ORIGINAL ARTICLE

METTL16-mediated N6-methyladenosine modification of Soga1 enables proper chromosome segregation and chromosomal stability in colorectal cancer

1 Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Anhui Provincial Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

2 Department of Clinical Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College (Yijishan Hospital of Wannan Medical College), Wuhu, China

³Graduate School, Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, China

4 School of Pharmacy, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

5 Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Heifei, China

Correspondence

Jia-Bei Wang and Hao Wang, Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Anhui Provincial Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China. Email: jbwang16@ustc.edu.cn and [demo@ustc.](mailto:demo@ustc.edu.cn) [edu.cn;](mailto:demo@ustc.edu.cn) wanghao986118@163.com

Funding information

Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province, Grant/Award Number: 2008085MH241; Scientific Research Project of Anhui Provincial Education Department, Grant/Award Number: 2022AH020079

Abstract

N6-methyladenosine (m6A) is the most prevalent internal modification in mammalian messenger RNAs and is associated with numerous biological processes. However, its role in chromosomal instability remains to be established. Here, we report that an RNA m6A methyltransferase, METTL16, plays an indispensable role in the progression of chromosome segregation and is required to preserve chromosome stability in colorectal cancer (CRC) cells. Depletion or inhibition of the methyltransferase activity of METTL16 results in abnormal kinetochore-microtubule attachment during mitosis, leading to delayed mitosis, lagging chromosomes, chromosome mis-segregation and chromosomal instability. Mechanistically, METTL16 exerts its oncogenic effects by enhancing the expression of suppressor of glucose by autophagy 1 (Soga1) in an m6A-dependent manner. CDK1 phosphorylates Soga1, thereby triggering its direct interaction with the polo box domain of PLK1. This interaction facilitates PLK1 activation and promotes mitotic progression. Therefore, targeting the METTL16-Soga1 pathway may provide a potential treatment strategy against CRC because of its essential role in maintaining chromosomal stability.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks as the fourth most common malignant tumour in humans and is the third most common cause of cancerrelated mortality worldwide. 1 In recent years, significant advances in

surgical treatment and adjuvant therapy have improved the prognosis of CRC patients; however, high mortality rates persist because of inadequate early diagnostic methods and a lack of effective interventions. Therefore, a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the development and progression of CRC is important to enable identification of novel diagnostic biomarkers

© 2023 The Authors. Cell Proliferation published by Beijing Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Jimin Li and Fang Yang contributed equally to this study. and therapeutic targets.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Genome instability is a prominent pathogenic feature and one of the earliest molecular events of cancer. 2,3 Chromosome instability (CIN) is the most common form of genome instability and is characterized by high levels of aberrations in chromosome structure and number. 4.5 By continuously generating novel aneuploid genomes, CIN contributes to the heterogeneity of cancer cells, which enables clonal selectivity. A primary cause of CIN is asymmetric segregation of metaphase chromosomes caused by abnormal spindle formation, resulting in anaphase bridging during mitosis, a hallmark feature of $CIN^{2,6,7} CN$ is implicated in poorer survival, metastasis and therapeutic resistance in CRC 4,8 but</sup> the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly understood.

RNA N6-methyladenosine (m6A) is the most prevalent mRNA modification in mammals and plays a pivotal function in the posttranscriptional regulation of messenger RNA (mRNA) splicing. $9,10$ m6A modification is a dynamic and reversible event catalysed by m6A methyltransferases (METTL3, METTL14, WTAP and METTL16) and removed by the demethylases, FTO and $ALKBH5$ ^{11,12} In addition, RNA binding proteins (YTHDF1/2/3, IGF2BP1/2/3, HNRNPC) recognize and bind to m6A motifs to control RNA metabolism.¹³ m6A modification is involved in various physiological and pathological processes, such as stem cell differentiation, DNA damage, circadian rhythms, embryonic development, translation and degradation.¹⁴ Recently, mounting evidence has implicated m6A modulators in the initiation and malignant development of diverse tumour types. $10,15,16$ Nevertheless, the precise role and underlying mechanisms of m6A modification in CRC, particularly in relation to CIN, remain poorly understood.

In this study, we identified METTL16 to be an essential gene for the survival of CRC cells. Specifically, METTL16 is indispensable for the proper progression of mitosis and maintains chromosome stability by fine-tuning the expression of its crucial target, Soga1, in an m6a-dependent post-transcriptional manner. Our findings indicate that METTL16 has potential as a novel predictive indicator and a new therapeutic target in CRC.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture and treatments

The HCT116, SW620, HEK293T and HeLa were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). HCT116 was cultured in McCoys, 5A (Hyclone), and HEK293T, SW620 and HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (Gibco). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. The cells were synchronized by double-thymidine block to obtain an enriched population of M phase cells or in prometaphase with 0.33 μM nocodazole (MedChemExpress). Mitotic cells were further enriched by 'shake-off'.

2.2 | Plasmid construction and transfection

Full-length METTL16, METTL16-PP185/186AA and Soga1 were cloned into the pcDNA3.1-FLAG plasmids or PLVX-CMV-puro lentiviral plasmids. All constructs used in this study were verified by DNA sequencing. For transfection, siRNA or plasmids were transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the sequences of indicated siRNA/shRNA are shown in Table [S1.](#page-16-0)

2.3 | Lentivirus packaging and infection

For virus packaging and lentiviral infection, lentiviruses were produced in HEK293T cells by co-transfection with pMD2G and pSPAX2. Forty-eight hours after transfection, infectious lentiviruses were collected and were used for the transduction of indicated cells in the presence of polybrene (Sigma).

2.4 | Co-immunoprecipitation and Western blotting

Cells were lysed using lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40 and cocktail protease inhibitors). The cell lysate was incubated with indicated antibodies at 4° C overnight, followed by the addition of protein G-coated agarose beads (Invitrogen). After incubation at 4° C for 4 h, beads were washed three times with the lysis buffer. The precipitated components were eluted by boiling the beads in Sodium dodecyl sulfate loading buffer. Western blotting was performed as previously described. Primary antibodies were listed as: METTL16 (Sigama, HPA020352), METTL16 (abconal, A15894), Soga1 (Santa, sc-514,885), GAPDH (abconal, AC001), anti-p-Ser/Thr (BD Biosciences, 612,549), PLK1 (abcam, ab17057), PLK1-pT210 (abcam, ab155095), Flag (CST, 14793), Flag (Sigma, F3165), HA (abconal, AE008), HA (abconal, AE036).

2.5 | Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and RNA stability assay

Total RNA of cells was extracted by TRIzol Regent (Invitrogen) according to the previous protocols followed by cDNA synthesis with PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (RR036A, TAKARA). mRNA expression levels were measured by TB Green[®] Premix Ex Taq™ II Kit (RR820A, TAKAR) on Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus. Relative RNA amount of each group was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with normalization by GAPDH.

For RNA stability assay, cells were seeded in six-well plates overnight, RNA decay rate was measured using actinomycin D (HY-17559, MedChemExpress) at 5 μg/mL and cells were harvested after incubation at indicated time. The primers used in this study are presented in Table [S2](#page-16-0).

