# scientific reports

# Check for updates

# **Capturing the antimicrobial OPEN** profile of *Paeonia officinalis*, **Jasminum officinale and Rosa** *damascene* **against methicillin resistant** *Staphylococcus aureus* **with metabolomics analysis and network pharmacology**

**Nourhan Hisham Shady**<sup>1,2,9⊠</sup>, Fatma Alzahraa Mokhtar<sup>3,9</sup>, Basma Khalaf Mahmoud<sup>4,9</sup>, Ramadan Yahia<sup>5</sup>, Ayman M. Ibrahim<sup>6</sup>, Nada Ahmed Sayed<sup>7</sup>, Mamdouh Nabil Samy<sup>4</sup>, **MubarakA.Alzubaidi8 & Usama RamadanAbdelmohsen1,4**\*

In the current study, we evaluated the in vitro antibacterial efficacy of the roots' extracts of *Jasminum ofcinale***,** *Rosa damascene* **and** *Paeonia ofcinalis* **against MRSA (methicillin-resistant** *Staphylococcus aureus***) by well difusion technique. The root extract of** *P. ofcinalis* **exerted a potent anti-MRSA with MIC 0.4673 μg/ml, while both** *J. officinale* **and** *R. damascene* **exhibited very weak activity. Therefore, chemical profling of the crude extract** *P. ofcinalis* **roots assisted by LC-HR-ESI-MS was performed and led to the dereplication of twenty metabolites of diferent classes, in which terpenes are the most abundant compounds. On a molecular level, network pharmacology was used to determine the targets of active metabolites to bacterial infections, particularly MRSA. Online databases PubChem, UniProt, STRING, and Swiss Target Prediction were used. In addition to using CYTOSCAPE software to display and analyze the fndings, ShinyGO and FunRich tools were used to identify the gene enrichment analysis to the set of recognized genes. The results detected the identifed metabolites were annotated by 254 targets. ALB, ACHE, TYMS, PRKCD, PLG, MMP9, MMP2, ERN1, EDNRA, BRD4 were found to be associated with MRSA infection. The top KEGG pathway was the vascular smooth muscle contraction pathway according to enrichment FDR. The present study suggested a possible**  implication of *P. officinalis* roots as a potent candidate having a powerful antibacterial activity against **MRSA.**

**Keywords** Peony, MRSA, Metabolomics, Network pharmacology

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the major causes of hospital- and community-associated infections<sup>1</sup>. MRSA infections have the capability to resist the impacts of many common antibiotics such

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Deraya University, Universities Zone, New Minia City 61111, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Center for Research and Sustainability, Deraya University, Universities Zone, New Minia City 61111, Egypt. <sup>3</sup>Fujairah Research Centre, Sakamkam Road, Fujairah, United Arab Emirates. <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Minia University, Minia 61519, Egypt. <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Deraya University, New Minia City, Minia, Egypt. <sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Deraya University, New Minia City 61111, Egypt. <sup>7</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Deraya University, Universities Zone, New Minia City 61111, Egypt. <sup>8</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. <sup>9</sup>These authors contributed equally: Nourhan Hisham Shady, Fatma Alzahraa Mokhtar and Basma Khalaf Mahmoud. <sup>[2]</sup>email: norhan.shady@ deraya.edu.eg; usama.ramadan@mu.edu.eg

