Review

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen: a proteomics view

S. N. Naryzhny

Tumour Biology Group, Northeastern Ontario Regional Cancer Program at the Sudbury Regional Hospital, 41 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, Ontario P3E 5J1 (Canada), Fax: +1 705 523 7326, e-mail: snaryzhny@hrsrh.on.ca

Received 29 May 2008; received after revision 7 July 2008; accepted 16 July 2008 Online First 26 August 2008

Abstract. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), a cell cycle marker protein, is well known as a DNA sliding clamp for DNA polymerase delta and as an essential component for eukaryotic chromosomal DNA replication and repair. Due to its mobility inside nuclei, PCNA is dynamically presented in a soluble or chromatin-associated form. The heterogeneity and specific modifications of PCNA may reflect its multiple functions and the presence of many binding partners in the cell. The recent proteomics approaches applied to characterizing PCNA interactions revealed multiple PCNA partners with a wide spectrum of activity and unveiled the possible existence of new PCNA functions. Since more than 100 PCNA-interacting proteins and several PCNA modifications have already been reported, a proteomics point of view seems exactly suitable to better understand the role of PCNA in cellular functions.

Keywords. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen, proteomics, interaction, functions.

Introduction

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) is well known as a cell cycle marker. However, this is just one aspect of its very complicated and thrilling nature. The complexity of PCNA function is reflected in the history of its discovery and subsequent investigation. Studies in different areas such as immunology, proteomics and biochemistry all intersected to identify exactly the same protein. This protein was initially identified by Miyachi 30 years ago as an antigen for autoimmune disease in systemic lupus erythematosis patients [1]. A few years later, Bravo and Celis, using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) found an 'acidic protein' that was differentially expressed during the cell cycle [2]. Accordingly, they gave it the name 'cyclin' [3]. The periodic appearance of PCNA in S phase nuclei, co-localized with incorporated bromodeoxyuridine $[2-4]$, suggested an involvement in DNA replication. Later, it was revealed that PCNA and cyclin were the same protein [5]. An essential factor for SV40 (simian virus 40) DNA replication in vitro was also identified as PCNA [6, 7]. As the name 'cyclin' was given to another class of proteins, PCNA/cyclin is now usually called PCNA. Subsequent biochemical and genetic studies with budding yeast demonstrated that PCNA is essential for chromosomal DNA replication as a DNA sliding clamp for DNA polymerase delta $[8-10]$. A structural analysis of PCNA had a tremendous impact on studies of its function(s), revealing that PCNA is organized as a ring that can encircle DNA and work as a sliding clamp for DNA polymerase delta and epsilon, and a docking station, or scaffold, for other proteins [11 – 16]. Many of these proteins are involved in DNA replication, DNA repair and cell cycle control. Additionally, there are many proteins involved in chromatin assembly and remodeling, chromatid cohesion, transcription and other functions. PCNA is known as a docking station that encircles DNA and coordinates multiple genetic functions during DNA replication and repair $[17-20]$. But there is a substantial pool of

Figure 1. A two-dimensional (2-DE) map of proteins from MB468 cells and PCNA position. (A) Proteins (0.4 mg) were separated by 2-DE (pH3 – 10, 13 cm – first dimension, 10% SDS-PAGE, 13 cm – second dimension) and stained with Coomassie R350. Protein identification was done according to protein fingerprints (spectra) produced by trypsin digestion of material from gel plugs and detected by MALDI-TOF instrument (Waters) [35]. The marked proteins are: actin (ACTB_HUMAN), aldolase A (ALDOA_HUMAN), heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A2/B1 (ROA2_HUMAN), annexin A2 (ANXA2_HUMAN), annexin A1 (ANXA1_HUMAN), stathmin (STMN1_HUMAN), alpha-enolase (ENOA_HUMAN), keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 (K2C7_HUMAN), keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 (K2C8_HUMAN), keratin, type I cytoskeletal 17 (K1C17_HUMAN), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3P_HUMAN), peroxiredoxin-1 (PRDX1_HUMAN), peroxiredoxin-2 (PRDX2_HUMAN), peroxiredoxin-6 (PRDX6_HUMAN), calreticulin precursor (CALR_HUMAN), heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (HSP7C_HUMAN), heat shock protein HSP 90-beta (HS90B_HUMAN), 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein precursor (GRP78_HUMAN), 60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial precursor (CH60_HUMAN), tubulin alpha-1B chain (TBA1B_HUMAN), tubulin beta chain (TBB5_HUMAN), protein disulfide-isomerase precursor (PDIA1_HU-MAN), peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIA_HUMAN), cofilin-1 (COF1_HUMAN), translationally-controlled tumor protein 9 (TCTP_HUMAN), stathmin (STMN1_HUMAN), phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1_HUMAN), protein SET (SET_HUMAN). (B) Zoomed area around PCNA from the same 2-DE map is shown. Tropomyosin alpha-1 (TPMI_HUMAN, 4.69/32700), tropomyosin alpha-3 (TPM3_HUMAN, 4.68/32800), 14-3-3 protein epsilon (1433E_HUMAN, 4.63/29300) 14-3-3 protein sigma (1433S_HUMAN, 4.69/27900), 14-3-3 protein beta/alpha (1433B_HUMAN, 4.76/28082), elongation factor 1-beta (EF1B_HUMAN, 4.5/24900), nucleophosmin (numatrin, B23) (NPM_HUMAN, 4.64/32700) are detected around PCNA.

nucleoplasmic PCNA that may work even without interacting with DNA [4, 21, 22]. The growth-related properties of PCNA, as well as its physicochemical properties (pI, mass, antigenicity) have been well conserved during evolution [23], suggesting that it plays a vital role in cellular metabolism.

2-DE map (proteome) and PCNA

In 1980, Bravo and Celis published their 2-DE analysis of protein synthesis during the cell cycle of HeLa cells [2]. Using [35S] methionine labeling, they found that all detected polypeptides are synthesized throughout the cell cycle. When differences appeared, they were clearly in relative intensity (rate of synthesis) rather than the appearance of new polypeptides [2]. As they pointed out, the most interesting protein was a '36,000

mol wt acidic cytoarchitectural protein that increases in S-phase' [2]. Lately, several different 2-DE databases have been established [24] and the position of PCNA has been determined and analyzed in more detail $[5, 25-30]$. PCNA is usually seen as a single spot. Protein spots close to PCNA in the 2-DE map are housekeeping proteins that can be present in different ratios. In particular, these include tropomyosins and different forms of 14-3-3 proteins (Fig. 1). Since isoelectric point (pI) and molecular mass are fundamental physicochemical parameters for any protein, their correct estimation is very important. Initial estimates of PCNA mass gave a value of 36 kDa [2, 31], although the theoretical mass is 30 kDa. This shift from theoretical parameters could be the result of post-translational modification. Unfortunately, some proteins, like histones, migrate abnormally in SDS-PAGE based on abnormal SDS binding or basic

character. Separation on gels with different acrylamide concentrations (pore sizes) can sometimes solve the problem and give more accurate mass values. Accordingly, PCNA migrates as a single band around 36 kDa [5, 32] on 12 – 20% polyacrylamide gel, but around 30 kDa, very close to the theoretical value, in 8 – 9% gel [33]. On 2-DE (Fig. 1), PCNA is usually detected as a single spot, as initially described [31]. However, higher resolution and better sensitivity allow the detection of additional acidic and basic satellite spots (Fig. 2) [5, 25, 28, 34]. The main (M) PCNA spot corresponds to a polypeptide of pI 4.57 and mass 30 kDa. These numbers match almost exactly the PCNA theoretical parameters, showing that the majority of PCNA is not post-translationally modified [35]. The minor spots are produced because of mass and charge shift and represent PCNA modifications [30, 34]. These modifications can be biological (functional) or technical (produced during sample analysis). Multiple lower-mass PCNA fragments (Fig. 2) are produced by proteolysis during 2- DE and can be prevented by using the proteosome inhibitor MG132 or thiourea [34 – 36]. This proteolysis also takes place in vivo and possibly has a biological function, although its detection needs to be more sensitive [34, 37]. PCNA ubiquitination is another well-documented modification [34, 38–40] that produces spots of higher mass (\sim 40 kDa) and pI (\sim 5.0) on the 2-DE map (Fig. 2). The nature of other modifications that produce a shift in PCNA pI is less clear. Although several different modifications have been reported, some of these data may not be correct since the conclusions are not always consistent [30, 41 – 44]. One example is the issue of PCNA phosphorylation, which was initially described as a potential mechanism for regulatory PCNA function. However, this issue was addressed using several different experimental approaches, including in vivo labeling, immunoprecipitation, Western blot analysis, high-resolution 2-DE and mass spectrometry [30]. The data obtained strongly suggest that PCNA is not phosphorylated [30]. Acetylation and deacetylation might explain the changes in PCNA charge shift and the production of additional spots [30]. The transcription factor p300 and HDAC1 are responsible, at least in part, for PCNA acetylation and deacetylation, respectively. PCNA treated with HDAC1 showed much lower binding affinity to the DNA polymerases beta and delta than did the sample treated with TSA [30]. The decrease in affinity was particularly notable between deacetylated PCNA and DNA polymerase delta. Consistent with this result, deacetylated PCNA showed lower activity in DNA polymerization than did highly acetylated PCNA [30]. However, the possibility that these isoforms (A-, B-spots, Fig. 2) contain other modifications such as oxidation [unpublished observation] or methylation cannot presently be ruled out. In particular, methylation is a modification that can produce a pI shift in the basic direction (B-spot), and its presence in PCNA has been reported [42]. As the pI of the main (M) form exactly matches the theoretical value for PCNA (pI 4.57), the corresponding spot likely represents the unmodified form. However, there is still a chance that a portion of the protein in the M-spot contains a peptide having two modifications simultaneously. One modification that leads to the A-form (acetylation or oxidation, pI shift is -0.05) and another that leads to the B-form (methylation, pI shift is $+0.05$) could result in no apparent change in charge, and the peptide would have the same p*I* 4.57.

