survival (PFS) (B) in patients with PTCL according to status of EBER. *PTCL* peripheral T-cell lymphoma, *EBV* Epstein–Barr virus, *EBER* EBV-encoded RNA



Time (months)

Time (months)

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate statistical analysis of predictive factors for PFS and OS in PTCL Patients

Predictive factors	OS			PFS			
	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis		Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis		
		HR (95% CI)	P value		HR (95% CI)	P value	
Age > 60 years	0.069			0.017			
Stage III/IV	0.245			0.372			
B symptom	0.028			0.821			
BMI	0.001			0.014			
LDH>250 U/L	0.012			0.002			
ECOG PS ≥ 2	0.000			0.000			
$ENSs \ge 2$	0.115	13.3798 (2.1295-84.0657)	0.006	0.569			
WBC level	0.410			0.676			
HB level	0.000			0.006			
PLT level	0.028	0.9890 (0.9803-0.9977)	0.014	0.028			
Albumin level	0.000			0.000	0.9337 (0.8904-0.9791)	0.005	
First-line treatment	0.648			0.201			
Subtype	0.283			0.083			
EBER positive	0.050			0.010			
Elevated DNA1	0.001			0.063			
Elevated DNA4	0.008	5.1617 (1.1017–24.1831)	0.037	0.043			

Some parts are blank because the data are not statistically significant in multivariate analysis

OS overall survival, PFS progression-free survival, HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval, BMI bone marrow infiltration, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, ENSs extranodal sites, WBC white blood cell, HB hemoglobin, PLT platelet count, EBER EBV-encoded RNA, DNA1 the EBV DNA level of patient at baseline, DNA4 the EBV DNA level of patient after 4 cycles of chemotherapy. Fig. S1. As AITL and PTCL-TFH were overrepresented in the EBER + group, multivariate analysis in this cohort was performed in the supplementary material. DNA1 was found to be an independent prognostic factor for OS (HR = 6.1002; 95% CI 1.0078–36.9259; P = 0.049) in this cohort.

A possible treatment option for EBV-associated PTCL

For patients with EBER positivity, 3 patients received option A, 5 patients received option B, and 15 patients received option C. Although patients who received option A had the best survival outcomes (see Fig. S2), the baselines of the above three groups were not well unified (refer to Table S3). When EBER partially positive and EBER positive patients were combined, a total of 101 patients were included. After controlling for confounding factors (see Table S4), patients who received option A showed better OS (OS, P = 0.192, see Fig. 5A; PFS, P = 0.407, see Fig. 5B) compared to those with options B or C, although the differences were not statistically significant. Considering the largest population of AITL in the cohort, this population was analyzed individually. It appeared that EBV-associated AITL patients receiving option A had longer OS as well (see Fig. S3, P = 0.052; see Fig. S4, P = 0.286). Relevant confounding factors are presented in Table S5 and Table S6.

Molecular profile

Thirty-one newly diagnosed patients were enrolled for molecular analysis. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table S7. No genetic alterations were detected in 4 patients. Common gene mutations in AITL included TET2 (73.3%), RHOA^{G17V} (46.6%), DNMT3A (33.3%), IDH2^{R172} (20.0%), and CD28 (13.3%). One case of PTCL-TFH had TET2 mutation, KRAS mutation, and PTEN mutation, while the other case of PTCL-TFH only had TP53 mutation. Mutations such as TP53 (30.0%), TET2 (40.0%), RHOA^{G17V} (30.0%), DNMT3A (20.0%), and EZH2 (10.0%) were frequent among the 10 PTCL-NOS patients. ALK genes were mutated in only 3 ALK-positive ALCL patients. The remaining ALKnegative ALCL patient developed PRDM1 and TP63 mutations.

