REVIEW

Natural history of mesenchymal stem cells, from vessel walls to culture vessels

Iain R. Murray · Christopher C. West · Winters R. Hardy · Aaron W. James · Tea Soon Park · Alan Nguyen · Tulyapruek Tawonsawatruk · Lorenza Lazzari · Chia Soo · Bruno Péault

Received: 6 July 2013 / Revised: 17 August 2013 / Accepted: 23 August 2013 / Published online: 25 October 2013 © Springer Basel 2013

Abstract Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) can regenerate tissues by direct differentiation or indirectly by stimulating angiogenesis, limiting inflammation, and recruiting tissue-specific progenitor cells. MSCs emerge and multiply in long-term cultures of total cells from the bone marrow or multiple other organs. Such a derivation in vitro is simple and convenient, hence popular, but has long precluded understanding of the native identity, tissue distribution, frequency, and natural role of MSCs, which have been defined and validated exclusively in terms of surface marker expression and developmental potential in culture into bone, cartilage, and fat. Such simple, widely accepted criteria uniformly typify MSCs, even though some differences in potential exist, depending on tissue sources. Combined immunohistochemistry, flow cytometry, and cell culture have allowed tracking the artifactual cultured mesenchymal stem/stromal cells back to perivascular

C. C. West, W. R. Hardy, A. W. James, and T. S. Park contributed equally to this work.

I. R. Murray · C. C. West · T. Tawonsawatruk · B. Péault MRC Center for Regenerative Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

I. R. Murray · C. C. West · T. Tawonsawatruk · B. Péault (\boxtimes) BHF Center for Cardiovascular Science, Queens Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK e-mail: bpeault@mednet.ucla.edu

I. R. Murray · W. R. Hardy · B. Péault Orthopedic Hospital Research Center and Broad Stem Cell Center, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

W. R. Hardy

Indiana Center for Vascular Biology and Medicine, Indianapolis, USA

anatomical regions. Presently, both pericytes enveloping microvessels and adventitial cells surrounding larger arteries and veins have been described as possible MSC forerunners. While such a vascular association would explain why MSCs have been isolated from virtually all tissues tested, the origin of the MSCs grown from umbilical cord blood remains unknown. In fact, most aspects of the biology of perivascular MSCs are still obscure, from the emergence of these cells in the embryo to the molecular control of their activity in adult tissues. Such dark areas have not compromised intents to use these cells in clinical settings though, in which purified perivascular cells already exhibit decisive advantages over conventional MSCs, including purity, thorough characterization and, principally, total independence from in vitro culture. A growing body of experimental data is currently paving the way to the medical usage of autologous sorted perivascular cells for indications in which MSCs have been previously contemplated or actually used, such as bone regeneration and cardiovascular tissue repair.

A. W. James · A. Nguyen Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

T. S. Park Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA

L. Lazzari Cell Factory, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

C. Soo

Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Departments of Surgery and Orthopedic Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

Keywords Blood vessels · Stem cells · Pericytes · Cell therapy · Tissue repair · Mesenchymal stem cells

Abbreviations

Introduction

It is intuitive that any adult tissue with the capacity to repair or regenerate harbors specific stem cells, defined by their ability to self-renew and retain sufficient proliferative

and differentiation potential. Bone has an impressive ability to repair and it is therefore not surprising that stem cells with bone-regenerative characteristics have been identified from cultures of bone-derived cells. The presence of nonhematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow (BM) was first described by Conheim, who proposed that bone marrow was also a source of fibroblasts contributing to bone healing [[1\]](#page-13-0). In the 1960s, the Russian scientist Friedenstein [[2–](#page-13-1)[4\]](#page-14-0) identified a population of cells within rodent bone marrow that were rapidly adherent to plastic, had the appearance of fibroblasts, and formed clonal colonies in vitro (colony-forming unit (CFU)—fibroblast). These cells were also capable of osteogenic differentiation in culture and could generate bone when implanted in ectopic locations in vivo. In addition, their demonstrated ability to regenerate heterotopic bone tissue in serial implants suggested their self-renewal [[5\]](#page-14-1). Since Friedenstein's early descriptions, numerous laboratories have confirmed and expanded these findings, showing that cells with similar abilities to be sub-passaged and differentiated in vitro into a variety of mesodermal cell types such as osteoblasts, chondrocytes, adipocytes, and myoblasts could be isolated from human bone marrow [[6–](#page-14-2)[9\]](#page-14-3). Some investigators suggested that perivascular cells in the bone marrow are the precur-sors of connective tissue lineages in vivo [[10,](#page-14-4) [11\]](#page-14-5). Friedenstein had isolated from the bone marrow of rodents what would later be coined "mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)" by Caplan [[12\]](#page-14-6).

MSCs have now been isolated from multiple different human tissue types including fat [\[13](#page-14-7), [14](#page-14-8)], dental pulp [\[15](#page-14-9)], periodontal ligament [[16\]](#page-14-10), tendon [\[17](#page-14-11), [18](#page-14-12)], umbilical cord [\[19](#page-14-13)], skin [\[20](#page-14-14)], placenta [[21\]](#page-14-15), amniotic fluid [[22\]](#page-14-16), synovial membrane [\[23](#page-14-17)], muscle [[24\]](#page-14-18), indeed almost all post-natal $[25, 26]$ $[25, 26]$ $[25, 26]$ $[25, 26]$, and fetal tissues $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$ $[27, 28]$ (Table [1](#page-2-0)). Considerable work has been done to characterize and expand these cells in vitro, and to explore strategies to maintain these cells in their stem-like state [\[29](#page-14-23)[–34](#page-14-24)]. This work was driven by the promise of therapeutic translation using these progenitors to replace or repair damaged musculoskeletal tissues. Therefore, current knowledge of MSCs is almost entirely based on characterization and observations of behavior in culture—the setting in which they are defined—and until recently the in vivo counterpart of culture-expanded MSCs remained a mystery.

With interest so far focused on multipotency and tissue engineering, little is currently understood regarding the ontogeny of these cells, their anatomical localization or their natural role in tissue homeostasis, physiology, or pathology. Characterization of native MSCs could allow for either pharmacological or genetic manipulations of this cellular pool in vivo, or facilitate the purification of populations for tissue engineering applications. In this review, we summarize recent developments in our understanding of the

Table 1 Human MSCs derived from different sources

Human tissue	Study	
Aorta	$\lceil 25 \rceil$	
Adipose	$[13, 27, 228 - 233, 257]$	
Amniotic fluid	[22, 41]	
Bone marrow	$[2-4, 7, 15, 25, 27, 234]$	
Blood	[235]	
Brain	[25, 27, 113]	
Cartilage	[236, 237]	
Cord blood	$[234, 238 - 241]$	
Dental pulp	$[15, 44, 242 - 246]$	
Endometrium	[247, 248]	
Eye	$\lceil 27 \rceil$	
Gut	[27, 249]	
Heart	$[27]$	
Kidney	$\lceil 25 \rceil$	
Liver	[25, 234]	
Lung	[25, 27]	
Muscle	[24, 25, 27]	
Pancreas	[25, 27]	
Perichondrium	[250, 251]	
Periodontal ligament	[16]	
Placenta	[21, 27, 252]	
Salivary gland	[253]	
Skin	[20, 27]	
Spleen	$\lceil 25 \rceil$	
Synovial membrane	$\left[23\right]$	
Tendon	[17, 18]	
Thymus	$\lceil 25 \rceil$	
Umbilical cord	[19, 27, 254]	
Vein	[25, 255]	

anatomical and developmental origins of MSCs and discuss related ongoing controversies. We discuss how advances in our understanding of the in vivo location of MSCs will facilitate the expanding medical applications of these cells.

Definitions and in vitro behaviors of MSCs

Attempts have been made to standardize the nomenclature used in MSC research, however the variation in methods of isolation, culture, and assays used to examine them has made this issue both difficult and at times misleading. In 2006, the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) produced a position statement in which it suggested the minimum criteria required to define MSCs [\[35](#page-14-25)]. They stated that cells must:

- Be plastic adherent
- Express the cell surface antigens CD105, CD73, and CD90
- Not express the cell surface antigens CD45, CD34, CD14, CD11b, CD79α, CD19, or HLA-DR
- • Differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes, and chondroblasts in vitro

These criteria were established to standardize human MSC isolation but may not apply uniformly to other species. For example, murine MSCs differ in marker expression and behavior compared with human MSCs [\[36](#page-14-26)].

Although not included within defining criteria, MSCs are recognized to perform a number of roles beyond multipotency including immune modulation, hematopoiesis support, and the release of trophic factors in response to injury.

Multilineage potential

The ability of MSCs to differentiate into mesodermal cell lineages (cartilage, bone, tendon, ligament, adipose tissue, marrow stroma, connective tissue) in appropriate conditions is well established [[12\]](#page-14-6). This is routinely achieved in vitro by supplementation of cultures with lineage-specific growth factor combinations. For example, dexamethasone, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), and insulin are used to induce adipogenic differentiation while dexamethasone, β-glycerophosphate (BGP), and ascorbic acid are used to promote osteogenic differentiation.

Support of hematopoiesis

The crucial role of BM stromal progenitors in supporting hematopoiesis was first described by Friedenstein et al. [[3\]](#page-14-27) who observed the formation of heterotopic ossicles containing bone and hematopoietic tissue upon ectopic CFUfibroblast-derived colony transplantation in semi-syngeneic animals. The hematopoietic cells were of recipient origin whereas bone-forming cells originated from the donor suggesting that transplanted colonies provided a microenvironment favorable for hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) homing and subsequent establishment of hematopoiesis. Subsequently, Dexter et al. [[37\]](#page-14-28) established a system of murine long-term cultures to demonstrate that BM stromal cells can maintain hematopoiesis for several months. It was confirmed that a subset of human BM stromal cells expressing the STRO-1 antigen possesses hematopoiesis supporting ability, along with the potential to differentiate into multiple mesenchymal cell lineages [[38,](#page-14-29) [39](#page-14-30)]. There is accumulating evidence to suggest that BM MSCs also have promoting effects on HSC engraftment and repopulation. Several studies have demonstrated that co-transplantation of human HSCs and MSCs results in increased chimerism and/or hematopoietic recovery, in both animal models and humans [\[40](#page-14-31)[–46](#page-15-0)].

Immune regulation

The immunomodulatory properties of bone marrowderived MSCs, including their immunosuppressive effects during allogeneic stem cell transplantation, have been well documented [[47–](#page-15-2)[51\]](#page-15-3). The immunoactivity of the cells is mediated by direct cell-to-cell contact and through secreted bioactive molecules involving dendritic cells, B and T cells including T regulatory cells, and T helper cells and killer cells [\[51](#page-15-3), [52\]](#page-15-4). The immunomodulatory effect of MSCs has been suggested to involve the release of bio-molecules (IL-10, interferon-γ, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase [[53\]](#page-15-5)), cell-to-cell contacts [[54\]](#page-15-6), T-cell regulation [[55\]](#page-15-7), and alloantigen-pulsed dendritic cells [[56\]](#page-15-8). Furthermore, umbilical cord perivascular mesenchymal progenitors [[57\]](#page-15-9) as well as pericytes purified from pancreas, skeletal muscle and placenta [Corselli et al., unpublished] can reduce lymphocyte proliferation as bone marrow MSCs do. More studies will be required to elucidate thoroughly the role of MSCs and related perivascular mesenchymal progenitors during the inflammatory and immunosuppressive responses.

Secretion of trophic factors

Experiments using transplantation of cultured MSCs into animals led to the realization that MSCs' therapeutic effects could not be explained by differentiation into tissue-specific cells alone [\[58](#page-15-10), [59\]](#page-15-11). As such, transplanted MSCs may exert beneficial effects through their vast secretome beyond immune regulation [\[60](#page-15-12), [61](#page-15-13)]. Bioactive factors secreted by MSCs have angiogenic and antiapoptotic properties that serve to limit the extent of tissue damage at the injured sites, re-establish blood supply, and possibly recruit local progenitors. These MSC paracrine effects have been referred to as trophic effects [\[54](#page-15-6)].

Due to the lack of a unique MSC function and anatomic identity, these cells were termed MSCs or more or less synonymously "marrow stromal cells", "BM stromal cells" and "mesenchymal stromal cells" [\[1](#page-13-0), [62](#page-15-14), [63](#page-15-15)]. Populations of cells that fulfilled the ISCT MSC criteria yet exhibit broader differentiation capacity have also been described [\[64](#page-15-16)]. Investigators described such cells as multipotent adult progenitor cells (MAPC) [[65\]](#page-15-17), marrow isolated multilineage inducible cells (MIAMI) [\[66](#page-15-18)], or multipotent adult stem cells (MASC) [\[67](#page-15-19)]. The relationship of these cells to MSCs is currently not clear.

For example, cord blood (CB) contains different nonhematopoietic CD45−, CD34− adherent cell populations: cord blood mesenchymal stromal cells (CB MSCs) that behave almost like MSCs from bone marrow (BM MSCs), very small embryonic-like stem cells (VSEL), and unrestricted somatic stem cells (USSCs) that differentiate into cells of all three germ layers [[68–](#page-15-20)[70\]](#page-15-21). Distinguishing between these populations is difficult due to overlapping features such as the immunophenotype or the osteogenic and chondrogenic differentiation pathways. Functional differences in the differentiation potentials suggest different developmental stages or different cell populations.

The immunophenotype of MSCs

Assuming that MSCs represent a distinct cell population, it is intuitive that they would have a specific repertoire of cell surface antigens that would enable identification, isolation, and purification based on phenotype. Flow cytometry is a powerful and relatively easy-to-handle approach for phenotyping of cells using fluorescence labeled monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against cell surface antigens. The cell surface antigen profile of MSCs has been well explored, and in recent years various combinations of cell surface markers were published for characterizing MSCs (Tables [2,](#page-4-0) [3\)](#page-5-0) [\[71](#page-15-22), [72\]](#page-15-23). A particular challenge for the field has been the absence of any specific marker to define MSCs, although a large number of different determinants have been associated, albeit not exclusively, with them (reviewed by Lindner et al. [[73\]](#page-15-24) 2010; for human MSCs).

