## Effects of Soil and Water Content on Methyl Bromide Oxidation by the Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacterium *Nitrosomonas europaea*†

KHRYSTYNE N. DUDDLESTON,<sup>1</sup>‡ PETER J. BOTTOMLEY,<sup>1,2\*</sup> ANGELA PORTER,<sup>1</sup> and DANIEL J. ARP<sup>3</sup>

*Department of Microbiology,*<sup>1</sup> *Department of Crop and Soil Science,*<sup>2</sup> *and The Laboratory for N2 Fixation Research, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology,*<sup>3</sup> *Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331*

Received 12 November 1999/Accepted 28 March 2000

Little information exists on the potential of NH<sub>3</sub>-oxidizing bacteria to cooxidize halogenated hydrocarbons in soil. A study was conducted to examine the cooxidation of methyl bromide (MeBr) by an  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ -oxidizing **bacterium,** *Nitrosomonas europaea***, under soil conditions. Soil and its water content modified the availability of NH4** <sup>1</sup> **and MeBr and influenced the relative rates of substrate (NH3) and cosubstrate (MeBr) oxidations. These observations highlight the complexity associated with characterizing soil cooxidative activities when soil and water interact to differentially affect substrate and cosubstrate availabilities.**

In recent years considerable research has been conducted to determine the soil physical and chemical factors which control the fate of the agriculturally applied soil fumigant methyl bromide (MeBr) (2, 3, 11, 25, 26, 29). Although the potential of methanotrophic and NH<sub>3</sub>-oxidizing bacteria to cooxidatively degrade MeBr has been known for some time (12, 17, 20, 24), and facultatively methylotrophic soilborne bacteria have been isolated that grow on MeBr as a C source (6, 15), only recently was it shown that soil bacteria act as a sink for MeBr in situ (7, 15, 18, 22). At this time it is unclear to what extent MeBr consumption in soil occurs by cooxidative rather than energygaining metabolism and how soil factors might influence microbiological MeBr transformation. *Nitrosomonas europaea*, a chemolithotrophic NH<sub>3</sub> oxidizer, carries out cooxidation of a variety of halogenated and nonhalogenated hydrocarbons in the presence of  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> through the activity of ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) (12, 13, 19, 20, 21). Many factors that influence  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidation in soil will presumably influence transformation of alternate substrates. For example, soil colloids are known to bind  $NH_4^+$ , which might influence the ratio of substrate  $(NH_3)$  to cosubstrate during cooxidation and affect competition for the active site of AMO. Hommes et al. (8) examined the ability of *N. europaea* to oxidize  $NH_4^+$  and three cosubstrates, ethylene, chloroethane, and 1,1,1-trichloroethane, in vigorously aerated soil slurries. The influence of soil exchangeable acidity on solution pH and  $NH_3\text{-}NH_4^+$  equilibrium was the main factor affecting  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and cosubstrate oxidation, whereas NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorption played a lesser role under slurry conditions. The influence of intact soil on cooxidation by NH<sub>3</sub> oxidizers could be more complex. For example, in a study which examined the effect of soil water content on nitrification, it was concluded that the primary negative effect on nitrification of lowering soil water potential from saturation to  $-0.6$ MPa was reduced diffusion of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NH_3$  to the sites of NH3-oxidizing activity (23). Furthermore, it is not difficult to conceptualize that MeBr oxidation might be sensitive to soil water content because of the large differences in the diffusion coefficients of MeBr through water and air (diffusion coefficients, 0.1037 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for air and  $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for water) (26). Recent studies showed that relatively small changes in soil water content had a profound effect on microbially mediated MeBr uptake by a forest soil (7). The purpose of our research was to build upon earlier studies (8) and to examine the characteristics of NH<sub>3</sub> and MeBr oxidations by *N*. *europaea* incubated in intact soil held at different water contents.

**Cell growth, experimental manipulations, and analyses.** Surface samples of a Willamette silt loam (0 to 20 cm) were used, and the properties are described elsewhere (14). The soil pH was raised to approximately neutral  $(7.0 \pm 0.2)$  by incubation for 3 days with 3 g Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> kg of soil<sup>-1</sup>, air dried, and sterilized with gamma irradiation (4 megarads) at the Oregon State University Radiation Center. Batch cultures of *N. europaea* (ATCC 19718) were grown as described elsewhere (9). Late-exponential-phase cells (3 to 4 days) were harvested by centrifugation (11,000  $\times$  *g*; 15 min), washed twice in ice-cold buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2), and resuspended to a cell density of  $(30 \pm 7) \times 10^7$  ml<sup>-1</sup> (80  $\pm$  20  $\mu$ g [dry weight] of cells  $ml^{-1}$ ).

