

The *n***,***π**** States of Heteroaromatics: When are They the Lowest Excited States and in What Way Can They Be Aromatic or Antiaromatic?**

[Nathalie](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Nathalie+Proos+Vedin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Proos Vedin, Sílvia [Escayola,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Si%CC%81lvia+Escayola"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Slavko [Radenkovic,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Slavko+Radenkovic%CC%81"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)́[*](#page-11-0) [Miquel](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Miquel+Sola%CC%80"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Sola,̀[*](#page-11-0) and Henrik [Ottosson](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Henrik+Ottosson"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-11-0)

biochemistry to photovoltaics. We analyze the *n*,*π** excited states of 6*π*-electron heteroaromatics with in-plane lone pairs $(n_{\sigma}$, herein *n*) and use qualitative theory and quantum chemical computations, starting at Mandado's 2*n* + 1 rule for aromaticity of separate spins. After excitation of an electron from *n* to *π**, a (4*n* + 2) $π$ -electron species has $2n + 2π_α$ -electrons and $2n + 1π_β$ -electrons (or *vice versa*) and becomes π_{α} -antiaromatic and π_{β} -aromatic. Yet, the antiaromatic π_{α} - and aromatic *πβ*-components seldom cancel, leading to residuals with aromatic or antiaromatic character. We explore vertically excited triplet *n*,*π** states (3 *n*,*π**), which are most readily analyzed, but also singlet *n*,*π** states (1 *n*,*π**), and explain

which compounds have *n*,*π*^{*} states with aromatic residuals as their lowest excited states (e.g., pyrazine and the phenyl anion). If the *π_β*-electron population becomes more (less) uniformly distributed upon excitation, the system will have an (anti)aromatic residual. Among isomers, the one that has the most aromatic residual in ³ *n*,*π** is often of the lowest energy in this state. Five-membered ring heteroaromatics with one or two N, O, and/or S atoms never have n,π^* states as their first excited states (T₁ and S₁), while this is nearly always the case for six-membered ring heteroaromatics with electropositive heteroatoms and/or highly symmetric (D_{2h}) diheteroaromatics. For the complete compound set, there is a modest correlation between the (anti)aromatic character of the *n*,*π** state and the energy gap between the lowest n, π^* and π, π^* states ($R^2 = 0.42$), while it is stronger for monosubstituted pyrazines (R^2 $= 0.84$).

1. INTRODUCTION

Approximately two-thirds of all compounds that were known at the end of the last century are fully or partially aromatic, and about half are heteroaromatic. $¹$ $¹$ $¹$ The latter find applications in a</sup> wide array of areas including pharmaceutical chemistry, agrochemistry, and organic electronics.^{[1](#page-11-0)−[8](#page-12-0)} Thus, it is important to understand their electronic structures, and this applies to their singlet ground states (S_0) as well as the first electronically excited states of singlet and triplet multiplicities $(S_1 \text{ and } T_1)$, where the latter states normally determine the photophysical and photochemical features. One characteristic is their extent of (anti)aromaticity,^{[1](#page-11-0)} and in the lowest π, π^* excited states, (anti)aromaticity is often given by Baird's rule.^{[9](#page-12-0)-[18](#page-12-0)} This rule tells that annulenes with 4*n π*-electrons are aromatic in these states while those with $4n + 2$ are antiaromatic. However, this form of excited state (anti)aromaticity is not valid for heteroaromatics with n, π^* states as S₁ and/or T₁ states, e.g., pyrazine and *s*-triazine. Thus, how to assess and rationalize the potential aromatic or antiaromatic character of the *n*,*π** states of heteroaromatics? Does the (anti)aromatic character influence which state is the lowest in energy, the *n*,*π** or the *π*,*π** state?

A heteroaromatic molecule with six π -electrons in S₀ (three of each spin) will in its *n*,*π** state, where *n* is an in-plane

orbital, have four π -electrons of one spin (α spin) and three of the other $(\beta \text{ spin})$, and this applies to both the singlet and triplet *n*,*π** state [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1A). To understand their aromatic, nonaromatic, or antiaromatic characters, we utilize Mandado's $2n + 1$ rule for aromaticity of separate spins.^{[19](#page-12-0)} With this rule, Hückel's $4n + 2$ rule for closed-shell singlet state aromaticity is fractioned into a $2n + 1 \pi_{\alpha}$ -electron part and a $2n + 1 \pi_{\beta}$ electron part ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1B), while Baird's 4*n* rule for the lowest *π*,*π** triplet state of [4*n*]annulenes is fractioned into a 2*n* + 1 π_{α} -electron and a 2*n* − 1 π_{β} -electron part, all four numbers corresponding to aromaticity for separate spins. Conversely, the lowest π, π^* triplet state of a species with $4n + 2 \pi$ -electrons is antiaromatic, having $2n + 2 \pi_{\alpha}$ and $2n \pi_{\beta}$ -electrons. A similar rule, derived by Valiev et al., tells that molecules are aromatic (antiaromatic) when having an odd (even) number of doubly and singly occupied π-orbitals,^{[20](#page-12-0)} suggesting that Mandado's

Figure 1. (A) Orbital occupancies in the S_0 state (n^2) and the triplet and singlet n, π^* states, with α -electrons in red and β -electrons in blue. (B) Illustrations of Mandado's rule for (anti)aromaticity of separate spins with aromaticity (A) and antiaromaticity (AA) components in the S₀ and the triplet π, π^* (T₁) states of benzene, the triplet π, π^* (T₁) state of cyclooctatetraene, and the triplet *n*,*π** state of pyridine.

rule should apply also to singlet excited states with the same electron configuration as the π, π^* triplet state.

We have recently revealed the difficulty in proper assessment of excited state aromaticity through molecules which instead of Baird-aromatic are Hückel-aromatic or Hückel-Baird hybrid-aromatic in their lowest excited states.^{21–[23](#page-12-0)} The situation also becomes more complex in heteroaromatics with in-plane lone pairs (n_{σ}) herein labeled *n*). The n_{τ} ^{*} state of such a $(4n + 2)\pi$ electron molecule has $2n + 2 \pi_{\alpha}$ and $2n + 1 \pi_{\beta}$ -electrons (or *vice versa*), and would at first glance be nonaromatic if the aromatic π_{β} -component exactly cancels the antiaromatic π_{α} -

component. Yet, can the two parts combined instead lead to aromatic or antiaromatic residuals?

Although the (anti)aromatic characters of various heteroaromatics in their lowest excited states have been analyzed earlier through computations,^{[24](#page-12-0)−[27](#page-12-0)} the (anti)aromaticity of the *n*,*π** states has to the best of our knowledge not been addressed, neither through qualitative theory nor quantitative computations. Such information can be important to rationalize characteristics of *n*,*π** states. Compounds with *n*,*π** states with aromatic residuals may have these as their T_1 and S_1 states, with potentially lower excitation energies and higher photochemical stabilities than (isomeric) compounds with *n*,*π** states having non- or antiaromatic residuals. However, a number of additional factors also impact, as can be expected because the relative orbital energies and positions of the heteroatoms in the rings should also play a role.

Here, two recent computational findings are noteworthy. In the first, Foroutan-Nejad points out the lack of correlation between paratropicity and aromatic stabilization energy in monocyclic π -conjugated hydrocarbon radicals,²⁸ and in the second, Zhu et al. uses the concept of adaptive aromaticity in 16- as well as 18-electron metallaaromatic compounds with lowest excited states of *π*,*σ** or *σ*,*π** character[.29](#page-12-0)[−][33](#page-12-0) Both monocyclic *π*-conjugated hydrocarbon radicals and the metallaaromatics in the states under consideration differ by one electron in the π_{α} - versus π_{β} -electron counts. Can our analysis approach be extended to these and other species with odd total numbers of π_{α} - and π_{β} -electrons? If so, one may utilize the analysis to species which are not traditionally regarded as heteroaromatics, e.g., carbenes of relevance for astrochemistry or as ligands in complexes for catalysts, or molecules with charge transfer states composed of cyclic radical cationic and anionic moieties.

The extent of aromaticity in the S_0 state of many heteroaromatics has already been reported.^{[34,35](#page-12-0)} The compounds now investigated in their *n*,*π** states (Figure 2) are grouped so as to allow us to explore the effects of heteroatom electronegativity, the number of heteroatoms, and their relative positions. We focus on six-membered ring (6-MR) heterocycles as we find that 5-MR heteroaromatics (e.g., furan and imidazole) always have *n*,*π** states of high energies placed well above their first π, π^* states, making them difficult to observe experimentally.^{[36](#page-12-0)} Hence, the latter species are only discussed in the Supporting Information (SI, [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.7). Among the

Figure 2. 6-Membered ring (6-MR) heteroaromatics investigated herein. For a selection of 5-membered ring (5-MR) heteroaromatics and an analysis of their frontier orbital energies, see the SI [\(Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.7).

Figure 3. (A) Illustration of the tug-of-war between the aromatic (A) π_{β} -component (blue) and the antiaromatic (AA) π_{α} -component (red) in influencing the structure of the *n*, π^* state. (B) The two general types of *n*, π^* excitations for a heteroaromatic molecule with C_{2v} symmetry that can be the lowest *n*,*π** state, as well as the orbital and state symmetries.

6-MR species, the phenyl anion and dianions (3, 17, and 18) are not heterocycles, but we consider the anionic sp^2 hybridized C atoms with in-plane lone pairs as heteroatoms. Thus, even though most of the compounds are common heterocycles, we included species that allow us to identify general trends. We focus on heteroaromatics with *n*,*π** states among the lowest few excited states, whereby they are photochemically relevant. Yet, the *n*,*π** states are not necessarily T_1 and S_1 for all compounds as that allows us to reveal trends. As far as we know, no investigation into which heteroaromatics have *n*,*π** states as their lowest excited states and which ones have *π*,*π** states has earlier been reported. Thus, the main objectives of our study are to resolve (if possible) which heteroaromatics have *n*,*π** states as their lowest excited states and which ones have *π*,*π** states as these states, and to what extent (anti)aromaticity plays a role for this.

As will be shown, by considering the residuals between the two spin components of (anti)aromaticity, we rationalize features of the heteroaromatics in their *n*,*π** states, e.g., when these states are the lowest excited states. Hence, we provide a theoretical framework for the (anti)aromatic character of *n*,*π** states that can be generalized to states with even π_{α} - and odd π_{β} -electron counts (or vice versa). We pinpoint why excited state (anti)aromaticity investigations require both quantitative computations and qualitative theory if one is to arrive at conclusions that are correct and comprehensive. Such fundamental knowledge should allow for more insightful design of molecules with targeted properties and applications, especially if linked to machine-learning approaches.