PCNA quantity in a cell

PCNA was initially found to be a protein that was differentially expressed during the cell cycle [2, 31], and has lately became a marker of cell proliferation [45]. Therefore, the amount of PCNA in the cell was the subject of special attention, especially in the context of cell transformation [28, 46, 47]. Although there may be an increase in PCNA synthesis in cells traversing from G0 to S phase [48, 49], variations in the PCNA level are only 2 – 3 times greater in cycling cells, which reflects comparable changes in the level of PCNA mRNA [26, 29, 50]. As the cell ages, the PCNA level doesn't change significantly, although the cell doubling time dramatically increases at the late phase of cell aging [51]. Cancer often correlates with an enhanced level of PCNA, and PCNA levels can be used as a prognostic marker in some cases [52, 53]. Analysis of cell cultures showed that cancer cells have a significantly higher level of PCNA than normal cells even in cases where they have the same doubling time [35]. Cells maintain a large pool of free PCNA throughout the cell cycle. Even in S phase, only a small portion of PCNA $(20-30\%)$ is directly involved in DNA replication, co-localizing with DNA replication factories (foci) [4]. There is no change in the stability of PCNA in relation to total cellular proteins as a function of the cell cycle. The half-life for PCNA, determined in cycling HeLa cells, is 8 h, or similar to the half-life of total cellular protein [26]. In 3T3 cells, the half-life of PCNA is even larger, 20 h [4]. The absolute amount of PCNA in a cellular proteins is about $0.06 - 0.08\%$ [51, 54]. That gives a concentration as high as $~500000$ molecules (monomers)/cell for non-transformed, or normal cell lines where the molar actin/PCNA ratio is ~200/1. In transformed cell lines, the concentration

3792 S. N. Naryzhny Proteomics view on PCNA

Figure 2. Multiple spots of PCNA (isoforms) can be detected by 2- DE with increased resolution and better sensitivity. Immunostaining using PCNA-specific antibody PC10 after 2-DE separation and transfer to Immobilon-P membrane. A, M, B, T and D denote acidic, main, basic, probable trimer and probable dimer forms of PCNA, respectively. U1 and U2 are ubiquitinated forms of PCNA monomer. H is a cluster of PCNA hydrolysis products, produced by proteosome action $[34]$. (A) 2-DE (pH 4-7, 13 cm first dimension, 10% SDS-PAGE, 13 cm – second dimension). (B) 2-DE (pH 4-5, 18 cm – first dimension, 10% SDS-PAGE, 13 cm – second dimension).

is about 4 000 000 monomers/cell, and the actin/ PCNA ratio goes down to \sim 20/1 [28, 35].

PCNA structure

PCNA structure has been highly conserved during evolution; its amino acid sequences in rats and humans differ by only 4 of 261 amino acids. As was shown by crystallography and small-angle neutron scattering, PCNA is organized into a toroid structure (Fig. 3) [13, 14, 16, 55]. This ring-shaped organization is characteristic and very similar to the 'sliding clamps' needed for processivity of DNA synthesis in bacteriophages, archaeons and eukaryotes [56]. For example, the protein structures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and human PCNA are closely superimposable over the core secondary structural elements, even though the similarity at the amino acid sequence level between the two is only 35%. Each PCNA monomer comprises two domains that are joined firmly together by forming an extended ß sheet across the interdomain boundary [13, 14]. Three monomers are joined in an anti-parallel interaction between strand ßD2 in one molecule and ßI1 in the next, which results in a total of eight main chain amide-to-carboxyl hydrogen bonds across the intermolecular surface of the trimer ring (Fig. 3) [13, 14]. In addition, a hydrophobic core is formed by packing together the α A2 helix in one molecule and the α B1 in the adjacent PCNA monomer [14]. The overall structure of the PCNA trimer ring is estimated to be \sim 34 Å for the internal diameter \sim 80 Å for the external diameter and \sim 30 Å in thickness. Even though PCNA has an overall negative charge, the inner surface is positively charged due to the presence of many lysine and arginine residues (10 per subunit). This suggests that the negatively charged DNA can pass through the ring without electrostatic repulsions [13, 14]. The PCNA ring has distinct 'front' and 'back' sides: the front side includes the interdomain connecting loop (IDCL, 118LMDLDVEQLGI-PEQEYSC135), linking the N- and C-terminal domains of each monomer, and the back contains several pronounced loops connecting anti-parallel ß strands that protrude into the solvent (e.g., ßD2ßE2 and ßH1 ßI1) [14]. PCNA interacts with protein partners only on the front side. No interaction with other proteins was found with the back side of the trimer. Henderson et al., working on PCNA in a Drosophila melanogaster model system, speculated that the results of their genetic studies can be explained if two PCNA trimers form a back-to-back complex [57]. A detailed analysis, based on cross-linking and mutagenesis, strongly confirmed that PCNA presents in mammalian cells as a double homotrimer and that Arg5 and Lys110 are crucial for its formation and detection [17, 33]. The available crystal structure of the PCNA trimer allows for a model where a double homotrimer is organized through back-to-back interaction [17]. As the $\beta D_2 \beta E_2$ loop (back loop, BL, 184QTSNVDKEEEAV195) protrudes from the back of the ring, a direct interaction between Arg5 of one trimer and Lys110 in the

Figure 3. Different levels of PCNA organization. (A) An amino acid sequence of human PCNA. Interdomain connecting loop, IDCL (118LMDLDVEQLGIPEQEYSC135), center loop, CL (41DSSH44), C-terminus, C (254KIEDEEGS261) are shown in red; back side loop, BL (184QTSNVDKEEEAV195) is shown in pink. (B) A three-dimensional structural model of PCNA monomer (chain E from 1AXC file is shown, http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do). IDCL, CL, C are shown in red; BL in pink. Helix areas (α A1, α B1, α A2, α B2) are pink and β sheets (β A1, β B1, β C1, β D1, β E1, β F1, β G1, β H1, β H1, β A2, β B2, β C2, β D2, β E2, β F2, β G2, β H2, β I2) are yellow. (C) A trimer PCNA organization (1XC) is shown from front. (D) A side view. (E) A model of PCNA double trimer [17]. IDCL, CL, C are shown in red; BL in pink.

another trimer might only be possible if the rings are twisted against each other to make the loops fit into groves on the opposite trimer (Fig. 3). However, some scientists are still sceptical about the presence of PCNA double trimer in the replication fork. The main objection is a question about how PCNA is loaded by RFC on DNA at its 3' recessed end [56]. Since the crystal structure of RFC bound to PCNA trimer (not double trimer) was determined [11], it means that all loaded trimers should be in the same, stereospecific orientation [55, 56]. Although controversy exists on this aspect, practically all mammalian cellular PCNA molecules can be cross-linked by formaldehyde as a double trimer [17, 33].

It is interesting that cross-linking using formaldehyde treatment doesn't produce a double trimer in yeast [unpublished observation], even though yeast PCNA has the same pair of Arg and Lys in the 5 and 110 positions (only in reversed combination, Lys5 and Arg110) and the overall PCNA structures from yeast

and human are superimposable. The reason may be technical. For example, the distance between these residues in yeast may be slightly longer than formaldehyde can cross-link. Another reason may be that the PCNA double-trimer organization is a property only of higher eukaryotes where a more complicated network of interactions with PCNA is required. It should be mentioned that the double-ring structure is not unique to PCNA. Many prokaryotic and eukaryotic proteins have a similar organization, among them glutamine synthetase [58], ATP-sulfurolase [59], the MCM complex [60], SW40 large antigen helicase [61], a meiosis-specific DNA recombinase (Dmc1, a RecA/ Rad51 homolog) [62] and chaperonin GroEL [63]. Interestingly, some of these proteins do not have any functions that involve interactions with DNA.

PCNA interactions

Interaction with different protein partners is an important regulatory mechanism for the diverse cellular functions of PCNA. One could speculate that mammalian cells utilize PCNA for more diverse cellular functions than monocellular organisms because of their greater potential for different fates. Consistent with this speculation, a literature and protein database search identified a long list of PCNA-binding proteins. This list includes proteins involved in DNA replication (Table 1), DNA repair (Table 2), cell cycle control (Table 3), chromatin remodeling/epigenetic inheritance, chromatid cohesion (Table 4), transcription (Table 5) and other miscellaneous functions (Table 6) $[20, 39, 64-85]$. PCNA can interact with protein partners through the hydrophobic groove on the front side organized by its center loop (CL, 41DSSH44), the C-terminal tail (CT, 254KIE257) and the interdomain-connecting loop (IDCL, 118LMDLDVEQLGIPEQEYSC135). It is thought that the binding of a given protein to the

PCNA trimer ring occurs in a competitive manner at the expense of other PCNA-binding proteins, although a PCNA trimer contains three identical surfaces [17]. Most of the PCNA-binding proteins contain the consensus PCNA-binding motif termed the PIP (PCNA-interacting protein) box QXX(L/M/ $I)XX(F/Y)(F/Y)$, suggesting that these proteins bind to the same sites on PCNA [19, 20]. This PIP-box Mammalian DNA replication is a multi-stage and Cell. Mol. Life Sci. Vol. 65, 2008 **Review Article** 3795

peptide is folded into a $3₁₀$ helix (different from an α helix or β sheet) and acts as a hydrophobic plug, docking into the hydrophobic pocket of PCNA produced by IDCL, CL and CT (Fig. 3) [13]. Not all PCNA-interacting proteins have a canonical PIP box. Binding to PCNA may occur through the PIP-related sequence QLXLF presented in chromatin assembly factor (CAF-1), DNA polymerase beta or the p50 subunit of DNA polymerase delta [86]. A shorter amino acid sequence, referred to as the KA motif, has also been suggested to mediate PCNA interactions with various proteins [87]. However, some PCNAbinding proteins can interact with PCNA independently of any of these motifs [77, 88, 89]. There is a chance that some PCNA-binding proteins can utilize another binding site on PCNA. So far, this situation has been reported only once where the N-terminal region comprising the inner α helices of PCNA was shown to form the binding site for cyclin D [21, 90]. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 or p21 (CDN1A_HUMAN) interacts more strongly with PCNA than other binding proteins in accordance with its role as a 'brake' that blocks access of DNA polymerase delta to PCNA [21, 91]. Having the highest affinity to PCNA, p21 can also compete with replication factor C (RFC) and inhibit PCNA loading onto DNA in vitro. Surprisingly, at a low-ratio p21/ PCNA (around 1:1), p21 can actually stimulate loading of PCNA onto DNA, and inhibition starts only at a very high p21/PCNA ratio (more than 10:1) [92]. It is possible that p21-PCNA interaction partially destabilizes the PCNA structure that initially helps RFC promote PCNA opening and DNA loading, but that at a higher ratio, p21 blocks all binding sites on PCNA and prevents any RFC-PCNA interaction. A database search for potential PCNA partners that contain the PIP box or a related sequence identified a number of new potential candidates [93]. Many PCNA-binding proteins belong to pathways that are unrelated to DNA replication, DNA repair or chromatin organization, raising the important question of whether these interactions really take place in vivo. Confirmation of these interactions may reveal new areas of PCNA functionality.

