Effect of age, smoking and other lifestyle factors on urinary 7-methylguanine and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine

Kazuyoshi Tamae,^{1,5} Kazuaki Kawai,¹ Sayumi Yamasaki,¹ Kiyoshi Kawanami,^{3,6} Masato Ikeda,² Ken Takahashi,⁴ Toshiaki Miyamoto,³ Noritada Kato³ and Hiroshi Kasai^{1,7}

¹Department of Environmental Oncology, ²Department of Occupational Health Economics and ⁴Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health; ³Kimitsu Works, Nippon Steel Corp

(Received October 20, 2008/Revised December 14, 2008/Accepted December 16, 2008/Online publication February 2, 2009)

Urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG) and 7-methylguanine (m⁷Gua) were measured by a column-switching high performance liquid chromatography method as markers of oxidative and methylating DNA damage, respectively. We investigated the associations between urinary 8-OH-dG or m⁷Gua and various lifestyle and demographic factors, such as age and sex. The urinary 8-OH-dG excretion level was positively correlated with cigarette smoking, but inversely correlated with fruit consumption, physical activity and total energy consumed per day. A multiple regression analysis revealed that daily physical activity and healthy meal combinations decreased the urinary 8-OH-dG level, whereas alcohol consumption increased it. In terms of the urinary m⁷Gua measurement, cigarette smoking, age and consumption of meat, fish, egg, soybean, etc. were positively correlated with the urinary m7Gua level, whereas body weight, BMI, physical activity, and dietary index score, which indicates good nutritional balance, were negatively correlated with the amount of m⁷Gua. Based on a multiple regression analysis, cigarette smoking and age correlated with the m⁷Gua level, while high BMI and healthy meal combinations have significant reducing effects on m⁷Gua level. Therefore, the urinary m⁷Gua level is considered to be a useful marker of DNA methylation, not only from smoking, but also from aging and unhealthy dietary habits. (Cancer Sci 2009; 100: 715-721)

xygen radicals are formed in cells by oxygen metabolism and various environmental agents, and they damage DNA, RNA, and proteins.⁽¹⁾ Among the many types of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG) is a major product and is frequently analyzed as a marker of cellular oxidative stress related to carcinogenesis,^(2,3) because 8-OH-dG induces mutations,^(4,5) is excreted in the urine, and it has been analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography-electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD),^(6,7) liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS),⁽⁸⁾ gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS),⁽⁶⁾ and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).⁽¹⁰⁾ However, the reproducibility and accuracy of its measurement are much higher with the HPLC-ECD and LC-MS/MS methods, as compared to the ELISA method.^(11,12) We have reported that higher 8-OH-dG levels were observed in the lung DNA of smokers,(13) the liver DNA of chronic hepatitis patients,⁽¹⁴⁾ and in the stomach DNA of patients infected with Helicobacter pylori.⁽¹⁵⁾ It has also been reported that the urinary 8-OH-dG level is higher in cancer patients than in healthy people,⁽¹⁶⁾ higher in smokers than in nonsmokers,⁽¹⁷⁾ and lower in people who exercise moderately.⁽¹⁷⁾ In addition, the urinary 8-OH-dG level was higher in men than in women,⁽⁷⁾ and it negatively correlated to body mass index (BMI).⁽⁷⁾ As an explanation for the relationship between a lean BMI and high urinary 8-OH-dG excretion, it has been suggested that lean persons have a higher metabolic rate than obese persons,⁽¹⁸⁾ and therefore have higher oxidative stress. Thus, various factors affect the 8-OH-dG levels in humans.

On the other hand, 7-methylguanine (m⁷Gua) is a biomarker of DNA damage induced by methylating agents. m7Gua may serve as a good biomarker of DNA damage caused by nitrosamines in tobacco smoke,⁽¹⁹⁾ and other environmental methylating agents, such as methyl bromide.⁽²⁰⁾ It is also possible that m⁷Gua is formed in cellular DNA by an endogenous methylating agent, S-adenosylmethionine.⁽²¹⁾ m⁷Gua is also a degradation product from RNÅ,^(22,23) and is known as a metabolic rate marker. Urinary m⁷Gua was measured by several researchers,⁽²⁴⁾ as a product of DNA damage. For instance, the amount of m⁷Gua excreted in the urine is increased after exposure to methylating agents in laboratory animals.^(25,26) Higher levels of m⁷Gua excretion have been reported among patients with colon cancer,⁽²⁷⁾ although not in patients with gastric cancer.⁽²⁸⁾ In particular, the urinary excretion of m⁷Gua has been shown to be higher among smokers than non-smokers.(29)

Therefore, urinary 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua seem to be useful biomarkers of DNA damage caused by oxidation and methylation, respectively. Measuring the two markers may be very meaningful, because the mechanisms of mutagenesis and carcinogenesis due to DNA oxidation and methylation are different. Therefore, it would be beneficial if the amounts of 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua in human urine could be analyzed simultaneously. Recently, we developed a new HPLC method to analyze 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua simultaneously, based on an anion exchange and reverse phase column-switching system.⁽³⁰⁾ This HPLC method was further modified to measure 8-OH-dG and m7Gua in not only human urine samples, but also those from rat and mouse.⁽³⁰⁾ In this study, with this new HPLC method, we examined the influence of various lifestyle factors on the levels of urinary 8-OH-dG and urinary m⁷Gua among a sample of 361 Japanese healthy male employees.

Materials and Methods

Urine collection and questionnaire investigation. After informed consent was obtained, urine samples were collected from 578 healthy employees in a steel-manufacturing company. At the same time, each individual's information on age, height and weight (for BMI), sex, status of cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking, status of dietary habits (for dietary score), status of rest (for rest score), and status of daily physical activity was obtained through a questionnaire. However, in the present study

⁵Present address: Faculty of Education and Culture, University of Miyazaki. ⁶Present address: Social Insurance Chikuho Hospital.

⁷To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: h-kasai@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

Table 1.	The characteristics of categorical lifestyle factors and urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG) levels and urin	ary 7-methylguanine
(m ⁷ Gua)	levels in 361 male subjects	