2.6 | Methylated RNA immunoprecipiation sequencing (MeRIP-sequencing)

Total RNA was extracted from SW620 cells transfected with shMETTL16-2 or shNC using TRIZOL reagent, followed by poly (A)

 $+$ RNA purification and fragmentation using the NEBNext poly (A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Kit (New England Biolabs, UK). MeRIP-sequencing and data analysis were supported by Genesky Biotechnologies Inc (Shanghai, China). Qualified samples underwent Library Pooling and Sequencing using Illumina HiSeq 2500 machines. Following quality filter, the raw sequence data were mapped to human genome GRCh37/hg19 utilizing the HISAT2 software (v2.0.5) and the results were subjected to analysed bioinformatically and statistically.

2.7 | RNA immunoprecipitation

Cell lysates were rotary incubated with 1 μg specific antibodies against IgG, or IGF2BP1 at 4° C overnight to precipitate m6Aconjugated RNAs in Reaction Buffer, then 20 μL washed magnetic beads was added to each reaction and incubated at 4° C for 2 h. After washed three times with Phosphate buffered saline(PBS), the target RNAs in the immunoprecipitation complex were purified for further analysis by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (aRT-PCR). The relative enrichment of RNA was normalized to the input.

2.8 | RNA pull-down assay

An amount of 1×10^7 cells were lysed, and the lysates were rotary incubated with 3 μg biotin-labelled probe mixed with cocktail and ribonuclease inhibitor at 4° C overnight. Then, 20 μ L of pre-cleared streptavidin magnetic beads (88816, ThermoFisher Scientific) was added to the cell lysates to precipitate the RNA–protein complex. After elution from the beads with lysis buffer for three times, the co-precipitated proteins were boiled with loading buffer for 10 min for further analysis by western blotting. The biotin probe sequence was listed as follows: AGCAGGAAGTTGTGCTTGAATTGCT, negative control: AGCAATTCAAGCACAACTTCCTGCT.

2.9 | Immunohistochemistry

The CRC and adjacent normal tissue were subjected to immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining according to previous protocol. Briefly, following deparaffinization, rehydration and antigen retrieval, sections were conjugated with primary antibodies at 4° C overnight. After incubation with secondary and development of diaminobenzidine, the mean density of target proteins were analysed using ImageJ.

2.10 | Immunofluorescence and live-cell imaging

Cells were fixed with $1 \times$ PBS buffer containing 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. After blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (in $1 \times$ PBS) for 1 h, the cells incubation with primary antibodies overnight at 4° C. After washing with PBS, the second antibody was added, and the slides were kept at room temperature for 2 h. Cells were washed with $1 \times$ PBS three times and then incubated with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at room temperature for 3 min. After washing with $1 \times$ PBS three times, the glass placed with cells was put upside-down on a glass slide and sealed with ProLong TM Gold antifade reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) prior to microscopy observation after drying. Images were captured with a fluorescence microscope (Leika, SP8). Primary antibodies were listed as: human anti-centromere antisera (ACA) (Antibodies Inc., 15–234-0001), phospho-Aurora B (Rockland, 600–401-677), Phospho-Histone H3S10 (CST, 9706), Phospho-CENP-A-S7 (CST, 2187), INCENP (Santa, sc-376,514), survivin (Santa, sc-17,779), Tubulin (Proteintech, 66,031-1-Ig). Time-lapse live cell imaging was carried out with the HeLa cells expressing H2B-GFP. Cells were plated in glass-bottom dishes and were observed on humidified environment (37°C and 5% CO2). Images were recorded every 3 min.

2.11 | Chromosome spread and karyotype analysis

HCT116 cells were treated with 100 ng/mL colcemid for 3–4 h. Cells were collected and hypotonically swollen in pre-warmed 75 mM KCl for 15 min. Cells were fixed in freshly made fixative solution (methanol: acetic acid 3:1) with 3–5 times changes of the fixative. Cells were dropped onto ice-cold glass slides and air dried and were stained with 5% Giemsa for 5 min, gently rinsed with running water, air dried and mounted.

2.12 | Colony formation assay and CCK-8 assay

For colony formation assay, cells (1000) were seeded in triplicate (6-well plates) per condition and allowed to adhere for a minimum of 16 h. After 10–14 days, plates were fixed and stained with Crystal Violet and counted. In the cell proliferation assay, 5×10^3 cells/well were seeded into 96-well plates. After the cells adhered, 10 μL of CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo) was added to each well on 24, 48 and 72 h, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm.

2.13 | GST pull-down assay

Glutathione S-transferase (GST) or GST-fusion proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli induced with Isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation and incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare). HCT116 cells were lysed using lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40 and cocktail protease inhibitors), then incubated with GST fusion proteins immobilized to glutathione Sepharose 4B beads for 8 h. The beads were washed 3–5 times with the same lysis buffer and

subjected to analysis by immunoblotting or Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining.

2.14 | Luciferase reporter assay

Cells were transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After overnight incubation, cells were further transfected with indicated reporter vectors using GeneTwin[™]. Then after 24 h of incubation, the luciferase activity was determined with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Vazyme) according to the supplier's instructions. The relative luciferase activity was calculated by dividing Fluc by Rluc and normalized to individual control for each assay.

2.15 | Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean \pm SE of at least three independent experiments. The results were tested for significance using the unpaired Student's t-test (**p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 were considered significant).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | METTL16 promotes CRC cell survival and proliferation

To explore novel genes essential for CRC in an unbiased manner, we analysed two large-scale genome-wide clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)-associated protein 9 knockout screening datasets. $17,18$ Among all METTL members, METTL16 was the most critical for CRC cell survival (Figure $1A,B$). Furthermore, among the major m6A regulators, METTL16 was critical in CRC cell survival (Figures [1C](#page-3-0) and [S1A\)](#page-16-0). Analysis of Gene Expression Omnibus and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) databases showed that METTL16 mRNA levels were aberrantly overexpressed in CRC

<u>LI ET AL. SOM THE VIDEO CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL SOME CONT</u>

samples compared with normal samples (Figure [1D,E\)](#page-3-0). Furthermore, TCGA analysis revealed a strong correlation between METTL16 levels and clinicopathological variables in CRC. Specifically, increased METTL16 expression was associated with recurrence, advanced clinical stage and lymph node metastases (Figure [1F](#page-3-0)–H). Elevated expression of METTL16 is significantly related to unfavourable prognosis in CRC patients (Figure [1I](#page-3-0)). In addition, immunohistochemical staining of CRC and adjacent tissues further confirmed the elevated level of METTL16 in CRC tissues (Figure [1J,K\)](#page-3-0).

To explore the contribution of METTL16 to colorectal carcinogenesis, we utilized short-hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown to silence METTL16 expression in HCT116 (CRC cell line), SW620 (CRC cell line) and HeLa cells (cervical cancer cell line) (Figure [S1B\)](#page-16-0). METTL16 knockdown markedly impaired cell growth and colony formation in all three cell lines (Figure [1L,M](#page-3-0)). Furthermore, re-expression of wild-type METTL16 restored cell growth in METTL16-knockdown cells while enzyme-inactivated METTL16 (PP185/186AA), 19 19 19 did not have this effect (Figure [1N](#page-3-0)). Collectively, our findings indicate that the upregulation of METTL16 expression promotes progression of CRC.

