HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Curr Opin Chem Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 May 14.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2023 October ; 76: 102357. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2023.102357.

New Advances in Cross-linking Mass Spectrometry Toward Structural Systems Biology

Clinton Yu, **Lan Huang***

Department of Physiology & Biophysics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697

Abstract

Elucidating protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks and their structural features within cells is central to understanding fundamental biology and associations of cell phenotypes with human pathologies. Owing to technological advancements during the last decade, cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) has become an enabling technology for delineating interaction landscapes of proteomes as they exist in living systems. XL-MS is unique due to its capability to simultaneously capture PPIs from native environments and uncover interaction contacts though identification of cross-linked peptides, thereby permitting the determination of both identity and connectivity of PPIs in cells. In combination with high resolution structural tools such as cryo-electron microscopy and AI-assisted prediction, XL-MS has contributed significantly to elucidating architectures of large protein assemblies. This review highlights the latest developments in XL-MS technologies and their applications in proteome-wide analysis to advance structural systems biology.

Keywords

Protein-protein interaction; cross-linking mass spectrometry; structural proteomics; integrative structural analysis; protein complexes; structural systems biology

Introduction

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) are central to the structure and function of protein complexes. These modular assemblies work hand-in-hand to establish an intricate proteome network that defines a cell's functional states under different physiological and pathological conditions. Unsurprisingly, aberrations in PPIs and protein complex organization can have drastic impacts on basic cellular processes, and thus have been associated with a multitude of human diseases over the past several decades. Directly targeting PPIs has become an attractive strategy for therapeutics, and its clinical potential has been demonstrated by recent success in the development of 'molecular glues' that facilitate protein interactions to modulate protein degradation. Given their critical importance, systematic elucidation of PPIs with molecular and structural details in their native environment towards structural systems

*Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Lan Huang (lanhuang@uci.edu).

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

biology has become a focal point in modern proteomics research. The information obtained will not only advance our understanding of fundamental biology and human pathologies, but also provide new targets for developing improved therapeutics.

High-resolution structures of proteins and protein complexes have been achieved through X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), with a sharp increase in cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) owing to recent technological advancements. However, structural elucidation of compositionally and conformationally heterogenous protein complexes remains difficult with traditional biophysical methods. This has led to a rapid development of integrative approaches utilizing static structure information in conjunction with mass spectrometry (MS)-based structural methods including native MS, hydrogen-deuterium exchange, cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS), surface labeling, and limited proteolysis.

Among these methodologies, cross-linking mass spectrometry (XL-MS, also abbreviated as CL-MS or CX-MS) is a powerful technology for PPI discovery and characterization. XL-MS is unique due to its capability to capture endogenous PPIs in native cellular environments by forming covalent bonds among three-dimensionally proximal residues within and between proteins by chemical cross-linking. The identified cross-linked peptides enable simultaneous determination of PPI identities and their contacts at residue-level resolution. In addition, distance restraints defined by cross-linkers have been successfully utilized to validate and refine existing protein structures, as well as for *de novo* structural modeling to elucidate architectures of large protein complexes [1–7]. Its ability to sample heterogeneous and dynamic protein complexes allows the discovery of conformational states that cannot be easily assessed from static structures obtained using conventional structural tools. As such, XL-MS is uniquely positioned to allow the delineation of intricate wiring of proteome networks with structural details at the systems-level in living organisms. The information obtained will help define the modular assemblies critical in shaping cellular states and phenotypic changes associated with human diseases. XL-MS technologies have been constantly evolving towards the goal of structural systems biology and have been extensively reviewed in recent years [1–10]. Here, we present a brief overview highlighting new advances in XL-MS methods and applications during the last two years with a special emphasis on proteome-wide studies.

Addressing Challenges in Proteome-Wide Analysis

Cross-linked peptides are often hard to detect during MS analysis due to their heterogeneity and low abundance. In addition, cross-linked peptides composed of two peptide constituents yield complex MS/MS spectra, making their unambiguous identification difficult. Both of these hindrances are magnified with increasing sample complexity and especially apparent during PPI profiling at the systems-level. To address these inherent challenges, numerous advancements in sample preparation strategies, cross-linking reagents, data acquisition and analysis have been accomplished during the last decade to make XL-MS an enabling technology for global PPI mapping *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Figure 1) [1,4,5,7–9,11]. It is noted that thousands of cross-links and PPIs have been identified from *in vivo* XL-MS studies on various sample origins including bacteria [12], mammalian cells [13–18], and tissues

[19]. Compared to *in vitro* XL-MS analyses of cell lysates, *in vivo* XL-MS experiments have resulted in the identification of considerably more inter-protein PPIs [14–18]. In comparison to molecular crowding during *in vivo* cross-linking, native cell lysis required for *in vitro* cross-linking not only dissipates subcellular compartments and changes protein concentration, but also reorganizes dynamic, transient and/or weak protein assemblies and PPI networks. Regardless, similar to any proteomics studies, global XL-MS analyses have shown a preference for abundant proteins. Only a fraction of the proteome has been uncovered by the XL-proteomes [14–22]. Clearly, new developments are needed to expand not only the depth, but also the breadth of XL-proteomes.