Portions of sterile air-dried soil (11.6 g, equivalent to 11.0 g of oven-dried soil) were dispensed into sterile 74-ml serum vials sealed with gray butyl stoppers and aluminum crimp top seals (Wheaton, Millville, N.J.). Appropriate amounts (2.5, 5.0, or 10.0 mmol) of MeBr were added to the vials. A 4.4-ml aliquot of water is required to bring 11.6 g of air-dried soil to its water-holding capacity (WHC) (454 g of  $H_2O kg^{-1}$ ) and provide a total water volume of 5 ml in 11 g of oven-dried soil. To conduct experiments with saturated soil at WHC, the following mixture (prepared at 4°C and kept in the dark on ice) was injected slowly through the septum of each vial using a plastic syringe fitted with a 23-gauge needle: 25 or 100 mM  $NH_4^+$  in 50 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.2), 2 ml; *N. europaea* cell suspension with an optical density of 0.25, 1 ml; and 50 mM  $K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>$  (pH 7.2), 1.4 ml. Vials without soil were set up in a similar manner with an additional 0.6 ml of sterile deionized water to provide the same total volume of water as in the soil treatments. The final cell density of *N. europaea* was equivalent to  $6 \times 10^7$  cells ml of water<sup>-1</sup> or  $2.7 \times 10^7$  cells g of soil<sup>-1</sup>. To conduct experiments with unsaturated soil at approximately two-thirds of WHC (300 g of  $H_2O$  kg of soil<sup>-1</sup>), the same amounts of soil and MeBr were dispensed into vials as de-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, 220 Nash Hall, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331. Phone: (541) 737-1844. Fax: (541) 737-0496. E-mail: bottomlp@ucs .orst.edu.

<sup>†</sup> Technical paper no. 11,573 of the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station.

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, AK 99508.

scribed above. A 2.4-ml aliquot of water is required to provide a total volume of 3 ml. The following mixture was injected into each vial: 25 or 100 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in 50 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.2), 1.2 ml; *N. europaea* cell suspension with an optical density of 0.25, 0.6 ml; and 50 mM  $K_2HPO_4$  (pH 7.2), 0.6 ml. Vials without soil were set up in a similar manner with an additional 0.6 ml of water. In this case, we chose to keep the *N. europaea* cell density constant on the basis of water; the density per gram of soil was lower than in the saturated experiment  $(1.63 \times 10^7)$ cells g of soil<sup>-1</sup>). Triplicate vials of each treatment were incubated horizontally in the dark at 27°C with periodic rotation to facilitate gas distribution. Agitation was avoided to prevent the breakdown of soil structure, which would occur especially under water-saturated conditions. Preliminary experiments were conducted to determine if  $O_2$  limitation would occur in unshaken vials and retard  $NO_2^-$  production and MeBr oxidation. No differences were detected in rates of  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  production assayed in unshaken vials containing either ambient or supplemental levels of  $O_2$  (0.4 atm) at 10 or 40 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>.

For  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> concentration determinations, 17 or 19 ml of ice-cold 2 M KCl (supplemented with 0.1 mM allyl thiourea, an inhibitor of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidation) was added to each vial containing either saturated or unsaturated soil, respectively (2:1 liquid/soil ratio). Vials were shaken vigorously for 5 min and were centrifuged to pellet the soil, and  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations were determined in the supernatants (5). To measure the amount of  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> in soil solution under saturated conditions, 4.4 ml of buffer containing either 50 or 200  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was added to 11.6 g of air-dried soil in a centrifuge tube and was incubated for 1 h. Following the incubation, soil was centrifuged and samples of supernatant were recovered for analysis of  $NH_4^+$ . To measure the amount of  $NH_4^+$  in solution under unsaturated conditions, larger portions of soil (18.4 g of air-dried soil) were placed in 60-ml syringes, and buffer (6 ml) containing 100 or 400  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was dribbled over the soil. Samples were incubated for 1 h and samples of soil solution were forced from the soil by applying pressure with the plunger of a syringe. Samples were centrifuged to pellet soil, and  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> concentrations were determined in supernatants after alkaline steam distillation and back titration against standard acid (1).

