Rapid analysis of gene expression changes caused by liver carcinogens and chemopreventive agents using a newly developed three-dimensional microarray system

Naomi Hokaiwado,1 Makoto Asamoto,1 Kazunari Tsujimura,1 Takeshi Hirota,2 Toshio Ichihara,2 Takatomo Satoh3 and Tomoyuki Shirai1

1Department of Experimental Pathology and Tumor Biology, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku, Nagoya 467-8601; 2Daiyu-kai Institute of Medical Science, 64 Goura, Nishiazai, Azai-cho, Ichinomiya 491-0113; and 3Genome Medical Business Division, Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., 2-3 Kuboyama-cho, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-8512

(Received April 22, 2003/Revised November 28, 2003/Accepted December 11, 2003)

We investigated changes of gene expression in livers of rats treated with carcinogens and tumor promoters using a novel three-dimensional microarray system developed by Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., to assess the feasibility of predicting modifying effects on hepatocarcinogenesis on the basis of changes in the patterns. For this purpose, two genotoxic carcinogens, two nongenotoxic carcinogens (promoters) and seven candidate chemopreventive agents were examined. Six-week-old male F344 rats were treated for 2 weeks with the 11 chemicals (0.05% phenobarbital, 0.3% clofibrate, 0.01% N-diethylnitrosamine (DEN), 0.01% 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx), 1% catechol, 1% caffeic acid, 0.05% nobiletin, 0.05% garcinol, 0.05% auraptene, 0.05% zermbone and 0.05% 1'-acetoxychavicol acetate (ACA). Test chemicals were mixed in food with the exception of DEN, which was administered in drinking water. RNAs from liver were then analyzed using two kinds of customized microarrays (PamChip® microarray A spotted for 28 genes of drugmetabolizing enzymes in duplicate, and PamChip® microarray B spotted for 131 genes which are known to be up- or down-regulated in hepatocarcinoma cells). Hybridization and subsequent analysis were usually completed within 2 h and the data obtained were highly reproducible. Carcinogens were classified into genotoxic and nongenotoxic substances by clustering analysis. We could also divide test chemicals into carcinogens and chemopreventive agents from their effects on gene expression. In this study, we have thus shown that it is feasible to predict the modifying effects of chemicals on the basis of changes of gene expression patterns after only 2 weeks of exposure, using our novel three-dimensional microarrays. (Cancer Sci 2004; 95: [123](#page-0-0)–130)

o determine risks of chemicals to humans, rodent bioassays such as carcinogenicity tests are currently essential. How-To determine risks of chemicals to humans, rodent bioassays such as carcinogenicity tests are currently essential. However, these assays are very expensive and time-consuming. Therefore, establishment of short-term methods to precisely predict the toxicological effects of chemicals is necessary. Recently, microarray technologies which can simultaneously analyze the expression of large numbers (hundreds to several thousands) of genes have been developed and applied in many scientific areas. Attempts to predict toxicity using microarray techniques have also been initiated as toxicogenomics, defined as the application of knowledge of genes associated with disease states to the study of the toxicology of chemical and physical agents.1–10) The goals of toxicogenomics are to achieve a better understanding of mechanisms of toxicity and to identify gene expression patterns that are predictive for adverse outcomes more rapidly than with more time-consuming traditional measures.

Oligonucleotide-based or cDNA microarrays, consisting of

oligonucleotides or cDNAs spotted on slide glasses or nylon membranes, are currently being utilized to determine changes in gene expression. In contrast to glass or membranes, the Pam-Chip® microarray technology (PamGene B.V., Den Bosch, The Netherlands) employs a solid three-dimensional (3D), multi-porous structure, through which fluids can be repeatedly pumped. With this liquid movement, hybridization efficiency is dramatically increased.11) Typically, hybridization is complete within 1.5 h, in contrast to overnight incubation for conventional glass or membrane arrays. The 3D-microarray system developed by Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., is an integrated system for solutiondriven hybridization, thermal control image capture and image analysis. Real-time signal detection is possible, allowing quantitative detection of the signals. Although a similar method for hybridization on a 3D-biochip was reported, $12, 13$) the Olympus 3D-microarray system FD10 is the first available commercially in Japan for gene expression and/or mutation analysis.¹⁴⁾

