DOI: 10.1111/cns.14759

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

## $\overline{\text{CNS}}$  Neuroscience & Therapeutics  $\overline{\text{WIEY}}$

# **The causal relationship between sarcopenia-related traits and ischemic stroke: Insights from univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses**



<span id="page-0-0"></span>1 Department of Neurology, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Advanced Center of Stroke, Beijing Institute for Brain Disorders, Beijing, China

3 National Center for Neurological Disorders, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

#### **Correspondence**

Da Zhou and Ran Meng, National Center for Neurological Disorders, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, 100053, China. Email: [popdoctor@foxmail.com](mailto:popdoctor@foxmail.com) and [victor65@126.com](mailto:victor65@126.com)

#### **Funding information**

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 82101390 and 82171297

## **Abstract**

**Aims:** The causal relationship between sarcopenia-related traits and ischemic stroke (IS) remains poorly understood. This study aimed to explore the causal impact of sarcopenia-related traits on IS and to identify key mediators of this association.

**Methods:** We conducted univariable, multivariable two-sample, and two-step Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses using genome-wide association study (GWAS) data. This included data for appendicular lean mass (ALM), hand grip strength (HGS), and usual walking pace (UWP) from the UK Biobank, and IS data from the MEGASTROKE consortium. Additionally, 21 candidate mediators were analyzed based on their respective GWAS data sets.

**Results:** Each 1-SD increase in genetically proxied ALM was associated with a 7.5% reduction in the risk of IS (95% CI: 0.879–0.974), and this correlation remained after controlling for levels of physical activity and adiposity-related indices. Two-step MR identified that six mediators partially mediated the protective effect of higher ALM on IS, with the most significant being coronary heart disease (CHD, mediating proportion: 39.94%), followed by systolic blood pressure (36.51%), hypertension (23.87%), diastolic blood pressure (15.39%), type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM, 12.71%), and lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (7.97%).

**Conclusion:** Our study revealed a causal protective effect of higher ALM on IS, independent of physical activity and adiposity-related indices. Moreover, we found that higher ALM could reduce susceptibility to IS partially by lowering the risk of vascular risk factors, including CHD, hypertension, T2DM, and hyperlipidemia. In brief, we elucidated another modifiable factor for IS and implied that maintaining sufficient muscle mass may reduce the risk of such disease.

#### **KEYWORDS**

appendicular lean mass, ischemic stroke, mediators, Mendelian randomization, sarcopenia

The first two authors contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Authors. *CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Stroke, the most prevalent form of cerebrovascular disease, poses a major threat to global health. Epidemiologically, it ranks as the second leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for approximately 11.6% of all deaths, and as the third leading cause of death and disability combined, responsible for about 5.7% of total disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). $1,2$  Stroke is primarily classified into ischemic and hemorrhagic types, with ischemic stroke (IS) constituting 62.4%–87% of cases. $3-5$  The lifetime risk of experiencing a stroke and IS in adults over the age of 25 is es-timated at 24.9% and 18.3%, respectively.<sup>[6](#page-11-2)</sup> With the aging population, IS cases are projected to increase to 9.62 million by  $2030$ .<sup>1</sup> Fortunately, research over the past four decades has shown that up to 90% of IS cases are preventable, with well-known modifiable risk factors such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes (T2DM), and dyslipidemia identified.<sup>7,8</sup> However, these factors do not fully explain the diverse nature of IS,<sup>[8](#page-11-4)</sup> underscoring the need to identify additional risk factors for more effective prediction and prevention.

Sarcopenia, an age-related decline in muscle mass and function, has emerged as a significant but underrecognized factor potentially impacting IS.<sup>9</sup> This condition, characterized by progressive muscle deterioration, is associated with several adverse outcomes, including fragility, fractures, falls, and even mortality, <sup>[10](#page-11-6)</sup> affecting  $10\%$ -16% of the elderly population globally.<sup>11</sup> To better identify sarcopenia and quantify its severity, the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) has proposed an intact assessment system based on muscle strength, muscle mass, and physical performance. Although some clinical studies suggest a link between sarcopenia and  $IS$ ,  $12-15$  these often involve individuals with metabolic dysfunctions, thereby introducing selection bias. The challenge of discerning this relationship is further compounded by the potential for residual confounding from factors like body mass index (BMI), physical activity (PA), and adiposity-related indices, as well as the possibility of reverse causation linked to co-existing conditions such as cardiovascular disease (CVD), osteoporosis, or cancers.

To address these complexities, Mendelian randomization (MR) studies, which utilize genetic variations, namely single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to investigate the effects of exposures on outcomes, offer a robust alternative. Leveraging the increasing availability of genome-wide association study (GWAS) data, MR provides a method to explore causal relationships while controlling for confounding and reverse causation. This approach can yield insights comparable in quality to those derived from randomized controlled

trials. Given these advantages, we employed a comprehensive MR analysis to unearth the potential causal relationship between sarcopenia-related phenotypes and the risk of IS.

## **2**  | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **2.1**  | **Study design**

This MR study comprised two analysis stages (Figure [1\)](#page-1-0). In the first stage, we conducted a two-sample bidirectional MR to assess whether sarcopenia-related traits had a causal impact on IS or vice versa. Subsequently, multivariable MR (MVMR) was performed to evaluate the independent effects of appendicular lean mass (ALM) on IS risk, after adjusting for some body-fat indices and PA. In the second stage, we selected 21 candidate mediators in the pathway from ALM to IS. A two-step MR analysis was then utilized to estimate the mediating effects of each screened mediator on the causal relationship between ALM and IS risk. Our analytical processes and reporting procedures strictly adhered to the three core assumptions of MR and STROBE-MR guidelines, respectively.<sup>16,17</sup>