Enhancing the Detection of Cross-linked Peptides

Due to the high dynamic range of proteomes, enrichment of cross-linked peptides is essential to the success of proteome-wide XL-MS studies. This can be accomplished by employing cross-linkers that carry an affinity tag (e.g. biotin or phosphonic acid tag) or enrichable handle (e.g. azide/alkyne tags for click chemistry conjugation) to allow enrichment of cross-linked peptides in complex peptide mixtures (Figure 1) [12,14–16,18–20,23–25]. Interestingly, polyclonal antibodies targeting two MS-cleavable cross-linkers DSSO (Disuccinimidyl sulfoxide) and DSBU (Disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea) have been recently developed to probe cross-linked proteins [26]. While their applicability in XL-MS analysis needs to be demonstrated, the availability of cross-linker-specific antibodies presents a unique means for optimizing protein cross-linking and enriching cross-linked proteins and peptides. However, affinity-based enrichment alone is often insufficient to effectively detect the most structural informative cross-links, i.e. inter-linked peptides, in the presence of abundant linear cross-linked (i.e. dead-end (mono-link) and intra-linked (loop-linked)) peptides. Thus, peptide separation techniques such as size exclusion (SEC) [14], strong cation exchange (SCX) [18,19], and high pH reverse phase (HpH-RP) chromatography [16,20] have been employed as additional fractionation steps to further improve the detectability of cross-linked peptides. With the development of two-dimensional peptide separations (e.g. SEC-HpH-RP and SCX-HpH-RP), non-enrichable cross-linkers have been successfully applied for proteome-wide analyses to generate XL-data at a scope comparable to those using enrichable cross-linkers [21,27].

To differentiate between co-occurring protein complexes, oligomers, and conformers during large scale analysis, MS-based complexome profiling has been effectively coupled with XL-MS, allowing the determination of protein complex organization with subunit composition, subunit stoichiometry and connectivity (Figure 1) [20]. A workflow combining blue native PAGE separation with in-gel XL-MS has also been developed to augment global description of protein complexes and demonstrated on purified bovine heart mitochondria [20]. In addition, in-cell or ex vivo cross-linking has been coupled with subcellular fractionation [19,28–30] to reduce sample complexity and increase PPI mapping on specific subproteomes. In addition, protein complexes can be affinity purified after *in vivo* cross-linking or for *in vitro* cross-linking to investigate subunit organization and structural topologies [31–35]. Moreover, the feasibility of combining APEX2-based proximity labeling with lysate cross-linking has been shown in dissecting subcellular interactomes [36,37]. Taken together, integration of protein and peptide separation techniques would be beneficial

to enhance the in-depth analysis of cellular networks and the characterization of protein complexes (Figure 1).

Improving the Identification of Cross-linked Peptides

In comparison to standard bottom-up proteomic studies where MS/MS spectra of linear peptides are searched against a database of all *n* possible enzyme-generated peptides, matching of cross-linked peptide spectra requires the consideration of n^2 combinations, drastically expanding search space, computational demand, and time, as well as making the control of false discovery rate (FDR) difficult. These challenges have been previously circumvented by the development of MS-cleavable cross-linkers which enable physical separation of cross-linked peptide constituents within the mass spectrometer for subsequent MS^3-based peptide sequencing, permitting cross-link identification through linear peptide searches using conventional database searching tools (Figure 2) [1,38]. Because of the simplified and accurate identification of cross-linked peptides, MS^n-based MS-cleavable XL-MS platforms are considered advantageous, especially for global PPI mapping. This has been demonstrated by various *in vitro* [21,22] and *in vivo* [14,39] large-scale studies. However, the reduced speed and sensitivity of MS^n- compared to MS^2-only acquisitions have been suggested to be a limiting factor. Thus, alternative database search algorithms and scoring functions have been developed in recent years to permit efficient MS^2-based analysis of MS-cleavable XL data for global PPI analysis [11,40,41]. Given the benefits of MS^n and MS^2-type acquisitions [11,42], we anticipate that their integration would facilitate the expansion of XL-proteomes. While the applicability of non-cleavable cross-linkers for large scale analyses has been demonstrated [12,15–17], MS-labile reagents have proven beneficial in reducing the ambiguity of peptide identifications during MS^2 analysis [42], critical for deriving reliable interactomes. One caveat of MS^2 acquisitions is that the FDRs of intra- and inter-protein linkages need to be considered separately due to the increased likelihood of forming decoy-containing inter-subunit cross-links [43]. Furthermore, due to error propagation across different levels of XL-MS results (i.e. CSM, cross-linked peptides, residue pairs, and PPIs), FDR at each level needs to be carefully controlled [43,44]. To benchmark cross-linking search engines, synthetic peptide libraries have been developed in recent years in order to accurately estimate FDR from various XL-MS workflows [45,46].

Expanding PPI Coverages with Combinatory Approaches

Currently, lysine-reactive cross-linkers remain the most widely used reagents due to the effectiveness of amine-reactive chemistry and the high occurrence of lysines in proteins and at PPI interfaces (Figure 2). However, lysine-targeting reagents alone cannot uncover the complete map of proteome networks as numerous PPI contact regions lack lysine residues. Thus, combinatory XL-MS approaches utilizing multiple cross-linking chemistries have been applied to expand PPI coverage [1]. Recent XL-MS analyses have further demonstrated multi-chemistry complementarity for increasing PPI coverage by coupling lysine cross-linkers with carboxyl-reactive [13,20,47–51], lysine-to-cysteine [31] and cysteine [22] cross-linkers.

Interestingly, cross-linkers made of different reactive groups and/or spacer arm structures/lengths but targeting the same residues can also lead to the discovery of complementary PPIs

[1,2,20,52]. Thus, continued efforts have been made to develop new cross-linkers, notably enrichable lysine cross-linkers designed for *in vivo* XL-MS studies [12,15,16]. In addition, a new class of lysine cross-linkers based on di-ortho-phthalaldehyde (DOPA) has been recently reported [53]. In comparison to NHS (N-hydroxysuccinimide) esters, DOPA-based cross-linkers are non-hydrolyzable and reactive at low pH and temperature, presenting the possibility of analyzing PPIs in extreme conditions. More importantly, the reaction kinetics of DOPA are significantly faster (by 60~120 times), permitting cross-linking within seconds. This has been shown to be particularly beneficial for capturing transient interactions and snapshots of protein unfolding during time course experiments. While this fast chemistry prevents their use for in-cell cross-linking, the development of DOPA linkers provides a new opportunity to uncover PPIs previously inaccessible to NHS ester-based reagents. Moreover, the commonly used fixation reagent formaldehyde has been explored for XL-MS studies owing to its cell permeability and fast reaction kinetics. However, its application to PPI mapping has been challenging due to difficulty in the identification of formaldehyde cross-linked peptides resulted from complex reactive chemistry. A recent study has discovered that formaldehyde cross-linking generates predominant cross-linked products with a mass addition of 24 Da instead of conventional 12 Da adducts, permitting the identification of cross-linked peptides from mammalian cells [54]. Although successful, the number of identified PPIs is limited and the cross-linking reaction mechanism remains elusive. Thus, how to effectively identify formaldehyde cross-linked peptides for global PPI mapping requires further exploration.

