

BMJ Open Facilitators, barriers and impacts to implementing dementia care training for staff in long-term care settings by using fully immersive virtual reality: a scoping review protocol

Lillian Hung ^{1,2} Yong Zhao ³, Michelle Lam ³, Haopu Ren,³
Karen Lok Yi Wong ³

To cite: Hung L, Zhao Y, Lam M, *et al.* Facilitators, barriers and impacts to implementing dementia care training for staff in long-term care settings by using fully immersive virtual reality: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open* 2024;**14**:e083724. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083724

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083724>).

Received 27 December 2023
Accepted 25 April 2024



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

²Gerontology, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

³IDEA Lab, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Correspondence to

Dr Lillian Hung;
lillian.hung@vch.ca

ABSTRACT

Introduction The rapid growth of the ageing population underscores the critical need for dementia care training among care providers. Innovative virtual reality (VR) technology has created opportunities to improve dementia care training. This scoping review will specifically focus on the barriers, facilitators and impacts of implementing fully immersive VR training for dementia care among staff in long-term care (LTC) settings.

Methods and analysis We will follow the Joanna Briggs Institute's scoping review methodology to ensure scientific rigour. We will collect literature of all languages with abstracts in English from CINAHL, Medline, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science and ProQuest database until 31 December 2023. Grey literature from Google Scholar and AgeWell websites will be included. Inclusion criteria encompass papers involving paid staff (Population), fully immersive VR training on dementia care (Concept) and LTC settings (Context). Literature referring only to non-paid caregivers, non-fully immersive VR or other chronic diseases will be excluded. Literature screening, data extraction and analysis will be conducted by two reviewers separately. We will present a narrative summary with a charting table on the main findings.

Ethics and dissemination This work does not require ethics approval, given the public data availability for this scoping review. Through a comprehensive overview of the current evidence regarding impacts, barriers and facilitators on this topic, potential insights and practical recommendations will be generated to support the implementation of VR training to enhance staff competence in LTC settings. The findings will be presented in a journal article and shared with practitioners on the frontline.

INTRODUCTION

Care providers in LTC settings need support

The challenges faced by long-term care (LTC) staff/formal care providers in managing dementia are significant, partially due to limited training resources.^{1 2} The demand for healthcare workers, including nurses,

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Comprehensive exploration—this scoping review will identify the impacts, barriers and facilitators of implementing virtual reality (VR) training on dementia care in long-term care homes while also exploring the potential impact of VR training on staff competence and the well-being of residents.
- ⇒ Methodological rigour—this scoping review will adhere to the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology to ensure the scientific rigour and robustness of the review process.
- ⇒ Inclusive approach—the review will encompass a diverse array of articles, including those with varied study designs and two languages, providing an inclusive perspective.
- ⇒ Exclusion of informal caregivers—informal caregivers and students in training will be excluded from this review, potentially limiting the breadth of perspectives.
- ⇒ Fully immersive VR technology only—while this study's focus on fully immersive VR technology ensures depth in analysis, it may restrict the exploration of other types of VR, potentially overlooking valuable contributions from alternative approaches.

care aides, housekeeping staff, rehabilitative workers and doctors, is increasingly urgent as dementia prevalence rises.³ This growing need for a well-trained workforce in dementia care places pressure on healthcare resources and adds to the burden experienced by caregivers, leading to burnout.^{4 5} A significant proportion of residents living with dementia in LTC (40%–80%) experience cognitive impairment.⁶ Furthermore, more than half of people living with dementia show responsive behaviours (aggression due to frustration with their unmet needs), and 82% require assistance with activities of daily living.⁶ When combined with inadequate training and

self-efficacy, these factors negatively impact staff members' well-being. The physical and emotional injuries, as well as moral distress associated with dementia care, lead to high turnover rates within LTC homes.⁷ The quality of life of residents relies on proper dementia care training and effective resident–staff communication.⁸ The staff's ability to deliver quality care hinges on good training and communication, with empathy and skill competency particularly crucial for quality dementia care.^{9,10} Effective training strategies to bridge the gaps between theory and practice are vital.¹¹ Implementing innovative training programmes tailored to the complexities of dementia care is imperative to equip LTC staff with the necessary skills and resilience. Virtual reality (VR) technology presents a novel solution to these staff training challenges.