## **2.2**  | **Selection of genetic variants**

The selection of instrumental variables (IVs) was rigorously based on the core assumptions of MR. To satisfy the relevance assumption, we first selected SNPs strongly associated with each exposure and mediator from their corresponding GWASs, using a significance threshold of  $p < 5E-08$ . For the reverse causality analysis between sarcopenia and IS, a more lenient threshold of *p*< 5E-07 was applied, due to the scarcity of SNPs meeting the stricter criterion. Subsequently, to obtain independent IVs, a clumping procedure was executed with a cut-off point of  $r^2 < 0.001$  and clumping window size =  $10,000$  kb. Then  $R^2$  and *F*-statistics of each SNP were calculated to preclude the possibility of weak instrument bias. SNPs with *F*-statistics < 10 were considered as weak IVs and dismissed from the dataset. To adhere to the exclusivity assumption, SNPs directly associated with IS (*p*< 5E-08) were discarded. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to test for and exclude pleiotropic effects (as detailed in the Statistical analyses section). For the independence assumption, MVMR analysis was employed to ascertain the independent effects of each variable, adjusting for potential confounders. Moreover, proxy SNPs were utilized in our study if certain IVs were not extracted from the outcome GWAS. Finally, a harmonization procedure was performed

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**FIGURE 1** Overview of the MR study. This MR study comprised two analytical phases. In phase 1, UVMR estimated the causal associations between sarcopenia-related traits and IS, suggesting that higher ALM may causally reduce the risk of IS. In phase 2, two-step MR was performed to screen for 21 candidate mediators potentially underlying the pathways between ALM and IS, and the mediation effects and proportions for qualified mediators were quantified. ALM, appendicular lean mass; MR, Mendelian randomization; MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; MV-IVW, multivariable inverse variance weighted model; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; UVMR, univariable Mendelian randomization (figure was created with [BioRender.com\)](http://biorender.com).



**4 of 13 A/II EV** CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics SONG ET AL.

to align exposure and outcome SNPs, while SNPs featuring incompatible alleles and palindromic SNPs with intermediate EAF were removed.

## **2.3**  | **Data sources**

## 2.3.1 | Data sources for exposures and outcomes

Detailed information about the data sources for each phenotype in our analysis is presented in Table [1](#page-4-0). Sarcopenia assessment was based on three primary criteria: muscle mass, muscular strength, and physical performance. We chose ALM as an indicator of muscle mass, hand grip strength (HGS) for measuring muscular strength, and usual walking pace (UWP) to represent physical performance. The IVs for ALM (*n*= 450,243), left HGS (*n*= 461,026), right HGS (*n*= 461,089), and UWP (*n*= 459,915) were all extracted from GWAS data sets derived from the UK Biobank, which is a large prospective cohort consisted of over 500,000 European individuals. $18$  Outcome data for IS (N\_cases = 34,217, N\_controls = 406,111), adhering to WHO clinical and imaging criteria, were sourced from the MEGASTROKE consortium.<sup>19</sup> There was no sample overlap between the data sets used for exposures and outcomes, ensuring the independence of our analysis.

## 2.3.2 | Data sources for confounders

To mitigate the limitations inherent in observational studies, we considered a range of body composition parameters as potential confounding factors. We utilized data from the GIANT consortium for BMI (*n*= 681,275), and additional metrics from the UK Biobank, including whole-body fat mass (WBFM, *n*= 454,137), body fat percentage (BF%, *n*= 401,772), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR, *n*= 502,773), level of PA (*n*= 91,084), and basal metabolic rate (BMR, *n*= 454,874). These variables were selected for their potential to influence both sarcopenia and IS risk, thereby controlling for confounding in our analysis.

## 2.3.3 | Data sources for potential mediators

Given the deleterious effects of low body lean mass and sarcopenia on metabolic homeostasis—impacting blood pressure regulation, glucose and lipid metabolism, chronic inflammation, psychological health, and various metabolic disorders—we identified these areas as candidate mediators in the causal pathway from ALM to IS. More specifically, the potential mediators include (1) three traits of blood pressure: hypertension (*n*= 205,694) from the FinnGen Biobank, and both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) from the ICBP consortium  $(n=757,601)^{20}$  $(n=757,601)^{20}$  $(n=757,601)^{20}$ ; (2) five insulin and glycemic traits: T2DM  $(n=490,089),^{21}$  fasting insulin (FI, *n*= 151,013), HbA1c (*n*= 146,806), fasting glucose (*n*= 200,622), and two-hour postprandial glucose (THG,

 $n=63,396$ ) from the MAGIC consortium<sup>[22](#page-11-14)</sup>; (3) four lipid traits: total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglyceride (TG), all derived from the GLGC consortium  $(n=188,577)^{23}$ ; (4) two cardiometabolic diseases: coronary heart disease (CHD, *n*= 184,305) from the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D, $^{24}$  $^{24}$  $^{24}$  and atrial fibrillation (AF,  $n = 118,755$ ) from the AFGen consortium<sup>25</sup>; (5) non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD, *n*= 218,792) from the FinnGen Biobank; (6) chronic kidney disease (*n*= 216,743) from the FinnGen Biobank; (7) two lifestyle habits: smoking (*n*= 337,336) and alcoholic drink-ing (n=335,394) from the GSCAN consortium<sup>[26](#page-11-18)</sup>; (8) two psychological states: depression (*n*= 500,199) and anxiety (*n*= 218,792) from the  $PGC^{27}$  and FinnGen Biobanks, respectively; and (9) one inflammatory marker: C-reactive protein (CRP, *n*= 575,531) from Said S's GWAS.<sup>[28](#page-11-20)</sup>

#### **2.4**  | **Statistical analyses**

#### 2.4.1 | Univariable and multivariable MR analyses

For univariable MR (UVMR) analysis, the random-effect inversevariance weighted (IVW) method was regarded as the primary model to ascertain the causal relationship between four sarcopenia-related traits and IS. The IVW method synthesized Wald ratios from each SNP into a composite estimated effect via meta-analysis. In addition to IVW, four alternative approaches—maximum likelihood, weighted median, MR-Egger, and MR-PRESSO—were employed to validate the robustness of the results. Causal inference was considered statistically significant if both the following criteria were met: (1)  $p_{i\omega}$  $p_{threshold}$  and (2) consistent direction of effect estimates across all methods.