Simmons et al. reported that a population of human bone marrow-derived cells expressing STRO-1 was considerably enriched in clonogenic cells that were capable of differentiation into multiple mesenchymal cell lineages and included CFUs. It was subsequently demonstrated that the homogeneity of the STRO-1-positive population could be further improved by co-selecting for VCAM-1 [[74](#page-15-25)]. Similarly, CD146 (MCAM)+ populations isolated from bone marrow were shown to adhere to plastic, demonstrate clonogenicity, and self renew in vitro [[75,](#page-15-26) [76\]](#page-15-27). When transplanted subcutaneously into mice, this CD146+ population can generate bone and support hematopoiesis. Any relationship between the STRO-1+VCAM-1+ population and the CD146+ population remains to be determined. While these markers have been used to enrich MSC-like populations, they do not appear to participate in the molecular processes regulating selfrenewal versus differentiation [[77](#page-15-28)].

Defining MSCs in vitro adds complexity to their study because the culture conditions may introduce experimental artifacts. It has been proposed that certain natively expressed surface markers are modified following explantation, while new markers may be acquired. For example, an MSC line was isolated that uniformly expressed human leukocyte antigen-DR (HLA-DR) (a marker that should not be expressed on MSCs by the above definition) while also expressing CD90 and CD105, adhering to plastic in culture, and being capable of differentiating into osteoblasts, adipocytes, and chondroblasts [\[78\]](#page-15-29).

Table 2 Markers used for the POSITIVE identification of MSCs and MSC precursors

* CD34 expression is rapidly lost in culture

Marker	Also known as	"Conventional" MSCs	Pericytes	Adventitial cells
CD11a	Integrin αL chain	[7, 35]		
CD11b	Integrin α M chain	[35]		
CD14	LPS receptor	[7, 35, 148, 258]	[260, 295]	
CD16		[256]		
CD19		[7, 35]		
CD27		[256]		
CD28		[256]		
CD31	Platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM-1)	[106, 256, 259, 265]	[27, 106, 260, 263, 264, 270, 295]	[263, 264, 270]
CD33		$\left[256\right]$		
CD34	Mucosialin	[35, 148, 256, 263]	[27, 106, 263, 264, 270]	
CD36		$\left[256\right]$		
CD45	Leucocyte common antigen (LCA)	[35, 148, 256, 258, 259]	[27, 106, 260, 264, 270, 295]	[135, 270]
CD50		[261]		
CD56			[27, 264]	
CD79a	$Ig-\alpha$	$[35]$		
CD102	Intercellular adhesion molecule 2	[261]		
CD106	Vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM1)		[295]	
CD117	c-kit	$[270]$	[270]	[270]
CD133	Prominin-1	[148, 258]	[27]	
CD144	Vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin	[27, 148]	[27]	
CD146	Melanoma cell adhesion molecule (MCAM)			[106, 135, 263, 270]
CD243		$\left[256\right]$		
αSMA				[135, 263]
NG ₂				[135]

Table 3 Markers used for the NEGATIVE identification of MSCs and MSC precursors

Similarly, the expression of CD105, CD73, and CD90 is not uniform and can be modulated by in vitro conditioning. The expression or absence of these factors does not appear to be inclusive or exclusive of multipotency and discrete subpopulations of MSC-like cells have been isolated with varying levels of expression [[79\]](#page-15-30). Numerous other markers have been suggested, including platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFRβ), CD271 [\[80](#page-15-31), [81\]](#page-15-32), and recently decorin, a marker specific to MSCs in adipose tissue has been identified [\[82](#page-16-2)]. A nomenclature that focuses on anatomically defined in vivo populations is preferential to one that is based on inherently variable and imprecise in vitro populations.

MSCs isolated from different organs exhibit unique features

The equivalency of MSC populations of distinct anatomic origins has not been robustly demonstrated. Despite fulfilling the ISCT criteria, differences have been observed with respect to the immunophenotype, secreted cytokine profile, and results obtained by proteome analysis depending on the

source and the native or cultivated state of the MSC population characterized [[83](#page-16-3)[–85](#page-16-4)]. Cloned human MSCs isolated from fat and bone marrow default to an adipogenic or osteogenic potential respectively, suggesting that the tissue environment of origin imprints such character. Clonal analysis varies between donors and tissues yielding values between 30 and 50 % for tripotent cells that can differentiate into adipocytes, chondrocytes and osteoblasts [[86,](#page-16-5) [87\]](#page-16-6). The ability of MSCs to differentiate in vitro into adipocytes, chondrocytes, osteoblasts, myoblasts, and of late into hematopoiesis- or osteogenesis-supporting stromal cells has been used to stratify the multipotency of these cells as well as to search for markers indicative of lineage commitment. While surface antigens like CD105, CD73, and CD29 are con-served by most MSCs [[35\]](#page-14-25), others such as Sca-1 (rodents), CD24 [\[88–](#page-16-7)[90\]](#page-16-8), CD140-a, -b, CD146 [[91\]](#page-16-9), CD271 [\[92](#page-16-10), [93](#page-16-11)], CD338 [\[94](#page-16-12)], and many others [[88\]](#page-16-7) betray the underlying heterogeneity in these cells. Some markers like PDGFRα correlated with the adipogenic potential of these cells, both in humans and in rodents, while others like CD146 may be associated with greater multipotency, and a higher colonyforming efficiency and proliferation rate [[87\]](#page-16-6).

Anatomical location of MSCs

With interest focused on multipotency and tissue engineering and repair, the native origin and physiological roles in vivo of MSCs have been considerably overlooked. As such, cells that could be identified only retrospectively in long-term culture were being proposed for therapeutic purposes, without a true understanding of their native origin or function. The real, in vivo counterpart of culture expanded MSCs was unknown, and it could be argued that based on the ISCT definition, MSCs represented a mere artifact of culture with no exact equivalent in the living organism. Somewhat surprisingly, the lack of understanding of the in vivo origin of these cells did not constrain their clinical uses. However, such a retrospective characterization in vitro meant that any clinical exploitation of MSCs would make use of a heterogeneous population of cells exposed to the hazards of extended culture. In search of ways to fully exploit the therapeutic characteristics of MSCs, researchers sought an improved understanding of the native identity and biology of these cells.

The massive stem cell recruitment, expansion, migration, and differentiation that can be visualized at early embryonic stages wanes with maturity. As development proceeds, stem cells become less prevalent and tissue regeneration and repair become quantitatively marginal. This makes the documentation of stem cell presence and activity in anatomic terms increasingly challenging. It is established that adult tissue-specific stem cells are located in specialized "niches" in their corresponding tissues of origin [[95\]](#page-16-13). For example, HSCs can be found in the bone marrow [\[96](#page-16-14)] [[93\]](#page-16-11), epidermal stem cells in mammalian hair follicles [[97\]](#page-16-15), and neural SCs in the subventricular zone [\[98](#page-16-16)]. MSCs have perhaps proved to be the most elusive of all adult stem cells.

The main cell types suggested to descend from MSCs including bone, cartilage, fat, and muscle are not limited to one anatomical region. Wherever MSCs originate, they must be capable of reaching these tissues throughout the body or be locally available. With this in mind, a number of potential explanations have been suggested [[99](#page-16-17)]. Firstly, MSCs may originate from a single organ, from which they migrate towards areas of need in response to systemic signals. In support of this, experiments using rats exposed to low-oxygen conditions suggest that MSCs are specifically mobilized into peripheral blood as a consequence of hypoxia [\[100\]](#page-16-18), while elevated numbers of MSCs were noted in the peripheral blood of patients immediately following traumatic hip injury [[101](#page-16-19)]. However, the origin(s) of the mobilized cells remains unclear and it has proved extremely difficult to establish MSC cultures from conventional blood either in physiological conditions or following stimulation with cytokines [[25,](#page-14-19) [102](#page-16-20), [103\]](#page-16-21).

Conversely, the ability to derive apparently identical MSCs from multiple tissues led to the hypothesis that these cells share a common in vivo location. A growing body of published reports has described perivascular cells that appear indistinguishable from vascular pericytes as a possible source of MSCs [[15,](#page-14-9) [27,](#page-14-21) [43,](#page-15-33) [75\]](#page-15-26), a situation that would explain why MSCs can be isolated from all vascularized organs. Association of these mesenchymal progenitor cells with the vasculature would allow them to function as a source of new cells for physiological turnover and for the repair or regeneration of local lesions. The establishment of MSC-like cultures from blood vessels alone supports this hypothesis [[9\]](#page-14-3). Lineage tracing studies have confirmed that vascular pericytes do contribute to regeneration of bone following tooth injury although other populations of cells are also involved [[44\]](#page-15-1). More recently, another subset of vascular cells, namely adventitial cells, have been identified that may behave in a similar manner to pericytes [\[135](#page-17-1)].

Pericytes at the origin of MSCs

Recent results have acknowledged the regenerative potential, under certain conditions, of a subset population residing in the wall of blood vessels [\[45](#page-15-34), [46\]](#page-15-0). Pericytes have been recognized as a distinct cellular entity that share a common immunophenotype and differentiation potential to mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells [\[26](#page-14-20)]. In a variety of human organs, perivascular mesenchymal progenitor cells can be identified by a combination of perivascular $(CD146, NG2, PDGFR\beta)$ and MSC $(CD29, CD44, CD73,$ CD90, CD105, alkaline phosphatase) markers, as well as lack of hemato-endothelial cell markers [CD31, CD34, CD45, CD144, von Willebrand factor (vWF)] expression. Pericytes have been shown to differentiate into multiple mesodermal lineages including bone [\[104](#page-16-22), [105](#page-16-23)], fat [\[106](#page-16-1)], cartilage [\[27](#page-14-21)], and skeletal muscle [\[107](#page-16-24), [108](#page-16-25)]. The T-lymphocyte surveillance shut-down effects observed with culture-expanded bone marrow-derived MSCs have also been reported in studies evaluating pericytes [[109,](#page-16-26) [110\]](#page-16-27).

Tottey et al. [[111\]](#page-16-28) demonstrated that perivascular cells isolated from human fetal muscle proliferate at a higher rate under hypoxic conditions (6 %) than normoxia (21 %) and that they migrate more rapidly when exposed to degraded ECM products. This indicates some degree of activation in the presence of injury. Perivascular cells can release various cytokines, including basic-fibroblast growth factor (b-FGF), a well-known chemotactic and mitogenic agent and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a regulator of angiogenesis, which can also participate in tumor progression [\[112](#page-16-29)].

Pericytes participate in vivo in the development, renewal, and repair of several distinct tissues

Most interestingly, there is now increasing evidence that pericytes—aka mural cells—can play a natural role as progenitor cells in development and in various injured tissues. Perivascular cells represent a ubiquitous cell population, distinct from tissue-specific stem cells such as brain neural stem cells [[113\]](#page-16-0), hepatic stellate cells [[114\]](#page-16-30), supra adventitial-adipose stromal cells [\[106](#page-16-1)], and myogenic satellite cells [[115\]](#page-16-31). However, Leydig cells in the rat testis were suggested to be regenerated by pericytes following chemical injury [\[116](#page-16-32)] and mural cells were proposed as normal progenitors of white adipocytes in murine fat tissue [\[117](#page-16-33)]. It has also been demonstrated that pericytes resident in postnatal skeletal muscle differentiate into muscle fibers and generate satellite cells following chemical damage to the muscle [[118\]](#page-16-34). Most recently, direct differentiation of pericytes into follicular dendritic cells was documented in the mouse [[119\]](#page-16-35). More generally, pericytes appear to give rise in situ to multiple mesodermal derivatives [[120\]](#page-16-36), in response notably to PDGFRβ signaling [\[121](#page-16-37)]. Therefore it appears that the ability of pericytes to yield MSCs in culture mirrors an intrinsic broad developmental potential of these cells, or at least of subsets thereof.

Pericytes and the bone marrow hematopoietic niche

Although hematopoietic stem cells were originally localized in the endosteal regions of bone marrow, recent findings suggested the existence of a distinct perivascular niche in which pericytes support HSC *stemness*. Perivascular reticular cells expressing CXCL12 were found to play a role in murine HSC maintenance [[122\]](#page-17-2). Mendez-Ferrer et al. [[123\]](#page-17-3) demonstrated the existence in mouse bone marrow of perivascular nestin+ MSCs associated with HSCs. Ablation of these nestin+ MSCs led to a significant reduction in the number and homing ability of HSCs. Furthermore, a direct role for perivascular cells in hematopoiesis regulation was recently confirmed by Ding et al. [[124\]](#page-17-4) in a stem cell factor (SCF) knock-in mouse model. Here, selective shut-off of c-kit ligand expression in leptin receptor (Lep-R)-positive cells surrounding bone marrow blood vessels significantly reduced the frequency of long-term reconstituting hematopoietic stem cells. More recently, Corselli et al. [[125\]](#page-17-5) demonstrated that human bone marrow and adipose tissue-resident pericytes express in vivo nestin, CXCL12, and Lep-R, and support the ex vivo maintenance of human HSCs. Furthermore, it was found that pericytes support HSCs through direct contact and *Notch/ Jagged1* signaling. Conversely, conventional unfractionated MSCs did not maintain HSC *stemness*, favoring differentiation. Pericytes can therefore be considered as the bona fide human equivalents of the hematopoietic perivascular niche components recently described in the mouse.

Nonpericyte perivascular cells as MSC ancestors

Multipotent progenitors displaying MSC phenotypic and developmental properties have also been described in the bovine artery wall [[126\]](#page-17-6) and have recently been isolated from the tunica adventitia of the human pulmonary artery [\[127](#page-17-7)]. The tunica adventitia was long considered an inactive component of blood vessels mainly functioning as structural support for the tunica media. Only recently has it been demonstrated that the adventitia plays a crucial role in vascular remodeling and the development of vascular diseases including arteriosclerosis and restenosis [[128\]](#page-17-8). Activation of adventitial cells has been described in response to physical stressors including injury [[129\]](#page-17-9), vein grafting [\[130](#page-17-10)], hypoxia [[131\]](#page-17-11), and hypertension $[132]$ $[132]$. In these settings, adventitial cells may differentiate into myofibroblasts that migrate into the inner layers of the vascular wall, alter extracellular matrix deposition, and release paracrine factors regulating vascular remodeling [\[133](#page-17-13)]. In apo $E^{-/-}$ mice, Hu et al. $[130]$ $[130]$ identified and isolated Sca1+ adventitial progenitor cells that are able to differentiate in vitro and in vivo into smooth muscle cells. Following transplantation of Sca1+ β gal- cells carrying the LacZ gene under the control of the smooth muscle-specific promoter SM22 into the adventitia of murine vein grafts, the authors observed the presence of β-gal+ smooth muscle cells in the neointima up to 4 weeks after grafting. This indicates the contribution of adventitial progenitors in the progression of vascular diseases. It has subsequently been demonstrated that the differentiation potential of adventitial cells is not restricted to myofibroblasts. These observations suggest, indirectly, that pericytes exclusively present around capillaries and microvessels are not the only ancestors of MSCs, as hypothesized previously [[134\]](#page-17-14).