as methicillin, penicillin and other common antibiotics<sup>2</sup>. MRSA infections are more complicated to be treated. Moreover, can infuence the bloodstream, heart, bones, lungs, and joints of MRSA casualties, and can transmit from an object which contains MRSA to a human, or from a human carrier to another huma[n3](#page-11-0) . *Staphylococcus aureus* can cause various infections, ranging from common skin and respiratory problems to life-threatening conditions like necrotizing fasciitis and a severe form of *pneumonia* (necrotizing pneumonia)<sup>[1](#page-10-0)</sup>. MRSA can cause diferent types of infections like Healthcare associated MRSA, Community associated MRSA and Livestock associated MRSA infections<sup>4</sup>. Natural products exerts a great role in MRSA prevention, the herbals extracts of *Garcinia mangostana* and *Quercus infectoria* have considerable activity against MRSA[5](#page-11-2) . Furthermore, curcumin, garlic, ginger, Tai longan honey, Juncus and Luzula species, Greek oregano, Baru plant, and Lichen are natural products that showed great potential against drug-resistant *S. aureus*<sup>[3](#page-11-0)</sup>. The usage of natural products in therapeutic management against MRSA caused diseases have a beneft over the application of synthetic drugs due to the decreased side efects of natural products extracts. In traditional Chinese medicine *Paeonia* genus is considered the only genus in the family *Paeoniaceae* that holds a great potential for use in medicine. Paeonia genus include 33 known species, however there are a diferent opinions on the number of species that can be distinguished ranging from twenty five to forty<sup>6</sup>. Peony is widely planted and distributed in warm regions of Europe and Asia, where one of the natural spreading centers of wild peony species is China<sup>[7](#page-11-4)</sup>. Furthermore, Paeonia roots has a variety of medicinal applications such anti-infammatory and antipyretic agents as well as in treatment of critical disorders such as cardiovascular and female genital disorde[r8](#page-11-5) . Te roots of *Paeonia ofcinalis* are rich with alka-loids, tannins, saponins, glycosides, carbohydrates, flavonoids, terpenes, steroids and proteins<sup>9,[10](#page-11-7)</sup>. Additionally, the roots contain asparagin, benzoic acid, favonoids, paeoniforin, paeonin, paeonol, protoanemonin, tannic acid, triterpenoids, and volatile oil<sup>[8](#page-11-5)</sup>. *P. officinalis* is considered to be a dietary supplement that exhibited antimicrobial and antimalarial activities with no apparent cytotoxicity against mammalian cells<sup>8</sup>. Herein, we aimed to examine the in vitro antibacterial efficacy of the crude extracts of *J. officinale*, *R. damascene* and *P. officinalis* roots against MRSA. Furthermore, metabolomics profling of the crude extract was performed to highlight the bioactive compounds involved in this activity. As well as, on a molecular level, network pharmacology was used to determine the targets of active metabolites to bacterial infection.

### **Materials and methods Plant collection**

The *P. officinalis* roots, *J. officinale* and *R. damascene* were collected from public nurseries in January 2021, Minia governorate, Egypt, where the permissions were obtained from an appropriate governing body to a piece of legislation that permits this. The plant authentication was identified by Prof. Dr. Nasser Barakat (Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Minia University, Minia, Egypt) comply with the IUCN Policy Statement on Research Involving Species at Risk of Extinction and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. A voucher specimen of The *P. officinalis* roots' taken number (Mn-ph-Cog-062) has been deposited in the Herbarium of Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Minia University, Minia, Egypt. While A voucher specimen of J. officinale, R. damascene taken numbers (Du-Ph-Cog-10), (Du-Ph-Cog-11), respectively have been deposited in the Herbarium of Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Deraya University, New Minia, Egypt.

# **Extraction of P. officinalis, J. officinale and R. damascene Roots**

The dried roots of each *P. officinalis*, *J. officinale* and *R. damascene* (650 g of each) were macerated separately in 95% methanol at room temperature until exhausted. Afer that, reduced pressure was used to get rid of the alcohol, affording a viscous syrupy residues (60, 72, 65 g) for *P. officinalis*, *J. officinale* and *R. damascene* consequently.

### **Metabolomics analysis**

High resolution-Liquid chromatography-Mass spectrometry (HR-LC-MS) was carried out using a Synapt G2 HDMS quadrupole time-of-flight hybrid mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, CT, USA). The sample (2 µL) was injected into the BEH C18 column, adjusted to 40 ◦ C, and connected to a guard column. A gradient elution of mobile phase was used, starting from 100% water in 0.1% formic acid as solvent A to 100% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid as solvent B. MZmine 2.12 (San Diego, CA, USA) was employed for diferential investigation of MS data, followed by converting the raw data into positive and negative fles in mzML format with ProteoWizard (Palo Alto, CA, USA). The detected compounds were finally annotated by comparison with the Dictionary of Natural Products (DNP) (Dictionary of Natural Products 2020) and METLIN (METLIN 2020) databases<sup>11-13</sup>.

