ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Andreia R. Neves · Luis Felipe C. Ensina Luciene B. Anselmo · Katia R. M. Leite Antonio C. Buzaid · Luiz H. Câmara-Lopes José Alexandre M. Barbuto

Dendritic cells derived from metastatic cancer patients vaccinated with allogeneic dendritic cell–autologous tumor cell hybrids express more CD86 and induce higher levels of interferon-gamma in mixed lymphocyte reactions

Received: 6 February 2004 / Accepted: 12 April 2004 / Published online: 14 September 2004 Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract Dendritic cells (DCs) are highly effective antigen-presenting cells that, when derived from cancer patients, seem to be functionally deficient. Herein, we show that vaccination with allogeneic DC–autologous tumor cell hybrids affects the phenotype and improves the function of monocyte-derived DCs (Mo-DCs) from cancer patients. Mononuclear cells were isolated from patients' peripheral blood by density gradient centrifugation, and adherent cells were cultured in medium containing GM-CSF plus IL-4 and, after 5 days, TNFa. After 2 more days, Mo-DCs were harvested and their CD80, CD86, and CD83 expression was assessed by flow cytometry. They were also used as stimulators in mixed lymphocyte reactions (MLR), where $IFN-\gamma$ production was measured by ELISA. Mo-DCs from unvaccinated patients expressed significantly lower levels of CD86, and tended to express lower levels of CD83 than Mo-DCs from healthy donors. However, Mo-DCs generated after hybrid cell vaccination presented increased expression of the same markers and induced significantly higher levels of IFN- γ in MLR. These results indicate that the use of allogeneic DC–based cancer vaccines induces recovery of DC function in metastatic cancer patients and, therefore, could precede the use of autologous DCs for vaccine preparation. Such an approach could be relevant and should be investigated in clinical trials.

K. R. M. Leite · A. C. Buzaid · L. H. Câmara-Lopes J. A. M. Barbuto Hospital Sirio-Libanês, R. Adma Jafet, 91, São Paulo, SP, 01308-050, Brazil

Keywords $Cancer \cdot Dendritic cells \cdot Vaccines$

Introduction

Dendritic cells (DCs) are the most potent antigen-presenting cells (APCs), able of inducing primary and boosting secondary T-cell responses [2, 3, 11]. Not surprisingly, since the immune system fails to eradicate most antigenic cancers [6], several groups have described the defective function of DCs in tumor-bearing mice and cancer patients [10, 13, 23, 32], leading to the inappropriate tumor-antigen presentation by DCs in such instances [9, 17, 18]. Though DCs are found at trace levels in tissues or in circulation, the identification of culture conditions enabling DC generation in vitro [7, 25, 33] has furthered the study of their biology and allowed the design of immunotherapeutic protocols based on their use [22, 24, 28]. In an attempt to circumvent tumor effects upon DC maturation, and considering that mature DCs seem to be resistant to such immunosuppressive factors [1, 27], many antitumor vaccination strategies aim toward the development and maturation of dendritic cells in vitro, in the absence of tumor-derived factors, followed by their readministration to the patients [5, 6, 14]. Indeed, clinical protocols based on this hypothesis have been developed and are currently underway, but their results, though promising, are still not as good as one could expect. A reason for this relative inefficiency could be the functional impairment also of DC precursors in cancer patients [10, 15]. Though the use of healthy donors for the generation of DCs does bypass this problem, it creates others, mainly of HLA compatibility and therefore of antigen presentation and recognition. A strategy that considers both sides of this question is the fusion of tumor cells with

A. R. Neves \cdot L. F. C. Ensina \cdot L. B. Anselmo

J. A. M. Barbuto (\boxtimes)

Departamento de Imunologia, ICB-USP, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 1730, São Paulo, SP, 05508-000, Brazil E-mail: jbarbuto@icb.usp.br

DCs obtained from healthy donors [4, 29, 30,]. This generates, theoretically, cells that present MHC from the DC donor and from the tumor-bearing individual, therefore being able to present tumor antigens in the context of both.

We have conducted a clinical trial for the vaccination of metastatic renal cell carcinoma or melanoma patients with tumor-DC hybrid cells [4]. Though in this trial, most patients had an improvement of immune function and clinical benefit, the latter was limited mostly to disease stabilization, suggesting that this approach also should be modified if one is to expect more consistent and effective clinical results.