Along a systematic search by flow cytometry purification for alternative non-pericyte cells at the origin of MSCs, Corselli et al. identified a subset of CD34+ CD45− CD56− CD146− NG2− cells in the tunica adventitia of human arteries and veins [\[135](#page-17-1)]. These adventitial cells grew like MSCs in culture and exhibited typical MSC differentiation properties. Interestingly, adventitial progenitor cells express natively the MSC markers CD44, CD73, CD90, and CD105. No potential to give rise to MSCs in culture was detected outside the perivascular subsets including pericytes and adventitial cells.

Although pericytes and adventitial perivascular cells have been described for more than a century, it is only recently that the blood vessel wall was demonstrated as a reservoir of progenitor cells. We showed that perivascular cells, i.e., pericytes and adventitial cells, are in vivo counterparts of MSCs obtained in culture from various organs [\[27](#page-14-21), [135](#page-17-1)]. These perivascular cells can be prospectively purified by flow cytometry using a well-defined surface marker combination, common in all human organs tested. Importantly, pericytes and adventitial cells dissociated from vessel walls contain multipotent precursors with robust regeneration properties similar to those of classic heterogeneous MSCs.

Mesenchymal stem cells from umbilical cord blood: do pericytes enter the blood circulation?

Over the last years, the clinical use of allogeneic umbilical CB for hematopoietic cell transplantation has increased dramatically. In comparison with other stem cell sources, well-characterized CB grafts are immediately available in numerous CB banks worldwide [[136,](#page-17-15) [137](#page-17-16)]. Despite publication of many relevant reports over the past 10 years, controversy still exists as to whether MSCs or their forerunners are present in human CB. Clearly though, nonhematopoietic cells contributing to tissue repair circulate in fetal blood at term, as recently reported by some of us $[138-140]$ $[138-140]$ and confirmed by others $[141]$ $[141]$.

Even though cells present in CB possess overlapping features with MSCs derived from usual sources, some particularities have fed the debate on their very nature. One of the differences between regular MSCs and CBderived cells is the isolation rate, which varies considerably between investigators [[138,](#page-17-17) [142,](#page-17-20) [143](#page-17-21)]. In addition, the growth of the latter can be markedly delayed, up to 20 days from the seeding.

Moreover, at least two different cell population kinetics can be described within CB MSC like cells, endowed with short-term (a few passages) or long-term (more than ten passages) expansion ability, characterized by different growth curves with lower or higher cumulative population doublings (CPD). There is no consensus either regarding the nomenclature of these multipotent cells, diversely named USSCs [[68,](#page-15-20) [69](#page-15-35), [144](#page-17-22)], multilineage progenitor cells (MLPCs) [\[145](#page-17-23)], embryonic-like stem cells [[146\]](#page-17-24), very small embryonic-like stem cells (VSELs) [[70\]](#page-15-21), or more simply CB MSCs.

The morphology of CB-derived stromal cells is quite similar to that of bone marrow MSCs, even though CB stromal cells are smaller and less spindle-shaped, with a higher nucleus/cytoplasm ratio. Over the long term, these cells remain healthy, homogenous, and non-senescent till numerous passages, and reach higher CPD than BM MSCs.

Flow cytometry analysis showed that these cells are negative for lineage markers such as CD45 and CD34, but positive for human MSC markers such as CD90, CD105, and CD73, as well as other integrins and matrix receptors, defining an immunophenotype consistent with that of

BM-derived MSCs [\[147](#page-17-25)]. The ability of CB stromal cells to differentiate into osteoblasts that produce mineralized matrices and chondrocytes that produce type-II collagen has been confirmed by several authors [\[148](#page-17-0)]. Regarding adipogenic differentiation, some authors report very poor potential [[141\]](#page-17-19) and others a complete absence [\[149](#page-17-26)]. Some authors claimed to distinguish short- and long-term CB stromal stem cells by their adipogenic differentiation potential and delta-like 1 (DLK-1) expression profile [\[69](#page-15-35)], but others did not confirm these differences [[141\]](#page-17-19). Worthy of consideration is the fact that the proliferation and differentiation abilities of customary MSCs may decrease with donor age, while the MSC-like cells contained in CB can be considered as, by essence, very young. Therefore, a considerable volume of work has already been carried out investigating the use of CB stromal stem cells in animal tissue regeneration, including kidney and lung repair [\[138](#page-17-17), [140](#page-17-18)]. Clinical trials are already going on (see [http://](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) www.clinicaltrials.gov) to evaluate the safety and efficacy of CB-derived MSCs to promote hematopoietic stem cell engraftment and prevent graft-versus-host disease. Clinical applications are also contemplated in patients affected by focal glomerulosclerosis and preterm newborns with bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

Nothing is known regarding the origin of CB MSCs and the possible affiliation thereof with perivascular cells. Some authors have speculated that short- and long-term CB MSCs are released from fetal bone marrow or liver into the blood circulation [\[69](#page-15-35)]. Considering the physical trauma inflicted to the placenta and umbilical cord at birth and during blood collection, it remains possible that perivascular cells have simply been released mechanically from severed blood vessels, their presence in blood being of no physiological relevance.

Developmental origins of MSCs

There have been few basic studies on the emergence of MSCs and their developmental origins remain an area of considerable ongoing mystery. However, the limited available data do suggest that MSCs derive from multiple developmental origins [[150–](#page-17-27)[152\]](#page-17-28) (Fig. [1\)](#page-9-0). The mesoderm is the primary source of mesenchymal cells giving rise to skeletal and connective tissues [[153\]](#page-17-29). Pericytes that develop around the developing trunk vessels in the axial and lateral plate areas are thought to derive from mesoderm [\[154](#page-17-30)]. Coronary vessel mural cells may derive from epicardial cells, which are themselves derived from the splanchnic mesoderm [\[155](#page-17-31)]. An early Flk1+ mesodermal precursor, with the potential to differentiate into endothelial cells, blood, muscle, and mesenchymal lineage cells (bone and cartilage), was identified in the E9.5 mouse dorsal aorta

Fig. 1 Schematic illustrating the known developmental and anatomical origins of MSCs. *Dashed lines* indicate where associations are inferred

[\[156](#page-17-32)]. Evaluation of osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic potentials of cells isolated from different anatomical sites in the E11.5 mouse embryo revealed intraembryonic hematopoietic tissues [aorta-gonad-mesonephros (AGM)] as a site of origin for cells with mesenchymal differentiation potential [[157\]](#page-17-33). However, immediate mesodermal precursors that give rise to expandable multipotential MSC lines are not identified and characterized.

Studies in the mouse embryo demonstrated the origin of some MSCs from neural crest [[158,](#page-17-34) [159](#page-17-35)]. A recent study showed that the earliest lineage providing MSC-like cells during embryonic trunk development is generated from $Sox1(+)$ neuroepithelium, at least in part through a neural crest intermediate stage [[150\]](#page-17-27). These early MSCs are then replaced, later in development, by MSCs from other origins. As embryogenesis progresses, the neural crest cells can be classified in different series, with respect to the territory they colonize: (1) the cranial neural crest, (2) the cardiac neural crest, (3) the trunk neural crest, and (4) the vagal and sacral neural crest. Terminal differentiation of these cells gives rise to neural as well as mesenchymal tissues. In the peripheral nervous system, these cells contribute to neurons and glia of sensory, sympathetic and parasympathetic systems, and the neural plexuses within specific tissues and organs. Neural crest cells migrating to the pharyngeal arches form a mixed tissue type known as "mesectoderm" or "ectomesenchyme" to distinguish them from mesenchymal cells derived from the mesoderm [\[152](#page-17-28)].

Similarly, using interspecies transplantation of quail and chick embryonic tissues, the lineage of cephalic pericytes was traced to neuroectodermal tissue [\[160](#page-17-36)]. The vasculature of the avian embryo exhibits a mosaic pattern where neural crest- and mesoderm-derived pericytes and smooth muscle cells occupy sharply delineated and mutually exclusive regions in the face and brain [\[161](#page-18-0)]. Evidence that these neural crest-derived cells persist in some adult tis-sues has come from several studies [\[162](#page-18-1)]. The neural lineage potential of neural crest-derived MSCs is preserved in adult rats and can be reactivated when they form mesenspheres, express various neural crest cell markers, and undergo differentiation, in vitro, into neuron- and glia-like cells [\[163](#page-18-2)[–166](#page-18-3)]. While the gene expression profiles of neural crest cells and MSCs are unique [\[167](#page-18-4)], there is evidence that cultivation of neural crest cells in the presence of serum evokes an MSC-like phenotype. For example, human embryonic stem cells differentiated in vitro toward a neural crest cell phenotype can differentiate into neurons and glia when cultured under serum-free conditions, while cultivation in medium containing serum evokes an MSClike phenotype capable of differentiation to mesodermal cells [\[168](#page-18-5)].

Perhaps relevant to these observations, it has been recently demonstrated that neural crest-derived cells migrate to the bone marrow through the bloodstream [\[169](#page-18-6)]. These cells are still present in the adult bone marrow, and can differentiate in vitro into neurons, glial cells, and myofibroblasts. The potential link, if any, between these cells, the cells identified by Takashima et al. [[150\]](#page-17-27) and conventional MSCs isolated according to Friedenstein's protocol [\[4](#page-14-0)] remains unclear.

It has also been reported that endothelial progenitors and mural cells may derive from a common vascular progenitor [[170\]](#page-18-7). Lineage-tracing experiments have shown that cells originated from the primary vascular plexus also give rise to mural cells with the capability to differentiate into osteoblasts and adipocytes [[171\]](#page-18-8). These findings may suggest that MSCs, HSCs, and endothelium progenitor cells (EPCs) arise from a common progenitor.

The mixed developmental origins of pericytes, and thus MSCs, may reflect the finding that a core set of expressed genes governs the general hallmarks of MSCs [\[172](#page-18-9)]. Regulation of this battery of genes may allow cells originating from different germ layers to undergo mesenchymal "activation". As such, the ontogeny of MSCs is different from that of other specialized cell types, for which the traditionally held view is that they undergo differentiation along a linear path, requiring successive specifications of progenitor cells paralleled by progressive restrictions in potency.

There is a need to define developmentally distinct MSC subsets and the hierarchy of their progenitors to advance our understanding of heterogeneity within MSCs and its implications for the developmental and therapeutic potential of these cells.

Therapeutic significance of understanding the native anatomical origins of MSCs

The multipotency as well as the trophic and immunoregulatory effects of MSCs have vast potential clinical applications, with many treatments already in the stages of clinical trials (305 registered on clinicaltrials.gov at the time of writing) (Table [4\)](#page-10-0). However, conventional unpurified MSC preparations have significant drawbacks including contamination from non-MSC populations and the requirement of in vitro culture to enrich the MSC population. Approaches to delivering cell-based therapies are increasingly being guided by regulatory frameworks. Within these frameworks, cells that require in vitro manipulation or culture must undergo stringent safety trials prior to approval for clinical use while cells that can be directly implanted bypass much of this legislation. Many of these drawbacks can be addressed with the ability to identify and isolate pure populations of MSC precursors as perivascular cells using FACS. The practical and therapeutic consequences of understanding the identity and anatomical origin of native MSCs have therefore been considerable.

Perivascular stem cells (PSCs) can be sorted to purity

Whole bone marrow cell suspensions and the stromal vascular fraction (SVF) of adipose tissue have been used

Table 4 Partial list of potential clinical applications of MSCs

Bone regeneration Skeletal defect healing^a [\[271](#page-20-28)] Osteoporosis [[201,](#page-19-6) [202\]](#page-19-7) Osteogenesis imperfecta [[272](#page-20-29)] Cartilage regeneration Cartilage defect healing^a [\[271\]](#page-20-28) Meniscus injury [\[273](#page-20-30)] Osteoarthritis^a [\[276](#page-20-31)] Muscle regeneration Skeletal muscle regeneration^a [\[279\]](#page-21-1) Cardiac muscle regeneration^a [\[214\]](#page-19-8) Smooth muscle regeneration [[277](#page-20-32)] Tendon regeneration Repair of tendon defects^a [\[278](#page-21-2)] Neural regeneration and injury prevention Traumatic brain injury [[296\]](#page-21-3) Spinal cord injury^a [\[297](#page-21-4)] Multiple sclerosis^a [[298](#page-21-5)] Parkinson's disease^a [\[299](#page-21-6)] Multiple system atrophy^a [\[300\]](#page-21-7) Ischemic stroke^a [[280](#page-21-8)] Prevention of injury in acute ischemia Limb ischemia^a [[281,](#page-21-9) [282\]](#page-21-10) Acute lung injury^a [[283](#page-21-11)] Myocardial infarction^a [\[284](#page-21-12)] Acute kidney injury^a [\[285](#page-21-13), [286](#page-21-14)] Other immunomodulatory applications Diabetes, type I^a [[287](#page-21-15)] Sepsis^a [[301\]](#page-21-16) Acute lung injury^a [[302](#page-21-17)] Rheumatoid arthritis^a [\[274,](#page-20-33) [275\]](#page-20-34) Hepatic cirrhosis [\[288](#page-21-18)[–290\]](#page-21-19) GVHD^a [[291](#page-21-20), [292](#page-21-21)] **Other** Renal failure^a [\[303\]](#page-21-22) Skin grafting^a [[293](#page-21-23)] Urinary incontinence^a [\[294\]](#page-21-24)

^a Phase 1 trials started for this application

directly with the aim of harnessing the potential of the contained stem cells. However, both represent highly heterogeneous cell populations, which include non-mesenchymal stem cell types, such as inflammatory cells, hematopoietic cells, endothelial cells, and non-viable cells, among others [\[173](#page-18-10)]. Available studies using SVF show poor and unrelia-ble tissue formation [\[174](#page-18-11)], or lower tissue regeneration efficacy relative to cultured MSCs [\[175](#page-18-12)]. In fact, recent studies have suggested that the presence of endothelial cells has inhibiting effects on bone differentiation, among other lineages [[176,](#page-18-13) [177](#page-18-14)]. Despite the process of enrichment through

plastic adherence, it is inevitable that preparations will be contaminated by non-MSC populations, and the contribution of each contained population to the repair process cannot be definitively established. However, it is likely that subsets of functionally distinct cells exist even within purified populations of PSCs and MSCs. Identification of MSC subsets with the most desirable characteristics for clinical applications is likely to be a major focus of future research. Finally, variability in cell composition presents clear disadvantages for regulatory body [for example the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)] approval of a future stem cellbased therapy, potentially including reduced safety, purity, identity, potency, and efficacy. With these regulatory hurdles in mind the use of purified MSCs, i.e., pericytes and adventitial cells, collectively designated as PSCs, has clear practical advantages.