MeBr oxidation was measured by monitoring its disappearance from the gas phase of the vials using a Shimadzu GC-14 gas chromatograph. To account for abiological MeBr hydrolysis and MeBr sorption to vials, butyl rubber stoppers, and soil, control vials with and without soil were set up containing 1% (vol/vol) acetylene, a specific mechanism-based inactivator of AMO (10). The amount of MeBr in the vials was determined by comparison to standards of known amounts of MeBr prepared in 74-ml vials containing sterile soil and either 5 ml (saturated) or 3 ml (unsaturated) of water. Because the amounts of water in the vials differed between the saturated and unsaturated soil treatments, slightly different concentrations of MeBr developed in the aqueous phases. For example, when  $10 \mu$ mol of MeBr was added to vials, the aqueous-phase concentrations were calculated to be 0.47 and 0.51 mM for unsaturated and saturated conditions, respectively. Preliminary studies established that the presence of soil had no significant impact on the partitioning of MeBr between the aqueous and gaseous phases and that  $\leq 10\%$  of the MeBr that disappeared during incubations was AMO independent (i.e., acetylene insensitive). We concluded that some abiological hydrolysis or sorption of MeBr occurred in our experimental system, and these values were subtracted from those measured in the experimental treatments without acetylene. No attempt was made to distinguish between MeBr disappearance due to sorption and that due to abiological hydrolysis. In all assays,



FIG. 1.  $NO_2^-$  production by *N. europaea* in the presence of soil under watersaturated  $(5 \text{ ml})$   $(\text{a})$  and unsaturated  $(3 \text{ ml})$   $(\text{b})$  conditions. Cells  $(3 \times 10^8)$  of *N*. *europaea* were incubated with the equivalent of 11 g of oven-dried soil and either 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ( $\blacklozenge$ ), 20 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ( $\blacktriangle$ ), or 40 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ( $\blacklozenge$ ). The minus-soil control contained 10 mM  $NH_4^+$  and the same amount of cells in either 5 or 3 ml of buffer plus water  $(\Box)$ . Error bars represent the standard deviations of the means of three analytical replicates. Most standard deviations were  $<$  5% of the means and are not shown.

the amount of MeBr transformed was expressed as total micromoles inclusive of that amount which partitioned into the liquid and soil phases.

**Effects of intact soil on**  $NO_2$ **<sup>-</sup> production.** The presence of Willamette silt loam soil affected the rate of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidation by *N. europaea* under both unsaturated and saturated conditions. When incubations were conducted at WHC with 10 mM  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_2^-$  production occurred at  $\sim$ 30% of the rate of the minus-soil control and was restored to  $\geq$ 85% of the minus-soil rate by increasing the concentration of  $NH_4^+$  to  $\geq 40$  mM (Fig. 1a). When *N. europaea* was incubated with the same weight of soil at approximately two-thirds of WHC,  $NO_2^-$  production occurred at 15 to 35% of the minus-soil rate, and a fourfold increase in  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> increased the rate to that of the minus-soil control (Fig. 1b). Under unsaturated conditions, we noted occasionally that  $40 \text{ mM } NH_4^+$  would not completely compensate for the effect of soil on  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> production ( $\geq 55$  to 60% of the minus-soil rate). When this phenomenon was observed, larger additions of  $NH_4^+$  (50 or 60 mM) did not correct the problem. To avoid the possibility of either  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> or salt stresses on *N. europaea*,  $\frac{1}{40}$  mM  $\text{NH}_4$ <sup>+</sup> was used routinely as



FIG. 2. MeBr oxidation by *N. europaea* in the presence of soil under watersaturated (5 ml) (a) and unsaturated  $(\hat{3}$  ml) (b) conditions. Cells ( $3 \times 10^8$ ) of *N*. *europaea* were incubated with the equivalent of 11 g of oven-dried soil, 0.25 mM MeBr, and 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>.  $\Box$ , minus soil;  $\blacklozenge$ , plus soil;  $\odot$ , minus soil and plus 1% acetylene;  $\blacktriangle$ , plus soil and 1% acetylene. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the means of three analytical replicates.

