# **Composition and conservation of the telomeric complex**

## J. Kanoh\* and F. Ishikawa

Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502 (Japan), Fax: +81 75 753 4197, e-mail: jkanoh@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract. The telomere is composed of telomeric DNA and telomere-associated proteins. Recently, many telomere-associated proteins have been identified, and various telomere functions have been uncovered. In budding yeast, scRap1 binds directly to telomeric DNA, and other telomere regulators (Sir proteins and Rif proteins) are recruited to the telomeres by interacting with scRap1. Cdc13 binds to the most distal end of the chromosome and recruits telomerase to the telomeres. In fission yeast and humans, TTAGGG repeat binding factor (TRF) fam-

Key words. Rap1; TRF; Myb motif; Pot1; Ku.

## Introduction

The telomere is a specialized heterochromatin composed of telomeric DNA and various telomere-associated proteins. Telomeric DNA contains specific G-rich repeat sequences, such as  $TG_{2-3}(TG)_{1-6}$  in budding yeast, TTACAG<sub>2-5</sub> in fission yeast, TTTAGGG in many plants and TTAGGG in humans [1-4]. Most of the telomeric DNA is duplex, but the most distal end of the telomere contains a single-stranded 3'-overhang called the G tail. Because protein-free DNA ends are vulnerable to degradation by nucleases and are the target of DNA end fusion by DNA repair, telomere-associated proteins provide a sort of protective cap to the ends of linear chromosomes. In addition to this role, telomeres also function at meiosis [see Bass, this issue]. For example, telomeres cluster toward the SPB (spindle pole body) or in the vicinity of the centrosome at a specific stage in meiosis, and at least in fission yeast, this clustering is prerequisite for the normal pairing of homologous chromosomes [5-9]. This dynamic change of the nuclear localization of chromosomes is realized by interactions between telomere-associated ily proteins bind directly to telomeric DNA, and Rap1 proteins and other telomere regulators are recruited to the telomeres by interacting with the TRF family proteins. Both organisms have Pot1 proteins at the most distal end of the telomere instead of a budding-yeast Cdc13-like protein. Therefore, fission yeast and humans have in part common telomeric compositions that differ from that of budding yeast, a result that suggests budding yeast has lost some telomere components during the course of evolution.

proteins and components of the nuclear structure. Furthermore, the telomere is a specific heterochromatin, and therefore a gene located near the telomere is transcriptionally repressed by the heterochromatin structure (telomere silencing or telomere position effect) [see Perrod and Gasser, this issue]. Recent studies have revealed that the composition of telomere is conserved among eukaryotes to some extent. Here, the functions of telomereassociated proteins in budding yeast, fission yeast and humans will be described.

#### Telomere-associated proteins in budding yeast

The budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is a wellcharacterized organism for the study of telomeres (fig. 1). *S. cerevisiae* Rap1 (scRap1, repressor/activator protein 1) was identified as a protein that binds to the UAS (upstream activation sequence) of genes for ribosomal proteins, translational components and glycolytic enzymes, as well as to mating-type silencer elements and telomeres [10–14]. scRap1 is essential for normal cell growth. It binds directly to DNA through its DNA binding domain, which comprises two Myb motifs located in the central region. scRap1 regulates the transcription of genes through its

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



Figure 1. Telomere-associated proteins in budding yeast. Arrows indicate physical interactions.

transactivation domain, located C-terminal to its Myb motifs [15]. scRap1 also has a BRCT (BRCA1 C-terminal) domain in the N-terminal region, which is well conserved among Rap1-related proteins in other organisms.

scRap1 regulates telomere length and the formation of telomeric heterochromatin by recruiting two groups of proteins to its RCT (Rap1 C terminus) domain [16, 17]. The first group, scRif1 (Rap1-interacting factor 1) and scRif2, primarily regulates telomere length [18, 19]. Deletion of either scRif1 or scRif2 causes moderate elongation (200–600 bp in the *scrif1* mutant, ~100 bp in the scrif2 mutant) of telomeric DNA, and the deletion of both causes marked elongation (600 bp-2.5 kb) of telomeric DNA, which is the same as that observed in the *rap1-17* mutant. According to the "protein-counting model," cells count the number of scRif1 and scRif2 molecules at the telomeres to regulate telomere length [19, 20]. The second group, Sir3 (silent information regulator 3) and Sir4, is mainly involved in heterochromatin formation [21, 22]. Sir2, a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD<sup>+</sup>)-dependent histone deacetylase, forms a complex with Sir3 and Sir4 by interacting mainly with Sir4 [23]. Sir3 and Sir4 interact not only with scRap1 but also with the N-termini of histones H3 and H4, which are hypoacetylated by Sir2 [24, 25]. Thus, the Sir2/Sir3/Sir4 complex spreads over the nucleosomes proximal to the telomeres from the nucleation sites with scRap1 at the telomeres. The Sir complex is also localized at the silencer of the HM mating-type loci with scRap1 to repress the expression of *HM* genes [21] [see also Perrod and Gasser, in this issue]. Furthermore, the Sir complex is recruited to DSB (double-strand break) sites produced by DNA damage and is involved in the Ku-dependent nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) pathway [26, 27]. Sir2 also forms a complex with Net1 and Cdc14, and the Sir2/Net1/Cdc14 complex is required for transcriptional repression at ribosomal DNA (rDNA) repeats [28, 29].