2. QUALITATIVE THEORY

Before discussing computational results, we describe the qualitative theoretical framework, and we focus on the triplet n, π^* state as this is more readily analyzed computationally than the singlet *n*,*π** state (*vide infra*). We foremost explore vertical triplet excitations because in the vertical n, π^* state the π_{β} component should, viewed simplistically [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1A), remain as aromatic as in S₀, while the π_{α} -component with four π_{α} electrons should be antiaromatic. Factors that may perturb this description are (i) a difference in electrostatics within the *π*orbital frameworks of the S_0 and n, π^* states as there will be an

increased Coulomb repulsion in the *π*-system due to the additional π -electron in the n,π^* state, and (ii) a difference in the exchange interaction between the two states resulting from the change in the number of π_{α} - and π_{β} -electrons. A residual that tends toward aromaticity of a vertically excited n,*π** state will result if there is a higher degree of aromaticity in the $\pi_{β}$ component compared to the S_0 state and/or a low degree of antiaromaticity in the π_{α} -component. Similarly, a residual that tends toward antiaromaticity can stem from a lower aromaticity in the π_{β} -component than in S₀ and/or a high degree of antiaromaticity in the π_{α} -component.

Next, when the molecule relaxes from the vertically excited *n*,*π** state one can postulate that there will be a tug-of-war between the aromatic π_{β} - and the antiaromatic π_{α} -components. The first seeks to retain the (planar) S_0 state geometry and the second strives to alleviate its antiaromaticity through geometric distortions (Figure 3A), i.e., to obtain a more bond length alternated and/or puckered structure. Thus, if the residual between the π_{α} - and π_{β} -(anti)aromaticity components corresponds to some aromatic character, we postulate that the molecule will be more prone to retain a planar and/or bond length equalized structure in the *n*,*π** state, while it will pucker and/or become more bond length alternated if it has a residual with antiaromatic character. Yet, there can be factors that counteract these features, e.g., a preference of a particular heteroatom to have a more acute bond angle than the planar structure allows for. Hence, by jointly regarding the aromaticantiaromatic character of the vertically excited *n*,*π** state, we probe the hypothesis that molecules with *n*,*π** states with aromatic residuals between the π_{α} - and π_{β} -components distort less while those with antiaromatic residuals distort more.

There are also various types of *n*,*π** states with different state symmetries as there are two π^* orbitals (the b₁ and a₂) orbitals, Figure 3B), which are degenerate in benzene. As the $b_1 \pi^*$ orbital has a lobe at the *E* atom while the $a_2 \pi^*$ orbital has a node there, it is apparent that the orbital energy gap and the energies of the two n, π^* states $(E(n, \pi^*))$ are affected differently by the electronegativity of atom *E*. This indicates that the *n*,*π** excitation energies of heteroaromatic compounds will be affected by several features, where the extent of *n*,*π** state (anti)aromaticity is only one, others being the S_0 state

(anti)aromaticity and differences in electrostatic repulsion, exchange interaction, and strain within the molecule when going from S_0 to the excited state. Thus, a correlation between the (anti)aromatic residual of an *n*,*π** state and the excitation energy is unlikely. Still, correlations may be observed within closely related compounds, e.g., among isomers or substituted derivatives of a specific heteroaromatic.

The situation becomes more complex with two (or further) heteroatoms *E* and *E*′ with in-plane lone-pair electrons because the excitation can be out of either the in-phase or out-of-phase combination of the local $n(E)$ and $n(E')$ orbitals. Throughout we discuss the lowest *n*,*π** state, yet in a few cases we explore also the second lowest to establish an unambiguous comparison between analogous *n*,*π** states of a subset of the mono- and diheteroaromatics. Moreover, the lowest ³ *n*,*π** state is not necessarily the T_1 state. Here, we found that 6-MR heteroaromatics often have T_1 states of n, π^* or π, π^* character, while the T_1 state of 5-MR heteroaromatics (as mentioned above) mainly are of *π*,*π** character as the in-plane *n* orbitals are of lower and the *π** orbitals of higher energy than in the 6- MR heteroaromatics (see [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.7, SI).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mono- and diheteroaromatics of [Figure](#page-1-0) 2 were analyzed separately. We primarily explored their lowest triplet *n*,*π** state $({}^3n,\pi^*)$ as this allows us to use a larger portfolio of aromaticity descriptors. Yet, we also calculated several compounds in their singlet n,π^* states $({}^1n,\pi^*)$ to probe if trends are the same for singlet and triplet *n*,*π** states. Emphasis is placed on electronic aromaticity indices as these are readily separated into *α*- and *β*components, although the spin-separate magnetically induced current densities (MICDs) were also analyzed.[37](#page-12-0),[38](#page-12-0) For electronic indices, we computed (spin-separated) multicenter indices (MCI) and electron density of delocalized bonds (EDDB)[,39](#page-12-0)[−][42](#page-12-0) with focus on results from MCI known to provide the most accurate predictions in a series of aromaticity tests.^{35,43} We further computed nucleus-independent chemical shifts (NICS[\)44](#page-12-0)[−][46](#page-12-0) for the ³ *n*,*π** states, although not spinseparated (see the Computational Details in the [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf)). Thereby, the NICS values cannot explain the cause of the (anti)aromatic character of an n, π^* state as the magnitudes of the individual spin components are unknown. For some ³ *n*,*π** states, we also analyzed geometry-based parameters and the relaxation energies when going from the vertically excited to the relaxed *n*,*π** states: Do they reflect the drive to relieve antiaromaticity of the π_{α} -component or the strive of the π_{β} -component to retain aromaticity? The analysis of this tug-of-war [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 3A) is done jointly on the mono- and diheteroaromatic compounds in a final section on generalizations.

Computations for the ³ *n*,*π** states were mainly performed with the long-range corrected CAM-B3LYP functional^{[47](#page-12-0)} in the unrestricted Kohn−Sham (KS) formalism, but calculations with the B3LYP and BLYP functionals,^{[48](#page-12-0)-[51](#page-13-0)} as well as CCSD, BD,⁵² and CASSCF, were performed for selected compounds (see [Sections](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S1, S2.1, S3.1, and S3.6, SI). Recently, the longrange corrected CAM-B3LYP and *ω*B97X-D functionals, and the Minnesota functional M06-2X, among 10 different functionals were found to perform best for the lowest excited states of benzene, pyridine, and the diazines.^{[24](#page-12-0)} For the ${}^{1}n,\pi^*$ states, we used time-dependent (TD) density functional theory $(DFT).$ ⁵

3.1. Assessment Criteria. For essentially all compounds, the *σ*-contributions to the MCI are negligible and do not

modify the conclusions [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S14, SI), and thus, we consider the *σ*- and *π*-components combined. We explored for which compounds the MCI_β-components of the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ states are smaller (less aromatic) than in S_0 (i.e., half of the total MCI value in S_0), and for which compounds they are larger. The MCI_{α} -component will decrease to a very low value as it becomes antiaromatic, yet its antiaromatic character cannot be assessed as easily as the aromatic character of the MCI*β*component. The residual of the ³ *n*,*π** state is the combined MCI_{a^-} and MCI_{β} -components $(\mathrm{MCI}({}^3n,\pi^*)_{\mathrm{tot}})$ minus half the S₀ value (MCI(S₀)_{tot}); for a nonaromatic ³*n*, π ^{*} state, the $MCI(^{3}n,\pi^{*})_{\text{tot}}$ should be close to half the total value in S_0 , provided that the MCI*α*-component is nearly zero while the $MCI_β$ -component remains as in $S₀$. Moreover, we label the *n,* π^* state to lean toward aromaticity if the $\mathrm{MCI}(^{3}n,\pi^*)_{\mathrm{tot}}$ is at least 10% higher than half the $MCI(S_0)_{tot}$ and toward antiaromaticity if 10% lower.

We consider a 6-MR molecule to be aromatic in S_0 if its MCI value is at least half the MCI value of benzene in S_0 (i.e., $0.0716/2 = 0.0358$. However, the vertically excited lowest triplet π, π^* state of benzene is multiconfigurational and is therefore not (easily) comparable to those of the lowersymmetry heterocycles. Instead, we used the MCI and EDDB values of the lowest ³ *π*,*π** state of pyridine, which is singleconfigurational and antiaromatic. This state is an antiaromatic reference with a total MCI value of −0.0005, and MCI*α*- and MCI_β-components of 0.0023 and −0.0028, respectively.

Thus, in the (anti)aromaticity assessments of the *n*,*π** states of a compound, we use two measures; (i) the ratio between the $\mathrm{MCI}({}^3n,\pi^*)_{\mathrm{tot}}$ and $\mathrm{MCI}(\mathrm{S}_0)_{\mathrm{tot}}$ of a specific compound and its deviation from 50%, representing nonaromaticity, and (ii) a comparison of the S_0 or n, π^* state of a specific heteroaromatic with the aromaticity of benzene in S_0 (aromatic reference) and the antiaromaticity of the triplet *π*,*π** state of pyridine (antiaromatic reference).

3.2. Monoheteroaromatic 6-MRs (Group A). As postulated above, the split in energy between the two *π** orbitals, b_1 and a_2 , increases with the electronegativity of heteroatom *E* as the b_1 orbital is lowered more. The 1^3B_1 state is the lowest ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state for all 6-MR monoheteroaromatics, yet, the computed energy difference to the other ³ *n*,*π** state $(1³A₂)$ varies from 0.20 eV for 3 to 2.05 eV for 5 (and similarly for the ${}^{1}n,\pi^*$ states, except for 3 where $1{}^{1}A_2$ is 0.42 eV below $1^{1}B_{1}$). It is, however, notable that the lowest $^{3}n,\pi^{*}$ states of 5 and 6 have multiconfigurational character (see T₁ diagnostics, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S22, SI).^{54–[56](#page-13-0)} Yet, despite this, the ratios between the MCI values in the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ and S₀ states of 5 and 6 obtained from (U)CAM-B3LYP, (U)CCSD, and (U)BD calculations are quite similar (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S1, S4, and S5 in the SI), clarifying that (U)CAM-B3LYP produces reliable estimates of the decrease in aromaticity when going from S_0 to the ${}^3n,\pi^*$ states of these species (see further [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.6 in the SI).