3.2 | METTL16 contributes to timely progression of mitosis

To uncover the mechanism of METTL16 action in CRC, we performed gene set enrichment analysis in a cohort of CRC patients (GDC-TCGA_COAD+READ) to predict the significant signalling pathways connected to METTL16. Notably, our findings revealed a positive relationship between the expression of METTL16 and cell cycle regulation, chromosome segregation and the mitotic cell cycle phase transition gene sets (Figure [2A\)](#page-5-0). These findings led us to investigate the function of METTL16 in mitosis. To this end, we knocked down METTL16 using siRNA in HeLa cells expressing GFP-tagged histone H2B (widely used to visualize the dynamics of chromosomal architecture in living cells) and monitored the mitotic process using a living cell microscopy sys-tem. As shown in Figures [2B](#page-5-0) and [S1B](#page-16-0), control cells completed mitosis

FIGURE 1 METTL16 is required for CRC cell survival and proliferation. (A, C) The CERES scores of METTL family members and m6A regulators were obtained from CRISPR-associated protein 9 knockout screening datasets across 56 human CRC cell lines, respectively. The raw data were obtained from DepMap (<https://depmap.org/portal/>). CERES scores 0 and -1 represent the median effect of non-essential genes and common core essential genes, respectively. A lower CERES score indicates a higher dependency of the specific gene on cancer progression. (B) Another set of CRISPR-associated protein 9 knockout screening datasets were analysed across 31 human colorectal cancer cell lines. The raw data were obtained from <https://score.depmap.sanger.ac.uk/>. MYC was identified as the positive control, being acknowledged as a promising therapeutic target for cancer. For each gene, the number of essential functions and total CRC cell lines were displayed. For instance, METTL16 (31/23) indicates that knockout of METTL16 displays an essential function in 23 out of 31 cell lines. (D) METTL16 expression in the GSE8671 CRC database. (E) The mRNA level of METTL16 in normal tissues and CRC tissues downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database ([http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/\)](http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/). (F–H) Association of METTL16 mRNA expression with recurrence (F), tumour stages (G) and lymph node metastasis (H) in CRC patients in TCGA database ([http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/\)](http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/). (I) Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated to depict the overall survival based on METTL16 expression in GSE106584. (J–K) Representative images of METTL16 IHC staining in CRC tissues and adjacent tissues. The brown colour indicates positive staining for METTL16. MOD of METTL16 staining in CRC tissues and adjacent tissues. Scale bar: 50 μm. (L–M) Effect of METTL16 knock down on the proliferation in HCT116, SW620 and Hela cells (L, detected by CCK-8 assay; M, detected by colony formation). (N) Rescued effects of wild-type METTL16 and its enzymatically inactive mutants on cell proliferation of HCT116, HeLa and SW620 cells following METTL16 deletion. Error bars in this figure represent SD. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, two-tailed t-test. CRC, colorectal cancer; CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat; IHC, immunohistochemistry; m6A, N6-methyladenosine.

FIGURE 2 METTL16 is required for timely progression of mitosis. (A) GSEA on METTL16 CRC cancer gene signatures derived from GDC-TCGA-COAD plus GDC-TCGA-READ RNA-seq data sets. Shown are enrichments of cell cycle, chromosome segregation and mitotic cell cycle phase transition gene sets. (B) Plot showing the average time of mitotic duration from NEB to anaphase for indicated cells. (C, D) Effect of METTL16 knock down on the cell cycle were analysed by flow cytometry. The percentage of cells with 2N and 4N is shown. (E) HCT116 cells expressing METTL16 or control shRNA were synchronized by double-thymidine blocking treatment. Subsequently, cells released for the indicated time were analysed by FACS. (F, G) Immunofluorescence visualization of mitotic cells. NC and METTL16 deletion HeLa and HCT116 cells were stained for pH3S10 (green) and DAPI (blue). Error bars in this figure represent SD. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, two-tailed t-test. CRC, colorectal cancer; NEB, nuclear envelope breakdown; NES, normalized enrichment score; p-value, nominal p-value; q-value, false discovery rate q-value.

FIGURE 3 METTL16 promotes Aurora B kinase activity and attachment error correction. (A–D) Representative mitotic phenotypes in HeLa cells expressing GFP-tagged histone H2B transfected shMETTL16 and control shRNA. (arrowheads indicate lagging chromosomes, chromosome bridges and chromosome misalignments). (E, F) Fluorescence intensity and quantification of Aurora B-pT232 and Aurora B at centromeres in prometaphase HeLa cells transfecting the control or METTL16 siRNA. Mitotic cells were obtained after nocodazole treatment for 5 h. (G) Representative images of pS7-CENP-A in mitotic cells transfecting the control or METTL16 siRNA. Mitotic cells were obtained after nocodazole treatment for 5 h. (H, I) HeLa cells transfecting control siRNA or METTL16 siRNA were synchronized to mitosis by thymidine release. The mitotic cells were incubated with monastrol (50 μ M) for 1.5 h to generate erroneous attachments, and cells were then released into fresh media with or without MG132 for another 1 h before fixation. Errors in chromosome segregation were quantified from anaphase cells. (J) Quantification of indicated phenotypes of METTL16-depleted cells rescued by METTL16-WT or METTL16-PP185/186AA. Error bars in this figure represent SD. $^*p \le 0.05$, $^{**}p \le 0.01$, two-tailed t-test.

within \sim 50 min, whereas METTL16 knockdown resulted in a prolonged mitotic phase from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB) to anaphase, which typically lasted \sim 70 min. As a positive control, the Aurora B knockdown group significantly extended the duration of the mitotic phase to \sim 120 min.

Consistently, knockdown METTL16 led to an increase in the proportion of cells in G2/M phase as revealed by Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (Figure [2C,D](#page-5-0)). FACS analysis also revealed mitotic delays during synchronized cell mitosis after release of the thymidine double block (Figure $2E$). These findings indicate that knockdown METTL16 prolongs M phase duration. Consistently, we observed an accumulation of pH3S10-positive cells following METTL16 knockdown (Figure [2F,G](#page-5-0)). Collectively, these findings indicate that METTL16 knockdown results in cell accumulation at the G2/M phase.

3.3 | METTL16 promotes accurate chromosome segregation by regulating Aurora B activation

Monastrol Washout

 s iM₁₆

To further investigate the function of METTL16 in the mitotic process, we knocked down endogenous METTL16 in GFP-H2B-HeLa cells and monitored the subsequent changes in mitosis by time-lapse microscopy. Whereas control cells proceeded normally through mitosis, METTL16-deficient cells displayed considerably more chromosome segregation aberrations, such as lagging chromosomes, misalignment and chromosome bridges (Figure 3A–D).

Aurora B kinase plays a critical role in the correction of erroneous kinetochore-microtubule attachments, which is the major contributor to lagging chromosomes^{[20,21](#page-15-0)}; therefore, we questioned whether the function of METTL16 in promoting accurate chromosome segregation was mediated via Aurora B signalling. To achieve this, we

knockdown Aurora B through siRNA treatment. While Aurora B knockdown resulted in a more pronounced phenotype compared to METTL16 knockdown, the combined knockdown of Aurora B and METTL16 did not exhibit an additive effect (Figure [S2A,B\)](#page-16-0). This suggests the presence of a functional relationship between these two proteins. Next, we explore whether METTL16 impacts the localization or the activity of Aurora B at centromeres. Knockdown of METTL16 barely affected the centromeric location of Aurora B in prometaphase cells following nocodazole treatment (Figure $3E$). However, the phosphorylation level of Aurora B Thr232, which is an autophosphorylation site critical for full Aurora B activity, 22 was markedly decreased in METTL16-deficient cells (Figure [3F](#page-6-0)).