Innovative technology provides opportunities

The origins of VR can be traced back to the 19th century, with significant advancements occurring after major tech companies entered the market in 2014.¹² VR technology has undergone enormous evolution in recent years, featuring thinner, lighter and more comfortable designs, more precise resolution, and seamless transition from the real world to the virtual (mixed reality) through intelligent tracking of the eye, hand and voice. This evolution is exemplified in innovative products like Microsoft HoloLens and Meta Quest.¹³

VR's applications in healthcare have expanded, including diagnostics, cognitive training and caregiver education.¹⁴ The fidelity of the technology to real-life experiences is differentiated into non-immersive, semi-immersive and fully immersive levels, with the latter providing a deeply engaging sense of presence and spatial navigation. Examples of non-immersive equipment may include 2D screens, keyboards, mice or joysticks. Semi-immersive images may be shown on larger flat surface displays. A fully immersive experience may involve the use of surrounding projection surfaces or 3D displays like head-mounted displays (HMDs), together with realistic multisensorial interaction devices.¹⁴ Fully immersive VR systems develop a sense of presence with enhanced spatial navigation that cannot be achieved by non-immersive and semi-immersive set-ups.¹⁵

Training programmes that use VR technology offer risk-free opportunities for care providers to develop proficiency and confidence in their skills.^{1,16,17} For example, one experiential dementia training programme, 'myShoes,' leveraging fully immersive VR, was reported to improve awareness of the symptoms and the lived experience of people with dementia and increase empathy after simulation.¹⁸ Apart from increasing accessibility to dementia care training, VR training is affordable and unrestricted by geographical location, time or available personnel.^{1,9,17,19} VR simulations further provide opportunities for caregivers to recognise the manners in which dementia impacts daily living and build empathy for the population that they will be caring for.^{9,20} Immersion into simulations also allows care providers to gain

firsthand experience of how dementia influences their perception of the environment and shapes everyday challenges—ultimately supporting their ability to aid patients with dementia in meaningful ways to improve their quality of life.^{17,20} Given the exponential innovation in VR technology, it is imperative to systematically evaluate how training programmes addressing healthcare challenges can capitalise on the advantages offered by these advanced products.

VR training for care providers needs to be facilitated

VR training, as an innovative technology aimed at enhancing caregiving competence, has garnered increased attention from researchers in recent years. A preliminary search reveals several systematic reviews on VR training for both incumbent and potential caregivers responsible for residents living with dementia and other chronic diseases. For instance, some reviews focused on VR training programmes for medical, nursing and health professional students.^{21–23} Another systematic review, which reported that VR could effectively enhance caregiving competence and empathy among caregivers, encompassed nine studies. Notably, this review included only research related to informal caregivers and studies focused on various chronic diseases such as dementia, stroke and diabetes.²⁴ Additionally, a systematic review addressing AR/VR-based training demonstrated improvements in knowledge, attitudes and empathy of diverse healthcare professionals, alongside a reduction in stigma towards individuals with various mental health conditions, although only a minority of studies (5 out of 11) specifically addressed dementia.²⁵ When comparing VR technologies, a particular review found that HMD systems were less used in healthcare training, contrary to more common computer and haptic simulations. The findings revealed that HMD systems were only deployed by 19% of healthcare training programmes, which is lower than the usage of computer-based simulators (39%) and haptic simulators (42%).¹⁶ Although recent years have witnessed an increase in the use of VR nursing training simulations, research using immersive HMD-based VR technology remains scarce.¹⁷

The diversity in equipment and targeted populations may undermine the efficacy of these systematic reviews, particularly in discerning differences between various devices.¹⁶ Unlike informal caregivers and students, staff/formal care providers who have face-to-face contact with residents living with dementia experience more workplace violence and undergo consequent emotional and physical tolls.²⁶ Therefore, it is necessary to understand the barriers to implementing immersive VR training and how to facilitate its application in LTC homes for front-line staff. Scoping reviews are commonly employed in emerging fields with limited research literature available, aiming to outline a research topic's volume, nature and characteristics without evaluating the quality of evidence.²⁷ Given the nascent stage of this particular field and the scarcity of published research, a scoping review

was deemed suitable as a framework. This review aims to examine the barriers, facilitators and impacts of implementing training programmes that leverage advanced, fully immersive VR technology for frontline care providers in LTC settings.

REVIEW QUESTION

1. What are the barriers and facilitators to introducing a VR training programme for dementia care among care providers in LTC settings?
2. What impacts does a VR training programme for dementia care have on the staff competence and well-being of residents in LTC settings?

METHODS

Design

The planned scoping review will use the JBI methodology.²⁸ The JBI methodology was chosen due to its comprehensive framework that systematically allows for the inclusion of various study designs and its emphasis on providing practical evidence for healthcare practice and policy development, ensuring a robust and transparent approach to synthesising available literature. The scoping review is appropriate for our study questions because it enables a broad examination of the emerging VR field and assesses the extent of research activity to inform practice and research.