The lowest ³ *n*,*π** states of the phenyl and silaphenyl anions (3 and 4) have residuals with MCI that lean toward aromaticity; their MCI*β*-components are significantly higher than the corresponding S_0 values [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 4A), and the MCI_{α} components resemble that of pyridine (1) in its lowest ³ *π*,*π** state (0.0026, 0.0011, and 0.0023 for 3, 4, and 1, respectively). In contrast, the lowest ³ *n*,*π** states of 1 and the thiopyrylium cation (6) have residuals that lean toward antiaromaticity, while for phosphinine (2) the MCI*β*-component of its ³ *n*,*π** state resembles that of S_0 and should be categorized as nonaromatic. In S_0 , the pyrylium cation (5) is nonaromatic

Figure 4. MCI results (in a.u.) of 6-MR monoheteroaromatics in (A) their *n*,*π** triplet states (spin-separated MCI values) with KS-UDFT and (B) their singlet (S) and triplet (T) *n*,*π** states at TD-DFT level, as well as the KS-UDFT results for the ³ *n*,*π** states for comparison. The purple arrow in (A) indicates the aromaticity threshold (0.0358). The state orders $(T_n$ and S_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ given above the bars represent the *n*, π^* states. ^aT₃ or higher. ^bMixed state. See SI [Sections](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S1, [S2.1,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.1, and S3.2 for further details.

because its MCI value is lower than our aromaticity threshold (0.0358). However, in its first ³ *n*,*π** state, the MCI*β*component is somewhat higher than in the S_0 state. Still, it is the lowest among the 6-MR monoheteroaromatics, and 5 in its ³ *n*,*π** state should be labeled nonaromatic. Looking instead at the MCI_{α} -components of the lowest ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ states, it is notable that these are similar or just slightly higher (0.0011− 0.0030) than the corresponding components in the antiaromatic ³ *π*,*π** state of these compounds (the MCI*α*components with four electrons are in the range of −0.0012−0.0034, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S6, SI). Thus, the *πα*-components of the ³ *n*,*π** states are as antiaromatic as the corresponding component of the ³ *π*,*π** state of 1 acting as our antiaromaticity reference.

For the lowest ¹ *n*,*π** states, we used TD-DFT, for which spin-separation is not possible. Therefore, to assess the quality of the results from TD-DFT, we computed MCI values for the $n³n, \pi^*$ states using both the KS-UDFT and the TD-DFT formalisms and found that MCI values from TD-DFT are consistently lower by a third to half of the values from KS-UDFT (Figure 4B). This trend is ascribed to the nature of the associated wavefunction in TD-DFT (see Computational Methods in the [SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf).

Despite this, the trends observed in the TD-DFT results for the lowest ³ *n*,*π** states are very similar to those of KS-UDFT,

both in terms of excitation energies and relative (anti) aromaticity assessed by MCI. Thus, we are confident that the ¹ *n*,*π** and ³ *n*,*π** states are explored on comparable footings.

The energetic order of the vertical $^1n, π^*$ states matches well that of the corresponding ³ *n*,*π** states, and the total MCI values show that the (anti)aromatic characters in the two states are similar for most 6-MR monoheteroaromatics. The exceptions are 3 and 4 for which the $n_nπ[*]$ states are more aromatic than the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$, yet, further analysis is impossible as the MCI_{α} - and MCI_{β} -components cannot be separated in results from TD-DFT computations. Still, for ⁴ the lowest ¹ n_1 ,*π** state (the S₂ state) is of mixed *n*,*π**/Rydberg character in contrast to the lowest ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state which is a pure valence excited state. On the other hand, for 3 the first $\frac{1}{n}$,*π*^{*} state is the 1^1A_2 (Type II, [Figure](#page-2-0) 3B), opposite to the other 6-MR monoheteroaromatics for which the lowest ¹ *n*,*π** states are $1¹B₁$. In summary, the extent of (anti)aromaticity of the lowest singlet and triplet n, π^* states is similar in four of the six 6-MR monoheteroaromatics.

Now, are *n*,*π** states with highly aromatic residuals normally T₁ states, while the T₁ and S₁ states are of π, π^* character for heteroaromatics which have lowest *n*,*π** states with non- or antiaromatic residuals? Figure 4 clarifies that for 6-MR monoheteroaromatics this is the case for some, e.g., 3 with an aromatic residual and T_1 and S_1 states of n, π^* character, and 6 with an antiaromatic residual and T_1 and S_1 states of π, π^* character. However, 1 with a residual that leans toward antiaromaticity also has T_1 and S_1 states of n,π^* nature. Noteworthy, the order between the lowest ³ *n*,*π** and ³ *π*,*π** transitions, as well as the type of ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state (B₁ or A₂), are nearly always the same with UDFT and TD-DFT ([Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S21 and [S27](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf), SI), except for 1 and 4 for which the order of $\frac{3n}{\pi}$ and ³ *π*,*π** transitions are switched. In summary, the electronegativity of the heteroatom influences the order and energy difference between the b_1 and a_2 symmetric π^* orbitals (see below), and consequently, it has a great impact on the order of the ${}^{3}n_{},\pi^{*}$ and ${}^{3} \pi_{},\pi^{*}$ transitions.

In the Introduction, we asked if a more aromatic (antiaromatic) residual of the ³ *n*,*π** state correlates with a lower (higher) vertical excitation energy. However, this hypothesis oversimplifies as the vertical excitation energy also depends on (de)stabilizing features of the S_0 state, including (anti)aromaticity and several other factors (see [Section](#page-2-0) 2). Still, the monoheteroaromatics with residuals that lean toward aromaticity (3 and 4) are the two with lowest $E(^3n,\pi^*)$ (2.6) and 2.9 eV, respectively), while thiopyrylium (6) , with its antiaromatic residual, and the S_0 nonaromatic pyrylium (5) have $E(^{3}n,\pi^{*})$ of 5.5 and 5.8 eV, respectively. The same relationship is observed for the $^1n,\pi^*$ states (3.1 (3), 3.8 (4), 5.1 (1), 5.1 (2), 5.7 (5), and 6.1 eV (6)). However, the $E(n,\pi^*)$ values also vary with the *n* orbital energies as this orbital is of very low energy for 5 and 6 being the second below the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO−2) at 2.09 and 1.78 eV below the highest occupied *π*-orbital (HOMO), but high for 3 and 4 (for 3 it is as much as 1.89 eV above the highest occupied *π*-orbital, which is HOMO−1). Also, the highest π and lowest π^* orbital energies vary between the heteroaromatics ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S47, SI). As a result, for 3 the energy differences between the *n* and the $b_1 \pi^*$ orbital versus the highest π and the $b_1 \pi^*$ orbital are 7.77 and 9.66 eV, while for 5 these energy differences are 10.44 and 8.36 eV, respectively. Thus, electronegativity variations among the *E* atoms impact strongly on the *n*,*π** excitation energies, and these are not necessarily related to (anti)aromatic character. For the ³ *n*,*π** states, EDDB results (Figure 5A) are

consistent with the MCI findings. The π _β-components of 3

Figure 5. Aromaticity of the monoheteroaromatics in their S_0 and $\lambda^3 n, \pi^*$ states at the UCAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level; (A) *π*-EDDB values (units are electrons), where red and blue bars correspond to, respectively, *α*- and *β*-electrons (as references, the total *π*-EDDB value is 5.33 e for benzene in its aromatic S_0 state and 2.77 e for pyridine in its antiaromatic ³ *π*,*π** state, see [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S2.2 in the SI for more details, especially on spin-separated reference values). The dashed line bars show the total number of π -electrons in that state. (B) π -Electron bond current strengths (in nA $\mathrm{T}^{-1})$ calculated as the average of all bonds in the given ring, where red and blue bars represent *α*- and *β*electron contributions, respectively. (C) NICS(1)*zz* values (in ppm).

and 4 are slightly larger than half of the total π -S₀ value, indicating aromatic character of the residuals of their ³ *n*,*π** states. In contrast, for the remaining 6-MR monoheteroaromatics, the π_{β} -component is lower in the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state than in S0. More comprehensive EDDB results, specifically dissecting *σ* and *π* contributions, are provided in [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S2.2 in the SI.

The trend in MICD results (Figure 5B) resembles that of the electronic indices, but the values are markedly offset toward antiaromaticity since the π_{α} -components give strong paratropic influences. As an illustration, Figure 6 displays the spin-separated π -electron MICD maps of the S₀ and ³ n,π^* states of 1, as well as the orbital transition scheme which provides a qualitative rationalization.^{[57](#page-13-0)–[59](#page-13-0)} In S₀, the π electrons of all monoheteroaromatics induce diatropic currents due to translational transitions between the occupied b_1 and a_2

Figure 6. Maps of magnetically induced π -electron current densities calculated at 1 bohr above the molecular plane of 1: (A) S_0 state, (B) *n*,*π*^{*} state, (C, D) π_{α} - and π_{β} -electron contributions to the ³*n*,*π*^{*} state. Clockwise (anticlockwise) circulation corresponds to diatropic (paratropic) currents. (E) Qualitative energy level diagram for the frontier molecular orbitals in the S_0 and ${}^3n,\pi^*$ states of monoheteroaromatics. Blue arrows indicate the translationally allowed transitions (inducing diatropic currents), and the red arrow indicates the rotationally allowed transition (inducing paratropic currents). Only the most relevant transitions, based on the values of the linear and angular momentum matrix elements, were selected.

orbitals and the unoccupied b_1 and a_2 orbitals (blue arrows, Figure 6E).⁶⁰ Analogous transitions are found within the $π_β$ electron stack of the ³ *n*,*π** state, giving diatropic current contributions. However, the π_{α} -electrons induce strongly paratropic currents arising from the rotational transition from the highest occupied b_1 orbital (the α -SOMO) to the empty a_2 orbital (red arrow). Although the α -SOMO-1 (a₂) and α -SOMO-2 (b_1) contribute to diatropic currents through translational transitions to the unoccupied a_2 orbital, these contributions are small in comparison to the paratropic current contributions.