# **Evaluation of antimicrobial activity using the well‑difusion assays technique**

The antimicrobial activity of The *P. officinalis*, *J. officinale* and *R. damascene* roots were evaluated against pathogenic bacteria *Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 33591) through Well-difusion assays technique. Whereas, the dried extracts were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The agar plates were prepared as follows: a pure culture of the MRSA strains was grown in nutrient broth at 37 °C for 18–24 h in shaker incubator until the fnal concentration was 108 CFU/ml (the fnal concentration was adjusted by sterilized normal saline). Each twenty ml plain nutrient agar was poured to a sterile petri dish. A six mm well was punched in the solid agar plates via a sterile cork-borer. Each plate was surface inoculated by 100 μl broth culture of the tested strain in triplicates. Eight serial dilutions of each extract were made (% w/v) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (10% aqueous) solvent as follows: 200, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12 and 1.56 μg/ml and sterilized by fltration by passing through 0.22 μm membrane flter. Plain DMSO was used as a control. 50 μl of each tested dilution was pipetted to the wells of the inoculated agar plates aseptically. The plates were incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. After incubation,

2

the inhibitory zones were measured in millimeters and the minimum inhibitory concentration was calculated. Ciprofloxacin was used as a positive control.

# **Computational pharmacology study**

# **Networks construction**

*Plant‑metabolite network*

Based on chemical analysis of *P. officinalis* roots using (HR-LC-MS), metabolic profiling was identified, the dereplicated 20 metabolites were connected to the plant in a simple network.

#### *The metabolites–targets network*

The targets annotated by the identified metabolites were predicted using data from PubChem<sup>14</sup>, BindingDB<sup>[15](#page-11-11)</sup> and SawissTargetPrediction<sup>16</sup> databases, the top targets were annotated based on similarity index of more than 0.7 in BindingDB and choose the top targets in Swiss Target Prediction database<sup>[16](#page-11-12)</sup> using canonical smiles for each structure as input data method, the human species (*Homo sapiens*) was selected.

### *Targets–infections network*

DisGenet<sup>[17](#page-11-13)</sup> online database was used to identify the targets for certain infections, and particular filter terms; 'infection', 'MRSA infection' then we used flter option in downloaded DisGenet output to refned the results.

### *Protein–protein interaction*

The protein–protein interaction was anticipated through the STRING online database [\(https://string-db.org/cgi/](https://string-db.org/cgi/network?taskId=bIDN4htc9NBY&sessionId=bZWvNlZHMn9h) [network?taskId=bIDN4htc9NBY&sessionId=bZWvNlZHMn9h](https://string-db.org/cgi/network?taskId=bIDN4htc9NBY&sessionId=bZWvNlZHMn9h)) [18](#page-11-14) as trustworthy data sources for speculating on the interactions between proteins. As a starting point, we used *Homo sapiens* as the species and a confdence threshold of 0.4 to choose the target proteins.

### *Complete pharmacology network*

Combining the previous networks in a single network result in the complete network (The network of the plant–metabolites–targets–infections).

### *Networks construction*

All the formed networks were visualized, analysed and illustrated using the Cytoscape sofware version 3.9.0.

### *Gene ontology and enrichment analysis*

To identify the cellular components, molecular functions, and biological processes that were infuenced by this set of genes, gene ontology and enrichment analysis were carried out on all targets of the active metabolites, we used FunRich version 3.1.3<sup>[19](#page-11-15)</sup>, the enrichment analysis was done using KEGG database<sup>20</sup> and ShinyGo database (a graphical gene set enrichment tool) $^{21}$ .