Inclusion criteria

Participants

This review will include studies focusing on VR training programmes for formal care providers (paid employees) in LTC homes, targeting care for residents with dementia exclusively, excluding studies related to informal caregivers, such as family and friends, or medical and nursing students. Formal care providers can be defined as trained professionals who deliver medical and health services. This includes nurses, physicians, therapists and other healthcare workers employed within LTC homes.

Concept

Our review will consider studies that use fully immersive VR technology for training programmes on dementia care, specifically those employing HMD systems. We will exclude studies focusing on 2D simulations and virtual environment-based VR programmes. The included studies should address barriers and facilitators of VR training programme implementation or its impacts on care providers' competencies and residents' well-being. Barriers and facilitators will be assessed by leveraging the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR).²⁹ CFIR is a framework that evaluates factors influencing implementation success, organised into five domains: innovation, inner setting, outer setting, individuals involved and the process of implementation. CFIR helps in understanding the complexities of adopting and integrating interventions within organisations. The

impact on staff competencies and/or the well-being of residents will be assessed using the Kirkpatrick Four-Level Training Evaluation Model.²¹ The Kirkpatrick Four-Level Training Evaluation Model is a widely used framework for evaluating the effectiveness of training programmes. It consists of four levels: reaction, learning, behaviour and results (specifically, well-being of residents).

Context

Due to the high prevalence of residents living with dementia (69%) in LTC and the impacts placed on frontline care providers, we will include studies situated in LTC settings.⁶ We adopted the Canadian Institute for Health Information's definition of LTC homes, 'also called nursing homes, continuing care facilities and residential care homes, which provide a wide range of health and personal care services for Canadians with medical and physical needs who require access to 24-hour nursing care, personal care and other therapeutic and support services'.³⁰ Other contexts, such as assisted living, community and homes, will not be considered in this review.

Types of studies

This scoping review will encompass a range of study designs, including experimental and quasi-experimental approaches, such as randomised controlled trials, non-randomised controlled trials, before-and-after studies and interrupted time-series studies. Analytical observational studies, comprising prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies and analytical cross-sectional studies, will also be taken into account. Descriptive observational study designs, including case series, individual case reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies, will be considered for inclusion.

Qualitative studies focusing on qualitative data will be included, employing designs such as phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, qualitative description, action research and feminist research.

Furthermore, systematic reviews meeting the inclusion criteria may be incorporated, depending on the research question. Additionally, text and opinion papers will be considered for inclusion in this scoping review.

Search strategy

The search aims to identify both published and unpublished studies. A preliminary search in the CINAHL database was conducted to pinpoint articles related to the topic (refer to online supplemental appendix 1). The terms found in article titles and abstracts, along with index terms, were employed to formulate a comprehensive search strategy for English-based search engines, including CINAHL, Medline, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science, ProQuest database and the AgeWell website (Canada's technology and ageing network).³¹ This search strategy, encompassing all identified keywords and index terms, will be customised for each database and information source included. Additionally, the reference lists of all incorporated evidence sources will be scrutinised for

potential supplementary studies. As a scoping review, we are intentional about including all potential articles. For example, due to the mixed use of informal caregivers and formal care providers in various research, we will collect articles related to caregivers and scrutinise them manually at the following screening step.

Inclusion criteria encompass studies in any language with abstracts in English, with a cut-off date of December 2023. Only full-text articles in English and Chinese will undergo full-text screening due to the language proficiency of the team members. Unpublished studies and grey literature will be explored through Google Scholar. Conference abstracts will be used to establish contact with study authors, potentially facilitating access to full-text studies.

Study/source of evidence selection

All identified citations will be gathered and uploaded into the Covidence systematic review tool (Veritas Health Innovation Ltd, AU),³² with duplicates being removed. Following a pilot test in which the review team was assessed to ensure the consistency of evidence selection, two independent reviewers will screen titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria. Potentially relevant sources will be retrieved in full, and their citation details will be imported into the Covidence systematic review tool. Two independent reviewers will thoroughly assess the full text against the inclusion criteria. Exclusion reasons for sources that do not meet the inclusion criteria at the full-text stage will be documented and reported in the scoping review. Any reviewer disagreements will be resolved through group discussion. Outcomes will be comprehensively reported in the final scoping review and depicted in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses extension for scoping review flow diagram.³³

Data extraction

The data will be extracted from articles by two independent reviewers. The Garrard Matrix method will be employed to input data into a designated spreadsheet, encompassing specific information such as participants, study designs, barriers, facilitators, impacts and key findings relevant to the review questions.³⁴ A draft extraction form is provided (see online supplemental appendix 2). Following the JBI methodology, we will conduct a pilot test of the extraction tool on three full-text articles to ensure reliability, with results being mapped for consistency. As this scoping review aims to map the existing literature landscape rather than critically evaluate evidence, we will not assess the study and methodological quality. The draft spreadsheet will be adjusted and revised as necessary during team discussions. Any modifications will be documented in the scoping review report. If necessary, authors of studies will be contacted to request missing or additional data.