In the present study, four liver carcinogens were chosen for gene expression analysis in rat liver. Phenobarbital and clofibrate are nongenotoxic agents which induce drug-metabolizing enzymes in a specific manner.15–18) N-Diethylnitrosamine (DEN) is a powerful hepatocarcinogen¹⁹⁾ and 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxaline (MeIQx) is an example of a carcinogenic heterocyclic amine.20) In addition to these four liver carcinogens, the effects of the following seven chemopreventive agents were also investigated: caffeic acid; catechol; auraptene isolated from peel of citrus fruit (*Citrus natsudaidai* Hayata)^{21, 22}); 1'-acetoxychavicol acetate (ACA), present in the edible plant *Languas galanga* from Thailand²³⁾; garcinol, isolated from *Garcinia indica* fruit rind²⁴⁾; nobiletin isolated from *Citrus unshiu*25); and zerumbone isolated from *Zingiber zerumbet*. 26) These compounds have inhibitory effects on rat colon carcinogenesis. Caffeic acid,²⁷⁾ cathechol²⁷⁾ and auraptene²⁸⁾ have inhibitory effects on rat hepatocarcinogenesis. We treated rats with these chemicals for 2 weeks and performed gene expression analysis using a novel 3D-microarray system to assess the feasibility of establishing a bioassay system to predict carcinogenicity and chemopreventive activity of chemicals from effects on gene expression patterns.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Five-week-old male F344 rats were obtained from Charles River, Japan, Inc. (Atsugi). They were randomly divided into groups of three animals per plastic cage with hard

[E-mail: naoiwado@med.nagoya-cu.ac.jp](mailto:naoiwado@med.nagoya-cu.ac.jp)

wood chips as bedding in an air-conditioned room at 22±2°C and 55±5% humidity with a 12 h light/dark cycle. Food (Oriental MF, Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo) and tap water were available *ad libitum*.

Chemicals. Phenobarbital, clofibrate, caffeic acid and catechol were purchased form Sigma, St. Louis, MO, DEN from Tokyo Kasei Kougyou, Tokyo, and MeIQx from Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, ON, Canada. Nobiletin, garcinol and auraptene were kindly provided by Dr. T. Tanaka at the First Department of Pathology, Kanazawa Medical University. Zermbone and ACA were kindly provided by Dr. A. Murakami, Division of Food Science and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University.

Experiments. Male F344 rats were treated at 6 weeks of age. Test chemicals were mixed in food with the exception of DEN administered in drinking water. After 2 weeks, all rats were killed.

All animal experiments were performed under protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Nagoya City University Medical School.

Samples of livers collected at necropsy were routinely fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for 48 h and then processed for embedding in paraffin. Five-micrometer thick sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological examination. Some portions of livers were frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent extraction of total RNA.

Extraction of total RNA, and quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA extraction was performed according to an ISOGEN protocol (Nippon Gene, Toyama) with DNase treatment using DNase I and the supplied buffer (CLONTECH, Palo Alto, CA). One microgram of the RNA was converted to cDNA with avian myoblastosis virus reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Otsu) in a 20-µl reaction mixture. Aliquots of 2 µl of cDNA samples were subjected to quantitative PCR in 20-µl reactions using FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I and a Light Cycler apparatus (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Primers used for GST-P were 5′-ATGCCGCCGTACACCA-3′ and 5′-AAAGC-CCTAAAGAGCGACCC-3′; for GST-Ya, 5′-AGCCATTCT-CAACTACATCG-3′ and 5′-GGCTCTTCAACACCTTTTCA-3′; for GAPDH, 5′-GCATCCTGCACCACCAACTG-3′ and 5′- GCCTGCTTCACCACCTTCTT-3′; for cyclofilin, 5′-TGCTG-GACCAAACACAAATG-3′ and 5′-GAAGGGGAATGAG-GAAAATA-3′; for CYPs primers for the rat cytochrome P450 Competitive RT-PCR kit (TaKaRa) were used. Initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min was followed by 35 to 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 55°C (except at 63°C for GST-P, at 64°C for CYP1A1, at 52°C for GST-Ya, and at 60°C for GAPDH) for 5 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s. The fluorescence intensity of the double-strand-specific SYBR Green I, reflecting the amount of formed PCR-product, was monitored at the end of each elongation step. GAPDH mRNA levels were employed to normalize the sample cDNA content.