DNA replication (Table 1)

multi-protein process. Involvement of PCNA in this process has been thoroughly investigated, documented and reviewed [21, 56, 94, 95]. So far, the bestcharacterized function of PCNA is its involvement in DNA synthesis as a DNA sliding clamp. Comparison of the DNA elongation processes in Escherichia coli, phage and eukaryotic systems have revealed that the DNA replication apparatus is highly conserved in terms of structure and function [96]. DNA sliding clamps exist in all of these systems and increase efficiency of individual polymerases to synthesize long DNA strands. For example, the E. coli β subunit and PCNA are representative of prokaryotic and eukaryotic DNA sliding clamps and are able to specifically stimulate their respective partners, DNA polymerase III and DNA polymerase delta. Clamps have a common ring shape that allows them to wrap around DNA and slide along the double helical DNA freely in both directions [13, 14] so that their interactions with DNA polymerases can stabilize the enzymes on the template. Repetitive loading/ unloading of the PCNA ring onto the lagging DNA strand, as well as leading strand, is performed in an ATP-dependent manner by chaperonine-like replication factor C (RFC), a heteropentameric AAA+ protein complex of RFC1, RFC2, RFC3, RFC4 and RFC5 subunits [56]. This arc-shaped complex interacts with PCNA in a screw-cap-like arrangement [11], opening the PCNA ring and loading it on the DNA. Stabilized by PCNA, DNA polymerase delta or epsilon replicate a leading strand continuously through the 5'-to-3' direction in an error-free manner due to the presence of a 3-to-5 editor exonuclease [97]. The lagging strand, however, is replicated in a discontinuous fashion, producing short (Okazaki) fragments through the consecutive action of DNA polymerase alpha, flap structure-specific endonuclease-1 (FEN-1) and DNA polymerase delta. DNA ligase I seals the nicks between the fragments. FEN-1 and DNA ligase interact with PCNA through a PIP box. The interaction of FEN-1 with PCNA even stimulates its activity [98 – 100]. Topological problems that accumulate in DNA (catenation and supercoiling) because of the moving replicative forks are counteracted by topoisomerases I and II that are also able to bind PCNA [101, 102]. PCNA works as a moving platform or binding station for factors involved in or concomitant with DNA replication [17, 21]. The double ring organization could ideally suit to coordinating these functions, since two symmetrical protein interaction areas facing opposite directions on the replicating DNA allow PCNA to be

3796 S. N. Naryzhny Proteomics view on PCNA

Table 2. PCNA-binding proteins involved in DNA repair.

involved simultaneously in DNA synthesis and additional processes such as chromatin remodeling or DNA methylation [17, 103]. Many of the details regarding the mode of PCNA interaction are missing, since the process of mammalian DNA replication is extremely dynamic and complex. However, DNA replication machineries are organized in replication factories (foci), and these organizations are spatially distributed inside nuclei which require interactions with special structural proteins (nuclear matrix) [104, 105]. These nuclear matrix proteins include lamins, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins, actin, vimentin and numatrin (B23) [106]. Interestingly, PCNA is also present in some nuclear matrix preparations [106]. This may be due to the ability of PCNA to interact with lamins through highly conserved Ig-fold motifs located in their C-terminus [107].

DNA repair (Table 2)

DNA repair is a process where many details of PCNA involvement have been described. More information can be obtained from several recent reviews [95, 108]. Multiple specialized pathways required to repair DNA damage involve a DNA synthesis step which is performed by DNA polymerase delta or epsilon together with PCNA. It means that the DNA replication machinery has to be flexible enough to work in both normal and stressed situations. PCNA is able to coordinate different reactions and can be involved not only in DNA synthesis regulation. In the case of nucleotide excision repair (NER), PCNA binds to the endonuclease XP-G through a PIP box located in the C-terminus, possibly allowing PCNA to coordinate DNA excision and DNA synthesis [70]. A similar situation takes place during base excision repair (BER), where practically all of the factors involved [uracil-DNA glycosylase 2, DNA-3-methyladenine glycosylase (MPG), endonuclease III-like protein 1 (NTH1)] interact with and are stimulated by PCNA,

suggesting a PCNA ordered reaction. Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2 (Cyclin-O), DNA-lyase 2 (APEX2) and DNA polymerase beta all contain a PIP box, while DNA glycosylase (MPG) has an 'inverted' PIP box [109 – 111]. Other factors, like NTH1 or XRCC1, also bind PCNA but not through a PIP box [67, 78]. Bypass replication and mismatch repair also involve aspects of PCNA functions that have recently been studied, and an attractive model of 'a ubiquitination-PCNA switch' was proposed [38, 95, 108]. In this model, the response to DNA damage (or stalled replication forks) results in PCNA that is mono- or polyubiquitylated at the conserved lys164. Importantly, PCNA monoubiquitylation triggers the error-prone DNA repair pathway through translesion synthesis (TLS), while polyubiquitylation is required for the error-free mode of bypass repair [95]. The whole family of TLS polymerases has been described and have been shown to specifically interact with PCNA through PIP boxes and ubiquitin-binding domains [40, 112, 113]. In addition, the TLS polymerases can themselves be monoubiquitylated [112, 114], and this may provide a negative switch preventing their interaction with PCNA [95, 112]. Intriguingly, PCNA can interact with E3 ubiquitin ligase and trigger its activity [115]. Additionally, TLS induced by PCNA monoubiquitylation is not only involved in bypass replication but in immunoglobulin gene hypermutation as well [116].

Cell cycle control, survival (Table 3)

The level of PCNA oscillates during the cell cycle, and this is may be connected to the participation of PCNA in cell cycle control. These functions could be mediated via interaction with cyclins and cdks. These interactions could be independent from the DNA replication machinery, producing a quaternary complex PCNA-CDK-CyclinD-p21 [83, 117, 118], or could be locally coupled to the replisome as is the case for Cdk2-cyclin A, which forms in a complex with

3798 S. N. Naryzhny Proteomics view on PCNA

PCNA and phosphorylates RFC and DNA ligase [72] or involves Cdk2-catalyzed phosphorylation and dissociation of FEN-1 [119]. Among the proteins involved in cell cycle regulation, p21 (CDN1A_HU-MAN) is known as a crucial regulator of PCNA function [120]. The N-terminus $(AA17-24)$ of p21 is responsible for its binding and inhibition of Cdk, and the C-terminus contains the PIP sequence that allows p21 to efficiently bind PCNA and competitively block interaction with other PCNA-binding proteins [65, 70, 121 – 124]. Accordingly, p21 can efficiently stop DNA replication and can promote the switch or brake PCNA from DNA replication to DNA repair. However, it is not known how p21 blocks DNA replicative Cell. Mol. Life Sci. Vol. 65, 2008 **Review Article** 3799

synthesis but still allows it to perform repair synthesis. It is possible that this is regulated through specific degradation of p21 [125, 126] or through phosphorylation [127]. Cyclin D1 is another interesting candidate for a negative cell cycle regulator of PCNA. Baldin et al. showed that cyclin D1 is normally localized to the nucleus but disappears from the nucleus in S phase [128]. They proposed that the interaction of cyclin D1 with PCNA might prevent PCNA from binding to the replication complex [128]. Interestingly, it has been shown that the cyclin D family interacts with PCNA in a unique manner from all the other interacting proteins [21, 90]. Another mechanism to explain the cell cycle regulation of PCNA exists at the transcriptional level. PCNA is transcribed under the control of p53 [129]. However, PCNA itself can also contribute directly to p53 stability, since p53 and its negative regulator, Mdm2, have PIP boxes and can interact with PCNA [130].

Chromatin assembly, chromatid cohesion (Table 4)

The process of duplication in eukaryotes is not just about DNA replication but is also about the replication of chromatin, which bears genetic and epigenetic information [131]. Chromatin is disassembled during progression of the replication fork and immediately reassembled on the two daughter strands. A special histone chaperone, the CAF-1 complex, delivers histones to replicating DNA using a direct interaction with PCNA via the PIP box located on its largest subunit (human CAF-1 subunit A or Cac1 in yeast). Similarly, PCNA-dependent recruitment of CAF-1 was observed at sites of NER or during bypass replication [132, 133], indicating that this process is generally important for DNA synthesis in chromatin. An interesting model of DNA synthesis and chromatin assembly coordination due to the double-trimer organization that allows PCNA to simultaneously bind DNA polymerase delta and CAF-1 on opposite faces was proposed [17, 103]. Since epigenetic information is encoded by covalent modifications of DNA and histones ('histone code'), the required enzyme

activity must also be directly coupled to the replication machinery. The diverse covalent modifications (acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation) form a 'histone code' that regulates chromatin function by defining the accessibility of the genome to transcriptional and other machineries [134, 135]. DNA cytosine methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) is responsible for cytosine methylation in CpG sequences which are involved in gene silencing. DNMT1 is activated and then targeted to replication foci by interacton with PCNA through a PIP box [65, 136]. Histone modification enzymes (histone deacetylase HDAC, histone acetyltransferase p300) are also recruited to the replication fork through direct interaction with PCNA [71, 77, 88]. A complete picture of PCNA involvement in faithful inheritance includes chromosome segregation as well. For accurate distribution of the genetic material between daughter cells, the sister chromatids are kept together by a cohesion ring throughout the G2 phase until anaphase. This ring is enzymatically dissolved before anaphase, allowing the tubulin spindle to pull the sister chromosomes to the daughter cells. Sister-chromatid cohesion is established in S phase, instantly after replication [137], with the help of an essential factor N-acetyltransferase called ECO1. ECO1 directly binds to PCNA via a PIP box, and this interaction is essential for its loading on chromatin, establishment of cohesion and daughter cell viability [95, 138].

Transcription (Table 5)

The scaffold proteins that form sliding clamps in viruses and bacteriophages can interact with the transcription machinery and regulate gene expression [139, 140]. Not very much information about PCNA involvement in transcription in eukaryotes has been published. PCNA may be involved in transcription via interaction and regulation of remodeling factors such as Williams syndrome transcription factor (BAZ1B_-

HUMAN) or p300 (EP300_HUMAN) [141, 142]. In addition, a direct interaction between PCNA and RNA polymerase III (RPC1 NUMAN), and some other transcriptional factors, like p53 (P53_HUMAN), has been detected (Table 5).