Here it should be noted that the relative importance of the various orbital transitions within the π_{α} -electron stack is related to the energy differences between the respective occupied and unoccupied orbitals, related to the electronegativity of the *E* atom (see above) and not to (anti)aromaticity. As a consequence, the magnetic (anti)aromaticity of the *n*,*π**

Figure 7. (A) MCI results (in a.u.) of diheteroaromatics in their triplet *n*,*π** states (spin-separated) with UCAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p). The purple arrow indicates the aromaticity threshold value for S_0 (0.0358). The state orders T_n ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is given above the bars that represent the *n*,*π** states. ^aT₂ or higher (see SI [Sections](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S2.1 and S3.1 for further details). (B) NICS(1)_{zz} values in their S₀ and ³*n*,*π** states (in ppm).

state may not agree with the electronic, energetic, and geometric aromaticity aspects, similarly as reported for the 3 *π*,*π** state of B₄N₄H₈^{[61](#page-13-0)} and, in the S₀ state, for (N₆H₆)²⁺ and $\rm C_2N_4H_6$.^{[62](#page-13-0)} For a detailed analysis of the orbital transitions, see [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S20, SI. It can be noted that the NICS $(1)_{zz}$ values ([Figure](#page-5-0) 5C) closely follow the trend of the MICD values, but they provide less information as they are not spin-separated. As a result, 3 in its $^3n,\pi^*$ state is the most antiaromatic among $1-$ 6 with NICS, while the most aromatic with MCI.

Next, we analyze why there is a variation in the (anti)aromatic character of the ³ *n*,*π** states of 6-MR monoheteroaromatics. Both the *E* atom electronegativity and the molecular charge play roles as one can see that a low electronegativity of *E* and a negative charge lead to stronger aromatic character of the residual. The variation also seems to depend on the local p_{π} orbital overlap which differs among the monoheteroaromatics. For this reason, we analyzed the degree of uniformity in the *π*-electron distribution in the ring by calculating the root-mean-square deviation of *π*-electron distribution $(RMSD(\pi))$ obtained from a natural population analysis (NPA). Interestingly, there are good correlations between the MCI and $\text{RMSD}(\pi)$ values for both S₀ and ³*n*, π^* , indicating that the more uniformly distributed the π -electrons, the higher the MCI values ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S4, SI). It is further notable that there is a reasonable correlation with the change in the RMSD(π) of the π_{β} -electron distribution when going from S₀ to T_1 and the degree of (anti)aromaticity of the residual, implying that if the π_{β} -electron distribution becomes more (less) uniformly distributed upon excitation, the residual of the system will become more aromatic (antiaromatic).

3.3. Diheteroaromatic 6-MRs (Group B). The analysis becomes more complex for molecules with two heteroatoms as (i) there is a variation in the S_0 aromaticity with the relative

positions of the two heteroatoms, $34,35$ (ii) with two lone pairs there are several n, π^* states since there are two (neardegenerate) lone-pair orbitals in addition to the two (neardegenerate) *π** orbitals (potentially leading to multiconfigurational character), and (iii) there can be a variation among diheteroaromatics as to which *n*,*π** state is lowest in energy. To facilitate, we split the diheteroaromatics in two subgroups: one composed of those with two different heteroatoms (10− 14) and one of those with two equal heteroatoms (7−9 and 15−19). Additionally, we consider the protonated species of two compounds in the latter subgroup $(9\mathrm{H}^+$ and $19\mathrm{H}^+).$ Those with two different heteroatoms should, viewed simplistically, have the highest *n* orbital dominated by the least electronegative element, and their first *n*,*π** state may resemble those of the monoheteroaromatics with the same heteroatom. Yet, we will see that this is not necessarily the case. We calculate MCI throughout the group and MICD for selected compounds.

Diheteroaromatic 6-MRs with $E' \neq E$. Starting with the three azaphosphinines 10−12 in their ³ *n*,*π** states computed at $UCCSD(T)$ level, we found that these exhibit some multiconfigurational character $(T_1$ diagnostics >0.044, the threshold for open-shell species).^{54–[56](#page-13-0)} Accordingly, we explored these species also at UBD and CASSCF levels and found that multiconfigurational character does not impact on the aromaticity results (see [Sections](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S2.1, S3.1, and S3.6 in the SI). Indeed, the trend in the MCI values computed with UCAM-B3LYP agrees with that of UBD.

In S_0 , there is a minute increase in the aromaticity when going from 10 to 12 according to MCI, in line with earlier findings based on NICS,^{45,[63](#page-13-0)−[65](#page-13-0)} but in the lowest ³*n*,*π** state, there is instead a minute decrease (Figure 7A). The MCI(³*n*, π ^{*})_{tot} values of 10−12 are similar to those of 1 and

2 [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 4A); however, their residuals (with MCI(³*n*, π^*)_{tot} at 42−47% of the MCI(S_0) values) tend toward antiaromatic character whereby they resemble 1 more than 2. This is consistent with the fact that both C and P have low electronegativity, and that P has been labeled a "carbon copy".⁶⁶ With regard to the lone-pair orbitals, which are singly occupied in the lowest ³ *n*,*π** states, they are more localized on P than on N (Figure 8), but if one instead views the lone-pair orbital which is unoccupied it resembles the corresponding orbital in S_0 and is more localized on N than on P.

Figure 8. Singly occupied and unoccupied *n* and *π** orbitals of 10−14 of the ³ *n*,*π** state, with orbital energies in eV. Noteworthy, the virtual lone-pair orbitals in the rightmost column resemble the doubly occupied lone-pair orbitals in S_0 . Isosurfaces of 0.040 au.

Although oversimplified, in [Section](#page-0-0) 1, we put forth that the (anti)aromatic character of the residual and the vertical $E(^3n,\pi^*)$ may correlate among isomers. Indeed, there is no extensive variation in the (anti)aromatic character neither in the S₀ states of **10−12** nor in the residuals of their ³*n*,*π*^{*} states, and the variation in their vertical $E(^3n,\pi^*)$ is modest (3.34– 3.60 eV), in line with the postulation. Furthermore, the ³ *n*,*π** states of 10−12 are not the T_1 states but higher states [\(Figure](#page-6-0) [7](#page-6-0)A), a fact that might be explained by the non- or antiaromatic character of the residual of the n, π^* state. The T₁ states are instead of *π*,*π** character.

Of the two other diheteroaromatics with $E \neq E'$ (13 and 14), it is only 14 that exhibits a sufficiently aromatic character in S₀ to satisfy our aromaticity criterion (MCI \geq 0.0358). The *n*,*π** state of 14 resembles that of 3, whereby also this excitation can be described as that of a monoheteroaromatic. Further support for this interpretation comes from the shape of the formal *n* orbital involved in the n, π^* excitation since it has a marked localization at the anionic C atom (Figure 8). With regard to 13, it has a nonaromatic S_0 state, yet, is still interesting as its MCI value in ³ *n*,*π** is significantly higher than half the S₀ value. Thus, the MCI $({}^3n,\pi^*)_{\rm tot}$ value is intermediate between that of 5 and 1.

Diheteroaromatic 6-MRs with E′ *= E.* Among these species, the diazines and compounds 17 and 18 exhibit multiconfigurational character which should stem from near-degeneracy of the two lowest ³ *n*,*π** states (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S25 and S53, SI). However, results from UBD calculations again corroborate that UCAM-B3LYP provides reliable aromaticity results and trends ([Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S8, S11, and S12, SI).

For the diazines 7–9, the aromaticity in S_0 [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 7A) follows the established order pyridazine (7) > pyrimidine (8) ∼ pyrazine (9).⁶⁷ Their lowest ³*n*,*π*^{*} states are the T₁ states and we find that the excitations are of similar type [\(Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S24, SI), although a comparison is ambiguous due to their different structures and symmetries. According to MCI, the ³ *n*,*π** state of 9 has a residual with clear aromatic character, while 7 and 8 have nonaromatic residuals (i.e., approximately half of the S_0 values). Interestingly, although the largest difference in the MCI values between 7, 8, and 9 is due to the MCI*β*component, the MCI_{*a*} of 9 is also larger than those of 7 and 8, indicating a weaker π_{α} -antiaromatic contribution in the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state of 9. These findings also agree with those of a recent study on the lowest excited states of the three diazines, yet, where these states were not differentiated as *n*,*π** or *π*,*π** states.^{[24](#page-12-0)} It was argued that the more aromatic a molecule is in its S_0 state, the more antiaromatic it will be in its first excited states. Such relationships were earlier found among the *π*,*π** states of substituted fulvenes and related hyperconjugated compounds,^{[68](#page-13-0)} i.e., specific compound classes. However, we find that this is not valid for the diazines because 7 is more aromatic than 8 in both its S₀ and T₁ ($3n,\pi^*$) states.

The diazines make it clear that the hypothesis that $E(^3n,\pi^*)$ is correlated with the (anti)aromaticity difference between S_0 and the ³ *n*,*π** states is also oversimplified when regarding only isomers. Among 7−9, the largest difference in (anti) aromaticity between the two states is found for 7 while the smallest is found for 9 [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 7A), but 7 has the lowest *E*(³ *n*,*π**) (2.95 eV) and 8 the highest (4.13 eV). Further analysis reveals that the excitation energies are primarily influenced by the relative S_0 energies of the three isomers because 8 is more stable than 7 by 1.03 eV ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S24, SI), a feature that stems from repulsion between the lone-pair electrons of the two adjacent N atoms of $7.^{69}$ $7.^{69}$ $7.^{69}$

Here we explore why the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state of 9 exhibits a highly aromatic residual according to MCI, and if the same trend among the diazines is found with magnetic and energetic aromaticity descriptors. An energy-based evidence of a higher aromatic character of the ³ *n*,*π** state of 9 than those of 7 and 8 comes from the relative energies, because 7 and 8 in their ${}^{3}n$,*π*^{*} states are higher in energy than 9 by, respectively, 0.21 and 0.34 eV. Additionally, and in agreement with the MCI results, the calculated MICD for 7−9 demonstrate that only 9 exhibits a somewhat stronger diatropic π_β -electron ring current in its

 $3n, π*$ state compared to its S₀ state. Moreover, the *α*-HSOMO of 9 has the least intensive paratropic contribution among 7−9, in accordance with the values of the *α*-HOMO−LUMO gap ([Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S20, SI). Finally, the NICS values reflect a lower paratropicity of 9 ([Figure](#page-6-0) 7B). Taken together, electronic and energetic descriptors thus support that the ³ *n*,*π** state of 9 has some aromatic character, and the magnetic indicators reveal that 9 has the least antiaromatic T_1 state among the diazines. In this context, it is notable that perfluoropyridazine in its S_1 state, which is of $^1n,\pi^*$ character, photorearranges to the corresponding pyrazine, $70,71$ possibly driven by a gain in aromatic character. Rewardingly, the same trends are found for the S₁ states (all ¹*n*, π^*) of the diazines as for their T₁ states (all $3n \pi^*$) with **9** being the most stable and aromatic isomer also $n³n, \pi^*$), with 9 being the most stable and aromatic isomer also in S_1 ([Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S13 and S28, SI).