Selection of genes for PamChip® microarrays. For the customized PamChip® microarray A provided by Olympus, 12 cytochrome P450s and 7 glutathione S-transferases, NADPH and NAT2 were chosen. Fatty acid binding protein, α-1-antitrypsin, connexin 32, and PCNA were also included. GAPDH, cyclophilin and β-actin genes were also spotted as internal controls. All spots of the genes were set in duplicate. For selection of genes of the PamChip® microarray B provided by Olympus, cDNA array analysis using Atlas Rat Toxicology 1.2 (CLONTECH) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression patterns in normal rat liver tissue and MH1C1 hepatoma cells (obtained from ATCC) were compared, and differently expressed genes were selected. A total of 131 genes (Table 1) including phenobarbital- and clofibrate-inducible genes were carried on the PamChip® microarray B. Oligonucleotide DNA probes (60mer) were spotted on both PamChip® microarray A and B.

Hybridization with 3D-microarray system. For sample preparation of fluorochome-labeled cDNA, 30 µg of total RNAs (mixtures of 10 µg from each of 3 rat livers per group) was used, and reverse transcriptase and FITC labeling reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (RNA Fluorescence Labeling Core Kit; TaKaRa and fluorescein-12 dUTP; NEN, Wellesley, MA). Samples were denatured at 95°C for 5 min and 50 μ l of hybridization solution containing 6× SSPE was applied on each test site of the PamChip® microarray. Hybridization, washing and detection of signals were performed with the 3D-microarray system (FD10; Olympus) as follows.

Hybridization was performed at 50°C with recirculation of 150 cycles at a rate of 5 µl/s, and then each test-site was washed with 50 μ l of 6 \times SSPE 3 times. After the washing, fluorescence images were captured automatically by a cooled CCD.

Data analysis. Signals after each treatment were converted to expression ratios relative to the control using the gene expression analysis software integrated in FD10. Normalization was conducted for each gene against GAPDH. Hierarchical cluster analyses were performed with GeneSpring (Silicon Genetics, Redwood City, CA). To illustrate the data as trees, they were subjected to hierarchical clustering, with measurement of similarity in terms of the standard correlation for the gene tree and the Spearman correlation for the experimental tree.

We also applied another statistical approach for prediction analysis, named Class Predictor (contained in GeneSpring). The Class Predictor is designed to predict the value for an individual parameter in an uncharacterized sample or set of samples. It does this in two steps. First, the Class Predictor algorithm examines all genes in the training set individually and ranks them on their power to discriminate each class from all the others. Next it uses the most predictive genes to classify the "test set." The Class Predictor can also be used simply to find genes whose behavior is related to a given parameter by examining the list of predictor genes. The genes that are most accurately segregated by these markers are considered to be the most predictive. A list of the most predictive genes is made for each class and an equal number of genes are taken from each list. To make a prediction, the Class Predictor uses the k-nearest-neighbor method. The class with the lowest *P* value is assigned to the unclassified sample.

Results

Changes of gene expression induced by liver carcinogens in rats. Final body weights did not differ between groups. Relative liver weights were increased by phenobarbital and clofibrate (Table 2). With phenobarbital treatment, CYP2B1, CYP3A1, CYP3A2 and GST-Ya were up-regulated over 2-fold (see Fig.1). After clofibrate administration, expression levels of CYP2B1, CYP4A1 and fatty acid binding protein were increased, and that of α -1-antitrypsin was decreased. CYP1A2 and CYP2C11 were increased by MeIQx. GST-M3 and cyclophilin were up-regulated, whereas 2C11 was down-regulated by DEN (Table 3). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis confirmed these results (data not shown). It is noteworthy that a 45-fold increase of CYP2B1 by phenobarbital treatment was detected by the microarray system as well as by quantitative RT-PCR.