By comparing the gene levels of EBV-positive and -negative patients, no differential mutations were found. However, considering that EBER partially positive and positive patients possibly share certain characteristics, we compared them with the rest of the population (completely EBER-negative patients) and found that they were more likely to obtain DNMT3A (P = 0.002), RHOA^{G17V} (P = 0.023), and TET2 mutations (P = 0.032) (see Fig. 6). Baseline features and survival outcomes for patients with DNMT3A, RHOA^{G17V}, or TET2 alterations are displayed in Table 3.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to examine the value of EBV in PTCL patients using ISH plus PCR and the first to describe the molecular characteristics of EBV-associated PTCL. We retrospectively enrolled 262 PTCL patients and compared survival outcomes based on the pretreatment EBER status, pretreatment, and post-treatment EBV DNA levels, supporting the association of EBER, DNA1, and DNA4 with survival. Our findings show that CHOP plus AZA may improve survival outcomes for EBV-associated patients. The genetic basis of PTCL revealed that EBER-positive and partially positive patients were more likely to harbor DNMT3A (P = 0.002), RHOA^{G17V} (P = 0.023), and TET2 mutations (P = 0.032). It is plausible that AZA reverses methylation caused by EBV infection via TET2 and/or DNMT3A mutations.

The relationship between EBER and EBV DNA has been explored extensively. Haverkos et al. 2017 found that EBER-positive patients had elevated DNA1 levels, but DNA1 failed to identify all EBER-positive patients (100% specificity; 53% sensitivity). Zhao et al. observed a high level of DNA1 associated with EBER positivity (Zhao et al. 2022). In this study, we observed poor consistency (kappa = 0.254) between

Fig. 5 Overall survival (OS) (A) and progression-free survival (PFS) (B) in patients with EBV positive and partially positive according to different therapeutic regimen. *EBV* Epstein–Barr virus, *CHOP* cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone, *CHOPE* CHOP plus etoposide, *AZA* azacytidine



Fig. 6 Gene mutations about RHOA^{G17V}, DNMT3A or TET2 between EBER negative (EBER0) and EBER partially positive plus positive (EBER1) cohorts. The Y axis represents the proportion. *EBER* EBVencoded RNA, *AITL* angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, *PTCL-TFH* nodal T-follicular helper (TFH) cell lymphoma, *PTCL-NOS* peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified, *ALCL* anaplastic large cell lymphoma



EBER and DNA1, with a significant difference between EBER-positive and -negative patients in DNA1 (P=0.000) but only a slight difference in DNA4 (P=0.075). This indicates that EBER-positive patients tend to have higher DNA levels at diagnosis, but this tendency can change after treatment.

Our observations showed that patients with EBER negativity and lower levels of DNA1 achieved overall response rates easily (P = 0.015 and P = 0.078, respectively). However, EBER failed to predict relapse in competing risk models (P = 0.496), which is inconsistent with another report (Zhao et al. 2022). Overall, EBV infection impacted the disease response, but further research is still needed.

The impact of EBV on the prognosis of PTCLs has remained controversial. Previous studies have found EBV status to be either associated or not associated with a poorer prognosis (Dupuis et al. 2006; Weisenburger et al. 2011;

Kim et al. 2021; Haverkos et al. 2017; Shen et al. 2022). In our study, EBER-positive patients exhibited dismal OS (P = 0.047) and PFS (P = 0.009). Univariate analysis revealed that DNA1 and DNA4 were significantly associated with inferior OS (P=0.001; P=0.005). Multivariate analysis further demonstrated that DNA4 had an independent effect on the prognosis of PTCL for OS. Consistent with previous studies by Song et al. (2022), Shen et al. (2022), and Qiu et al. (2022), EBV DNA emerged as an effective prognostic marker. It appears that EBV DNA may be superior to EBER, possibly because EBER is a qualitative diagnostic index derived from tissue samples, whereas EBV DNA is a quantitative measurement analyzed from plasma samples. This superiority of EBV DNA over EBER is reflected in the diagnostic test results: an inconsistent relationship (kappa=0.254). Thus, monitoring EBV DNA levels may be recommended for predicting survival.