Aurora B is a constituent of the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC), which consists of inner centromere protein (INCENP), Survivin and Borealin. $21,23-25$ $21,23-25$ The location and activation of Aurora B depend on CPC assembly and multiple phosphorylation events involving CPC components. $26-29$ Immunostaining showed that METTL16 depletion did not affect the centromeric location of INCENP or Survivin (Figure [S2C](#page-16-0)–E). These findings indicate that METTL16 deficiency primarily affects Aurora B activation rather than its location at centromeres. Consequently, knockdown of METTL16 led to a reduction in Aurora B-mediated phosphorylation of CENP-A at Ser7 (Figure [3G](#page-6-0)). To test whether the reduction in Aurora B activation at centromeres in METTL16-deficient cells affects its capacity in correcting erroneous microtubule-kinetochore attachments, we performed a monastrol washout assay. $30,31$ In this assay, cells are arrested in monastrol to form erroneous attachments. After being released from monastrol, bipolar spindles are formed and the aberrant attachments are corrected in an Aurora B kinase-driven manner. Eventually, chromosomes align at the metaphase plate and segregate in anaphase (Figure $3H$). The proportion of cells with completely aligned or misaligned chromosomes was determined as an indicator of error correction. One hour after release into media containing MG132 (proteasome inhibitors induce mitotic cells to arrest at metaphase), \sim 80% of control cells achieved a fully aligned metaphase plate with no bi-orientation defects, compared with \sim 55% for METTL16 knockdown cells (Figure [3I](#page-6-0)). These results indicate that METTL16 is involved in the correction of kinetochore-microtube attachment errors. Consistently, we

LI ET AL. 9 of 17

observed increased numbers of lagging chromosomes in the METTL16 knockdown cells as the cells entered anaphase following monastrol release (Figure [S2F\)](#page-16-0). Importantly, the defects observed in METTL16 knockdown cells were effectively rescued by re-expression of wild-type METTL16, but not of the enzymatically inactive mutant (PP185/186AA) (Figure [3J](#page-6-0)). We therefore concluded that METTL16 methyltransferase activity promotes accurate chromosome segregation, most likely by regulating Aurora B kinase activation.

3.4 | Soga1 is an m6A-dependent target of METTL16

To determine the authentic targets that mediate the action of METTL16 in chromosome segregation, we performed MeRIP-seq and RNA-sequencing assays. MeRIP-seq analysis revealed differential methylation at 18,812 sites, with the majority (17,211 sites) showing hypomethylation under METTL16 deficiency, consistent with its function as an m6A methyltransferase. 19 Furthermore, RNA sequence data indicated that METTL16 deficiency caused 164 genes to be up-regulated and 84 genes to be down-regulated. The top 20 altered genes are presented in Figure [4A](#page-7-0). Four differentially expressed genes and peaks overlapped (Figure $4B$). Of these seven genes, Soga1 was chosen for further functional analysis as it has been suggested to be essential for precise chromosomal segregation.³²

Subsequent verification revealed that METTL16 knockdown resulted in reduced Soga1 mRNA levels, whereas METTL16 over-expression increased Soga1 mRNA levels in CRC cells (Figure [4C,D\)](#page-7-0). As expected, METTL16 also regulated Soga1 protein levels (Figure [4E\)](#page-7-0). Fancm, Brca2, U6 snRNA and MAT2A mRNA contains METTL16-target consensus sequences 5'-ACAGAR-3' that are situated in structured RNA sequences. $33-35$ $33-35$ Therefore, we sought to determine if transcripts of Soga1 also exhibit similar structured motifs. As shown in Figure [4F,](#page-7-0) we identified one such motif within Soga1 in coding sequences. To determine the effect of METTL16-dependent m6A regulation on Soga1 expression, we constructed a luciferase reporter gene with an integrated coding sequence containing the WT or mutated m6A sites (Figure $4G$). Luciferase assays showed that

FIGURE 4 Soga1 is identified as the direct target of METTL16. (A) Heatmap showing the expression profile of differentially methylated genes after METTL16 knockdown. (B) Seven candidate target genes of METTL16 came from the intersection of RNA-sequencing and MeRIPsequencing. (C, D) Soga1 mRNA expression in HCT116 cells with METTL16 knockdown or overexpression were detected by qRT-PCR. (E) The Soga1 protein expression in HCT116 cells with METTL16 knockdown and with METTL16 overexpression were detected by western blotting. (F) Predicted secondary structures surrounding m6 peaks in Soga1 mRNA. The 5′-ACAGAR-3′ boxes were shown in red and the m6A modification sites were shown in blue. (G, H) pmirGLO reporter vector encoding firefly and renilla luciferase were used. Indicated wild-type (WT) and mutant (Mut) sequences were inserted at the 3' end of the firefly luciferase. (H) Luciferase reporter activity of indicated reporters under METTL16 knockdown in 293T cells. Renilla luciferase activity was used as an internal control. (I) Immunoblotting of IGF2BP1/2/3, YTHDC1, YTHDF1/2/3 after RNA pull down assay with cell lysate (input), biotinylated-Soga1 (case probe), and beads only (ctrl probe). (J–N) Soga1 protein or mRNA expression in HCT116 cells transfected with siRNAs of IGF2BP1/2/3 were examined by western blotting and qPCR, respectively. (O) RIP-qPCR displayed the relative enrichment of Soga1 mRNA in each group precipitated with lgG or IGF2BP1 antibody with the normalization to input. IP efficiency of IGF2BP1 was validated using western blotting. β-actin was used as protein control. (P) The stability changes of Soga1 mRNAs in HCT116 cells upon IGF2BP1 knockdown. (Q, R) Correlation between the mRNA expression of METTL16, Soga1 and IGF2BP1. Error bars in this figure represent SD. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, two-tailed t-test. m6A, N6-methyladenosine; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; RIP, RNA immunoprecipitation.

METTL16 knockdown largely decreased the activity of luciferase with WT, but not mutated, Soga1 (Figure [4H\)](#page-7-0). In summary, these findings indicate that METTL16 downregulation decreases the m6A level of Soga1 mRNA, thereby reducing Soga1 expression.

The IGF2BP proteins, YTHDC1 and YTHDF1, are capable of sensing m6A modifications and stabilizing the corresponding mRNAs $36-38$; therefore, we asked which m6A reader mediates Soga1 methylation. To this end, we performed an RNA pulled-down assay to capture Soga1-interacting readers. As shown in Figure [4I,](#page-7-0) Soga1 mRNA preferentially bound to IGF2BP1/2/3. Notably, IGF2BP1 knockdown, but not IGF2BP2/3 knockdown, significantly reduced Soga1 mRNA and protein levels in CRC cells (Figure [4J](#page-7-0)–N). Moreover, the results of the RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay further confirmed that IGF2BP1 directly binds to Soga1 mRNA (Figure [4O](#page-7-0)). As expect, we observed that the binding of IGF2BP1 to Soga1 decreased following METTL16 knockdown (Figure [S3A](#page-16-0) and [S3B](#page-16-0)). As expected, RNA stability assays showed that IGF2BP1 knockdown significantly decreased the stability of Soga1 mRNA and downregulated its abundance (Figure [4P](#page-7-0)). Interesting, we found that knockdown of METTL16 alone and concurrent knockdown of METTL16 and IGF2BP1 impair Soga1 transcript levels to similar extents, indicating that METTL16 and IGF2BP1 function in a common process in regulating Soga1 transcript levels (Figure [S3C\)](#page-16-0).

