

Postoperative radiotherapy in pIIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy

A meta-analysis

Shou-Feng Wang, MD^a, Nai-Quan Mao, MD^a, Wen-Hua Zhao, MD^b, Xin-Bin Pan, MD^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to evaluate the effect of postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) in patients with pIIIA-N2 non–small cell lung cancer after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy.

Methods: Electronic databases (PubMed, Web of Science databases, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials) were systematically searched to extract randomized control trials comparing PORT with observation in pIIIA-N2 non–small cell lung cancer patients until October 2021. Main outcomes were disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival (OS), and local recurrence.

Results: Three-phase 3 randomized control trials involving 902 patients were included: 455 patients in the PORT group and 447 patients in the observation group. The methodological quality of the 3 randomized control trials were high quality. The pooled analysis revealed that PORT decreased local recurrence rate (odds ratio = 0.56, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.40–0.76). However, PORT did not improve median DFS (hazard ratio = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.71–1.00) and OS (hazard ratio = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.68–1.52).

Conclusions: PORT decreased the incidence of local recurrence. However, PORT did not improve DFS and OS.

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event, CI = confidence interval, DFS = disease-free survival, HR = hazard ratio, NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer, OR = odds ratio, OS = overall survival, PORT = postoperative radiotherapy.

Keywords: chemotherapy, non-small cell lung cancer, NSCLC, pllI-N2, PORT, postoperative radiotherapy, surgery

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death, which accounts for 18.0% of the total cancer deaths worldwide.^[1] The 5-year overall survival (OS) is as high as 53% after surgical resection in stage I-II non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).^[2] However, the 5-year OS of resected stage III NSCLC is <36%. The pIIIA-N2 is a risk factor of local recurrences and distant metastasis after complete resection.^[3]

Adjuvant chemotherapy improves disease-free survival (DFS) and OS among patients with completely resected III NSCLC.^[4-6] Therefore, adjuvant chemotherapy is the standard treatment for patients with completely resected stage pIIIA-N2 NSCLC. On the other hand, patients with resected pIIIA-N2 NSCLC was believed to benefit from postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) that decreased the risk of local recurrences.^[7-11] Adjuvant chemotherapy followed by PORT was suggested to translate locoregional benefits from PORT and reduce distant

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

*Correspondence: Xin-Bin Pan, Department of Radiation Oncology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, No. 71 Hedi Road, Qingxiu District, Nanning, Guangxi 530021, People's Republic of China (e-mail: panxinbin@gxmu.edu.cn). metastasis into survival improvement. However, 2-phase 3 randomized clinical trials published recently suggested that PORT did not improve DFS and OS in patients with pIIIA-N2 NSCLC after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy.^[12,13] In order to identify the effect of PORT after adjuvant chemotherapy for resected stage III-N2 NSCLC patients, we performed this meta-analysis.

2. Methods

2.1. Data sources and searches

This study systematically searched the PubMed, Web of Science databases, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials to search studies published until October 2021. The search process was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000029550

SF-W and N-QM contributed equally to this work.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Department of Thoracic surgery, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China, ^b Department of Respiratory Oncology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China, ^c Department of Radiation Oncology, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, Guangxi, People's Republic of China.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang S-F, Mao N-Q, Zhao W-H, Pan X-B. Postoperative radiotherapy in pIIIA-N2 non–small cell lung cancer after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy: A meta-analysis. Medicine 2022;101:28(e29550).

Received: 14 December 2021 / Received in final form: 29 March 2022 / Accepted: 21 April 2022



Figure 1. Flowchart depicting study selection.

reporting guidelines.^[14,15] The main search terms and their combinations included non-small cell lung cancer, NSCLC, postoperative radiotherapy, PORT, stage IIIA-N2, and randomized controlled trial. Relevant abstracts and presentations presented in major conference were also searched. Two researchers (S.-F.W. and .N.-Q.M) independently carried out the literature retrieval. If multiple articles covered the same study population, the study with the most recent and complete survival data was utilized. Any controversies were resolved by a third reviewer (X.-B.P.).