To control for type I errors stemming from multiple testing, we applied the Bonferroni correction, setting a significance threshold at 0.0125 (0.05/4). Cochran's Q test assessed heterogeneity, with a Q *p*-value < 0.05 indicating its presence. To evaluate horizontal pleiotropy, the MR-Egger intercept and intercept *p*-value were calculated. An intercept *p*-value of <0.05 would cast doubt on the causal inference drawn from our MR analysis. Additionally, the Steiger directional test was used to measure any potential reverse causation bias in the newly established causal relationship.

For MVMR analysis, multivariable inverse variance weighted model (MV-IVW) was utilized as the primary analytical model, with MVMR-Egger, MVMR-median, and MVMR-Lasso as supplementary approaches. The MVMR-Egger intercept and its *p*value were computed as key parameters to evaluate horizontal pleiotropy.

## 2.4.2 | Effect of sarcopenia-related traits on IS

UVMR was conducted to estimate the causal impacts of ALM, left HGS, right HGS, and UWP on IS. Due to detected heterogeneity, a

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**TABLE 1** GWAS data sources in this MR study.



Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; AFGen, atrial fibrillation genetics; ALM, appendicular lean mass; BF%, body fat percentage; BMI, body mass index; BMR, basal metabolic rate; CARDIoGRAMplusC4D, Coronary ARtery DIsease Genome-wide Replication and Meta-analysis plus The Coronary Artery Disease Genetics; CHD, coronary heart disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GLGC, Global Lipids Genetics Consortium; GSCAN, GWAS and Sequencing Consortium of Alcohol and Nicotine use; HGS, hand grip strength; HDL-C, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ICBP, International Consortium of Blood Pressure; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MAGIC, meta-analyses of glucose- and insulin-related traits; MEGASTROKE, multi-ancestry Genome-Wide Association Study of Stroke; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; PA, physical activity; PGC, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; PMID, the PubMed ID; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; T2DM, type-2 diabetes mellitus; TC, total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride; UWP, usual walking pace; WBFM, whole body fat mass; WHR, waist–hip ratio.

**6 of 13 <sup>|</sup>**  SONG et al.

random-effect IVW model was adopted. Radial MR was then applied to identify and exclude outliers, followed by a reanalysis to validate the initial results. Additionally, a reverse two-sample MR analysis was executed to explore if IS could causally increase the burden of sarcopenia.

As parameters such as BMI, WBFM, BF%, WHR, level of PA, and BMR are closely correlated with both sarcopenia-related traits and the risk of IS, they were considered as potential confounders in this study. MVMR was then performed to ascertain the independent effect of muscular traits on IS with adjustment for these adiposerelated indices.

## 2.4.3 | Selection of mediators and two-step MR

We screened potential mediators in the causal pathway linking sarcopenia and IS based on the following criteria: (1) a causal correlation between ALM and each mediator; (2) a causal association between each mediator and IS, both with and without adjustment for ALM; (3) absence of reverse causation between the mediators and ALM; and (4) consistency in the direction of the mediating effects of each mediator with the overall effect of ALM on IS. A total of six mediators meeting these criteria were included in subsequent mediation analyses. The mediation analysis was conducted in two steps using the two-step MR approach. Initially, UVMR estimated the causal impacts (β1) of genetically proxied ALM on the mediators. Subsequently, MVMR assessed the independent effects (β2) of mediators on IS with adjustment for ALM. The mediating effect ( $\beta$ 1 $\times$  $\beta$ 2) of each mediator was generated from the "product-of-coefficients" approach, and the proportion of mediation was calculated by dividing the mediating effect ( $β1×β2$ ) by the total effect ( $β0$ ). Standard errors for both the mediating effects and proportions were determined using the delta method. All analyses were performed using the TwoSampleMR package (version 0.5.7), MendelianRandomization package (version 0.9.0), and RadialMR (version 1.1) in R software (version 4.3.1).

#### **3**  | **RESULTS**

## **3.1**  | **Univariable bidirectional MR analyses for the causal association between four muscular traits and IS**

Univariable forward MR analyses showed that each 1-SD increase in genetically proxied ALM was associated with a 7.5% reduction in the risk of IS (OR = 0.925, 95% CI: 0.879–0.974, *p*= 0.003) (Figure [2A](#page-6-0)). However, no causal associations were observed between left HGS (OR = 0.924, 95% CI: 0.785–1.088, *p*= 0.344), right HGS (OR = 0.997, 95% CI: 0.841–1.181, *p*= 0.970), or UWP (OR = 0.600, 95% CI: 0.394–0.913, *p*= 0.017) and IS (Figure [2A](#page-6-0)). MR-Egger intercept statistics revealed no evidence for horizontal pleiotropy (all p<sub>intercent</sub> ≥ 0.435), and Steiger tests confirmed no reverse causation bias (all Steiger *p*-value ≤ 1.25E-152) (Tables [S2](#page-12-0) and [S3\)](#page-12-0). Despite potential heterogeneity detected by Cochran's Q test (Table [S2](#page-12-0)), subsequent analyses using Radial MR to remove 54 outliers reaffirmed the significant causal impact of ALM on IS (OR = 0.935, 95% CI: 0.893-0.979, *p*=0.005) (Table [S4](#page-12-0)). Conversely, reverse MR analyses did not demonstrate any significant causal effects of IS on the four sarcopenia-related traits (all  $p_{ivw}$  ≥ 0.073) (Table [S6\)](#page-12-0).

## **3.2**  | **MVMR analyses for the causal and independent effect of muscular traits on IS**

Our MVMR analysis established a causal relationship between ALM and IS, which persisted even after adjusting for BMI, WBFM, BF%, WHR, level of PA, and BMR, with OR (95% CI) ranging from 0.820 (95% CI: 0.726–0.927) to 0.940 (95% CI: 0.887–0.994) (Figure [2B\)](#page-6-0). Supplementary methods including MVMR-Egger, MVMR-median, and MVMR-Lasso further validated the results derived from the MV-IVW model (Table [S8\)](#page-12-0). MVMR-Egger intercept tests showed no horizontal pleiotropy, enhancing the reliability of our estimates.