Other miscellaneous functions (Table 6)

Surprisingly, there is an additional list of PCNAinteracting proteins (Table 6) which are involved in cellular functions where the role of PCNA is difficult to explain. PCNA-dependent processes are thought to occur in the nuclei, on DNA or chromatin, and PCNA is thought to function as a loading platform for coordination of multiple activities. However, the functions of the majority of PCNA-binding proteins presented in Table 6 are cytoplasmic or membranous. This indicates additional PCNA functional aspects that are still waiting to be disclosed. One of these functions is immunological. Interestingly, although PCNA was initially discovered 30 years ago as a lupus antigen [1], the mechanism(s) connecting PCNA and the immune response remain unknown. It was hypothesized that PCNA presents itself as a specific target or 'sensor' for the immune system [143]. This could explain the interaction of PCNAwith HLA class I histocompatibility antigens and MHC class II proteins [143, 144]. It is tempting to speculate that interaction of PCNA with membrane and cytoskeletal proteins could be involved in the process of PCNA transport, a practically unknown aspect of PCNA metabolism. PCNA doesn't have any known nuclear localization signal (NLS) sequence and is a very acidic protein, which is uncharacteristic for nuclear proteins, but is normally localized almost exclusively inside nuclei. Other mechanisms, not regulated by importin alpha and beta, must be responsible for PCNA import into nuclei. The interaction of PCNA with translation factors (EF1A, E1F1B) or metabolic enzymes (GAPDH, beta-thionase, serine methylase) indicates other possible functions of these proteins. For example, besides being a major translation factor, EF1A could be a component of the ubiquitin-dependent proteolytic system [145] and could be involved in the maintenance of the balance between the mono- and polyubiquitinated forms of PCNA [146]. Since PCNA can be regulated through ubiquitination and sumoylation, it may also act to direct ubiquitination and proteolysis of additional proteins, like Cdt1 [121]. The ability of PCNA to interact with proteolytic enzymes like cullin-2 (CUL2_HUMAN), TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6_HUMAN) and E3 ubiquitinprotein ligase Mdm2 (MDM2_HUMAN) [130, 144, 147], provides some support for its role in proteolysis regulation.

Summary

PCNA has no enzyme activity, and its role in a cell depends on its ability to mediate interactions between proteins and DNA. The function of PCNA in chromatin is directly related to the coupling of DNA replication/repair processes with other cellular functions such as chromatin remodeling and epigenetic modifications. PCNA may achieve this function by providing a moving 'scaffolding' platform for DNA polymerases and other proteins such as p300, CAF-1 and HDAC1 [17, 33, 77, 84, 95, 103, 148]. An interesting property of PCNA is that it can act as a scaffold for different proteins even without interaction with DNA [83, 117]. In this case, a potential question is whether PCNA is located on special structures like the nuclear matrix or freely distributed in nuclei, or even cytoplasm. Another important question involves determine the regulation of the

binding of a specific protein to the PCNA platform at a specific time and space. Keeping in mind that the list of potential PCNA-binding partners is getting longer and longer, this should be a crucial regulatory mechanism. Post-translational modifications of PCNA (and other proteins) may be part of this regulation. Therefore, examination of the signal networks leading to the post-translational modification and association/dissociation of protein complexes with PCNA is necessary to provide a more complete understanding of PCNA-involved control mechanisms. However, studying these mechanisms is very challenging, since the association and dissociation of PCNA with other proteins appears to be very dynamic. Nevertheless, this kind of dynamic interaction between the same and different protein partners in a given time and space may be extremely important for the regulation of diverse and complex cellular functions. As proteomics approaches such as 2DE or largescale mass spectrometry have already been successful in PCNA studies, we can expect that further proteomics-based studies will increase our understanding of mechanisms of PCNA interactions and its role in the network that regulates cell behavior. Completely new methods or new combinations of known proteomics methods will be the crucial factors in this breakthrough. A wide spectrum of activity of PCNAbinding proteins indicates a possible involvement of PCNA in some unexpected functions. Possibly, these functions may be related to processes where proteins listed in the Table 6 are involved or could be absolutely different.

Acknowledgement. Robert Lafrenie and Catharine Song are gratefully acknowledged for fruitful discussions and editorial contributions. The author is especially grateful to Hoyun Lee for discussion and financial support that came through grants obtained from the National Cancer Institute of Canada (NCIC #016072), and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR #MOP-57706).

- 1 Miyachi K., Fritzler M. J. and Tan E. M. (1978) Autoantibody to a nuclear antigen in proliferating cells. J. Immunol. 121, 2228 – 2234.
- 2 Bravo R. and Celis J. E. (1980) A search for differential polypeptide synthesis throughout the cell cycle of HeLa cells. J. Cell Biol. 84, 795 – 802.
- 3 Bravo R., Fey S. J., Bellatin J., Larsen P. M. and Celis J. E. (1982) Identification of a nuclear polypeptide ("cyclin") whose relative proportion is sensitive to changes in the rate of cell proliferation and to transformation. Prog. Clin. Biol. Res. 85 Pt A. 235 – 248.
- 4 Bravo R. and Macdonald-Bravo H (1987) Existence of Two Populations of Cyclin/Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen during the Cell Cycle: Association with DNA Replication Sites. J. Cell Biol. 105, 1549 – 1554.
- 5 Mathews M. B., Bernstein R. M., Franza B. R., Jr. and Garrels J. I. (1984) Identity of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen and cyclin. Nature 309, 374 – 376.
- 6 Tan C. K., Castillo C., So A. G. and Downey K. M. (1986) An auxiliary protein for DNA polymerase-delta from fetal calf thymus. J. Biol. Chem. 261, 12310 – 12316.
- 7 Prelich G., Tan C. K., Kostura M., Mathews M. B., So A. G., Downey K. M. and Stillman B (1987) Functional identity of proliferating cell nuclear antigen and a DNA polymerasedelta auxiliary protein. Nature 326, 517 – 520.
- 8 Ayyagari R., Impellizzeri K. J., Yoder B. L., Gary S. L. and Burgers P. M. (1995) A mutational analysis of the yeast proliferating cell nuclear antigen indicates distinct roles in DNA replication and DNA repair. Mol. Cell Biol. 15, 4420 – 4429.
- 9 Bauer G. A. and Burgers P. M. (1990) Molecular cloning, structure and expression of the yeast proliferating cell nuclear antigen gene. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 261 – 265.
- 10 McAlear M. A., Howell E. A., Espenshade K. K. and Holm C (1994) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (pol30) mutations suppress cdc44 mutations and identify potential regions of interaction between the two encoded proteins. Mol. Cell Biol. 14, 4390 – 4397.
- 11 Bowman G.D., O'Donnell M. and Kuriyan J (2004) Structural analysis of a eukaryotic sliding DNA clamp-clamp loader complex. Nature 429, 724 – 730.
- 12 Fukuda K., Morioka H., Imajou S., Ikeda S., Ohtsuka E. and Tsurimoto T (1995) Structure-function relationship of the eukaryotic DNA replication factor, proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 22527 – 22534.
- 13 Gulbis J. M., Kelman Z., Hurwitz J., O'Donnell M. and Kuriyan J (1996) Structure of the C-terminal region of p21(WAF1/CIP1) complexed with human PCNA. Cell 87, $297 - 306$
- 14 Krishna T. S., Kong X. P., Gary S., Burgers P. M. and Kuriyan J (1994) Crystal structure of the eukaryotic DNA polymerase processivity factor PCNA. Cell 79, 1233 – 1243.
- 15 Sakurai S., Kitano K., Yamaguchi H., Hamada K., Okada K., Fukuda K., Uchida M., Ohtsuka E., Morioka H. and Hakoshima T (2005) Structural basis for recruitment of human flap endonuclease 1 to PCNA. EMBO J. 24, 683 – 693.
- 16 Schurtenberger P., Egelhaaf S. U., Hindges R., Maga G., Jonsson Z. O., May R. P., Glatter O. and Hubscher U (1998) The solution structure of functionally active human proliferating cell nuclear antigen determined by small-angle neutron scattering. J. Mol. Biol. 275, 123 – 132.
- 17 Naryzhny S. N., Zhao H. and Lee H (2005) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) may function as a double homotrimer complex in the mammalian cell. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 13888 – 13894.
- 18 Stucki M., Stagljar I., Jonsson Z. O. and Hubscher U (2001) A coordinated interplay: proteins with multiple functions in DNA replication, DNA repair, cell cycle/checkpoint control and transcription. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 65, 261 – 298.
- 19 Tsurimoto T (1998) PCNA, a multifunctional ring on DNA. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1443, 23-39.
- 20 Tsurimoto T (1999) PCNA binding proteins. Front Biosci. 4, D849-D858.
- 21 Maga G. and Hubscher U (2003) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA): a dancer with many partners. J. Cell Sci. 116, 3051 – 3060.
- 22 Tanno M. and Taguchi T (1999) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen in normal and regenerating rat livers. Exp. Mol. Pathol. 67, 192 – 200.
- 23 Celis J. E., Bravo R., Larsen P. M. and Fey S. J. (1984) Cyclin: a nuclear protein whose level correlates directly with the proliferative state of normal as well as transformed cells. Leuk. Res. 8, 143 – 157.
- 24 Celis J. E., Gromov P., Ostergaard M., Madsen P., Honore B., Dejgaard K., Olsen E., Vorum H., Kristensen D. B., Gromova I., Haunso A., Van D. J., Puype M., Vandekerckhove J. and Rasmussen H. H. (1996) Human 2-D PAGE databases for proteome analysis in health and disease: http://biobase.dk/ cgi-bin/celis. FEBS Lett. 398, 129 – 134.
-
- 25 Okuzawa K., Franzen B., Lindholm J., Linder S., Hirano T., Bergman T., Ebihara Y., Kato H. and Auer G (1994) Characterization of gene expression in clinical lung cancer materials by two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Electrophoresis 15, 382 – 390.
- 26 Morris G. F. and Mathews M. B. (1989) Regulation of proliferating cell nuclear antigen during the cell cycle. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 13856 – 13864.
- 27 Celis J. E., Dejgaard K., Madsen P., Leffers H., Gesser B., Honore B., Rasmussen H. H., Olsen E., Lauridsen J. B., Ratz G. and . (1990) The MRC-5 human embryonal lung fibroblast two-dimensional gel cellular protein database: quantitative identification of polypeptides whose relative abundance differs between quiescent, proliferating and SV40 transformed cells. Electrophoresis 11, 1072 – 1113.
- 28 Celis J. E. and Olsen E (1994) A qualitative and quantitative protein database approach identifies individual and groups of functionally related proteins that are differentially regulated in simian virus 40 (SV40) transformed human keratinocytes: an overview of the functional changes associated with the transformed phenotype. Electrophoresis 15, 309 – 344.
- 29 Naryzhny S. N. and Lee H (2001) Protein profiles of the Chinese hamster ovary cells in the resting and proliferating stages. Electrophoresis 22, 1764-1775.
- 30 Naryzhny S. N. and Lee H (2004) The post-translational modifications of proliferating cell nuclear antigen: acetylation, not phosphorylation, plays an important role in the regulation of its function. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 20194 – 20199.
- 31 Bravo R., Fey S. J., Bellatin J., Larsen P. M., Arevalo J. and Celis J. E. (1981) Identification of a nuclear and of a cytoplasmic polypeptide whose relative proportions are sensitive to changes in the rate of cell proliferation. Exp. Cell Res. 136, 311-319.
- 32 Bravo R. and Celis J. E. (1985) Changes in the nuclear distribution of cyclin (PCNA) during S-phase are not triggered by post-translational modifications that are expected to moderately affect its charge. FEBS Lett. 182, 435 – 440.
- 33 Naryzhny S. N., Desouza L. V., Siu K. W. and Lee H (2006) Characterization of the human proliferating cell nuclear antigen physico-chemical properties: aspects of double trimer stability. Biochem. Cell Biol. 84, 669 – 676.
- 34 Naryzhny S. N. and Lee H (2003) Observation of multiple isoforms and specific proteolysis patterns of proliferating cell nuclear antigen in the context of cell cycle compartments and sample preparations. Proteomics 3, 930-936.
- 35 Naryzhny S. N. and Lee H (2007) Characterization of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) isoforms in normal and cancer cells: there is no cancer-associated form of PCNA. FEBS Lett. 581, 4917 – 4920.
- 36 Castellanos-Serra L. and Paz-Lago D (2002) Inhibition of unwanted proteolysis during sample preparation: evaluation of its efficiency in challenge experiments. Electrophoresis 23, 1745 – 1753.
- 37 Yamamoto T., Kimura S., Mori Y., Oka M., Ishibashi T., Yanagawa Y., Nara T., Nakagawa H., Hashimoto J. and Sakaguchi K (2004) Degradation of proliferating cell nuclear antigen by 26S proteasome in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Planta 218, 640 – 646.
- 38 Hoege C., Pfander B., Moldovan G. L., Pyrowolakis G. and Jentsch S (2002) RAD6-dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. Nature 419, $135 - 141$.
- 39 Kannouche P. L., Wing J. and Lehmann A. R. (2004) Interaction of human DNA polymerase eta with monoubiquitinated PCNA: a possible mechanism for the polymerase switch in response to DNA damage. Mol. Cell 14, 491-500.
- 40 Kannouche P. L. and Lehmann A. R. (2004) Ubiquitination of PCNA and the polymerase switch in human cells. Cell Cycle 3, 1011 – 1013.
- 41 Hayduk E. J., Choe L. H. and Lee K. H. (2004) A twodimensional electrophoresis map of Chinese hamster ovary