Further clarity on the cause of the aromatic residual is gained by looking into the distribution of the *π*-electrons. The π -electron population in S₀ is more evenly spread in 7, followed by 9, and last by 8, in line with the results of [Figure](#page-6-0) 7. In contrast, in the $\frac{3n}{\pi}$ state of 9 the *π*-electron distribution is clearly more uniform than in the other two species due to the high symmetry of the former (see [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S35−S37, SI). In fact, the π_{β} -electron population is even more evenly distributed in this state than in the S_0 state. Looking into the spin distributions, there is an accumulation of the excess *πα*electrons around the N atoms of 9. For 7 and 8, both the π_{α} and the π_{β} -electrons are quite localized at the N atoms and at certain C atoms (different for each of the spins), leading to a less uniform *π*-electron distribution and lowered aromaticity for both spins. Conversely, in *D*2*^h* symmetric 9, despite some accumulation around the N atoms, the distribution of *πβ*electrons is forced by symmetry to be more uniform (all C atoms have the same π -electron population), which explains the aromaticity increase of the MCI*β*-component.

Among the other compounds with $E = E'$, 15, 17, and 19 have residuals in their ³ *n*,*π** states with considerable aromatic character. Hence, it is obvious that the placement of two equal heteroatoms with in-plane lone-pairs in *para*-positions, leading to *D*2*^h* symmetric molecules, provides for *n*,*π** states with strong aromatic character of the residuals. For 17 and 18, it is also notable that the MCI*α*-components are significant, presumably a result of the fact that the excitation involves promotion of an *α*-electron into an orbital with diffuse character as a result of the low electronegativity of carbon. Although MICD was not computed for these species, NICS supports the MCI results as the *para*-isomers are the least antiaromatic isomers ([Figure](#page-6-0) 7B).

One can note that the *E* atom electronegativity impacts on the S_0 state aromaticity, and also on the total MCI value of the $n³n, \pi^*$ state. However, the main factor impacting the MCI value of the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state, relative to the S₀ state, is the placement of the heteroatoms as the *para*-isomers always have markedly aromatic residuals ([Figure](#page-6-0) 7A). One can also note that the increase mainly occurs in the MCI*β*-components. Furthermore, one may expect that an increased electrostatic repulsion could lead to a more even distribution of the *π_β*-electrons among atoms in the 6-MR. Yet, when regarding 9 and 17, which both are strongly aromatic in S_0 , and which also have strong aromatic character of the residual of the ³ *n*,*π** state, the two species have different *π** orbitals and their *n*,*π** states are thus of different types (B_1 in 9 and A_2 in 17). Hence, the type of π^* orbital, and accordingly, the spatial distribution, seems

unrelated to the aromatic character of the residual according to MCI. For these species, we did not explore spin-separated MICD, but with NICS the situation is opposite to that of MCI because with this index compounds 17 and 18 are strongly antiaromatic in their ${}^{3}n$,*π*^{*} states ([Figure](#page-6-0) 7B). This feature resembles that observed with NICS for 3 and stems from an extensive paratropic π_{α} -contributions.

A further item to note is that compounds with electronegative *E* atoms (primarily O) which are weakly aromatic or nonaromatic in S₀, gain some aromaticity in the MCI_βcomponent in the *n*,*π** state (i.e., they obtain values that are more than half of that in S_0). These species have rather localized π -electrons in S₀ because of the highly electronegative and electron-deficient O⁺. Upon excitation to the n,π^* state, the delocalization of the π_{β} -electrons increases due to the addition of one electron to the *π*-system, and the *β*-component of MCI increases (see [Figures](#page-4-0) 4A and [7](#page-6-0)A).

Finally, for all diheteroaromatics, we also found a good correlation with the change in the $RMSD(\pi)$ of the π_{β} -electron distribution when going from S₀ to the ${}^{3}n,\pi^*$ state and the degree of (anti)aromaticity of the residual ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S6, SI). In particular, the *π*-electron distributions in the ³ *n*,*π** states of 9 and 15, with two equal *E* atoms in *para*-positions, are clearly more uniform than in their isomers due to their D_{2h} symmetry.

Protonated Para-Diheteroaromatics. For the diheteroaromatics with *E* = *E*′ atoms at *para*-positions and with aromatic S_0 states (9, 17, and 19) we also explored the changes in (anti)aromatic character upon protonation of their ³ *n*,*π** states. This leads to $9H^+$, 3, and $19H^+$, respectively. These three species remain aromatic in S_0 , but less than when unprotonated. Importantly, though, the residuals of their ³ *n*,*π** states remain aromatic in character in each of these species, indicating that protonation reduces the aromaticity of both the S_0 and ${}^3n,\pi^*$ states to similar relative amounts. In contrast, when 14 is protonated at the negative C atom, leading to 1, there is no aromatic but rather an antiaromatic residual. From this, we conclude that the two features that lead to an aromatic residual are high symmetry (D_{2h} or nearly so) and negative charge (excess of electrons).

3.4. Generalizations and Tentative Applications. The findings presented above can be generalized. In [Section](#page-2-0) 2, we argued that in an *n*,*π** state there is a tug-of-war between the antiaromatic π_{α} -component and the aromatic π_{β} -component. Is there support for this hypothesis when considering all investigated molecules? We also discussed the potential correlation between the excitation energy and the (anti) aromatic character of the residual but pointed out factors unrelated to (anti)aromaticity that also affect excitation energies. Still, is the hypothesis valid for a limited set of compounds, e.g., substituted derivatives of a certain heteroaromatic? [Figures](#page-4-0) 4 and [7](#page-6-0) reveal that heteroaromatics with n,π^* states with aromatic residuals often have these states as T_1 or S_1 states. Yet, is there a connection to the (anti)aromaticity of the residual of the *n*,*π** state and the energy difference between the lowest n, π^* and π, π^* states? We further test if the knowledge gained on the (anti)aromatic character of the *n*,*π** state can be expanded to other species that are not traditionally seen as heteroaromatics. Finally, we address molecules and features that tentatively can be useful for applications.

Our hypothesis on the molecular relaxation of the ³ *n*,*π** states is shown in [Figure](#page-2-0) 3; the aromatic *β*-component strives to keep the S_0 geometry whereas the α -component prefers to distort to release antiaromaticity. To analyze the effect of

Figure 9. (A) $\Delta E({}^3\pi,\pi^* - {}^3n,\pi^*)$ vs MCI(${}^3n,\pi^*$) for 1–19H⁺, (B) $\Delta E({}^3\pi,\pi^* - {}^3n,\pi^*)$ vs MCI(${}^3n,\pi^*$) for 9 and monosubstituted pyrazines, (C) *E*(${}^{3}n$,*π**) vs ΔMCI(S₀ – ${}^{3}n$,*π**) for **9** and monosubstituted pyrazines, and (D) distorted structures of **9** obtained through a normal mode following algorithm.

structural relaxation on the aromaticity of the ³ *n*,*π** states, we optimized the ³ *n*,*π** geometries of 1−9. Compound 5 is, however, not considered since it is nonaromatic in S_0 . In line with the tug-of-war hypothesis, two main behaviors were observed. First, in one set of heteroaromatics (1, 2, 4, 6, and 8, [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.5 in the SI), the antiaromaticity of the *α*component is reduced significantly, but at the same time, the aromatic *β*-component is also clearly diminished. For example, during geometry optimization in its ³ *n*,*π** state, 1 puckers and becomes a mixed *n*,*π**/*π*,*π** state. In this process, the $\mathrm{MCI}({}^3n,\pi^*)_{\mathrm{tot}}$ relative to the $\mathrm{MCI}(\mathrm{S}_0)$ value decreases from 45 to 22%, mainly due to aromaticity loss in the *β*-component, whereas the paratropic π_{α} -electron current decreases from -25.5 to -4.9 nA T⁻¹ and the diatropic π_{β} -electron current diminishes from 5.6 to 0.2 nA T^{-1} . The change in α contribution is not as pronounced with MCI, as this index cannot clearly differentiate between antiaromaticity and nonaromaticity (see discussions and examples in the MCI and EDDB sections in the [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf)). In the second set of compounds (3, 7, and 9), the aromatic *β*-component remains almost unaffected while the antiaromatic *α*-component is reduced slightly. For instance, 3 upon relaxation from its vertical ³ *n*,*π** state shifts to a planar, antiquinoidal structure. The residual remains unchanged (the MCI(${}^{3}n,\pi^*$)_{tot} relative to MCI(S_0) only decreases from 59 to 58%) while the paratropic *πα*electron current decreases (from −89.4 to −36.8 nA $\rm T^{-1})$ and the diatropic π_{β} -electron current remains almost the same (from 5.9 to 6.1 nA T[−]¹). Unfortunately, classification in one or the other set is not related to the extent of (anti)aromatic character of the residual in the vertical ³ *n*,*π** state (e.g., 4 with an aromatic residual and 6 with a residual that tends toward antiaromaticity belong to the same set). Other factors, such as the preferred valence angle of a certain *E* atom or changes in exchange interaction upon excitation, should also play relevant roles.

By jointly considering the investigated mono- and diheteroaromatics, one can conclude that as a rule-of-thumb a molecule with a high MCI(³*n*, π^*)_{tot} is likely to have its first $\frac{3}{4}\pi \pi^*$ state (Figure 9A) although *n*,*π** state below the lowest ³ *π*,*π** state (Figure 9A), although the correlation is weak $(R^2 = 0.42)$. Yet, the energy difference between the ³ *n*,*π** and ³ *π*,*π** states should depend on both the (anti)aromatic character of the $\lambda n, \pi$ ^{*} state and the destabilizing antiaromatic character of the ³ *π*,*π** state. Our focus herein is however on the n, π^* states, while the π, π^* states need a separate study.