The TCGA and Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) databases showed that IGF2BP1 expression was markedly increased in CRC samples compared with normal samples (Figures [S3D,E\)](#page-16-0). Furthermore, increased IGF2BP1 expression was significantly correlated with clinical stage and lymph node metastasis (Figures [S3F,G\)](#page-16-0). Further analysis revealed a positive correlation between METTL16 and IGF2BP1 mRNA levels with Soga1 mRNA in CRC (Figure $4Q$,R). Collectively, our results indicate that METTL16 deposits the m6A modifications in Soga1 transcripts, which are recognized by IGF2BP1 to stabilize the targeted mRNA in CRC.

3.5 | The METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis ensure accurate mitosis

Soga1 can promote faithful chromosome segregation in human cells.^{[32](#page-15-0)} Therefore, we sought to examine the function of the METTL16/m6A/ Soga1 axis in promoting accurate chromosome segregation.

Soga1 was knocked-down first (Figure [S4A\)](#page-16-0), and subsequent analysis revealed a marked elevation in mitotic errors in Soga1-knockdown cells, including an approximately sevenfold increase in the frequency

of anaphase lagging chromosomes, an approximately fivefold increase in chromosome misalignment, and an approximately threefold increase in the occurrence of chromosome bridges (Figure [5A](#page-9-0)–D). The defects in the Soga1 knockdown cells were largely rescued by re-expressing an wild-type Soga1 (Figure [S4B\)](#page-16-0). Notably, we found that the abundance of pT232-Aurora B in Soga1 knockdown cells was reduced to 57% of that in control cells, whereas the level of Aurora B remained unchanged (Figures [5E](#page-9-0)–G and [S4C](#page-16-0)–E). Consequently, Aurora B-dependent centromeric CENP-A phosphorylation at Ser7 (pS7-CENP-A) was also decreased in Soga1 siRNA cells (Figure [5H\)](#page-9-0). This is in line with the phenotypes observed in METTL16 knockdown cells. In addition, the expression of Soga1 mRNA was markedly increased in CRC samples compared with normal samples. Furthermore, a correlation was found between increased Soga1 expression and clinical stage as well as lymph node metastasis (Figure [5I](#page-9-0)-K).

Next, we aimed to investigate the essential role of Soga1 in the induction of mitotic aberration phenotypes caused by METTL16 knockdown. To achieve this goal, we knockdown Soga1 alone or simultaneously knockdown both Soga1 and METTL16 using siRNA. As shown in Figure [5L,](#page-9-0) knockdown of METTL16 and Soga1 in combination did not have an additive impact on Aurora B activation (Figure [5L,M\)](#page-9-0), indicating that these two proteins function through a shared pathway to maintain accurate chromosome segregation. Consistently, knockdown of Soga1 alone or concomitant knockdown of Soga1 and METTL16 resulted in similarly severe mitotic aberration phenotypes (Figure [5N](#page-9-0)). Furthermore, overexpression of Soga1 can partly reversed METTL16 mediated-mitotic aberration (Figure [S4F\)](#page-16-0). These results indicate that the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis is critical for promoting accurate chromosome segregation in CRC.

3.6 | Role of Soga1 phosphorylation by CDK1 in Aurora B Activation

We further investigated the underlying mechanisms involved in Soga1-mediated regulation of Aurora B activity. CDK1 is a kinase that regulates Soga1 during mitosis. 32 Consistent with this, more Soga1 was phosphorylated at p-serine/threonine (p-S/T) in nocodazolearrested cells than in asynchronized cells. Treatment with the CDK1 inhibitors, RO-3306 or roscovitine, prevented this phosphorylation, indicating the specificity of CDK1-dependent phosphorylation (Figure [6A\)](#page-11-0). A phospho-proteomics study has shown Soga1 to be phosphorylated at multiple serine and threonine residues during

FIGURE 5 The METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis promote chromosome segregation. (A–D) Quantification of indicated phenotypes of Soga1-knockdown HeLa cells. (E–G) Fluorescence intensity and quantification of Aurora B-pT232 and Aurora B at centromeres in prometaphase HeLa cells transfecting the control or Soga1 siRNA. Mitotic cells were obtained after nocodazole treatment for 5 h. (H) Representative images of pS7-CENP-A in mitotic cells transfecting the control or Soga1 siRNA. Mitotic cells were obtained after nocodazole treatment for 5 h. (I) The levels of Soga1 expression were analysed in CRC and normal tissues using TCGA data [\(http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/](http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/)). (J, K) Association of Soga1 mRNA expression with tumour stages and lymph node metastasis in CRC patients in TCGA database [\(http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/](http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/)). (L, M) Immunofluorescence assay was conducted to measure Aurora B-pT232 of siSoga1 cells transfected with METTL16 siRNA. (N) Percentage of anaphases from indicated mitotic cells that display lagging chromosomes. Error bars in this figure represent SD. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, *** $p \le 0.001$, two-tailed t-test. CRC, colorectal cancer; m6A, N6-methyladenosine.

FIGURE 6 Soga1-PLK1 axis is required for Aurora B kinase activity. (A) Immunoprecipitate for Soga1 proteins in HCT116 cells with indicated modifications or treatments. (B) HEK293T cells were transfected with a Flag-Soga1 together with HA-PLK1. Cell lysates were used for immunoprecipitation with Flag or HA antibodies. (C) Cell lysates from HCT116 or HeLa cells were used for immunoprecipitation with PLK1 or control IgG antibodies followed by immunoblotting. (D) Schematic description of full length PLK1 and PBD mutant. (E) Asynchronous or nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells were subjected to pull-downs using GST-PBD (WT or mutant) and controls, followed by immunoblotting with Soga1 antibodies and CBB staining. (F) Lysate of asynchronous or nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells was subjected to lambda protein phosphatase treatment and GST-PBD pull-downs, followed by immunoblotting with Soga1 antibodies and CBB staining. (G) Nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells treated with roscovitine were subjected to GST-PBD pull-downs, followed by immunoblotting and CBB staining. (H) Unperturbed and nocodazole-arrested cells, pre-treated with or without the indicated drugs, were lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation followed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies. (I) HCT116 and HeLa cells transfected with the control or Soga1 siRNA. The resulting mitotic cell lysates were subjected to immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. (J) The HCT116 cell lysates were obtained by transfecting control or Soga1 siRNA, and treating control siRNA cells with BI2536, a PLK1 inhibitor, followed by nocodazole treatment for 16 h. The resulting mitotic cell lysates were subjected to immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. CBB, Coomassie Brilliant Blue; Longer, long exposure; Short, short exposure.

mitosis.^{[39](#page-15-0)} Interestingly, some of the Soga1 phosphorylation sites are well matched to S[pS/pT]X, the predicted binding site for the polo box domain (PBD) of PLK1. $40-42$ To investigate the potential

association between Soga1 and PLK1, we first conducted a co-immunoprecipitation assay in HEK293T cells transfected with HA-PLK1 and Flag-Soga1. We observed a significant association

 $-WILEY^{\frac{13 of 17}{2}}$ Proliferation

between Soga1 and PLK1 (Figure $6B$). In addition, we observed a reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous Soga1 and PLK1 in both HCT116 and HeLa cells (Figure [6C\)](#page-11-0).