our maximum NH4 <sup>1</sup> level for unsaturated conditions. The presence of Willamette silt loam substantially reduced the concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  in soil solution from 40 and 10 mM to approximately 10 and 1 mM, respectively, regardless of soil water content. Using a  $K_s$  value of 4.8 mM for  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> oxidation at pH 7.0 (the pH of a 1:1 [vol/wt] soil suspension) and the concentrations of  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> experimentally determined in soil solutions, theoretical estimates of the rates of  $NO_2^-$  production matched reasonably well those experimentally determined, i.e., 24 to 26% and 73 to 80% of the rates expected in the absence of soil at pH 7.2 and 10 or 40 mM  $NH_4^+$ , respectively.

Effects of soil water content on MeBr oxidation and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> **production.** *N. europaea* oxidized MeBr under both unsaturated and saturated soil conditions. The rates of MeBr disappearance were constant for approximately 12 h and declined to zero between 24 and 36 h (Fig. 2). Because MeBr oxidation was not sustainable at  $>0.5$  mM aqueous concentration (MeB $r_{\text{aa}}$ ), subsequent experiments were restricted to three aqueous concentrations of 0.13, 0.25, and 0.51 mM MeBr (saturated) or 0.12, 0.24, and 0.47 mM MeBr (unsaturated). As mentioned earlier, there were slight differences between the aqueous-phase concentrations in the saturated and unsaturated experiments because different amounts of water were used. Experiments were conducted to compare the effect of soil on  $\text{NO}_2$ <sup>-</sup> production and MeBr oxidation under watersaturated (Table 1) and water-unsaturated (Table 2) conditions. Saturated soil reduced  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> production by 10 mM  $NH_4^+$ –MeBr combinations to 29, 23, and 17%, respectively, of their corresponding minus-soil values while also reducing the amounts of 0.24 and 0.47 mM MeBr oxidized to 64 and 43%, respectively, of their corresponding minus-soil values (Table 1). Saturated soil reduced  $\overline{NO_2}^-$  production by 40 mM  $\overline{NH_4}^+$  – MeBr combinations to a much lesser degree than it did the 10 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-MeBr combinations, and it increased the amounts of MeBr oxidized by 25, 41, and 33% over the corresponding minus-soil values. The interactions between saturated soil,  $NH_4^+$ , and MeBr concentrations were quite striking for both  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  production and MeBr oxidation. For example, 40 mM  $NH_4^+$  completely compensated for the negative effect of saturated soil on the amount of MeBr oxidized by the 0.24 mM MeBr–10 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> combination (9.6 versus 8.0  $\mu$ mol mg [dry weight] of cells<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, 40 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> only partially compensated for the effect of saturated soil on the 0.47 mM MeBr–10 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> combination (9.6 versus 12.8  $\mu$ mol mg [dry weight] of cells<sup>-1</sup>) despite increasing the rate of  $NO_2$ <sup>-2</sup> production by almost the same degree (fourfold) as it did in the presence of 0.24 mM MeBr.

Unsaturated soil reduced  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> production by 10 mM  $NH_4^+$ –MeBr combinations more severely than did saturated soil (11 to 12.5% of the corresponding MeBr-minus-soil combinations) (Table 2). Despite the severe reductions in  $NO_2^$ production, oxidations of 0.13 and 0.25 mM MeBr were unaf-

TABLE 1. Influence of soil and  $NH_4^+$  on  $NO_2^-$  production and MeBr oxidation by *N. europaea* under water-saturated conditions

MeBr (mM)	Amt ( $\mu$ mol/mg [dry wt] of cells) with <sup>a</sup> :										
		$NH_4^+$ (10 mM) <sup>b</sup>		$NH_4^+$ (40 mM) <sup>c</sup>							
	$-Soil^d$		$+$ Soil <sup>e</sup>		$-S$ oil		$+$ Soil				
	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr			
$\theta$	180(10)		100(10)		220(20)		230(30)				
0.12	170 (10)	7.2(0.4)	50(5)	6.4(0.4)	190(20)	5.1(0.5)	150(10)	6.4(0.6)			
0.24	130 (10)	8.0(0.6)	30(3)	5.1(0.4)	170 (10)	6.8(0.9)	110(10)	9.6(0.7)			
0.47	120 (30)	12.8(0.9)	20(2)	5.5(0.5)	160(10)	7.2(0.6)	80(10)	9.6(0.1)			