At this point, one can ask if the order between the $\frac{3n}{\pi}$ and $\frac{3\pi}{\pi}$ at states instead (primarily) can be related to orbital energy $\partial^3 \pi$, π^* states instead (primarily) can be related to orbital energy differences? Indeed, the energy difference between the *n* and lowest π^* orbital as compared to the highest π and lowest π^* orbital is larger for pyrazine than for pyridine (the orbital energy differences are 8.29 and 8.83 eV for pyrazine and 9.08 and 9.11 eV for pyridine, respectively, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S48, SI). Yet, there is also ^a clear effect by the (anti)aromatic character of the ³ *n*,*π** state because for 9 and monosubstituted pyrazines there is a clear correlation $(R^2 = 0.84,$ Figure 9B) between the $\Delta E(^{3}\pi,\pi^{*} - {}^{3}n,\pi^{*})$ and $MCI(^{3}n,\pi^{*})$, and there is a corresponding correlation between $\Delta E(^3 \pi, \pi^* - \frac{3}{2} n, \pi^*)$ and $NICS(^{3}n,\pi^{*})$ although weaker ($R^{2} = 0.58$, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S11, SI). Hence, the lowest ³ *n*,*π** states of the species which have these states as their T_1 states are more aromatic than in the species which have these states as higher excited states ([Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S55− [S56,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) SI). Most monosubstituted pyrazines have T_1 states of *n*,*π** character (Figure 9B), yet, the *π*-donating amino substituent leads to a pyrazine with the ${}^{3}\pi, \pi^*$ state as T₁. However, as stated above, to only use the (anti)aromatic character of the $\frac{3n}{\pi}$ state is an approximation because also the antiaromatic character and energy of the ³ *π*,*π** state is influenced by substituents.⁷

We also considered 9 and the monosubstituted pyrazines when analyzing the hypothesis on a correlation between the vertical $E({}^3n,\pi^*)$ and $\Delta MCI(S_0 - {}^3n,\pi^*)$, but in this case there

is no correlation ([Figure](#page-9-0) 9C). One reason can be partial delocalization of the excitation onto the substituent, similar to previous findings on the ³ *π*,*π** state of substituted benzenes (*vide supra*).[72](#page-13-0) Still, the amino substituent leads to a pyrazine with high $E(^3n,\pi^*)$ while the silyl group does the opposite. We also explored this for 9 at distorted structures with relative energies at most 10 kcal/mol above the optimum, and also in this case we find no correlation $(R^2 = 0.05,$ [Figure](#page-9-0) 9D), likely due to a more significant loss of aromaticity in T_1 upon distortion than in S_0 (see SI, [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.9).

Our theoretical framework for analysis of *n*,*π** states can be used to explore molecules with other types of excited states with an odd total number of *π*-electrons. Osmapyridinium

Figure 10. (A) Osmapyridinium complexes 20 and 21, and (B−E) *π*electron MICD plots calculated 1 bohr above the molecular plane of **21**: S₀ state (B) and vertical ${}^3\sigma, \pi^*$ state (C) with the corresponding π_{α} - and π_{β} -electron contributions (D, E). Clockwise circulation corresponds to diatropic (aromatic) currents. Full-scale plots in the [SI.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf)

complexes 20 and 21 (Figure 10A) have T_1 states of ${}^3\pi\sigma^*$ and ${}^3\sigma\pi^*$ character, and were earlier found to be aromatic in both σ _{*σ*}, π ^{*} character, and were earlier found to be aromatic in both their S_0 and T_1 states (labeled *adaptive aromatic*).^{[30](#page-12-0)} We now analyzed the spin-separated MCI and MICD of both vertical and relaxed ³ *σ*,*π** states (Figure 10 for MICD and [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S14 in the SI for MCI results). Of the two species, 21 in ³ *σ*,*π** should be regarded as aromatic because its $\mathrm{MCI}({}^3 \sigma,\! \pi^*)_{\mathrm{tot}}$ value is 57% of the MCI(S_0) value, while this state for 20 tends toward antiaromaticity $(MCI({}^3\sigma,\pi^*)_{\text{tot}})$ is 39% of the $MCI(S_0)$ value), or alternatively, as nonaromatic if based on the previously reported MCI results.^{[30](#page-12-0)} The results are mainly due to a relative increase and decrease of the MCI*β*component, respectively (see [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.11 in the SI for more details). Thus, by considering the *α*- and *β*-spin components separately, it becomes clear that the phenomenon labeled adaptive aromaticity and used for some metallaaromatics can be rationalized within the same framework as that of the n,π^* states of regular heteroaromatics. Thus, adaptive aromaticity is not a new form of aromaticity but a result of an imperfect cancellation of the antiaromatic *α*- and aromatic *β*-components as given by Mandado's rule. Such situations will generally occur for electronic states described as excitations from an in-plane orbital to an out-of-plane orbital (or *vice versa*), or as a one-electron excitation to (or from) one

annulenyl fragment from (or to) another fragment of a molecule, e.g., a metal-to-ligand charge transfer state.

Returning to pyrazine, the findings above can explain what we recently found for the photolabile drug amiloride. 75 Amiloride is a pyrazine derivative with one *π*-electron withdrawing and three *π*-electron donating substituents, and this substituent pattern explains why its T_1 state is of π, π^* instead of *n*,*π** character. Indeed, its light-induced degradation goes via a sequential two-step photoionization where the ionization step occurs from the antiaromatic T₁ state of π, π^* character.^{[73](#page-13-0)} Such destructive photoionization can possibly be avoided by substituent patterns that instead lead to T_1 states of *n*,*π** character. For further examples on the tuning of excited state character, see [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.10 of the SI on polyazaacenes such as quinoxaline.

Above we found that the high symmetry of pyrazine leads to an ³ *n*,*π** state with an aromatic residual. In this context, 1,3,5 triazine (*s*-triazine) is a *D*3*^h* symmetric N-containing heteroaromatic found in several agrochemicals.^{[8](#page-12-0)} Interestingly, the *s*-triazine core in these agrochemicals is inert upon photoexcitation, whereas the substituents change positions. High-level computations also reveal that the lowest few excited states are of n, π^* character.^{[74](#page-13-0)} Thus, one may postulate that the photostability of *s*-triazine-based agrochemicals stems from aromatic residuals of their *n*,*π** states. Yet, as these states are highly multiconfigurational (both the highest *n* orbitals and the lowest *π** orbitals are doubly degenerate, [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S48, SI), a proper analysis requires an in-depth computational study.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We examined the *n*,*π** states of heteroaromatics with six *π*electrons and in-plane lone-pairs (e.g., pyridine and the pyrylium ion), and applied Mandado's 2*n* + 1 rule for aromaticity of separate spins. In their *n*,*π** states, these species have four π_{α} -electrons and three π_{β} -electrons, which leads to a tug-of-war between the antiaromatic π_{α} -component and the aromatic π _{*β*}-component. The component that dominates varies between heteroaromatics and between aromaticity descriptors; the residuals between the two components can lean toward aromaticity or antiaromaticity. Yet, is there a pattern?

We first note that the *n*,*π** states of 5-MR mono- and diheteroaromatics (e.g., thiophene and imidazole) lie far above the lowest excited states, which are of *π*,*π** character, and we provide an explanation for this (see [Section](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf) S3.7 in the SI). In contrast, for 6-MR heteroaromatics with one or two heteroatoms, the n, π^* states that are T_1 states often have rather aromatic character, mostly due to a larger *β*-component than in the Hückel-aromatic S_0 state. Importantly, similar (anti)aromaticity trends were observed with both the magnetic and the electronic indices, but the antiaromatic character of the *α*-component is much more dominant in the results of the magnetic indices than in those of the electronic indices.

Also, the (anti)aromatic character of the residual is generally the same for the singlet and triplet *n*,*π** states. The heteroaromatics that are likely to exhibit significant aromatic character in their lowest *n*,*π** states are molecules with high symmetry (D_{2h}) , where electrons become more uniformly distributed, and/or with π -electron donating heteroatoms. Heteroaromatics with such n, π^* states often have these as their T_1 states. For the limited set of monosubstituted pyrazines, we observe a significant correlation between the energy difference between the lowest ${}^{3}n$, π ^{*} and 3 π , π ^{*} states and the (anti)aromatic character of the ³ *n*,*π** state, yet, a comprehensive

Although the vertical excitation energies of the *n*,*π** states depend on several factors of both the S_0 and n, π^* states, the relative energies of isomeric heteroaromatics in their *n*,*π** states vary in dependence of the aromatic character of the residuals. For example, the n,π^* state of pyrazine (9) has a residual which is more aromatic than the *n*,*π** state of pyrimidine (8), with the first being lower in energy than the latter by 0.34 eV.

Regarding the geometric relaxation of the n, π^* state, there is a tug-of-war between the aromatic *πβ*-component, which tries to maintain the S₀ state geometry, and the antiaromatic π_{α} component, which seeks to lessen its antiaromaticity through geometric distortions. Here, we identified two possible scenarios. In some systems, the antiaromaticity of the *α*component and the aromaticity of the *β*-component are reduced significantly, while in others, the aromatic *β*component remains almost unaffected while the antiaromatic *α*-component is reduced only slightly.

The approach described here for *n*,*π** states of heteroaromatics can be generalized to analyze the aromaticity of other types of states with an odd total number of *π*-electrons. It can be utilized to understand the (anti)aromatic character of metallaaromatics which are not only aromatic in S_0 but also in their triplet *σ*,*π** and *π*,*σ** states, earlier labeled as adaptive aromatic.[29](#page-12-0)−[33](#page-12-0) Our analysis can also be extended to *π*conjugated radical anions and cations such as $\mathrm{C_6H_6^+}$ or $C_8H_8^-$ with an even number of π_{α} -electrons and an odd number of *πβ*-electrons, or *vice versa*. Indeed, radical cations or anions were analyzed by Mandado and co-workers who found by spin-separated NICS that their π_{α} -component were antiaromatic and the π_{β} -components aromatic.^{[19](#page-12-0)}

Although the findings are fundamental in character, they can be applied. Earlier we found that amiloride-type drugs with central pyrazine moieties photodegrade along a two-step mechanism where the second step is a photoionization with an electron ejected from the antiaromatic T_1 state of π, π^* character. 73 By knowing that the energetic order between the *n*,*π** and *π*,*π** states of pyrazines switch with substituents, and that the *n*,*π** states have aromatic (stabilized) character, one may tailor amiloride-like compounds which have *n*,*π** states as their T_1 states. Moreover, with knowledge on how to rationally tune the order between the lowest n, π^* and π, π^* states through substituents, it also becomes clear how to design quinoxolines with lowest *n*,*π** states, a feature that should be useful for the design of targeted species with interesting emission properties.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580?goto=supporting-info)

