# Fission Yeast *rad12*<sup>+</sup> Regulates Cell Cycle Checkpoint Control and Is Homologous to the Bloom's Syndrome Disease Gene

SCOTT DAVEY,<sup>1,2</sup>\* CHRISTINE S. HAN,<sup>3</sup> SARAH A. RAMER,<sup>1</sup> JENNIFER C. KLASSEN,<sup>1</sup> ADAM JACOBSON,<sup>3</sup> ANDREW EISENBERGER,<sup>3</sup> KEVIN M. HOPKINS,<sup>4</sup> HOWARD B. LIEBERMAN,<sup>4</sup> AND GREG A. FREYER<sup>3</sup>

Cancer Research Laboratories<sup>1</sup> and Departments of Oncology and Pathology,<sup>2</sup> Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada, and Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health,<sup>3</sup> and Center for Radiological Research,<sup>4</sup> Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

Received 22 September 1997/Returned for modification 2 December 1997/Accepted 10 February 1998

The human *BLM* gene is a member of the *Escherichia coli recQ* helicase family, which includes the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae SGS1* and human *WRN* genes. Defects in *BLM* are responsible for the human disease Bloom's syndrome, which is characterized in part by genomic instability and a high incidence of cancer. Here we describe the cloning of  $rad12^+$ , which is the fission yeast homolog of *BLM* and is identical to the recently reported  $rhq1^+$  gene. We showed that rad12 null cells are sensitive to DNA damage induced by UV light and  $\gamma$  radiation, as well as to the DNA synthesis inhibitor hydroxyurea. Overexpression of the wild-type  $rad12^+$  gene also leads to sensitivity to these agents and to defects associated with the loss of the S-phase and G<sub>2</sub>-phase checkpoint control. We showed genetically and biochemically that  $rad12^+$  acts upstream from  $rad9^+$ , one of the fission yeast G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint control genes, in regulating exit from the S-phase checkpoint. The physical chromosome segregation defects seen in rad12 null cells combined with the checkpoint regulation defect seen in the  $rad12^+$  overproducer implicate  $rad12^+$  as a key coupler of chromosomal integrity with cell cycle progression.

The fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe undergoes a dose-dependent G<sub>2</sub> delay in response to DNA damage caused by radiation (1, 27). Cells remain arrested at this  $\tilde{G}_2$  checkpoint while DNA damage is repaired, then enter mitosis and resume progression through the cell cycle. Six checkpoint rad genes have been identified in S. pombe:  $rad1^+$ ,  $rad3^+$ ,  $rad9^+$ ,  $rad17^+$ ,  $rad26^+$ , and  $hus1^+$  (1, 2, 9, 27). Mutations in any of these genes result in almost identical phenotypes. The mutant strains are all sensitive to radiation and to agents which transiently inhibit DNA replication, and all lack the G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint, preventing mitotic entry in the presence of such damage (1, 2, 2)9, 27). While little is known about  $G_2$  checkpoint genes in humans, homologs of *S. pombe rad3*<sup>+</sup> (4, 5) and *rad9*<sup>+</sup> (19) have recently been isolated. Recent studies have implicated cell cycle gene mutations in causing cancer. It is likely that mutations in genes regulating the G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> checkpoints also have the potential to increase cancer susceptibility.

The phenomenon of genomic instability has been firmly established as a crucial step in the genesis of cancer (14, 31, 33). While genomic instability is seen as a step in the progression of cancer cells, there are also several genetic diseases that lead to genomic instability and, ultimately, to cancer. Among these diseases is the rare autosomal recessive disorder Bloom's syndrome (12). The defective gene in Bloom's syndrome, *BLM*, encodes a putative DNA helicase that is homologous to the *Escherichia coli* RecQ and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Sgs1 helicases (11, 34). While the BLM and SGS1 proteins contain centrally located helicase domains that are homologous to RecQ, they are much larger than RecQ and show homology, both 5' and 3', to the helicase core (26, 35, 37). We describe here the cloning of the fission yeast  $rad12^+$  gene, which encodes a helicase similar to that encoded by *BLM*. We show that  $rad12^+$  is a negative regulator of the S-phase checkpoint and that  $rad12^+$  functions through the  $rad9^+$  checkpoint control gene. The regulation of  $rad9^+$  by  $rad12^+$  has implications for the regulation of the S-phase checkpoint by *BLM* in human cells.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*S. pombe* genetic manipulations. *S. pombe* was cultured by standard techniques (17). The complete genotypes of the strains used in this study are summarized in Table 1. The construction of novel strains for use in this study is described below. Unless otherwise noted, random spore analysis was used to identify the appropriate progeny.

sp301 ( $h^{-5}$  ade6-210 leu1-32 rad12-502) and Sp302 were constructed by crossing Sp8 ( $h^{-5}$  ade6-210 leu1-32) with Sp275 ( $h^{+N}$  rad12-502 leu1-32). Sp303 ( $h^{-5}/h^{+N}$  ade6-210/ade6-216 leu1-32/leu1-32 rad12-502/rad12<sup>+</sup>) was constructed by crossing Sp301 with Sp11, for 2 days at 25°C, on sporulation medium. Diploids were rescued on minimal medium, with selection for adenine prototrophy, and were further propagated on YE medium (5 g of yeast extract/liter, 30 g of glucose/liter, 20 g of agar/liter) with selection of white (adenine-prototrophic) diploid colonies. In a similar way, Sp304 ( $h^{+N}/h^{-5}$  ade6-210/ade6-216 leu1-32/ leu1<sup>+</sup> rad12-502/rad12-502) was constructed by crossing Sp301 with Sp302. Sp32 ( $h^{-5}$  rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup>) was constructed by outcrossing Sp326 ( $h^{+N}$  rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup>) five times with 972 and once with Sp263 ( $h^{+N}$  leu1-32). Sp340 was constructed by crossing Sp215 ( $h^{+N}$  ade6-704) with Sp275. The products of this cross were subjected to tetrad analysis, and the identity of the double mutant was confirmed by outcrossing. The construction of Sp358 and Sp359 are described in detail below.

**Positional cloning of the**  $rad12^+$  gene. Cosmids spanning the  $rad15^+$  region (no. 227 through 139 [22]) were partially digested with Sau3A1, and DNA fragments of between 1 and 4 kb were gel purified. This DNA was shotgun cloned into pYC12, which bears the sup3-5 opal suppressor. The constructs were sequenced at either end, and these sequences were compared to the known genomic DNA sequences available from the *S. pombe* genome sequencing project (Sanger Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Seven clones spanning the *rad15<sup>+</sup>*-containing region were chosen; they were transformed into a strain bearing the *ade6-704* mutation (Sp215), and stable *adee<sup>+</sup>* transformants were identified. The integrants were backcrossed to *rad12-502 ade6-704* double mutants (Sp340), and the relative distances between the integration sites and *rad12<sup>+</sup>* was most

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Cancer Research Laboratories, Botterell Hall, Room A309A, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada. Phone: (613) 545-6926. Fax: (613) 545-6830. E-mail: sd13@post.queensu.ca.