### *Molecular docking*

Molecular docking was conducted utilizing the AutoDock software. The crystal structures of the proteins were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank ([http://www.rcsb.org/\)](http://www.rcsb.org/). To prepare the input fles for molecular docking, Discovery Studio (DS) 2016 client and AutoDock tools bundled with MGL tools (version 1.5.7) were employed. The proteins were prepared by eliminating water molecules and small molecular ligands, and the addition of polar hydrogens and charges. The three-dimensional structures of the ligands were retrieved from the PubChem database as a single file in 3D-spatial data file (SDF) format. The ligand structures were imported into DS 2016, minimized using a universal force feld, and saved in PDB format. Gasteiger charges and polar hydrogens were incorporated, and the ligands were confgured for the rotatable bond. Subsequently, the prepared protein and ligand fles were converted into PDBQT format, which served as the input for AutoDock 1.5.7 for molecular docking. The active site of the ligands was determined based on a literature survey and selected as the active grid center. The dimensions of the grid box were adjusted to encompass all atoms of the ligands. The molecular docking was then performed, and the protein–ligand conformation with the lowest binding energy was selected and visualized using proteins plus server (<https://proteins.plus/>).

### **Results**

### The antimicrobial potential of *P. officinalis* root extract against MRSA

The antimicrobial activity examination of the crude extracts of three roots; *J. officinale*, *R. damascene* and *P. ofcinalis* roots against MRSA were performed via the well-difusion assays revealing the highest antibacterial potency of *P. ofcinalis* extract against MRSA with MIC 0.4673 µg/ml, while the other two extracts showed no activity (>100 µg/ml). Te MIC for ciprofoxacin against MRSA was 19.2 µg/ml. Peony plants and their metabolites are well known with their varied biological activities including; anti-infammatory, immunomodulatory, neuroprotective, antiviral, antidiabetic, etc.<sup>22</sup>. HR-LC-ESI-MS analysis of the crude *P. officinalis* extract was performed for highlighting the metabolites responsible for the strong anti-MRSA potency.

# **Quadrupole time‑of‑fight mass spectrometry (QTOF‑MS) assisted dereplication of the chemi- cal constituents in** *Paeonia ofcinalis* **root extract.**

Metabolomics profiling assisted by HR-LC-ESI-MS analysis of the crude *P. officinalis* extract (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)) led to the identifcation of a wide range of phytoconstituents, whereas terpenoids and phenolics are the prominent



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the dereplicated secondary metabolites (1–20) from *P. officinalis* root.

classes such as Paeonol **(1)**[23](#page-11-19), Paeonilactinone (**2**)[24,](#page-11-20) 5-Hydroxy-6-methyl-1H-indole-3-carboxaldehyde (**3**[\)25](#page-11-21), 2′,3′-Dihydroxy-4′-methoxyacetophenone (**4**)[26,](#page-11-22) Paeonisothujone (**5**[\)27](#page-11-23), Paeonilactone A (**6**)[28,](#page-11-24) Lactinolide (**7**[\)24](#page-11-20), Paeonisufral (**8**)[29,](#page-11-25) Paesufrioside **(9)**[30,](#page-11-26) Paeoniforigenone (**10**)[31](#page-11-27), Paeonosid (**11**[\)32](#page-11-28), Mudanoside A **(12)**[33,](#page-11-29) Paeonidangenin **(13)**[34](#page-11-30), Paeoniflorone **(14)**[34](#page-11-30), Mudanpioside F (**15**)[35,](#page-11-31) Paeonin B (**16**)[36,](#page-11-32) 1-O-β-d-Glucopyranosylpaeonisuffrone (17)<sup>37</sup>, 1,6-Dihydroxy-p-menthan-9,3-olide 6-O-β-D-Glucopyranoside (18)<sup>38</sup>, 8-Debenzoylpaeoniforin (**19)**[39](#page-11-35), Debenzoylpaeonidanin (**20**[\)29](#page-11-25). Literature survey of these metabolites revealed varied bioactivities, whereas Paeoniforigenone (**10**) is one of the dereplicated monoterpenes was reported to have notable in vitro antibacterial potency through strong inhibition of the DNA polymerase activity on multiple nucleotide addition assays method $40$ .

### **Metabolites–targets network**

254 target genes were found to be targeted by the identified metabolites the *p. officinalis*, a network (metabolites–targets) was formed, the network composed of 285 nodes and 683 edges, with network centralization 0.289, no targets were found for compounds 7, 10, 13 (Fig. [2](#page-4-0) and Table S1) the formed network identifed CDA, ADA, ADK and ADORA2A genes as the top targets related to the metabolites with 9 edges for each.