> Aromaticity data; further analysis in terms of energy and electron distribution and additional data; including fullscale plots; input files; compound coordinates; and computational details [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580/suppl_file/jp4c02580_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

Slavko Radenkovic**́**− *Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia*; Email: slavko.radenkovic@gmail.com

Miquel Sola**̀**− *Institut de Quìmica Computacional i Catalisi* ̀ *and Departament de Química, Universitat de Girona, 17003 Girona, Catalonia, Spain;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-1917-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1917-7450) [7450;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1917-7450) Email: miquel.sola@udg.edu

Henrik Ottosson − *Department of Chemistry*�*Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, 751 20 Uppsala, Sweden;* [orcid.org/0000-0001-8076-1165;](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8076-1165) Email: henrik.ottosson@kemi.uu.se

Authors

Nathalie Proos Vedin − Department of Chemistry-*Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, 751 20 Uppsala, Sweden;* ● orcid.org/0000-0002-9313-3739

Sílvia Escayola − *Institut de Quìmica Computacional i Catalisi* ̀ *and Departament de Química, Universitat de Girona, 17003 Girona, Catalonia, Spain; Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), 20018 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain;* orcid.org/0000-0002-1159-7397

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpca.4c02580?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
The authors are grateful to Mr. Fritz Deubel for performing initial computations, Dr. Ouissam El Bakouri and Dr. Eduard Matito for prompt responses on technical queries, and Prof. Per-Ola Norrby for valuable feedback. H.O. and N.P.V. acknowledge the Swedish Research Council for financial support (grant 2019-05618). M.S. and S.E. thank the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación for project PID2020- 113711GB-I00/MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and the Generalitat de Catalunya for project 2021SGR623. S.E. thanks Universitat de Girona and DIPC for an IFUdG2019 PhD fellowship. S.R. acknowledges support by the Serbian Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation (Agreement No.451-03-47/2023-01/200122). Computations were enabled by resources of the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at the National Supercomputer Center (NSC), Linköping, Sweden.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Balaban, A. T.; Oniciu, D. C.; Katritzky, A. R. [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0306790?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as a Cornerstone of [Heterocyclic](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0306790?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 2004, *104*, 2777− 2812.

(2) Baumann, M.; Baxendale, I. R.; Ley, S. V.; Nikbin, N. [An](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.7.57) Overview of the Key Routes to the Best Selling [5-Membered](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.7.57) Ring Heterocyclic [Pharmaceuticals.](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.7.57) *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *7*, 442− 495.

(3) Beverina, L.; Pagini, G. A. *π*[-Conjugated](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar4000967?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Zwitterions as Paradigm of Donor−Acceptor Building Blocks in [Organic-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar4000967?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Materials. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, *47*, 319−329.

(4) Luo, D.; Jang, W.; Babu, D. D.; Kim, M. S.; Wang, D. H.; Kyaw, A. K. K. Recent [Progress](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TA10707K) in Organic Solar Cells Based on Non-Fullerene [Acceptors:](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TA10707K) Materials to Devices. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2022, *10*, 3255−3295.

(5) Chen, X.; Tan, D.; Yang, D.-T. [Multiple-Boron](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TC01106A)−Nitrogen (multi-BN) Doped *π*-Conjugated Systems for [Optoelectronics.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2TC01106A) *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2022, *10*, 13499−13532.

(6) Huang, J.; Yu, G. Structural [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c03975?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Polymer Semiconductors with Aromatic [N-Heterocycles.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.0c03975?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2021, *33*, 1513−1539.

(7) Lamberth, C. [Heterocyclic](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3615) Chemistry in Crop Protection. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 2013, *69*, 1106−1114.

(8) *Bioactive Heterocyclic Compound Classes: Agrochemicals*; Lamberth, C.; Dinges, J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag Gmbh & Co: Weinheim, Germany, 2012.

(9) Baird, N. C. Quantum Organic [Photochemistry.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00769a025?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) II. Resonance and Aromaticity in the Lowest ³ *ππ** State of Cyclic [Hydrocarbons.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00769a025?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1972, *94*, 4941−4948.

(10) Ottosson, H. Organic [Photochemistry:](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1518) Exciting Excited-State [Aromaticity.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1518) *Nat. Chem.* 2012, *4*, 969−971.

(11) Karas, L.; Wu, J. I. [Aromaticity:](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-022-00988-z) Baird's Rules at the Tipping [Point.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-022-00988-z) *Nat. Chem.* 2022, *14*, 723−725.

(12) Rosenberg, M.; Dahlstrand, C.; Kilså, K.; Ottosson, H. [Excited](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300471v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) State Aromaticity and [Antiaromaticity:](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300471v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Opportunities for Photophysical and Photochemical [Rationalizations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300471v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 5379−5425.

(13) Papadakis, R.; Ottosson, H. The Excited State [Antiaromatic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00057B) Benzene Ring: A [Molecular](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00057B) Mr Hyde? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 6472−6493.

(14) Oh, J.; Sung, Y. M.; Hong, Y.; Kim, D. [Spectroscopic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00629?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Diagnosis of [Excited-State](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00629?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Aromaticity: Capturing Electronic Structures and [Conformations](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00629?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) upon Aromaticity Reversal. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2018, *51*, 1349−1358.

(15) Liu, C.; Ni, Y.; Lu, X.; Li, G.; Wu, J. Global [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00257?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Macrocyclic [Polyradicaloids:](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00257?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Hückel's Rule or Baird's Rule? *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2019, *52*, 2309−2321.

(16) Kim, J.; Oh, J.; Osuka, A.; Kim, K. [Porphyrinoids,](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS00742D) a Unique Platform for Exploring [Excited-State](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CS00742D) Aromaticity. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2022, *51*, 268−292.

(17) Sola, ̀ M. [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-022-00961-w) Rules. *Nat. Chem.* 2022, *14*, 585−590.

(18) Yan, J.; Slanina, T.; Bergman, J.; Ottosson, H. [Photochemistry](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202203748) Driven by Excited-State Aromaticity Gain or [Antiaromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202203748) Relief. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2023, *29*, No. e202203748.

(19) Mandado, M.; Graña, A. M.; Pérez-Juste, I. [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2999562) in Spin-Polarized Systems: Can Rings be [Simultaneously](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2999562) Alpha Aromatic and Beta [Antiaromatic?](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2999562) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2008, *129*, No. 164114.

(20) Valiev, R. R.; Kurten, T.; Valiulina, L. I.; Ketkov, S. Yu.; Cherepanov, V. C.; Dimitrova, M.; Sundholm, D. [Magnetically](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP04779E) Induced Ring Currents in [Metallocenothiaporphyrins.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP04779E) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2022, *24*, 1666−1674.

(21) Jorner, K.; Feixas, F.; Ayub, R.; Lindh, R.; Sola,̀ M.; Ottosson, H. Analysis of a [Compound](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201504924) Class with Triplet States Stabilized by Potentially [Baird-Aromatic](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201504924) [10]Annulenyl Dicationic Rings. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 2793−2800.

(22) Escayola, S.; Tonellé, C.; Matito, E.; Poater, A.; Ottosson, H.; Sola, ̀ M.; Casanova, D. [Guidelines](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100261) for Tuning the Excited State Hückel-Baird Hybrid Aromatic Character of [Pro-Aromatic](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100261) Quinoidal [Compounds.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202100261) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2021, *60*, 10255−10265.

(23) Zeng, W.; El Bakouri, O.; Szczepanik, D.; Bronstein, H.; Ottosson, H. Excited State Character of [Cibalackrot-Type](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC00382H) Compounds Interpreted in Terms of Hü[ckel-Aromaticity:](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC00382H) A Rationale for Singlet Fission [Chromophore](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC00382H) Design. *Chem. Sci.* 2021, *12*, 6159− 6171.

(24) Pedersen, J.; Mikkelsen, K. V. A [Benchmark](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.2c07059?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Study of [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.2c07059?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Indexes for Benzene, Pyridine, and the Diazines - II. Excited State [Aromaticity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.2c07059?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2023, *127*, 122−130.

(25) Baranac-Stojanovic,́ M.; Stojanovic, ́ M.; Aleksic,́ J. [Triplet](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1NJ00207D) State [\(Anti\)Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1NJ00207D) of Some Monoheterocyclic Analogues of Benzene, [Naphthalene](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1NJ00207D) and Anthracene. *New J. Chem.* 2021, *45*, 5060−5074.

(26) Feixas, F.; Poater, J.; Matito, E.; Sola,̀ M. Aromaticity of Organic and Inorganic Heterocycles. In *Structure, Bonding and Reactivity of Heterocyclic Compounds*; Geerlings, P., Ed.; Springer: Verlag, Berlin, 2014; pp 129−160.

(27) Guo, S.; Wang, L.; Deng, Q.; Wang, G.; Tian, X.; Wang, X.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, M.; Wang, S.; Miao, Y.; et al. Exploiting [Heterocycle](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3TC01192E) [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3TC01192E) to Fabricate New Hot Exciton Materials. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2023, *11*, 6847−6855.

(28) Foroutan-Nejad, C. Magnetic [Antiaromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.3c01807?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) − Paratropicity − Does Not [Necessarily](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.3c01807?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Imply Instability. *J. Org. Chem.* 2023, *88*, 14831−14835.

(29) Chen, D.; Shen, T.; An, K.; Zhu, J. Adaptive [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0018-y) in S_0 and T_1 States of Pentalene [Incorporating](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0018-y) 16 Valence Electron [Osmium.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-018-0018-y) *Commun. Chem.* 2018, *1*, No. 18.

(30) Shen, T.; Chen, D.; Lin, L.; Zhu, J. Dual [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11564?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Both the T_0 and S_1 States: [Osmapyridinium](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11564?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with Phosphonium [Substituents.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b11564?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 5720−5727.

(31) Chen, D.; Szczepanik, D. W.; Zhu, J.; Sola, ̀ M. [Probing](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001830) the Origin of Adaptive Aromaticity in [16-Valence-Electron](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001830) Metalla[pentalenes.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001830) *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2020, *26*, 12964−12971.

(32) You, F.; Qui, R.; Zhu, J. Adaptive [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4450) in Osmapentalene and [Osmapyridinium](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4450) Complexes with Carbone [Ligands.](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4450) *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2023, *36*, No. e4450.

(33) Ye, Q.; Fang, Y.; Zhu, J. Adaptive [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c02223?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in 18e [Metallapentalenes.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.3c02223?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2023, *62*, 14764−14772.