TABLE 1. Strains used in this study

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Strain	Genotype	Reference
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	972	$h^{-S}$	17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp8	$h^{-s}$ ade6-210 leu1-32	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp11	$h^{+N}$ ade6-216 leu1-32	3
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Sp12	h <sup>+N</sup> /h <sup>-S</sup> ade6-210/ade6-216	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp30	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18	This study
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp215	$h^{+N}$ ade6-704	15
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp263	$h^{+N}$ leu1-32	10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sp272	$h^{-s}$ rad12-502	7
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} {\rm Sp301} & h^{-s} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ rad12\text{-}502 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp302} & h^{+N} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ rad12\text{-}502 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp303} & h^{-S}   h^{+N} \ ade6\text{-}210   ade6\text{-}216 \ leu1\text{-}32   {\rm This \ stu} \\ leu1\text{-}32 \ rad12\text{-}502   rad12\text{-} \\ {\rm Sp304} & h^{+N}   h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210   ade6\text{-}216 \ leu1\text{-}32   {\rm This \ stu} \\ leu1^{+} \ rad12\text{-}502   rad12\text{-} \\ {\rm Sp305} & h^{-S} \ rad9\text{::ura4^{+}} & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}704 \ rad12\text{-}502 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp345} & h^{+N} \ rad12\text{-}502 \ rad9\text{::ura4^{+}} & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm This \ stu} & {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6\text{-}210 \ leu1\text{-}32 \ ura4\text{-}D18 & {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp36} & {\rm Sp36} &$	Sp275	h <sup>+N</sup> leu1-32 rad12-502	10
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} {\rm Sp302} & h^{+N} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ rad12-502 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp303} & h^{-S}/h^{+N} \ ade6-210/ade6-216 \ leu1-32/ & {\rm This\ stu} \\ leu1-32 \ rad12-502/rad12^+ & {\rm This\ stu} \\ leu1^+ \ rad12-502/rad12-502 & {\rm Sp325} & h^{-S} \ rad9::ura4^+ & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6-704 \ rad12-502 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp345} & h^{-N} \ rad12-502 \ rad9::ura4^+ & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 & {\rm This\ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D$	Sp301	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6-210 leu1-32 rad12-502	This study
	Sp302	h <sup>+N</sup> ade6-210 leu1-32 rad12-502	This study
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} leu1-32 \ rad12-502/rad12^+ \\ \text{Sp304} & h^{+N}/h^{-S} \ ade6-210/ade6-216 \ leu1-32/ \\ leu1^+ \ rad12-502/rad12-502 \\ \text{Sp325} & h^{-S} \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ \text{Sp326} & h^{+N} \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ \text{Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6-704 \ rad12-502 \\ \text{Sp345} & h^{+N} \ rad12-502 \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ \text{Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 \\ \end{array}$	Sp303	h <sup>-S</sup> /h <sup>+N</sup> ade6-210/ade6-216 leu1-32/	This study
$ \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Sp304} & h^{+N}/h^{-S} \ ade6-210/ade6-216 \ leu1-32/ \\ leu1^+ \ rad12-502/rad12-502 \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp325} & h^{-S} \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ {\rm Sp326} & h^{+N} \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ {\rm Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6-704 \ rad12-502 \\ {\rm Sp345} & h^{+N} \ rad12-502 \ rad9::ura4^+ \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6-210 \ leu1-32 \ ura4-D18 \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} {\rm This \ stu} \\ {\rm This \ stu} \\$		leu1-32 rad12-502/rad12+	
$\begin{array}{cccc} leu1^+ \ rad12{\text -}502/rad12{\text -}502 \\ \text{Sp325} & h^{-S} \ rad9{\text :}ura4^+ & \text{This stu} \\ \text{Sp326} & h^{+N} \ rad9{\text :}ura4^+ & 18 \\ \text{Sp340} & h^{-S} \ ade6{\text -}704 \ rad12{\text -}502 \\ \text{Sp345} & h^{+N} \ rad12{\text -}502 \ rad9{\text :}ura4^+ & \text{This stu} \\ \text{Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6{\text -}210 \ leu1{\text -}32 \ ura4{\text -}D18 \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{Sp358} & h^{-S} \ ade6{\text -}210 \ leu1{\text -}32 \ ura4{\text -}D18 \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text{This stu} \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text{This stu } \\ \text$	Sp304	h <sup>+N</sup> /h <sup>-S</sup> ade6-210/ade6-216 leu1-32/	This study
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		leu1 <sup>+</sup> rad12-502/rad12-502	
	Sp325	$h^{-S}$ rad9::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	This study
Sp340 $h^{-s}$ ade6-704 rad12-502 This stu   Sp345 $h^{+N}$ rad12-502 rad9::ura4 <sup>+</sup> This stu   Sp358 $h^{-s}$ ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 This stu	Sp326	$h^{+N}$ rad9::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	18
Sp345 $h^{+N}$ rad12-502 rad9:: $ura4^+$ This stu   Sp358 $h^{-S}$ ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 This stu	Sp340	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6-704 rad12-502	This study
Sp358 $h^{-s}$ ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18 This stu rad12 ura4-d	Sp345	$h^{+N}$ rad12-502 rad9::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	This study
	Sp358	h <sup>-s</sup> ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18	This study
raa12::ura4		rad12::ura4 <sup>+</sup>	
Sp359 $h^{+N}$ leu1-32 pgR12OP This stu	Sp359	$h^{+N}$ leu1-32 pgR12OP	This study

likely contained in a region corresponding to the end of SPAC2G11 (EMBL accession no. Z54354 [16]).

To clone the candidate gene SPAC2G11.12, cosmids mapping to this region (22) were screened by Southern blotting. Primer sets BLS1-BLS2 and BLS3-BLS4 (Table 2), corresponding to the 5' and 3' regions of SPAC2G11.12, respectively, were synthesized and used to produce radioactive probes by PCR amplification carried out with  $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dCTP and  $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dGTP in the reaction mixture. Cosmids 514 and 908 were positive in this assay. The *rad12*<sup>+</sup> gene was isolated as a 5,127-bp *Nhe1-SaII* restriction fragment and subcloned into *XbaI*-and *SaII*-digested pBluescript SK(–), creating pgR12.

**Generation of the** *rad12* **null strain Sp358.** The *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> disruption plasmid was constructed by digesting pgR12 DNA with *Hind*III, thereby removing the 2,102 bp from nucleotide no. 780 through 2882 (counting the start of translation as nucleotide no. 1). The *ura4*<sup>+</sup> gene was isolated from plasmid pART1 as a 1,900-nucleotide *Hind*III fragment and ligated into *Hind*III-digested pgR12, creating pgR12::*ura4*<sup>+</sup>. *S. pombe* Sp30 ( $h^{-S}$  *ade6-210 leu1-32 ura4-D18*) cells were transformed with *SalI*-linearized pgR12::*ura4*<sup>+</sup>. Transformants were selected on minimal plates containing 150 µg each of leucine and adenine per ml. The identities of cell lines in which *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> had replaced the wild-type *rad12*<sup>+</sup> gene were confirmed by Southern blotting.