Treatment with chemopreventive agents. Throughout the experimental period, body weights did not differ among groups except for a decrease in the case of catechol (Table 2).

Microarray and cluster analysis. We analyzed 77 obtained genes for clustering and predicting. We allotted the unanalyzable

Table 1. Gene list (PamChip® microarray B)

Table 1. (Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

1) GenBank accession number.

2) House keeping genes indicated by bold letters.

3) IC: internal control used for normalization.

Table 2. Body and liver weights

Chemical	Body weight (g)	Liver weight	
		Absolute (q)	Relative (%)
Phenobarbital	$201.1 + 6.18^{1.4}$	$11.0 + 0.32^{3}$	$5.45 + 0.02$ ³⁾
Clofibrate	$191.3 + 4.47$	$14.0 + 0.23^{2}$	7.31 ± 0.11^{2}
MelQx	$194.6 + 2.95$	$8.51 + 0.10$	$4.37 + 0.12$
DEN	$166.5 + 9.75$	$6.39 + 0.45$	$3.84 + 0.14$
Caffeic acid	$188.6 + 6.57$	$7.92 + 0.48$	4.21 ± 0.31
Catechol	140.9 ± 10.8^{2}	$7.49 + 1.43$	$5.30 + 0.78^{3}$
Nobiletin	$199.7 + 6.13$	$7.66 + 0.82$	3.84 ± 0.45
Garcinol	$188.8 + 6.70$	$7.87 + 0.22$	$4.17 + 0.25$
Auraptene	$196.8 + 5.03$	$8.44 + 0.25$	$4.29 + 0.06$
Zerunbone	199.5 ± 12.4	$8.93 + 0.54$	$4.48 + 0.06$
ACA	189.2 ± 18.4	$7.59 + 0.72$	4.01 ± 0.01
Control	197.6+9.87	$8.16 + 0.35$	4.13 ± 0.13

1) Values are mean±SD.

2) *P*<0.0001.

3) *P*<0.01.

4) Statistically significant when compared to control (Scheffe's test).

spots to "no data" and defined "no expression" spots as those with a low intensity of 50 or less. The minimum intensity was 50 for visible spots. We concluded that a cut-off at 50 is appropriate for this purpose, because the mean+2SD of intensity was 14.7 for λDNA applied as a negative control on each chip. We analyzed all data except those categorized as "no data" through all samples, because they would affect the tree clustering.

Hierarchical clustering analysis of results with PamChip® microarray B revealed that carcinogens could be classified as genotoxic and nongenotoxic (Fig. 2). We could also divide the carcinogens from the chemopreventive agents in terms of their impact on gene expression (Fig. 2). An automatically derived Class Predictor was able to determine the class of these chemicals correctly, except for ACA (Table 4). In this analysis, the numbers of neighbors were 6, predictor genes were 10 (Table 5) and the cut-off value for the *P*-value ratio was 0.2.

Discussion

The present investigation of changes in gene expression in the livers of rats treated with genotoxic and nongenotoxic carcinogens (promoters), as well as chemopreventive agents, using novel 3D-microarrays provided clear evidence that modifying effects on carcinogenesis can be predicted from gene expression patterns.

Fig. 1. Representative images of the 3D-microarray system. With phenobarbital treatment (A), an increased signal intensity for CYP 2B1 (spot b, arrow) is apparent compared to the no treatment control (B). Spot a is for GAPDH, an internal control. These chips were PamChip® microarray B.