## **3.3**  | **UVMR analyses for the causal impact of sarcopenia-related traits on potential mediators**

The flowchart illustrating our selection process is presented in Figure [3](#page-7-0). Among the 21 potential mediators, each 1-SD increase in ALM was significantly correlated with a reduced risk of developing hypertension (*β*: −0.095, 95% CI: −0.155 to −0.034, *p*= 2.34E-03), T2DM (*β*: −0.118, 95% CI: −0.168 to −0.068, *p*= 5.95E-06), AF (*β*: 0.284, 95% CI: 0.240 to 0.328, *p*= 3.45E-36), CHD (*β*: −0.176, 95% CI: −0.230 to −0.121, *p*= 2.49E-10), and NAFLD (*β*: −0.286, 95% CI: −0.492 to −0.081, *p*= 6.39E-03), as well as lower levels of SBP (*β*: −0.863, 95% CI: −1.175 to −0.551, *p*= 6.02E-08), DBP (*β*: −0.249, 95% CI: −0.424 to −0.074, *p*= 5.21E-03), FI (*β*: −0.037, 95% CI: −0.050 to −0.023, *p*= 2.43E-07), THG (*β*: −0.169, 95% CI: −0.212 to −0.126, *p*= 1.88E-14), TC (*β*: −0.076, 95% CI: −0.108 to −0.044, *p*= 3.93E-06), LDL-C (*β*: −0.069, 95% CI: −0.103 to −0.036, *p*= 5.16E-05), TG (*β*: −0.039, 95% CI: −0.069 to −0.008, *p*= 1.35E-02), and CRP (*β*: −0.073, 95% CI: −0.091 to −0.056, *p*= 1.65E-16) (Figure [4A](#page-8-0); Table [S9\)](#page-12-0). However, due to significant horizontal pleiotropy between ALM and FI ( $p_{intercept} = 0.005$ ), FI was excluded from further mediation analysis. No significant horizontal pleiotropy was observed between ALM and other mediators (all  $p_{intercen} \ge 0.117$ ) (Figure [4A](#page-8-0); Table [S10](#page-12-0)).

## **3.4**  | **UVMR analyses for the causal impact of potential mediators on IS**

Among the 12 potential mediators influenced by ALM, eight demonstrated a causal relationship with an elevated risk of IS. Our analyses provided robust evidence supporting the causal links

	nSNPs	p value	<b>Ischemic stroke</b>	<b>OR (95%CI)</b>			
Appendicular lean mass	616	0.003		$0.925(0.879 - 0.974)$			
Left hand grip strength	153	0.344		$0.924(0.785 - 1.088)$			
Right hand grip strength	165	0.970		$0.997(0.841 - 1.181)$			
Usual walking pace	56	0.017		$0.600(0.394 - 0.913)$			
			0.75 1.25				
	protective factor risk factor						
	nSNPs	p value	<b>Ischemic stroke</b>	<b>OR(95%CI)</b>			
	616	0.003		$0.925(0.879 - 0.974)$			
	455	0.005		$0.904(0.845 - 0.970)$			
	581	0.002		$0.916(0.865 - 0.969)$			
	606	0.024		$0.936(0.884 - 0.991)$			
	557	0.030		$0.940(0.887 - 0.994)$			
	565	0.008		$0.931(0.882 - 0.981)$			
	603	0.001		$0.820(0.726 - 0.927)$			
			0.8 0.9				
			protective factor				
	(B) Appendicular lean mass Unadjusted <b>Adjusted for BMI</b> <b>Adjusted for WBFM</b> Adjusted for BF% <b>Adjusted for WHR</b> Adjusted for PA <b>Adjusted for BMR</b>	Sarcopenia-related traits		0.5 0.7			

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**FIGURE 2** Forest plots of the association between sarcopenia-related traits and IS. (A) Forest plot presenting the causal estimates between sarcopenia-related traits and IS as determined by UVMR. (B) Forest plot showing the causal estimates between ALM and IS, before and after adjustments for BMI, WBFM, BF%, WHR, levels of PA, and BMR using MVMR. ALM, appendicular lean mass; BMI, body mass index; BF%, body fat percentage; BMR, basal metabolic rate; IS, ischemic stroke; MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; OR, odds ratio; PA, physical activity; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; UVMR, univariable Mendelian randomization; WBFM, whole-body fat mass; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.

between genetically determined increased risks of hypertension (*β*: 0.249, 95% CI: 0.174 to 0.325, *p*= 1.06E-10), T2DM (*β*: 0.080, 95% CI: 0.051 to 0.109, *p*= 5.02E-08), CHD (*β*: 0.166, 95% CI: 0.067 to 0.264, *p*= 1.02E-03), and AF (*β*: 0.203, 95% CI: 0.162 to 0.245, *p*= 8.84E-22), as well as elevated levels of SBP (*β*: 0.033, 95% CI: 0.029 to 0.039, *p*= 3.43E-44), DBP (*β*: 0.046, 95% CI: 0.038 to 0.054, *p*= 6.01E-31), TC (*β*: 0.111, 95% CI: 0.049 to 0.174, *p*= 4.56E-04), and LDL-C (*β*: 0.101, 95% CI: 0.037 to 0.164, *p*= 1.77E-03), with IS (Figure [4B;](#page-8-0) Table [S11\)](#page-12-0). No evidence of horizontal pleiotropy was detected among these mediators and IS (all  $p_{intercen} \ge 0.083$ ) (Figure [4B](#page-8-0); Table [S12](#page-12-0)).