Cdc13 protein binds to the single-stranded G tail and interacts with Est1 (ever shorter telomere 1), which associates with Tlc1 (the RNA template subunit of telomerase), to recruit the catalytic subunit of telomerase (Est2) to the telomeres [30–35]. Furthermore, Cdc13 prevents the degradation of the C-rich strand at the chromosome end [see Wei and Price, this issue]. The function of Cdc13 is regulated by two interacting proteins, Stn1 and Ten1 [36-39]. The Cdc13/Stn1/Ten1 protein complex is required for telomere end protection and telomere length control. All the temperature-sensitive mutants (*cdc13, stn1* and *ten1*) accumulate single-stranded DNA in telometric regions and exhibit abnormally elongated telomeres.

Budding yeast Ku heterodimer (yKu70/yKu80) binds to the distal end of the telomere. Generally, Ku binds to the DNA ends of DSBs with the Sir2/Sir3/Sir4 complex and mediates NHEJ [27]. Therefore, yKu70/yKu80 at the telomere should be regulated so as not to ligate telomere ends for telomere maintenance. yKu regulates telomere structure, telomere length, telomeric silencing and telomere localization to the nuclear periphery by interacting with other regulatory factors [27, 40-43]. Recently, it has been suggested that vKu helps to recruit or activate telomerase by interacting with the stem-loop of Tlc1 [44]. Furthermore, yKu interacts with Mlp2 protein, which forms a complex with Mlp1 that associates with Nup60, a component of the nuclear pore complex [45]. These interactions might permit the localization of telomeres near the nuclear pore, but recent study has shown that yKu, but not Mlp1 and Mlp2, is necessary for anchoring telomeres at the nuclear envelope (NE) in interphase and that increased telomeric repression of transcription is correlated with increased anchoring of telomeres to NE [46]. Sir proteins also contribute significantly to telomere anchoring specifically in S phase [46].

#### Telomere-associated proteins in fission yeast

Recent studies have shown that the components of the telomere in fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, differ from those in budding yeast (fig. 2). First, the *S. pombe* protein Taz1, which contains a Myb motif but is not a homologue of scRap1, binds directly to telomeric DNA [47]. Taz1 is bound to telomeres both in mitotically growing cells and in meiotic cells. Taz1 contains a single Myb motif at its C terminus and a TRFH (TRF homol-



Figure 2. Telomere-associated proteins in fission yeast. Localization of Swi6 is speculative. spPot1 may regulate the access of telomerase (Trt11) to the telomeres.

ogy) domain of approximately 200 amino acids in the central region. The deletion of  $taz 1^+$  was found not to be lethal but to cause severe defects in telomere function [47, 48]. The *taz1* mutant has extensively elongated telomeric DNA (up to ~5 kb) and is defective in telomere silencing like that in the swi6 mutant, in which the heterochromatin protein Swi6 (HP1 homologue) is lost. Furthermore, the taz1 mutant has a defect in telomere clustering toward SPB in the premeiotic horsetail stage, which causes abnormal spore formation. Taz1 therefore plays important roles in at least three major telomere functions: regulation of telomeric DNA length, telomere silencing and telomere clustering toward SPB in meiosis. S. pombe Rap1 (spRap1) has a BRCT domain and a Myb motif [49, 50]. It also has a Myb-like motif that has similarity with the second Myb motif in scRap1 but contains different residues at the positions of the hydrophobic core of the three-helix bundle. The physiological significance of this motif is not known. spRap1 contains no apparent RCT domain, so the mechanism of protein-protein interaction between spRap1 and putative spRap1-binding proteins may be different from that between scRap1 and Rif or Sir proteins. spRap1 interacts with Taz1 in a two-hybrid system and is localized at the telomeres by its binding to Taz1 in mitotically growing cells, so spRap1 does not bind directly to telomeric DNA and is basically bound to telomeres by interaction with Taz1. In the premeiotic horsetail stage, however, spRap1 is localized at the telomeres in about 30% of the cells in the absence of Taz1, so spRap1 may have an intrinsic tendency to be localized near SPB, which is colocalized with telomeres at the horsetail stage, or spRap1 may bind inefficiently to telomeres in a Taz1independent manner. The deletion of sprap1+ causes telomere-deficient phenotypes as severe as those observed in the *taz1* mutant. Among them, the frequency of telomere clustering toward SPB at the horsetail stage in the *sprap1* mutant is much lower than that in the *taz1* mutant, so spRap1 plays a major role in telomere clustering.