We show here that among the immune function parameters that were modified by the vaccination protocol of the metastatic cancer patients is the differentiation and maturation potential of their monocytes into DCs in vitro. This phenomenon highlights the immune function enhancement gained by the hybrid cell vaccination and could be of relevance in the design of new anticancer vaccination protocols.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from 8 healthy individuals and 11 patients with metastatic melanoma or renal cell carcinoma, before or after four doses or more of hybrid cell vaccination, performed at Hospital Sirio-Libanês [4]. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and was conducted in the Oncology Center of the Hospital Sírio-Libanês, São Paulo, Brazil. Samples were collected only after informed consent of donors.

Cells isolation and culture

Mononuclear cells were separated over a Ficoll-Paque gradient ($d=1.076$), resuspended and seeded in 12-well plates in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% heatinactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) and 2 mM L-glutamine (R10 medium). After 2 h of incubation at 37° C, nonadherent cells were removed, and the adherent cells were cultured in presence of GM-CSF and IL-4 (50 ng/ ml; R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA). On the 5th day, TNF-a (50 ng/ml; R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was added for DC activation. After 2 further days in culture, the cells were harvested and analyzed.

Flow cytometry

Cells $(5\times10^5 \text{ cells})$ were labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)- or phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated mouse monoclonal antibodies (MoAbs) against CD86, CD80, and CD83, or with mouse isotype controls

(Caltag Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), and analyzed in a FACSCalibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) with CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). At least 10,000 gated events were acquired per antibody analyzed.

Mixed lymphocyte reactions and IFN- ν assays

Dentritic cells were irradiated (25 Gy) and used as stimulators for allogeneic PBMCs, at a 1:2 ratio. Cells were cultured for 5 days in R10, after which supernatants were collected for interferon γ (IFN- γ) assay by ELISA (DuoSet ELISA; R&D Systems). Assays were performed in duplicate. The lower limit of detection was 10 pg/ml.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was performed to evaluate the differences among groups.

Results

Dendritic cell yield and phenotypic characterization of DCs

Mononuclear cells from eight healthy individuals and eight metastatic cancer patients were cultured with IL-4 plus GM-CSF and activated with TNF-a. The final yield was calculated as the number of cells obtained at the end of the culture divided by the number of adherent cells. The DC yield from healthy donors was 18% and was not statistically different from that of patients (17.25%). Furthermore, the loosely adherent cell population had a typical veiled DC morphology, and there were no morphological differences between DCs from patients and healthy individuals (data not shown). However, when CD80, CD86, and CD83 expression was assessed by flow cytometry, DCs obtained from cancer patients presented lower levels of the three markers, though this difference reached significance only for CD86 ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 1). The same pattern of response was observed in melanoma (4) and renal cell cancer patients (4) considered separately, though in this case, the differences were not statistically significant (data not shown).

Effects of vaccination upon DC phenotype obtained in culture

Given the altered cell surface phenotype found on DCs from metastatic cancer patients, we decided to investigate if the hybrid cell vaccination was able to affect the surface expression of the costimulatory molecules, CD80 and CD86, and of the activation marker, CD83. Therefore, DCs which had originated from metastatic cancer patients before any vaccination, were compared

63

Fig. 1 Immunophenotype of monocyte-derived DCs generated in vitro from cells of patients or from healthy individuals. Peripheral blood monocytes from eight cancer patients and eight healthy individuals were cultured in the presence of GM-CSF plus IL-4 and activated with $TNF-\alpha$ on the 5th day of culture. On the 7th day, cells were harvested, and CD80, CD86, and CD83 surface expression was assessed by flow cytometry. Bars represent the mean $\%$ of positive cells for each marker; p values (healthy vs patients) were CD86, $p=0.04$; CD83, $p=0.14$; CD80, $p=0.92$.

with DCs generated from cells of patients who had received at least four doses of the vaccine. Patients received $1-3\times10^{7}$ autologous tumor-dendritic cell hybrids generated by electrofusion with an efficiency of 15–30%, with a 6-week interval (Barbuto et al., submitted). Figure 2 illustrates, for two patients, the recovery of CD80 and CD86 expression observed after vaccination, a general phenomenon that was observed for the three markers in the six different patients tested, though it was only statistically significant for CD86 ($p=0.03$) (Fig. 3). Interestingly, CD83 expression seemed higher in vaccinated patients than in healthy individuals, but this was not statistically significant (data not shown).