Variables Category n % BoH-dG P* mr/Gua P* Silept Deficient 10 2.8 4.37 ± 0.25 0.53 8.03 ± 0.47 0.26 Silepthy deficient 181 50.11 4.19 ± 0.12 8.98 ± 0.21 0.53 8.03 ± 0.47 0.26 Holiday Little or none 2 0.6 5.41 ± 1.20 1.00 9.49 ± 0.12 0.15 Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 8.07 ± 0.36 0.37 Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 8.07 ± 0.36 0.37 Regresular 86 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 0.26 8.87 ± 0.17 8.87 ± 0.17 8.87 ± 0.28 0.28 0.16 8.91 ± 0.28 0.16 8.91 ± 0.28 0.28 1.041 8.34 ± 0.37 0.16 8.91 ± 0.28 0.17 8.74 ± 0.19 1.040 8.85 ± 0.55 0.55 1.14 ± 0.09 8.85 ± 0.55 0.55 1.14 ± 0.09 8.86 ± 0.17 0.26 9.32 ± 0.37 <th>N/ 111</th> <th rowspan="2">Category</th> <th></th> <th>0/</th> <th></th> <th colspan="4">Urinary markers⁺</th>	N/ 111	Category		0/		Urinary markers ⁺			
SheepDeficient102.84.37 ± 0.250.538.03 ± 0.470.26HolidaySufficient1704.714.20 ± 0.118.60 ± 0.198.60 ± 0.198.60 ± 0.19HolidayLittle or none20.65.41 ± 1.201.009.42 ± 0.400.6TaigueAlways185.03.65 ± 0.218.65 ± 0.128.65 ± 0.150.65 ± 0.120.	Variables		n	%	8-OH-dG	P*	m ⁷ Gua	P*	
Slightly deficient 181 50.1 4.19 ± 0.12 8.86 ± 0.19 Holiday Little or none 2 0.6 5.41 ± 1.20 1.00 9.49 ± 0.02 0.53 Faigue Little or none 2 0.6 5.41 ± 1.20 1.00 9.42 ± 0.40 0.73 Faigue Always 1.8 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 ± 0.15 0.37 Sometimes 2.56 7.09 4.17 ± 0.09 8.87 ± 0.17 6.62 ± 0.25 6.83 ± 0.21 0.24 8.87 ± 0.17 6.62 ± 0.27 0.24 8.87 ± 0.17 0.24 8.87 ± 0.17 6.87 ± 0.23 0.24 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.25 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.25 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.25 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.87 ± 0.27 0.85 0.26 8.84 ± 0.25 0.55 0.55 0.84 ± 0.55 0.55	Sleep	Deficient	10	2.8	$\textbf{4.37} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	0.53	$\textbf{8.03} \pm \textbf{0.47}$	0.26	
Sufficient 170 47.1 4.20 ± 0.11 8.60 ± 0.19 Holiday Once a week 51 14.1 3.96 ± 0.21 9.42 ± 0.40 Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 8.63 ± 0.17 0.37 Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 8.67 ± 0.32 0.86 8.67 ± 0.37 Sometimes 256 70.9 4.17 ± 0.09 8.87 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 Rarely 215 59.6 4.02 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 Rotity regular 215 59.6 4.30 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.28 0.24 Regular 80 22.2 4.30 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.20 0.25 8.62 ± 0.25 0.55 0.		Slightly deficient	181	50.1	$\textbf{4.19} \pm \textbf{0.12}$		$\textbf{8.98} \pm \textbf{0.21}$		
Holiday Little or none 2 0.6 5.41 ± 1.20 1.00 9.49 ± 0.12 0.15 Fatigue Noice a week 308 85.3 4.23 ± 0.01 8.66 ± 0.15 5.7 Fatigue Always 18 5.07 9.41 ± 0.09 8.66 ± 0.15 8.67 ± 0.17 8.66 ± 0.15 Sometimes 256 70.9 4.17 ± 0.09 8.67 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 7.02 8.67 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.27 0.24 8.67 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.27 0.24 Refreshing Mottly regular 80 2.22 4.30 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.27 0.24 Size of a meal Difficult 13 3.6 4.40 ± 0.38 0.48 7.85 ± 0.56 0.36 Moderation every time 128 5.48 4.29 ± 0.11 8.56 ± 0.27 0.24 8.56 ± 0.27 0.24 8.56 ± 0.27 0.25 8.84 ± 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.56 0.26 8.37 ± 0.27 0.22 8.37 ± 0.27 0.24 8.37 ± 0.27 0.27 Mea		Sufficient	170	47.1	$\textbf{4.20} \pm \textbf{0.11}$		$\textbf{8.60} \pm \textbf{0.19}$		
Once a week 51 14.1 3.96 ± 0.21 9.42 ± 0.40 Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.66 ± 0.32 0.86 8.67 ± 0.37 Sometimes 256 70.9 1.17 ± 0.08 8.67 ± 0.37 8.67 ± 0.37 Rarely 87 2.41 4.33 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 0.24 Rarely 87 2.41 4.33 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.25 0.24 Rotty regular 215 59.6 4.30 ± 0.16 8.87 ± 0.19 0.24 Regular 80 2.22 4.30 ± 0.16 8.87 ± 0.19 0.26 Refreshing Moderate 255 7.06 4.13 ± 0.01 8.65 ± 0.20 0.11 8.56 ± 0.20 Size of a meal Full stomach very time 138 3.85 4.12 ± 0.11 8.56 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Moderation Rorely 175 3.85 4.09 ± 0.15 8.84 ± 0.28 0.20 0.12 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Koping meals Onsider sometimes 187 <t< td=""><td>Holiday</td><td>Little or none</td><td>2</td><td>0.6</td><td>5.41 ± 1.20</td><td>1.00</td><td>$\textbf{9.49} \pm \textbf{0.12}$</td><td>0.15</td></t<>	Holiday	Little or none	2	0.6	5.41 ± 1.20	1.00	$\textbf{9.49} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	0.15	
Twice a week 308 8.3.3 4.23 ± 0.08 8.66 ± 0.15 Fatigue 256 7.09 4.17 ± 0.09 8.67 ± 0.17 7.7 Rarely 87 24.1 3.9 ± 0.17 8.62 ± 0.27 7.2 Rhythm Irregular 66 18.3 4.05 ± 0.19 8.62 ± 0.27 0.24 Refreshing Difficult 13 3.6 4.40 ± 0.01 8.67 ± 0.19 8.67 ± 0.19 Size of a meal Difficult 13 3.6 4.40 ± 0.08 8.87 ± 0.56 0.36 Moderate 257 7.06 4.38 ± 0.07 8.86 ± 0.5 0.55 Size of a meal Difficult stomach every time 128 4.38 ± 0.21 8.87 ± 0.2 0.11 8.57 ± 0.27 0.24 Healthy Rerely 46 127 4.25 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.2 0.72 Sipping meals Orn meal every day 63 17.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.21 8.37 ± 0.2 Sipping meals Orn meal every day 63 17.5 4.37 ± 0.20 8.		Once a week	51	14.1	$\textbf{3.96} \pm \textbf{0.21}$		$\textbf{9.42} \pm \textbf{0.40}$		
Fatigue Always 18 5.0 3.6 9.26 8,71.0.13 0.86 8,71.0.17 Rarely 87 24.1 4.39±0.17 0.85±0.27 0.24 Rhythm 1rregular 66 18.3 4.05±0.19 0.25 8.28±0.27 0.24 Regular 80 2.22 4.30±0.10 0.85±0.56 0.36 Moderate 2.55 7.06 4.13±0.09 0.86±0.17 0.55 Size of a meal Full stomach every time 24 6.6 3.87±0.27 0.85 0.45±0.50 0.55 No patterm 139 38.5 4.12±0.11 8.59±0.20 0.72 8.59±0.20 0.72 Healthy No patterm 139 38.5 4.12±0.11 8.59±0.20 0.72 Kapiga meals Consider sometimes 187 51.8 4.33±0.12 8.57±0.20 0.72 Kapiga meals Consider sometimes 187 51.8 4.33±0.10 8.57±0.20 0.72 Kapiga meals Consider sometimes 187 51.8 4.33±0.10 8.35±0.16 0.55 Sigping		Twice a week	308	85.3	$\textbf{4.23} \pm \textbf{0.08}$		$\textbf{8.66} \pm \textbf{0.15}$		
Sometimes 256 70.9 4.17 ± 0.09 8.87 ± 0.17 Rarely 87 24.1 4.39 ± 0.17 8.24 ± 0.27 0.24 Rhythm Irregular 66 18.3 4.05 ± 0.19 0.25 8.28 ± 0.27 0.24 Refreshing Difficult 13 3.6 4.40 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.17 0.25 0.26 0.25 0	Fatigue	Always	18	5.0	$\textbf{3.66} \pm \textbf{0.32}$	0.86	$\textbf{8.07} \pm \textbf{0.36}$	0.37	
Rarely 87 24.1 4.30.7 8.62±0.27 8.62±0.27 0.24 Rhythm Iregular 215 596 4.20±0.10 0.25 8.87±0.19 0.24 Regular 80 222 4.30±0.16 0.83±0.28 0.25 Refreshing Moderate 255 70.6 4.13±0.09 0.856±0.55 0.55 Size of a meal Full stomach every time 24 6.6 3.87±0.27 0.85 8.84±0.55 0.55 Moderation every time 198 54.8 4.29±0.11 0.80±0.20 0.11 8.90±0.20 Healthy Rerely 46 12.7 4.32±0.20 0.11 8.70±0.23 0.10 0.85±0.20 0.11 8.70±0.23 0.10 0.85±0.20 0.10 8.71±0.20 0.70 8.93±0.10 0.55 0.93±0.94 0.35 0.35 0.39±0.94 0.35 0.40±0.10 8.83±0.10 0.75 9.33±0.02 0.10 0.35 0.10 0.35 0.10 0.35 0.10 0.25 9.39±0.		Sometimes	256	70.9	$\textbf{4.17} \pm \textbf{0.09}$		8.87 ± 0.17		
Rhythm Irregular 66 18.3 4 20 ± 0.10 8.28 ± 0.27 0.24 Mostly regular 215 5.56 4 20 ± 0.10 8.97 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.87 ± 0.19 8.85 ± 0.17 8.85 ± 0.17 8.85 ± 0.15 8.85 ± 0.12 8.85 ± 0.12 8.85 ± 0.15 8.84 ± 0.55 0.55 No pattern 198 5.4 8.42 ± 0.11 8.95 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Healthy Gersider sometimes 187 5.18 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.37 0.72 Kopiping meals Consider every time 128 3.55 3.99 ± 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Kopiping meals One meal every day 63 17.5 4.33 ± 0.12 8.83 ± 0.16 0.35 0.99 ± 0.14 8.84 ± 0.29 0.81 ± 0.10 0.35 0.91		Rarely	87	24.1	$\textbf{4.39} \pm \textbf{0.17}$		$\textbf{8.62} \pm \textbf{0.25}$		