*S. pombe* Rif1 (spRif1) shares homology with scRif1 throughout the amino acid sequence, although it does not

contain any currently characterized protein motifs [50]. Database searches reveal that some proteins from Drosophila melanogaster and Homo sapiens show significant homology with the limited N-terminal region of spRif1, but the functions of these proteins are not known. Like spRap1, spRif1 is localized at the telomeres by interaction with Taz1. Furthermore, spRif1 does not interact with spRap1, and they are bound to the telomeres independent of each other, so protein interactions in the two yeasts are quite different. spRif1 is not essential for normal vegetative growth. The sprif1 mutant has slightly longer telomeric DNA than the wild type, indicating that spRif1 plays a role in the regulation of telomeric DNA length. The sprif1 mutant does not exhibit any other significant defects in telomere function. It is not known whether there is a functional homologue for Rif2 in fission yeast. Neither spRap1 nor spRif1 is required for the telomere localization of Taz1.

Collectively, three telomere functions, namely telomere length control, telomere silencing and telomere clustering toward SPB at the horsetail stage, are severely impaired in *sprap1* cells, in which Taz1 remains associated with the telomeres. Therefore, telomere-associated Taz1 by itself cannot fulfill these telomere functions, and the primary role of Taz1 is to recruit telomere regulators to the telomeres.

Sir3 and Sir4 seem to have no homologue in fission yeast, but an as-yet uncharacterized protein, spSir2, shares homology with budding yeast Sir2 [51]. In place of Sir3 and Sir4, fission yeast has the Swi6 protein, which is responsible for the heterochromatin structure. Swi6 contains a chromo domain and a chromo-shadow domain that mediate the formation of protein complexes and their association with chromatin [52, 53]. Swi6 has been shown to play important roles at the centromeres and the silent mating-type loci. Swi6 binds to the outer repeat sequences (*otr*) in the fission yeast centromeres and acts to recruit cohesin to the centromeres. In the *swi6* mutant, the centromeres lag on the spindle during anaphase, and the chromosomes are lost at high rates [54–57]. These results indicate that Swi6 plays a role in realizing the proper function of the kinetochore. At the silent mating-type loci, Swi6 is localized at the K region containing the repeat sequences called 'dg' or 'dh' that also exist in the otr of the centromere, and it plays a critical role in the inheritance of silencing and the regulation of the efficiency of mating-type switching [58–60]. Although Swi6 is well studied in terms of its functions at the centromeres and at the mating-type loci, little is known about its function at the telomeres. The swi6 mutant shows a defect in telomere silencing, and microscopic studies have showed that Swi6 is localized at the telomeres in vivo [61, 62]. Therefore, Swi6 plays a role at least in telomeric heterochromatin, although the physiological significance of telomeric heterochromatin is not well characterized in fission yeast, as in humans.

Similar to yKu70/yKu80 in budding yeast, the fission yeast yKu70/yKu80 homologues, pKu70 and pKu80, are involved in telomere maintenance [63-66]. The *pku70*<sup>+</sup>or *pku80*<sup>+</sup>-deleted strain has slightly shorter telomeric repeat DNA than the wild type, and deletion of pKu70 or pKu80 causes rearrangement of telomere-associated sequences (TASs) that are located proximal to the telomeric repeat sequences [67], so pKu inhibits the degradation and recombination of chromosome ends. Taz1 has been shown to protect pKu-dependent telomere fusion under nitrogen depletion intended to induce sexual development [68]. pKu is not involved in telomere silencing, in contrast to yKu [64, 66].

*S. pombe* Pot1 (protection of telomeres 1) protein is thought to be associated with telomeric DNA at the most distal end of the telomere [69]. Recombinant spPot1 protein binds to single-stranded telomeric DNA (G strand) in vitro, and the deletion of spPot1 causes immediate loss of telomeric DNA, indicating that spPot1 plays a critical role in protecting chromosome ends. spPot1 may also

play a role in recruiting telomerase to the chromosome ends, like Cdc13 in budding yeast [reviewed by Wei and Price, this issue].

### Telomere-associated proteins in humans

The known telomere-associated proteins are summarized in fig. 3. In humans, Tazl has two homologues, TRF1 and TRF2, both of which bind directly to telomeric DNA [70-72]. Each has a TRFH domain in its central region and a single Myb-like motif in its C-terminal region. Like Tazl, they form homodimers by means of their TRFH domains to bind to duplex telomeric DNA by means of their Myb-like motifs [72–74], but TRF1 and TRF2 do not form a heterodimer, and their N-terminal regions are quite different: the N-terminus of TRF1 is acidic, whereas that of TRF2 is strongly basic. Overexpression of TRF1 or TRF2 results in a gradual decrease of telomere DNA length [75, 76]. The truncated forms of TRF1 induce abnormal elongation of telomeric DNA, whereas those of TRF2 induce loss of G tails and chromosome end fusions [75-78]. Electron microscopy has demonstrated that TRF2 acts to remodel linear telomeric DNA into duplex loops (T loops) in vitro [79, 80] and has also suggested that the T loops are formed by the invasion of the G tail into the duplex telomeric repeat array and that TRF2 is preferentially present at the loop-tail junction. Currently TRF1 is thought to be a negative regulator of telomeric DNA length and TRF2 is thought to protect telomeric DNA ends in the T loop by masking the G tail from cellular activities, such as nucleases and DNA repair.