## **3.5**  | **Calculation of the mediating effect and proportion of each mediator in the pathway between ALM and IS by two-step MR analyses**

Subsequent MVMR analyses indicated that all eight aforementioned mediators exerted independent causal effects on IS, even after adjusting for ALM (Figure [4C](#page-8-0); Table [S13](#page-12-0)). Due to the directional inconsistency between mediating and total effects, AF was excluded from further analyses. Similarly, TC was removed owning to evidence of bidirectional causation with ALM (Figure [4D;](#page-8-0) Table [S14\)](#page-12-0). Ultimately, CHD, hypertension, SBP, DBP, T2DM, and LDL-C were identified as significant mediators in the causal pathway from ALM to IS. More detailly, CHD mediated the largest proportion of the total effect (mediating proportion: 39.94%; 95% CI: 8.66%–71.22%), followed by SBP (36.51%; 95% CI: 8.48%–64.54%), hypertension (23.87%; 95% CI: 1.23%–46.51%), DBP (15.39%; 95% CI: 0.45%–30.33%), T2DM (12.71%; 95% CI: 1.32%–24.10%), and LDL-C (7.97%; 95% CI: 0.00%–16.32%) (Figure [5](#page-9-0)).

## **4**  | **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we employed MR analysis for the first time to evaluate the causal and independent impacts of sarcopenia-related traits on the risk of IS. We identified key metabolic mediators, such as blood pressure regulation, glucose metabolism, and lipid metabolism, and quantified their mediating effects and proportions in the pathway from ALM to IS. Our comprehensive MR analyses, utilizing



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**FIGURE 3** Screening process for mediators in the causal correlation of ALM with IS. Five analytical criteria were performed to select mediators in the causal pathway from higher ALM to IS: (i) causal association of ALM with mediators; (ii) mediator's causal effect on the outcome via UVMR; (iii) direct causal effect of mediators on IS independent of ALM from MVMR; (iv) absence of reverse causation with ALM; and (v) alignment of total and mediating effects of ALM on IS. Eventually, six mediators meeting the above criteria were included in further analyses to quantify their individual mediating contributions. ALM, appendicular lean mass; IS, ischemic stroke; MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization (figure was created with [BioRender.com](http://biorender.com)).

diverse methodologies, demonstrated that each 1-SD increase in genetically proxied ALM was associated with a 7.5% reduction in the risk of IS. The protective effect persisted even after adjusting for BMI, WBFM, BF%, WHR, level of PA, and BMR. Notably, six of the 21 potential mediators, including CHD (mediating proportion: 39.94%), SBP (36.51%), hypertension (23.87%), DBP (15.39%), T2DM (12.71%), and LDL-C (7.97%), were recognized to partially mediate the protective effect of ALM on IS. These findings offer new insights into the pathophysiological mechanisms by which low ALM contributes to CVD and highlight the importance of maintaining muscle mass to mitigate IS risk through metabolic syndrome mediation.

## **4.1**  | **The interplay among sarcopenia, CVD, and stroke**

Sarcopenia, exacerbated by global aging trends, is an increasingly critical health concern linked to CVD and CVD-related mortality. Evidence from large prospective cohort studies, such as the UK

Biobank and China's CHARLS, have consistently supported the association between sarcopenia and increased risk of CVD.<sup>[29,30](#page-11-21)</sup> Furthermore, NHANES data suggest that individuals with sarcopenic obesity face the highest hazard ratios for all-cause and CVDrelated mortality, with frailty and unhealthy metabolic status acting as crucial mediators.<sup>[31](#page-11-22)</sup> However, the specific relationship between sarcopenia and IS remains underexplored. Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies based on China's CHARLS cohort have shown a higher stroke risk among sarcopenic individuals (OR=2.70, HR=1.67), $^{14}$  $^{14}$  $^{14}$ yet this correlation has not been specifically investigated for the ischemic subtype. Additionally, research involving 12,237 Chinese participants demonstrated that sarcopenia defined by low HGS can predict the onset of stroke, both cross-sectionally and longitudinally  $(OR = 1.600, HR = 1.893).$ <sup>32</sup> Other studies focusing on populations with  $T2DM^{12}$  and hypertension<sup>13</sup> also linked low muscle mass with an increased stroke risk. Nonetheless, the generalizability of these results is constrained by participant selection and the metabolic disorders present, compounded by often inadequate baseline data on PA and detailed body composition metrics, which are crucial for



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**FIGURE 4** Overall MR estimates for the causal impacts. (A) Causal effects of ALM on potential mediators determined by UVMR; (B) causal effects of remaining candidate mediators on IS determined by UVMR; (C) independent causal effects of remaining candidate mediators on IS after controlling for ALM by MVMR; (D) causal effects of potential mediators on ALM determined by UVMR. Different colors in the boxes indicate the magnitude of the *p*-value. AF, atrial fibrillation; ALM, appendicular lean mass; CHD, coronary heart disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FG, fasting glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HDL-C, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; IS, ischemic stroke; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ML, maximum likelihood; MR-PRESSO<sup>c</sup>, outlier-corrected MR-PRESSO; MR-PRESSO<sup>r</sup>, raw MR-PRESSO; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2DM, type-2 diabetes mellitus; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; THG, two-hour postprandial glucose; UVMR, univariable Mendelian randomization; WM, weighted median.

controlling confounding factors. Our study addressed these gaps by examining the causal relationships between three indicators of sarcopenia (ALM, HGS, and UWP) and the risk of IS. Unlike previous cohort studies, we observed no causal impact of HGS or UWP on IS. For instance, a study by Liu et al. associated declines in HGS with an increased incidence of stroke (OR = 1.600, HR =  $1.893$ ).<sup>32</sup> By employing MVMR to adjust for four adipose-related indices, level of PA, and BMR, we confirmed that higher ALM could independently reduce the risk of IS, irrespective of obesity-related phenotypes and PA levels.