TABLE 2. Oligonucleotides used in this study

Oligo- nucleotide name	Sequence	Location <sup>a</sup>
BLS1	CGA AAA TGT CAC TAG CCC CA	68–87
BLS2	TTC GCT GAC GAT TGG GTT AG	587-568
BLS3	TGG CCA TAG ATG ACA GCA GA	3397-3416
BLS4	TTC CGT TGA CCA TCC ACT TC	3757-3738
BLS5	GAC ATC TGC AGC GGC TGT TGG	$(-20)-(-6)^{b}$
	AAT T	
BLS6	ACT CAT CAT TAA TCG GAT CC	1290-1271
UVDE1	ATG CTT AGG CTA TTG A	121-136
UVDE2	CAA CAG ACT CAT CAA T	508-493
UVDE7	TCA AAA AGT ATG ACG	1940-1926
UVDE10	CAA GCT GGC AAA TAA	1143-1157
LEU1	GAG GAA TAT CCT CAC C	631-647
LEU2	TAT CAG CGG TAG AAG C	1074–1058

<sup>*a*</sup> Locations of the primers are given with respect to the initiating ATG of the respective genes. Numbering in the location column is from the 11th nucleotide to the end.

<sup>b</sup> The 10 nucleotides at the 5' end of this oligonucleotide do not correspond to the  $rad12^+$  sequence but rather generate a *Pst*1 restriction site.

**Preparation of** *S. pombe* whole-cell extracts and performance of UVDE assays. Whole-cell extracts were prepared from  $10^9$  *S. pombe* cells as described previously (7). The protein concentrations of extracts prepared this way were approximately 20 to 30 mg/ml. The cells used to produce UV-induced extracts were grown in YEA (5 g of yeast extract, 30 g of glucose, and 75 mg of adenine per liter) to late log phase, collected by centrifugation, washed with water, and resuspended in 1 volume of water. The cells were placed in a large petri dish or glass tray and irradiated with constant mixing. Inductions were performed with 254-nm UV light, using an effective dose of 50 J/m<sup>2</sup> (as assayed by viability) and a dose rate of 2.68 J/m<sup>2</sup>/s (7). The cells were transferred to fresh YEA and incubated with shaking at 30°C for the appropriate time periods. The cells were then collected by centrifugation and mixed with an equal volume of extraction buffer prior to being frozen at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. Extracts were prepared as described above.

UV damage endonuclease (UVDE) assays were carried out essentially as described previously (10). Briefly, whole-cell extract (100  $\mu$ g) was incubated at 37°C for 5 to 15 min with 0.02 pmol of 3'-end-labelled 6-4 photoproduct 51-mer in 45 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.8)–70 mM KCl-7 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> in a 20-µl reaction volume. The samples were treated with proteinase K and extracted with phenol-chloroform, and the DNA was analyzed on denaturing 15% polyacrylamide–urea gels. The gels were dried and exposed to X-ray film, and the results were quantitated on an image analysis system (Fuji).

Northern hybridization. Total RNA was isolated from cells grown in YEA to late log phase (2  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup>/ml). Approximately 0.3 ml of packed cells was resuspended in 4 ml of TRIzol (Gibco BRL), and then 0.5-mm-diameter glass beads were added up to the meniscus. The cells were lysed by three rounds of highspeed vortexing, each for 30 s, with 2 to 3 min of cooling on ice in between rounds. Then 4 ml of TRIzol and 1.6 ml of CHCl3 were added, the solution was mixed, and the aqueous phase was separated by centrifugation. The aqueous layer was extracted with phenol-chloroform, and the RNA was precipitated with an equal volume of isopropanol. Poly(A)+ mRNA was isolated on Oligotex columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's specifications. Northern blot analysis was carried out as described elsewhere (25), using 3 µg of each mRNA sample. The mRNA was transferred to a Zetablot membrane (Bio-Rad), and <sup>32</sup>P-labelled probes were synthesized by PCR amplification of two regions of the uve1+ gene with primer pairs UVDE1-UVDE2 and UVDE7-UVDE10 (Table 2), using the process described above. uve1+ mRNA amounts were normalized to  $leu1^+$ , which was synthesized with primers LEU1 and LEU2 (Table 2). Radioactive imaging and quantitation were carried out with a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).

HU treatment, fluorescent microscopy, and FACS analysis. S. pombe cells were grown in minimal medium plus the necessary supplements to a density of approximately 5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>/ml, and hydroxyurea (HU) was added to a concentration of 12 mM. Samples were taken at various time points and either diluted and plated or fixed with 70% ethanol. Plated cells were incubated at 30°C for 3 days and then colonies were counted. After 24 h, the fixed cells were treated in one of two ways. Cells were washed once with 50 mM sodium citrate, resuspended in 0.5 ml of 50 mM sodium citrate, and then treated with 250 µg of DNase-free RNase at 37°C for 1 h. DNA was stained by the addition of 0.5 ml of a 2.5-µg/ml solution of propidium iodide in 50 mM sodium citrate. Cells prepared in this way were used for both fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry. Fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis was performed on a Coulter EPICS Elite flow cytometer. Alternatively, cells were recovered from fixation, resuspended in 50 mM sodium citrate (pH 7.0), and stored at 4°C until they were stained. To stain the DNA, cells were collected and stained by resuspension in a 0.5-µg/ml solution of 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in 50 mM sodium citrate. In both cases, the cells were viewed by fluorescence microscopy.

UV and gamma irradiation experiments. S. pombe UV irradiations were performed with a 254-nm germicidal lamp at a dose rate of 2.68 J/m<sup>2</sup>/s. Cells were grown to mid-log phase ( $2 \times 10^6$  to  $1 \times 10^7$ /ml) and plated at various concentrations depending on the dose of UV used. For each irradiation, approximately 1,000 cells were plated on minimal selective medium. Following irradiation, the plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 to 4 days and then the numbers of viable colonies were determined. The results presented are based on three independent experiments.

S. pombe gamma irradiations were carried out on cells grown to densities of  $5 \times 10^6$  to  $5 \times 10^7$  in YEA. Cells were plated onto YEA plates and irradiated in a Gamma Cell 220 irradiator (Nordion International Inc., Kanata, Ontario, Canada) at a dose rate of approximately 1 Gy/s. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days, and the colonies were then counted.