While dihydrazide chemistry has been proven effective for acidic residue cross-linking, its applications in proteome-wide analysis have been limited due to low reactivity and the need for a conjugating step using zero-length cross-linkers (e.g. DMTMM) [1]. A recent comparison of three carboxyl-reactive (i.e. hydrazide, amino, and aminooxy) groups, has revealed that the latter two groups are also suited for protein cross-linking with the amino reactive group having the highest reactivity [55]. In addition, their feasibility in XL-MS analyses of *E.coli* lysates has been illustrated. To complement lysine- and acidic residue-targeting cross-linkers, the cysteine-reactive bromoacetamide-based MS-cleavable cross-linker DBrASO has been developed to enable proteome-wide XL-MS analysis [22]. In comparison to the maleimide-based cysteine-reactive MS-cleavable linker BMSO, DBrASO possesses better specificity at physiological pH and is non-hydrolyzable, thus yielding more homogenous cross-linked products to facilitate their identification. The analysis of DBrASO cross-linked HEK 293 cell lysates identified additional PPIs and increased the scope of XL-proteomes revealed by DSSO cross-linking [22].

In addition to residue-specific cross-linking chemistries, heterobifunctional cross-linkers composed of an NHS ester and a nonspecific photoactivable diazirine are valuable in probing PPI regions that are inaccessible to residue-specific cross-linkers [1,48,56,57]. To facilitate the identification of photocross-linked peptides, three sulfoxide-containing MS-cleavable NHS-diazirine cross-linkers, namely SDASO (succinimidyl diazirine sulfoxide), have been developed [56]. The MS^n-based workflow allowed effective identification of SDASO-cross-linked peptides to generate a comprehensive interaction network of the yeast 26S proteasome complementary to existing data. Recently, Faustino, et al has shown the feasibility of photocross-linking for global analysis of *E. coli* cells and lysates by developing

new heterobifunctional photo-crosslinkers utilizing an MS-labile urea group (Faustino, 2022, bioRxiv). While MS-cleavability is critical for reducing the potential combinations of cross-linked sites obtained by photo-activatable reagents, the development of software suites using novel algorithms is also critical to facilitating cross-link identification. For instance, SpotLink has been recently developed using the dual pointer dynamic pruning algorithm and efficient memory operations, permitting the identification of nonspecific cross-links obtained by non-cleavable photocross-linkers at the proteome scale [58]. Collectively, continued development of diverse cross-linker chemistries and robust cross-link search engines [59,60] remains invaluable to further boosting XL-MS technologies toward generating a complete map of interaction landscapes in cells.

Defining Interaction and Structural Dynamics with QXL-MS

In addition to defining interactome landscapes for elucidating PPI functions, XL-MS can be integrated with quantitative proteomics to determine proteome network dynamics under different conditions [1,4,61]. Similar to traditional proteomics, quantitative XL-MS (qXL-MS) strategies can be label-free but typically employ stable isotope labeling to allow pairwise or multiplexed comparisons. The relative abundances of cross-linked peptides are used to infer changes of protein interactions and conformations.

To advance qXL-MS to systems-level studies, multiplexing capability is desirable to increase throughput and decrease missing values between samples. Multiplexed qXL-MS workflows have been achieved based on the incorporation of isobaric labels into cross-linked peptides by chemical labeling (e.g. TMT (tandem mass tag)) or cross-linking reagents [1,24]. Given the potential applicability of TMT labeling to any type of cross-linked peptide regardless of cross-linker chemistry and functionality, data acquisition strategies for TMT-based multiplexed qXL-MS analysis have been further explored [62]. While MS^3-based analysis provides more accurate quantitation, it has been shown that MS^2 acquisitions utilizing stepped-HCD can be optimized for quantifying TMT-labeled DSSO cross-linked peptides [62]. This presents an adaptable qXL-MS acquisition strategy for TMT-based multiplexed quantitation of any types of cross-linked peptides. However, cautions are needed to minimize labeling variability and peptide interference during quantitation. To circumvent these potential issues, isobaric cross-linkers such as iqPIR [24] have been developed. The 6-plex MS-cleavable linker iqPIR fragments during MS^2 analysis to release higher mass reporter ions (m/z 808~826) than TMT [24] and has been successfully applied to dissect drug-induced global interactome changes in breast cancer cells [18] and failing murine hearts [19]. While successful, it can be challenging to design and synthesize isobaric cross-linkers with higher levels of multiplexing capability while maintaining ideal mass ranges of reporter ions for accurate quantitation. Regardless, these studies have paved the way to further develop multiplexed quantitation for large-scale qXL-MS studies.