Mostly regular 215 59.6 4.20 ± 0.10 8.87 ± 0.19 Refular 80 22.2 4.30 ± 0.16 8.91 ± 0.28 0.36 Refreshing Difficuit 13 3.6 4.04 ± 0.38 0.48 7.85 ± 0.56 0.36 Size of a meal Full stomach every time 24 6.6 3.87 ± 0.27 0.85 8.84 ± 0.55 0.57 Healthy No pattern 198 5.84 4.29 ± 0.11 8.09 ± 0.20 0.72 Healthy Rerely 46 12.7 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Moder ation every time 128 35.5 3.99 ± 0.11 8.75 ± 0.37 0.72 Meal Consider every time 128 35.5 3.99 ± 0.11 8.75 ± 0.37 0.72 Meal Consider every day 63 17.5 4.37 ± 0.42 0.83 ± 0.02 0.010 Stipping meals One mal every day 63 7.6 2.1 4.09 ± 0.15 8.88 ± 0.28 Light-colored Rarely 17	Rhythm	Irregular	66	18.3	4.05 ± 0.19	0.25	$\textbf{8.28} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	0.24	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Mostly regular	215	59.6	$\textbf{4.20} \pm \textbf{0.10}$		$\textbf{8.87} \pm \textbf{0.19}$		
Refreshing Diff Cult 13 3.6 4.0.4 ± 0.38 0.48 7.85 ± 0.56 0.36 Easy 255 7.6 4.13 ± 0.09 8.86 ± 0.17 8.67 ± 0.23 Size of a meal Full stomach every time 24 6.6 3.87 ± 0.27 0.85 8.84 ± 0.55 0.55 No pattern 198 5.8 4.12 ± 0.11 8.59 ± 0.20 0.72 Healthy Rerely 46 1.7 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Kopatter sometimes 187 5.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 0.21 8.87 ± 0.23 0.72 Combination Consider sometimes 187 5.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.23 0.72 Sipping meals Consider every time 128 3.5.5 3.99 ± 0.11 8.73 ± 0.37 0.72 Sipping meals Consider every tame 128 4.33 ± 0.12 0.83 ± 0.16 0.35 Light-colored Rarely 17 4.7 4.32 ± 0.42 0.78 9.39 ± 0.68 0.35		Regular	80	22.2	$\textbf{4.30} \pm \textbf{0.16}$		8.91 ± 0.28		
ModerateP3 Easy70.6 934.13 2.0098.65 6.7 8.67 4.033 2.0118.65 6.7 6.7 8.67 4.033 2.025Size of a mealFull stomach every time24 1.006.6 8.87 4.0290.85 8.84 4.0218.84 4.0550.55 8.84 4.051HealtyRerely46 4.01712.7 4.25 4.0250.011 8.57 4.0278.57 4.0370.72 8.57 4.037HealtyRerely46 4.01712.7 4.25 4.0250.011 8.57 4.0378.72 4.0200.72 8.72 8.87 4.021CombinationConsider every time128 2.073.55 3.99 4.0158.87 4.0220.72 8.88 8.028CombinationConsider every time128 2.073.72 2.0200.62 8.88 9.0119.32 8.88 8.028Light-coloredRarely17 4.74.72 4.32 2.0200.62 8.83 8.0169.33 8.83 8.028Light-coloredRarely17 2.074.72 4.02 4.020.78 8.83 8.0248.83 8.029Green- and yellow-collored vegetablesRarely26 2.07 3 times a week18 4.72 4.0160.75 8.72 8.72 9.93 9.0680.06 8.72 8.72 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.072 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.072 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.072 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.072 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.072 9.93 9.0680.06 8.74 9.93 9.0680.06 9.72 9.932 9.932 9.932 <td>Refreshing</td> <td>Difficult</td> <td>13</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>$\textbf{4.40} \pm \textbf{0.38}$</td> <td>0.48</td> <td>$7.85 \pm 0.56$</td> <td>0.36</td>	Refreshing	Difficult	13	3.6	$\textbf{4.40} \pm \textbf{0.38}$	0.48	7.85 ± 0.56	0.36	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	5	Moderate	255	70.6	$\textbf{4.13} \pm \textbf{0.09}$		8.86 ± 0.17		
Size of a meal Ful stomach every time 24 6.6 3.87 ± 0.27 0.85 8.84 ± 0.55 0.55 No pattern 198 54.8 4.29 ± 0.11 8.90 ± 0.20 0.55 Healthy Rerely 46 1.27 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Meal Consider sometimes 187 51.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 0.11 8.77 ± 0.23 Combination Consider every time 128 3.55 3.99 ± 0.11 8.70 ± 0.23 0.10 Skipping meals One meal every day 63 1.75 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.39 0.10 Light-colored Rarely 195 54.0 4.20 ± 0.10 8.53 ± 0.16 0.35 Vegetable Once a day 268 74.2 4.22 ± 0.09 8.33 ± 0.16 0.62 9.39 ± 0.81 0.06 Green- and yellow-collored vegetables Rarely 26 7.42 4.42 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.12 0.35 0.93 0.68 0.65 Green- and yellow-collored vegetable Rarely 10 3.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.03		Easy	93	25.8	$\textbf{4.33} \pm \textbf{0.17}$		8.67 ± 0.23		
No pattern19854.8 4.29 ± 0.11 8.90 ± 0.20 HealthyRerely4612.7 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 MealConsider sometimes18751.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 Consider sometimes18751.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 CombinationConsider sometimes18751.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 0.10 Skipping mealsOne meal every day6317.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.32 0.10 2 or 3 meals a week1032.85 4.09 ± 0.15 8.88 ± 0.28 0.16 8.81 ± 0.16 0.162 9.32 ± 0.42 0.78 9.30 ± 0.94 0.35 VegetableOnce a day2.687.42 4.22 ± 0.42 0.78 9.30 ± 0.94 0.35 Green- and yellow-collored vegetablesRarely267.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.93 ± 0.68 0.66 2 or 3 times a week24467.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.22 0.68 2 or 3 times a week24467.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.22 0.66 2 or 3 times a week113.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.15 ± 0.55 0.55 FruitRarely14038.8 4.24 ± 0.11 9.07 ± 0.20 1.0 ± 0.20 1.0 ± 0.20 1.0 ± 0.20 Keat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely113.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.15 ± 0.55 0.55 MilkRarely118	Size of a meal	Full stomach every time	24	6.6	3.87 ± 0.27	0.85	$\textbf{8.84} \pm \textbf{0.55}$	0.55	
Moderation every time 139 38.5 4.12 ± 0.11 8.58 ± 0.20 Healthy Rerely 46 1.2.7 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72 Consider sometimes 187 51.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.37 0.72 Combination Consider every time 128 35.5 3.99 ± 0.11 8.70 ± 0.23 Skipping meals One meal every day 63 17.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.93 0.10 2 or 3 meals a week 103 2.8.5 4.09 ± 0.15 8.88 ± 0.26 0.72 Light-colored Rarely 17 4.7 4.32 ± 0.42 0.78 9.30 ± 0.94 0.35 Vegetable Once a day 268 7.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.33 ± 0.68 0.66 2 or 3 times a week 244 67.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.54 ± 0.22 0.66 2 or 3 times a week 171 4.30 ± 0.12 0.33 ± 0.42 0.42 0.12 8.54 ± 0.22 0.66 2 or 3 times a week 171		No pattern	198	54.8	4.29 ± 0.11		$\textbf{8.90} \pm \textbf{0.20}$		
Healthy MealRerely4612.7 4.25 ± 0.20 0.11 8.57 ± 0.37 0.72MealConsider sometimes18751.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 8.77 ± 0.20 Skipping mealsOne meal every day6317.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.39 0.10 Skipping mealsOne meal every day6317.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.39 0.10 Light-coloredRarely19554.0 4.20 ± 0.15 8.88 ± 0.28 0.35 ± 0.61 Light-coloredRarely17 4.7 4.32 ± 0.42 0.78 9.30 ± 0.94 0.35 VegetableOnce a day268 7.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.93 ± 0.68 0.66 2 or 3 times a week24467.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.16 8.53 ± 0.25 FruitRarely14038.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.03 8.54 ± 0.22 0.06 2 or 3 times a week18751.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.33 8.54 ± 0.22 0.06 Meat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely113.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.15 ± 0.55 0.05 MilkRarely11832.7 4.22 ± 0.11 9.02 ± 0.20 8.32 ± 0.21 MilkRarely11832.7 4.22 ± 0.11 9.08 ± 0.19 Meat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely113.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 A rarely113.0 4.22 ± 0.11 8.62 ± 0.21 0.68 ± 0.24 </td <td></td> <td>Moderation every time</td> <td>139</td> <td>38.5</td> <td>4.12 ± 0.11</td> <td></td> <td>8.58 ± 0.20</td> <td></td>		Moderation every time	139	38.5	4.12 ± 0.11		8.58 ± 0.20		