PSCs do not require in vitro selection

The selection and preparation of MSCs through adherence to culture plastic is time consuming, and introduces additional risks such as immunogenicity and infection through exposure to animal-derived culture products. Investigators have documented the influence of MSC culture on genetic instability [\[178](#page-18-15)], and tumorigenicity [[179,](#page-18-16) [180](#page-18-17)], although these results have been challenged [[181\]](#page-18-18). Multipotency, and hence therapeutic potency, has been shown to diminish with serial passaging, with human BM MSCs progressively losing their adipogenic and chondrogenic differentiation potentials as the number of cell divisions increases [\[86](#page-16-5)]. In addition, expression of adhesion molecules and chemokines, and the ability to respond to chemokines decline with time in culture [[86\]](#page-16-5).

PSCs can be isolated in sufficient numbers to negate ex vivo expansion

In addition to the advantages of negating the need for in vitro selection of MSCs, the ability to isolate PSCs from adipose tissue in clinically relevant numbers has significant therapeutic implications. Low stem cell numbers and high donor site morbidity limit the use of fresh autologous bone marrow [[179,](#page-18-16) [182\]](#page-18-19), periosteum [\[183](#page-18-20)], and the majority of other MSC sources. Adipose tissue represents a largely dispensable source of MSCs that are readily accessible through lipoaspiration, even in patients of healthy weight [\[184](#page-18-21)]. It has attracted much attention as a potentially plentiful source of MSCs, particularly using uncultured cells (SVF or PSCs) but also with cells following in vitro expansion. Relative to the lower yield, limited donor sites, and high morbidity associated with bone marrow or periosteal harvest, adipose tissue is now a well-documented, easily accessible, abundant source of such cells. James et al. [[105\]](#page-16-23) reported the yields from lipoaspirates isolated from 60 consecutive donors in cosmetic procedures. From 100 ml of whole lipoaspirate, the mean yield of total nucleated cells (SVF) was 39.4×10^6 (range, 10×10^6 –70 $\times 10^6$). On FACS sorting pericytes most frequently represented 30 % or less of total SVF (mean 19.5 %) with adventitial cells representing 40 % or less (mean, 23.8 %) of total SVF. When added in combination, the total PSC content most commonly fell between 30 and 60 % of total viable SVF (mean, 43.2 %, median, 41.7 %). Given this prevalence of PSCs, it has been estimated that <200 ml of lipoaspirate would be sufficient starting material for the clinical application of PSCs in localized bone repair. For example, 200 ml of lipoaspirate would theoretically yield 31 million cells, which would be sufficient for healing of a 2-cm middiaphyseal femoral defect (cell seeding density of 1 million per 0.4 ml) [[105,](#page-16-23) [185\]](#page-18-22). In cases where there is a requirement for an extremely large number of cells (for example GVHD where 1–2 million cells/kg body weight may be required for infusion) or where the availability of fat for lipoaspirate is limited, some expansion in culture would inevitably be required.

In addition to the requirement for robust trials to demonstrate safety and efficacy of PSCs for tissue regeneration, a number of practical challenges must also be overcome before widespread clinical application of this technology. There are currently few flow sorting facilities with formal accreditation from the relevant regulatory bodies to produce clinical-grade cells. The financial costs of clinicalgrade sorting, taking into account the price of the antibodies (also certified for clinical purposes) is high. However, this may be offset by savings made by the lack of requirement for expansion in culture. The use of an automated clinical-grade immunodepletion system has been proposed as a more affordable alternative for bulk sorting to FACS. However, the complex phenotype of PSCs, and the requirement for both positive and negative selections render this impractical.

In summary, the therapeutic consequences of understanding the native identity of MSCs are considerable. MSCs can now be prospectively purified to homogeneity based on expressed cell surface markers from adipose tissue in quantities large enough to avoid ex vivo expansion with its associated risks and disadvantages. Sorting of MSC precursors in this way addresses many of the issues that currently limit the translation of MSC-related therapies including the availability, purity, and potency of progenitors isolated through conventional culture methods, and the poor regenerative efficiency of SVF. The high numbers of MSC precursors that can be sorted from adipose tissue reduce the delays associated with expansion and may prevent exposure of patients to multiple anesthetic procedures while widening possible applications to include trauma

where a time delay between extraction and implantation excludes their use. Furthermore, the high degree of MSC purity and potency will greatly facilitate demonstration of the product safety and efficacy required for regulatory body approval.

Conventionally derived MSCs and purified PSCs– emerging pre‑clinical and clinical data

There is a rapidly expanding body of pre-clinical data evaluating the potential therapeutic benefits of exogenous MSCs. Both autologous and allogeneic MSCs isolated from multiple sources have been injected into tissue such as the heart or infused within the bloodstream and have been observed to localize to sites of injury involving damaged or inflamed blood vessels. The list of MSC-related applications includes a broad and diverse range of clinical targets and indications including graft-versus-host disease, stroke, acute myocardial infarction (MI), spinal cord lesions, acute kidney failure, liver fibrosis, multiple sclerosis (MS), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, tendinitis, burns and wound healing, bone regeneration, juvenile diabetes, lupus, autism, inflammatory bowel disease, urinary incontinence, and sepsis [\[51](#page-15-3)]. Almost all of these trials and preclinical models utilize conventionally derived MSCs for their immunomodulatory or trophic effects rather than their ability to differentiate in different cell lineages. The limited emerging data from animal studies confirm that PSCs are at least as effective as conventionally derived MSCs in terms of clinical effect $[28, 185]$ $[28, 185]$ $[28, 185]$ $[28, 185]$. It is expected that the added benefits of prospective isolation and the avoidance of culture will enable these treatments to become more accessible to patients from a wider range of conditions. Here we summarize the results of pre-clinical studies evaluating MSCs in bone healing and cardiac regeneration and where available compare results of studies using PSCs.

Conventionally derived MSCs and PSCs in bone healing

Osseous defects and other diseases of bone have been treated with success in preclinical studies using conventionally derived MSCs. Multiple investigators have shown the efficacy and feasibility of either allogeneic or autologous MSC-based implants to heal large osseous defects [\[186](#page-18-23)– [188](#page-18-24)], including critical-sized defects of the appendicular and calvarial skeleton in mouse [[189–](#page-18-25)[191\]](#page-18-26), rat [\[192](#page-18-27)[–194](#page-18-28)], dog [\[195](#page-18-29), [196](#page-18-30)], and sheep [\[197](#page-18-31)[–199](#page-19-9)] models among others [[200\]](#page-19-10). Notably, the majority of successful MSC-based healings of skeletal defects have been reported using predifferentiated cells, although studies have also determined that pre-differentiation is not an absolute requirement. The success in MSC-mediated regeneration of osseous defects led some investigators to look into autologous MSC-based treatments of osteoporosis [[201,](#page-19-6) [202\]](#page-19-7). Several in vivo studies demonstrated that transplantation of pre-differentiated autologous MSCs strengthened osteoporotic bone in ovariectomized (OVX) animal models, including rabbit [[203\]](#page-19-11) and rat [\[204](#page-19-12)]. Furthermore, direct intra-osseous injection of differentiated allogeneic MSCs showed potential in the improvement of osteoporotic trabecular bone in terms of increased osteogenic differentiation as well as collagen synthesis [[204\]](#page-19-12). Finally, genetic manipulation of autologous MSCs has been investigated, utilizing MSCs as a vehicle for gene therapeutics [[75\]](#page-15-26). Most prominently, the bone morphogenetic protein family, including bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2, BMP-4, BMP-6, and BMP-7, have been investigated for their bone-forming effects and ability to direct MSCs towards an osteogenic lineage [\[205](#page-19-13)]. BMPs are involved in various developmental processes including embryogenesis, skeletal formation, hematopoiesis, and neurogenesis, and belong to the transforming growth factor-β superfamily $[206, 207]$ $[206, 207]$ $[206, 207]$. For instance, MSCs transduced with BMP-2 and seeded onto a coralhydroxyapatite scaffold led to successful healing of large mandibular defects in OVX rats [[208\]](#page-19-16). In osteoporotic mice and sheep, MSCs transduced with BMP-2 were shown to increase bone regeneration and improve fracture healing [\[205](#page-19-13), [209,](#page-19-17) [210\]](#page-19-18). BMP-4, BMP-7, and BMP-9 gene transduction of MSCs have yielded similar results to BMP-2 ex vivo [\[211](#page-19-19), [212](#page-19-20)].

Non-cultured adipose-derived PSCs show an enhanced ability to repair bone defects when compared to unsorted SVF. In addition, cells lose their osteogenic potential with increasing passage $[185]$ $[185]$. In a murine gluteo-femoral muscle pocket model, James et al. [[185,](#page-18-22) [213\]](#page-19-21) reported that PSCs undergo osteogenic differentiation in vitro and form bone after intramuscular implantation without the need for pre-differentiation. Patient-matched, purified PSCs formed significantly more bone in comparison with traditionally derived SVF by all parameters tested. Recombinant BMP-2 increased in vivo bone formation but with a massive adipogenic response. In contrast, recombinant Nel-like molecule 1 (NELL-1: a novel osteoinductive growth factor) selectively enhanced bone formation.

Conventionally derived MSCs and PSCs in cardiac regeneration

In the case of cardiac muscle, various studies have shown improved cardiac function following the delivery of MSCs in animals, either via direct injection or intravenous administration [\[214,](#page-19-8) [215](#page-19-22)]. Although not as effective as cardiac stem cells (CSCs) [[216](#page-19-23)], MSCs serve to reduce fibrosis, contractile strain alterations, and cardiomyocyte apoptosis while upregulating angiogenesis through secretion of multiple

paracrine factors [\[214](#page-19-8), [217](#page-19-24), [218\]](#page-19-25). In the case of cardiac therapy, MSCs function primarily through the promotion of trophic responses in myocytes, and the elaboration of HGF, IGF-II, and VEGF, which contribute to the cardiac repair mechanism through enhancement of cell survival and angiogenesis [\[219–](#page-19-26)[221](#page-19-27)]. Interestingly, allogeneic MSCs retain neither their immunoprivilege nor functional efficacy late after myocardial implantation [[222](#page-19-28)]. Various studies have explored factors to optimize MSC efficacy, which include selection for MSC overexpressing regulators of cardiogenesis [\[223\]](#page-19-29), use of MSCs induced via co-culture with cardiomyocytes [\[224](#page-19-30)], and exogenous expression of VEGF to recruit CSCs [\[225](#page-19-31)]. In summary, preclinical studies have demonstrated efficacy in the use of MSCs for cardiac diseases, primarily through a trophic effect on surrounding cells, and to a lesser extent through direct myogenic differentiation.

A recent study has reported long-term improvement in cardiac function following direct implantation of pericytes using a mouse myocardial infarction model [[226\]](#page-19-32). In this study, the transplantation of saphenous vein pericytes (SVPs) into the peri-infarct zone of immunodeficient CD1/Foxn-1(nu/nu) or immunocompetent CD1 mice attenuated left ventricular dilatation and improved ejection fraction compared to control. Moreover, pericytes reduced myocardial scar, cardiomyocyte apoptosis, and interstitial fibrosis, improved myocardial blood flow and neovascularization, and attenuated vascular permeability. The authors demonstrated that pericytes secrete VEGF-A, angiopoietin-1, and chemokines and induce an endogenous angiocrine response by the host, through recruitment of VEGF-B expressing monocytes. The association of donor- and recipient-derived stimuli activates the proangiogenic and prosurvival Akt/eNOS/Bcl-2 signaling pathway. Moreover, microRNA-132 (miR-132) was constitutively expressed and secreted by SVPs and remarkably upregulated, together with its transcriptional activator cyclic AMP response element-binding protein, on stimulation by hypoxia/starvation or VEGF-B. In vitro, SVP conditioned medium stimulates endothelial tube formation and reduces myofibroblast differentiation through inhibition of Ras-GTPase activating protein and methyl-CpG-binding protein 2, which are validated miR-132 targets. Furthermore, miR-132 inhibition by antimiR-132 decreased SVP capacity to improve contractility, reparative angiogenesis, and interstitial fibrosis in infarcted hearts.

In a separate study, human skeletal muscle-derived pericytes were significantly better than myogenic progenitors at treating ischemic heart disease and mediating associated repair mechanisms in a murine model of myocardial infarction [\[227](#page-19-33)]. Echocardiography revealed that pericyte transplantation attenuated left ventricular dilatation and significantly improved cardiac contractility, being superior to CD56+ myogenic progenitor transplantation in acutely infarcted mouse hearts. Pericyte treatment substantially reduced myocardial fibrosis and significantly diminished infiltration of host inflammatory cells at the infarct site. Hypoxic pericyte-conditioned medium suppressed murine fibroblast proliferation and inhibited macrophage proliferation in vitro. High expression by pericytes of immunoregulatory molecules, including interleukin-6, leukemia inhibitory factor, cyclooxygenase-2, and heme oxygenase-1, was sustained under hypoxia, except for monocyte chemotactic protein-1. Host angiogenesis was significantly increased. Pericytes supported microvascular structures in vivo and formed capillary-like networks with or without endothelial cells in three-dimensional co-cultures. Under hypoxia, pericytes dramatically increased expression of VEGF-A, platelet-derived growth factor-β, transforming growth factor-β1 and corresponding receptors while expression of basic fibroblast growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, epidermal growth factor, and angiopoietin-1 was repressed. The capacity of pericytes to differentiate into and/or fuse with cardiac cells was revealed by green fluorescence protein labeling, although to a minor extent.

Conclusions

The recent discovery that MSCs derive from a perivascular location where they reside as pericytes or adventitial cells has generated some momentum in the field of adult stem cell research and provided some insight into the developmental origins of these much exploited but little understood cells. It is now evident that the perivasculature represents an MSC niche in vivo, where local cues coordinate the transition to progenitor and mature cell phenotypes. Here, MSCs can stabilize blood vessels and contribute to tissue and immune system homeostasis under physiological conditions and assume a more active role in tissue repair in response to injury. The establishment of a perivascular compartment as the MSC niche provides a basis for the rational design of additional in vivo therapeutic approaches.