Generation and analysis of the  $rad12^+$ -overproducing (OP) strain Sp359. To create a cell line that produces high levels of wild-type  $rad12^+$ , the  $rad12^+$  sequence was subcloned into pART1, behind the *adh* promoter. This plasmid was constructed in two steps. First, primers BLS5 and BLS6 were synthesized. BLS5 introduced a *Psil* restriction site just upstream of the translation-initiating ATG sequence of rad12. BLS6 primers inside the gene, downstream of a unique *Bam*HI site. Two micrograms of pgR12, linearized with *Sal*I, was used as a template for 10 rounds of PCR amplification with 100 pM each BLS5 and BLS6 and the thermostable DNA polymerase *Pful* (Stratagene). The PCR product was digested with *Psil* and *Bam*HI, gel purified, and ligated into *Pstl*- and *Bam*HI-digested pARTI, creating pPBr12. The 3' portion of the  $rad12^+$  gene was then



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of rad12<sup>+</sup>. (a) Alignment of Rad12p and BLMp sequences, showing the conserved acidic (A), basic (B), RecQp-like helicase (H), and extended high-level-homology domains. The acidic and basic regions exhibit greater than 30% acidic or basic residues over a span of at least 20 amino acids. The highly conserved helicase domain has been previously defined (13). The extended region of high-level homology is a region of 37% identity (57% similarity) that extends for an additional 199 amino acid residues past the C terminus of the helicase domain. (b) Corresponding schematic representation of the *rad12*<sup>+</sup> gene and the construct used to generate the gene disruption, *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> (Sp359).

isolated. Five micrograms of pgR12 DNA was digested with *Sal*I and then incubated with 200  $\mu$ M each deoxynucleoside triphosphate plus 5 U of T4 DNA polymerase for 15 min at 14°C to create a blunt end. The DNA was then digested with *Bam*HI and ligated into *Sma*I- and *Bam*HI-digested pPBr12. The resulting plasmid, pgR12OP, places the *rad12* sequence just in front of the *adh* promoter. One microgram of pgR12OP was transformed into Sp263 ( $h^{-S}$  leu1-32), and selection for transformants was performed on minimal-medium plates.

To determine the effect of overexpression of  $rad12^+$  on cell survival following UV irradiation, Sp263 cells transformed with pgR12OP and 972 cells were grown in minimum medium to mid-log phase and plated onto minimal-medium plates at 200 to 300 cells per plate. The cells were then irradiated with 60 J of 254-nm UV light/m<sup>2</sup>. Colonies were counted after 3 days. Sp263 cells transformed with pgR12OP and 972 cells were assayed for their checkpoint responses following UV radiation. Both cell types were grown to mid-log phase, irradiated at 60 J/m<sup>2</sup>, allowed to recover in liquid minimal medium for 40 min, fixed with 70% ethanol, and stained with a 50- $\mu$ g/ml solution of propidium iodide. Cells were examined by fluorescence microscopy.

## RESULTS

 $rad12^+$  encodes a DNA helicase homologous to the Bloom's syndrome disease gene. Initial attempts to clone the  $rad12^+$ gene by complementation of the radiation sensitivity phenotype were unsuccessful. One possible explanation for this was that the rad12-502 mutation was dominant. Diploid strains that were wild type (Sp12) or either homozygous (Sp304) or heterozygous (Sp303) for rad12-502 were constructed and tested for sensitivity to UV light. The rad12-502 heterozygote was as resistant to UV light as the wild-type homozygote, indicating that the rad12-502 allele is recessive.

Our prior genetic analysis indicated that  $rad12^+$  is closely linked to  $rad15^+$ , on chromosome 1, so we adopted a positional cloning strategy to identify the  $rad12^+$  gene. Subclones of cosmids spanning this region (22) were used to generate targeted homologous-recombination constructs bearing the *sup3-5* marker, as described in Materials and Methods. Preliminary analysis indicated that  $rad12^+$  was located in a region that included four genes, one of which was SPAC2G11.12, the *S. pombe* homolog of the Bloom's syndrome susceptibility gene, *BLM* (Fig. 1a). Because of the phenotypes associated with Bloom's syndrome, this helicase was considered a strong candidate for the  $rad12^+$  gene, and we tested this hypothesis directly.

An *NheI-SalI* fragment containing the entire SPAC2G11.12 gene was subcloned into pBluescript SK, generating the plasmid pgR12. A gene disruption construct was generated by

replacement of the central 2.1 kb, including the helicase domain, with the  $ura4^+$  gene (Fig. 1b). The construct was used to generate the  $rad12::ura4^+$  null strain Sp358. This null strain was crossed with a rad12-502 mutant, and the resultant progeny were analyzed by random spore analysis. Of 2,600 colonies tested, no wild-type (radiation-resistant) recombinants were identified, indicating that  $rad12^+$  encodes the Bloom's syndrome-like helicase SPAC2G11.12. In addition, the rad12-502mutation has been sequenced and shown to result in an altered ATP binding site (T to I at amino acid 543 [MPTGGGK] [23]). This is the same gene that was reported by Stewart et al. (32) to be the  $rqh1^+$  gene, which was originally identified as  $hus2^+$ in a screen of HU-sensitive S. pombe mutants (9). We continue with the use of the  $rad12^+$  designation, because this was the first mutation isolated and published for this gene (24).

Survival curves demonstrated that rad12-502 and rad12:: $ura4^+$  have comparable sensitivities to UV light and to transient DNA inhibition by HU (Fig. 2a and c). Our studies further showed that the loss of  $rad12^+$  leads to  $\gamma$ -ray sensitivity (Fig. 2b). The sensitivity of rad12-502 to  $\gamma$  radiation contradicts an earlier report (24). In addition, the  $rad12::ura4^+$  cells have the unusual morphology exhibited by rad12-502 cells (see below). With both UV and  $\gamma$  irradiation, rad12-502 cells were slightly less sensitive than  $rad12::ura4^+$ , indicating that the rad12-502 mutation is not a null allele.

rad12<sup>+</sup> acts upstream of rad9<sup>+</sup> in release from the S-phase checkpoint and UVDE regulation. Our initial interest in the  $rad12^+$  gene grew from our studies of the UVDE. In an early screen of the S. pombe rad1 through rad23 mutants, only rad12-502 had reduced UVDE activity (10). By contrast, we found that extracts prepared from a rad9-192 mutant strain showed consistently high levels of UVDE activity. rad9<sup>+</sup> is one of the six checkpoint rad genes, responsible for G<sub>2</sub>- and S-phase checkpoint control, that have been identified in S. pombe. UVDE activity is approximately fivefold higher in whole-cell extracts prepared from rad9-192 or rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup> cells than in extracts prepared from wild-type cells (Fig. 3a). This level of UVDE activity is comparable to that seen in wild-type cells in which UVDE levels have been induced by irradiating with UV light prior to assaying for activity (7) (Fig. 3a). In addition, when *rad9::ura4*<sup>+</sup> cells were assayed for UVDE activity after exposure to UV light, UVDE levels did not increase signifi-



FIG. 2. Sensitivity of the *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> mutant to DNA damage and transient inhibition of replication. Shown are UV light (a),  $\gamma$  radiation (b), and HU (c) survival curves of the wild-type (Sp30) ( $\bullet$ ), *rad12-502* (Sp272) ( $\blacksquare$ ), and *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> (Sp358) ( $\blacktriangle$ ) strains. (a and b) Strains were grown to mid-log phase, plated at appropriate dilutions, and treated with the indicated doses of radiation. Colonies were allowed to grow 4 days at 32°C, at which time the numbers of colonies were determined. Colony numbers were normalized to those of the nonirradiated samples. (c) Strains were grown to mid-log phase; then HU was added to 12 mM. Samples were removed from the HU at the indicated times and transferred to plates for viability testing. Colonies were allowed to grow 4 days at 32°C, at which time the numbers of colonies were determined. Colony numbers were normalized to those of the samples harvested at the time of addition of HU (time = 0). In all cases, error bars represent the standard deviations of the data. Where error bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols representing the data points.

cantly. Figure 3b shows that basal  $uve1^+$  mRNA levels were identical in wild-type and rad9 null cells, when normalized to control ( $leu1^+$ ) mRNA levels. Further, the time courses of  $uve1^+$  mRNA induction following irradiation were identical in wild-type and rad9 mutants (Fig. 3c). In both wild-type and rad9 mutant cells, maximal  $uve1^+$  mRNA levels were observed 10 min after treatment with UV. Also, the extents of induction were comparable; when normalized to control  $leu1^+$  mRNA, and compared to uninduced mRNA levels, the maximal observed  $uve1^+$  mRNA inductions were 3.4-fold in wild type and 3.7-fold in rad9 mutant cells. This indicates that the elevation of UVDE activity in rad9 mutants is the result of a posttranscriptional regulation which functions in addition to the previously reported transcriptional regulation of  $uve1^+$  following UV treatment (7).