cell proteins based on fluorescence staining. Electrophoresis 25, 2545 – 2556.

- 42 Hoelz D. J., Arnold R. J., Dobrolecki L. E., bdel-Aziz W., Loehrer A. P., Novotny M. V., Schnaper L., Hickey R. J. and Malkas L. H. (2006) The discovery of labile methyl esters on proliferating cell nuclear antigen by MS/MS. Proteomics 6, 4808 – 4816.
- 43 Prosperi E., Scovassi A. I., Stivala L. A. and Bianchi L (1994) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen bound to DNA synthesis sites: phosphorylation and association with cyclin D1 and cyclin A. Exp. Cell Res. 215, 257 – 262.
- 44 Simbulan-Rosenthal C. M., Rosenthal D. S., Boulares A. H., Hickey R. J., Malkas L. H., Coll J. M. and Smulson M. E. (1998) Regulation of the expression or recruitment of components of the DNA synthesome by poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Biochemistry 37, 9363 – 9370.
- 45 van Diest P. J., Brugal G. and Baak J. P. (1998) Proliferation markers in tumours: interpretation and clinical value. J. Clin. Pathol. 51, 716-724.
- 46 Garrels J. I. and Franza B. R., Jr. (1989) Transformationsensitive and growth-related changes of protein synthesis in REF52 cells. A two-dimensional gel analysis of SV40-, adenovirus- and Kirsten murine sarcoma virus-transformed rat cells using the REF52 protein database. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 5299 – 5312.
- 47 Garrels J. I., Franza B. R., Chang C. and Latter G (1990) Quantitative exploration of the REF52 protein database: cluster analysis reveals the major protein expression profiles in responses to growth regulation, serum stimulation and viral transformation. Electrophoresis 11, 1114 – 1130.
- 48 Almendral J. M., Huebsch D., Blundell P. A., Macdonald-Bravo H. and Bravo R (1987) Cloning and sequence of the human nuclear protein cyclin: homology with DNA-binding proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 1575 – 1579.
- 49 Jaskulski D., Gatti C., Travali S., Calabretta B. and Baserga R (1988) Regulation of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen cyclin and thymidine kinase mRNA levels by growth factors. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 10175 – 10179.
- 50 Baserga R (1991) Growth regulation of the PCNA gene. J. Cell Sci. 98 (Pt 4), 433 – 436.
- 51 Tanno M., Ogihara M. and Taguchi T (1996) Age-related changes in proliferating cell nuclear antigen levels. Mech. Ageing Dev. 92, 53-66.
- 52 Czyzewska J., Guzinska-Ustymowicz K., Lebelt A., Zalewski B. and Kemona A (2004) Evaluation of proliferating markers Ki-67, PCNA in gastric cancers. Rocz. Akad. Med. Bialymst. 49 Suppl 1, 64 – 66.
- 53 Morell-Quadreny L., Clar-Blanch F., Fenollosa-Enterna B., Perez-Bacete M., Martinez-Lorente A. and Llombart-Bosch A (1998) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) as a prognostic factor in renal cell carcinoma. Anticancer Res. 18, 677 – 682.
- 54 Bravo R. and Celis J. E. (1982) Up-dated catalogue of HeLa cell proteins: percentages and characteristics of the major cell polypeptides labeled with a mixture of 16 14C-labeled amino acids. Clin. Chem. 28, 766 – 781.
- 55 Ellison V. and Stillman B (2001) Opening of the clamp: an intimate view of an ATP-driven biological machine. Cell 106, $655 - 660.$
- 56 Majka J. and Burgers P. M. (2004) The PCNA-RFC families of DNA clamps and clamp loaders. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 78, 227 – 260.
- 57 Henderson D. S.,Wiegand U. K., Norman D. G. and Glover D. M. (2000) Mutual correction of faulty PCNA subunits in temperature-sensitive lethal mus209 mutants of Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 154, 1721-1733.
- 58 Yamashita M. M., Almassy R. J., Janson C. A., Cascio D. and Eisenberg D (1989) Refined atomic model of glutamine synthetase at 3.5 A resolution. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 17681 – 17690.
- 59 Ullrich T. C., BlaesseM. and Huber R (2001) Crystal structure of ATP sulfurylase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a key enzyme in sulfate activation. EMBO J. 20, 316 – 329.
- 60 Costa A., Pape T., van H. M., Brick P., Patwardhan A. and Onesti S (2006) Structural studies of the archaeal MCM complex in different functional states. J Struct. Biol. 156, 210 – 219.
- 61 Li D., Zhao R., Lilyestrom W., Gai D., Zhang R., DeCaprio J. A., Fanning E., Jochimiak A., Szakonyi G. and Chen X. S. (2003) Structure of the replicative helicase of the oncoprotein SV40 large tumour antigen. Nature 423, 512 – 518.
- 62 Kinebuchi T., Kagawa W., Enomoto R., Tanaka K., Miyagawa K., Shibata T., Kurumizaka H. and Yokoyama S (2004) Structural basis for octameric ring formation and DNA interaction of the human homologous-pairing protein Dmc1. Mol. Cell 14, 363 – 374.
- 63 Rye H. S., Burston S. G., Fenton W. A., Beechem J. M., Xu Z., Sigler P. B. and Horwich A. L. (1997) Distinct actions of cis and trans ATP within the double ring of the chaperonin GroEL 9. Nature 388, 792 – 798.
- 64 Azam N., Vairapandi M., Zhang W., Hoffman B. and Liebermann D. A. (2001) Interaction of CR6 (GADD45gamma) with proliferating cell nuclear antigen impedes negative growth control. J Biol. Chem. 276, 2766 – 2774.
- 65 Chuang L. S., Ian H. I., Koh T. W., Ng H. H., Xu G. and Li B. F. (1997) Human DNA-(cytosine-5) methyltransferase-PCNA complex as a target for p21WAF1. Science 277, 1996 – 2000.
- 66 Clark A. B., Valle F., Drotschmann K., Gary R. K. and Kunkel T. A. (2000) Functional interaction of proliferating cell nuclear antigen with MSH2-MSH6 and MSH2-MSH3 complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 36498 – 36501.
- 67 Fan J., Otterlei M., Wong H. K., Tomkinson A. E. and Wilson D. M., III (2004) XRCC1 co-localizes and physically interacts with PCNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 2193 – 2201.
- 68 Flores-Rozas H., Clark D. and Kolodner R. D. (2000) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen and Msh2p-Msh6p interact to form an active mispair recognition complex. Nat. Genet. 26, 375 – 378.
- 69 Fujise K., Zhang D., Liu J. and Yeh E. T. (2000) Regulation of apoptosis and cell cycle progression by MCL1. Differential role of proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 39458 – 39465.
- 70 Gary R., Ludwig D. L., Cornelius H. L., MacInnes M. A. and Park M. S. (1997) The DNA repair endonuclease XPG binds to proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and shares sequence elements with the PCNA-binding regions of FEN-1 and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 24522 – 24529.
- 71 Hasan S., Hassa P. O., Imhof R. and Hottiger M. O. (2001) Transcription coactivator p300 binds PCNA and may have a role in DNA repair synthesis. Nature 410, 387 – 391.
- 72 Koundrioukoff S., Jonsson Z. O., Hasan S., de Jong R. N., van der Vliet P. C., Hottiger M. O. and Hubscher U (2000) A direct interaction between proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and Cdk2 targets PCNA-interacting proteins for phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 22882 – 22887.
- 73 Lee S. D. and Alani E (2006) Analysis of interactions between mismatch repair initiation factors and the replication processivity factor PCNA. J. Mol. Biol. 355, 175 – 184.
- 74 Loor G., Zhang S. J., Zhang P., Toomey N. L. and Lee M. Y. (1997) Identification of DNA replication and cell cycle proteins that interact with PCNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 5041 – 5046.
- 75 Lu X., Tan C. K., Zhou J. Q., You M., Carastro L. M., Downey K. M. and So A. G. (2002) Direct interaction of proliferating cell nuclear antigen with the small subunit of DNA polymerase delta. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 24340 – 24345.
- 76 Matsumiya S., Ishino S., Ishino Y. and Morikawa K (2002) Physical interaction between proliferating cell nuclear antigen and replication factor C from Pyrococcus furiosus. Genes Cells 7, 911 – 922.
- 77 Milutinovic S., Zhuang Q. and Szyf M (2002) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen associates with histone deacetylase activity, integrating DNA replication and chromatin modification. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 20974 – 20978.
- 78 Oyama M., Wakasugi M., Hama T., Hashidume H., Iwakami Y., Imai R., Hoshino S., Morioka H., Ishigaki Y., Nikaido O. and Matsunaga T (2004) Human NTH1 physically interacts with p53 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 321, 183 – 191.
- 79 Roberts J. A., Bell S. D. and White M. F. (2003) An archaeal XPF repair endonuclease dependent on a heterotrimeric PCNA. Mol. Microbiol. 48, 361 – 371.
- 80 Scott M., Bonnefin P., Vieyra D., Boisvert F. M., Young D., Bazett-Jones D. P. and Riabowol K (2001) UV-induced binding of ING1 to PCNA regulates the induction of apoptosis. J. Cell Sci. 114, 3455 – 3462.
- 81 Smith M. L., Chen I. T., Zhan Q., Bae I., Chen C. Y., Gilmer T. M., Kastan M. B., O'Connor P. M. and Fornace A. J., Jr. (1994) Interaction of the p53-regulated protein Gadd45 with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Science 266, 1376 – 1380.
- 82 Umar A., Buermeyer A. B., Simon J. A., Thomas D. C., Clark A. B., Liskay R. M. and Kunkel T. A. (1996) Requirement for PCNA in DNA mismatch repair at a step preceding DNA resynthesis. Cell 87, 65 – 73.
- 83 Xiong Y., Zhang H. and Beach D (1992) D type cyclins associate with multiple protein kinases and the DNA replication and repair factor PCNA. Cell 71, 505 – 514.
- 84 Zhang Z., Shibahara K. and Stillman B (2000) PCNA connects DNA replication to epigenetic inheritance in yeast. Nature 408, 221-225.
- 85 Zheleva D. I., Zhelev N. Z., Fischer P. M., Duff S. V., Warbrick E., Blake D. G. and Lane D. P. (2000) A quantitative study of the in vitro binding of the C-terminal domain of p21 to PCNA: affinity, stoichiometry and thermodynamics. Biochemistry 39, 7388 – 7397.
- 86 Dalrymple B. P., Kongsuwan K., Wijffels G., Dixon N. E. and Jennings P. A. (2001) A universal protein-protein interaction motif in the eubacterial DNA replication and repair systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 11627 – 11632.
- 87 Xu H., Zhang P., Liu L. and Lee M. Y. (2001) A novel PCNAbinding motif identified by the panning of a random peptide display library. Biochemistry 40, 4512 – 4520.
- 88 Hasan S. and Hottiger M. O. (2002) Histone acetyl transferases: a role in DNA repair and DNA replication. J. Mol. Med. 80, 463 – 474.
- 89 Prosperi E (2006) The fellowship of the rings: distinct pools of proliferating cell nuclear antigen trimer at work. FASEB J. 20, 833 – 837.
- 90 Matsuoka S., Yamaguchi M. and Matsukage A (1994) D-type cyclin-binding regions of proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 11030 – 11036.
- 91 Gartel A. L., Serfas M. S. and Tyner A. L. (1996) p21–negative regulator of the cell cycle. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 213, 138 – 149.
- 92 Podust V. N., Podust L. M., Goubin F., Ducommun B. and Hubscher U (1995) Mechanism of inhibition of proliferating cell nuclear antigen-dependent DNA synthesis by the cyclindependent kinase inhibitor p21. Biochemistry 34, 8869 – 8875.
- 93 Paunesku T., Mittal S., Protic M., Oryhon J., Korolev S. V., Joachimiak A. and Woloschak G. E. (2001) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA): ringmaster of the genome. Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 77, 1007 – 1021.
- 94 Johnson A. and O'Donnell M (2005) Cellular DNA replicases: components and dynamics at the replication fork. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 74, 283 – 315.
- 95 Moldovan G. L., Pfander B. and Jentsch S (2007) PCNA, the maestro of the replication fork. Cell 129, 665–679.
- 96 Kuriyan J. and O'Donnell M (1993) Sliding clamps of DNA polymerases. J. Mol. Biol. 234, 915 – 925.
- 97 Kunkel T. A. and Bebenek K (2000) DNA replication fidelity. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 69, 497 – 529.
- 98 Jonsson Z. O., Hindges R. and Hubscher U (1998) Regulation of DNA replication and repair proteins through interaction with the front side of proliferating cell nuclear antigen.
- Montecucco A., Rossi R., Levin D. S., Gary R., Park M. S., Motycka T. A., Ciarrocchi G., Villa A., Biamonti G. and Tomkinson A. E. (1998) DNA ligase I is recruited to sites of DNA replication by an interaction with proliferating cell nuclear antigen: identification of a common targeting mechanism for the assembly of replication factories. EMBO J. 17, 3786 – 3795.