## **4.2**  | **Potential pathophysiological mechanisms linking sarcopenia and metabolic disturbance**

Research increasingly reveals that muscle loss is intricately linked to metabolic disturbances, with insulin resistance (IR), oxidative

stress, and chronic inflammation posited as key mechanisms in this relationship. $33$  Skeletal muscles, the primary organs for glucose disposal, play essential roles in maintaining glucose homeostasis via insulin-mediated signaling pathways. Normally, insulin binds to receptors on muscle cell membranes, initiating a cascade of phosphorylation reactions that lead to GLUT4 translocation, ultimately facilitating glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis. $34$  Loss of muscle mass diminishes insulin responsiveness, resulting in increased IR and disrupted glucose regulation. This is often compounded by compensatory hyperinsulinemia that accelerates the conversion of glucose into TGs, predominantly stored in the liver.<sup>[35](#page-12-1)</sup> Concurrently, muscle atrophy is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and decreased BMR, promoting ectopic fat deposition and intracellular lipid accumulation.<sup>36</sup> Sarcopenic obesity, characterized by reduced muscle mass coupled with abdominal fat, significantly worsens metabolic and cardiovascular complications.<sup>[37](#page-12-3)</sup> Lipid intermediates like diacylglycerol and ceramides, produced by intramuscular



## (B) Causal effects of ALM on mediators (left) and mediators on IS (right)

<b>Mediator</b>				$\beta$ 1(95%CI)				$\beta$ 2(95%CI)
<b>CHD</b>				$-0.176$ (-0.230, -0.121) i				0.177(0.125, 0.230)
<b>SBP</b>				$-0.863(-1.175, -0.551)$ .				0.033(0.027, 0.039)
Hypertension				$  \cdot$ $-$ 0.095 (-0.155, -0.034) $\cdot$				0.196(0.135, 0.256)
<b>DBP</b>				$1 - 0.249 (-0.424, -0.074)$				$0.047$ (0.038, 0.057)
T <sub>2</sub> DM				$\leftarrow$ $\leftarrow$ -0.118 (-0.168, -0.068)				0.084(0.044, 0.124)
$LDL-C$				$\frac{1}{2}$ -0.069 (-0.103, -0.036) $\frac{1}{2}$				0.090(0.029, 0.151)
	$-1.2$ $-0.6$	$-0.3$	0		0	0.1	0.2	0.3
	ALM on mediators					Mediators on IS		

(C) The mediation effect of each mediator (left) and the proportion mediated by each mediator (right)



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**FIGURE 5** Two-step MR estimates for the causal influence of ALM on IS via each mediator. (A) Two-step MR analysis framework; (B) MR estimates for the causal effects of higher ALM on mediators (left) and the causal effects of mediators on IS with adjustment for ALM (right); (C) MR estimates for the mediating effect of each mediator (left) and the proportion mediated by each mediator (right). "Total effect (β0)" indicates the effect of higher ALM on IS, "direct effect A (β1)" indicates the effect of higher ALM on each mediator, "direct effect B (β2)" indicates the effect of each mediator on IS with adjustment for ALM, and "mediation effect" indicates the effect of higher ALM on IS via each mediator. Total effect (β0) and direct effect A (β1) were derived by the IVW method in UVMR (Table [S9](#page-12-0)); direct effect B (β2) was from the IVW method in MVMR (Table [S13](#page-12-0)). Standard errors for both mediating effects (β1\*β2) and proportions (β1\*β2/β0) were determined using the delta method. ALM, appendicular lean mass; CHD, coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; IS, ischemic stroke; IVs, instrumental variables; IVW, inverse variance weighted; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MR, Mendelian randomization; MVMR, multivariable Mendelian randomization; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2DM, type-2 diabetes mellitus; UVMR, univariable Mendelian randomization.

adipocytes, impair insulin signaling and intensify  $IR.^{38}$  In addition, both intramuscular and visceral adipocytes, as potent sources of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6), contribute to a pro-inflammatory milieu that exacerbates muscle fiber damage and oxidative stress.<sup>39</sup> This systemic inflammation encourages the accumulation of M1 macrophages and myofibroblasts, which engage in  $ox$ -LDL uptake, accelerating atherosclerosis progression.<sup>[33,40](#page-11-26)</sup>

Chronic inflammatory states further damage endothelial cells, activate angiotensin II, and promote hypertension. $41$  A meta-analysis by Quan et al. indicated that elderly individuals with sarcopenia, especially those with sarcopenic obesity, are more susceptible to hypertension.<sup>[41](#page-12-6)</sup> Given these insights, our study treated metabolic disorders such as hypertension, T2DM, hyperlipidemia, and elevated CRP as potential mediators linking sarcopenia to IS. Consistent with

previous studies, we found that genetically determined ALM influences blood pressure, lipid profiles, T2DM, systemic inflammation (CRP), and CHD. However, we failed to unveil the causal impact of systemic inflammation on IS. Although a statistically significant causal inference between ALM and FI (a key indicator of IR) was established, the presence of horizontal pleiotropy cast doubt on the reliability of this relationship.

## **4.3**  | **Strategies to prevent muscle loss and sarcopenia**

Our findings underscore the clinical and public health significance of preventing IS through maintaining or enhancing muscle mass, which is a practical approach for the general population. Effective nonpharmacological interventions for preventing and potentially reversing sarcopenia include resistance training and adequate nutrition.<sup>10</sup> Resistance training, widely recognized for its efficacy and affordability, increases muscle mass and strength, thereby enhancing physical performance and overall health.<sup>42,43</sup> This form of exercise is adaptable for various fitness levels, making it a versatile option for broad implementation. Nutritional strategies also play a critical role in sarcopenia prevention. Adequate dietary protein intake,<sup>[44](#page-12-8)</sup> vitamin D supplementation, $45$  consumption of polyunsaturated fatty acids, $46$  and adherence to an antioxidant-rich diet $47,48$  have all proven effective in mitigating muscle loss and delaying the progression of sarcopenia. These dietary recommendations can be seamlessly integrated into public health guidelines to improve muscle health across populations. Additionally, emerging evidence highlights the potential benefits of social support and environmental factors, such as reduced air pollution<sup>[49](#page-12-12)</sup> (specifically lower PM2.5 levels), in preventing sarcopenia and its related cognitive complications.<sup>50</sup> These insights advocate for community-based social support for the elderly and government-level initiatives to improve air quality as essential strategies. Effective management of sarcopenia may substantially reduce the risk of IS, particularly in high-risk groups.