(34) Dey, S.; Manogaran, D.; Manogaran, S.; Schaefer, H. F., III [Quantification](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b05041?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Aromaticity of Heterocyclic Systems Using Interaction [Coordinates.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b05041?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2018, *122*, 6953−6960.

(35) Feixas, F.; Matito, E.; Poater, J.; Sola, ̀ M. On the [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20914) of Some [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20914) Indices: A Critical Assessment Using a Test Set. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2008, *29*, 1543−1554.

(36) Flicker, W. M.; Mosher, O. A.; Kuppermann, A. [Electron](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.432397) Impact [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.432397) of Electronic Excitations in Furan, Thiophene, and [Pyrrole.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.432397) *J. Chem. Phys.* 1976, *64*, 1315−1321.

(37) Lazzeretti, P. Assessment of [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1039/B311178D) via Molecular Response [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1039/B311178D) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2004, *6*, 217−223.

(38) Sundholm, D.; Dimitrova, M.; Berger, R. J. F. [Current](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC03350F) Density and Molecular Magnetic [Properties.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC03350F) *Chem. Commun.* 2021, *57*, 12362−12378.

(39) Bultinck, P.; Ponec, R.; Van Damme, S. [Multicenter](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.922) Bond Indices as A New Measure of [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.922) in Polycyclic Aromatic [Hydrocarbons.](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.922) *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2005, *18*, 706−718.

(40) Feixas, F.; Matito, E.; Poater, J.; Sola, ̀ M. [Quantifying](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00066A) Aromaticity with Electron [Delocalisation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00066A) Measures. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 6434−6451.

(41) Szczepanik, D. W. A New Perspective on [Quantifying](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2016.02.003) Electron Localization and [Delocalization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2016.02.003) in Molecular Systems. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* 2016, *1080*, 33−37.

(42) Szczepanik, D. W.; Andrzejak, M.; Dominikowska, J.; Pawełek, B.; Krygowski, T. M.; Szatylowicz, H.; Solà, M. The [Electron](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP06114E) Density of Delocalized Bonds (EDDB) Applied for Quantifying [Aromaticity.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP06114E) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, *19*, 28970−28981.

(43) Feixas, F.; Jimenez-Halla, J. O. C.; Matito, E.; Poater, J.; Sola,̀ M. A Test to Evaluate the [Performance](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100034p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Aromaticity Descriptors in All-Metal and [Semimetal](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100034p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Clusters. An Appraisal of Electronic and Magnetic Indicators of [Aromaticity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100034p?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2010, *6*, 1118−1130.

(44) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Maerker, C.; Dransfeld, A.; Jiao, H.; van Eikema Hommes, N. J. R. [Nucleus-Independent](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja960582d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chemical Shifts: A Simple and Efficient [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja960582d?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Probe. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, *118*, 6317−6318.

(45) Chen, Z.; Wannere, C. S.; Corminboeuf, C.; Puchta, R.; Schleyer, P. v. R. [Nucleus-Independent](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030088+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chemical Shifts (NICS) as an [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030088+?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Criterion. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, *105*, 3842−3888.

(46) Gershoni-Poranne, R.; Stanger, A. [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00114E) Criteria of [Aromaticity.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00114E) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 6597−6615.

(47) Yanai, T.; Tew, D. P.; Handy, N. C. A New Hybrid [Exchange](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.06.011)− Correlation Functional Using the [Coulomb-Attenuating](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.06.011) Method [\(CAM-B3LYP\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2004.06.011) *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2004, *393*, 51−57.

(48) Becke, A. D. [Density-Functional](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913) Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact [Exchange.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913) *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, *98*, 5648−5652.

(49) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. Ab Initio [Calculation](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100096a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Vibrational Absorption and Circular [Dichromism](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100096a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 1994, *98*, 11623−11627.

(50) Becke, A. D. [Density-Functional](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098) Exchange-Energy Approximation with Correct [Asymptotic](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098) Behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, *38*, No. 3098.

(51) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. [Development](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785) of the Colle-Salvetti [Correlation-Energy](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785) Formula into a Functional of the Electron [Density.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785) *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, *37*, No. 785.

(52) Handy, N. C.; Pople, J. A.; Head-Gordon, M.; Raghavachari, K.; Trucks, G. W. [Size-Consistent](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)85013-4) Brueckner Theory Limited to Double [Substitutions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)85013-4) *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1989, *164*, 185−192.

(53) Casida, M. E.; Huix-Rotllant, M. Progress in [Time-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-032511-143803) [Density-Functional](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-032511-143803) Theory. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2012, *63*, 287− 323.

(54) Lee, T. J.; Taylor, P. R. A Diagnostic for [Determining](https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.560360824) the Quality of [Single-Reference](https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.560360824) Electron Correlation Methods. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 2009, *36*, 199−207.

(55) Jayatilaka, D.; Lee, T. J. Open-Shell [Coupled-Cluster](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464352) Theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, *98*, 9734−9747.

(56) Rienstra-Kiracofe, J. C.; Allen, W. D.; Schaefer, H. F., III [The](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp001041k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) $C_2H_5 + O_2$ Reaction [Mechanism:](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp001041k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) High-Level ab Initio Character[izations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp001041k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2000, *104*, 9823−9840.

(57) Keith, T. A.; Bader, R. F. W. [Calculation](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(93)89127-4) of Magnetic Response Properties Using a Continuous Set of Gauge [Transformations.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(93)89127-4) *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1993, *210*, 223−231.

(58) Keith, T. A.; Bader, R. F. W. [Topological](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.466165) Analysis of Magnetically Induced Molecular Current [Distributions.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.466165) *J. Chem. Phys.* 1993, *99*, 3669−3682.

(59) Lazzeretti, P.; Malagoli, M.; Zanasi, R. [Computational](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(94)00158-8) Approach to Molecular Magnetic Properties by [Continuous](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(94)00158-8) Trans[formation](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(94)00158-8) of the Origin of the Current Density. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1994, *220*, 299−304.

(60) Steiner, E.; Fowler, P. W. Patterns of Ring [Currents](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp011955m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Conjugated Molecules: A [Few-Electron](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp011955m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Model Based on Orbital [Contributions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp011955m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2001, *105*, 9553−9562.

(61) Preethalayam, P.; Proos Vedin, N.; Radekovic, S.; Ottosson, H. [Azaboracyclooctatetraenes](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4455) Reveal that the Different Aspects of Triplet State [Baird-Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4455) are Nothing but Different. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 2023, *36*, No. e4455.

(62) Zhao, L.; Grande-Aztazi, R.; Foroutan-Nejad, C.; Ugalde, J. M.; Frenking, G. [Aromaticity,](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201602080) the Hückel 4*n* + 2 Rule and Magnetic [Current.](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201602080) *ChemistrySelect* 2017, *2*, 863−870.

(63) Frison, G.; Sevin, A.; Avarvari, N.; Mathey, F.; Le Floch, P. [The](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo9903611?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) CH by N [Replacement](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo9903611?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Effects on the Aromaticity and Reactivity of [Phosphinines.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo9903611?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, *64*, 5524−5529.

(64) Elguero, E.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J. A [Theoretical](https://doi.org/10.1002/hc.21441) Study of the Properties of Ninety-Two "Aromatic" [Six-Membered](https://doi.org/10.1002/hc.21441) Rings Including Benzene, Azines, Phosphinines and [Azaphosphinines.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hc.21441) *Heteroat. Chem.* 2018, *29*, No. e21441.

(65) McNeill, J. N.; Bard, J. P.; John, D. W.; Haley, M. M. [Azaphophinines](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cs00737e) and Their Derivatives. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2023, *52*, 8599−8634.

(66) Dillon, K. B.; Mathey, F.; Nixon, J. F. *Phosphorus: The Carbon. Copy: From Organophosphorus to Phospha-organic Chemistry*; Wiley: Chichester, 1998.

(67) Mandado, M.; Otero, N.; Mosquera, R. A. Local [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.10.022) Study of Heterocycles Using *n*-Center [Delocalization](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.10.022) Indices: The Role of [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.10.022) on the Relative Stability of Position Isomers. *Tetrahedron* 2006, *62*, 12204−12210.

(68) Jorner, K.; Emanuelsson, R.; Dahlstrand, C.; Tong, H.; Denisova, A. V.; Ottosson, H. Impact of Ground- and [Excited-State](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201402577) Aromaticity on [Cyclopentadiene](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201402577) and Silole Excitation Energies and [Excited-State](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201402577) Polarities. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 9295−9393.

(69) El-Hamdi, M.; Tiznado, W.; Poater, J.; Sola,̀ M. An [Analysis](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo201639k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Isomerization Energies of [1,2-/1,3-Diazacyclobutadiene,](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo201639k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Pyrazole/Imidazole, and [Pyridazine/Pyrimidine](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo201639k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) with the Turn-Upside-Down [Approach.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo201639k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *76*, 8913−8921.

(70) Allison, C. G.; Chambers, R. D.; Cheburkov, Yu. A.; MacBride, J. A. H.; Musgrave, W. K. R. J. The [Isomerisation](https://doi.org/10.1039/c29690001200) of Perfluoropyr-

idazines to [Perfluoropyrimidines](https://doi.org/10.1039/c29690001200) and to Perfluoropyrazines. *J. Chem. Soc. D* 1969, 1200−1201.

(71) Lemal, D. M.; Johnson, D. W.; Austel, V.; et al. [Pyridazine-](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00728a063?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Pyrazine [Photorearrangement.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00728a063?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1970, *92*, 7505− 7506.

(72) Baranac-Stojanovic,́ M. [Substituent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.9b03472?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Effect on Triplet State [Aromaticity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.9b03472?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Benzene. *J. Org. Chem.* 2020, *85*, 4289−4297.

(73) Jorner, K.; Rabten, W.; Slanina, T.; Proos Vedin, N.; Sillén, S.; Ludvigsson, J. W.; Ottosson, H.; Norrby, P.-O. [Degradation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2020.100274) of [Pharmaceuticals](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2020.100274) through Sequential Photon Absorption and Photoionization in Amiloride [Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2020.100274) *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* 2020, *1*, No. 100274.

(74) Clune, R.; Shea, J. A. R.; Hardikar, T. S.; Tuckman, H.; Neuscamman, E. Studying [Excited-State-Specific](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0146975) Perturbation Theory on the [Thiel](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0146975) Set. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2023, *158*, No. 224113.