Table 3 Baseline features and survival outcomes about patients with DNMT3A, RHOA^{G17V} or TET2 mutations

Case	Subtype	EBER status	Mutations		First-line treatment	Response	OS	Times (months)	
			DNMT3A	RHOA ^{G17V}	TET2		evaluations		
1	AITL	Negative	_	_	+	Others	CR	0	24.40
2	AITL	Negative	-	+	+	Option C	PD	0	17.63
3	AITL	Partially positive	-	+	+	Option A	?	?	?
4	AITL	Partially positive	+	+	+	Option A	PD	1	11.77
5	AITL	Partially positive	-	+	+	Option A	CR	0	12.53
6	AITL	partially positive	+	+	+	Option C	CR	0	8.07
7	AITL	Partially positive	-	_	+	Option B	PD	1	19.00
8	AITL	Partially positive	+	_	+	Option C	PD	1	9.30
9	AITL	Partially positive	+	_	-	Option B	SD	0	13.40
10	AITL	Partially positive	+	+	+	Option B	?	?	?
11	AITL	Positive	-	+	+	Option A	PD	1	4.70
12	AITL	Positive	-	_	+	Others	PD	1	0.90
13	PTCL-TFH	Negative	-	_	+	Option B	PD	0	13.47
14	PTCL-NOS	Negative	-	+	-	Option C	CR	0	22.17
15	PTCL-NOS	Negative	-	_	+	Option C	SD	1	1.03
16	PTCL-NOS	Negative	-	_	+	Others	?	?	?
17	PTCL-NOS	Partially positive	+	+	+	Option C	SD	0	12.33
18	PTCL-NOS	Positive	+	+	+	Option C	PR	0	12.77

OS (1 means die and 0 means alive); ? means lost to follow-up.

EBER, EBV-encoded RNA, *OS*, overall survival, *AITL*, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; *PTCL-TFH*, nodal T-follicular helper (TFH) cell lymphoma, *PTCL-NOS* peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified, *ALCL* anaplastic large cell lymphoma, *CR* complete remission, *PR* partial remission, *SD* stable disease, *PD* progressive disease

The optimal treatment regimens for PTCLs remain undefined, particularly for those with EBV infection who typically have a poor prognosis. This may be attributed to EBV infection leading to extensive methylation, resulting in immune escape and disease progression (Zhang et al. 2022; Ambinder et al. 1999). Our study found that the CHOP plus AZA regimen achieved promising OS for EBER-positive patients (Fig. S2; P = 0.046) and EBER-positive plus partially positive patients (Fig. 5; P = 0.192). This trend was also observed in the AITL cohort, which constituted the largest population (Fig. S3, P = 0.052; Fig. S4, P = 0.286). Despite the limited sample size, these cases provide insights into treatment, suggesting that EBV-infected lymphomas may respond well to hypomethylating agents. Falchi et al. conducted a phase II clinical trial combining AZA and romidepsin, where 48% of patients achieved complete remission (Falchi et al. 2021). Additionally, a patient with AITL and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia preceded by an EBER-positive large B-cell lymphoma showed a favorable response to AZA (Saillard et al. 2017). These findings resonate with our results, supporting the notion that targeting DNA methylation abnormalities could be an effective strategy for patients with EBV-associated lymphomas.

The molecular paradigm of EBV was also investigated. We observed that EBER-positive and partially positive patients were more likely to harbor DNMT3A (P = 0.002), RHOA^{G17V} (P = 0.023), and TET2 mutations (P = 0.032) (Fig. 6). Previous reports have indicated a correlation between TET2 and/or DNMT3A mutations and DNA methvlation in hematological tumors (Xie et al. 2020; Lemonnier et al. 2018, Woods and Levine 2015). However, the association of EBV with methylation in PTCL has not been extensively studied. Considering the observed clinical benefit of AZA in EBV-related patients, it is conceivable that hypomethylating agents like AZA could target methylation caused by EBV through DNMT3A or TET2 to improve prognosis. Nonetheless, the detailed genetic features of EBV in PTCL remain unclear. Further studies with larger cohorts are warranted to explore this aspect, especially considering the partial overlap between the subjects of survival analysis and genetic analysis in our study.