We then performed GST pull-down assays and observed that the GST-fused PBD exhibited significantly enhanced binding affinity for Soga1 in mitotic cell lysates compared with asynchronous cell lysates (Figure [6D,E\)](#page-11-0). Given the critical role of His538 and Lys540 residues within PLK1-PBD for phosphopeptide recognition, we generated double mutations (H538A and K540M) in PLK1-PBD to abolish its phosphopeptide-binding activity. 42 Interaction studies showed that PLK1-PBD-H538A/K540M prevented GST-PBD from binding to Soga1 (Figure [6D,E](#page-11-0)), indicating that the interaction between PLK1 and Soga1 relies on phosphorylation. Indeed, lambda-phosphatase pretreatment impaired the pulldown of Soga1 by GST-PBD in mitotic cell lysate (Figure [6F](#page-11-0)). The PBD domain of PLK1 typically binds to phosphopeptides that are driven by CDK1. Notably, the ability of Soga1 from roscovitine-treated mitotic cells to bind GST-PBD was significantly impaired (Figure $6G$), indicating that CDK1-dependent phosphorylation is important for the association of Soga1 with PLK1. Co-immunoprecipitation assays were then performed to further verify this association. As shown in Figure [6H](#page-11-0), the association between Soga1 and PLK1 was increased in nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells, whereas this interaction was attenuated upon treatment with RO-3306 or roscovitine. Notably, following the mutation of all 12 serine phosphorylation sites and 1 threonine site that were previously identified through mass spectrometry as sites of phosphorylation during mitosis in Soga1, changing them to alanine, we observed a reduction in its binding affinity with PLK1 in comparison to the wild-type (Figure [S5A\)](#page-16-0).

The interaction between Soga1 and PLK1 led us to investigate the possible role of Soga1 in PLK1 activation. Upon knockdown of Soga1 using two different siRNAs, we observed a reduction in pT210-PLK1 in nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells (Figure [6I\)](#page-11-0). PLK1 kinase is important for Aurora B kinase activity $43-45$ $43-45$ and, consistent with this, treatment with a PLK1 inhibitor (BI2536) resulted in a signif-icant reduction in pT232-Aurora B (Figure [6J](#page-11-0)). Importantly, although PLK1 inhibitor caused a more severe phenotype than did Soga1 knockdown, there was no additive effect when PLK1 and Soga1 were suppressed in combination (Figure [S5B](#page-16-0)), revealing that Soga1 knockdown leads to a reduction in Aurora B activity may primarily through PLK1 regulation. Furthermore, transfection of Soga1 siRNA resulted in a reduction of pT232-Aurora B, which was rescued by transfection

of Soga1-WT but not Soga1-13A (Figure [S5C](#page-16-0)). Consistently, transfection of Soga1-WT but not Soga1-13A into Soga1 siRNA-transfected cells could compromise the mitosis aberration of Soga1 knockdown (Figure [S4B\)](#page-16-0). Taken together, these results support the conclusion that the CDK1-dependent phosphorylation of SOGA1 is important for regulation of Aurora B.

3.7 | The METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis is required for chromosomal stability

Chromosomal segregation defects inevitably result in aneuploidy, which can be associated with the onset and progression of tumours.^{4,46} We first examined the chromosome number in chromosomally stable HCT116 cell lines. The control cells exhibited a relatively consistent karyotype $(\sim 72\%$ normal karyotype with 45 chromosomes), while the level of aneuploidy was found to be high in METTL16-depleted cells (\sim 35%) (Figure [7A\)](#page-12-0). Notably, this was partially rescued by re-expression of wild-type METTL16 but not by kinase-impaired METTL16 (PP185/186AA) (Figure [7A](#page-12-0)).

We next tested the effect of Soga1 on METTL16-mediated chromosomal stability. Knockdown of Soga1 in HCT116 cells caused a marked increase of aneuploidy (Figure [7B](#page-12-0)). In Soga1-deficient CRC cells, METTL16-deficient cells were incapable of further elevating the level of aneuploidy (Figure [7B\)](#page-12-0), indicating that METTL16 promotes chromosomal stability mainly via Soga1. Detailed karyotype and Fish analyses con-firmed the aneuploidy in shMETTL16 and shSoga1 cells (Figure [7C](#page-12-0)-E).

Micronuclei frequency is commonly used to evaluate chromosomal instability.⁴⁷ Cells lacking METTL16 showed a marked elevation in micronuclei frequency relative to controls (Figure [7F](#page-12-0)). In addition, we observed an increase in giant multiple nuclear cells upon knock-down of METTL16 or Soga1 in HeLa and HCT116 cells (Figure [7G\)](#page-12-0). TCGA data analysis indicates that the expression of METTL16 and Soga1 is positively correlated with ploidy (Figure [7H\)](#page-12-0). Collectively, our results demonstrate that the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis is required for chromosome stability.

4 | DISCUSSION

The correlation between CIN and survival has been established in CRC and in several other types of cancer.^{[4,48](#page-14-0)-50} An in-depth

FIGURE 7 Disruption of the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis induces chromosomal instability. (A) A set of shRNA-resistant rescue forms of METTL16 plasmids (WT or PP185/186AA) were stable transfected into HCT116-shMETTL16 cells. Chromosome numbers from individual metaphase spreads were counted. (B) The downregulation with Soga1 shRNA and/or METTL16 shRNA induced aneuploidy. Chromosome numbers from individual metaphase spreads were counted. (C, D) The karyotype of HCT116 with reduced METTL16 and/or Soga1 expression was analysed. (E) Cells with reduced METTL16 and/or Soga1 expression, and analysed using Chromosome 7 alpha satellite FISH probes (green). Representative images of aneuploid cells (left) and quantification of aneuploid cells (right) are shown. (F) Percentage of micronuclei cells expressing control or METTL16 shRNA. Micronucleus stained for centromeres (ACA) and DNA. (G) HeLa cells and HCT116 cells were transfected with METTL16 siRNA or control siRNA. Immunofluorescence staining was performed with anti-tubulin and DAPI. (H) Correlation between METTL16 expression levels and ploidy. (I) A working model showing the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 in promoting proper chromosome segregation and proliferation. $^*p \le 0.05$, $^{**}p \le 0.01$, two-tailed t-test. m6A, N6-methyladenosine.

LI ET AL. 15 of 17

comprehension of the molecular mechanisms underlying CIN is therefore crucial for the identification of novel treatment approaches. Here, we show that METTL16 is a key regulator of proliferation and mitotic processes in CRC. Mechanistically, METTL16 catalyses the addition of m6A to Soga1 mRNA transcripts, leading to stabilization and increased levels of Soga1 mRNA through IGF2BP1-mediated mechanisms. CDK1-mediated phosphorylation of Soga1 generates a PLK1 docking site that promotes PLK1 activation. This further promotes the activation of Aurora B kinase, which mediates erroneous kinetochoremicrotubule attachments. These results indicate that METTL16 promotes CRC progression by activating the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 axis (Figure [7I](#page-12-0)).