2.2. Study selection

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: randomized clinical phase 3 trials; patients with pIIIA-N2 NSCLC after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy; reporting data on disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival (OS), localregional recurrence, distant metastases, or treatment-related adverse events (AEs) of grade 3 or higher for PORT group and observation group. Studies failing to meet these criteria were excluded.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Data extraction was performed by 2 authors (W.-H.Z. and X.-B.P.). Two authors (S.-F.W. and N.-Q.M.) separately assessed the methodological quality of included studies. The methodological quality of randomized clinical trial was assessed by a Cochrane risk of bias tool,^[16] which was consistent with the following 7 domains: random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome data; selective





reporting; other bias. All disagreements were resolved in discussion, and consensus was reached.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The hazard ratio (HR) for survival outcomes (DFS and OS), the odds ratio (OR) for binary outcomes (local recurrence, distant metastasis, and treatment-related AEs), and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to measure outcomes and safety. An HR of <1 for DFS and OS was deemed preferable. An OR of <1 for local recurrence and distant metastasis was deemed preferable. An OR of >1 for treatment-related AEs grade 3 or higher indicated a greater likelihood of toxic effects.

 I^2 statistic was used to test statistical heterogeneity between studies. If there was no statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$, $P \ge$.1) among studies, fixed-effects model was used for OR and HR analysis. If there was statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 \ge 50\%$, P < .1) among studies, random-effects model would be used. Forest plots were generated to show the estimated ORs and HRs, representing the theoretical gain in absolute percentage on the basis of endpoints. Upper limit and lower limit of 95% CIs were calculated.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics Version 26.0 software (IBM Co., Armonk, NY) and R software version 4.0.3 (http://www.R-project.org). *P* values were 2-tailed. *P* values of <.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to all data deriving from public databases.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of included trials

Figure 1 shows the process of studies selection. This study screened 212 studies according to the primary search strategy. Figure 2 shows the methodological quality of included studies. Only 3 studies were included in our meta-analysis.^[12,13,17] The methodological quality of the 3 randomized control trials was high quality.

A total of 902 patients were included in this meta-analysis: 455 patients in the PORT group and 447 patients in the observation group. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of all eligible studies. Postoperative radiotherapy-China (PORT-C) and Lung adjuvant radiotherapy (ART) trials used intensity-modulated radiotherapy or 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. PORT was administered 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks in CALGB 9734 and PORT-C trials. In the Lung ART study, 54 Gy in 27 to 30 fractions was administered to patients in the PORT group. Patients received 4 cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy after surgery in CALGB 9734 and PORT-C trials. In the Lung ART trial, 12% patients in the observation group and 14% patients in the PORT group received neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

3.2. Effect of PORT on DFS

Median DFS data were available from all the 3 trials. There was no significant heterogeneity among the 3 trials (P = .96, $I^2 = 0.00\%$). The fixed-effects model was used for meta-analysis. As shown in Figure 3, median DFS was not different between the PORT group and the observation group (HR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.71–1.00).

3.3. Effect of PORT on OS

No significant heterogeneity among CALGB 9734 and PORT-C trials was observed (P = .90, $I^2 = 0.00\%$). The fixed-effects model was used for meta-analysis. Figure 4 shows that comparable median OS was found in the PORT group and the observation group (HR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.68–1.52).

				Median DFS	Median OS	3-yr	3-yr	Local	Distant	Brain	Death due	Grade 3–5
Trials	Phase	Treatments	Participants	(mo)	(mo)	DFS	0S	recurrence	metastasis	metastasis	to cancer	AE
CALGB 9734	с С	Observation	18	16.8	33.2	NR	NN	9	7	4	NR	NR
		PORT	19	33.7	41.5	NR	NR	2	9		NR	NR
Lung ART	c	Observation	249	22.8	NR	43.8%	68.5%	70	71	27	87/102	39
ı		PORT	252	30.5	NR	47.1%	66.5%	36	71	34	68/99	63
PORT-C	က	Observation	180	18.6	81.5	32.7%	82.8%	48	84	NR	42/47	0
		PORT	184	22.1	NR	40.5%	78.3%	39	91	NR	47/50	

3.4. Effect of PORT on local recurrence

There was no significant heterogeneity among the 3 trials (P = .25, $I^2 = 29.00\%$). The fixed-effects model was used for analysis. Figure 5 shows that local recurrence rate decreased in the PORT group compared to the observation group (OR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.40–0.76).

3.5. Effect of PORT on distant metastases

Figure 6 shows that distant metastases rate was similar between the PORT group and the observation group (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 0.78–1.36). There was no significant heterogeneity among the 3 trials (P = .79, $I^2 = 0.00\%$). The fixed-effects model was used for analysis.