While UVDE activity is limiting in whole-cell extracts prepared from rad12-502 mutants (10), when rad12-502 cells were UV irradiated prior to extract preparation, UVDE activity increased to the levels seen in UV-induced wild-type extracts (7). This suggests that  $rad12^+$  is not required for the induction of UVDE activity in response to UV damage but rather, like  $rad9^+$ , is involved in the regulation of basal UVDE levels. To establish the epistasis of  $rad9^+$  and  $rad12^+$ , a  $rad9::ura4^+$ rad12-502 double mutant was constructed and the genotype was confirmed by outcrossing. UVDE activity in the double mutant was elevated to levels similar to those observed in  $rad9::ura4^+$  single mutants (Fig. 3d). This indicates that  $rad9^+$ acts downstream of  $rad12^+$  in the regulation of UVDE activity.

We next tested for epistasis between  $rad12^+$  and  $rad9^+$ . UV survival curves for the double mutant are presented in Fig. 4a. At low UV doses ( $\sim 20 \text{ J/m}^2$ ), the  $rad9::ura4^+$  rad12-502 double mutant was slightly more sensitive to UV than the single  $rad9::ura4^+$  mutant. However, at all other doses, the sensitivity of the double mutant was indistinguishable from that of the  $rad9::ura4^+$  single mutant. This epistasis indicates that the pathways for  $rad9^+$  and  $rad12^+$  are partially overlapping, though not identical. The increased sensitivity at low UV doses is indicative of a second function for either Rad9p or Rad12p under these conditions.

Additional evidence that Rad12p functions upstream of Rad9p was shown by another criterion. As mentioned above, rad12-502 and  $rad12::ura4^+$  cells have an abnormal morphology; approximately 20% of the cells are elongated to two to three times the wild-type length (Fig. 4b). This morphological

abnormality is absent in  $rad9::ura4^+$  rad12-502 double mutants. The absence of this phenotype in a  $rad9::ura4^+$  background indicates that the elongation is  $rad9^+$  dependent. This suggests that  $rad9^+$  acts downstream of  $rad12^+$  in maintaining normal cell morphology and that  $rad12^+$  influences the cell cycle through  $rad9^+$ .

Overexpression of Rad12 leads to a checkpoint defect. The results described above indirectly show that Rad12p acts as a negative regulator of Rad9p. This predicts that overexpression of Rad12p should repress Rad9p levels, resulting in cells which are checkpoint defective. To test this directly, we produced a Rad12p-overexpressing strain by cloning  $rad12^+$  into the vector pART1, placing  $rad12^+$  under the control of the strong *adh* promoter. This construct was transformed into the wild-type S. pombe strain Sp263, creating the  $rad12^+$  OP strain Sp359. We examined this strain, as well as rad9 and rad12 mutant strains, for the ability to enter and exit the S-phase checkpoint, which responds to transient inhibition of DNA replication. Log-phase cultures of wild-type, rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup>, rad12::ura4<sup>+</sup>, and rad12<sup>+</sup> OP were prepared and treated with 12 mM HU. At hourly intervals after addition of HU, cells were fixed in ethanol. In all cases, after 5 h, >80% of cells exhibited G<sub>1</sub>/S DNA content, as assayed by flow cytometry (Fig. 5a). At this time, the cells were collected by centrifugation and fresh medium lacking HU was added. The cells were incubated for an additional 140 min, with aliquots being collected and fixed with ethanol every 20 min. FACS analysis showed that all but the rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup> mutant resumed DNA synthesis with similar kinetics, with the peak of replication occurring 60 to 80 min after release from the block (Fig. 5a). Even though the viability of the rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup> culture was about 1% after the 5-h HU block (see below), about 50% of these cells resumed DNA synthesis to an extent that was detectable by FACS (Fig. 5a).

Log-phase, HU-blocked (5 h), and HU-released (140 min) cells from the above-described time course were stained with propidium iodide and examined microscopically (Fig. 5b). Wild-type cells entered the S-phase checkpoint normally and had intact interphase nuclei during the HU block. These cells resumed normal division after release from the HU block. By contrast, *rad9::ura4*<sup>+</sup> cells were defective in the S-phase checkpoint and segregated chromosomes prematurely during the HU block. By the time of release from the HU block, most of the *rad9::ura4*<sup>+</sup> cells displayed the typical cut phenotype associated with premature lethal mitosis. The *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup> mutants



FIG. 3.  $rad9^+$  acts downstream of  $rad12^+$  in the repression of basal levels of UVDE activity. (a) Cells were grown to late log phase and then treated with UV where indicated. Cells were allowed to recover for the indicated time periods and then harvested, and whole-cell extracts were prepared. The extracts were assayed for UVDE activity, as measured by cleavage of an oligonucleotide substrate with a single internal UV photoproduct from a 51mer to a 31mer. WT, wild type. (b) Basal levels of  $uve1^+$  mRNA were determined by Northern analysis in wild-type and rad9 null cells. Samples were subjected to electrophoresis, transfer, and hybridization against  $uve1^+$  and  $leu1^+$  probes simultaneously. (c) Time course of  $uve1^+$  mRNA induction in wild-type (972) and rad9 null (Sp325) cells after treatment with 50 J of UV light/m<sup>2</sup>. Inductions were performed as described in Materials and Methods. Cells were harvested at the indicated times (in minutes) after induction. Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA was subjected to Northern analysis with probes directed against  $uve1^+$  and  $leu1^+$ . (d) UVDE activity was assayed as for panel a, using strains rad12-502 (Sp275) (lane 3),  $rad9:ura4^+$  (Sp325) (lane 2), and rad12-502 rad9: $ura4^+$  (Sp345) (lane 1) mutants.

entered the S-phase checkpoint normally and exhibited interphase nuclei similar to those of wild-type cells. However, on release from S phase, many of these cells failed to segregate their chromosomes normally. This result confirms previously published data (32) and indicates that  $rad12^+$  function is required for a proper exit from S phase. The  $rad12^+$  OP strain, like the  $rad9::ura4^+$  mutant, exhibited premature chromosomal segregation in the presence of HU. This was a clear indication that the S-phase checkpoint is deficient in these cells. After release from the HU block, the  $rad12^+$  OP strains exhibited many aberrantly segregated nuclei, although other cells appeared normal.