It has been shown that gene expression of CYPs or GSTs in the liver is changed by treatment with carcinogens. Elevated expression of CYP2B1 and CYP3As, well known to be induced by phenobarbital treatment,^{5, 16)} was detected in this new microarray system. By both microarray and RT-PCR methods, a 45-fold increase in expression of CYP2B1 was apparent. These results indicate that this microarray system is appropriate for quantitative analysis and the data are reproducible. Cyclophilin was up-regulated by DEN treatment, although it was categorized as an internal control. However, change of expression lev-

1) FABP: fatty liver acid binding protein.

2) a1AT: alpha-1-antitrypsin.

3) CYCLP: cyclophilin.

4) Up or Down indicates change over 2-fold compared with the control.

Table 4. The results of cross validation by the class predictor

True value ^{1}	Prediction	P-value ratio ²⁾
noncarcinogen	noncarcinogen	0.005
noncarcinogen	noncarcinogen	0.120
noncarcinogen	NP ₃	0.487
noncarcinogen	noncarcinogen	0.120
carcinogen	carcinogen	0.167

1) The name of the "class".

2) *P*-value cutoff is 0.2.

3) Not predicted.

Table 5. The list of predictor genes in the class predictor

No.	Gene name
1	cytochrome c oxidase subunit Vb
2	serine protease inhibitor 2.4 (SPI2.4)
3	prothymosin-alpha (PTMA)
4	secreted acidic cysteine-rich glycoprotein (osteonectin)
5	cellular glutathione peroxidase I (GSHPX1; GPX1)
6	cathepsin L
7	connexin 32 (CXN32; CX32)
8	serotransferrin (TF); siderophilin; beta-1-metal-binding globulin
9	C-reactive protein
10	Mak; male germ cell-associated kinase; highly expressed at and
	after meiosis
	Predictive strength=5.799092686.

els of some genes categorized as internal controls by chemical treatments is not unusual. For example, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), β-actin, cyclophilin and 28S rRNA are commonly used as internal controls to normalize gene expression data, but it has already been reported that their expression levels are not constant and depend on the experimental conditions.^{29–31)} Of course it is important to select which gene is best for control purposes under each condition. We con-

Fig. 2. Clusters displayed as trees. Classifications are non-carcinogen (A) or carcinogen (B, mutagens; C, non-mutagens).

sidered GAPDH to be suitable for this study, because expression levels were almost equal and our data were reasonable.

In this study, chemopreventive agents extracted from fruits and vegetables were selected; most them are known to be antioxidant agents which inhibit development of preneoplastic lesions in the liver or colon.^{32–35)} Nobiletin²⁵⁾ and auraptene²²⁾ are constituents of citrus oil. Zermbone³⁶⁾ and ACA^{23} were extracted from plants of the ginger family. Garcinol^{24, 35)} was obtained from dried peel of *G. indica* fruit rind. These five chemicals suppress aberrant crypt foci or tumor formation in colon carcinogenesis. Chemopreventive effects of caffeic acid and catechol have been previously shown, in terms of inhibition of GST-P preneoplastic foci in rat liver, 27 but these compounds are carcinogens in the stomach.32)

In the present study there were characteristic weight changes with each of the chemicals, but these were not associated with clear histopathological differences in liver sections. In addition, body weight fluctuation did not appear to be related to differences in carcinogenicity.

In this study, we did not apply new statistical prediction methods. We demonstrated that the gene set used is effective for prediction by two methods. Class Predictor was able to determine the class of these chemicals correctly except for ACA, which it did not assign immediately to the carcinogen class. The results of this prediction may depend on the sample number, and for the Class Predictor, usually over 20 samples are recommended and additional analysis may be necessary to increase reliability, possibly with new oligo probes. However, our present results do suggest that it may be feasible to predict carcinogenicity by investigating changes of gene expression patterns by using novel 3D-microarrays in experiments of only 2 weeks' duration, a great reduction even compared with me-