Figure 7 shows that brain metastases rate was also comparable between the 2 groups (OR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.13–3.96). There was heterogeneity between trials (P = .12, $I^2 = 59.00\%$). The random-effects model was used for analysis.

3.6. Effect of PORT on death due to cancer

There was significant heterogeneity between trials (P = .06, $I^2 = 72.00\%$). The random-effects model was used for analysis. Figure 8 shows that death due to cancer rate was similar between the PORT group and the observation group (OR = 0.73, 95% CI: 0.16–3.40).

3.7. Effect of PORT on AE

Lung ART and PORT-C trials reported treatment-related AEs of grade 3 or higher. There was no significant heterogeneity between trials (P = .76, $I^2 = 0.00\%$). The fixed-effects model was used for analysis. Figure 9 shows that treatment-related AEs of grade 3 or higher rate increased in the PORT group compared to the observation group (OR = 1.81, 95% CI: 1.17–2.82).

4. Discussion

The role of PORT in pIIIA-N2 NSCLC is still unclear. Some retrospective cohort studies revealed that PORT improved OS.^[7-9] Moreover, several meta-analyses also demonstrated that PORT, with or without chemotherapy, significantly improved local control rates and DFS.^[10,11,18,19] However, PORT did not improve OS.^[19] Recently published Lung ART and PORT-C trials indicated that PORT did not improve DFS or OS.^[12,13] Thus, efficacy of PORT for resected pIIIA-N2 NSCLC needs to be reassessed.

Adjuvant chemotherapy is the standard treatment of patients with completely resected stage pIIIA-N2 NSCLC. Our meta-analysis included 3 randomized controlled trials with 902 patients to assess the effect of PORT in pIIIA-N2 NSCLC after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy. The results indicated that PORT improved the local control rates, while DFS and OS did not benefit from PORT. This study provided an updated, reliable, and comprehensive summary of effect of

Study	TE	seTE	Hazard Ratio	o HR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
CALGB 9734	-0.70	1.8066 -		0.50	[0.01; 17.20]	0.2%	0.2%
Lung ART	-0.16	0.1194		0.85	[0.67; 1.07]	54.8%	54.8%
PORT-C	-0.17	0.1319		0.84	[0.65; 1.09]	44.9%	44.9%
Fixed effect model			\$	0.84	[0.71; 1.00]	100.0%	
Random effects mod	el		\diamond	0.84	[0.71; 1.00]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$,	$\tau^2 = 0, p =$	0.96					
			0.1 0.51 2	10			
		PO	RT better Obse	rvation better			

Figure 3. Forest plot of HR of median disease-free survival between postoperative radiotherapy and observation groups. ART = adjuvant radiotherapy, CALGB = cancer and Leukemia Group B, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, PORT = postoperative radiotherapy, PORT-C = postoperative radiotherapy-China, seTE = standard error of treatment estimate, TE = treatment estimate.

Study	TE seTE	Hazard Ratio	HR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
CALGB 9734 PORT-C	-0.22 1.9740 - 0.02 0.2052	+	0.80 1.02	[0.02; 38.32] [0.68; 1.52]	1.1% 98.9%	1.1% 98.9%
Fixed effect model Random effects mod Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$,	el $\tau^2 = 0, p = 0.90$		1.02 1.02	[0.68; 1.52] [0.68; 1.52]	100.0% 	 100.0%
	PC	0.1 0.5 1 2 10 ORT better Observation b	etter			

Figure 4. Forest plot of HR of median overall survival between postoperative radiotherapy and observation groups. CALGB = cancer and Leukemia Group B, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, PORT = postoperative radiotherapy, PORT-C = postoperative radiotherapy-China, seTE = standard error of treatment estimate, TE = treatment estimate.

Study	PORT Events Tota	Observatio	on tal Odds Ratio	OR 95%-C	Weight Weight I (fixed) (random)
CALGB 9734 Lung ART PORT-C	5 19 36 252 39 184	6 70 24 48 18	18 49 80	0.71 [0.17; 2.94 0.43 [0.27; 0.67 0.74 [0.46; 1.20	4.4% 7.9% 58.5% 48.1% 37.1% 44.0%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 29\%$, τ	455 ² = 0.0397, p =	5 4 0.25	47 0.2 0.5 1 2 PORT better Observal	0.56 [0.40; 0.76 0.57 [0.37; 0.85 5 tion better	100.0% 100.0%

Figure 5. Forest plot of OR of local recurrence between postoperative radiotherapy and observation groups. ART = adjuvant radiotherapy, CALGB = cancer and Leukemia Group B, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, PORT = postoperative radiotherapy, PORT-C = postoperative radiotherapy-China.