Disclosure B.P., and C.S. are inventors of perivascular stem cellrelated patents filed from UCLA. Dr C.S. is a founder of Scarless Laboratories Inc. which sublicenses perivascular stem cell-related patents from the UC Regents, and who also hold equity in the company. Dr C.S. is also an officer of Scarless Laboratories, Inc. This work was supported by the CIRM Early Translational II Research Award TR2-01821.

References

- 1. Prockop DJ (1997) Marrow stromal cells as stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissues. Science 276(5309):71–74
- 2. Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS (1970) The development of fibroblast colonies in monolayer cultures of

guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. Cell Tissue Kinet 3(4):393–403

- 3. Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhyan RK, Latsinik NV et al (1974) Stromal cells responsible for transferring the microenvironment of the hemopoietic tissues. Cloning in vitro and retransplantation in vivo. Transplantation 17(4):331–340
- 4. Friedenstein AJ, Piatetzky S II, Petrakova KV (1966) Osteogenesis in transplants of bone marrow cells. J Embryol Exp Morphol 16(3):381–390
- 5. Owen M, Friedenstein AJ (1988) Stromal stem cells: marrowderived osteogenic precursors. Ciba Found Symp 136:42–60
- 6. Bianco P, Robey PG, Simmons PJ (2008) Mesenchymal stem cells: revisiting history, concepts, and assays. Cell Stem Cell 2(4):313–319
- 7. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC et al (1999) Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. Science 284(5411):143–147
- 8. Kolf CM, Cho E, Tuan RS (2007) Mesenchymal stromal cells. Biology of adult mesenchymal stem cells: regulation of niche, self-renewal and differentiation. Arthritis Res Ther 9(1):204
- 9. Caplan AI (2007) Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. J Cell Physiol 213(2):341–347
- 10. Diaz-Flores L, Gutierrez R, Gonzalez P et al (1991) Inducible perivascular cells contribute to the neochondrogenesis in grafted perichondrium. Anat Rec 229(1):1–8
- 11. Diaz-Flores L, Gutierrez R, Lopez-Alonso A et al (1992) Pericytes as a supplementary source of osteoblasts in periosteal osteogenesis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 275:280–286
- 12. Caplan AI (1991) Mesenchymal stem cells. J Orthop Res 9(5):641–650
- 13. Zuk PA, Zhu M, Ashjian P et al (2002) Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. Mol Biol Cell 13(12):4279–4295
- 14. Xu Y, Malladi P, Wagner DR et al (2005) Adipose-derived mesenchymal cells as a potential cell source for skeletal regeneration. Curr Opin Mol Ther 7(4):300–305
- 15. Shi S, Gronthos S (2003) Perivascular niche of postnatal mesenchymal stem cells in human bone marrow and dental pulp. J Bone Miner Res 18(4):696–704
- 16. Seo BM, Miura M, Gronthos S et al (2004) Investigation of multipotent postnatal stem cells from human periodontal ligament. Lancet 364(9429):149–155
- 17. Salingcarnboriboon R, Yoshitake H, Tsuji K et al (2003) Establishment of tendon-derived cell lines exhibiting pluripotent mesenchymal stem cell-like property. Exp Cell Res 287(2):289–300
- 18. Bi Y, Ehirchiou D, Kilts TM et al (2007) Identification of tendon stem/progenitor cells and the role of the extracellular matrix in their niche. Nat Med 13(10):1219–1227
- 19. Rogers I, Casper RF (2004) Umbilical cord blood stem cells. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 18(6):893–908
- 20. Toma JG, Akhavan M, Fernandes KJ et al (2001) Isolation of multipotent adult stem cells from the dermis of mammalian skin. Nat Cell Biol 3(9):778–784
- 21. Igura K, Zhang X, Takahashi K et al (2004) Isolation and characterization of mesenchymal progenitor cells from chorionic villi of human placenta. Cytotherapy 6(6):543–553
- 22. Tsai MS, Lee JL, Chang YJ et al (2004) Isolation of human multipotent mesenchymal stem cells from second-trimester amniotic fluid using a novel two-stage culture protocol. Hum Reprod 19(6):1450–1456
- 23. De Bari C, Dell'Accio F, Tylzanowski P et al (2001) Multipotent mesenchymal stem cells from adult human synovial membrane. Arthritis Rheum 44(8):1928–1942
- 24. Asakura A, Komaki M, Rudnicki M (2001) Muscle satellite cells are multipotential stem cells that exhibit myogenic,

osteogenic, and adipogenic differentiation. Differentiation 68(4–5):245–253

- 25. da Silva Meirelles L, Chagastelles PC, Nardi NB (2006) Mesenchymal stem cells reside in virtually all post-natal organs and tissues. J Cell Sci 119(11):2204–2213
- 26. Covas DT, Panepucci RA, Fontes AM et al (2008) Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells obtained from diverse human tissues share functional properties and gene-expression profile with CD146+ perivascular cells and fibroblasts. Exp Hematol 36(5):642–654
- 27. Crisan M (2008) A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. Cell Stem Cell 3:301–313
- 28. Chen CW, Montelatici E, Crisan M et al (2009) Perivascular multi-lineage progenitor cells in human organs: regenerative units, cytokine sources or both? Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 20(5–6):429–434
- 29. Tsutsumi S, Shimazu A, Miyazaki K et al (2001) Retention of multilineage differentiation potential of mesenchymal cells during proliferation in response to FGF. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 288(2):413–419
- 30. Kulterer B, Friedl G, Jandrositz A et al (2007) Gene expression profiling of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow during expansion and osteoblast differentiation. BMC Genomics 8:70
- 31. Pochampally RR, Smith JR, Ylostalo J et al (2004) Serum deprivation of human marrow stromal cells (hMSCs) selects for a subpopulation of early progenitor cells with enhanced expression of OCT-4 and other embryonic genes. Blood 103(5):1647–1652
- 32. Hishikawa K, Miura S, Marumo T et al (2004) Gene expression profile of human mesenchymal stem cells during osteogenesis in three-dimensional thermoreversible gelation polymer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 317(4):1103–1107
- 33. Kratchmarova I, Blagoev B, Haack-Sorensen M et al (2005) Mechanism of divergent growth factor effects in mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. Science 308(5727):1472–1477
- 34. Song L, Webb NE, Song Y et al (2006) Identification and functional analysis of candidate genes regulating mesenchymal stem cell self-renewal and multipotency. Stem Cells 24(7):1707–1718
- 35. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I et al (2006) Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. Cytotherapy 8(4):315–317
- 36. Peister A, Mellad JA, Larson BL et al (2004) Adult stem cells from bone marrow (MSCs) isolated from different strains of inbred mice vary in surface epitopes, rates of proliferation, and differentiation potential. Blood 103(5):1662–1668
- 37. Dexter TM, Allen TD, Lajtha LG (1977) Conditions controlling the proliferation of haemopoietic stem cells in vitro. J Cell Physiol 91(3):335–344
- 38. Simmons PJ, Torok-Storb B (1991) Identification of stromal cell precursors in human bone marrow by a novel monoclonal antibody, STRO-1. Blood 78(1):55–62
- 39. Dennis JE, Carbillet JP, Caplan AI et al (2002) The STRO-1+ marrow cell population is multipotential. Cells Tissues Organs 170(2–3):73–82
- 40. Devine SM, Bartholomew AM, Mahmud N et al (2001) Mesenchymal stem cells are capable of homing to the bone marrow of non-human primates following systemic infusion. Exp Hematol 29(2):244–255
- 41. In 't Anker PS, Scherjon SA, Kleijburg-van der Keur C et al (2003) Amniotic fluid as a novel source of mesenchymal stem cells for therapeutic transplantation. Blood 102(4):1548–1549
- 42. Bensidhoum M, Chapel A, Francois S et al (2004) Homing of in vitro expanded Stro-1− or Stro-1+ human mesenchymal stem

cells into the NOD/SCID mouse and their role in supporting human CD34 cell engraftment. Blood 103(9):3313–3319