Viability studies of these strains were also performed. Figure 6 shows that while wild-type cells roughly doubled in relative viability after addition of HU, the *rad9::ura4*<sup>+</sup>, *rad12::ura4*<sup>+</sup>,

and  $rad12^+$  OP strains lost viability to various degrees. The viability loss of the  $rad12^+$  OP was the least severe, but this strain still exhibited only about 25% of the viability of the wild type at later time points. This confirms the microscopic examination of these cells, which indicated that while the  $rad12^+$  OP is S-phase checkpoint deficient, the deficiency is not as severe as that of a true checkpoint null strain ( $rad9::ura4^+$ ).

# DISCUSSION

The studies reported here implicate the  $rad12^+$  gene product as a negative regulator of the S-phase checkpoint acting via the  $rad9^+$  gene. The cloning of the  $rad12^+$  gene revealed that it is a member of a family of genes related to the recQ gene of *E. coli*, which includes the human *BLM* and *WRN* genes, and the *SGS1* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (8, 11, 37). Defects in *BLM* and *WRN* lead to the human diseases Bloom's syn-







FIG. 4.  $rad12^+$  and  $rad9^+$  are epistatic; the aberrant morphology of rad12 mutants is suppressed in a  $rad9::ura4^+$  background. (a) UV survival curves were constructed as described in Materials and Methods. Wild-type (972) ( $\bigcirc$ ) cells are highly resistant to UV light. rad12-502 cells (Sp275) ( $\blacksquare$ ) are moderately sensitive to UV light. rad12-502 rad9:: $ura4^+$  double-mutant cells (Sp345) ( $\blacklozenge$ ) are no more sensitive to UV light than  $rad9::ura4^+$  single-mutant cells (Sp325) ( $\blacklozenge$ ). (b) Late-log-phase cells which were either wild type (972), rad12-502 (Sp275),  $rad9::ura4^+$  (Sp325), or rad12-502  $rad9::ura4^+$  (Sp345) were fixed with ethanol, stained with propidium iodide, and examined by fluorescence microscopy. rad12-502 cell populations exhibit many elongated cells, which are not present in rad12-502  $rad9:ura4^+$  double mutants.





FIG. 5.  $rad12^+$  OP cells are defective in the S-phase checkpoint. Wild-type (Sp263),  $rad9::ura4^+$  (Sp325),  $rad12::ura4^+$  (Sp358), and  $rad12^+$  OP (Sp359) strains were grown to mid-log phase at 25°C, arrested with HU, and then released 5 h later. (a) Aliquots were fixed with ethanol each hour during the HU block and every 20 min after HU block release. Samples were stained with propidium iodide and analyzed by flow cytometry. (b) The indicated strains were prepared identically to those in panel a. The 0-h (log phase), 5-h post-HU addition (HU blocked), and 140-min post-HU removal (HU released) samples were stained with propidium iodide and examined by fluorescence microscopy.

drome and Werner's syndrome, respectively.  $rad12^+$  is allelic to the recently reported  $rqh1^+$  gene of S. pombe (32). This family of genes is characterized by a central RecQ-like helicase domain and various other regions of structural similarity extending both N and C terminally. However, the role of these genes in normal cell growth is unknown. Mutations in the different genes lead to somewhat different phenotypes. Bloom's syndrome is characterized by high rates of sister chromatid exchanges (SCE) and an extremely high incidence of cancer with early onset (12). Werner's syndrome is a disease of premature aging, with high cancer rates but no increase in SCE (6, 28). Loss of SGS1 function in Saccharomyces cerevisiae suppresses the slow-growth phenotype seen in the topoisomerase mutant top3, and two-hybrid studies have shown that Sgs1 associates with both Top2p and Top3p (11, 36). The mutant rad12-502 allele was first identified as a UV-sensitive



FIG. 6. Sensitivity of the  $rad12^+$  OP to HU treatment. HU survival curves of the wild type (972) ( $\bullet$ ),  $rad12::ura4^+$  (Sp358) ( $\blacksquare$ ),  $rad9::ura4^+$  (Sp325) ( $\bullet$ ), and  $rad12^+$  OP (Sp359) ( $\bullet$ ). Strains were grown to mid-log phase; then 12 mM HU was added. Samples were removed from HU at the indicated times and plated. Colonies were allowed to grow for 4 days at 32°C. Colony numbers were normalized to the samples harvested at time zero, the time of addition of HU. In all cases, error bars represent the standard deviations of the data. Where error bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbols representing the data points.

mutant, while the allelic rqh1-h2 (hus2-22) was identified in a screen for HU sensitivity (9, 24). In addition, we have demonstrated here that rad12 mutant cells are sensitive to gamma rays.  $rad12^+$  is the only gene in this family that has been shown conclusively to be required for protection from UV light,  $\gamma$  radiation, or HU. Most recently, two papers have been published which implicate *SGS1* in cell aging (29, 30). Morphologically, this is manifested as premature disintegration of the nucleolus. This implies that *SGS1* may function in a manner more analogous to *WRN* than to *BLM*. It will be of great interest to determine whether this is the case for  $rad12^+$ .

Our interest in  $rad12^+$  began with a screen of radiationsensitive mutants of S. pombe that were defective in UVDE activity. In this screen, rad12-502 cells had low UVDE activity (10). We had also observed that UVDE activity is inducible by UV radiation (7). This was based on measurements of UVDE activity in extracts prepared from wild-type cells which were irradiated with 254-nm light. Interestingly, UVDE activity is also inducible in rad12-502, with UVDE activity increasing to levels comparable to those seen in induced wild-type extracts (7). This observation demonstrated that  $rad12^+$  is a positive regulator of basal UVDE activity levels. In contrast, rad9 mutant cells (either rad9-192 or rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup>) were shown here to have elevated levels of UVDE when assayed in extracts prepared from untreated cells. Furthermore, UVDE activity is not induced to significantly higher levels when rad9 mutant cells are UV irradiated. This indicates that  $rad9^+$  is a negative regulator of basal UVDE activity levels and that the loss of  $rad9^+$  leads to derepression of UVDE activity. These data led us to speculate that  $rad9^+$  and  $rad12^+$  are members of the same pathway, and the series of studies reported here is consistent with this conclusion.

UV survival data of the  $rad12-502 rad9::ura4^+$  double mutant demonstrate that these two genes share a common overlapping, but not identical, pathway (Fig. 4). An increased sensitivity to UV light was observed in the double mutant at very low doses ( $<40 \text{ J/m}^2$ ), indicative of a second function for either Rad9p or Rad12p under these conditions. To determine the order of these genes in the common pathway, we measured UVDE activity in our double mutant  $rad12-502 rad9::ura4^+$ . Extracts prepared from this double mutant have elevated levels of UVDE, comparable to those of rad9 mutant cells. This result is consistent with  $rad12^+$  acting upstream of  $rad9^+$ . While this is the simplest model to explain the regulation of UVDE by  $rad9^+$  and  $rad12^+$ , it is not the only possible one. We cannot exclude the possibility that  $rad9^+$  and  $rad12^+$  act in different pathways with respect to UVDE regulation, with  $rad9^+$  exerting a stronger effect and  $rad12^+$  exerting a weaker effect.