MealConsider sometimes18751.8 4.33 ± 0.12 8.87 ± 0.20 CombinationConsider every time128 35.5 3.99 ± 0.11 8.70 ± 0.23 Skipping mealsOne meal every due63 17.5 4.37 ± 0.20 0.62 9.32 ± 0.39 0.10 Skipping mealsOne meal every due103 28.5 4.09 ± 0.15 8.88 ± 0.28 0.10 Light-coloredRarely17 4.7 4.22 ± 0.00 8.33 ± 0.16 0.52 VegetableOnce a day 268 74.2 4.22 ± 0.09 8.83 ± 0.16 0.68 Green- and yellow-collored vegetablesRarely 26 7.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.33 ± 0.94 0.35 FruitRarely26 7.2 4.10 ± 0.14 8.43 ± 0.29 0.68 0.66 $2 \text{ or 3 times a week}$ 244 67.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.16 0.66 FruitRarely10 38.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.03 8.54 ± 0.22 0.66 $2 \text{ or 3 times a week}$ 187 51.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.33 8.54 ± 0.22 0.66 $2 \text{ or 3 times a week}$ 187 51.8 4.24 ± 0.11 9.07 ± 0.20 0.62 Meat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely11 3.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.52 ± 0.21 MilkRarely118 32.7 4.25 ± 0.11 9.08 ± 0.19 0.55 0.55 0.51 Twice a day194 53.7 4.25 ± 0.11 9.82	Healthy	Rerely	46	12.7	4.25 ± 0.20	0.11	8.57 ± 0.37	0.72	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meal	Consider sometimes	187	51.8	4.33 ± 0.12		8.87 ± 0.20		
	Combination	Consider every time	128	35.5	3.99 ± 0.11		8.70 ± 0.23		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Skipping meals	One meal every day	63	17.5	4.37 ± 0.20	0.62	9.32 ± 0.39	0.10	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 or 3 meals a week	103	28.5	4.09 ± 0.15		8.88 ± 0.28		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Rarely	195	54.0	$\textbf{4.20} \pm \textbf{0.10}$		8.53 ± 0.16		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Light-colored	Rarely	17	4.7	4.32 ± 0.42	0.78	9.30 ± 0.94	0.35	
Each meal7621.1 4.09 ± 0.14 8.43 ± 0.29 Green- and yellow-collored vegetablesRarely267.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.93 ± 0.68 0.06 2 or 3 times a week24467.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.16 8.74 ± 0.16 FruitRarely14038.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.03 8.54 ± 0.22 0.06 2 or 3 times a week18751.8 4.28 ± 0.11 9.07 ± 0.20 0.06 Everyday349.4 3.57 ± 0.19 8.10 ± 0.36 Meat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely11 3.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.15 ± 0.55 MikRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 ± 0.21 MikRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.26 OilRarely92.5 4.41 ± 0.58 0.55 9.30 ± 1.20 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.41 ± 0.58 0.55 9.30 ± 1.20 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.50 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.50 </td <td>Vegetable</td> <td>Once a day</td> <td>268</td> <td>74.2</td> <td>4.22 ± 0.09</td> <td></td> <td>8.83 ± 0.16</td> <td></td>	Vegetable	Once a day	268	74.2	4.22 ± 0.09		8.83 ± 0.16		
Green- and yellow-collored vegetablesRarely 26 7.2 4.10 ± 0.32 0.75 9.93 ± 0.68 0.06 2 or 3 times a week 244 67.6 4.21 ± 0.10 8.74 ± 0.16 8.74 ± 0.16 FruitRarely140 38.8 4.24 ± 0.12 0.03 8.54 ± 0.22 0.06 2 or 3 times a week187 51.8 4.28 ± 0.11 9.07 ± 0.20 0.06 Meat, fish, egg, etc.Rarely11 3.0 4.72 ± 0.49 0.16 8.15 ± 0.55 0.05 Twice a day194 53.7 4.25 ± 0.11 9.08 ± 0.19 0.84 ± 0.12 0.88 ± 0.19 MilkRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 ± 0.24 0.68 OilRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 ± 0.24 $0.68 \pm 0.$	5	Each meal	76	21.1	4.09 ± 0.14		8.43 ± 0.29		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Green- and vellow-collored vegetables	Rarely	26	7.2	4.10 ± 0.32	0.75	9.93 ± 0.68	0.06	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, ,	2 or 3 times a week	244	67.6	4.21 ± 0.10		8.74 ± 0.16		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Everyday	91	25.2	4.18 ± 0.12		8.53 ± 0.25		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fruit	Rarely	140	38.8	4.24 ± 0.12	0.03	8.54 ± 0.22	0.06	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 or 3 times a week	187	51.8	4.28 ± 0.11		9.07 ± 0.20		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Everyday	34	9.4	3.57 ± 0.19		8.10 ± 0.36		
Twice a day194 53.7 4.25 ± 0.11 9.08 ± 0.19 Each meal156 43.2 4.09 ± 0.11 8.43 ± 0.21 MilkRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 0.26 SeaweedRarely5816.1 3.99 ± 0.18 0.75 8.42 ± 0.34 0.50 2 or 3 times a week266 73.7 4.26 ± 0.09 8.86 ± 0.16 0.50 2 or 3 times a week266 73.7 4.26 ± 0.09 8.69 ± 0.49 0.75 PhysicalLight192 53.2 4.39 ± 0.11 0.03 8.71 ± 0.17 0.35 activity-1Moderate119 33.0 4.09 ± 0.12 9.07 ± 0.28 0.77 ± 0.28 Moderately heavy12 3.3 3.85 ± 0.48 8.23 ± 0.67 4.92 ± 0.41	Meat, fish, egg, etc.	Rarely	11	3.0	4.72 ± 0.49	0.16	8.15 ± 0.55	0.05	
Each meal156 43.2 4.09 ± 0.11 8.43 ± 0.21 MilkRarely118 32.7 4.32 ± 0.16 0.23 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 8.86 ± 0.24 0.68 2 or 3 times a week171 47.4 4.10 ± 0.10 8.82 ± 0.21 0.68 OilRarely9 2.5 4.41 ± 0.58 0.55 9.30 ± 1.20 0.26 2 or 3 times a week192 53.2 4.15 ± 0.12 8.95 ± 0.19 8.52 ± 0.20 SeaweedRarely5816.1 3.99 ± 0.18 0.75 8.42 ± 0.34 0.50 2 or 3 times a week266 73.7 4.26 ± 0.09 8.86 ± 0.16 69 ± 0.49 PhysicalLight192 53.2 4.39 ± 0.11 0.03 8.71 ± 0.17 0.35 activity-1Moderate119 33.0 4.09 ± 0.12 9.07 ± 0.28 4.04 ± 0.21 8.23 ± 0.67 Heavy3810.5 3.68 ± 0.19 8.32 ± 0.41 4.04 ± 0.21 4.02 ± 0.024 4.02 ± 0.024		Twice a day	194	53.7	4.25 ± 0.11		9.08 ± 0.19		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Each meal	156	43.2	4.09 ± 0.11		8.43 ± 0.21		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Milk	Rarely	118	32.7	4.32 ± 0.16	0.23	8.86 ± 0.24	0.68	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 or 3 times a week	171	47.4	$\textbf{4.10} \pm \textbf{0.10}$		8.82 ± 0.21		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Everyday	72	19.9	4.23 ± 0.15		8.53 ± 0.29		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Oil	Rarely	9	2.5	4.41 ± 0.58	0.55	9.30 ± 1.20	0.26	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 or 3 times a week	192	53.2	4.15 ± 0.12		8.95 ± 0.19		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Eeveryday	160	44.3	$\textbf{4.24} \pm \textbf{0.10}$		8.52 ± 0.20		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Seaweed	Rarely	58	16.1	3.99 ± 0.18	0.75	8.42 ± 0.34	0.50	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 or 3 times a week	266	73.7	4.26 ± 0.09		8.86 ± 0.16		
Physical activity-1 Light 192 53.2 4.39 ± 0.11 0.03 8.71 ± 0.17 0.35 Moderate 119 33.0 4.09 ± 0.12 9.07 ± 0.28 Moderately heavy 12 3.3 3.85 ± 0.48 8.23 ± 0.67 Heavy 38 10.5 3.68 ± 0.19 8.32 ± 0.41		Everyday	37	10.2	4.04 ± 0.21		8.69 ± 0.49		
activity-1 Moderate 119 33.0 4.09 ± 0.12 9.07 ± 0.28 Moderately heavy 12 3.3 3.85 ± 0.48 8.23 ± 0.67 Heavy 38 10.5 3.68 ± 0.19 8.32 ± 0.41	Physical	Light	192	53.2	4.39 ± 0.11	0.03	8.71 ± 0.17	0.35	
Moderately heavy 12 3.3 3.85 ± 0.48 8.23 ± 0.67 Heavy 38 10.5 3.68 ± 0.19 8.32 ± 0.41	activity-1	Moderate	119	33.0	4.09 ± 0.12		9.07 ± 0.28		
Heavy $38 10.5 3.68 \pm 0.19 8.32 \pm 0.41$,	Moderately heavy	12	3.3	3.85 ± 0.48		8.23 ± 0.67		
		Heavy	38	10.5	3.68 ± 0.19		$\textbf{8.32} \pm \textbf{0.41}$		