METTL16 was recently identified as an m6A methyltransferase, whose targets include U6 RNA, MALAT1 and MAT2A.^{34,35,51,52} Elevated expression of METTL16 has been shown in multiple malignancies, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, lung cancer and gastric cancer, which indicates that METTL16 is broadly important in cancer.^{19,53–55} Several cancer-associated mechanisms have been proposed for METTL16. For example, METTL16 promotes gastric cancer proliferation through induction of cyclinD1 expression.⁵³ In addition, METTL16 exhibits an m6A-independent role to facilitate translation that promotes hepatoma carcinoma tumorigenesis and lung tumorigenesis.^{19,55} Our study reveals a specific function of METTL16 in CRC mitosis and tumorigenicity via regulation of Aurora B kinase activity and kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Silencing METTL16 results in prolonged mitotic time and defective chromosome segregation, characterized by lagging chromosomes, chromosome misalignment and chromosome bridges. Further investigation revealed that the methyltransferase property of METTL16 is required for proper chromosome segregation, as inactive forms of METTL16 (P185A/P186A) were unable to rescue the mitotic aberrations observed in cells lacking METTL16. It will be of great interest to identify additional substrates of METTL16 involved in mitosis and to elucidate their precise molecular functions.

Integrative MeRIP-seq and RNA-seq analysis identified Soga1, as a CLASP-interacting protein required for accurate chromosome segregation during mitosis. $32,56$ Soga1 is also the most repressed gene in METTL16-depleted cells. Our findings indicate that METTL16 directly binds to Soga1 mRNA, inducing m6A modification and increasing mRNA stability, thereby promoting the production of Soga1 protein. In addition, RNA pulldown and RIP assays showed that IGF2BP1, but not the other readers, could bind to Soga1 mRNA and mediate the level of Soga1 protein, indicating that IGF2BP1 is a critical reader that mediates Soga1 m6A modification in CRC. Soga1 is a relatively uncharacterized protein; however, it is known as an autophagy suppressor and has been implicated in adiponectin-mediated inhibition of glucose production.^{57,58} Furthermore, Soga1 has a critical role in the spindle assembly checkpoint and correct chromosomal segrega-tion.^{[32](#page-15-0)} Our results confirmed these observations and further demonstrated that Soga1 plays a role in the full activation of Aurora B, which controls chromosome segregation via the generation of spindle assembly checkpoint signals and the correction of aberrant kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Furthermore, we found that

CDK1-mediated phosphorylation primes Soga1 to associate with the PBD of PLK1, thereby promoting PLK1 activation. PLK1 can phosphorylate Aurora B, INCENP and Survivin, and this PLK1-induced phosphorylation on the CPC component is critical for activating Aurora B. Therefore, it appears that the Soga1-PLK1/ Aurora B cascade promotes accurate chromosome segregation by facilitating Aurora B kinase activity. However, the detailed mechanism by which CDK1 phosphorylates Soga1 at precise sites remains to be elucidated.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that METTL16 has a tumour-promoting effect in the progression of CRC. METTL16 was upregulated in CRC tissues and is associated with poor prognosis. Mechanistically, the METTL16/m6A/Soga1 signalling axis promotes CRC progression by inducing mitotic activity and malignancy. Furthermore, our data indicate that Soga1, as a mitosis-related protein, has a role in the regulation of PLK1 and Aurora B kinase activity, independent of its glycolytic activity. These findings provide novel insights into potential diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets for CRC.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Hao Wang, Jia-bei Wang and Jimin Li designed research; Jimin Li, Fang Yang, Zeyu Wang, Siqing zheng, Shuang Zhang, Chen Wang, Binbg He and Jia-bei Wang performed or guided research; Jimin Li Fang Yang and Hao Wang analyzed data; and Jimin Li and Hao Wang wrote the paper.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was supported by the Scientific Research Project of Anhui Provincial Education Department (2022AH020079) and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (2008085MH241).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The authors declare that all the data supporting the findings in this study are available in this study and its Supplementary materials, or are available from the corresponding author through reasonable request.

ORCID

Hao Wang **b** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4807-3172>

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(3):145-164.
- 2. Holland AJ, Cleveland DW. Boveri revisited: chromosomal instability, aneuploidy and tumorigenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10(7):478-487.
- 3. Gronroos E, Lopez-Garcia C. Tolerance of chromosomal instability in cancer: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Res. 2018; 78(23):6529-6535.
- 4. Gordon DJ, Resio B, Pellman D. Causes and consequences of aneuploidy in cancer. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(3):189-203.