*<sup>a</sup>* Values in parentheses are the standard errors of the means of three replicates per treatment.

b 50  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> added per vial, equivalent to 10  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/ml of buffer plus water or 4.55  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+/</sup>g of soil.<br>
<sup>c</sup> 200  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> added per vial, equivalent to 40  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>

*e* Minus-soil treatments contained 5 ml of buffer plus water per vial.<br> *e* Plus-soil treatments contained the equivalent of 11 g of oven-dried soil and 5 ml of buffer plus water per vial.

MeBr (mM)	Amt ( $\mu$ mol/mg [dry wt] of cells) with <sup>a</sup> :										
	$NH_4^+$ (10 mM) <sup>b</sup>				$NH_4^+$ (40 mM) <sup>c</sup>						
	$-Soil^d$		$+$ Soil <sup>e</sup>		$-Soil$		$+$ Soil				
	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr	NO <sub>2</sub>	MeBr			
$\theta$	280(30)		54(5)		280(10)		155(5)				
0.13	240(20)	8.0(1)	29(3)	8.1(1)	285(15)	4.8(0.2)	80(0)	7.7(0.6)			
0.25	160(18)	11.2(2)	20(2)	11.2(1)	210(10)	8.0(2)	60(10)	13.7(0.2)			
0.51	146(13)	16.8(2)	16(2)	9.6(1)	185(15)	11.0(2)	55(5)	18.8(2.7)			

TABLE 2. Influence of soil and  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> on  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> production and MeBr oxidation by *N. europaea* under unsaturated water conditions

*<sup>a</sup>* Values in parentheses are the standard errors of the means of three replicates per treatment.

b 30  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> added per vial, equivalent to 10  $\mu$ mol/ml of water plus buffer or 2.73  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/g of soil.<br>c 120  $\mu$ mol of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> added per vial, equivalent to 40  $\mu$ mol/ml of water plus buffer o

*e* Minus-soil treatments contained 3 ml of buffer plus water per vial.<br> *e* Plus-soil treatments contained the equivalent of 11 g of oven-dried soil and 3 ml of buffer plus water per vial.

fected, while the amount of 0.51 mM MeBr oxidized was reduced to 57% of the corresponding minus-soil treatment (9.6 versus 16.8  $\mu$ mol mg [dry weight] of cells<sup>-1</sup>). In the presence of 40 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, unsaturated soil lowered  $\text{NO}_2$ <sup>-</sup> production by the 40 mM  $NH_4^+$ -MeBr combinations more than occurred in the saturated-soil experiment (Table 1). The amounts of MeBr oxidized, however, were increased substantially (60 to 70%) above the minus-soil values at each of the three MeBr concentrations.

The results of this study clearly reveal the potential of the NH3 oxidizer *N. europaea* to oxidize MeBr under intact soil conditions. Although the rates of oxidation of halogenated hydrocarbons by soilborne populations of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidizers are not currently available in the literature, we intentionally used relatively low-density cell suspensions of *N. europaea* in an attempt to generate rates of MeBr oxidation and  $NO_2$ <sup>-</sup> production that could be placed into context with rates documented elsewhere in the microbial ecology literature. For example, we measured rates of soilborne  $\overline{NO_2}^-$  production of 25 to 60 nmol g of soil<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, which are on the high end of the range of values generally accepted for nitrification potentials of actively nitrifying soils (1 to 100 nmol of N g of soil<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) (16). The rates of MeBr oxidation fell into the range of  $\sim$  5 to 10 nmol of MeBr transformed g of soil<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. These values are similar to rates of MeBr degradation reported for a methanotrophic peat exposed to MeBr concentrations similar to those used in this study (17). They are much greater than the rates of 1 to 3 nmol of MeBr transformed g of soil<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> reported for a fumigated agricultural soil (15) and of  $\sim$ 2 pmol g of soil<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for degradation of atmospheric levels of MeBr by a forest soil (7).