- 1. Aardema MJ, MacGregor JT. Toxicology and genetic toxicology in the new era of "toxicogenomics": impact of "-omics" technologies. *Mutat Res* 2002; **499**: 13–25.
- 2. Boorman GA, Anderson SP, Casey WM, Brown RH, Crosby LM, Gottschalk K, Easton M, Ni H, Morgan KT. Toxicogenomics, drug discovery, and the pathologist. *Toxicol Pathol* 2002; **30**: 15–27.
- 3. Boorman GA, Haseman JK, Waters MD, Hardisty JF, Sills RC. Quality review procedures necessary for rodent pathology databases and toxicogenomic studies: the National Toxicology Program experience. *Toxicol Pathol* 2002; **30**: 88–92.
- 4. Burchiel SW, Knall CM, Davis JW 2nd, Paules RS, Boggs SE, Afshari CA. Analysis of genetic and epigenetic mechanisms of toxicity: potential roles of toxicogenomics and proteomics in toxicology. *Toxicol Sci* 2001; **59**: 193–5.
- 5. Hamadeh HK, Bushel PR, Jayadev S, Martin K, DiSorbo O, Sieber S, Bennett L, Tennant R, Stoll R, Barrett JC, Blanchard K, Paules RS, Afshari CA. Gene expression analysis reveals chemical-specific profiles. *Toxicol Sci* 2002; **67**: 219–31.
- 6. Morgan KT. Gene expression analysis reveals chemical-specific profiles. *Toxicol Sci* 2002; **67**: 155–6.
- 7. Nuwaysir EF, Bittner M, Trent J, Barrett JC, Afshari CA. Microarrays and toxicology: the advent of toxicogenomics. *Mol Carcinog* 1999; **24**: 153–9.
- 8. Pennie WD, Woodyatt NJ, Aldridge TC, Orphanides G. Application of genomics to the definition of the molecular basis for toxicity. *Toxicol Lett* 2001; **120**: 353–8.
- Reynolds LJ, Richards RJ. Can toxicogenomics provide information on the bioreactivity of diesel exhaust particles? *Toxicology* 2001; **165**: 145–52.
- 10. Simmons PT, Portier CJ. Toxicogenomics: the new frontier in risk analysis. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; **23**: 903–5.
- 11. van Beuningen R, van Damme H, Boender P, Bastiaensen N, Chan A, Kievits T. Fast and specific hybridization using flow-through microarrays on porous metal oxide. *Clin Chem* 2001; **47**: 1931-3.
- 12. Cheek BJ, Steel AB, Torres MP, Yu YY, Yang H. Chemiluminescence detection for hybridization assays on the flow-thru chip, a three-dimensional microchannel biochip. *Anal Chem* 2001; **73**: 5777–83.
- 13. Benoit V, Steel A, Torres M, Yu YY, Yang H, Cooper J. Evaluation of threedimensional microchannel glass biochips for multiplexed nucleic acid fluorescence hybridization assays. *Anal Chem* 2001; **73**: 2412–20.
- 14. Maekawa M, Taniguchi T, Tatebayashi C, Horii T, Takeshita A, Sugimura H, Sugano K, Yonekawa H, Nagaoka T, Kanno T. Basic studies on mutation analysis of K-ras codon 12 by use of three-dimensional microarray system.

dium-term bioassays. To detect chemopreventive agents which inhibit preneoplastic foci development, the medium-term liver bioassay is also effective^{27, 37)} However, agents which inhibit progression of adenomas to carcinomas may not be detectable in the assay. Auraptene is an example which did not show any effect in the medium-term bioassay (unpublished data), but inhibited progression of hepatocellular adenomas to hepatocelllular carcinomas (personal communication from Dr. Akira Hara, Gifu University School of Medicine). In this study, auraptene was classified into the chemopreventive agent category, and this points to an advantage of the present method over the medium-term bioassay.

 To conclude, in this study we demonstrated the feasibility of predicting modifying effects of chemical agents by mRNA gene expression profiling using a novel 3D-microarray system. This newly developed approach allows a rapid analysis of gene expression with high reproducibility. We therefore envisage establishing a high-quality, short-term bioassay system in order to identify carcinogens and modifiers.