Data synthesis

Extracted data will be mapped in a literature table and accompanied by a narrative summary that will connect results to the study objective and research questions. A narrative mapping summary will accompany the tabulated results, organising them into themes. Our comprehensive review will include the presentation of both qualitative and quantitative data.

Patient and public involvement

One patient partner, Jim Mann, and one family partner, Lily Wong, were involved in the conception and planning of the scoping review and will be engaged in the data analysis stage of the scoping review. They have previously collaborated with the first author (LH) in a previous VR study and other scoping reviews.^{35–37} They are older adults and long-term patient and family partners in the UBC IDEA lab (Innovation in Dementia and Aging Lab, the University of British Columbia), contributing valuable insights from lived experiences to research and fostering an inclusive environment to make sure academic research aligns with real-world patient and caregiver needs. In this scoping review, they will actively participate in the study planning and analysis by contributing to group discussions. Their experiences regarding barriers, facilitators and impacts of VR training for caregivers from the perspectives of patients and families will be shared and communicated to the entire review group. Team discussions will be scheduled according to their preferred times. Additionally, they will be invited to staff huddles and meetings for the dissemination of the main findings. In recognition of their valuable experience and time, they will be provided with gift cards as compensation and invited to be coauthors of the scoping review report article.

Ethics and dissemination

As the data for this scoping review are derived from publicly available articles, research ethics approval is not deemed necessary. The intention is to submit the scoping review for publication in an open-access journal. Additionally, a succinct one-page review brief will be disseminated to enhance accessibility of the findings to a broad audience. This dissemination will involve sharing the results in staff huddles and meetings with local LTC homes. The overarching goal is to provide evidence-based guidance for the implementation of VR training programmes for care providers in LTC settings.

X Lillian Hung @nurselillian and Karen Lok Yi Wong @karenwonglokyi2

Acknowledgements We acknowledge the kind support of UBC librarian Katherine Miller for guiding and supervising the entire search process in the preliminary search.

Contributors LH and YZ designed the scoping review and developed the protocol and methodology. All authors were involved in preparing the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the submitted protocol.

Funding This scoping review is supported by funding from The Canada Research Chair in Senior Care (Grant number: GR021222).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Lillian Hung <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7916-2939>

Yong Zhao <http://orcid.org/0009-0005-5074-1346>

Michelle Lam <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0934-2280>

Karen Lok Yi Wong <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4079-9123>