*One-way analysis of variance.

⁺Data are mean \pm SE: 8-OH-dG (µg/g creatinine), m⁷Gua (mg/g creatinine).

we only selected the participants who answered all of the items in the questionnaire, to avoid bias as much as possible. Consequently, the data from 361 male subjects (aged 18–59 years, mean 36.3 ± 10.3) were analyzed.

With regard to the questionnaire, the total scores of rest and meals (rest index, dietary index) were expressed as the sum of each score. For example, the rest index score is the sum of the scores (1-3) of sleeping hours, frequency of holidays, feeling of

fatigue, rhythm of daily life, and ability to refresh (Table 1). Therefore, a low rest index score means insufficient rest, whereas a high score shows sufficient rest status. Similarly, the status of the dietary habits (dietary index score) is the total score of 10 items consisting of meal size, healthy combinations of meals, frequency of skipping meals, intake of light-colored vegetables, greenand yellow-colored vegetables, fruits, milk, edible oil, seaweed, and intake of meat, fish, egg, soybean, etc. Consequently, a

Table 2.	Association of	⁸ 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine	(8-OH-dG) and	7-methylguanine (I	m ⁷ Gua) with	continuous variables
----------	----------------	--------------------------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------------------	----------------------

	M	Min		Correlation coefficient				
Variables	Mean ± SE		Max	8-OH-dG	Р	m ⁷ Gua	Р	
Age	$\textbf{36.28} \pm \textbf{0.54}$	18	59	-0.014	0.698	0.190	<0.001	
Weight	$\textbf{67.14} \pm \textbf{0.52}$	45.6	104	-0.033	0.343	-0.094	0.008	
BMI	22.63 ± 0.16	15.4	34.0	-0.065	0.066	-0.078	0.028	
Energy consumed	2487.10 ± 21.72	1892	3916	-0.069	0.049	-0.086	0.015	
Physical activity-2	82.92 ± 5.90	3	1207	0.040	0.258	-0.057	0.11	
Alcohol drinking	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	0	4.3	0.065	0.073	0.047	0.191	
Smoking	15.50 ± 0.57	0	40	0.088	0.023	0.247	<0.001	
Brinkman index	259.79 ± 14.45	0	1520	0.082	0.024	0.278	<0.001	
Rest index score	11.75 ± 0.09	6	15	0.069	0.071	-0.005	0.902	
Dietary index score	21.56 ± 0.16	13	30	-0.011	0.757	-0.077	0.036	

high dietary index score means good nutritional balance. Physical activity was calculated by two different methods (physical activity-1, -2), based on resting metabolic rate (RMR) and physical activity by commuting, working and sports, etc. Namely, 'physical activity-1' was calculated by the ratio of (physical activity by commuting, working and sports/RMR) and was categorized into four groups (scores from 1 to 4). A higher value means high physical activity. 'Physical activity-2' was calculated by physical activity due to commuting and sports, age, sex and weight and was expressed by kCal/day. Total energy consumed per day was calculated from height, age, sex and physical activity by commuting, working and sports, and was expressed by kCal/day. As continuous variables, age, weight, BMI, total energy consumed (KCal/day), physical activity-2 (kCal/day), alcohol drinking (number of glasses drunk per day: converted to Japanese sake), cigarette smoking (number of cigarettes smoked per day) and Brinkman index obtained through the questionnaire were used.

Analysis of m⁷Gua, 8-OH-dG and creatinine. Urinary m⁷Gua and 8-OH-dG were determined by the method previously described,⁽³¹⁾ Briefly, a human urine sample was mixed with the same volume of a dilution solution containing the ribonucleoside marker, 8hydroxyguanosine. A 20-µL aliquot of the diluted urine sample was injected into HPLC-1 (MCI GEL CA08F, 7 μ m, 1.5 × 120 mm; elution, 2% acetonitrile in 0.3 mM sulfuric acid, 50 µL/min, 65°C), via the guard column $(1.5 \times 40 \text{ mm})$, and the chromatograms were recorded by a Gilson UV detector (UV/VIS-155 with 0.2 mm light path cell). Creatinine and m7Gua were detected at 245 and 305 nm, respectively. The 8-OH-dG fraction was collected, depending on the relative elution position from the peak of the added marker, 8-OH-G, and was automatically injected into the HPLC-2 column. The 8-OH-dG fraction was fractionated by the HPLC-2 column (Shiseido, Capcell Pak C18, $5 \mu m$, $4.6 \times 250 mm$; elution, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer [pH 6.7] containing 5% methanol and an antiseptic Reagent MB [100 µL/L], 1 mL/ min, 40°C). The 8-OH-dG was detected by a Coulochem II EC detector (ESA Inc., Chemsford, MA, USA) with a guard cell (5020) and an analytical cell (5011) (applied voltage: guard cell, 350 mV; E1, 170 mV; E2, 300 mV).

Statistics. The relationships between the urinary 8-OH-dG levels and the urinary m⁷Gua levels with categorical and continuous variables were analyzed by using oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Kendall's rank correlation coefficients, respectively. In addition to the ANOVA analysis, multiple comparisons between groups were conducted with Scheffe's test. Since the distributions of 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua were skewed, the log-transformed values of 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua, which showed normal distributions, were used in the multiple regression analysis. *P*-values less than 0.05 (two-tailed) were considered to indicate significant differences. All data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows 14.0.

Results

The mean level of urinary 8-OH-dG ($\mu g/g$ creatinine) in the 361 male subjects was 4.20 ± 1.47 (SD). A 19.4-fold interindividual variation was found $(0.53-10.28 \,\mu g/g \text{ creatinine})$. The mean level of m⁷Gua normalized to creatinine (mg/g creatinine) was 8.77 ± 2.61 (SD), and a 4.80-fold interindividual variation was found (3.94-18.93 mg/g creatinine). The relationships between the 16 categorical lifestyle factors and the urinary 8-OH-dG level or the urinary m⁷Gua level are shown in Table 1. The ANOVA analysis revealed that the urinary 8-OH-dG level was significantly negatively related to fruit consumption (P = 0.03) and physical activity-1 (P = 0.03). It is noteworthy that the urinary 8-OH-dG levels of the 'rarely' and 'two or three times per week' groups were significantly higher than that of the 'everyday' group (P = 0.03) in the fruits item. The results of the Scheffe's test also indicated that fruit consumption significantly reduced the urinary 8-OH-dG level. On the other hand, only the intake of meat, fish, egg, soybean, etc. significantly influenced the m⁷Gua excretion (P < 0.05). Although the Scheffe's test was conducted to facilitate multiple comparisons of the urinary m⁷Gua levels between the groups, no significant differences were observed in all categorical variables.