Our data highlight how soil physical and chemical properties can modify the characteristics of MeBr oxidation by  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidizers through their influence on the bioavailability of the two substrates. Previous studies illustrated that exchangeable soil acidity was the primary factor influencing  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  availability to *N. europaea* under soil slurry conditions (8). In the present study, which was conducted with acid-neutralized soil, it became quite clear that much larger additions of  $NH_4^+$  were needed to compensate for the effect of structurally intact soil on  $NO_2^-$  production than was apparent under slurry conditions. Our data clearly indicate the interactive effect of soil water content on cooxidation of a halogenated hydrocarbon through its differential influence on the availability of  $NH_4$ <sup>+</sup> and MeBr (i.e., two substrates with quite different chemical properties). In general, there was a greater negative impact of unsaturated soil on  $NO_2^-$  production than on MeBr oxidation, while saturated soil had a greater inhibitory effect on MeBr

oxidation than on  $NO_2^-$  production. These findings are consistent with the observation that nitrification declines as soil water content is lowered because of increased restrictions on the diffusion of  $NH_4^+$  to the sites of the  $NH_3$ -oxidizing bacteria (23). Furthermore, it is not difficult to conceptualize that MeBr oxidation might be restricted by diffusion at higher soil water content, provided the cooxidative process is not already NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> limited. Indeed, several closely related studies have shown that  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  consumption by soil occurs optimally at 20 to 40% of WHC and usually declines as water content is raised beyond this range (4, 27, 28). In one of these soil studies, the optimum water content for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production was found to be significantly higher than for  $CH_4$  consumption (4). The authors concluded that because  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  consumption was reliant on gaseous diffusion, it would be negatively affected by an increase in soil water content, while soil respiration would respond positively because of its reliance on the diffusion of water-soluble substrates. More studies are required to establish to what extent differences among soils in their properties of  $NH_4^+$  generation, adsorption, and availability might interact with waterholding characteristics to influence the relationships between NH<sub>3</sub> and gaseous hydrocarbon oxidation.

This work was supported by the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station and by EPA grant R821405 to P.J.B. and D.J.A. Additional support was provided to K.N.D. through the Department of Microbiology of Oregon State University and the N. L. Tarter Fellowship.

We express appreciation to David Myrold and Chris Yeager for constructive comments on early drafts of the manuscript.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Bremner, J. M., and R. L. Mulvaney.** 1982. Nitrogen total, p. 595–624. *In* A. L. Page et al. (ed.), Methods of soil analysis, part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties, 2nd ed. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis.
- 2. **Gan, J., S. R. Yates, M. A. Anderson, W. F. Spencer, F. F. Ernst, and M. V. Yates.** 1994. Effect of soil properties on degradation and sorption of methyl bromide in soil. Chemosphere **29:**2685–2700.
- 3. **Gan, J., S. R. Yates, D. Wang, and W. M. Spencer.** 1996. Effect of soil factors on methyl bromide volatilization after soil application. Environ. Sci. Technol. **30:**1629–1636.
- 4. **Gulledge, J., and J. P. Schimel.** 1998. Moisture control over atmospheric  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  consumption and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production in diverse Alaskan soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. **30:**1127–1132.
- 5. **Hageman, R. H., and D. P. Hucklesby.** 1971. Nitrate reductase in higher plants. Methods Enzymol. **23:**491–503.
- 6. **Hancock, T. L. C., A. M. Costello, M. E. Lidstrom, and R. S. Oremland.** 1998. Strain IMB-1, a novel bacterium for the removal of methyl bromide in fumigated agricultural soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**2899–2905.
- Hines, M. E., P. M. Crill, R. K. Varner, R. W. Talbot, J. H. Shorter, C. E. **Kolb, and R. C. Harriss.** 1998. Rapid consumption of low concentrations of methyl bromide by soil bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**1864–1870.
- 8. **Hommes, N. G., S. A. Russell, P. J. Bottomley, and D. J. Arp.** 1998. Effects