A second, independent experiment supporting the ordering of  $rad12^+$  upstream of  $rad9^+$  came from studies on cell morphology in rad12 mutants. As seen in Fig. 4b, cycling rad12-502 cells have a subpopulation (about 20%) which are elongated, a phenotype suggesting that these cells are undergoing a cell cycle delay. There are two explanations for this result. One is that rad12 mutant cells undergo increased spontaneous DNA damage and are G<sub>2</sub> delayed in response to the damage. The alternative explanation is that the levels of checkpoint gene products are higher in rad12 mutant cells and that this leads to spontaneous G<sub>2</sub> arrest. This phenotype is suppressed in rad12-502 rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup> double mutants, demonstrating that this effect is  $rad9^+$  dependent. Again, this places  $rad12^+$  upstream of  $rad9^+$  and leads us to favor the hypothesis that the elongated phenotype of rad12 mutants is due to upregulation of the S-phase checkpoint.

If  $rad12^+$  negatively regulates  $rad9^+$ , then its overproduction in cells should lead to a checkpoint-deficient phenotype. To test this theory,  $rad12^+$  was cloned behind the strong *adh* promoter, creating  $rad12^+$  OP. Cells overproducing  $rad12^+$ were found to be sensitive to transient exposure to HU treatment (Fig. 6), which is consistent with an S-phase checkpoint defect. We went on to carefully study this potential S-phase checkpoint defect in the  $rad12^+$  OP strain by FACS and fluorescence microscopy analyses. HU treatment blocks DNA replication in all cells tested, leading to arrest at the S-phase checkpoint. Despite this block in replication, checkpoint-deficient cells continue to divide and cells are seen to have a characteristic cut phenotype, in which their DNA prematurely segregates and nuclei become fragmented. As seen in Fig. 5b, rad9::ura4<sup>+</sup> cells show a typical checkpoint defect following treatment with HU. At 5 h after addition of HU, rad9::ura4 cells have not arrested cell division, despite the fact that DNA synthesis has halted, as determined by FACS analysis (Fig. 5a). Very similar defects are seen in the rad12<sup>+</sup> OP strain, although the frequency of nuclear fragmentation here is lower than that observed in rad9 null mutants (20% versus >50%). This is not surprising and suggests that  $rad12^+$  is not the sole regulator of  $rad9^+$ .

By contrast,  $rad12::ura4^+$  cells demonstrate quite a different checkpoint deficiency. At 5 h post-HU treatment,  $rad12::ura4^+$ cells are not dividing but continue to elongate, just as wild-type cells behave. Thus,  $rad12^+$  is not required for entry into the S-phase checkpoint. However, when rad12 null cells are now released from the HU block and replication is completed (as assayed by FACS analysis), these cells do not properly segregate their chromosomes, indicating that exiting from S phase is defective (Fig. 5b).

These results are consistent with the observations of Stewart et al. on rqh1 mutant cells (32). They reported that an rqh1 null mutant had high recombination frequencies and increased chromosome loss, a phenotype similar to the high SCE frequencies seen in human *BLM* mutant cells. In addition, they showed that while rqh1 mutant cells arrested following HU treatment, they were defective in exiting S phase. They speculated that this defect could be attributed to the loss of its function in suppressing recombination. In their model, they envisioned that the loss of  $rqh1^+$  leads to increased recombination and to intermediates that cannot be resolved at mitosis, leading to the cut phenotype seen in HU-treated rqh1 mutant cells. This could be tied to its potential relationship with topoisomerases as seen in *SGS1* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (11, 36). If this is in fact the case, Rad12p would be physically required for completion of S phase. Taken together with the work presented here, which showed that  $rad12^+$  regulates the S-phase checkpoint, this would implicate Rad12p as a protein which couples the physical completion of replication with the regulatory release from the S-phase checkpoint.

There are a number of issues still to be resolved with respect to comparisons between different members of this gene family. First, in *S. pombe*, no connection between  $rad12^+$  and any topoisomerase has been established. Further, it has been reported that when the helicase activity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae SGS1* was specifically altered it did not function like the original *sgs1* mutant in restoring normal growth in *top3* mutant cells or causing slow growth in a *top1* mutant background (20). This indicates that the helicase activity of Sgs1 is not essential for its interaction with the topoisomerase. Interestingly, we showed here that the *rad12-502* mutant, which is defective in the helicase's ATP binding domain, is still sensitive to HU, characteristic of the observed defect in existing from the Sphase checkpoint.

The comparisons between  $rad12^+$  and BLM are also unclear. rad12 mutants are clearly defective in existing from the S-phase checkpoint. However, it is unclear whether  $rad12^+$  is involved in regulation of the G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint responding to DNA damage. However, this seems likely, since rad12 mutants are sensitive to UV light and  $\gamma$  radiation. By contrast, BLM mutant cells are not sensitive to DNA damage, and it is not known whether they are sensitive to inhibition of DNA synthesis. One potential downstream target of BLM, the human homolog of  $rad9^+$ , HRAD9, complements the HU sensitivity of *S. pombe* rad9::ura4 cells efficiently, but the radiation sensitivity is complemented poorly or not at all (19). This may suggest that BLMis involved in regulating the S-phase checkpoint pathway only and not the G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint pathway monitoring DNA damage.

One conclusion that can be made from this work is that a helicase is acting in an inhibitory manner toward checkpoint control. If single-stranded DNA intermediates of repair initiate the signal which leads to checkpoint arrest (21), one would expect helicases to act in general as positive regulators of checkpoint control. The loss of such a helicase might be expected to result in a checkpoint-defective phenotype, which is not the case for rad12-502 (1). Our data are more consistent with a model in which the DNA-protein complexes formed during replication or repair initiate the signal that leads to checkpoint arrest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.D. is a Career Scientist of the Cancer Care Ontario (CCO), and this work was supported in part by CCO startup funds and MRCC grant MT-14352 to S.D. G.A.F. is supported by NIH grant CA72647. H.B.L. is supported in part by an NIH Research Career Development Award (CA68446) and by NIH grants GM52493 and CA73043 and American Cancer Society grant CN-106.

#### REFERENCES

- al-Khodairy, F., and A. M. Carr. 1992. DNA repair mutants defining G<sub>2</sub> checkpoint pathways in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. EMBO J. 11:1343– 1350.
- al-Khodairy, F., E. Fotou, K. S. Sheldrick, D. J. Griffiths, A. R. Lehmann, and A. M. Carr. 1994. Identification and characterization of new elements involved in checkpoint and feedback controls in fission yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 5:147–160.
- Beach, D., L. Rodgers, and J. Gould. 1985. ran1<sup>+</sup> controls the transition from mitotic division to meiosis in fission yeast. Curr. Genet. 10:297–311.
- Bentley, N. J., D. A. Holtzman, G. Flaggs, K. S. Keegan, A. DeMaggio, J. C. Ford, M. Hoekstra, and A. M. Carr. 1996. The Schizosaccharomyces pombe rad3 checkpoint gene. EMBO J. 15:6641–6651.