IFN- γ production by cells stimulated with patients' DCs in mixed leukocyte reaction (MLR)

DCs obtained from a randomly selected group of patients before and after vaccination were used as stimulators in MLR. Both DC preparations induced IFN- γ production, but this was significantly higher $(p<0.05)$ in cultures stimulated with DCs obtained after vaccination (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the levels of IFN- γ detected in MLR stimulated with DCs from untreated patients did not differ from those present in cultures stimulated with DCs from healthy donors.

Discussion

The recent development of in vitro techniques that allow the differentiation of DCs in vitro has opened new possibilities for immunotherapy [28]. A promising approach uses allogeneic DCs fused to tumor cells [4, 30] in an attempt to bypass the possible deficits of DCs generated from cancer patients [10, 13, 15], while at the same time avoiding the MHC compatibility issues that would occur if nonfused cells were used. Though theoretically attractive, this approach is based on the assumption that MHC antigens, from the patient and from the DC donor are present in the hybrid cell. Even if this occurs, there will be many occasions when tumor cells will be deficient in MHC expression, and consequently so will the hybrid cells. Despite that, clinical protocols based on this strategy have been developed and yielded promising results [4, 30]. Nevertheless, the fact that there could be a deficit in antigen presentation in the context of the patient's MHC with this strategy justifies the search for alternate approaches.

This report describes phenotypic and functional features of DCs differentiated in vitro from patients with metastatic melanoma or renal cell carcinoma after vac-

Fig. 2 Costimulatory molecules expression by monocyte-derived DCs before and after vaccination. Two representative experiments of CD80 and CD86 expression by DCs generated from blood monocytes obtained from metastatic cancer patients before or after vaccination with allogeneic DC–autologous tumor cell hybrids.

cination with hybrid cells that indicate one such alternate strategies. We show that while monocyte-derived DCs from untreated cancer patients do exhibit lower levels of function and activation markers, this does not occur after vaccination. Furthermore, IFN- γ production in MLR stimulated with patient-derived DCs was significantly improved after vaccination, suggesting that the surface expression of DC markers is indeed a sign of improved antigen-presenting function of these cells.

The interaction of DCs with T cells via binding of MHC-peptide and TCR/CD3 complexes as well as adhesion/costimulatory molecules and their counter ligands induce an effective T-cell activation [12, 26] that seems to depend on both CD80 and CD86 molecules, highly expressed on activated DCs, and the main ligands for CD28 and CTLA-4 on T cells [20]. Several studies have shown that CD80 and CD86 expression are quantitatively and qualitatively different on antigenpresenting cells [12, 20]. The role of each molecule on the initiation or maintenance of immune responses is not

completely understood, and the literature shows contrasting reports concerning this issue [12, 19, 21, 31]. Nevetheless, a study performed by Caux et al. [8] showed that monoclonal antibodies against CD86 suppressed the DC-dependent alloreaction by 70%, demonstrating the requirement of this molecule for allostimulatory activity of DCs. This is in agreement with our data, since we noted a significant improvement in CD86 expression by DCs generated after vaccination at the same time as an improvement in IFN- γ induction by these cells in MLR. Furthermore, the significant enhancement of CD83 expression on DCs derived from the vaccinated patients' monocytes indicate that the phenomenon is not restricted to the expression of one marker, but is very likely a real recovery of DC function in the patients.

Therefore, an alternative approach for a DC-based vaccination of cancer patients would be the initial use of allogeneic DC–autologous tumor hybrid cells for vaccination, followed by the use of autologous DCs pulsed with tumor cells/extracts/antigens. This strategy would bypass the defective function of the patient-derived DCs initially and, after the functional recovery of these cells, would eliminate the complexities of cell fusion and/or the requirement of DC donor selection. Due to its simplicity, this strategy seems to us an attractive approach for the further exploration of DC-based therapies for

Fig. 3 Immunophenotype of monocyte-derived DCs generated in vitro from cells of cancer patients before or after hybrid cell vaccination. Blood monocytes obtained before or after vaccination of six patients were cultured in the presence of GM-CSF plus IL-4 and activated with TNF-a on the 5th day of culture. On the 7th day, cells were harvested, and CD80, CD86, and CD83 surface expression was assessed by flow cytometry. Bars represent the mean % of positive cells for each marker; p values (before vs after): CD80, $p=0.34$; CD86, $p=0.03$; CD83, $p=0.06$.