Two proteins, tankyrase and TIN2, are localized at the telomeres by interaction with TRF1 [81, 82]. Tankyrase interacts with the acidic domain of TRF1 through its



Figure 3. Telomere-associated proteins in human. Relationship between hPot1 and telomerase is not known.

ankyrin-related domain, which contains 24 ankyrin repeats. Tankyrase is a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. ADP ribosylation of TRF1 by tankyrase inhibits binding of TRF1 to telomeric DNA in vitro. Overexpression of tankyrase in the nucleus induces ADP ribosylation of TRF1 and releases TRF1 from the telomere. It also induces the gradual elongation of telomeric DNA [83]. Thus, tankyrase-mediated ADP ribosylation of TRF1 would give telomerase access to the telomeric complex. TIN2 interacts with the TRFH domain of TRF1, so the regions in TRF1 that bind to tankyrase and to TIN2 do not overlap. TIN2 has no protein motifs and shares no homology with known proteins. The truncated forms of TIN2 induce abnormal elongation of telomeric DNA in a telomerase-dependent manner, so TIN2 is an essential mediator of TRF1 function and may recruit telomerase inhibitors to telomeres.

Human Rap1 (hRap1) was identified in a screen for TRF2-interacting proteins [84]. hRap1 has a BRCT domain in its N-terminal region and a single Myb motif in its central region, as spRap1 does. The Myb motifs of scRap1, spRap1 and hRap1 constitute a subfamily with respect to the residues at the hydrophobic core of the three-helix bundle and the length of turns connecting the first and second helices [85]. Furthermore, hRap1 has an RCT domain at its C terminus, suggesting that other proteins interact with it. Neither spRap1 nor hRap1 has a transactivation domain, so they play no role as transcription factors. Gel-shift analysis suggested that hRap1 by itself has no telomeric DNA binding ability, but it binds to telomeric DNA when it is associated with TRF2. In fact, a microscopic study showed that hRap1 is localized at the telomeres in a TRF2-dependent manner [84]. Therefore, hRap1 is localized at the telomeres by interaction with TRF2. Overexpression of hRap1 causes gradual elongation of telomeric DNA, indicating that hRap1 plays a role in the regulation of telomere length.

Human Pot1 (hPot1) binds to single-stranded telomeric DNA (G strand) in vitro and is colocalized with TRF2 and hRap1 in vivo [69, 86]. Although the precise in vivo function of hPot1 remains to be determined, it may directly protect the telomere end and may recruit telomerase to the telomeric end [see Wei and Price, this issue].

The human Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer is involved in NHEJ and telomere maintenance as in yeast. It has been suggested that the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer interacts with TRF1 or TRF2 in vivo [87, 88]. Because X-ray crystallographic analysis reveals that Ku is an end-loading ring, Ku70/Ku80 may be directly loaded onto the telomere end [89, 90]. Ku80-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts exhibit high levels of telomere fusion [87]. On the other hand, NHEJ is required for telomere fusion induced by the overexpression of the truncated form of TRF2 in mouse embryonic fibroblasts [78]. Although the in vivo telomere function of Ku70/Ku80 in human cells remains to be determined, Ku apparently plays dual roles at the telomeres: one is to prevent end fusion, and the other to fuse chromosome ends in the absence of functional TRF2. Furthermore, Ku70 may interact with HP1 $\alpha$  (heterochromatin protein 1 $\alpha$ ) [91]. In humans, the telomere position effect (TPE) is observed, although proteins involved in TPE have not been identified so far [92]. The interaction of Ku70 with HP1 $\alpha$  may provide a clue to the nature of telomeric heterochromatin in humans.

#### Conclusions

The mechanism of loading of telomere-associated proteins is well conserved among eukaryotes. First, the protein (scRap1, Taz1, TRF1 or TRF2) that recognizes telomeric repeat sequences binds directly to telomeric DNA. Next, telomere regulators are recruited to the telomeres by interaction with those telomeric DNA-binding proteins, but species differ in the nature of their telomere-associated proteins. scRap1, in budding yeast, has two Myb motifs and binds directly to telomeric DNA, whereas spRap1 and hRap1 are recruited to the telomeres by interaction with Taz1 or TRF2. Each of Taz1, TRF1 or TRF2 has a TRFH dimerizing motif and a single Myb motif. Thus, they bind to telomeric DNA as a homodimer. Fission yeast and humans have Pot1 proteins in common as well. Therefore, the compositions of telomere-associated proteins in fission yeast and in humans are similar but differ from those in budding yeast. Because spRap1 has relatively weak ability to associate with telomeric DNA in the absence of Taz1 in meiosis, we speculate that the common ancestor had both Rap1 and TRF proteins and that budding yeast has lost TRF proteins in the course of evolution. The telomeric repeat sequence has probably changed in budding yeast during the course of evolution and thereby eliminated the ability of TRF proteins to bind to telomeric DNA. In fact, the telomeric repeat sequence of budding yeast  $[TG_{2-3}(TG)_{1-6}]$  has a pattern different from those of fission yeast and humans. Telomeric repeats in plants are also known (TTAGGG), but the proteins there are not yet characterized.