In recent years, the applications of qXL-MS have been extended to study aspects of protein biology beyond simple descriptions of interaction and conformational changes, including protein activation mechanisms and binding affinities of protein complexes. Through time-resolved label-free qXL-MS, Fürsch, et al. have investigated the heat activation and client-binding modalities of sHSPs [63]. Their quantitative data have suggested a cooperative

mechanism driven by heat activation of the Hsp26 middle domain that initiates simultaneous global conformational changes within Hsp26 in the presence of its client. In addition, Hagemann, et al has developed a new qXL-MS workflow based on d_0/d_6-labeled BS2G to determine PPI interfaces and estimate the phosphorylation-dependent dissociation constants (K_D) within the kinetochore complex (Hagemann, 2022, bioRxiv). In both studies, cross-linking incubations were shortened to several minutes despite the fact that NHS ester-based reactions often require much longer durations. This suggests that faster cross-linking reactions could be beneficial for capturing specific conformational states for mechanistic understanding of protein assemblies.

XL-MS-coupled Integrative Structural Analysis

In recent years, XL-MS technology has become an integral component of integrative structural modeling approaches and established itself as the perfect partner for cryo-EM to elucidate architectures of protein complexes [3–7,33,64–66]. While a single cross-linker can produce sufficient data for integrative modeling, comprehensive cross-link data generated from combinatory XL-MS approaches based on multiple cross-linkers are beneficial for structural analysis of large protein assemblies [47,48] and for improving precision of the resulting models [67]. High-density cross-link data obtained from photocross-linking have also been shown to facilitate integrative modeling [48,57]. The complementarity of XL-MS and cryo-EM has expedited the generation of structural models that uncover molecular mechanisms underlying the function and regulation of various protein complexes, including the dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase (E2) component of the human α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex [51] and TRanscript-EXport complex [50], as well as the assembly of reovirus capsid by the prefoldin-TRiC/CCT chaperone network [64] and virus-induced remodeling of Cul4-RING ubiquitin ligase [57]. It is noted that structural insights into the exploitation of evolutionarily conserved ubiquitination machinery such as Cul4-RING ligase has the potential to improve the design of proteolysis-targeting chimera- or molecular glue-type compounds for targeted protein degradation-based therapeutics.

Recent advances of AI-based structural prediction tools such as AlphaFold2 (AF2) have begun to revolutionize the field of protein structural biology [68–71]. With over 200 million structures predicted by AF2 and 600 million by Meta AI, XL-MS stands as a critical methodology to corroborate these AI-driven models. The integration of AF2 with XL-MS has rapidly followed, not only augmenting the interpretation of cross-linking data, but also accelerating integrative structure analysis of various protein complexes including understudied ones with increased throughput. A large-scale XL-MS dataset recently generated using a combinatory DSSO, DHSO, and DMTMM approach has been used to demonstrate the potential of integrating cross-linking data with AF2-based structural prediction [13]. The resulting models of proteins and protein complexes have presented the opportunity to mine the structural proteome and interactome, revealing mechanisms underpinning protein structure and function. AlphaLink, a modified version of the AF2 algorithm, is another strategy that has been developed to explore the intersection of XL-MS and AI-based model prediction. By incorporating cross-link distance restraints to complement co-evolutionary relationships via deep learning, AlphaLink improves structure prediction to better dissect protein conformational states and dynamics in situ [28]. In

addition, integrative analysis coupling AF2 with *in situ* cross-linking has successfully resulted in a single model of the full-length SARS-CoV-2 protein Nsp2, suggesting its potential role in zinc regulation within the replication-transcription complex [34]. Moreover, the synergy of XL-MS with AI-driven modeling has been employed to define the architecture of the full-length p53 tetramer, presenting a strategy for structural elucidation of intrinsically disordered proteins (Di Ianni, 2022, bioRxiv). Structural characterization of the polymeric intraflagellar transport A (IFT-A) complex in its native environment has been carried out by combining XL-MS and AF2 with cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET), yielding low-resolution structures of IFT-A with details on modes of associations and subunit stoichiometry in the cellular context [72]. Very recently, DSSO-based in-cell cross-linking of the model Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis with co-fractionation mass spectrometry (CoFrac-MS) and AlphaFold-Multimer has allowed the structural prediction of 153 dimeric and 14 trimeric protein assemblies, demonstrating the feasibility of assessing interaction topologies and structural features of cellular networks at a global scale [73]. Taken together, XL-MS assisted integrative structural analysis is beneficial not only for elucidating protein complex architectures, but also for determining the mechanisms underlying their function and regulation.

Conclusion

XL-MS continually proves to be unique and effective in its ability to map endogenous PPI landscapes with structural features from various sample origins including lysates, organelles, cells, and tissues. Thus, it has become the method of choice for global delineation of proteome networks to advance our understanding of native protein module topologies at the systems-level. In addition, residue-specific PPI contacts revealed by cross-link data have demonstrated crucial to integrative structural modeling for elucidating architectures of macromolecular assemblies. With the increased robustness, sensitivity, and accessibility of XL-MS technologies, their applications have been extended to mechanistic characterization of protein complexes beyond simple PPI mapping. Recent advances in sample preparation, reagent design, MS data acquisition and analysis have allowed significant expansion of the breadth and range of PPIs that can be captured. Despite this, only a fraction of proteome networks has currently been mapped. Clearly, in-depth proteome-wide PPI profiling remains technically challenging and will continue to be a focus for future XL-MS studies. Similar to conventional proteomics studies, combinations of orthogonal separation techniques at different levels including subcellular organelles, protein complexes, proteins and peptides will certainly help dig deeper in XL-proteomes. It is anticipated that global PPI profiling can be expanded to decipher intricate signaling networks with spatial and temporal resolutions under different physiological, pathological, and pharmacological conditions. While data-independent acquisition (DIA)-based qXL-MS analysis has only gained attention recently [74,75], the remarkable success of DIA-based methods in large scale proteomics will undoubtedly drive innovations in this area to enable global quantitation of cross-links with increased reproducibility, robustness, and accuracy. With the aid of cryo-ET and AI-based structural prediction tools such as AF2, 3-D description of proteome networks in cells may be realized sooner than we can imagine. Therefore, we believe that XL-MS will continue to evolve with improved capability and throughput, and the next generation will become a

part of the standard structural biologist's toolkit to advance structural systems biology and biomedical research.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R35GM145249 and R01GM074830 to L.H.