- 43. Farrington-Rock C, Crofts NJ, Doherty MJ et al (2004) Chondrogenic and adipogenic potential of microvascular pericytes. Circulation 110(15):2226–2232
- 44. Feng J, Mantesso A, De Bari C et al (2011) Dual origin of mesenchymal stem cells contributing to organ growth and repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(16):6503–6508
- 45. Sims DE (1986) The pericyte: a review. Tissue Cell 18(2):153–174
- 46. Diaz-Flores L, Martin Herrera AI, Garcia Montelongo R et al (1990) Role of pericytes and endothelial cells in tissue repair and related pathological processes. J Cutan Pathol 17(3):191–192
- 47. Savvatis K, van Linthout S, Miteva K et al (2012) Mesenchymal stromal cells but not cardiac fibroblasts exert beneficial systemic immunomodulatory effects in experimental myocarditis. Plos One 7(7):e41047
- 48. Jia Z, Jiao C, Zhao S et al (2012) Immunomodulatory effects of mesenchymal stem cells in a rat corneal allograft rejection model. Exp Eye Res 102:44–49
- 49. Nauta AJ, Fibbe WE (2007) Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells. Blood 110(10):3499–3506
- 50. Krampera M, Cosmi L, Angeli R et al (2006) Role for interferon-gamma in the immunomodulatory activity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 24(2):386–398
- 51. Caplan AI, Correa D (2011) The MSC: an injury drugstore. Cell Stem Cell 9(1):11–15
- 52. Aggarwal S, Pittenger MF (2005) Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune cell responses. Blood 105(4):1815–1822
- 53. Jui HY, Lin CH, Hsu WT et al (2012) Autologous mesenchymal stem cells prevent transplant arteriosclerosis by enhancing local expression of interleukin-10, interferon-gamma, and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Cell Transplant 21(5):971–984
- 54. Caplan AI, Dennis JE (2006) Mesenchymal stem cells as trophic mediators. J Cell Biochem 98(5):1076–1084
- 55. Kuo YR, Chen CC, Shih HS et al (2011) Prolongation of composite tissue allotransplant survival by treatment with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells is correlated with T-cell regulation in a swine hind-limb model. Plast Reconstr Surg 127(2):569–579
- 56. Ikeguchi R, Sacks JM, Unadkat JV et al (2008) Long-term survival of limb allografts induced by pharmacologically conditioned, donor alloantigen-pulsed dendritic cells without maintenance immunosuppression. Transplantation 85(2):237–246
- 57. Sarugaser R, Ennis J, Stanford WL et al (2009) Isolation, propagation, and characterization of human umbilical cord perivascular cells (HUCPVCs). Methods Mol Biol 482:269–279
- 58. Dai W, Hale SL, Martin BJ et al (2005) Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in postinfarcted rat myocardium: short- and long-term effects. Circulation 112(2):214–223
- 59. Noiseux N, Gnecchi M, Lopez-Ilasaca M et al (2006) Mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing Akt dramatically repair infarcted myocardium and improve cardiac function despite infrequent cellular fusion or differentiation. Mol Ther 14(6):840–850
- 60. Kinnaird T, Stabile E, Burnett MS et al (2004) Local delivery of marrow-derived stromal cells augments collateral perfusion through paracrine mechanisms. Circulation 109(12):1543–1549
- 61. Gnecchi M, He H, Liang OD et al (2005) Paracrine action accounts for marked protection of ischemic heart by Akt-modified mesenchymal stem cells. Nat Med 11(4):367–368
- 62. Baksh D, Song L, Tuan RS (2004) Adult mesenchymal stem cells: characterization, differentiation, and application in cell and gene therapy. J Cell Mol Med 8(3):301–316
- 63. Schafer R, Dominici M, Muller I et al (2007) Progress in characterization, preparation and clinical applications of non-hematopoietic stem cells, 29–30 September 2006, Tubingen, Germany. Cytotherapy 9(4):397–405
- 64. Ratajczak MZ, Zuba-Surma EK, Wysoczynski M et al (2008) Hunt for pluripotent stem cell—regenerative medicine search for almighty cell. J Autoimmun 30(3):151–162
- 65. Jiang Y, Jahagirdar BN, Reinhardt RL et al (2002) Pluripotency of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult marrow. Nature 418(6893):41–49
- 66. D'Ippolito G, Diabira S, Howard GA et al (2004) Marrowisolated adult multilineage inducible (MIAMI) cells, a unique population of postnatal young and old human cells with extensive expansion and differentiation potential. J Cell Sci 117(Pt 14):2971–2981
- 67. Beltrami AP, Cesselli D, Bergamin N et al (2007) Multipotent cells can be generated in vitro from several adult human organs (heart, liver, and bone marrow). Blood 110(9):3438–3446
- 68. Bosch J, Houben AP, Radke TF et al (2012) Distinct differentiation potential of "MSC" derived from cord blood and umbilical cord: are cord-derived cells true mesenchymal stromal cells? Stem Cells Dev 21(11):1977–1988
- 69. Kluth SM, Buchheiser A, Houben AP et al (2010) DLK-1 as a marker to distinguish unrestricted somatic stem cells and mesenchymal stromal cells in cord blood. Stem Cells Dev 19(10):1471–1483
- 70. Kucia M, Halasa M, Wysoczynski M et al (2007) Morphological and molecular characterization of novel population of CXCR4+ SSEA-4+ Oct-4+ very small embryonic-like cells purified from human cord blood: preliminary report. Leukemia 21(2):297–303
- 71. Ratajczak MZ, Zuba-Surma EK, Machalinski B et al (2007) Bone-marrow-derived stem cells—our key to longevity? J Appl Genet 48(4):307–319
- 72. Rojewski MT, Weber BM, Schrezenmeier H (2008) Phenotypic characterization of mesenchymal stem cells from various tissues. Transfus Med Hemother 35(3):168–184
- 73. Lindner U, Kramer J, Behrends J et al (2010) Improved proliferation and differentiation capacity of human mesenchymal stromal cells cultured with basement-membrane extracellular matrix proteins. Cytotherapy 12(8):992–1005
- 74. Gronthos S, Zannettino AC, Hay SJ et al (2003) Molecular and cellular characterisation of highly purified stromal stem cells derived from human bone marrow. J Cell Sci 116(Pt 9):1827–1835
- 75. Bianco P, Riminucci M, Gronthos S et al (2001) Bone marrow stromal stem cells: nature, biology, and potential applications. Stem Cells 19(3):180–192
- 76. Sacchetti B, Funari A, Michienzi S et al (2007) Self-renewing osteoprogenitors in bone marrow sinusoids can organize a hematopoietic microenvironment. Cell 131(2):324–336
- 77. Schipani E, Kronenberg HM (2008) Adult mesenchymal stem cells. Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge
- 78. Jones EA, Kinsey SE, English A et al (2002) Isolation and characterization of bone marrow multipotential mesenchymal progenitor cells. Arthritis Rheum 46(12):3349–3360
- 79. Levi B, Wan DC, Glotzbach JP et al (2011) CD105 protein depletion enhances human adipose-derived stromal cell osteogenesis through reduction of transforming growth factor beta1 (TGF-beta1) signaling. J Biol Chem 286(45):39497–39509
- 80. Quirici N, Soligo D, Bossolasco P et al (2002) Isolation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells by anti-nerve growth factor receptor antibodies. Exp Hematol 30(7):783–791
- 81. Meyerrose TE, De Ugarte DA, Hofling AA et al (2007) In vivo distribution of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells in novel xenotransplantation models. Stem Cells 25(1):220–227
- 83. Katz AJ, Tholpady A, Tholpady SS et al (2005) Cell surface and transcriptional characterization of human adipose-derived adherent stromal (hADAS) cells. Stem Cells 23(3):412–423
- 84. Mitchell JB, McIntosh K, Zvonic S et al (2006) Immunophenotype of human adipose-derived cells: temporal changes in stromal-associated and stem cell-associated markers. Stem Cells 24(2):376–385
- 85. Kilroy GE, Foster SJ, Wu X et al (2007) Cytokine profile of human adipose-derived stem cells: expression of angiogenic, hematopoietic, and pro-inflammatory factors. J Cell Physiol 212(3):702–709
- 86. Muraglia A, Cancedda R, Quarto R (2000) Clonal mesenchymal progenitors from human bone marrow differentiate in vitro according to a hierarchical model. J Cell Sci 113(Pt 7):1161–1166
- 87. Russell KC, Phinney DG, Lacey MR et al (2010) In vitro highcapacity assay to quantify the clonal heterogeneity in trilineage potential of mesenchymal stem cells reveals a complex hierarchy of lineage commitment. Stem Cells 28(4):788–798
- 88. De Ugarte DA, Alfonso Z, Zuk PA et al (2003) Differential expression of stem cell mobilization-associated molecules on multi-lineage cells from adipose tissue and bone marrow. Immunol Lett 89(2–3):267–270
- 89. Vogel W, Grunebach F, Messam CA et al (2003) Heterogeneity among human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and neural progenitor cells. Haematologica 88(2):126–133
- 90. Mihu CM, Mihu D, Costin N et al (2008) Isolation and characterization of stem cells from the placenta and the umbilical cord. Rom J Morphol Embryol 49(4):441–446
- 91. Bottai D, Cigognini D, Nicora E et al (2012) Third-trimester amniotic fluid cells with the capacity to develop neural phenotypes and with heterogeneity among sub-populations. Restor Neurol Neurosci 30(1):55–68
- 92. Kuci S, Kuci Z, Kreyenberg H et al (2010) CD271 antigen defines a subset of multipotent stromal cells with immunosuppressive and lymphohematopoietic engraftment-promoting properties. Haematologica 95(4):651–659
- 93. Battula VL, Treml S, Bareiss PM et al (2009) Isolation of functionally distinct mesenchymal stem cell subsets using antibodies against CD56, CD271, and mesenchymal stem cell antigen-1. Haematologica 94(2):173–184
- 94. Nichols JE, Niles JA, Dewitt D et al (2013) Neurogenic and neuro-protective potential of a novel subpopulation of peripheral blood-derived CD133+ ABCG2+ CXCR4+ mesenchymal stem cells: development of autologous cell based therapeutics for traumatic brain injury. Stem Cell Res Ther 4(1):3
- 95. Watt FM, Hogan BL (2000) Out of Eden: stem cells and their niches. Science 287(5457):1427–1430
- 96. Kunisaki Y, Frenette PS (2012) The secrets of the bone marrow niche: enigmatic niche brings challenge for HSC expansion. Nat Med 18(6):864–865
- 97. Braun KM, Niemann C, Jensen UB et al (2003) Manipulation of stem cell proliferation and lineage commitment: visualisation of label-retaining cells in wholemounts of mouse epidermis. Development 130(21):5241–5255
- 98. Gould E, Reeves AJ, Graziano MS et al (1999) Neurogenesis in the neocortex of adult primates. Science 286(5439):548–552
- 99. da Silva Meirelles L, Caplan AI, Nardi NB (2008) In search of the in vivo identity of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 26(9):2287–2299
- 100. Rochefort GY, Delorme B, Lopez A et al (2006) Multipotential mesenchymal stem cells are mobilized into peripheral blood by hypoxia. Stem Cells 24(10):2202–2208
- 101. Alm JJ, Koivu HM, Heino TJ et al (2010) Circulating plastic adherent mesenchymal stem cells in aged hip fracture patients. J Orthop Res 28(12):1634–1642
- 102. Lazarus HM, Haynesworth SE, Gerson SL et al (1997) Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal (stromal) progenitor cells (MPCs) cannot be recovered from peripheral blood progenitor cell collections. J Hematother 6(5):447–455
- 103. Wexler SA, Donaldson C, Denning-Kendall P et al (2003) Adult bone marrow is a rich source of human mesenchymal 'stem' cells but umbilical cord and mobilized adult blood are not. Br J Haematol 121(2):368–374
- 104. James AW, Zara JN, Corselli M et al (2012) Use of human perivascular stem cells for bone regeneration. J Vis Exp: JoVE 63:e2952
- 105. James AW, Zara JN, Corselli M et al (2012) An abundant perivascular source of stem cells for bone tissue engineering. Stem Cells Transl Med 1(9):673–684
- 106. Zimmerlin L, Donnenberg VS, Rubin JP et al (2013) Mesenchymal markers on human adipose stem/progenitor cells. Cytometry Part A: J Int Soc Anal Cytol 83:134–140
- 107. Crisan M, Chen CW, Corselli M et al (2009) Perivascular multipotent progenitor cells in human organs. Ann NY Acad Sci 1176:118–123
- 108. Park TS, Gavina M, Chen CW et al (2011) Placental perivascular cells for human muscle regeneration. Stem Cells Dev 20(3):451–463
- 109. Tu Z, Li Y, Smith DS et al (2011) Retinal pericytes inhibit activated T cell proliferation. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 52(12):9005–9010
- 110. Maier CL, Pober JS (2011) Human placental pericytes poorly stimulate and actively regulate allogeneic CD4 T cell responses. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 31(1):183–189
- 111. Tottey S, Corselli M, Jeffries EM et al (2011) Extracellular matrix degradation products and low-oxygen conditions enhance the regenerative potential of perivascular stem cells. Tissue Eng Part A 17(1–2):37–44
- 112. Beck B, Driessens G, Goossens S et al (2011) A vascular niche and a VEGF-Nrp1 loop regulate the initiation and stemness of skin tumours. Nature 478(7369):399–403
- 113. Paul G, Ozen I, Christophersen NS et al (2012) The adult human brain harbors multipotent perivascular mesenchymal stem cells. Plos One 7(4):e35577
- 114. Gerlach JC, Over P, Turner ME et al (2012) Perivascular mesenchymal progenitors in human fetal and adult liver. Stem Cells Dev 21(18):3258–3269
- 115. Dellavalle A, Sampaolesi M, Tonlorenzi R et al (2007) Pericytes of human skeletal muscle are myogenic precursors distinct from satellite cells. Nat Cell Biol 9(3):255–267
- 116. Davidoff MS, Middendorff R, Enikolopov G et al (2004) Progenitor cells of the testosterone-producing Leydig cells revealed. J Cell Biol 167(5):935–944
- 117. Tang W, Zeve D, Suh JM et al (2008) White fat progenitor cells reside in the adipose vasculature. Science 322(5901):583–586
- 118. Dellavalle A, Maroli G, Covarello D et al (2011) Pericytes resident in postnatal skeletal muscle differentiate into muscle fibres and generate satellite cells. Nat Commun 2:499
- 119. Krautler NJ, Kana V, Kranich J et al (2012) Follicular dendritic cells emerge from ubiquitous perivascular precursors. Cell 150(1):194–206
- 120. Bouacida A, Rosset P, Trichet V et al (2012) Pericyte-like progenitors show high immaturity and engraftment potential as compared with mesenchymal stem cells. Plos One 7(11):e48648
- 121. Olson LE, Soriano P (2011) PDGFRbeta signaling regulates mural cell plasticity and inhibits fat development. Dev Cell 20(6):815–826
- 122. Ceradini DJ, Kulkarni AR, Callaghan MJ et al (2004) Progenitor cell trafficking is regulated by hypoxic gradients through HIF-1 induction of SDF-1. Nat Med 10(8):858–864
- 123. Mendez-Ferrer S, Michurina TV, Ferraro F et al (2010) Mesenchymal and haematopoietic stem cells form a unique bone marrow niche. Nature 466(7308):829–834
- 124. Ding L, Saunders TL, Enikolopov G et al (2012) Endothelial and perivascular cells maintain haematopoietic stem cells. Nature 481(7382):457–462
- 125. Corselli M, Chin CJ, Parekh C et al (2013) Perivascular support of human hematopoietic cells. Blood 21:2891–2901
- 126. Tintut Y, Alfonso Z, Saini T et al (2003) Multilineage potential of cells from the artery wall. Circulation 108(20):2505–2510
- 127. Hoshino A, Chiba H, Nagai K et al (2008) Human vascular adventitial fibroblasts contain mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 368(2):305–310
- 128. Sartore S, Chiavegato A, Faggin E et al (2001) Contribution of adventitial fibroblasts to neointima formation and vascular remodeling: from innocent bystander to active participant. Circ Res 89(12):1111–1121
- 129. Siow RC, Mallawaarachchi CM, Weissberg PL (2003) Migration of adventitial myofibroblasts following vascular balloon injury: insights from in vivo gene transfer to rat carotid arteries. Cardiovasc Res 59(1):212–221
- 130. Hu Y, Zhang Z, Torsney E et al (2004) Abundant progenitor cells in the adventitia contribute to atherosclerosis of vein grafts in ApoE-deficient mice. J Clin Invest 113(9):1258–1265
- 131. Haurani MJ, Pagano PJ (2007) Adventitial fibroblast reactive oxygen species as autacrine and paracrine mediators of remodeling: bellwether for vascular disease? Cardiovasc Res 75(4):679–689
- 132. Herrmann J, Samee S, Chade A et al (2005) Differential effect of experimental hypertension and hypercholesterolemia on adventitial remodeling. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 25(2):447–453
- 133. Stenmark KR, Davie N, Frid M et al (2006) Role of the adventitia in pulmonary vascular remodeling. Physiology (Bethesda) 21:134–145
- 134. Caplan AI (2008) All MSCs are pericytes? Cell Stem Cell 3(3):229–230
- 135. Corselli M, Chen CW, Sun B et al (2012) The tunica adventitia of human arteries and veins as a source of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Dev 21(8):1299–1308
- 136. Rao M, Ahrlund-Richter L, Kaufman DS (2012) Concise review: cord blood banking, transplantation and induced pluripotent stem cell: success and opportunities. Stem Cells 30(1):55–60
- 137. Broxmeyer HE (2008) Cord blood hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. In: StemBook [Internet]. Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge. Available from: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44751/) [gov/books/NBK44751/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44751/)
- 138. Morigi M, Rota C, Montemurro T et al (2010) Life-sparing effect of human cord blood-mesenchymal stem cells in experimental acute kidney injury. Stem Cells 28(3):513–522
- 139. Zanier ER, Montinaro M, Vigano M et al (2011) Human umbilical cord blood mesenchymal stem cells protect mice brain after trauma. Crit Care Med 39(11):2501–2510
- 140. Pierro M, Ionescu L, Montemurro T et al (2013) Short-term, long-term and paracrine effect of human umbilical cord-derived stem cells in lung injury prevention and repair in experimental bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Thorax 68(5):475–484
- 141. Zhang X, Hirai M, Cantero S et al (2011) Isolation and characterization of mesenchymal stem cells from human umbilical cord blood: re-evaluation of critical factors for successful isolation and high ability to proliferate and differentiate to chondrocytes

as compared to mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow and adipose tissue. J Cell Biochem 112(4):1206–1218