## **4.4**  | **Prospectives and outlooks**

To translate our scientific findings into clinical practice and further reduce the incidence of IS, we propose several research directions. First, the generalizability of our conclusions needs to be validated across diverse prospective cohorts, encompassing various racial backgrounds, age groups, and genders. Second, the safety and efficacy of interventional measures such as resistance training and nutritional interventions require further exploration through prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled trials. These studies will determine whether interventions designed to prevent sarcopenia can indeed lower the risk of IS and other CVDs. Last, it is crucial to develop personalized strategies to identify which subgroups will benefit most from these interventions. We are optimistic that these efforts will significantly contribute to the prevention of IS.

## **4.5**  | **Limitations**

Indeed, there has been an ongoing debate pertaining to whether sarcopenia could increase the risk of IS. Studies often use diverse methodologies to measure muscle mass and employ various diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia, creating significant heterogeneity and comparability issues. Moreover, while observational studies aim to explore the causal association between sarcopenia and metabolism or health-related outcomes, completely eliminating residual confounding is challenging. To address these issues, we utilized IVs from GWAS data sets and conducted UVMR and MVMR to investigate the causal impacts of sarcopenia on IS. However, there still exist some limitations in our analyses. We analyzed summary-level GWAS data under the assumption of a linear relationship between variables, which may oversimplify the effects. Herein, exploration of potential nonlinear effects using individual-level GWAS data could provide deeper insights. In addition, as sarcopenia predominantly affects the elderly, our MR analysis could not delineate this relationship within specific age groups but only in the general population. Nonetheless, through MR analysis, we could not elucidate this correlation within a specific age group but only in the whole population. Finally, using GWAS data from European populations may limit the applicability of our findings to non-European groups due to differences in genetic backgrounds.

## **5**  | **CONCLUSION**

This MR study demonstrated a causal protective effect of higher ALM on IS, independent of PA and adiposity-related indices. We also identified several cardiovascular factors including CHD, hypertension, T2DM, and hyperlipidemia as key mediators underlying the causal pathway between sarcopenia-related traits and IS. Overall, our analyses underscore muscle mass as another modifiable factor for IS, finding that maintaining sufficient muscle mass could reduce the risk of such disease.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Jiahao Song and Da Zhou wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jiahao Song and Jingrun Li drew the required figures for this manuscript. Jiahao Song, Mengqi Wang, Lina Jia, and Duo Lan performed the material preparation, data collection, and statistical analysis. Da Zhou, Ran Meng, Xunming Ji, and Haiqing Song contributed to the manuscript revision. MR took full responsibility for the data, the analyses and interpretation, and the conduct of the research. All authors read and approved the final version.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank all researchers and scientists who participated in the GWAS study for their contributions and data sharing.

#### **FUNDING INFORMATION**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers: 82101390 and 82171297).

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

It is noteworthy that this study only used publicly available summarylevel GWAS data and did not involve the utilization of individuallevel statistics, thus no ethical approval was required. No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The GWAS data sets analyzed during the current study are openly available from their corresponding original article based on their PMID.

### **ORCID**

*Jiahao Song* <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7809-0187> *Da Zhou* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1376-4213> *Mengqi Wang* <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6647-2593> *Ran Meng* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1190-4710>

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1. Pu L, Wang L, Zhang R, Zhao T, Jiang Y, Han L. Projected global trends in ischemic stroke incidence, deaths and disability-adjusted life years from 2020 to 2030. *Stroke*. 2023;54(5):1330-1339.
- 2. Yang N, Lee H, Wu C. Intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke: from alteplase to tenecteplase. *Brain Circ*. 2023;9(2):61-63.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>3. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2021;20(10):795-820.
- 4. Saini V, Guada L, Yavagal DR. Global epidemiology of stroke and access to acute ischemic stroke interventions. *Neurology*. 2021;97(20 Suppl 2):S6-s16.
- 5. Kan Y, Li S, Zhang B, Ding Y, Zhao W, Ji X. No-reflow phenomenon following stroke recanalization therapy: clinical assessment advances: a narrative review. *Brain Circ*. 2023;9(4):214-221.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>6. Feigin VL, Nguyen G, Cercy K, et al. Global, regional, and countryspecific lifetime risks of stroke, 1990 and 2016. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(25):2429-2437.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>7. Uzuner N, Uzuner GT. Risk factors for multiple recurrent ischemic strokes. *Brain Circ*. 2023;9(1):21-24.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>8. Diener HC, Hankey GJ. Primary and secondary prevention of ischemic stroke and cerebral hemorrhage: JACC focus seminar. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(15):1804-1818.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>9. Damluji AA, Alfaraidhy M, AlHajri N, et al. Sarcopenia and cardiovascular diseases. *Circulation*. 2023;147(20):1534-1553.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>10. Cho MR, Lee S, Song SK. A review of sarcopenia pathophysiology, diagnosis, treatment and future direction. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2022;37(18):e146.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>11. Yuan S, Larsson SC. Epidemiology of sarcopenia: prevalence, risk factors, and consequences. *Metabolism*. 2023;144:155533.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>12. Chai KC, Chen WM, Chen M, Shia BC, Wu SY. Association between preexisting sarcopenia and stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2022;26(10):936-944.
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>13. Cai X, Hu J, Wang M, et al. Association between the sarcopenia index and the risk of stroke in eld erly patients with hypertension: a cohort study. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2023;15(6):2005-2032.
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>14. Gao K, Cao L-F, Ma W-Z, et al. Association between sarcopenia and cardiovascular disease among middle -aged and older adults:

findings from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2022;44:101264.