Study	Events	PORT Total	Observ Events	ation Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
- a a j		. e tai		rotai	e duo mano	•		((
Lung ART	63	252	39	249	1	1.79	[1.15; 2.80]	98.3%	98.1%
PORT-C	1	184	0	180		— 2.95	[0.12; 72.92]	1.7%	1.9%
Fixed effect model		436		429	-	1.81	[1.17; 2.82]	100.0%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	= 0, p = 0).76				1.81	[1.17; 2.82]		100.0%
	-, ,-				0.1 0.51 2 10				
				PO	RT better Observation	better			



PORT in pIIIA-N2 NSCLC patients. The results provided reliable evidence for clinical practice and future research.

An individual participant data meta-analysis reported a significant adverse effect of PORT on survival, with an HR of 1.18, or an 18% relative increase in risk of death.^[20] This was equivalent to an absolute detriment of 5% (95% CI: 2%–9%) at 2 years, reducing OS from 58% to 53%. The deleterious effect of PORT might be attributed to an excess of intercurrent deaths, with a high incidence of cardiac and respiratory complications due to poor radiotherapy techniques.^[21,22] However, it was reported that radiation heart dosimetric parameters were not associated with OS.^[23] In support of this hypothesis, a meta-analysis was conducted based on modern PORT.^[11] The results reported modern PORT could decrease local recurrences and increase OS in patients with stage pIIIA-N2 NSCLC.

Patients included in our meta-analysis received intensity-modulated radiotherapy or 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy. PORT with intensity-modulated radiotherapy or 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy could guarantee sufficient irradiation doses to the target volume and decrease doses to organ at risk.^[24] However, the improvement of local–regional free survival did not translate into improvement of DFS or OS. The possible interpretations were the following: As pIIIA-N2 NSCLC is a heterogeneous group of diseases, some patients could benefit from PORT, but not all patients.^[25,26] Thus, further studies are needed to identify patients who will benefit from PORT using more detailed clinical features and molecular genetics information.^[27-29] Some patients might receive second-line or later therapies, but owing to limited data, their potential survival outcome benefits were not considered.



Figure 10. The final conclusion of this meta-analysis. AE = adverse event, DFS = disease-free survival, NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer, OS = overall survival, PORT = postoperative radiotherapy.

Limitations of this meta-analysis should be considered. First, this study could not perform subgroup analysis by stratifying patients by sex, smoking status, histology, the number of lymph nodes involved, or other factors that might be associated with the treatment outcomes due to the limited data on individual patients. These clinical characteristics should be assessed in future studies. Second, only 3 randomized controlled trials were included in this meta-analysis. Thus, the publication bias was not investigated. Third, sensitivity analysis was not performed due to the limited included studies. However, our study indicated that no significant changes were observed between fixedeffects model and random-effects model for pooled HRs and ORs. These results indicated that all the pooled results were stable and the overall tendency was consistent.

The final conclusion of our study is shown in Figure 10. PORT decreased the incidence of local recurrence in patients with pIIIA-N2 NSCLC after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy. However, PORT did not improve DFS or OS. In the future, efficacy of PORT should be investigated combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors therapy and target therapy.^[30]

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Shou-Feng Wang, Xin-Bin Pan.

Data curation: Wen-Hua Zhao, Xin-Bin Pan.

Formal analysis: Shou-Feng Wang, Nai-Quan Mao.

Methodology: Shou-Feng Wang, Nai-Quan Mao.

Software: Xin-Bin Pan.

Validation: Shou-Feng Wang, Xin-Bin Pan.

Writing - original draft: Shou-Feng Wang, Nai-Quan Mao.

Writing – review & editing: Xin-Bin Pan.

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71:209–49.
- [2] Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2016;11:39–51.
- [3] Betticher DC, Hsu Schmitz SF, Totsch M, et al. Prognostic factors affecting long-term outcomes in patients with resected stage IIIA pN2 non-small-cell lung cancer: 5-year follow-up of a phase II study. Br J Cancer. 2006;94:1099–106.
- [4] Douillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB-IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2006;7:719–27.
- [5] Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:351–60.
- [6] Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:2589–97.