We thank Mr. Makoto Nakamura, Genome Medical Business Division, Olympus Optical Co., Ltd, for development of the new 3D-microarray system, and Dr. Takuji Tanaka, First Department of Pathology, Kanazawa Medical University, and Dr. Akira Murakami, Division of Food Science & Biotechnology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, for providing chemopreventive agents. This study was supported by research grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and the Society for Promotion of Pathology, Nagoya. We thank Dr. Malcolm A. Moore for his kind linguistic advice during preparation of the manuscript.

Clin Pathol 2003; **51**: in press (in Japanese).

- 15. Watanabe K, Williams GM. Enhancement of rat hepatocellular-altered foci by the liver tumor promoter phenobarbital: evidence that foci are precursors of neoplasms and that the promoter acts on carcinogen-induced lesions. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1978; **61**: 1311–4.
- 16. Rice JM, Diwan BA, Hu H, Ward JM, Nims RW, Lubet RA. Enhancement of hepatocarcinogenesis and induction of specific cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenase activities by the barbiturates allobarbital, aprobarbital, pentobarbital, secobarbital and 5-phenyl- and 5-ethylbarbituric acids. *Carcinogenesis* 1994; **15**: 395–402.
- 17. Tsuda H, Asamoto M, Baba-Toriyama H, Iwahori Y, Hori T, Kim DJ, Tsuchiya T, Mutai M, Yamasaki H. Clofibrate-induced neoplastic development in the rat liver is associated with decreased connexin 32 expression but not with a co-ordinated shift in expression of marker enzymes. *Toxicol Lett* 1995; **82-83**: 693–9.
- 18. Tsuda H, Asamoto M, Iwahori Y, Hori T, Ota T, Baba-Toriyama H, Uehara N, Kim DJ, Krutovskikh VA, Takasuka N, Tsuchiya T, Mutai M, Tatematsu M, Yamasaki H. Decreased connexin32 and a characteristic enzyme phenotype in clofibrate-induced preneoplastic lesions not shared with spontaneously occurring lesions in the rat liver. *Carcinogenesis* 1996; **17**: 2441–8.
- 19. Barbason H, Betz EH. Proliferation of preneoplastic lesions after discontinuation of chronic DEN feeding in the development of hepatomas in rat. *Br J Cancer* 1981; **44**: 561–6.
- 20. Turesky RJ, Markovic J, Bracco-Hammer I, Fay LB. The effect of dose and cytochrome P450 induction on the metabolism and disposition of the foodborne carcinogen 2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f] quinoxaline (MeIQx) in the rat. *Carcinogenesis* 1991; **12**: 1847–55.
- 21. Tanaka T, Kawabata K, Kakumoto M, Hara A, Murakami A, Kuki W, Takahashi Y, Yonei H, Maeda M, Ota T, Odashima S, Yamane T, Koshimizu K, Ohigashi H. Citrus auraptene exerts dose-dependent chemopreventive activity in rat large bowel tumorigenesis: the inhibition correlates with suppression of cell proliferation and lipid peroxidation and with induction of phase II drug-metabolizing enzymes. *Cancer Res* 1998; **58**: 2550–6.
- 22. Tanaka T, Kawabata K, Kakumoto M, Makita H, Hara A, Mori H, Satoh K, Murakami A, Kuki W, Takahashi Y, Yonei H, Koshimizu K, Ohigashi H. Citrus auraptene inhibits chemically induced colonic aberrant crypt foci in male F344 rats. *Carcinogenesis* 1997; **18**: 2155–61.
- 23. Tanaka T, Kawabata K, Kakumoto M, Makita H, Matsunaga K, Mori H, Satoh K, Hara A, Murakami A, Koshimizu K, Ohigashi H. Chemoprevention of azoxymethane-induced rat colon carcinogenesis by a xanthine oxidase in-

hibitor, 1′-acetoxychavicol acetate. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1997; **88**: 821–30.