Fig. 4 IFN- γ production in mixed lymphocyte reactions stimulated with monocyte-derived DCs. DCs generated in vitro from cells of five healthy individuals and from three cancer patients before or after vaccination were used as stimulators for allogeneic lymphocytes in MLR. Cells were cultured for 5 days, after which their supernatants were collected and assayed for the presence of IFN- γ by ELISA. Means \pm SE are represented ($p < 0.05$).

cancer patients and should be pursued in future clinical trials.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by FAPESP, grants no. 01-02339-8 and no. 01-07751-4 and by Capes.

References

- 1. Allavena P, Piemonti L, Longoni D, Bernasconi S, Stoppacciaro A, Ruco L, Mantovani A (1998) IL-10 prevents the differentiation of monocytes to dendritic cells but promotes their maturation to macrophages. Eur J Immunol 28:359–369
- 2. Banchereau J, Steinman RM (1998) Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature 392:245–252
- 3. Banchereau J, Briere F, Caux C, Davoust J, Lebecque S, Liu YJ, Puledran B, Palucka K (2000) Immunobiology of dendritic cells. Annu Rev Immunol 18:767–811
- 4. Barbuto JAM, Ensina LF, Neves AR, Bergami-Santos PC, Marques R, Leite KRM, Camara-Lopes LH, Buzaid AC (2002) Dendritic-tumor hybrid cell therapeutic vaccination for metastatic melanoma and renal cell carcinoma patients. International symposium on predictive oncology and intervention strategies, Paris, France, 9–12 February 2002. http:// www.cancerprev.org/Journal/Issues/26/101/1011/4579 Cited 24 August 2004
- 5. Bedrosian I, Mick R, Xu S, Nisenbaum H, Faries M, Zhang P, Cohen PA, Koski G, Czerniecki BJ (2003) Intranodal administration of peptide-pulsed mature dendritic cell vaccines results in superior $\overline{CD8}$ + \overline{T} -cell function in melanoma patients. J Clin Oncol 21:3826–3835
- 6. Brossart P, Wirths S, Brugger, Kanz L (2001) Dendritic cells in cancer vaccines. J Exp Hematol 29:1247–1255
- 7. Caux C, Dezutter-Dambuyant C, Schmitt D, Banchereau J (1992) GM-CSF and TNF-alpha cooperate in the generation of dendritic Langerhans cells. Nature 360:258–261
- 8. Caux C, Vanbervliet B, Massacrier C, Azuma M, Okumura K, Lanier LL, Banchereau J (1994) B70/B7-2 is identical to CD86 and is the major functional ligand for CD28 expressed on human dendritic cells. J Exp Med 180:1841–1877
- 9. Chaux P, Moutet M, Faivre J, Martin F, Martin M (1996) Inflammatory cells infiltrating human colorectal carcinomas express HLA class II but not B7-1 and B7-2 costimulatory molecules of the T-cell activation. Lab Invest 74:975–983
- 10. Della Bella S, Gennaro M, Vaccari M, Ferraris C, Nicola S, Riva A, Clerici M, Greco M, Villa ML (2003) Altered maturation of peripheral blood dendritic cells in patients with breast cancer. Br J Cancer 89:1463–1472
- 11. Di Nico M, Emo RM (2000) Dendritic cells: specialized antigen presenting cells. Haematologica 85:202–207
- 12. Fields PE, Finch RJ, Gray GS, Zollner R, Thomas JL, Sturmhoefel K, Lee K, Wolf S, Gajewski TF, Fitch FW (1998) B7.1 is a quantitatively stronger costimulus than B7.2 in the activation of naive CD8+ TCR-transgenic T cells. J Immunol 161:5268–5275
- 13. Gabrilovich DI, Corak J, Ciernik IF, Kavanaugh D, Carbone DP (1997) Decreased antigen presentation by dendritic cells in patients with breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 3:483-490
- 14. Godelaine D, Carrasco J, Lucas S, Karanikas V, Schuler-Thurner B, Coulie PG, Schuler G, Boon T, Van Pel A (2003) Polyclonal CTL responses observed in melanoma patients vaccinated with dendritic cells pulsed with a MAGE-3.A1 peptide. J Immunol 171:4893–4897
- 15. Hasebe H, Nagayama H, Sato K, Enomoto M, Takeda Y, Takahashi TA, Hasumi K, Eriguchi M (2000) Dysfunctional regulation of the development of monocyte-derived dendritic cell in cancer patients. Biomed Pharmacother 54:291–298