- 15. Minn YK, Suk SH. Higher skeletal muscle mass may protect against ischemic stroke in community-dwelling adults without stroke and dementia: the PRESENT project. *BMC Geriatr*. 2017;17(1):45.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>16. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey SG. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed)*. 2018;362:k601.
- 17. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology U sing Mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *JAMA*. 2021;326(16):1614-1621.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span> 18. Pei YF, Liu YZ, Yang XL, et al. The genetic architecture of appendicular lean mass characterized by association analysis in the UK Biobank study. *Communications Biology*. 2020;3(1):608.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>19. Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, et al. Multiancestry genomewide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(4):524-537.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>20. Evangelou E, Warren HR, Mosen-Ansorena D, et al. Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci asso ciated with blood pressure traits. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(10):1412-1425.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>21. Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, et al. A cross-population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet*. 2021;53(10):1415-1424.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>22. Chen J, Spracklen CN, Marenne G, et al. The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nat Genet*. 2021;53(6):840-860.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>23. Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(11):1274-1283.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>24. Nikpay M, Goel A, Won H-H, et al. A comprehensive 1,000 genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analy sis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. 2015;47(10):1121-1130.
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>25. Christophersen IE, Rienstra M, Roselli C, et al. Large-scale analyses of common and rare variants identify 12 new loci associated with atrial fibrillation. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(6):946-952.
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>26. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insight s into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(2):237-244.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>27. Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, et al. Genome-wide metaanalysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nat Neurosci*. 2019;22(3):343-352.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>28. Said S, Pazoki R, Karhunen V, et al. Genetic analysis of over half a million people characterises C-reactive protein loci. *Nat Commun*. 2022;13(1):2198.
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>29. Boonpor J, Pell JP, Ho FK, Celis-Morales C, Gray SR. In people with type 2 diabetes, sarcopenia is associated with the incidence of cardiovascular disease: a prospective cohort study from the UK Biobank. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2024;26(2):524-531.
- 30. Jiang M, Ren X, Han L, Zheng X. Associations between sarcopenic obesity and risk of cardiovascular disease: a population-based cohort study among middle-aged and older adults using the CHARLS. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2024;43(3):796-802.
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>31. Kim D, Lee J, Park R, Oh CM, Moon S. Association of low muscle mass and obesity with increased all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality in US adults. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2024;15(1):240-254.
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>32. Liu G, Xue Y, Wang S, Zhang Y, Geng Q. Association between hand grip strength and stroke in China: a prospective cohort study. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2021;13(6):8204-8213.
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>33. Hong SH, Choi KM. Sarcopenic obesity, insulin resistance, and their implications in cardiovascular and metabolic consequences. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(2):494.
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>34. Norton L, Shannon C, Gastaldelli A, DeFronzo RA. Insulin: the master regulator of glucose metabolism. *Metabolism*. 2022;129:155142.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>35. Watt MJ, Miotto PM, De Nardo W, Montgomery MK. The liver as an endocrine organ-linking NAFLD and insulin resistance. *Endocr Rev*. 2019;40(5):1367-1393.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>36. Cleasby ME, Jamieson PM, Atherton PJ. Insulin resistance and sarcopenia: mechanistic links between common co-morbidities. *J Endocrinol*. 2016;229(2):R67-R81.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>37. Wei S, Nguyen TT, Zhang Y, Ryu D, Gariani K. Sarcopenic obesity: epidemiology, pathophysiology, cardiovascular disease, mortality, and management. *Front Endocrinol*. 2023;14:1185221.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>38. Brøns C, Grunnet LG. MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: skeletal muscle lipotoxicity in insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes: a causal mechanism or an innocent bystander? *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2017;176(2):R67-r78.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span> 39. Jimenez-Gutierrez GE, Martínez-Gómez LE, Martínez-Armenta C, Pineda C, Martínez-Nava GA, Lopez-Reyes A. Molecular mechanisms of inflammation in sarcopenia: diagnosis and therapeutic update. *Cells*. 2022;11(15):2359.
- 40. Xu Y, Wang Y, Ji X. Immune and inflammatory mechanism of remote ischemic conditioning: a narrative review. *Brain Circ*. 2023;9(2):77-87.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>41. Quan Y, Wang C, Wang L, Li G. Geriatric sarcopenia is associated with hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2023;25(9):808-816.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>42. Yasuda T. Selected methods of resistance training for prevention and treatment of sarcopenia. *Cells*. 2022;11(9):1389.
- 43. Fayyaz AI, Ding Y. Mental stress, meditation, and yoga in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. *Brain Circ*. 2023;9(1):1-2.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>44. Rogeri PS, Zanella R Jr, Martins GL, et al. Strategies to prevent sarcopenia in the aging process: role of protein intake and exercise. *Nutrients*. 2021;14(1):52.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>45. Uchitomi R, Oyabu M, Kamei Y. Vitamin D and sarcopenia: potential of vitamin D supplementation in sarcopenia prevention and treatment. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(10):3189.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span> 46. Tseng PT, Zeng BY, Zeng BS, et al. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in sarcopenia management: a network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2023;90:102014.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>47. Liu S, Zhang L, Li S. Advances in nutritional supplementation for sarcopenia management. *Front Nutr*. 2023;10:1189522.
- 48. Chen L, Ming J, Chen T, et al. Association between dietary inflammatory index score and muscle mass and strength in older adults: a study from National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1999-2002. *Eur J Nutr*. 2022;61(8):4077-4089.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>49. Shi W, Zhang T, Yu Y, Luo L. Association of indoor solid fuel use and long-term exposure to ambient PM(2.5) with sarcopenia in China: a nationwide cohort study. *Chemosphere*. 2023;344:140356.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>50. Bian D, Li X, Xiao Y, et al. Relationship between social support, sarcopenia, and cognitive impairment in Chinese community-dwelling older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2023;27(9):726-733.

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Song J, Zhou D, Li J, et al. The causal relationship between sarcopenia-related traits and ischemic stroke: Insights from univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses. *CNS Neurosci Ther*. 2024;30:e14759. doi[:10.1111/cns.14759](https://doi.org/10.1111/cns.14759)