- 24. Tanaka T, Kohno H, Shimada R, Kagami S, Yamaguchi F, Kataoka S, Ariga T, Murakami A, Koshimizu K, Ohigashi H. Prevention of colonic aberrant crypt foci by dietary feeding of garcinol in male F344 rats. *Carcinogenesis* 2000; **21**: 1183–9.
- 25. Kohno H, Yoshitani S, Tsukio Y, Murakami A, Koshimizu K, Yano M, Tokuda H, Nishino H, Ohigashi H, Tanaka T. Dietary administration of citrus nobiletin inhibits azoxymethane-induced colonic aberrant crypt foci in rats. *Life Sci* 2001; **69**: 901–13.
- 26. Tanaka T, Shimizu M, Kohno H, Yoshitani S, Tsukio Y, Murakami A, Safitri R, Takahashi D, Yamamoto K, Koshimizu K, Ohigashi H, Mori H. Chemoprevention of azoxymethane-induced rat aberrant crypt foci by dietary zerumbone isolated from *Zingiber zerumbet*. *Life Sci* 2001; **69**: 1935– 45.
- 27. Ito N, Tsuda H, Tatematsu M, Inoue T, Tagawa Y, Aoki T, Uwagawa S, Kagawa M, Ogiso T, Masui T, *et al*. Enhancing effect of various hepatocarcinogens on induction of preneoplastic glutathione S-transferase placental form positive foci in rats-an approach for a new medium-term bioassay system. *Carcinogenesis* 1988; **9**: 387–94.
- 28. Kitano M, Wanibuchi H, Kikuzaki H, Nakatani N, Imaoka S, Funae Y, Hayashi S, Fukushima S. Chemopreventive effects of coumaperine from pepper on the initiation stage of chemical hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2000; **91**: 674–80.
- 29. Zhong H, Simons J. Direct comparison of GAPDH, beta-actin, cyclophilin, and 28S rRNA as internal standards for quantifying RNA levels under hypoxia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999; **259**: 523–6.
- 30. Steele B, Meyers C, Ozbun M. Variable expression of some "housekeeping" genes during human keratinocyte differentiation. *Anal Biochem* 2002; **307**:

 $341 - 7.$

- 31. Gong Y, Cui L, Minuk G. Comparison of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and 28s-ribosomal RNA gene expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 1996; **23**: 734–7.
- 32. Hirose M, Takesada Y, Tanaka H, Tamano S, Kato T, Shirai T. Carcinogenicity of antioxidants BHA, caffeic acid, sesamol, 4-methoxyphenol and catechol at low doses, either alone or in combination, and modulation of their effects in a rat medium-term multi-organ carcinogenesis model. *Carcinogenesis* 1998; **19**: 207–12.
- 33. Hagiwara A, Tanaka H, Imaida K, Tamano S, Fukushima S, Ito N. Correlation between medium-term multi-organ carcinogenesis bioassay data and long-term observation results in rats. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1993; **84**: 237– 45.
- 34. Hagiwara A, Kokubo Y, Takesada Y, Tanaka H, Tamano S, Hirose M, Shirai T, Ito N. Inhibitory effects of phenolic compounds on development of naturally occurring preneoplastic hepatocytic foci in long-term feeding studies using male F344 rats. *Teratog Carcinog Mutagen* 1996; **16**: 317–25.
- 35. Yamaguchi F, Ariga T, Yoshimura Y, Nakazawa H. Antioxidative and antiglycation activity of garcinol from *Garcinia indica* fruit rind. *J Agric Food Chem* 2000; **48**: 180–5.
- 36. Murakami A, Takahashi D, Kinoshita T, Koshimizu K, Kim HW, Yoshihiro A, Nakamura Y, Jiwajinda S, Terao J, Ohigashi H. Zerumbone, a Southeast Asian ginger sesquiterpene, markedly suppresses free radical generation, proinflammatory protein production, and cancer cell proliferation accompanied by apoptosis: the alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyl group is a prerequisite. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; **23**: 795–802.
- 37. Ito N, Tamano S, Shirai T. A medium-term rat liver bioassay for rapid *in vivo* detection of carcinogenic potential of chemicals. *Cancer Sci* 2003; **94**: 3–8.