- 16. Hsu FJ, Benike C, Fagnoni F, Liles TM, Czerwinski D, Taidi B, Engleman EG, Levy R (1996) Vaccination of patients with B-cell lymphoma using autologous antigen-pulsed dendritic cells. Nat Med 2:52–58
- 17. Ishida T, Oyama T, Carbone D, Gabrilovich DI (1998) Defective function of Langerhans cells in tumor-bearing animals is the result of defective maturation from hematopoietic progenitors. J Immunol 161:4842–4851
- 18. Kim J, Modlin RL, Moy RL, Dubinett SM, McHugh T, Nickoloff BJ, Uyemura K (1995) IL-10 production in cutaneous basal and squamous cell carcinomas: a mechanism for evading the local T cell immune response. J Immunol 155:2240–2247
- 19. Lang TJ, Nguyen P, Peach R, Gause WC, Via CS (2002) In vivo CD86 blockade inhibits $CD4+T$ cell activation, whereas CD80 blockade potentiates CD8+ T cell activation and CTL effector function. J Immunol 168:3786–3792
- 20. Linsley PS, Greene JL, Brady W, Bajorath J, Ledbetter JA, Peach R (1994) Human B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86) bind with similar avidities but distinct kinetics to CD28 and CTLA-4 receptors. Immunity 1:793–801
- 21. Martin-Fontecha A, Moro M, Crosti MC, Veglia F, Casorati G, Dellabona P (2000) Vaccination with mouse mammary adenocarcinoma cells coexpressing B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86) discloses the dominant effect of B7-1 in the induction of antitumor immunity. J Immunol 164:698–704
- 22. Nestle FO, Alijagic S, Gilliet M, Sun Y, Grabbe S, Dummer R, Burg G, Schadendorf D (1998) Vaccination of melanoma patients with peptide- or tumor lysate-pulsed dendritic cells. Nat Med 4:328–332
- 23. Orsini E, Guarini A, Chiaretti S, Mauro FR, Foa R (2003) The circulating dendritic cell compartment in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia is severely defective and unable to stimulate an effective T-cell response. Cancer Res 63:4497–4450
- 24. Piemonti L, Monti P, Zerbi A, Balzano G, Allavena P, Di Carlo V (2000) Generation and functional characterisation of dendritic cells from patients with pancreatic carcinoma with special regard to clinical applicability. Cancer Immunol Immunother 49:544-550
- 25. Romani N, Reider D, Heuer M, Ebner S, Kampgen E, Eibl B, Niederwieser D, Schuler G (1996) Generation of mature dendritic cells from human blood: an improved method with special regard to clinical applicability. J Immunol Methods 196:137–151
- 26. Slavik JM, Hutchcroft JE, Bierer BE (1999) CD28/CTLA-4 and CD80/CD86 families: signaling and function. Immunol Res 19:1–24
- 27. Steinbrink K, Wolfl M, Jonuleit H, Knop J, Enk AH (1997) Induction of tolerance by IL-10-treated dendritic cells. J Immunol 159:4772–4780
- 28. Thurner B, Roder C, Cieckmann D, Heuer M, Kruse M, Glaser A, Keikavoussi P, Kampgen E, Bender A, Schuler G (1999) Generation of large numbers of fully mature and stable dendritic cells from leukapheresis products for clinical application. J Immunol Methods 223:1–15
- 29. Trefzer U, Walden P (2003) Hybrid-cell vaccines for cancer immune therapy. Mol Biotechnol 25:63–69
- 30. Trefzer U, Weingart G, Chen Y, Herberth G, Adrian K, Winter H, Audring H, Guo Y, Sterry W, Walden P (2000) Hybrid cell vaccination for cancer immune therapy: first clinical trial with metastatic melanoma. Int J Cancer 85:618–626
- 31. Vasilevko V, Ghochikyan A, Holterman MJ, Agadjanyan MG (2002) CD80 (B7-1) and CD86 (B7-2) are functionally equivalent in the initiation and maintenance of CD4+ T-cell proliferation after activation with suboptimal doses of PHA. DNA Cell Biol 21:137–149
- 32. Young MR, Wright MA, Vellody K, Lathers DM (1999) Skewed differentiation of bone marrow CD34+ cells of tumor bearers from dendritic toward monocytic cells, and the redirection of differentiation toward dendritic cells by 1alpha,25 dihydroxyvitamin D3. Int J Immunopharmacol 21:675–688
- 33. Zhou L, Tedder TF (1996) CD14+ blood monocytes can differentiate into functionally mature CD83+ dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:2588–2592