EMBO J. 17, 2412 – 2425.

- 100 Tom S., Henricksen L. A., Park M. S. and Bambara R. A. (2001) DNA ligase I and proliferating cell nuclear antigen form a functional complex 364. J Biol. Chem. 276, 24817 – 24825.
- 101 Loor G., Zhang S. J., Zhang P., Toomey N. L. and Lee M. Y. (1997) Identification of DNA replication and cell cycle proteins that interact with PCNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 5041 – 5046.
- 102 Niimi A., Suka N., Harata M., Kikuchi A. and Mizuno S (2001) Co-localization of chicken DNA topoisomerase IIalpha, but not beta, with sites of DNA replication and possible involvement of a C-terminal region of alpha through its binding to PCNA. Chromosoma 110, 102-114.
- 103 Lee H. and Naryzhny S. N. (6 A.D.) Coordination of multiple cellular functions by PCNA: Implication of the PCNA double trimer model. In Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA) (Lee H., ed), pp. 163-180. Research Signpost, Kerala, India.
- 104 Kennedy B. K., Barbie D. A., Classon M., Dyson N. and Harlow E (2000) Nuclear organization of DNA replication in primary mammalian cells 43. Genes Dev. 14, 2855 – 2868.
- 105 Anachkova B., Djeliova V. and Russev G (2005) Nuclear matrix support of DNA replication. J. Cell Biochem. 96, 951 – 961.
- 106 Gerner C., Holzmann K., Grimm R. and Sauermann G (1998) Similarity between nuclear matrix proteins of various cells revealed by an improved isolation method. J. Cell Biochem. 71, 363 – 374.
- 107 Shumaker D. K., Solimando L., Sengupta K., Shimi T., Adam S. A., Grunwald A., Strelkov S. V., Aebi U., Cardoso M. C. and Goldman R. D. (2008) The highly conserved nuclear lamin Ig-fold binds to PCNA: its role in DNA replication. J. Cell Biol. 181, 269 – 280.
- 108 Friedberg E. C., Lehmann A. R. and Fuchs R. P. (2005) Trading places: how do DNA polymerases switch during translesion DNA synthesis? Mol. Cell 18, 499 – 505.
- 109 Ko R. and Bennett S. E. (2005) Physical and functional interaction of human nuclear uracil-DNA glycosylase with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. DNA Repair (Amst), 4, 1421 – 1431.
- 110 OtterleiM.,Warbrick E., Nagelhus T. A., Haug T., Slupphaug G., Akbari M., Aas P. A., Steinsbekk K., Bakke O. and Krokan H. E. (1999) Post-replicative base excision repair in replication foci. EMBO J. 18, 3834 – 3844.
- 111 Xia L., Zheng L., Lee H. W., Bates S. E., Federico L., Shen B. and O'Connor T. R. (2005) Human 3-methyladenine-DNA glycosylase: effect of sequence context on excision, association with PCNA and stimulation by AP endonuclease. J. Mol. Biol. 346, 1259 – 1274.
- 112 Bienko M., Green C. M., Crosetto N., Rudolf F., Zapart G., Coull B., Kannouche P., Wider G., Peter M., Lehmann A. R., Hofmann K. and Dikic I (2005) Ubiquitin-binding domains in Y-family polymerases regulate translesion synthesis. Science 310, 1821 – 1824.
- 113 Kannouche P. L., Wing J. and Lehmann A. R. (2004) Interaction of human DNA polymerase eta with monoubiquitinated PCNA: a possible mechanism for the polymerase switch in response to DNA damage. Mol. Cell 14, 491 – 500.
- 114 Guo C., Tang T. S., Bienko M., Parker J. L., Bielen A. B., Sonoda E., Takeda S., Ulrich H. D., Dikic I. and Friedberg E. C. (2006) Ubiquitin-binding motifs in REV1 protein are

required for its role in the tolerance of DNA damage. Mol. Cell Biol. 26, 8892 – 8900.