Table 2 shows the correlations of the continuous variables to the 8-OH-dG (μ g/g creatinine) and m⁷Gua (mg/g creatinine) levels. Significant positive correlations were observed between the urinary 8-OH-dG level and the average number of cigarettes smoked per day (r = 0.088, P = 0.023) and the Brinkman index (r = 0.082, P = 0.024), whereas there were significant inverse correlations between the urinary 8-OH-dG level and physical activity-2 (r = -0.069, P = 0.049). In contrast, more factors affect the m⁷Gua levels. Namely, significant positive correlations were observed between the urinary m⁷Gua level and age (r = 0.190, P < 0.001), the average number of cigarettes smoked per day (r = 0.247, P < 0.001) and the Brinkman index (r = 0.278, P < 0.001)P < 0.001), whereas significant inverse correlations were observed between the urinary m⁷Gua level and weight (r = -0.094, P = 0.008), BMI (r = -0.078, P = 0.028), total energy consumed (r = -0.086, P = 0.015), and the total score obtained from the meal index (r = -0.077, P = 0.036). In particular, the relationships of age, cigarettes smoked per day and Brinkman index with urinary m⁷Gua excretion were remarkable, as shown in Figs 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The results of the multiple regression analysis of 8-OH-dG by the stepwise method in the 361 male subjects are shown in Table 3. Due to the significant correlation between fatigue and rest score (r = -0.728, P < 0.001), the fatigue item was not used in the analysis, to avoid collinearity. Similarly, there was a significant correlation between cigarettes smoked per day and Brinkman index (r = 0.725, P < 0.001), so the Brinkman index item was not included in the analysis. Accordingly, the following



Fig. 1. Association between age and urinary 7-methylguanine level.



Fig. 2. Association between cigarettes smoked per day and urinary 7-methylguanine level.

24 items were used in the analysis as the independent variables for the subjects with complete data: 15 categorical variables consisting of sleep, holiday, rhythm of daily life, ability to refresh, size of a meal, healthy meal combination, frequency of skipping meals, consumption of light-colored vegetables, greenand yellow-colored vegetables, fruit, meat, milk, oil, seaweed, and physical activity-1, and nine continuous variables consisting of age, weight, BMI, energy consumption, total energy consumed, alcohol drinking, cigarette smoking, rest index score, and dietary index score. The results of the multiple regression analysis by the stepwise method indicated that physical activity-1 and healthy meal combination decreased the urinary 8-OH-dG level, whereas alcohol drinking significantly increased it. The consumption of meat, fish, egg, soybean, etc. showed a tendency to reduce the 8-OH-dG level, and the intakes of green- and yellow-



Fig. 3. Association between Brinkman index and the urinary 7methylguanine level.

colored vegetables showed a tendency of increasing it. These five independent factors obtained from the multiple regression analysis (Table 3) explain only 5.6% of the total variance. On the other hand, the total energy consumed, cigarette smoking, and BMI were not correlated with the urinary 8-OH-dG level.

Table 4 shows the results of the multiple regression analysis using m⁷Gua as the dependent variable. The 24 items as above were used in the regression analysis as the independent variables. As a result, cigarette smoking and age were significantly correlated to the urinary m⁷Gua level, whereas high BMI and dietary index score (healthy meal style) were negatively correlated to it. These four independent factors obtained from the multiple regression analysis explain 19.6% of the entire variation.

Discussion

In this article, we analyzed how the urinary 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua levels are related to various lifestyle factors. In the univariate analysis of the urinary 8-OH-dG level by the lifestyle and demographic variables, we found a decrease in the urinary 8-OH-dG level with fruit consumption and daily physical activity. Many studies have shown significant relationships between the dietary consumption of fruits and vegetables and the low urinary excretion of 8-OH-dG,^(7,2,3) although other studies found no associations between fruits and vegetables and 8-OH-dG.^(34,35)

According to Kendall's rank correlation coefficients (Table 2), the urinary 8-OH-dG level was inversely correlated with the total energy consumed. On the other hand, factors positively related to the urinary 8-OH-dG level were cigarettes smoked per day and Brinkman index. Significant relationships between the urinary 8-OH-dG level and cigarette smoking have been observed not only in urine,⁽¹⁷⁾ but also in leukocytes,⁽³⁶⁾ and lung tissue,⁽¹³⁾ However, alcohol consumption was not significantly correlated to urinary 8-OH-dG excretion. Similarly, we did not obtain a significant association between the urinary 8-OH-dG level and the rest index, while good correlations were reported between the urinary 8-OH-dG level and the average number of working hours per day,⁽³⁷⁾ and the working conditions.⁽¹⁷⁾

In our previous work, $^{(17,37)}$ and the report by Loft *et al.*⁽⁷⁾ there were significant negative correlations between 8-OH-dG and

Table 3.	Multiple	rearession	analysis	of loa	(8-OH-dG)	against	related	factors in	1 361	male	subjects
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Independent variables Male subjects ($n = 361$, $R^2 = 0.056$)	Partial <i>r</i>	SE	Beta	Р
Physical activity-1	-0.065	0.020	-0.169	0.001
Alcohol drinking	0.060	0.020	0.156	0.003
Healthy meal combination	-0.065	0.030	-0.117	0.034
Intakes of meat, fish, egg, soybean, etc.	-0.066	0.035	-0.100	0.064
Green- and yellow-colored vegetable consumption	0.680	0.038	0.101	0.076

8-OH-dG, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine. Note: Statistical analysis was conducted by a stepwise multiple regression analysis. Partial *r* indicates partial regression coefficient. Beta indicates standardized partial regression coefficient.

 Table 4. Multiple regression analysis of log (m⁷Gua) against related factors in 361 male subjects

Independent variables Male subjects ($n = 361$, $R^2 = 0.196$)	Partial <i>r</i>	SE	Beta	Р	
Smoking	0.070	0.001	0.281	<0.001	
Age	0.080	0.001	0.281	<0.001	
BMI	-0.012	0.005	-0.125	0.010	
Dietary index score	-0.010	0.005	-0.113	0.026	
Frequency of holiday	-0.069	0.036	-0.092	0.058	

 m^{7} Gua, 7-methylguanine. Note: Statistical analysis was conducted by a stepwise multiple regression analysis. Partial *r* indicates partial regression coefficient. Beta indicates standardized partial regression coefficient.

BMI. In the present study, the same tendency was observed in the univariate analysis (r = -0.065, P = 0.066) (Table 2), but a significant association was not observed in the multiple regression analysis. This discrepancy may be due to differences in statistical calculation methods and in other lifestyle and demographic factors between the present and previous studies. Our present results are consistent with those reported by Pilger *et al.*⁽³⁸⁾

In the multiple regression analysis (Table 3), physical activity-1, which includes physical activity by working, showed a strong negative correlation to the urinary 8-OH-dG. This is in good agreement with our previous findings that physical exercise reduced the 8-OH-dG levels in rat organs (liver, lung and heart),⁽³⁹⁾ human urine,⁽¹⁷⁾ and human leukocyte,⁽⁴⁰⁾ although high-intensity exercise has been shown to increase 8-OH-dG excretion.⁽⁴¹⁻⁴³⁾ Alcohol drinking also correlated with the 8-OH-dG level in the multiple regression analysis. Many studies have shown a significant relationship between alcohol consumption and 8-OH-dG generation in peripheral leukocytes,^(44,45) esophageal tissues,⁽⁴⁶⁾ liver,⁽⁴⁷⁾ and urine.^(48,49) Cigarette smoking was not related to the urinary 8-OH-dG level, whereas it was correlated with the urinary 8-OH-dG level, according to the calculation with continuous variables (Kendall's correlation coefficients, Table 2). The discrepancy between the current results and those from other investigations can be explained by variations in sample size, sample composition, methods of urinary 8-OH-dG measurement and statistical methods.