Several limitations should be acknowledged in our study. Firstly, its retrospective nature and single-center design with varied therapeutic regimens may introduce bias, despite efforts to mitigate this through comparable clinical characteristics and statistical adjustments. Secondly, the limited sample size of EBV-infected or AZA-treated patients warrants validation in larger prospective cohorts with homogenous treatment approaches. Thirdly, while molecular analysis related to DNA methylation was conducted, there was not complete consistency between the subjects of survival analysis and genetic analysis.

In conclusion, EBER positivity, elevated DNA1, and elevated DNA4 were significantly associated with inferior survival, highlighting the importance of monitoring EBV DNA in newly diagnosed PTCL. Front-line treatment with CHOP plus AZA is possibly good for EBER partially positive and positive patients, who may exhibit high levels of methylation due to DNMT3A or TET2 mutations. However, these speculations require further exploration in larger cohort studies.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-024-05702-9.

Author contributions Jing Chen and Yi Zhao designed the study; Jing Chen and Jie Zhou performed the study; Jing Chen analyzed the data; Yi Zhao provided guidance on the study; Jing Chen, Fei Cheng, Jie Zhou and Yi Zhao wrote the manuscript. Donghe Chen, Fangshu Guan, Enfan Zhang, Jingsong He and Zhen Cai give suggestions for this manuscript. All authors approved this as a final manuscript for publication.

Funding This work was supported by the project named Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LY22H080004) and Medical Science and Technology Project of Zhejiang Province (Project No. 2021KY143).

Data availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee.

Consent to publish This article does not include the patients' names, portrait and other private information. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or their relatives for publication of this article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abdallah MOE, Algizouli UK, Suliman MA, et al (2018) EBV Associated breast cancer whole methylome analysis reveals viral and developmental enriched pathways. Front Oncol 8
- Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I et al (2022) The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of

haematolymphoid tumours: lymphoid neoplasms. Leukemia 36(7):1720–1748

- Ambinder RF, Robertson KD, Tao Q (1999) DNA methylation and the Epstein-Barr virus. Semin Cancer Biol 9(5):369–375
- Bednarska K, Chowdhury R, Tobin JWD et al (2024) Epstein-Barr virus-associated lymphomas decoded. Br J Haematol 204(2):415–433
- Damania B, Kenney SC, Raab-Traub N (2022) Epstein-Barr virus: Biology and clinical disease. Cell 185(20):3652–3670
- Deng S, Lin S, Shen J, Zeng Y (2019) Comparison of CHOP vs CHOPE for treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a metaanalysis. Onco Targets Ther 12:2335–2342
- Dupuis J, Emile JF, Mounier N et al (2006) Prognostic significance of Epstein-Barr virus in nodal peripheral T-cell lymphoma, unspecified: a Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte (GELA) study. Blood 108(13):4163–4169
- Falchi LMH, Klein S, Lue JK, Montanari F, Marchi E, Deng C, Kim HA, Rada A, Jacob AT, Kinahan C, Francescone MM, Soderquist CR, Park DC, Bhagat G, Nandakumar R, Menezes D, Scotto L, Sokol L, Shustov AR, O'Connor OA (2021) Combined oral 5-azacytidine and romidepsin are highly effective in patients with PTCL: a multicenter phase 2 study. Blood 137(16):2161–2170
- Haverkos BM, Huang Y, Gru A et al (2017) Frequency and clinical correlates of elevated plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA at diagnosis in peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Int J Cancer 140(8):1899–1906
- Jia B, Hu S, Yang J et al (2016) Comparison of gemcitabin, cisplatin, and dexamethasone (GDP), CHOP, and CHOPE in the first-line treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Hematology 21(9):536–541
- Kim TY, Min GJ, Jeon YW et al (2021) Impact of Epstein-Barr virus on peripheral T-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified and angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. Front Oncol 11:797028
- Lemonnier F, Dupuis J, Sujobert P et al (2018) Treatment with 5-azacytidine induces a sustained response in patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. Blood 132(21):2305–2309
- Liu X, Yang M, Wu M et al (2018) A retrospective study of the CHOP, CHOPE, and CHOPE/G regimens as the first-line treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 83(3):443–449
- Lopez C, Burkhardt B, Chan JKC et al (2022) Burkitt Lymphoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 8(1):78
- Matsusaka K (2014) DNA methylation in gastric cancer, related toHelicobacter pyloriand Epstein-Barr virus. World J Gastroenterol 20(14)
- Mina A, Pro B (2022) T time: Emerging and new therapies for peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Blood Rev 52:100889
- Peng HCY, Gong P, Cai L, Lyu X, Jiang Q, Wang J, Lu J, Yao K, Liu K, Li J, Li X (2016) Higher methylation intensity induced by EBV LMP1 via NF-κB/DNMT3b signaling contributes to silencing of PTEN gene. Oncotarget 7(26):40025–40037
- Qiu L, Si J, Kang J et al (2022) A retrospective analysis of EBV-DNA status with the prognosis of lymphoma. J Cell Mol Med 26(20):5195–5201
- Rodríguez M, Alonso-Alonso R, Tomás-Roca L et al (2021) Peripheral T-cell lymphoma: molecular profiling recognizes subclasses and identifies prognostic markers. Blood Adv 5(24):5588–5598
- Saillard C, Guermouche H, Derrieux C et al (2017) Response to 5-azacytidine in a patient with TET2-mutated angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma and chronic myelomonocytic leukaemia preceded by an EBV-positive large B-cell lymphoma. Hematol Oncol 35(4):864–868
- Shen Z, Hu L, Yao M et al (2022) Disparity analysis and prognostic value of pretreatment whole blood Epstein-Barr virus DNA load and Epstein-Barr encoding region status in lymphomas: a retrospective multicenter study in Huaihai Lymphoma Working Group. Int J Cancer 150(2):327–334