- [7] Dai H, Hui Z, Ji W, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for resected pathological stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective study of 221 cases from a single institution. Oncologist. 2011;16:641–50.
- [8] Corso CD, Rutter CE, Wilson LD, et al. Re-evaluation of the role of postoperative radiotherapy and the impact of radiation dose for nonsmall-cell lung cancer using the National Cancer Database. J Thorac Oncol. 2015;10:148–55.
- [9] Robinson CG, Patel AP, Bradley JD, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for pathologic N2 non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: a review of the National Cancer Data Base. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33:870–6.
- [10] Sakib N, Li N, Zhu X, et al. Effect of postoperative radiotherapy on outcome in resectable stage IIIA-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer: an updated meta-analysis. Nucl Med Commun. 2018;39:51–9.
- [11] Billiet C, Decaluwe H, Peeters S, et al. Modern post-operative radiotherapy for stage III non-small cell lung cancer may improve local control and survival: a meta-analysis. Radiother Oncol. 2014;110:3–8.
- [12] Le Pechoux C, Pourel N, Barlesi F, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy versus no postoperative radiotherapy in patients with completely resected nonsmall-cell lung cancer and proven mediastinal N2 involvement (Lung ART): an open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23:104–14.
- [13] Hui Z, Men Y, Hu C, et al. Effect of postoperative radiotherapy for patients with pIIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer after complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy: the phase 3 PORT-C randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol. 2021;7:1178–85.
- [14] Phan K, Tian DH, Cao C, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: techniques and a guide for the academic surgeon. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. 2015;4:112–22.
- [15] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.
- [16] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- [17] Perry MC, Kohman LJ, Bonner JA, et al. A phase III study of surgical resection and paclitaxel/carboplatin chemotherapy with or without adjuvant radiation therapy for resected stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: cancer and leukemia group B 9734. Clin Lung Cancer. 2007;8:268–72.
- [18] Zhang H, Zhang DX, Ju T, et al. The effect of postoperative radiotherapy on the survival of patients with resectable stage III-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neoplasma. 2019;66:717–26.
- [19] Lei T, Li J, Zhong H, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for patients with resectable stage III-N2 non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Oncol. 2021;11:680615.
- [20] Burdett S, Rydzewska L, Tierney J, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for nonsmall cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;9:CD002142.
- [21] Bogart JA, Aronowitz JN. Localized non-small cell lung cancer: adjuvant radiotherapy in the era of effective systemic therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:5004s–10s.
- [22] Munro AJ. What now for postoperative radiotherapy for lung cancer? Lancet. 1998;352:250–1.
- [23] Lee CC, Chua GWY, Zheng H, et al. Are heart doses associated with survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer who received post-operative thoracic radiotherapy?: a national population-based study. Medicine. 2019;98:e17020e17020.
- [24] Chen XR, Dong JN, Zhang F, et al. Efficacy and safety of image-guidance radiotherapy by helical tomotherapy in patients with lung cancer. Medicine. 2018;97:e9243.
- [25] Hui Z, Dai H, Liang J, et al. Selection of proper candidates with resected pathological stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer for postoperative radiotherapy. Thorac Cancer. 2015;6:346–53.
- [26] Yuan C, Tao X, Zheng D, et al. The lymph node status and histologic subtypes influenced the effect of postoperative radiotherapy on patients with N2 positive IIIA non-small cell lung cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2019;119:379–87.
- [27] Taghizadeh-Hesary F, Akbari H, Bahadori M. Immune cells vs. cancer cells: a microscopic energy battle. Preprints. 2022, 2022010171. XXXdoi:10.20944/preprints202201.0171.v1.
- [28] Huang Q, Diao P, Li CL, et al. Preoperative platelet-lymphocyte ratio is a superior prognostic biomarker to other systemic inflammatory response markers in non-small cell lung cancer. Medicine. 2020;99:e18607.
- [29] Zhao J, Zhang P, Wang J, et al. Plasma levels of soluble programmed death ligand-1 may be associated with overall survival in nonsmall cell lung cancer patients receiving thoracic radiotherapy. Medicine. 2017;96:e6102.
- [30] Chai T, Zhang P, Lin Y, et al. Postoperative adjuvant therapy for resectable early non-small cell lung cancer: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine. 2019;98:e16468e16468.