- 142. Avanzini MA, Bernardo ME, Cometa AM et al (2009) Generation of mesenchymal stromal cells in the presence of platelet lysate: a phenotypic and functional comparison of umbilical cord blood- and bone marrow-derived progenitors. Haematologica 94(12):1649–1660
- 143. Zeddou M, Briquet A, Relic B et al (2010) The umbilical cord matrix is a better source of mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) than the umbilical cord blood. Cell Biol Int 34(7):693–701
- 144. Kogler G, Sensken S, Airey JA et al (2004) A new human somatic stem cell from placental cord blood with intrinsic pluripotent differentiation potential. J Exp Med 200(2):123–135
- 145. van de Ven C, Collins D, Bradley MB et al (2007) The potential of umbilical cord blood multipotent stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissue and cell regeneration. Exp Hematol 35(12):1753–1765
- 146. McGuckin C, Jurga M, Ali H et al (2008) Culture of embryonic-like stem cells from human umbilical cord blood and onward differentiation to neural cells in vitro. Nat Protoc 3(6):1046–1055
- 147. Bieback K, Kern S, Kluter H et al (2004) Critical parameters for the isolation of mesenchymal stem cells from umbilical cord blood. Stem Cells 22(4):625–634
- 148. Kern S, Eichler H, Stoeve J et al (2006) Comparative analysis of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow, umbilical cord blood, or adipose tissue. Stem Cells 24(5):1294–1301
- 149. Montesinos JJ, Flores-Figueroa E, Castillo-Medina S et al (2009) Human mesenchymal stromal cells from adult and neonatal sources: comparative analysis of their morphology, immunophenotype, differentiation patterns and neural protein expression. Cytotherapy 11(2):163–176
- 150. Takashima Y, Era T, Nakao K et al (2007) Neuroepithelial cells supply an initial transient wave of MSC differentiation. Cell 129(7):1377–1388
- 151. LaBonne C, Bronner-Fraser M (1999) Molecular mechanisms of neural crest formation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 15:81–112
- 152. Le Douarin NM, Creuzet S, Couly G et al (2004) Neural crest cell plasticity and its limits. Development 131(19):4637–4650
- 153. Dennis JE, Charbord P (2002) Origin and differentiation of human and murine stroma. Stem Cells 20(3):205–214
- 154. Hungerford JE, Little CD (1999) Developmental biology of the vascular smooth muscle cell: building a multilayered vessel wall. J Vasc Res 36(1):2–27
- 155. Vrancken Peeters MP, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, Mentink MM, Poelmann RE (1999) Smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts of the coronary arteries derive from epithelial–mesenchymal transformation of the epicardium. Anat Embryol (Berl) 199(4):367–378
- 156. Minasi MG, Riminucci M, De Angelis L et al (2002) The mesoangioblast: a multipotent, self-renewing cell that originates from the dorsal aorta and differentiates into most mesodermal tissues. Development 129(11):2773–2783
- 157. Mendes SC, Robin C, Dzierzak E (2005) Mesenchymal progenitor cells localize within hematopoietic sites throughout ontogeny. Development 132(5):1127–1136
- 158. Morikawa S, Mabuchi Y, Niibe K et al (2009) Development of mesenchymal stem cells partially originate from the neural crest. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 379(4):1114–1119
- 159. Trentin A, Glavieux-Pardanaud C, Le Douarin NM et al (2004) Self-renewal capacity is a widespread property of various types of neural crest precursor cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101(13):4495–4500
- 160. Korn J, Christ B, Kurz H (2002) Neuroectodermal origin of brain pericytes and vascular smooth muscle cells. J Comp Neurol 442(1):78–88
- 161. Etchevers HC, Vincent C, Le Douarin NM et al (2001) The cephalic neural crest provides pericytes and smooth muscle cells to all blood vessels of the face and forebrain. Development 128(7):1059–1068
- 162. Dupin E, Coelho-Aguiar JM (2013) Isolation and differentiation properties of neural crest stem cells. Cytometry A 83(1):38–47
- 163. Shi H, Zhang T, Qiang L et al (2013) Mesenspheres of neural crest-derived cells enriched from bone marrow stromal cell subpopulation. Neurosci Lett 532:70–75
- 164. Kruger GM, Mosher JT, Bixby S et al (2002) Neural crest stem cells persist in the adult gut but undergo changes in selfrenewal, neuronal subtype potential, and factor responsiveness. Neuron 35(4):657–669
- 165. Morikawa S, Mabuchi Y, Kubota Y et al (2009) Prospective identification, isolation, and systemic transplantation of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells in murine bone marrow. J Exp Med 206(11):2483–2496
- 166. Morrison SJ, White PM, Zock C et al (1999) Prospective identification, isolation by flow cytometry, and in vivo selfrenewal of multipotent mammalian neural crest stem cells. Cell 96(5):737–749
- 167. Wislet-Gendebien S, Laudet E, Neirinckx V et al (2012) Mesenchymal stem cells and neural crest stem cells from adult bone marrow: characterization of their surprising similarities and differences. Cell Mol Life Sci 69(15):2593–2608
- 168. Lee G, Kim H, Elkabetz Y et al (2007) Isolation and directed differentiation of neural crest stem cells derived from human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol 25(12):1468–1475
- 169. Nagoshi N, Shibata S, Nakamura M et al (2009) Neural crestderived stem cells display a wide variety of characteristics. J Cell Biochem 107(6):1046–1052
- 170. Yamashita J, Itoh H, Hirashima M et al (2000) Flk1-positive cells derived from embryonic stem cells serve as vascular progenitors. Nature 408(6808):92–96
- 171. Brachvogel B, Moch H, Pausch F et al (2005) Perivascular cells expressing annexin A5 define a novel mesenchymal stem celllike population with the capacity to differentiate into multiple mesenchymal lineages. Development 132(11):2657–2668
- 172. Nelander S, Mostad P, Lindahl P (2003) Prediction of cell typespecific gene modules: identification and initial characterization of a core set of smooth muscle-specific genes. Genome Res 13(8):1838–1854
- 173. Paredes B, Santana A, Arribas MI et al (2010) Phenotypic differences during the osteogenic differentiation of single cellderived clones isolated from human lipoaspirates. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 5:589–599
- 174. Muller AM, Mehrkens A, Schafer DJ et al (2010) Towards an intraoperative engineering of osteogenic and vasculogenic grafts from the stromal vascular fraction of human adipose tissue. Eur Cell Mater 19:127–135
- 175. Cheung WK, Working DM, Galuppo LD et al (2010) Osteogenic comparison of expanded and uncultured adipose stromal cells. Cytotherapy 12(4):554–562
- 176. Rajashekhar G, Traktuev DO, Roell WC et al (2008) IFATS collection: adipose stromal cell differentiation is reduced by endothelial cell contact and paracrine communication: role of canonical Wnt signaling. Stem Cells 26(10):2674–2681
- 177. Meury T, Verrier S, Alini M (2006) Human endothelial cells inhibit BMSC differentiation into mature osteoblasts in vitro by interfering with osterix expression. J Cell Biochem 98(4):992–1006
- 178. Dahl JA, Duggal S, Coulston N et al (2008) Genetic and epigenetic instability of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells expanded in autologous serum or fetal bovine serum. Int J Dev Biol 52(8):1033–1042
- 179. Rosland GV, Svendsen A, Torsvik A et al (2009) Long-term cultures of bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells frequently undergo spontaneous malignant transformation. Can-
- cer Res 69(13):5331–5339 180. Ren Z, Wang J, Zhu W et al (2011) Spontaneous transformation of adult mesenchymal stem cells from cynomolgus macaques in vitro. Exp Cell Res 317(20):2950–2957
- 181. Torsvik A, Rosland GV, Svendsen A et al (2010) Spontaneous malignant transformation of human mesenchymal stem cells reflects cross-contamination: putting the research field on track—letter. Cancer Res 70(15):6393–6396
- 182. Meliga E, Strem BM, Duckers HJ et al (2007) Adipose-derived cells. Cell Transplant 16(9):963–970
- 183. Tarnok A, Ulrich H, Bocsi J (2010) Phenotypes of stem cells from diverse origin. Cytometry A 77(1):6–10
- 184. Schaffler A, Buchler C (2007) Concise review: adipose tissuederived stromal cells—basic and clinical implications for novel cell-based therapies. Stem Cells 25(4):818–827
- 185. James AW, Zara JN, Zhang X et al (2012) Perivascular stem cells: a prospectively purified mesenchymal stem cell population for bone tissue engineering. Stem Cells Transl Med 1(6):510–519
- 186. Bruder SP, Jaiswal N, Ricalton NS et al (1998) Mesenchymal stem cells in osteobiology and applied bone regeneration. Clin Orthop Relat Res 355 Suppl:S247–S256
- 187. Kang SW, Bae JH, Park SA et al (2012) Combination therapy with BMP-2 and BMSCs enhances bone healing efficacy of PCL scaffold fabricated using the 3D plotting system in a large segmental defect model. Biotechnol Lett 34(7):1375–1384
- 188. Zhu S, Zhang B, Man C et al (2011) NEL-like molecule-1-modified bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells/poly lacticco-glycolic acid composite improves repair of large osteochondral defects in mandibular condyle. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 19(6):743–750
- 189. Monteiro BS, Del Carlo RJ, Argolo-Neto NM et al (2012) Association of mesenchymal stem cells with platelet rich plasma on the repair of critical calvarial defects in mice. Acta Cir Bras 27(3):201–209
- 190. Monteiro BS, Argolo-Neto NM, Nardi NB et al (2012) Treatment of critical defects produced in calvaria of mice with mesenchymal stem cells. An Acad Bras Cienc 84(3):841–851
- 191. Koob S, Torio-Padron N, Stark GB et al (2011) Bone formation and neovascularization mediated by mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial cells in critical-sized calvarial defects. Tissue Eng Part A 17(3–4):311–321
- 192. Agacayak S, Gulsun B, Ucan MC et al (2012) Effects of mesenchymal stem cells in critical size bone defect. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 16(5):679–686
- 193. Osugi M, Katagiri W, Yoshimi R et al (2012) Conditioned media from mesenchymal stem cells enhanced bone regeneration in rat calvarial bone defects. Tissue Eng Part A 18(13–14):1479–1489
- 194. Stephan SJ, Tholpady SS, Gross B et al (2010) Injectable tissue-engineered bone repair of a rat calvarial defect. Laryngoscope 120(5):895–901
- 195. Yang Q, Peng J, Lu SB et al (2011) Evaluation of an extracellular matrix-derived acellular biphasic scaffold/cell construct in the repair of a large articular high-load-bearing osteochondral defect in a canine model. Chin Med J (Engl) 124(23):3930–3938
- 196. Mokbel A, El-Tookhy O, Shamaa AA et al (2011) Homing and efficacy of intra-articular injection of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in experimental chondral defects in dogs. Clin Exp Rheumatol 29(2):275–284
- 197. Field JR, McGee M, Stanley R et al (2011) The efficacy of allogeneic mesenchymal precursor cells for the repair of an

ovine tibial segmental defect. Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol 24(2):113–121