Although many telomere regulators have been identified in eukaryotes, we do not know the molecular mechanisms underlying their roles. For example, spRap1 has been shown to play roles in at least three telomere functions: telomere length control, telomere silencing and telomere clustering toward SPB in meiosis. Like scRap1, spRap1 may have associating molecules that execute each telomere function. More telomere regulators are expected to be identified in eukaryotes in the future.

Acknowledgements. J.K. was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology,

Japan. F.I. was supported by a COE Grant; a Grant-in-Aid for Cancer Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan; and a Health and Labor Science Research Grant from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, Japan.

- Shampay J., Szostak J. W. and Blackburn E. H. (1984) DNA sequences of telomeres maintained in yeast. Nature 310: 154–157
- 2 Sugawara N. and Szostak J. W. (1986) Telomeres of *Schizosac-caromyces pombe*. Yeast (Suppl.) **2:** S373
- 3 McKnight T. D., Fitzgerald M. S. and Shippen D. E. (1997) Plant telomeres and telomerases. Biochemistry 62: 1224–1231
- 4 Moyzis R. K., Buckingham J. M., Cram L. S., Dani M., Deaven L. L., Jones M. D. et al. (1988) A highly conserved repetitive DNA sequence, (TTAGGG)n, present at the telomeres of human chromosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85: 6622–6626
- 5 Chikashige Y., Ding D. Q., Funabiki H., Haraguchi T., Mashiko S., Yanagida M. et al. (1994) Telomere-led premeiotic chromosome movement in fission yeast. Science 264: 270–273
- 6 Scherthan H., Bahler J. and Kohli J. (1994) Dynamics of chromosome organization and pairing during meiotic prophase in fission yeast. J. Cell Biol. 127: 273–285
- 7 Dernburg A. F., Sedat J. W., Cande W. Z. and Bass H. W. (1995) Cytology of Telomeres. In *Telomeres*. Edited by Blackburn, EH. and Greider, CW. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 295–338
- 8 Trelles-Sticken E., Loidl J. and Scherthan H. (1999) Bouquet formation in budding yeast: initiation of recombination is not required for meiotic telomere clustering. J. Cell Sci. 112: 651– 658
- 9 Niwa O., Shimanuki M. and Miki F. (2000) Telomere-led bouquet formation facilitates homologous chromosome pairing and restricts ectopic interaction in fission yeast meiosis. EMBO J. 19: 3831–3840
- 10 Huet J., Cottrell P., Cool M., Vignais M. L., Thiele D., Marck C. et al. (1985) A general upstream binding factor for genes of the yeast translational apparatus. EMBO J. 4: 3539–3547
- 11 Buchman A. R., Lue N. F. and Kornberg R. D. (1988) Connections between transcriptional activators, silencers, and telomeres as revealed by functional analysis of a yeast DNA-binding protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8: 5086–5099
- 12 Shore D. and Nasmyth K. (1987) Purification and cloning of a DNA binding protein from yeast that binds to both silencer and activator elements. Cell 51: 721–732
- 13 Conrad M. N., Wright J. H., Wolf A. J. and Zakian V. A. (1990) RAP1 protein interacts with yeast telomeres in vivo: overproduction alters telomere structure and decreases chromosome stability. Cell 63: 739–750
- 14 Lustig A. J., Kurtz S. and Shore D. (1990) Involvement of the silencer and UAS binding protein RAP1 in regulation of telomere length. Science 250: 549–553
- 15 Hardy C. F., Balderes D. and Shore D. (1992) Dissection of a carboxy-terminal region of the yeast regulatory protein RAP1 with effects on both transcriptional activation and silencing. Mol. Cell. Biol. 12: 1209–1217
- 16 Kyrion G., Boakye K. A. and Lustig A. J. (1992) C-terminal truncation of RAP1 results in the deregulation of telomere size, stability, and function in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **12:** 5159–5173
- 17 Kyrion G., Liu K., Liu C. and Lustig A. J. (1993) RAP1 and telomere structure regulate telomere position effects in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 7: 1146–1159
- 18 Hardy C. F. J., Sussel L. and Shore D. (1992) A RAP1-interacting protein involved in transcriptional silencing and telomere length regulation. Genes Dev. 6: 801–814
- 19 Wotton D. and Shore D. (1997) A novel Rap1p-interacting factor, Rif2p, cooperates with Rif1p to regulate telomere length in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genes Dev. 11: 748–760