References

1. Yu C, Huang L: Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry: An Emerging Technology for Interactomics and Structural Biology. Anal Chem 2018, 90:144–165. [PubMed: 29160693]
2. O'Reilly FJ, Rappsilber J: Cross-linking mass spectrometry: methods and applications in structural, molecular and systems biology. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2018, 25:1000–1008. [PubMed: 30374081]
3. Britt HM, Cragnolini T, Thalassinos K: Integration of Mass Spectrometry Data for Structural Biology. Chem Rev 2022, 122:7952–7986. [PubMed: 34506113]
4. Tang X, Wippel HH, Chavez JD, Bruce JE: Crosslinking mass spectrometry: A link between structural biology and systems biology. Protein Sci 2021, 30:773–784. [PubMed: 33594738]
5. Piersimoni L, Kastritis PL, Arlt C, Sinz A: Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry for Investigating Protein Conformations and Protein-Protein Interactions horizontal line A Method for All Seasons. Chem Rev 2022, 122:7500–7531. [PubMed: 34797068]
6. Graziadei A, Rappsilber J: Leveraging crosslinking mass spectrometry in structural and cell biology. Structure 2022, 30:37–54. [PubMed: 34895473]
7. Lee K, O'Reilly FJ: Cross-linking mass spectrometry for mapping protein complex topologies in situ. Essays Biochem 2023.
8. Chavez JD, Mohr JP, Mathay M, Zhong X, Keller A, Bruce JE: Systems structural biology measurements by in vivo cross-linking with mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc 2019, 14:2318–2343. [PubMed: 31270507]
9. Sinz A: Cross-Linking/Mass Spectrometry for Studying Protein Structures and Protein-Protein Interactions: Where Are We Now and Where Should We Go from Here? Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2018, 57:6390–6396. [PubMed: 29334167]
10. Mohammadi A, Tschanz A, Leitner A: Expanding the Cross-Link Coverage of a Carboxyl-Group Specific Chemical Cross-Linking Strategy for Structural Proteomics Applications. Anal Chem 2021, 93:1944–1950. [PubMed: 33399445]
11. Matzinger M, Mechtler K: Cleavable Cross-Linkers and Mass Spectrometry for the Ultimate Task of Profiling Protein-Protein Interaction Networks in Vivo. J Proteome Res 2021, 20:78–93. [PubMed: 33151691]
12. Rey M, Dhenin J, Kong Y, Nouchikian L, Filella I, Duchateau M, Dupre M, Pellarin R, Dumenil G, Chamot-Rooke J: Advanced In Vivo Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry Platform to Characterize Proteome-Wide Protein Interactions. Anal Chem 2021, 93:4166–4174. [PubMed: 33617236]
13. Bartolec TK, Vazquez-Campos X, Norman A, Luong C, Johnson M, Payne RJ, Wilkins MR, Mackay JP, Low JKK: Cross-linking mass spectrometry discovers, evaluates, and corroborates structures and protein-protein interactions in the human cell. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2023, 120:e2219418120. [PubMed: 37071682]
14. Wheat A, Yu C, Wang X, Burke AM, Chemmama IE, Kaake RM, Baker P, Rychnovsky SD, Yang J, Huang L: Protein interaction landscapes revealed by advanced in vivo cross-linking-mass spectrometry. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021, 118.
15. Jiang PL, Wang C, Diehl A, Viner R, Etienne C, Nandhikonda P, Foster L, Bomgarden RD, Liu F: A Membrane-Permeable and Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography (IMAC) Enrichable Cross-Linking Reagent to Advance In Vivo Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2022, 61:e202113937. [PubMed: 34927332]
16. Gao H, Zhao L, Zhong B, Zhang B, Gong Z, Zhao B, Liu Y, Zhao Q, Zhang L, Zhang Y: In-Depth In Vivo Crosslinking in Minutes by a Compact, Membrane-Permeable, and Alkynyl-Enrichable Crosslinker. Anal Chem 2022, 94:7551–7558. [PubMed: 35575683]