- 115 Hu J. and Xiong Y (2006) An evolutionarily conserved function of proliferating cell nuclear antigen for Cdt1 degradation by the Cul4-Ddb1 ubiquitin ligase in response to DNA damage. J Biol. Chem. 281, 3753 – 3756.
- 116 Arakawa H., Moldovan G. L., Saribasak H., Saribasak N. N., Jentsch S. and Buerstedde J. M. (2006) A role for PCNA ubiquitination in immunoglobulin hypermutation. PLoS. Biol. 4, e366.
- 117 Xiong Y., Zhang H. and Beach D (1993) Subunit rearrangement of the cyclin-dependent kinases is associated with cellular transformation. Genes Dev. 7, 1572 – 1583.
- 118 Zhang H., Xiong Y. and Beach D (1993) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen and p21 are components of multiple cell cycle kinase complexes. Mol. Biol. Cell 4, 897 – 906.
- 119 Henneke G., Friedrich-Heineken E. and Hubscher U (2003) Flap endonuclease 1: a novel tumour suppresser protein. Trends Biochem. Sci. 28, 384 – 390.
- 120 Dotto G. P. (2000) p21(WAF1/Cip1): more than a break to the cell cycle? Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1471, M43-M56.
- 121 Arias E. E. and Walter J. C. (2006) PCNA functions as a molecular platform to trigger Cdt1 destruction and prevent re-replication. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 84 – 90.
- 122 Gary R., Ludwig D. L., Cornelius H. L., MacInnes M. A. and Park M. S. (1997) The DNA repair endonuclease XPG binds to proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and shares sequence elements with the PCNA-binding regions of FEN-1 and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 24522 – 24529.
- 123 Warbrick E., Lane D. P., Glover D. M. and Cox L. S. (1997) Homologous regions of Fen1 and p21Cip1 compete for binding to the same site on PCNA: a potential mechanism to co-ordinate DNA replication and repair. Oncogene 14, 2313 – 2321.
- 124 Ducoux M., Urbach S., Baldacci G., Hubscher U., Koundrioukoff S., Christensen J. and Hughes P (2001) Mediation of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)-dependent DNA replication through a conserved p21(Cip1)-like PCNA-binding motif present in the third subunit of human DNA polymerase delta. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 49258 – 49266.
- 125 Adimoolam S., Lin C. X. and Ford J. M. (2001) The p53 regulated cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, p21 (cip1, waf1, sdi1), is not required for global genomic and transcriptioncoupled nucleotide excision repair of UV-induced DNA photoproducts. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 25813 – 25822.
- 126 Smith M. L., Ford J. M., Hollander M. C., Bortnick R. A., Amundson S. A., Seo Y. R., Deng C. X., Hanawalt P. C. and Fornace A. J., Jr. (2000) p53-mediated DNA repair responses to UV radiation: studies of mouse cells lacking p53, p21 and/ or gadd45 genes 650. Mol. Cell Biol. 20, 3705 – 3714.
- 127 Scott M. T., Morrice N. and Ball K. L. (2000) Reversible phosphorylation at the C-terminal regulatory domain of p21(Waf1/Cip1) modulates proliferating cell nuclear antigen binding. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 11529 – 11537.
- 128 Baldin V., Lukas J., Marcote M. J., Pagano M. and Draetta G (1993) Cyclin D1 is a nuclear protein required for cell cycle progression in G1 1. Genes Dev 7, 812 – 821.
- 129 Saifudeen Z., Marks J., Du H. and El-Dahr S. S. (2002) Spatial repression of PCNA by p53 during kidney development. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol 283, F727-F733.
- 130 Banks D., Wu M., Higa L. A., Gavrilova N., Quan J., Ye T., Kobayashi R., Sun H. and Zhang H (2006) L2DTL/CDT2 and PCNA interact with p53 and regulate p53 polyubiquitination and protein stability through MDM2 and CUL4A/DDB1 complexes. Cell Cycle 5, 1719 – 1729.
- 131 Polo S. E. and Almouzni G (2006) Chromatin assembly: a basic recipe with various flavours. Curr Opin Genet Dev 16, $104 - 111.$
- 132 Gaillard P. H., Martini E. M., Kaufman P. D., Stillman B., Moustacchi E. and Almouzni G (1996) Chromatin assembly

coupled to DNA repair: a new role for chromatin assembly factor I. Cell 86, 887 – 896.

- 133 Game J. C. and Kaufman P. D. (1999) Role of Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromatin assembly factor-I in repair of ultraviolet radiation damage in vivo. Genetics 151, 485 – 497.
- 134 Strahl B. D. and Allis C. D. (2000) The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature 403, 41-45.
- 135 Zhang Y. and Reinberg D (2001) Transcription regulation by histone methylation: interplay between different covalent modifications of the core histone tails. Genes Dev. 15, 2343 – 2360.
- 136 Iida T., Suetake I., Tajima S., Morioka H., Ohta S., Obuse C. and Tsurimoto T (2002) PCNA clamp facilitates action of DNA cytosine methyltransferase 1 on hemimethylated DNA. Genes Cells 7, 997 – 1007.
- 137 Uhlmann F. and Nasmyth K (1998) Cohesion between sister chromatids must be established during DNA replication. Curr. Biol. 8, 1095 – 1101.
- 138 Moldovan G. L., Pfander B. and Jentsch S (2006) PCNA controls establishment of sister chromatid cohesion during S phase. Mol. Cell 23, 723 – 732.
- 139 O'Reilly D. R., Crawford A. M. and Miller L. K. (1989) Viral proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Nature 337, 606.
- 140 Herendeen D. R., Kassavetis G. A. and Geiduschek E. P. (1992) A transcriptional enhancer whose function imposes a requirement that proteins track along DNA. Science 256, 1298 – 1303.
- 141 Poot R. A., Bozhenok L., van den Berg D. L., Steffensen S., Ferreira F., Grimaldi M., Gilbert N., Ferreira J. and Varga-Weisz P. D. (2004) The Williams syndrome transcription factor interacts with PCNA to target chromatin remodelling by ISWI to replication foci. Nat. Cell Biol. 6, 1236 – 1244.
- 142 Hong R. and Chakravarti D (2003) The human proliferating Cell nuclear antigen regulates transcriptional coactivator p300 activity and promotes transcriptional repression. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 44505 – 44513.
- 143 Ling X., Kamangar S., Boytim M. L., Kelman Z., Huie P., Lyu S. C., Sibley R. K., Hurwitz J., Clayberger C. and Krensky A. M. (2000) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen as the cell cycle sensor for an HLA-derived peptide blocking T cell proliferation. J. Immunol. 164, 6188 – 6192.
- 144 Ewing R. M., Chu P., Elisma F., Li H., Taylor P., Climie S., Broom-Cerajewski L., Robinson M. D., O'Connor L., Li M., Taylor R., Dharsee M. et al. (2007) Large-scale mapping of human protein-protein interactions by mass spectrometry. Mol. Syst. Biol. 3, 89.
- 145 Gonen H., Smith C. E., Siegel N. R., Kahana C., Merrick W. C., Chakraburtty K., Schwartz A. L. and Ciechanover A (1994) Protein synthesis elongation factor EF-1 alpha is essential for ubiquitin-dependent degradation of certain N alpha-acetylated proteins and may be substituted for by the bacterial elongation factor EF-Tu. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 7648 – 7652.
- 146 Toueille M., Saint-Jean B., Castroviejo M. and Benedetto J. P. (2007) The elongation factor 1A: a novel regulator in the DNA replication/repair protein network in wheat cells? Plant Physiol. Biochem. 45, 113 – 118.
- 147 Stanyon C. A., Liu G., Mangiola B. A., Patel N., Giot L., Kuang B., Zhang H., Zhong J. and Finley R. L., Jr. (2004) A Drosophila protein-interaction map centered on cell-cycle regulators. Genome Biol. 5, R96.
- 148 Jonsson Z. O. and Hubscher U (1997) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen: more than a clamp for DNA polymerases. Bioessays 19, 967 – 975.
- 149 Levin D. S., Bai W., Yao N., O'Donnell M. and Tomkinson A. E. (1997) An interaction between DNA ligase I and proliferating cell nuclear antigen: implications for Okazaki fragment synthesis and joining. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 12863 – 12868.
- 150 Li H., Xie B., Zhou Y., Rahmeh A., Trusa S., Zhang S., Gao Y., Lee E. Y. and Lee M. Y. (2006) Functional roles of p12, the

fourth subunit of human DNA polymerase delta. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 14748 – 14755.

- 151 Ohta S., Shiomi Y., Sugimoto K., Obuse C. and Tsurimoto T (2002) A proteomics approach to identify proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)-binding proteins in human cell lysates. Identification of the human CHL12/RFCs2-5 complex as a novel PCNA-binding protein. J Biol. Chem. 277, 40362 – 40367.
- 152 Ohta S., Shiomi Y., Sugimoto K., Obuse C. and Tsurimoto T (2002) A proteomics approach to identify proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)-binding proteins in human cell lysates. Identification of the human CHL12/RFCs2-5 complex as a novel PCNA-binding protein. J Biol. Chem. 277, 40362 – 40367.
- 153 He H., Tan C. K., Downey K. M. and So A. G. (2001) A tumor necrosis factor alpha- and interleukin 6-inducible protein that interacts with the small subunit of DNA polymerase delta and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 11979 – 11984.
- 154 Liu L., Rodriguez-Belmonte E. M., Mazloum N., Xie B. and Lee M. Y. (2003) Identification of a novel protein, PDIP38, that interacts with the p50 subunit of DNA polymerase delta and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 10041 – 10047.
- 155 Das-Bradoo S., Ricke R. M. and Bielinsky A. K. (2006) Interaction between PCNA and diubiquitinated Mcm10 is essential for cell growth in budding yeast. Mol. Cell Biol. 26, 4806 – 4817.
- 156 Tsuchimoto D., Sakai Y., Sakumi K., Nishioka K., Sasaki M., Fujiwara T. and Nakabeppu Y (2001) Human APE2 protein is mostly localized in the nuclei and to some extent in the mitochondria, while nuclear APE2 is partly associated with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 2349 – 2360.
- 157 Dianova I. I., Bohr V. A. and Dianov G. L. (2001) Interaction of human AP endonuclease 1 with flap endonuclease 1 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen involved in long-patch base excision repair. Biochemistry 40, 12639 – 12644.
- 158 Kedar P. S., Kim S. J., Robertson A., Hou E., Prasad R., Horton J. K. and Wilson S. H. (2002) Direct interaction between mammalian DNA polymerase beta and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 31115 – 31123.
- 159 Muller-Weeks S. J. and Caradonna S (1996) Specific association of cyclin-like uracil-DNA glycosylase with the proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Exp. Cell Res. 226, 346 – 355.
- 160 Dzantiev L., Constantin N., Genschel J., Iyer R. R., Burgers P. M. and Modrich P (2004) A defined human system that supports bidirectional mismatch-provoked excision. Mol. Cell 15, 31 – 41.
- 161 Hall P. A., Kearsey J. M., Coates P. J., Norman D. G., Warbrick E. and Cox L. S. (1995) Characterisation of the interaction between PCNA and Gadd45. Oncogene 10, 2427 – 2433.
- 162 Balajee A. S. and Geard C. R. (2001) Chromatin-bound PCNA complex formation triggered by DNA damage occurs independent of the ATM gene product in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 1341 – 1351.
- 163 Simpson F., Lammerts van B. K., Butterfield N., Bennetts J. S., Bowles J., Adolphe C., Simms L. A., Young J., Walsh M. D., Leggett B., Fowles L. F. and Wicking C (2006) The PCNAassociated factor KIAA0101/p15(PAF) binds the potential tumor suppressor product p33ING1b. Exp. Cell Res. 312, 73 – 85.
- 164 Yu P., Huang B., Shen M., Lau C., Chan E., Michel J., Xiong Y., Payan D. G. and Luo Y (2001) p15(PAF), a novel PCNA associated factor with increased expression in tumor tissues. Oncogene 20, 484 – 489.
- 165 Kleczkowska H. E., Marra G., Lettieri T. and Jiricny J (2001) hMSH3 and hMSH6 interact with PCNA and colocalize with it to replication foci. Genes Dev. 15, 724 – 736.
- 166 Lebel M., Spillare E. A., Harris C. C. and Leder P (1999) The Werner syndrome gene product co-purifies with the DNA

replication complex and interacts with PCNA and topoisomerase I. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 37795 – 37799.