16 of 17 | WILEY Cell Contraction

- 5. Negrini S, Gorgoulis VG, Halazonetis TD. Genomic instability—an evolving hallmark of cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010;11(3): 220-228.
- 6. Gollin SM. Mechanisms leading to chromosomal instability. Semin Cancer Biol. 2005;15(1):33-42.
- 7. Galimberti F, Thompson SL, Ravi S, Compton DA, Dmitrovsky E. Anaphase catastrophe is a target for cancer therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(6):1218-1222.
- 8. Bakhoum SF, Cantley LC. The multifaceted role of chromosomal instability in cancer and its microenvironment. Cell. 2018;174(6): 1347-1360.
- 9. Frye M, Harada BT, Behm M, He C. RNA modifications modulate gene expression during development. Science. 2018;361(6409):1346-1349.
- 10. Huang H, Weng H, Chen J. M(6)a modification in coding and noncoding RNAs: roles and therapeutic implications in cancer. Cancer Cell. 2020;37(3):270-288.
- 11. He L, Li H, Wu A, Peng Y, Shu G, Yin G. Functions of N6-methyladenosine and its role in cancer. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):176.
- 12. Wang T, Kong S, Tao M, Ju S. The potential role of RNA N6-methyladenosine in cancer progression. Mol Cancer. 2020; 19(1):88.
- 13. Zaccara S, Ries RJ, Jaffrey SR. Reading, writing and erasing mRNA methylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20(10):608-624.
- 14. Jiang X, Liu B, Nie Z, et al. The role of m6A modification in the biological functions and diseases. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):74.
- 15. He PC, He C. M(6) a RNA methylation: from mechanisms to therapeutic potential. EMBO J. 2021;40(3):e105977.
- 16. Wang Q, Geng W, Guo H, et al. Emerging role of RNA methyltransferase METTL3 in gastrointestinal cancer. J Hematol Oncol. 2020; 13(1):57.
- 17. Meyers RM, Bryan JG, McFarland JM, et al. Computational correction of copy number effect improves specificity of CRISPR-Cas9 essentiality screens in cancer cells. Nat Genet. 2017;49(12):1779-1784.
- 18. Behan FM, Iorio F, Picco G, et al. Prioritization of cancer therapeutic targets using CRISPR-Cas9 screens. Nature. 2019;568(7753):511-516.
- 19. Su R, Dong L, Li Y, et al. METTL16 exerts an m(6)A-independent function to facilitate translation and tumorigenesis. Nat Cell Biol. 2022; 24(2):205-216.
- 20. Krenn V, Musacchio A. The Aurora B kinase in chromosome Biorientation and spindle checkpoint signaling. Front Oncol. 2015; 5:225.
- 21. Adams RR, Carmena M, Earnshaw WC. Chromosomal passengers and the (aurora) ABCs of mitosis. Trends Cell Biol. 2001;11(2):49-54.
- 22. Yasui Y, Urano T, Kawajiri A, et al. Autophosphorylation of a newly identified site of Aurora-B is indispensable for cytokinesis. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(13):12997-13003.
- 23. Carmena M, Wheelock M, Funabiki H, Earnshaw WC. The chromosomal passenger complex (CPC): from easy rider to the godfather of mitosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012;13(12):789-803.
- 24. Ruchaud S, Carmena M, Earnshaw WC. Chromosomal passengers: conducting cell division. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007;8(10):798-812.
- 25. Ruchaud S, Carmena M, Earnshaw WC. The chromosomal passenger complex: one for all and all for one. Cell. 2007;131(2):230-231.
- 26. Wang F, Ulyanova NP, Daum JR, et al. Haspin inhibitors reveal centromeric functions of Aurora B in chromosome segregation. J Cell Biol. 2012;199(2):251-268.
- 27. Wang F, Dai J, Daum JR, et al. Histone H3 Thr-3 phosphorylation by Haspin positions Aurora B at centromeres in mitosis. Science. 2010; 330(6001):231-235.
- 28. Sessa F, Mapelli M, Ciferri C, et al. Mechanism of Aurora B activation by INCENP and inhibition by hesperadin. Mol Cell. 2005;18(3): 379-391.
- 29. Petsalaki E, Akoumianaki T, Black EJ, Gillespie DA, Zachos G. Phosphorylation at serine 331 is required for Aurora B activation. J Cell Biol. 2011;195(3):449-466.
- 30. Kapoor TM, Mayer TU, Coughlin ML, Mitchison TJ. Probing spindle assembly mechanisms with monastrol, a small molecule inhibitor of the mitotic kinesin, Eg5. J Cell Biol. 2000;150(5):975-988.
- 31. Liang C, Zhang Z, Chen Q, et al. Centromere-localized Aurora B kinase is required for the fidelity of chromosome segregation. J Cell Biol. 2020;219(2):e201907092.
- 32. Ferreira LT, Logarinho E, Macedo JC, Maia ARR, Maiato H. SOGA1 and SOGA2/MTCL1 are CLASP-interacting proteins required for faithful chromosome segregation in human cells. Chromosome Res. 2021;29(2):159-173.
- 33. Yoshinaga M, Han K, Morgens DW, et al. The N(6) methyladenosine methyltransferase METTL16 enables erythropoiesis through safeguarding genome integrity. Nat Commun. 2022; 13(1):6435.
- 34. Doxtader KA, Wang P, Scarborough AM, Seo D, Conrad NK, Nam Y. Structural basis for regulation of METTL16, an S-adenosylmethionine homeostasis factor. Mol Cell. 2018;71(6): 1001-1011.e1004.
- 35. Pendleton KE, Chen B, Liu K, et al. The U6 snRNA m(6)a methyltransferase METTL16 regulates SAM synthetase intron retention. Cell. 2017;169(5):824-835.e814.
- 36. Wang H, Wei W, Zhang ZY, et al. TCF4 and HuR mediated-METTL14 suppresses dissemination of colorectal cancer via N6-methyladenosine-dependent silencing of ARRDC4. Cell Death Dis. 2021;13(1):3.
- 37. Sheng Y, Wei J, Yu F, et al. A critical role of nuclear m6A reader YTHDC1 in leukemogenesis by regulating MCM complex-mediated DNA replication. Blood. 2021;138(26):2838-2852.
- 38. Huang H, Weng H, Sun W, et al. Recognition of RNA N(6) methyladenosine by IGF2BP proteins enhances mRNA stability and translation. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;20(3):285-295.
- 39. Dumitru AMG, Rusin SF, Clark AEM, Kettenbach AN, Compton DA. Cyclin A/Cdk1 modulates Plk1 activity in prometaphase to regulate kinetochore-microtubule attachment stability. Elife. 2017;6:6.
- 40. Lee KS, Park JE, Kang YH, Kim TS, Bang JK. Mechanisms underlying Plk1 polo-box domain-mediated biological processes and their physiological significance. Mol Cells. 2014;37(4):286-294.
- 41. van Vugt MA, Medema RH. Getting in and out of mitosis with Pololike kinase-1. Oncogene. 2005;24(17):2844-2859.
- 42. Elia AE, Rellos P, Haire LF, et al. The molecular basis for phosphodependent substrate targeting and regulation of Plks by the Polo-box domain. Cell. 2003;115(1):83-95.
- 43. Lee HS, Min S, Jung YE, et al. Spatiotemporal coordination of the RSF1-PLK1-Aurora B cascade establishes mitotic signaling platforms. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):5931.
- 44. Chu Y, Yao PY, Wang W, et al. Aurora B kinase activation requires survivin priming phosphorylation by PLK1. J Mol Cell Biol. 2011;3(4): 260-267.
- 45. Goto H, Kiyono T, Tomono Y, et al. Complex formation of Plk1 and INCENP required for metaphase-anaphase transition. Nat Cell Biol. 2006;8(2):180-187.
- 46. Holland AJ, Cleveland DW. Losing balance: the origin and impact of aneuploidy in cancer. EMBO Rep. 2012;13(6):501-514.
- 47. Luzhna L, Kathiria P, Kovalchuk O. Micronuclei in genotoxicity assessment: from genetics to epigenetics and beyond. Front Genet. 2013; 4:131.
- 48. Duijf PH, Benezra R. The cancer biology of whole-chromosome instability. Oncogene. 2013;32(40):4727-4736.
- 49. Stolz A, Ertych N, Kienitz A, et al. The CHK2-BRCA1 tumour suppressor pathway ensures chromosomal stability in human somatic cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12(5):492-499.
- 50. Bakhoum SF, Ngo B, Laughney AM, et al. Chromosomal instability drives metastasis through a cytosolic DNA response. Nature. 2018; 553(7689):467-472.

 L ET AL. $\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} \hline \text{Cell} & \text{Cell} \ \hline \text{D} & \text{MII} & \text{FV} \end{array}$ 17 of 17

- 51. Brown JA, Kinzig CG, DeGregorio SJ, Steitz JA. Methyltransferase-like protein 16 binds the 3'-terminal triple helix of MALAT1 long noncoding RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113(49):14013-14018.
- 52. Warda AS, Kretschmer J, Hackert P, et al. Human METTL16 is a N(6)-methyladenosine (m(6)A) methyltransferase that targets premRNAs and various non-coding RNAs. EMBO Rep. 2017;18(11): 2004-2014.
- 53. Wang XK, Zhang YW, Wang CM, et al. METTL16 promotes cell proliferation by up-regulating cyclin D1 expression in gastric cancer. J Cell Mol Med. 2021;25(14):6602-6617.
- 54. Dai YZ, Liu YD, Li J, et al. METTL16 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression through downregulating RAB11B-AS1 in an m(6)Adependent manner. Cell Mol Biol Lett. 2022;27(1):41.
- 55. Wang F, Zhang J, Lin X, et al. METTL16 promotes translation and lung tumorigenesis by sequestering cytoplasmic eIF4E2. Cell Rep. 2023; 42(3):112150.
- 56. Kruse R, Krantz J, Barker N, et al. Characterization of the CLASP2 protein interaction network identifies SOGA1 as a microtubule-associated protein. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2017;16(10): 1718-1735.
- 57. Cowherd RB, Asmar MM, Alderman JM, et al. Adiponectin lowers glucose production by increasing SOGA. Am J Pathol. 2010;177(4): 1936-1945.
- 58. Wei W, Zhang ZY, Shi B, et al. METTL16 promotes glycolytic metabolism reprogramming and colorectal cancer progression. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2023;42(1):151.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Li J, Yang F, Wang Z, et al. METTL16-mediated N6-methyladenosine modification of Soga1 enables proper chromosome segregation and chromosomal stability in colorectal cancer. Cell Prolif. 2024; 57(5):e13590. doi[:10.1111/cpr.13590](info:doi/10.1111/cpr.13590)