17. Zhao L, Zhong B, An Y, Zhang W, Gao H, Zhang X, Liang Z, Zhang Y, Zhao Q, Zhang L: Enhanced protein-protein interaction network construction promoted by in vivo cross-linking with acid-cleavable click-chemistry enrichment. Front Chem 2022, 10:994572. [PubMed: 36479438]
18. Wippel HH, Chavez JD, Keller AD, Bruce JE: Multiplexed Isobaric Quantitative Cross-Linking Reveals Drug-Induced Interactome Changes in Breast Cancer Cells. Anal Chem 2022, 94:2713–2722. [PubMed: 35107270]
19. Caudal A, Tang X, Chavez JD, Keller A, Mohr JP, Bakhtina AA, Villet O, Chen H, Zhou B, Walker MA, et al. : Mitochondrial interactome quantitation reveals structural changes in metabolic machinery in the failing murine heart. Nat Cardiovasc Res 2022, 1:855–866. [PubMed: 36405497]
20. Hevler JF, Zenezeni Chiozzi R, Cabrera-Orefice A, Brandt U, Arnold S, Heck AJR: Molecular characterization of a complex of apoptosis-inducing factor 1 with cytochrome c oxidase of the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021, 118.
21. Jiao F, Yu C, Wheat A, Wang X, Rychnovsky SD, Huang L: Two-Dimensional Fractionation Method for Proteome-Wide Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry Analysis. Anal Chem 2022, 94:4236–4242. [PubMed: 35235311]
22. Jiao F, Salituro LJ, Yu C, Gutierrez CB, Rychnovsky SD, Huang L: Exploring an Alternative Cysteine-Reactive Chemistry to Enable Proteome-Wide PPI Analysis by Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry. Anal Chem 2023, 95:2532–2539. [PubMed: 36652389]
23. Steigenberger B, Pieters RJ, Heck AJR, Scheltema RA: PhoX: An IMAC-Enrichable Cross-Linking Reagent. ACS Cent Sci 2019, 5:1514–1522. [PubMed: 31572778]
24. Chavez JD, Keller A, Wippel HH, Mohr JP, Bruce JE: Multiplexed Cross-Linking with Isobaric Quantitative Protein Interaction Reporter Technology. Anal Chem 2021, 93:16759–16768. [PubMed: 34882395]
25. Matzinger M, Kandioller W, Doppler P, Heiss EH, Mechtler K: Fast and Highly Efficient Affinity Enrichment of Azide-A-DSBSO Cross-Linked Peptides. J Proteome Res 2020, 19:2071–2079. [PubMed: 32250121]
26. Singh J, Ponnaiyan S, Gieselmann V, Winter D: Generation of Antibodies Targeting Cleavable Cross-Linkers. Anal Chem 2021, 93:3762–3769. [PubMed: 33591729]
27. Wu Z, Li J, Huang L, Zhang X: Basic pH reversed-phase liquid chromatography (bRPLC) in combination with tip-based strong cation exchange (SCX-Tip), ReST, an efficient approach for large-scale cross-linked peptide analysis. Anal Chim Acta 2021, 1179:338838. [PubMed: 34535262]
28. Stahl K, Graziadei A, Dau T, Brock O, Rappsilber J: Protein structure prediction with in-cell photo-crosslinking mass spectrometry and deep learning. Nat Biotechnol 2023.
29. Gonzalez-Lozano MA, Koopmans F, Sullivan PF, Protze J, Krause G, Verhage M, Li KW, Liu F, Smit AB: Stitching the synapse: Cross-linking mass spectrometry into resolving synaptic protein interactions. Sci Adv 2020, 6:eaax5783. [PubMed: 32128395]
30. Garcia-Del Rio DF, Cardon T, Eyckerman S, Fournier I, Bonnefond A, Gevaert K, Salzet M: Employing non-targeted interactomics approach and subcellular fractionation to increase our understanding of the ghost proteome. iScience 2023, 26:105943. [PubMed: 36866041]
31. Liu Y, Trnka MJ, He L, Burlingame AL, Correia MA: In-Cell Chemical Crosslinking Identifies Hotspots for SQSTM-1/p62-IkappaBalpha Interaction That Underscore a Critical Role of p62 in Limiting NF-kappaB Activation Through IkappaBalpha Stabilization. Mol Cell Proteomics 2023, 22:100495. [PubMed: 36634736]
32. Wang X, Cimermancic P, Yu C, Schweitzer A, Chopra N, Engel JL, Greenberg C, Huszagh AS, Beck F, Sakata E, et al. : Molecular Details Underlying Dynamic Structures and Regulation of the Human 26S Proteasome. Mol Cell Proteomics 2017, 16:840–854. [PubMed: 28292943]
33. Liu X, Zhang Y, Wen Z, Hao Y, Banks CAS, Lange JJ, Slaughter BD, Unruh JR, Florens L, Abmayr SM, et al. : Driving integrative structural modeling with serial capture affinity purification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020, 117:31861–31870. [PubMed: 33257578]
34. Slavin M, Zamel J, Zohar K, Eliyahu T, Braitbard M, Brielle E, Baraz L, Stolovich-Rain M, Friedman A, Wolf DG, et al. : Targeted in situ cross-linking mass spectrometry and integrative modeling reveal the architectures of three proteins from SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021, 118.