- 198. Reichert JC, Cipitria A, Epari DR et al (2012) A tissue engineering solution for segmental defect regeneration in load-bearing long bones. Sci Transl Med 4(141):141ra93
- 199. Marquass B, Schulz R, Hepp P et al (2011) Matrix-associated implantation of predifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells versus articular chondrocytes: in vivo results of cartilage repair after 1 year. Am J Sports Med 39(7):1401–1412
- 200. Khojasteh A, Behnia H, Dashti SG et al (2012) Current trends in mesenchymal stem cell application in bone augmentation: a review of the literature. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 70(4):972–982
- 201. Bruder SP, Fink DJ, Caplan AI (1994) Mesenchymal stem cells in bone development, bone repair, and skeletal regeneration therapy. J Cell Biochem 56(3):283–294
- 202. Cao L, Liu G, Gan Y et al (2012) The use of autologous enriched bone marrow MSCs to enhance osteoporotic bone defect repair in long-term estrogen deficient goats. Biomaterials 33(20):5076–5084
- 203. Wang Z, Goh J, De Das S et al (2006) Efficacy of bone marrowderived stem cells in strengthening osteoporotic bone in a rabbit model. Tissue Eng 12(7):1753–1761
- 204. Nde Ocarino M, Boeloni JN, Jorgetti V et al (2010) Intra-bone marrow injection of mesenchymal stem cells improves the femur bone mass of osteoporotic female rats. Connect Tissue Res 51(6):426–433
- 205. Turgeman G, Zilberman Y, Zhou S et al (2002) Systemically administered rhBMP-2 promotes MSC activity and reverses bone and cartilage loss in osteopenic mice. J Cell Biochem 86(3):461–474
- 206. Bragdon B, Moseychuk O, Saldanha S et al (2011) Bone morphogenetic proteins: a critical review. Cell Signal 23(4):609–620
- 207. Voumvourakis KI, Antonelou R, Kitsos DK et al (2011) TGFbeta/BMPs: crucial crossroad in neural autoimmune disorders. Neurochem Int 59(5):542–550
- 208. Tang YC, Tang W, Tian WD et al (2006) A study on repairing mandibular defect by means of tissue-engineering and human bone morphogenetic protein-2 gene transfection in osteoporotic rats. Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi 41(7):430–431
- 209. Turgeman G, Pittman DD, Muller R et al (2001) Engineered human mesenchymal stem cells: a novel platform for skeletal cell mediated gene therapy. J Gene Med 3(3):240–251
- 210. Egermann M, Baltzer AW, Adamaszek S et al (2006) Direct adenoviral transfer of bone morphogenetic protein-2 cDNA enhances fracture healing in osteoporotic sheep. Hum Gene Ther 17(5):507–517
- 211. Zhang XS, Linkhart TA, Chen ST et al (2004) Local ex vivo gene therapy with bone marrow stromal cells expressing human BMP4 promotes endosteal bone formation in mice. J Gene Med 6(1):4–15
- 212. Hu J, Qi MC, Zou SJ et al (2007) Callus formation enhanced by BMP-7 ex vivo gene therapy during distraction osteogenesis in rats. J Orthop Res 25(2):241–251
- 213. Askarinam A, James AW, Zara JN et al (2013) Human perivascular stem cells show enhanced osteogenesis and vasculogenesis with Nell-1 protein. Tissue Eng Part A 19:1386–1397
- 214. Zhao Y, Li T, Wei X et al (2012) Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation improves regional cardiac remodeling following ovine infarction. Stem Cells Transl Med 1(9):685–695
- 215. Roura S, Bago JR, Soler-Botija C et al (2012) Human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells promote vascular growth in vivo. Plos One 7(11):e49447
- 216. Zheng SX, Weng YL, Zhou CQ et al (2012) Comparison of cardiac stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells transplantation on the cardiac electrophysiology in rats with myocardial infarction. Stem Cell Rev 9:339–349
- 217. Boomsma RA, Geenen DL (2012) Mesenchymal stem cells secrete multiple cytokines that promote angiogenesis and have contrasting effects on chemotaxis and apoptosis. PLoS ONE 7(4):e35685
- 218. van den Akker F, Deddens JC, Doevendans PA et al (2012) Cardiac stem cell therapy to modulate inflammation upon myocardial infarction. Biochim Biophys Acta 830:2449–2458
- 219. Hu X, Yu SP, Fraser JL et al (2008) Transplantation of hypoxiapreconditioned mesenchymal stem cells improves infarcted heart function via enhanced survival of implanted cells and angiogenesis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 135(4):799–808
- 220. Shabbir A, Zisa D, Suzuki G et al (2009) Heart failure therapy mediated by the trophic activities of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: a noninvasive therapeutic regimen. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 296(6):H1888–H1897
- 221. Zhu K, Lai H, Guo C et al (2012) Novel vascular endothelial growth factor gene delivery system-manipulated mesenchymal stem cells repair infarcted myocardium. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 237(6):678–687
- 222. Huang XP, Sun Z, Miyagi Y et al (2010) Differentiation of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells induces immunogenicity and limits their long-term benefits for myocardial repair. Circulation 122(23):2419–2429
- 223. Gao XR, Tan YZ, Wang HJ (2011) Overexpression of Csx/Nkx2.5 and GATA-4 enhances the efficacy of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation after myocardial infarction. Circ J 75(11):2683–2691
- 224. Li XH, Fu YH, Lin QX et al (2012) Induced bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells improve cardiac performance of infarcted rat hearts. Mol Biol Rep 39(2):1333–1342
- 225. Tang JM, Wang JN, Zhang L et al (2011) VEGF/SDF-1 promotes cardiac stem cell mobilization and myocardial repair in the infarcted heart. Cardiovasc Res 91(3):402–411
- 226. Katare R, Riu F, Mitchell K et al (2011) Transplantation of human pericyte progenitor cells improves the repair of infarcted heart through activation of an angiogenic program involving micro-RNA-132. Circ Res 109(8):894–906
- 227. Chen CW, Okada M, Proto JD et al (2013) Human pericytes for ischemic heart repair. Stem Cells 31(2):305–316
- 228. De Ugarte DA et al (2003) Comparison of multi-lineage cells from human adipose tissue and bone marrow. Cells Tissues Organs 174(3):101–109
- 229. Rodriguez AM et al (2005) The human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. Biochimie 87(1):125–128
- 230. Lindroos B et al (2009) Serum-free, xeno-free culture media maintain the proliferation rate and multipotentiality of adipose stem cells in vitro. Cytotherapy 11(7):958–972
- 231. Schreml S et al (2009) Harvesting human adipose tissue-derived adult stem cells: resection versus liposuction. Cytotherapy 11(7):947–957
- 232. Sensebe L, Bourin P (2009) Mesenchymal stem cells for therapeutic purposes. Transplantation 87(9 Suppl):S49–S53
- 233. Franco Lambert AP et al (2009) Differentiation of human adipose-derived adult stem cells into neuronal tissue: does it work? Differentiation 77(3):221–228
- 234. Campagnoli C et al (2001) Identification of mesenchymal stem/ progenitor cells in human first-trimester fetal blood, liver, and bone marrow. Blood 98(8):2396–2402
- 235. Villaron EM et al (2004) Mesenchymal stem cells are present in peripheral blood and can engraft after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Haematologica 89(12):1421–1427
- 236. Alsalameh S et al (2004) Identification of mesenchymal progenitor cells in normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage. Arthritis Rheum 50(5):1522–1532
- 237. Hiraoka K et al (2006) Mesenchymal progenitor cells in adult human articular cartilage. Biorheology 43(3–4):447–454
- 238. Erices A, Conget P, Minguell JJ (2000) Mesenchymal progenitor cells in human umbilical cord blood. Br J Haematol 109(1):235–242
- 239. Secco M et al (2009) Gene expression profile of mesenchymal stem cells from paired umbilical cord units: cord is different from blood. Stem Cell Rev 5(4):387–401
- 240. Jager M et al (2009) Cord blood—an alternative source for bone regeneration. Stem Cell Rev 5(3):266–277
- 241. Bieback K, Kluter H (2007) Mesenchymal stromal cells from umbilical cord blood. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 2(4):310–323
- 242. Gronthos S et al (2000) Postnatal human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in vitro and in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97(25):13625–13630
- 243. Nakamura S et al (2009) Stem cell proliferation pathways comparison between human exfoliated deciduous teeth and dental pulp stem cells by gene expression profile from promising dental pulp. J Endod 35(11):1536–1542
- 244. Huang GT, Gronthos S, Shi S (2009) Mesenchymal stem cells derived from dental tissues vs. those from other sources: their biology and role in regenerative medicine. J Dent Res 88(9):792–806
- 245. Valtieri M, Sorrentino A (2008) The mesenchymal stromal cell contribution to homeostasis. J Cell Physiol 217(2):296–300
- 246. Shi S et al (2005) The efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells to regenerate and repair dental structures. Orthod Craniofac Res 8(3):191–199
- 247. Schuring AN et al (2011) Characterization of endometrial mesenchymal stem-like cells obtained by endometrial biopsy during routine diagnostics. Fertil Steril 95(1):423–426
- 248. Spitzer TL et al (2012) Perivascular human endometrial mesenchymal stem cells express pathways relevant to self-renewal, lineage specification, and functional phenotype. Biol Reprod 86(2):58
- 249. Lanzoni G et al (2009) Isolation of stem cell populations with trophic and immunoregulatory functions from human intestinal tissues: potential for cell therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. Cytotherapy 11(8):1020–1031
- 250. Arai F et al (2002) Mesenchymal stem cells in perichondrium express activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule and participate in bone marrow formation. J Exp Med 195(12):1549–1563
- 251. O'Driscoll SW, Fitzsimmons JS (2001) The role of periosteum in cartilage repair. Clin Orthop Relat Res 391:S190–S207
- 252. In 't Anker PS (2004) Isolation of mesenchymal stem cells of fetal or maternal origin from human placenta. Stem Cells 22(7):1338–1345
- 253. Rotter N et al (2008) Isolation and characterization of adult stem cells from human salivary glands. Stem Cells Dev 17(3):509–518
- 254. Romanov YA, Svintsitskaya VA, Smirnov VN (2003) Searching for alternative sources of postnatal human mesenchymal stem cells: candidate MSC-like cells from umbilical cord. Stem Cells 21(1):105–110
- 255. Campagnolo P et al (2010) Human adult vena saphena contains perivascular progenitor cells endowed with clonogenic and proangiogenic potential. Circulation 121(15):1735–1745
- 256. Mariotti E, Mirabelli P, Abate G, Schiattarella M, Martinelli P, Fortunato G et al (2008) Comparative characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells from human bone marrow and placenta: CD10, CD49d, and CD56 make a difference. Stem Cells Dev 17(6):1039–1041
- 257. Gronthos S, Franklin DM, Leddy HA, Robey PG, Storms RW, Gimble JM (2001) Surface protein characterization of human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells. J Cell Physiol 189(1):54–63
- 258. Niehage C, Steenblock C, Pursche T, Bornhäuser M, Corbeil D, Hoflack B (2011) The cell surface proteome of human mesenchymal stromal cells. Plos One 6(5):e20399
- 259. Gimble JM, Katz AJ, Bunnell BA (2007) Adipose-derived stem cells for regenerative medicine. Circ Res 100(9):1249–1260
- 260. Dar A, Domev H, Ben-Yosef O, Tzukerman M, Zeevi-Levin N, Novak A et al (2012) Multipotent vasculogenic pericytes from human pluripotent stem cells promote recovery of murine ischemic limb. Circulation 125(1):87–99
- 261. Brooke G, Tong H, Levesque J-P, Atkinson K (2008) Molecular trafficking mechanisms of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells derived from human bone marrow and placenta. Stem Cells Dev 17(5):929–940
- 262. Wagner W, Wein F, Seckinger A, Frankhauser M, Wirkner U, Krause U et al (2005) Comparative characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells from human bone marrow, adipose tissue, and umbilical cord blood. Exp Hematol 33(11):1402–1416
- 263. Tallone T, Realini C, Böhmler A, Kornfeld C, Vassalli G, Moccetti T et al (2011) Adult human adipose tissue contains several types of multipotent cells. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 4(2):200–210
- 264. Psaltis PJ, Harbuzariu A, Delacroix S, Holroyd EW, Simari RD (2011) Resident vascular progenitor cells—diverse origins, phenotype, and function. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 4(2):161–176
- 265. Zimmerlin L, Donnenberg VS, Pfeifer ME, Meyer EM, Péault B, Rubin JP et al (2009) Stromal vascular progenitors in adult human adipose tissue. Cytometry A 77(1):22–30
- 266. Campioni DD, Moretti SS, Ferrari LL, Punturieri MM, Castoldi GLG, Lanza FF (2006) Immunophenotypic heterogeneity of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells from patients with hematologic disorders: correlation with bone marrow microenvironment. Haematologica 91(3):364–368
- 267. Bűhring H-J, Battula VL, Treml S, Schewe B, Kanz L, Vogel W (2007) Novel markers for the prospective isolation of human MSC. Ann NY Acad Sci 1106:262–271
- 268. Masuda H, Anwar SS, Bűhring H-J, Rao JR, Gargett CE (2012) A novel marker of human endometrial mesenchymal stem-like cells. Cell Transpl 21(10):2201–2214
- 269. Flores-Torales E, Orozco-Barocio A, Gonzalez-Ramella OR, Carrasco-Yalan A, Gazarian K, Cuneo-Pareto S (2010) The CD271 expression could be alone for establisher phenotypic marker in bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 48(4):682–686
- 270. Zimmerlin L et al (2010) Stromal vascular progenitors in adult human adipose tissue. Cytometry A 77(1):22–30
- 271. Djouad F, Fritz V, Apparailly F et al (2005) Reversal of the immunosuppressive properties of mesenchymal stem cells by tumor necrosis factor alpha in collagen-induced arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 52(5):1595–1603
- 272. Guillot PV, De Bari C, Dell'Accio F et al (2008) Comparative osteogenic transcription profiling of various fetal and adult mesenchymal stem cell sources. Differentiation 76(9):946–957
- 273. Murphy JM, Fink DJ, Hunziker EB et al (2003) Stem cell therapy in a caprine model of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 48(12):3464–3474
- 274. Hillel AT, Taube JM, Cornish TC et al (2010) Characterization of human mesenchymal stem cell-engineered cartilage: analysis of its ultrastructure, cell density and chondrocyte phenotype compared to native adult and fetal cartilage. Cells Tissues Organs 191(1):12–20
- 275. Erickson IE, Huang AH, Chung C et al (2009) Differential maturation and structure-function relationships in mesenchymal stem cell- and chondrocyte-seeded hydrogels. Tissue Eng Part A 15(5):1041–1052
- 276. Noth U, Steinert AF, Tuan RS (2008) Technology insight: adult mesenchymal stem cells for osteoarthritis therapy. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol 4(7):371–380
- 277. Wang N, Ren GD, Zhou Z et al (2012) Cooperation of myocardin and Smad2 in inducing differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into smooth muscle cells. IUBMB Life 64(4):331–339
- 278. Uysal AC, Mizuno H (2010) Tendon regeneration and repair with adipose derived stem cells. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 5(2):161–167
- 279. Leroux L, Descamps B, Tojais NF et al (2010) Hypoxia preconditioned mesenchymal stem cells improve vascular and skeletal muscle fiber regeneration after ischemia through a Wnt4 dependent pathway. Mol Ther 18(8):1545–1552
- 280. Chen J, Li Y, Katakowski M et al (2003) Intravenous bone marrow stromal cell therapy reduces apoptosis and promotes endogenous cell proliferation after stroke in female rat. J Neurosci Res 73(6):778–786
- 281. Rosova I, Dao M, Capoccia B et al (2008) Hypoxic preconditioning results in increased motility and improved therapeutic potential of human mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells 26(8):2173–2182
- 282. Gupta PK, Chullikana A, Parakh R et al (2013) A double-blind randomized placebo controlled phase I/II study assessing the safety and efficacy of allogeneic bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cell in critical limb ischemia. J Transl Med 11:143
- 283. Serbeniuk TsV, Sychev VS, Lelekova TV (1976) Bilevel organization of the spinal center of the frog lymph heart. Nauchnye Doki Vyss Shkoly Biol Nauki 7:82–86
- 284. Laflamme MA, Murry CE (2005) Regenerating the heart. Nat Biotechnol 23(7):845–856
- 285. Burst V, Putsch F, Kubacki T et al (2013) Survival and distribution of injected haematopoietic stem cells in acute kidney injury. Nephrol Dial Transpl 28:1131–1139
- 286. Wise AF, Ricardo SD (2012) Mesenchymal stem cells in kidney inflammation and repair. Nephrology (Carlton) 17(1):1–10
- 287. Domínguez-Bendala J, Lanzoni G et al (2012) Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells for diabetes. Stem Cells Transl Med 1(1):59–63
- 288. Dai LJ, Li HY, Guan LX et al (2009) The therapeutic potential of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells on hepatic cirrhosis. Stem Cell Res 2(1):16–25
- 289. Ishikawa T, Banas A, Hagiwara K et al (2010) Stem cells for hepatic regeneration: the role of adipose tissue derived mesenchymal stem cells. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 5(2):182–189
- 290. Aquino JB, Bolontrade MF, Garcia MG et al (2010) Mesenchymal stem cells as therapeutic tools and gene carriers in liver fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Gene Ther 17(6):692–708
- 291. Kim N, Im KI, Lim JY et al (2013) Mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment and prevention of graft-versus-host disease: experiments and practice. Ann Hematol 92(10):1295–1308
- 292. Silla L, Valim V, Amorin B et al (2013) A safety and feasibility study with platelet lysate expanded bone marrow mesenchymal

stromal cells for the treatment of acute GVHD in Brazil. Leuk Lymphoma. doi:[10.3109/10428194.2013.823495](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10428194.2013.823495)

- 293. Xia Z, Zhang C, Zeng Y et al (2012) Transplantation of BMSCs expressing hVEGF(165)/hBD3 promotes wound healing in rats with combined radiation-wound injury. Int Wound J. doi:[10.1111/j.1742-481x.2012.01090.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481x.2012.01090.x)
- 294. Kim SO, Na HS, Kwon D et al (2011) Bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation enhances closing pressure and leak point pressure in a female urinary incontinence rat model. Urol Int 86(1):110–116
- 295. Zannettino ACW, Paton S, Arthur A, Khor F, Itescu S, Gimble JM et al (2008) Multipotential human adipose-derived stromal stem cells exhibit a perivascular phenotype in vitro and in vivo. J Cell Physiol 214(2):413–421
- 296. Zhang R, Liu Y, Yan K et al (2013) Anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory mechanisms of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in experimental traumatic brain injury. J Neuroinflammation 10(1):106
- 297. Kumagai G, Tsoulfas P, Toh S et al (2013) Genetically modified mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) promote axonal regeneration and prevent hypersensitivity after spinal cord injury. Exp Neurol 248:369–380
- 298. Jadasz JJ, Kremer D, Göttle P et al (2013) Mesenchymal stem cell conditioning promotes rat oligodendroglial cell maturation. PLoS One 8(8):e71814
- 299. Danielyan L, Schäfer R, von Ameln-Mayerhofer A et al (2011) Therapeutic efficacy of intranasally delivered mesenchymal stem cells in a rat model of Parkinson disease. Rejuvenation Res 14(1):3–16
- 300. Stemberger S, Jamnig A, Stefanova N et al (2011) Mesenchymal stem cells in a transgenic mouse model of multiple system atrophy: immunomodulation and neuroprotection. PLoS One 6(5):e19808
- 301. Hall SR, Tsoyi K, Ith B, Padera RF Jr et al (2013) Mesenchymal stromal cells improve survival during sepsis in the absence of heme oxygenase-1: the importance of neutrophils. Stem Cells 31(2):397–407
- 302. Curley GF, Ansari B, Hayes M et al (2013) Effects of intratracheal mesenchymal stromal cell therapy during recovery and resolution after ventilator-induced lung injury. Anesthesiology 118(4):924-932
- 303. Cheng K, Rai P, Plagov A et al (2013) Transplantation of bone marrow-derived MSCs improves cisplatinum-induced renal injury through paracrine mechanisms. Exp Mol Pathol 94(3):466–473