- 20 Marcand S., Gilson E. and Shore D. (1997) A protein-counting mechanism for telomere length regulation in yeast. Science 275: 986–990
- 21 Moretti P, Freeman K., Coodly L. and Shore D. (1994) Evidence that a complex of SIR proteins interacts with the silencer and telomere-binding protein RAP1. Genes Dev. 8: 2257–2269
- 22 Cockell M., Palladino F., Laroche T., Kyrion G., Liu C., Lustig A. J. et al. (1995) The carboxy termini of Sir4 and Rap1 affect Sir3 localization: evidence for a multicomponent complex required for yeast telomeric silencing. J. Cell Biol. **129**: 909–924
- 23 Strahl-Bolsinger S., Hecht A., Luo K. and Grunstein M. (1997) SIR2 and SIR4 interactions differ in core and extended telomeric heterochromatin in yeast. Genes Dev. 11: 83–93
- 24 Hecht A., Laroche T., Strahl-Bolsinger S., Gasser S. M. and Grunstein M. (1995) Histone H3 and H4 N-termini interact with SIR3 and SIR4 proteins: a molecular model for the formation of heterochromatin in yeast. Cell 80: 583–592
- 25 Hoppe G. J., Tanny J. C., Rudner A. D., Gerber S. A., Danaie S., Gygi S. et al. (2002) Steps in assembly of silent chromatin in yeast: Sir3-independent binding of a Sir2/Sir4 complex to silencers and role for Sir2-dependent deacetylation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22: 4167–4180
- 26 Tsukamoto Y., Kato J. and Ikeda H. (1998) Silencing factors participate in DNA repair and recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nature **388**: 900–903
- 27 Boulton S. J. and Jackson S. P. (1998) Components of the Kudependent non-homologous end-joining pathway are involved in telomeric length maintenance and telomeric silencing. EMBO J. 17: 1819–1828
- 28 Shou W., Seol J. H., Shevchenko A., Baskerville C., Moazed D., Chen Z. W. et al. (1999) Exit from mitosis is triggered by Tem1dependent release of the protein phosphatase Cdc14 from nucleolar RENT complex. Cell 97: 233–244
- 29 Straight A. F., Shou W., Dowd G. J., Turck C. W., Deshaies R. J., Johnson A. D. et al. (1999) Net1, a Sir2-associated nucleolar protein required for rDNA silencing and nucleolar integrity. Cell 97: 245–256
- 30 Singer M. S. and Gottschling D. E. (1994) TLC1: template RNA component of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase. Science 266: 404–409
- 31 Nugent C. I., Hughes T. R., Lue N. F. and Lundblad V. (1996) Cdc13p: a single-strand telomeric DNA-binding protein with a dual role in yeast telomere maintenance. Science 274: 249–252
- 32 Lin J. J. and Zakian V. A. (1996) The Saccharomyces CDC13 protein is a single-strand TG<sub>1-3</sub> telomeric DNA-binding protein in vitro that affects telomere behavior in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 13760–13765
- 33 Evans S. K. and Lundblad V. (1999) Est1 and Cdc13 as comediators of telomerase access. Science 286: 117–120
- 34 Qi H. and Zakian V. A. (2000) The Saccharomyces telomerebinding protein Cdc13p interacts with both the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase α and the telomerase-associated Est1 protein. Genes Dev. 14: 1777–1788
- 35 Zhou J., Hidaka K. and Futcher B. (2000) The Est1 subunit of yeast telomerase binds the Tlc1 telomerase RNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 1947–1955
- 36 Grandin N., Reed S. I. and Charbonneau M. (1997) Stn1, a new Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein, is implicated in telomere size regulation in association with Cdc13. Genes Dev. 11: 512– 527
- 37 Grandin N., Damon C. and Charbonneau M. (2000) Cdc13 cooperates with the yeast Ku proteins and Stn1 to regulate telomerase recruitment. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 8397–8408
- 38 Grandin N., Damon C. and Charbonneau M. (2001) Ten1 functions in telomere end protection and length regulation in association with Stn1 and Cdc13. EMBO J. 20: 1173–1183
- 39 Pennock E., Buckley K. and Lundblad V. (2001) Cdc13 delivers separate complexes to the telomere for end protection and replication. Cell 104: 387–396