In terms of urinary m⁷Gua measurement results, the categorical lifestyle item related to the elevation of urinary m⁷Gua excretion was the intake of meat, fish and other protein-rich foods. It is possible that N-nitroso compounds that methylate DNA are produced by the consumption of these foods.^(50,51)

In the analysis of continuous variables, age, cigarette smoking and Brinkman index were positively correlated with the urinary m⁷Gua level, whereas weight, BMI, total energy consumed, and total meal index score were negatively correlated to the amount of m⁷Gua. Particularly, the multiple regression analysis showed that cigarette smoking, age, BMI and meal index score were related to the urinary amount of m⁷Gua. These factors explain 19.6% of the entire variation. The inverse correlation between m⁷Gua and BMI can be explained by the fact that m⁷Gua is a marker of the metabolic rate, and it is lower in people with a high BMI, mainly due to the lower physiological production of heat to maintain body temperature.⁽⁵²⁾

Previous studies have shown the strong link between cigarette smoking and the urinary m⁷Gua level. For instance, methylated DNA adducts were detected in animal and human tissues, as a result of exposure to tobacco smoke.^(19,53) In other studies, the urinary excretion of m⁷Gua was shown to be higher in smokers than in non-smokers.⁽²⁹⁾ Furthermore, the m⁷Gua level in human urine decreased after smoking cessation.⁽⁵⁴⁾ Therefore, our results confirmed those of previous studies. Moreover, considering that tobacco-specific nitrosamines are a group of carcinogens present in tobacco smoke,⁽⁵⁵⁾ the urinary m⁷Gua level can be analyzed to monitor DNA methylation and to assess the risk of lung cancers. The measurment of urinary m⁷Gua levels would be useful not only to assess the harmful effects of smoking, but also the effects of environmental tobacco smoke.

The present analyses revealed that the urinary m⁷Gua level was linked to age, food-related items, such as meat intake, weight, BMI, total energy consumed, and the meal index score. With respect to the effect of age, m7Gua may be increased due to lower glutathione (GSH) concentration in aged people,⁽⁵⁶⁾ because GSH may be involved in scavenging alkylating agents. Ames and collaborators⁽⁵⁷⁾ reported that the m⁷Gua levels in rat liver DNA were increased 2.5-fold in old rats (24 months old) as compared to the levels in young rats (6 months old). Our results are compatible with their data. It has been argued that age can affect the overall DNA repair capacity. Thus, the amount of m⁷Gua in DNA could reflect a balance between methylating stress and DNA repair activity. However, urinary m⁷Gua may be related to the total amount of m⁷Gua released from DNA, by repair and by spontaneous depurination due to the labile glycosylic bond.

In our study, the creatinine value was used to normalize the urinary m⁷Gua level. Urinary creatinine excretion is influenced by muscle mass. This may be a possible explanation for the higher levels of m⁷Gua normalized to creatinine with increasing age. To clarify this point, we conducted a correlation analysis between creatinine and age. Although significant associations were obtained not only between age and m⁷Gua, but also between age and creatinine, the association between age and m⁷Gua ($r^2 = 0.08$) was stronger than the association between age and creatinine ($r^2 = 0.01$). Considering statistical values (r^2), we decided that the present results are not remarkably influenced by the association between age and creatinine.

We also found a significant correlation between the 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua concentrations when the Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated (r = 0.122, $r^2 = 0.015$, P = 0.02). This may be explained by the fact that some factors, such as energy consumed, physical activity and smoking, have similar effects on the 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua levels (Table 2). However, the

coefficient of determination (r^2) explains only 1.5% of the entire variation. Therefore, 8-OH-dG and m⁷Gua can be considered as independent markers affected by many factors.

The present study suggested that the amount of m⁷Gua excreted in the urine is a very sensitive marker in response to aging and lifestyle, such as smoking or dietary habits. Lifestyle has a more significant effect on urinary m⁷Gua than 8-OH-dG, based on all statistical analyses. The urinary excretion of m⁷Gua has not been extensively investigated as a biomarker,⁽⁵⁸⁾ except for the influences of smoking.^(29,54) In this study, urine samples from male working subjects at a specific company were analyzed. In the future, in order to prove the usefulness of urinary m⁷Gua as a biomarker,

References

- Halliwell B. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: curiosity, cause, or consequence. *Lancet* 1994; 10: 721–4.
- 2 Kasai H. Analysis of a form of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxy-2'deoxyguanosine, as a marker of cellular oxidative stress during carcinogenesis. *Mutat Res* 1997; 387: 147–63.
- 3 Shigenaga MK, Ames BN. Assays for 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine: a biomarker of in vivo oxidative DNA damage. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1991; 10: 211–6.
- 4 Wood ML, Dizdaroglu M, Gajewski E, Essigmann JM. Mechanistic studies of ionizing radiation and oxidative mutagenesis: genetic effects of a single 8-hydroxyguanine (7-hydro-8-oxoguanine) residue inserted at a unique site in a viral genome. *Biochemistry* 1990; **29**: 7024–32.
- 5 Cheng KC, Cahill DS, Kasai H, Nishimura S, Loeb LA. 8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage, causes G→T and A→C substitutions. J Biol Chem 1992; 5: 166–72.
- 6 Kasai H. A new automated method to analyze urinary 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine by a high-performance liquid chromatographyelectrochemical detector system. *J Radiat Res* 2003; **44**: 185–9.
- 7 Loft S, Vistisen K, Ewertz M, Tjonneland A, Overvad K, Poulsen HE. Oxidative DNA damage esitimated by 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine excretion in humans: influence of smoking, gender and body mass index. *Calcinogenesis* 1992; 13: 2241–7.
- 8 Ravanat JL, Duretz B, Guiller A, Douki T, Cadet J. Isotope dilution highperformance liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry assay for the measurement of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine in biological samples. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 1998; 18: 349–56.
- 9 Holmberg I, Stal P, Hamberg M. Quantitative determination of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine in human urine by isotope dilution mass spectrometry: normal levels in hemochromatosis. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1999; 26: 129–35.
- 10 Witherell HL, Hiatt RA, Replogle M, Parsonnet J. Helicobacter pylori infection and urinary excretion of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine, an oxidative DNA adduct. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998; 7: 91–6.
- 11 Shimoi K, Kasai H, Yokota N, Toyokuni S, Kinae N. Comparison between high-performance liquid chromatography and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the determination of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine in human urine. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; **11**: 767–70.
- 12 Yoshida R, Ogawa Y, Kasai H. Urinary 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine values measured by an ELISA correlated well with measurements by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; **11**: 1076–81.
- 13 Asami S, Manabe H, Miyake J *et al*. Cigarette smoking induces an increase in oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, in a central site of the human lung. *Carcinogenesis* 1997; 18: 1763–6.
- 14 Shimoda R, Nagashima M, Sakamoto M et al. Increased formation of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, in human livers with chronic hepatitis. *Cancer Res* 1994; 15: 3171–2.
- 15 Baik SC, Youn HS, Chung MH et al. Increased oxidative DNA damage in Helicobacter pylori-infected human gastric mucosa. Cancer Res 1996; 15: 1279–82.
- 16 Tagesson C, Källberg M, Klintenberg C, Starkhammar H. Determination of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine by automated coupled-column high performance liquid chromatography: a powerful technique for assaying *in vivo* oxidative DNA damage in cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer* 1995; **31**: 934– 40.
- 17 Kasai H, Iwamoto-Tanaka N, Miyamoto T *et al.* Life style and urinary 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine, a marker of oxidative DNA damage: effects of exercise, working conditions, meat intake, body mass index, and smoking. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2001; **92**: 9–15.
- 18 Shar M, Miller DS, Geissler CA. Lowere metabolic rate of post obese versus lean women: thermogenesis, basal metabolic rate and genetics. *Eur J Nutr* 1988; **42**: 741–52.

we should confirm the reliability and validity of the present findings, according to appropriately designed large-scale studies.

We demonstrated that urinary m⁷Gua is a useful biomarker for DNA methylation in humans, in addition to 8-OH-dG, a form of oxidative DNA damage. The urinary m⁷Gua excretion value can be a useful marker not only for the assessment of lung cancer risk, but also for evaluating the aging process and various lifestyles.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Smoking Research Foundation.