35. Shcherbakova L, Pardo M, Roumeliotis T, Choudhary J: Identifying and characterising Thrap3, Bclaf1 and Erh interactions using cross-linking mass spectrometry. Wellcome Open Res 2021, 6:260. [PubMed: 35865489]
36. Liu CH, Chien MJ, Chang YC, Cheng YH, Li FA, Mou KY: Combining Proximity Labeling and Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry for Proteomic Dissection of Nuclear Envelope Interactome. J Proteome Res 2020, 19:1109–1118. [PubMed: 31989825]
37. Sun M, Yuan F, Tang Y, Zou P, Lei X: Subcellular Interactomes Revealed by Merging APEX with Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry. Anal Chem 2022, 94:14878–14888. [PubMed: 36265550]
38. Yugandhar K, Wang TY, Leung AK, Lanz MC, Motorykin I, Liang J, Shayhidin EE, Smolka MB, Zhang S, Yu H: MaXLinker: Proteome-wide Cross-link Identifications with High Specificity and Sensitivity. Mol Cell Proteomics 2020, 19:554–568. [PubMed: 31839598]
39. Chavez JD, Tang X, Campbell MD, Reyes G, Kramer PA, Stuppard R, Keller A, Zhang H, Rabinovitch PS, Marcinek DJ, et al. : Mitochondrial protein interaction landscape of SS-31. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020, 117:15363–15373. [PubMed: 32554501]
40. Iacobucci C, Gotze M, Ihling CH, Piotrowski C, Arlt C, Schafer M, Hage C, Schmidt R, Sinz A: A cross-linking/mass spectrometry workflow based on MS-cleavable cross-linkers and the MeroX software for studying protein structures and protein-protein interactions. Nat Protoc 2018, 13:2864–2889. [PubMed: 30382245]
41. Piersimoni L, Sinz A: Cross-linking/mass spectrometry at the crossroads. Anal Bioanal Chem 2020, 412:5981–5987. [PubMed: 32472143]
42. Kolbowski L, Lenz S, Fischer L, Sinn LR, O'Reilly FJ, Rappsilber J: Improved Peptide Backbone Fragmentation Is the Primary Advantage of MS-Cleavable Crosslinkers. Anal Chem 2022, 94:7779–7786. [PubMed: 35613060]
43. Lenz S, Sinn LR, O'Reilly FJ, Fischer L, Wegner F, Rappsilber J: Reliable identification of protein-protein interactions by crosslinking mass spectrometry. Nat Commun 2021, 12:3564. [PubMed: 34117231]
44. Leitner A, Bonvin A, Borchers CH, Chalkley RJ, Chamot-Rooke J, Combe CW, Cox J, Dong MQ, Fischer L, Gotze M, et al. : Toward Increased Reliability, Transparency, and Accessibility in Cross-linking Mass Spectrometry. Structure 2020, 28:1259–1268. [PubMed: 33065067]
45. Beveridge R, Stadlmann J, Penninger JM, Mechtler K: A synthetic peptide library for benchmarking crosslinking-mass spectrometry search engines for proteins and protein complexes. Nat Commun 2020, 11:742. [PubMed: 32029734]
46. Matzinger M, Vasiu A, Madalinski M, Muller F, Stanek F, Mechtler K: Mimicked synthetic ribosomal protein complex for benchmarking crosslinking mass spectrometry workflows. Nat Commun 2022, 13:3975. [PubMed: 35803948]
47. Spruijt CG, Grawe C, Kleinendorst SC, Baltissen MPA, Vermeulen M: Cross-linking mass spectrometry reveals the structural topology of peripheral NuRD subunits relative to the core complex. FEBS J 2021, 288:3231–3245. [PubMed: 33283408]
48. Gomkale R, Linden A, Neumann P, Schendzielorz AB, Stoldt S, Dybkov O, Kilisch M, Schulz C, Cruz-Zaragoza LD, Schwappach B, et al. : Mapping protein interactions in the active TOM-TIM23 supercomplex. Nat Commun 2021, 12:5715. [PubMed: 34588454]
49. Bharati BK, Gowder M, Zheng F, Alzoubi K, Svetlov V, Kamarthapu V, Weaver JW, Epshtein V, Vasilyev N, Shen L, et al. : Crucial role and mechanism of transcription-coupled DNA repair in bacteria. Nature 2022, 604:152–159. [PubMed: 35355008]
50. Xie Y, Clarke BP, Kim YJ, Ivey AL, Hill PS, Shi Y, Ren Y: Cryo-EM structure of the yeast TREX complex and coordination with the SR-like protein Gbp2. Elife 2021, 10.
51. Nagy B, Polak M, Ozohanics O, Zambo Z, Szabo E, Hubert A, Jordan F, Novacek J, Adam-Vizi V, Ambrus A: Structure of the dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase (E2) component of the human alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex (hKGDHc) revealed by cryo-EM and cross-linking mass spectrometry: Implications for the overall hKGDHc structure. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj 2021, 1865:129889. [PubMed: 33684457]
52. Hart JR, Liu X, Pan C, Liang A, Ueno L, Xu Y, Quezada A, Zou X, Yang S, Zhou Q, et al. : Nanobodies and chemical cross-links advance the structural and functional analysis of PI3Kalpha. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2022, 119:e2210769119. [PubMed: 36095215]

53. Wang JH, Tang YL, Gong Z, Jain R, Xiao F, Zhou Y, Tan D, Li Q, Huang N, Liu SQ, et al. : Characterization of protein unfolding by fast cross-linking mass spectrometry using di-ortho-phthalaldehyde cross-linkers. Nat Commun 2022, 13:1468. [PubMed: 35304446]
54. Tayri-Wilk T, Slavin M, Zamel J, Blass A, Cohen S, Motzik A, Sun X, Shalev DE, Ram O, Kalisman N: Mass spectrometry reveals the chemistry of formaldehyde cross-linking in structured proteins. Nat Commun 2020, 11:3128. [PubMed: 32561732]
55. Gao H, Zhao Q, Gong Z, Zhong B, Chen J, Sui Z, Li X, Liang Z, Zhang Y, Zhang L: Alkynyl-Enrichable Carboxyl-Selective Crosslinkers to Increase the Crosslinking Coverage for Deciphering Protein Structures. Anal Chem 2022, 94:12398–12406. [PubMed: 36031802]
56. Gutierrez C, Salituro LJ, Yu C, Wang X, DePeter SF, Rychnovsky SD, Huang L: Enabling Photoactivated Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Protein Complexes by Novel MS-Cleavable Cross-Linkers. Mol Cell Proteomics 2021, 20:100084. [PubMed: 33915260]
57. Banchenko S, Krupp F, Gotthold C, Burger J, Graziadei A, O'Reilly FJ, Sinn L, Ruda O, Rappsilber J, Spahn CMT, et al. : Structural insights into Cullin4-RING ubiquitin ligase remodelling by Vpr from simian immunodeficiency viruses. PLoS Pathog 2021, 17:e1009775. [PubMed: 34339457]
58. Zhang W, Gong P, Shan Y, Zhao L, Hu H, Wei Q, Liang Z, Liu C, Zhang L, Zhang Y: SpotLink enables sensitive and precise identification of site nonspecific cross-links at the proteome scale. Brief Bioinform 2022, 23.
59. Pirklbauer GJ, Stieger CE, Matzinger M, Winkler S, Mechtler K, Dorfer V: MS Annika: A New Cross-Linking Search Engine. J Proteome Res 2021, 20:2560–2569. [PubMed: 33852321]
60. Yilmaz S, Busch F, Nagaraj N, Cox J: Accurate and Automated High-Coverage Identification of Chemically Cross-Linked Peptides with MaxLynx. Anal Chem 2022, 94:1608–1617. [PubMed: 35014260]
61. Chen ZA, Rappsilber J: Protein Dynamics in Solution by Quantitative Crosslinking/Mass Spectrometry. Trends Biochem Sci 2018, 43:908–920. [PubMed: 30318267]
62. Ruwolt M, Schnirch L, Borges Lima D, Nadler-Holly M, Viner R, Liu F: Optimized TMT-Based Quantitative Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry Strategy for Large-Scale Interactomic Studies. Anal Chem 2022, 94:5265–5272. [PubMed: 35290030]
63. Fursch J, Voormann C, Kammer KM, Stengel F: Structural Probing of Hsp26 Activation and Client Binding by Quantitative Cross-Linking Mass Spectrometry. Anal Chem 2021, 93:13226–13234. [PubMed: 34542282]
64. Knowlton JJ, Gestaut D, Ma B, Taylor G, Seven AB, Leitner A, Wilson GJ, Shanker S, Yates NA, Prasad BVV, et al. : Structural and functional dissection of reovirus capsid folding and assembly by the prefoldin-TRiC/CCT chaperone network. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2021, 118.
65. Koliopoulos MG, Muhammad R, Roumeliotis TI, Beuron F, Choudhary JS, Alfieri C: Structure of a nucleosome-bound MuvB transcription factor complex reveals DNA remodelling. Nat Commun 2022, 13:5075. [PubMed: 36038598]
66. Rahman S, Hoffmann NA, Worden EJ, Smith ML, Namitz KEW, Knutson BA, Cosgrove MS, Wolberger C: Multistate structures of the MLL1-WRAD complex bound to H2B-ubiquitinated nucleosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2022, 119:e2205691119. [PubMed: 36095189]
67. Gutierrez C, Chemmama IE, Mao H, Yu C, Echeverria I, Block SA, Rychnovsky SD, Zheng N, Sali A, Huang L: Structural dynamics of the human COP9 signalosome revealed by cross-linking mass spectrometry and integrative modeling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020, 117:4088–4098. [PubMed: 32034103]
68. Burke DF, Bryant P, Barrio-Hernandez I, Memon D, Pozzati G, Shenoy A, Zhu W, Dunham AS, Albanese P, Keller A, et al. : Towards a structurally resolved human protein interaction network. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2023, 30:216–225. [PubMed: 36690744]
69. Bouatta N, AlQuraishi M: Structural biology at the scale of proteomes. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2023, 30:129–130. [PubMed: 36797377]
70. Akdel M, Pires DEV, Pardo EP, Janes J, Zalevsky AO, Meszaros B, Bryant P, Good LL, Laskowski RA, Pozzati G, et al. : A structural biology community assessment of AlphaFold2 applications. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2022, 29:1056–1067. [PubMed: 36344848]