- Cimprich, K. A., R. B. Shin, C. T. Keith, and S. L. Schreiber. 1996. cDNA cloning and gene mapping of a candidate human cell cycle checkpoint protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:2850–2855.
- Darlington, G. J., R. Dutkowski, and W. T. Brown. 1981. Sister chromatid exchange frequencies in progeria and Werner syndrome patients. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 33:762–766.
- Davey, S., M. L. Nass, J. V. Ferrer, K. Sidik, A. Eisenberger, D. L. Mitchell, and G. A. Freyer. 1997. The fission yeast UVDR DNA repair pathway is inducible. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:1002–1008.
- Ellis, N. A., J. Groden, T. Z. Ye, J. Straughen, D. J. Lennon, S. Ciocci, M. Proytcheva, and J. German. 1995. The Bloom's syndrome gene product is homologous to RecQ helicases. Cell 83:655–666.
- Enoch, T., A. M. Carr, and P. Nurse. 1992. Fission yeast genes involved in coupling mitosis to completion of DNA replication. Genes Dev. 6:2035– 2046.
- Freyer, G. A., S. Davey, J. V. Ferrer, A. M. Martin, D. Beach, and P. W. Doetsch. 1995. An alternative eukaryotic DNA excision repair pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15:4572–4577.
- Gangloff, S., J. P. McDonald, C. Bendixen, L. Arthur, and R. Rothstein. 1994. The yeast type I topoisomerase Top3 interacts with Sgs1, a DNA helicase homolog: a potential eukaryotic reverse gyrase. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14:8391–8398.
- 12. German, J. 1995. Bloom's syndrome. Dermatol. Clin. 13:7-18.
- Gorbalenya, A. E., E. V. Koonin, A. P. Donchenko, and V. M. Blinov. 1989. Two related superfamilies of putative helicases involved in replication, recombination, repair and expression of DNA and RNA genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:4713–4730.
- 14. Hartwell, L. 1992. Defects in a cell cycle checkpoint may be responsible for the genomic instability of cancer cells. Cell **71:5**43–546.
- Hawthorne, D. C., and U. Leupold. 1974. Suppressor mutations in yeast. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 64:1–47.
- Hoheisel, J. D., E. Maier, R. Mott, L. McCarthy, A. V. Grigoriev, L. C. Schalkwyk, D. Nizetic, F. Francis, and H. Lehrach. 1993. High resolution cosmid and P1 maps spanning the 14 Mb genome of the fission yeast S. pombe. Cell 73:109–120.
- Leupold, U. 1970. Genetical methods for *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Methods Cell Physiol. 4:169–177.
- Lieberman, H. B., K. M. Hopkins, M. Laverty, and H. M. Chu. 1992. Molecular cloning and analysis of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe rad9*, a gene involved in DNA repair and mutagenesis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 232:367–376.
- Lieberman, H. B., K. M. Hopkins, M. Nass, D. Demetrick, and S. Davey. 1996. A human homolog of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe rad9*<sup>+</sup> checkpoint control gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:13890–13895.
- Lu, J., J. R. Mullen, S. J. Brill, S. Kleff, A. M. Romeo, and R. Sternglanz. 1996. Human homologues of yeast helicase. Nature 383:678–679.
- 21. Lydall, D., and T. Weinert. 1995. Yeast checkpoint genes in DNA damage

processing: implications for repair and arrest. Science 270:1488–1491.

- Mizukami, T., W. I. Chang, İ. Garkavtsev, N. Kaplan, D. Lombardi, T. Matsumoto, O. Niwa, A. Kounosu, M. Yanagida, T. G. Marr, and D. Beach. 1993. A 13 kb resolution cosmid map of the 14 Mb fission yeast genome by nonrandom sequence-tagged site mapping. Cell **73**:121–132.
- Murray, J. M., H. D. Lindsay, C. A. Munday, and A. M. Carr. 1997. Role of Schizosaccharomyces pombe RecQ homolog, recombination, and checkpoint genes in UV damage tolerance. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:6868–6875.
- Nasim, A., and B. P. Smith. 1975. Genetic control of radiation sensitivity in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genetics 79:573–582.
- Rosen, K. M., E. D. Lamperti, and L. Villa-Komaroff. 1990. Optimizing the Northern blot procedure. BioTechniques 8:398–402.
- Rothstein, R., and S. Gangloff. 1996. Hyper-recombination and Bloom's syndrome: microbes again provide clues about cancer. Genome Res. 5:258– 262.
- Rowley, R., S. Subramani, and P. G. Young. 1992. Checkpoint controls in Schizosaccharomyces pombe: rad1. EMBO J. 11:1335–1342.
- Salk, D., E. Bryant, K. Au, H. Hoehn, and G. M. Martin. 1981. Systematic growth studies, cocultivation, and cell hybridization studies of Werner syndrome cultured skin fibroblasts. Hum. Genet. 58:310–316.
- Sinclair, D. A., and L. Guarente. 1997. Extrachromosomal rDNA circles—a cause of aging in yeast. Cell 91:1033–1042.
- Sinclair, D. A., K. Mills, and L. Guarente. 1997. Accelerated aging and nucleolar fragmentation in yeast sgs1 mutants. Science 277:1313–1316.
- Smith, M. L., and A. J. Fornace, Jr. 1995. Genomic instability and the role of p53 mutations in cancer cells. Curr. Opin. Oncol. 7:69–75.
- 32. Stewart, E., C. R. Chapman, F. al-Khodairy, A. M. Carr, and T. Enoch. 1997. rqh1<sup>+</sup>, a fission yeast gene related to the Bloom's and Werner's syndrome genes, is required for reversible S phase arrest. EMBO J. 16:2682–2692.
- Tlsty, T. D., A. Briot, A. Gualberto, I. Hall, S. Hess, M. Hixon, D. Kuppuswamy, S. Romanov, M. Sage, and A. White. 1995. Genomic instability and cancer. Mutat. Res. 337:1–7.
- Umezu, K., K. Nakayama, and H. Nakayama. 1990. Escherichia coli RecQ protein is a DNA helicase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:5363–5367.
- Watt, P. M., I. D. Hickson, R. H. Borts, and E. J. Louis. 1996. SGS1, a homologue of the Bloom's and Werner's syndrome genes, is required for maintenance of genome stability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 144: 935–945.
- 36. Watt, P. M., E. J. Louis, R. H. Borts, and I. D. Hickson. 1995. Sgs1: a eukaryotic homolog of *E. coli* RecQ that interacts with topoisomerase II in vivo and is required for faithful chromosome segregation. Cell 81:253–260.
- 37. Yu, C. E., J. Oshima, Y. H. Fu, E. M. Wijsman, F. Hisama, R. Alisch, S. Matthews, J. Nakura, T. Miki, S. Ouais, G. M. Martin, J. Mulligan, and G. D. Schellenberg, 1996. Positional cloning of the Werner's syndrome gene. Science 272:258–262.