- Hecht SS. DNA adduct formation from tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines. *Mutat Res* 1999; 424: 127–42.
- 20 Guillemin MP, Hillier RS, Bernhard CA. Occupational and environmental hygiene assessment of fumigations with methyl bromide. *Ann Occup Hyg* 1990; **34**: 591–607.
- 21 Rydberg B, Lindahl T. Nonenzymatic methylation of DNA by the intracellular methyl group donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine is a potentially mutagenic reaction. *EMBO J* 1982; 1: 211–16.
- 22 Topp H, Schöch G. Whole-body degradation rates of transfer-, ribosomal-, and messenger ribonucleic acids and resting metabolic rate in 3- to 18-yearold humans. *Pediatr Res* 2000; 47: 163–8.
- 23 Sander G, Topp H, Heller-Schöch G, Wieland J, Schöch G. Ribonucleic acid turnover in man. RNA catabolites in urine as measure for the metabolism of each of the three major species of RNA. *Clin Sci* 1986; **71**: 367–74.
- 24 Shuker DE, Farmer PB. Relevance of urinary DNA adducts as markers of carcinogen exposure. *Chem Res Toxicol* 1992; **5**: 450–60.
- 25 Shaikh B, Huang SS, Pontzer NJ. Urinary excretion of methylated purines and 1-methyl-nicotinamide following administration of methylating carcinogens. *Chem Biol Interact* 1980; **30**: 253–6.
- 26 Farmer PB, Shuker EG, Bird I. DNA and protein adducts as indicators of in vivo methylation by nitrosatable drugs. *Carcinogenesis* 1986; 7: 49–52.
- 27 Porcelli B, Muraca LF, Frosi B *et al.* Fast-atom bombardment mass spectrometry for mapping of endogenous methylated purine bases in urine extracts. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 1997; 11: 398–404.
- 28 Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR, Stillwell WG, Glogowski JA, Leaf CD. Urinary markers for exposures to alkylating or nitrosating agents. *Environ Health Perspect* 1993; **99**: 155–9.
- 29 Stillwell WG, Glogowski J, Xu HX *et al.* Urinary excretion of nitrate, Nnitrosoproline, 3-methyladenine, and 7-methylguanine in a Colombian population at high risk for stomach cancer. *Cancer Res* 1991; 1: 190–4.
- 30 Svoboda P, Kasai H. Simultaneous HPLC analysis of 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine and 7-methylguanine in urine from humans and rodents. *Anal Biochem* 2004; **334**: 239–50.
- 31 Kasai H, Svoboda P, Yamasaki S, Kawai K. Simultaneous determination of 8-hydroxydeoyguanosine, a marker of oxidative stress, and creatinine, a standardization compound, in urine. *Ind Health* 2005; 43: 333–6.
- 32 Thompson HJ, Heimendinger J, Haegele A *et al*. Effect of increased vegetable and fruit consumption on markers of oxidative cellular damage. *Carcinogenesis* 1999; **20**: 2261–6.
- 33 Kiefer I, Prock P, Lawrence C et al. Supplementation with mixed fruit and vegetable juice concentrates increased serum antioxidants and folate in healthy adults. J Am Coll Nutr 2004; 23: 205–11.
- 34 Loft S, Poulsen HE. Antioxidant intervention studies related to DNA damage, DNA repair and gene expression. *Free Radic Res* 2000; 33: 67– 83.
- 35 Møller P, Vogel U, Pedersen A, Dragsted LO, Sandström B, Loft S. No effect of 600 grams fruit and vegetables per day on oxidative DNA damage and repair in healthy nonsmokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003; 12: 1016–22.
- 36 Asami S, Hirano T, Yamaguchi R, Tomioka Y, Itoh H, Kasai H. Increase of a type of oxidative DNA damage, 8-hydroxyguanine, and its repair activity in human leukocytes by cigarette smoking. *Cancer Res* 1996; 56: 2546–9.
- 37 Irie M, Tamae K, Iwamoto-Tanaka N, Kasai H. Occupational and lifestyle factors and urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine. *Cancer Sci* 2005; 96: 600–6.
- 38 Pilger A, Germadnik D, Riedel K, Meger-Kossien I, Scherer G, Rudiger HW. Longitudinal study of urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine excretion in healthy adults. *Free Radic Res* 2001; **35**: 273–80.
- 39 Asami S, Hirano T, Yamaguchi R, Tsurudome Y, Itoh H, Kasai H. Effects of forced and spontaneous exercise on 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine level in rat organ. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1998; 243: 678–82.
- 40 Asami S, Hirano T, Yamaguchi R, Itoh H, Kasai H. Reduction of 8hydroxyguanine in human leukocyte DNA by physical exercise. *Free Rad Res* 1998; **29**: 581–4.

- 41 Poulsen HE, Loft S, Vistisen K. Extreme exercise and oxidative DNA modification. J Sports Sci 1996; 14: 343–6.
- 42 Radák Z, Pucsuk J, Boros S, Josfai L, Taylor AW. Changes in urine 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine levels of super-marathon runners during a four-day race period. *Life Sci* 2000; 24: 1763–7.
- 43 Møller P, Loft S, Lundby C, Olsen NV. Acute hypoxia and hypoxic exercise induce DNA strand breaks and oxidative DNA damage in humans. *FASEB J* 2001; 15: 1181–6.
- 44 Nakajima M, Takeuchi T, Takeshita T, Morimoto K. 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine in human leukocyte DNA and daily health practice factors: effects of individual alcohol sensitivity. *Environ Health Perspect* 1996; **104**: 1336–8.
- 45 Irie M, Asami S, Nagata S, Miyata M, Kasai H. Psychosocial factors as a potential trigger of oxidative DNA damage in human leukocytes. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2001; **92**: 367–75.
- 46 Asami S, Hirano T, Yamaguchi R, Tsurudome Y, Itoh H, Kasai H. Increase in 8-hydroxyguanine and its repair activity in the esophagi of rats given longterm ethanol and nutrition-deficient diet. Jpn J Cancer Res 2000; 91: 973–8.
- 47 Cahill A, Wang X, Hoek JB. Increased oxidative DNA damage to mitochondrial DNA following chronic ethanol consumption. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997; 235: 286–90.
- 48 Wong RH, Yeh CY, Hsueh YM, Wang JD, Lei YC, Cheng TJ. Association of hepatitis virus infection, alcohol consumption and plasma vitamin A level with urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in chemical workers. *Mutat Res* 2003; 535: 181–6.
- 49 Kuo HW, Chang SF, Wu KY, Wu FY. Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA; increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentration (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. *Occup Environ Med* 2003; **60**: 590–4.

- 50 Hughes R, Cross AJ, Pollock JR, Bingham S. Dose-dependent effect of dietary meat on endogenous colonic N-nitrosation. *Carcinogenesis* 2001; 22: 199–202.
- 51 Chen CS, Pignatelli B, Malaveille C et al. Levels of direct-acting mutagens, total N-nitroso compounds in nitrosated fermented fish products, consumed in a high-risk area for gastric cancer in southern China. *Mutat Res* 1992; 265: 211–21.
- 52 Shah M, Miller DS, Geissler CA. Lower metabolic rates of post-obese versus lean women: Thermogenesis, basal metabolic rate and genetics. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1988; **42**: 741–52.
- 53 Mustonen R, Hemminki K. 7-Methylguanine levels in DNA of smokers' and non-smokers' total white blood cells, granulocytes and lymphocytes. *Carcinogenesis* 1992; 13: 1951–5.
- 54 Ichiba M, Matsumoto A, Kondoh T, Horita M, Tomokuni K. Decreasing urinary PAH metabolites and 7-methylguanine after smoking cessation. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2006; **79**: 545–9.
- 55 Hecht SS, Hoffmann D. Tobacco-specific nitrosamines, an important group of carcinogens in tobacco and tobacco smoke. *Carcinogenesis* 1988; 9: 875– 84.
- 56 Loguercio C, Taranto D, Vitale LM, Beneduce F, Del Vecchio Blanco C. Effect of liver cirrhosis and age on the glutathione concentration in the plasma, erythrocytes, and gastric mucosa of man. *Free Rad Biol Med* 1996; 20: 483–8.
- 57 Park JW, Ames BN. 7-Methylguanine adducts in DNA are normally present at high levels and increase on aging: analysis by HPLC with electrochemical detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1988; 85: 7467–70.
- 58 Loft S, Svoboda P, Kasai H et al. Prospective study of urinary excretion of 7-methylguanine and the risk of lung cancer: Effect modification by mu class glutathione-S-transferases. Int J Cancer 2007; 121: 1579–84.