71. Cramer P: AlphaFold2 and the future of structural biology. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2021, 28:704–705. [PubMed: 34376855]

72. McCafferty CL, Papoulas O, Jordan MA, Hoogerbrugge G, Nichols C, Pigino G, Taylor DW, Wallingford JB, Marcotte EM: Integrative modeling reveals the molecular architecture of the intraflagellar transport A (IFT-A) complex. Elife 2022, 11.

73. O'Reilly FJ, Graziadei A, Forbrig C, Bremenkamp R, Charles K, Lenz S, Elfmann C, Fischer L, Stulke J, Rappsilber J: Protein complexes in cells by AI-assisted structural proteomics. Mol Syst Biol 2023, 19:e11544. [PubMed: 36815589]

74. Muller F, Graziadei A, Rappsilber J: Quantitative Photo-crosslinking Mass Spectrometry Revealing Protein Structure Response to Environmental Changes. Anal Chem 2019, 91:9041–9048. [PubMed: 31274288]

75. Wang Y, Hu Y, Hoti N, Huang L, Zhang H: Characterization of In Vivo Protein Complexes via Chemical Cross-Linking and Mass Spectrometry. Anal Chem 2022, 94:1537–1542. [PubMed: 34962381]



Figure 1. General XL-MS workflow.

Various sample types can be cross-linked, ranging in complexity from protein complexes to tissues and organs. Both ends of the cross-linker may target the same or different residues, while the spacer arm that connects the functional groups can be either MS-cleavable or not. To reduce complexity, proteins can be separated prior to or after cross-linking by subcellular or complex-centric fractionation, or affinity purification by tagged proteins or proximity labeling (PL). Following digestion, cross-links can be enriched by affinity purification or peptide fractionation. Cross-linked peptides can be purified if they contain a biotin or "click-able" site for appending biotin (B), phosphonic acid (P), or if an antibody recognizing the spacer arm of a cross-linker is used. Various chromatographic methods such as size-exclusion (SEC), strong-cation exchange (SCX), and high-PH reverse phase (bRP) can be used to reduce the complexity of cross-linked peptide samples prior to LC-MS analysis. Depending on the MS acquisition type (MS/MS or MS^n) and the type of cross-linker used (non-cleavable or MS-cleavable), various database search software are available to identify cross-linked peptides. Resulting cross-links can be used to generate 2-D XL-maps and XL-MS derived PPI, compartmental, pathway, and protein complex networks. Finally, cross-links can be used as distance restraints for integrative structure modeling or alongside AI-based structure prediction such as AlphaFold2 for protein structural elucidation.



Figure 2. Selected cross-linkers discussed in this review.

Molecular structures for each cross-linker are shown alongside their corresponding references. Cross-linkers grouped and color-coded based on their targeted residues. Green: lysine-to-lysine, red: cysteine-to-cysteine, blue: lysine-to-any amino acid (nonspecific), yellow: lysine-to-cysteine, grey: lysine-to-acidic residue, orange: lysine/hydroxyl residue-to-lysine/hydroxyl residue, purple: acidic residue-to-acidic residue (requires coupling reagent such as DMTMM). The border of each group designates whether cross-linkers are MS-cleavable and/or enrichable. No border: non-cleavable and non-enrichable, thin dashed border: MS-cleavable but non-enrichable, solid border: non-cleavable but enrichable, and thick dashed border: MS-cleavable and enrichable.