- 40 Laroche T., Martin S. G., Gotta M., Gorham H. C., Pryde F. E., Louis E. J. et al. (1998) Mutation of yeast Ku genes disrupts the subnuclear organization of telomeres. Curr. Biol. 8: 653–656
- 41 Gravel S., Larrivee M., Labrecque P. and Wellinger R. J. (1998) Yeast Ku as a regulator of chromosomal DNA end structure. Science 280: 741–744
- 42 Polotnianka R. M., Li J. and Lustig A. J. (1998) The yeast Ku heterodimer is essential for protection of the telomere against nucleolytic and recombinational activities. Curr. Biol. 8: 831–834
- 43 Galy V., Olivo-Marin J. C., Scherthan H., Doye V., Rascalou N. and Nehrbass U. (2000) Nuclear pore complexes in the organization of silent telomeric chromatin. Nature 403: 108–112
- 44 Peterson S. E., Stellwagen A. E., Diede S. J., Singer M. S., Haimberger Z. W., Johnson C. O. et al. (2001) The function of a stem-loop in telomerase RNA is linked to the DNA repair protein Ku. Nat. Genet. 27: 64–67
- 45 Feuerbach F., Galy V., Trelles-Sticken E., Fromont-Racine M., Jacquier A., Gilson E. et al. (2002) Nuclear architecture and spatial positioning help establish transcriptional states of telomeres in yeast. Nat. Cell Biol. 4: 214–221
- 46 Hediger F., Neumann R., Van Houwe G., Dubrana K. and Gasser S. M. (2002) Live imaging of telomeres: yKu and Sir proteins define redundant telomere-anchoring pathways in yeast. Curr. Biol. 12: 2076–2089
- 47 Cooper J. P., Nimmo E. R., Allshire R. C. and Cech T. R. (1997) Regulation of telomere length and function by a Myb-domain protein in fission yeast. Nature 385: 744–747
- 48 Nimmo E. R., Pidoux A. L., Perry P. E. and Allshire R. C. (1998) Defective meiosis in telomere-silencing mutants of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Nature **392**: 825–828
- 49 Chikashige Y. and Hiraoka Y. (2001) Telomere binding of the Rap1 protein is required for meiosis in fission yeast. Curr. Biol. 11: 1618–1623
- 50 Kanoh J. and Ishikawa F. (2001) spRap1 and spRif1, recruited to telomeres by Taz1, are essential for telomere function in fission yeast. Curr. Biol. 11: 1624–1630
- 51 Perrod S., Cockell M. M., Laroche T., Renauld H., Ducrest A. L., Bonnard C. et al. (2001) A cytosolic NAD-dependent deacetylase, Hst2p, can modulate nucleolar and telomeric silencing in yeast. EMBO J. 20: 197–209
- 52 Aasland R. and Stewart A. F. (1995) The chromo shadow domain, a second chromo domain in heterochromatin-binding protein 1, HP1. Nucleic Acids Res. 23: 3168–3174
- 53 Platero J. S., Hartnett T. and Eissenberg J. C. (1995) Functional analysis of the chromo domain of HP1. EMBO J. 14: 3977– 3986
- 54 Ekwall K., Javerzat J. P., Lorentz A., Schmidt H., Cranston G. and Allshire R. (1995) The chromodomain protein Swi6: a key component at fission yeast centromeres. Science 269: 1429– 1431
- 55 Partridge J. F., Borgstrom B. and Allshire R. C. (2000) Distinct protein interation domains and protein spreading in a complex centromere. Genes Dev. 14: 783–791
- 56 Bernard P., Maure J. F., Partridge J. F., Genier S., Javerzat J. P. and Allshire R. C. (2001) Requirement of heterochromatin for cohesion at centromeres. Science 294: 2539–2542
- 57 Nonaka N., Kitajima T., Yokobayashi S., Xiao G., Yamamoto M., Grewal S. I. et al. (2002) Recruitment of cohesin to heterochromatic regions by Swi6/HP1 in fission yeast. Nat. Cell Biol. 4: 89–93
- 58 Lorentz A., Ostermann K., Fleck O. and Schmidt H. (1994) Switching gene *swi6*, involved in repression of silent matingtype loci in fission yeast, encodes a homologue of chromatinassociated proteins from *Drosophila* and mammals. Gene **143**: 139–143
- 59 Grewal S. I. and Klar A. J. (1997) A recombinationally repressed region between *mat2* and *mat3* loci shares homology to centromeric repeats and regulates directionality of mating-type switching in fission yeast. Genetics 146: 1221–1238