- 167 Ibe S., Fujita K., Toyomoto T., Shimazaki N., Kaneko R., Tanabe A., Takebe I., Kuroda S., Kobayashi T., Toji S., Tamai K., Yamamoto H. and Koiwai O (2001) Terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase is negatively regulated by direct interaction with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Genes Cells 6, 815 – 824.
- 168 Parker A., Gu Y., Mahoney W., Lee S. H., Singh K. K. and Lu A. L. (2001) Human homolog of the MutY repair protein (hMYH) physically interacts with proteins involved in long patch DNA base excision repair. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 5547 – 5555.
- 169 Papouli E., Chen S., Davies A. A., Huttner D., Krejci L., Sung P. and Ulrich H. D. (2005) Crosstalk between SUMO and ubiquitin on PCNA is mediated by recruitment of the helicase Srs2p. Mol. Cell 19, 123 – 133.
- 170 Frouin I., Maga G., Denegri M., Riva F., Savio M., Spadari S., Prosperi E. and Scovassi A. I. (2003) Human proliferating cell nuclear antigen, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 and p21waf1/cip1. A dynamic exchange of partners. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 39265 – 39268.
- 171 Haracska L., Johnson R. E., Unk I., Phillips B. B., Hurwitz J., Prakash L. and Prakash S (2001) Targeting of human DNA polymerase iota to the replication machinery via interaction with PCNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 14256 – 14261.
- 172 Haracska L., Unk I., Johnson R. E., Phillips B. B., Hurwitz J., Prakash L. and Prakash S (2002) Stimulation of DNA synthesis activity of human DNA polymerase kappa by PCNA. Mol. Cell Biol. 22, 784 – 791.
- 173 Maga G., Villani G., Ramadan K., Shevelev I., Tanguy Le G. N., Blanco L., Blanca G., Spadari S. and Hubscher U (2002) Human DNA polymerase lambda functionally and physically interacts with proliferating cell nuclear antigen in normal and translesion DNA synthesis. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 48434 – 48440.
- 174 Haracska L., Johnson R. E., Unk I., Phillips B., Hurwitz J., Prakash L. and Prakash S (2001) Physical and functional interactions of human DNA polymerase eta with PCNA. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 7199 – 7206.
- 175 Dahm K. and Hubscher U (2002) Colocalization of human Rad17 and PCNA in late S phase of the cell cycle upon replication block. Oncogene 21, 7710 – 7719.
- 176 Kawabe T., Suganuma M., Ando T., Kimura M., Hori H. and Okamoto T (2002) Cdc25C interacts with PCNA at G2/M transition. Oncogene 21, 1717 – 1726.
- 177 Saha P., Chen J., Thome K. C., Lawlis S. J., Hou Z. H., HendricksM., Parvin J. D. and Dutta A (1998) Human CDC6/ Cdc18 associates with Orc1 and cyclin-cdk and is selectively eliminated from the nucleus at the onset of S phase. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 2758 – 2767.
- 178 Watanabe H., Pan Z. Q., Schreiber-Agus N., DePinho R. A., Hurwitz J. and Xiong Y (1998) Suppression of cell transformation by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p57KIP2 requires binding to proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 1392-1397.
- 179 Gonzales A. J., Goldsworthy T. L. and Fox T. R. (1998) Chemical transformation of mouse liver cells results in altered cyclin D-CDK protein complexes. Carcinogenesis 19, 1093 – 1102.
- 180 Ando T., Kawabe T., Ohara H., Ducommun B., Itoh M. and Okamoto T (2001) Involvement of the interaction between p21 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen for the maintenance of G2/M arrest after DNA damage. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 42971 – 42977.
- 181 Frouin I., Montecucco A., Biamonti G., Hubscher U., Spadari S. and Maga G (2002) Cell cycle-dependent dynamic association of cyclin/Cdk complexes with human DNA replication proteins. EMBO J. 21, 2485 – 2495.
- 182 Waga S., Hannon G. J., Beach D. and Stillman B (1994) The p21 inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases controls DNA replication by interaction with PCNA. Nature 369, 574 – 578.
- 183 Scorah J., Dong M. Q., Yates J. R., I. I.I, Scott M., Gillespie D. and McGowan C. H. (2008) A conserved PCNA-interacting sequence in Chk1 is required for checkpoint function. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 17250-17259.
- 184 Szepesi A., Gelfand E.W. and Lucas J. J. (1994) Association of proliferating cell nuclear antigen with cyclin-dependent kinases and cyclins in normal and transformed human T lymphocytes. Blood 84, 3413 – 3421.
- 185 Boulton S. J., Gartner A., Reboul J., Vaglio P., Dyson N., Hill D. E. and Vidal M (2002) Combined functional genomic maps of the C. elegans DNA damage response. Science 295, 127 – 131.
- 186 Flores-Delgado G., Liu C. W., Sposto R. and Berndt N (2007) A limited screen for protein interactions reveals new roles for protein phosphatase 1 in cell cycle control and apoptosis. J. Proteome Res. 6, 1165 – 1175.
- 187 Skibbens R. V., Corson L. B., Koshland D. and Hieter P (1999) Ctf7p is essential for sister chromatid cohesion and links mitotic chromosome structure to the DNA replication machinery 355. Genes Dev. 13, 307 – 319.
- 188 Moggs J. G., Grandi P., Quivy J. P., Jonsson Z. O., Hubscher U., Becker P. B. and Almouzni G (2000) A CAF-1-PCNAmediated chromatin assembly pathway triggered by sensing DNA damage. Mol. Cell Biol. 20, 1206 – 1218.
- 189 Krawitz D. C., Kama T. and Kaufman P. D. (2002) Chromatin assembly factor I mutants defective for PCNA binding require Asf1/Hir proteins for silencing. Mol. Cell Biol. 22, 614 – 625.
- 190 Shiomi Y., Shinozaki A., Sugimoto K., Usukura J., Obuse C. and Tsurimoto T (2004) The reconstituted human Chl12-RFC complex functions as a second PCNA loader. Genes Cells 9, 279 – 290.
- 191 Bylund G. O. and Burgers P. M. (2005) Replication protein Adirected unloading of PCNA by the Ctf18 cohesion establishment complex. Mol. Cell Biol. 25, 5445 – 5455.
- 192 Freire J., Covelo G., Sarandeses C., az-Jullien C. and Freire M (2001) Identification of nuclear-import and cell-cycle regulatory proteins that bind to prothymosin alpha. Biochem. Cell Biol. 79, 123 – 131.
- 193 Walhout A. J., Reboul J., Shtanko O., Bertin N., Vaglio P., Ge H., Lee H., Doucette-Stamm L., Gunsalus K. C., Schetter A. J., Morton D. G., Kemphues K. J., Reinke V., Kim S. K., Piano F. and Vidal M (2002) Integrating interactome, phenome and transcriptome mapping data for the C. elegans germline. Curr. Biol. 12, 1952 – 1958.
- 194 Schmidt K. H., Derry K. L. and Kolodner R. D. (2002) Saccharomyces cerevisiae RRM3, a 5' to 3' DNA helicase, physically interacts with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 45331 – 45337.
- 195 Ho Y., Gruhler A., Heilbut A., Bader G. D., Moore L., Adams S. L., Millar A., Taylor P., Bennett K., Boutilier K., Yang L., Wolting C., Donaldson I., Schandorff S., Shewnarane J., Vo M., Taggart J., Goudreault M., Muskat B., Alfarano C., Dewar D., Lin Z., Michalickova K., Willems A. R., Sassi

H., Nielsen P. A. et al. (2002) Systematic identification of protein complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by mass spectrometry. Nature 415, 180-183.

- 196 Bouwmeester T., Bauch A., Ruffner H., Angrand P. O., Bergamini G., Croughton K., Cruciat C., Eberhard D., Gagneur J., Ghidelli S., Hopf C., Huhse B. et al. (2004) A physical and functional map of the human TNF-alpha/NFkappa B signal transduction pathway. Nat. Cell Biol. 6, 97 – 105.
- 197 Ise T., Nagatani G., Imamura T., Kato K., Takano H., Nomoto M., Izumi H., Ohmori H., Okamoto T., Ohga T., Uchiumi T., Kuwano M. and Kohno K. (1999) Transcription factor Y-box binding protein 1 binds preferentially to cisplatin-modified DNA and interacts with proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Cancer Res 59, 342 – 346.
- 198 Rual J. F., Venkatesan K., Hao T., Hirozane-Kishikawa T., Dricot A., Li N., Berriz G. F., Gibbons F. D., Dreze M., yivi-Guedehoussou N., Klitgord N., Simon C., Boxem M., Milstein S., Rosenberg J., Goldberg D. S., Zhang L. V., Wong S. L., Franklin G., Li S., Albala J. S., Lim J., Fraughton C., Llamosas E., Cevik S. et al. (2005) Towards a proteomescale map of the human protein-protein interaction network. Nature 437, 1173-1178.
- 199 Giot L., Bader J. S., Brouwer C., Chaudhuri A., Kuang B., Li Y., Hao Y. L., Ooi C. E., Godwin B., Vitols E., Vijayadamodar G., Pochart P., Machineni H., Welsh M., Kong Y., Zerhusen B., Malcolm R., Varrone Z., Collis A., Minto M., Burgess S., McDaniel L., Stimpson E., Spriggs F., Williams J., Neurath K., Ioime N., Agee M. et al. (2003) A protein interaction map of Drosophila melanogaster. Science 302, 1727 – 1736.
- 200 Dall'Era M. A., Oudes A., Martin D. B. and Liu A. Y. (2007) HSP27 and HSP70 interact with CD10 in C4 -2 prostate cancer cells. Prostate 67, 714 – 721.
- 201 Krogan N. J., Cagney G., Yu H., Zhong G., Guo X., Ignatchenko A., Li J., Pu S., Datta N., Tikuisis A. P., Punna T., Peregrin-Alvarez J. M., Shales M., Zhang X., Davey M., Robinson M. D., Paccanaro A., Bray J. E., Sheung A., Beattie B., Richards D. P. et al. (2006) Global landscape of protein complexes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 440, $637 - 643.$
- 202 Takasaki Y., Kaneda K., Matsushita M., Yamada H., Nawata M., Matsudaira R., Asano M., Mineki R., Shindo N. and Hashimoto H (2004) Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase is a novel autoantigen leading autoimmune responses to proliferating cell nuclear antigen multiprotein complexes in lupus patients. Int. Immunol. 16, 1295 – 1304.
- 203 Warbrick E., Heatherington W., Lane D. P. and Glover D. M. (1998) PCNA binding proteins in Drosophila melanogaster: the analysis of a conserved PCNA binding domain. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 3925 – 3932.

To access this journal online: <http://www.birkhauser.ch/CMLS>