REFERENCES

- Muirhead K, Macaden L, Smyth K, *et al*. Establishing the effectiveness of technology-enabled dementia education for health and social care practitioners: a systematic review. *Syst Rev* 2021;10:252.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Dementia. 2023. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dementia> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- Falzarano F, Cimarolli VR, Minahan J, *et al*. Long-distance Caregivers: what are their experiences with formal care providers. *Clin Gerontol* 2022;45:1273–84.
- Hirt J, Beer T. Use and impact of virtual reality simulation in dementia care education: a Scoping review. *Nurse Educ Today* 2020;84.
- Woodhead EL, Northrop L, Edelstein B. Social support, and burnout among long-term care nursing staff. *J Appl Gerontol* 2016;35:84–105.
- Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). Dementia in long-term care. 2023. Available: <https://www.cihi.ca/en/dementia-in-canada/dementia-care-across-the-health-system/dementia-in-long-term-care> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- Shrestha S, Alharbi RJM, While C, *et al*. Self-efficacy of direct care workers providing care to older people in residential aged care settings: a Scoping review protocol. *Syst Rev* 2021;10:105.
- Zimmerman S, Sloane PD, Williams CS, *et al*. Dementia care and quality of life in assisted living and nursing homes. *Gerontologist* 2005;45 Spec No 1:133–46.
- Slater P, Hasson F, Gillen P, *et al*. Virtual simulation training: imaged experience of dementia. *Int J Older People Nurs* 2019;14:1–11.
- Hancock GA, Woods B, Challis D, *et al*. The needs of older people with dementia in residential care. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006;21:43–9.
- Bower FL, McCullough CS, Pille BL. Synthesis of research findings regarding Alzheimer's disease: part IV, education of family and staff Caregivers. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing Presents the Archives of Online Journal of Knowledge Synthesis for Nursing* 2002;E9:128–41.
- Barnard D. History of VR – Timeline of events and Tech development. VirtualSpeech; 2023. Available: <https://virtualspeech.com/blog/history-of-vr> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- CNET. Meta quest pro vs. Available: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BFqEUHVAaQI> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- García-Betances RI, Arredondo Waldmeyer MT, Fico G, *et al*. A succinct overview of virtual reality technology use in Alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2015;7:80.
- Slobounov SM, Ray W, Johnson B, *et al*. Modulation of cortical activity in 2d versus 3d virtual reality environments: an EEG study. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2015;95:254–60.
- Mäkinen H, Haavisto E, Havola S, *et al*. User experiences of virtual reality Technologies for Healthcare in learning: an integrative review. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 2022;41:1–17.
- Plotzky C, Lindwedel U, Sorber M, *et al*. Virtual reality simulations in nurse education: a systematic mapping review. *Nurse Educ Today* 2021;101:104868.
- Adefila A, Graham S, Clouder L, *et al*. myShoes – the future of experiential dementia training. *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice* 2016;11:91–101.
- Brown KM, Swoboda SM, Gilbert GE, *et al*. Curricular integration of virtual reality in nursing education. *J Nurs Educ* 2023;62:364–73.
- Hayhurst J. How augmented reality and virtual reality is being used to support people living with dementia—design challenges and future directions. In: *Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality Springer International Publishing*. 2018: 295–305.
- Barros Una L, Brangman S, Indelicato A, *et al*. Using second life to teach health professions students about Alzheimer's disease: a comprehensive review. *Gerontol Geriatr Educ* 2023;44:243–53.
- Bauce K, Kaylor MB, Staysniak G, *et al*. Use of theory to guide integration of virtual reality technology in nursing education: A Scoping study. *J Prof Nurs* 2023;44:1–7.
- Foronda C, Lee J, Santiesteban Z. Use of virtual reality in family Caregiver education: a literature review. *CIN* 2023;41:125–7.
- Wang J, Li Q, Cui J, *et al*. Effectiveness of virtual reality on the Caregiving competence and empathy of Caregivers for elderly with chronic diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Nursing Management* 2023;2023:1–15.
- Tay JL, Xie H, Sim K. Effectiveness of augmented and virtual reality-based interventions in improving knowledge, attitudes, empathy and stigma regarding people with mental illnesses—a Scoping review. *J Pers Med* 2023;13:112.
- Jones N, Decker VB, Houston A. De-escalation training for managing patient aggression in high-incidence care areas. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv* 2023;61:17–24.
- Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, *et al*. A Scoping review of Scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Res Synth Methods* 2014;5:371–85.
- Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, Mclnerney P, *et al*. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. 2020. Available: <https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-20-12>
- Damschroder LJ, Reardon CM, Widerquist MAO, *et al*. The updated Consolidated framework for implementation research based on user feedback. *Implement Sci* 2022;17:75.
- Canadian Institute for Health Information. Long-term care homes in Canada: how many and who owns them? 2021. Available: <https://www.cihi.ca/en/long-term-care-homes-in-canada-how-many-and-who-owns-them> [Accessed 8 Mar 2024].
- University Health Network (UHN). About age-well. 2023. Available: <https://agewell-nce.ca/about-age-well> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- Covidence. The world's number 1 systematic review tool. 2023. Available: <https://www.covidence.org/> [Accessed 22 Dec 2023].
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, *et al*. PRISMA extension for Scoping reviews (PRISMA-SCR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;169:467–73.
- Garrard J. Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning; CHAPTER 5 Review Matrix Folder: How to Abstract the Research Literature. Health Sciences Literature Review Made Easy, 2022. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=shib&db=nlebk&AN=2693815&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s5672194> [Accessed 23 Dec 2023].
- Hung L, Hu G, Wong J, *et al*. Telepresence robots in long-term care settings in British Columbia during the COVID-19 pandemic: analyzing the experiences of residents and family members. *Gerontol Geriatr Med* 2023;9.
- Hung L, Wong KLY, Mann J, *et al*. The perspective of nurses and healthcare providers on the use of television videos with people with moderate to severe dementia. *Can J Nurs Res* 2024;56:49–60.
- Wong KLY, Gregorio M, Mann J, *et al*. Using videos and films with people with major cognitive disorder living in care settings: A Scoping review. *Gerontechnology* 2023;22:1–13.