- 60 Nakayama J., Klar A. J. and Grewal S. I. (2000) A chromodomain protein, Swi6, performs imprinting functions in fission yeast during mitosis and meiosis. Cell **101**: 307–317
- 61 Ekwall K., Nimmo E. R., Javerzat J. P., Borgstrom B., Egel R., Cranston G. et al. (1996) Mutations in the fission yeast silencing factors *clr4+* and *rik1+* disrupt the localisation of the chromo domain protein Swi6p and impair centromere function. J. Cell Sci. **109**: 2637–2648
- 62 Thon G. and Verhein-Hansen J. (2000) Four chromo-domain proteins of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* differentially repress transcription at various chromosomal locations. Genetics **155**: 551–568
- 63 Baumann P. and Cech T. R. (2000) Protection of telomeres by the Ku protein in fission yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 11: 3265–3275
- 64 Manolis K. G., Nimmo E. R., Hartsuiker E., Carr A. M., Jeggo P. A. and Allshire R. C. (2001) Novel functional requirements for non-homologous DNA end joining in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. EMBO J. **20**: 210–221
- 65 Nakamura T. M., Moser B. A. and Russell P. (2002) Telomere binding of checkpoint sensor and DNA repair proteins contributes to maintenance of functional fission yeast telomeres. Genetics 161: 1437–1452
- 66 Miyoshi T., Sadaie M., Kanoh J. and Ishikawa F. (2003) Telomeric DNA ends are essential for the localization of Ku at telomeres in fission yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 1924–1931
- 67 Sugawara N. (1989) DNA sequences at telomeres of fission yeast S. pombe. Ph.D. thesis. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- 68 Ferreira M. G. and Cooper J. P. (2001) The fission yeast Taz1 protein protects chromosomes from Ku-dependent end-to-end fusions. Mol. Cell 7: 55–63
- 69 Baumann P. and Cech T. R. (2001) Pot1, the putative telomere end-binding protein in fission yeast and humans. Science 292: 1171–1175
- 70 Chong L., van Steensel B., Broccoli D., Erdjument-Bromage H., Hanish J., Tempst P. et al. (1995) A human telomeric protein. Science 270: 1663–1667
- 71 Bilaud T., Brun C., Ancelin K., Koering C. E., Laroche T. and Gilson E. (1997) Telomeric localization of TRF2, a novel human telobox protein. Nat. Genet. 17: 236–239
- 72 Broccoli D., Smogorzewska A., Chong L. and de Lange T. (1997) Human telomeres contain two distinct Myb-related proteins, TRF1 and TRF2. Nat. Genet. 17: 231–235
- 73 Bianchi A., Smith S., Chong L., Elias P. and de Lange T. (1997) TRF1 is a dimer and bends telomeric DNA. EMBO J. 16: 1785–1794
- 74 Spink K. G., Evans R. J. and Chambers A. (2000) Sequencespecific binding of Taz1p dimers to fission yeast telomeric DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: 527–533
- 75 van Steensel B. and de Lange T. (1997) Control of telomere length by the human telomeric protein TRF1. Nature 385: 740-743
- 76 Smogorzewska A., van Steensel B., Bianchi A., Oelmann S., Schaefer M. R., Schnapp G. et al. (2000) Control of human telomere length by TRF1 and TRF2. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 1659–1668
- 77 van Steensel B., Smogorzewska A. and de Lange T. (1998) TRF2 protects human telomeres from end-to-end fusions. Cell 92: 401–413
- 78 Smogorzewska A., Karlseder J., Holtgreve-Grez H., Jauch A. and de Lange T. (2002) DNA ligase IV-dependent NHEJ of deprotected mammalian telomeres in G1 and G2. Curr. Biol. 12: 1635–1644
- 79 Griffith J. D., Comeau L., Rosenfield S., Stansel R. M., Bianchi A., Moss H. et al. (1999) Mammalian telomeres end in a large duplex loop. Cell **97:** 503–514
- 80 Stansel R. M., de Lange T. and Griffith J. D. (2001) T-loop assembly in vitro involves binding of TRF2 near the 3' telomeric overhang. EMBO J. 20: 5532–5540
- 81 Smith S., Giriat I., Schmitt A. and de Lange T. (1998) Tankyrase, a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase at human telomeres. Science 282: 1484–1487

- 82 Kim S., Kaminker P. and Campisi J. (1999) TIN2, a new regulator of telomere length in human cells. Nat. Genet. 23: 405–412
- 83 Smith S. and de Lange T. (2000) Tankyrase promotes telomere elongation in human cells. Curr. Biol. 10: 1299–1302
- 84 Li B., Oestreich S. and de Lange T. (2000) Identification of human Rap1: Implications for telomere evolution. Cell 101: 471– 483
- 85 Ogata K., Hojo H., Aimoto S., Nakai T., Nakamura H., Sarai A. et al. (1992) Solution structure of a DNA-binding unit of Myb: A helix-turn-helix-related motif with conserved tryptophans forming a hydrophobic core. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 6428-6432
- 86 Baumann P, Podell E. and Cech T. R. (2002) Human Pot1 (Protection of telomeres) protein: cytolocalization, gene structure, and alternative splicing. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22: 8079–8087

- 87 Hsu H. L., Gilley D., Galande S. A., Hande M. P., Allen B., Kim S. H. et al. (2000) Ku acts in a unique way at the mammalian telomere to prevent end joining. Genes Dev. 14: 2807–2812
- 88 Song K., Jung D., Jung Y., Lee S. G. and Lee I. (2000) Interaction of human Ku70 with TRF2. FEBS Lett. 481: 81–85
- 89 Bianchi A. and de Lange T. (1999) Ku binds telomeric DNA in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 21223–21227
- 90 Walker J. R., Corpina R. A. and Goldberg J. (2001) Structure of the Ku heterodimer bound to DNA and its implications for double-strand break repair. Nature 412: 607–614
- 91 Song K., Jung Y., Jung D. and Lee I. (2001) Human Ku70 interacts with heterochromatin protein 1α. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 8321–8327
- 92 Baur J. A., Zou Y., Shay J. W. and Wright W. E. (2001) Telomere position effect in human cells. Science **292:** 2075–2077



To access this journal online: http://www.birkhauser.ch