of soil on ammonia, ethylene, chloroethane, and 1,1,1-trichloroethane oxi-

- dation by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**1372–1378.<br>9. **Hyman, M. R., and D. J. Arp.** 1992. <sup>14</sup>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>- and <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>-labeling studies of the de novo synthesis of polypeptides by *Nitrosomonas europaea* during recovery from acetylene and light inactivation of ammonia monooxygenase. J. Biol. Chem. **267:**1534–1545.
- 10. **Hyman, M. R., and P. M. Wood.** 1985. Suicidal inactivation and labeling of ammonia monooxygenase by acetylene. Biochem. J. **227:**719–725.
- 11. **Jin, Y., and W. A. Jury.** 1995. Methyl bromide diffusion and emission through soil columns under various management techniques. J. Environ. Qual. **24:** 1002–1009.
- 12. **Keener, W. K., and D. J. Arp.** 1993. Kinetic studies of ammonia monooxygenase inhibition in *Nitrosomonas europaea* by hydrocarbons and halogenated hydrocarbons in an optimized whole-cell assay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **59:**2501–2510.
- 13. **Keener, W. K., and D. J. Arp.** 1994. Transformations of aromatic compounds by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **60:**1914–1920.
- 14. **Mendes, I. C., A. K. Bandick, R. P. Dick, and P. J. Bottomley.** 1999. Microbial biomass and activities in soil aggregates affected by winter cover crops. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **63:**873–881.
- 15. **Miller, L. G., T. L. Connell, J. R. Guidetti, and R. S. Oremland.** 1997. Bacterial oxidation of methyl bromide in fumigated agricultural soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **63:**4346–4354.
- 16. **Myrold, D. D.** 1998. Transformations of nitrogen, p. 259–294. *In* D. M. Sylvia, J. J. Fuhrmann, P. G. Hartel, and D. A. Zuberer (ed.), Principles and applications of soil microbiology. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- 17. **Oremland, R. S., L. G. Miller, C. W. Culbertson, T. L. Connell, and L. Jahnke.** 1994. Degradation of methyl bromide by methanotrophic bacteria in cell suspensions and soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **60:**3640–3646.
- 18. **Ou, L.-T., P. J. Joy, J. E. Thomas, and A. G. Hornsby.** 1997. Stimulation of microbial degradation of methyl bromide in soil during oxidation of an

ammonia fertilizer by nitrifiers. Environ. Sci. Technol. **31:**717–722.

- 19. **Rasche, M. E., R. E. Hicks, M. R. Hyman, and D. J. Arp.** 1990. Oxidation of monohalogenated ethanes and *n*-chlorinated alkanes by whole cells of *Nitrosomonas europaea*. J. Bacteriol. **172:**5368–5373.
- 20. Rasche, M. E., M. R. Hyman, and D. J. Arp. 1990. Biodegradation of halogenated hydrocarbon fumigants by nitrifying bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **56:**2568–2571.
- 21. **Rasche, M. E., M. R. Hyman, and D. J. Arp.** 1991. Factors limiting aliphatic chlorocarbon degradation by *Nitrosomonas europaea*: cometabolic inactivation of ammonia monooxygenase and substrate specificity. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **57:**2986–2994.
- 22. **Shorter, J. H., C. E. Kolb, P. M. Crill, R. A. Kerwin, R. W. Talbot, M. E. Hines, and R. C. Harriss.** 1995. Rapid degradation of atmospheric methyl bromide in soils. Nature **377:**717–719.
- 23. **Stark, J. M., and M. K. Firestone.** 1995. Mechanisms for soil moisture effects on activity of nitrifying bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**218–221.
- 24. **Stirling, D. L., and H. Dalton.** 1979. The fortuitous oxidation and cometabolism of various carbon compounds by whole-cell suspensions of *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **5:**315–318.
- 25. **Wang, D., S. R. Yates, F. F. Ernst, J. Gan, F. Gao, and J. O. Becker.** 1997. Methyl bromide emission reduction with field management practices. Environ. Sci. Technol. **31:**3017–3022.
- 26. **Wang, D., S. R. Yates, and J. Gan.** 1997. Temperature effect on methyl bromide volatilization in soil fumigation. J. Environ. Qual. **26:**1072–1079.
- 27. **Whalen, S. C., and W. S. Reeburgh.** 1996. Moisture and temperature sensitivity of CH4 oxidation in boreal soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. **28:**1271–1281.
- 28. **Whalen, S. C., W. S. Reeburgh, and K. A. Sandbeck.** 1990. Rapid methane oxidation in a landfill cover soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **56:**3405–3411.
- 29. **Yates, S. R., J. Gan, F. F. Ernst, A. Matziger, and M. V. Yates.** 1996. Methyl bromide emissions from a covered field. 1. Experimental conditions and degradation in soil. J. Environ. Qual. **25:**184–192.