- Song J, Kim JY, Kim S, Park Y (2022) Utility of Epstein-Barr viral load in blood for diagnosing and predicting prognosis of lymphoma: a comparison with Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNA in situ hybridization. J Mol Diagn 24(9):977–991
- Usui G, Matsusaka K, Mano Y et al (2021) DNA methylation and genetic aberrations in gastric cancer. Digestion 102(1):25–32
- Wang L, Wang J-H, Bi X-W, Chen X-Q, Lu Y, Xia Z-J (2017) Interim PET-CT may predict PFS and OS in T-ALL/LBL adult patients. Oncotarget 8(58):99104–99111
- Weisenburger DD, Savage KJ, Harris NL et al (2011) Peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified: a report of 340 cases from the International Peripheral T-cell Lymphoma Project. Blood 117(12):3402–3408
- Woods BA, Levine RL (2015) The role of mutations in epigenetic regulators in myeloid malignancies. Immunol Rev 263(1):22–35
- Xie C, Li X, Zeng H, Qian W (2020) Molecular insights into pathogenesis and targeted therapy of peripheral T cell lymphoma. Exp Hematol Oncol 9(1):30
- Xiong J, Cui BW, Wang N et al (2020) Genomic and transcriptomic characterization of natural killer T cell lymphoma. Cancer Cell 37(3):403-419.e406

- Zeng M, Jia Q, Chen J, et al. High plasma EBV-DNA load and positive EBER status associated with viral recurrence and persistent infection in early treatment of lymphoma. Clin Exp Med
- Zhang Y, Xu W, Liu H, Li J (2016) Therapeutic options in peripheral T cell lymphoma. J Hematol Oncol 9:37
- Zhang L, Wang R, Xie Z (2022) The roles of DNA methylation on the promotor of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) gene and the genome in patients with EBV-associated diseases. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 106(12):4413–4426
- Zhao D, Hu S, Zhou D, Zhang Y, Wang W, Zhang W (2022) Clinical significance of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA in peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Acta Haematol 145(2):132–143

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.