4



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Metabolites–targets network: a network of annotated targets related to the identifed metabolites from the *P. officinalis*, blue rectangles represent identified metabolites, green rectangles represent targets.

### **Targets–infections network**

A DisGeNET database results of the targets revealed an association of the targets to bacterial infectious diseases especially MRSA infections, the analysis of the formed network of gene–infection association revealed the abundance of 42 nodes representing types of bacterial infections and related targets among our targets set, and 58 edges with characteristic path length of 2.496 and network centralization of 0.635. Focusing on MRSA infection, the targets namely ALB, ACHE, TYMS, PRKCD, PLG, MMP9, MMP2, ERN1, EDNRA, BRD4 were found to be the genes afecting the MRSA infection (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)).

### **Protein–protein interactions**

All the targets were detected to determine the interactions between individual proteins and clusters, the formed protein–protein interaction network composed of 74 nodes, and 590 edges with average node degree of 15.9 and average local clustering coefficient equal 0.654 (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

### **Complete pharmacology network**

By merging the previous networking in a way that focus on the only genes associated to bacterial infections a complete network pharmacology was evolved that connected (plant–metabolites–targets–infection), in this network we neglected compounds that were not correlated to target genes as well as neglected the targets that were not correlated to bacterial infection (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)).

### **Gene ontology and enrichment analysis**

We utilised FunRich sofware to perform a gene ontology and enrichment analysis on all the active metabolites' targets to determine the cellular components, molecular functions, and biological processes that are afected by this group of genes. The results confirmed that signal transduction, metabolism and the energy pathway are the most prominent biological processes, in that order (Fig. [6A](#page-7-1)). The Cytoplasm, the Nucleus and the Plasma



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Targets–infections network, a network describing the association between the identifed targets and diferent types of infections, red circles represent targets, inverted arrow heads represent types of infections.

membrane are the top three cellular component (Fig. [6](#page-7-1)B). Catalytic activity was the top molecular function, followed by G-protein coupled receptor activity and protein serine/threonine kinase activity (Fig. [6](#page-7-1)C and Table S2). Using an enrichment analysis implemented in ShinyGO v0.741, we were able to identify the most signifcant biological pathways associated with the target genes; these pathways include the vascular smooth muscle contraction pathway (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)) was the top KEGG. pathway according to enrichment FDR (Fold Discovery Rate) followed by proteoglycans in cancer and calcium signaling pathway (Table S3).

### **Molecular docking studies**

Infections can be difficult to treat and can lead to serious complications, including sepsis and death. The genes mentioned "ALB, ACHE, TYMS, PRKCD, PLG, MMP9, MMP2, ERN1, EDNRA, BRD4" are related to MRSA as they contribute to the development and spread of MRSA. For example, ALB, PLG, MMP9, and MMP2 have been implicated in the host immune response to bacterial infections, including MRSA. ALB, which codes for the protein albumin, is involved in the transport of various substances throughout the body, including drugs and toxins. For instance, albumin has also been shown to have an antimicrobial efect, as it can bind to and neutral-ize bacterial toxins<sup>[41](#page-11-37)</sup>. Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) led to improved survival rates in mice infected with bacteria in a manner that was dependent on the dosage administered<sup>[42](#page-11-38)</sup>. MMP9 and MMP2 are matrix metalloproteinases that are involved in tissue remodeling and are thought to play a role in the host response to bacterial infections<sup>43</sup>. In addition, ERN1 codes for the protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase, which is involved in the response to cellular stress. It has been shown to be important in the response to bacterial infections, as it can activate the immune response by promoting the production of pro- infammatory cytokines. EDNRA codes for the endothelin receptor type A, which plays a role in the regulation of blood pressure and infammation. It has been shown to be important in the response to bacterial infections, as it can activate the immune response by promoting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines<sup> $44$ </sup>.

The molecular docking approach was employed to investigate the binding affinities and potential interactions of the compounds with the target proteins. The twenty compounds were subjected to molecular docking analysis against possible potential target proteins encoded by the genes ACHE, TYMS, PRKCD, MMP9, MMP2, ERN1 and BRD4. Te crystal structures of these targets were selected from the Protein Data Bank (PDB), including acetylcholine esterase (PDB ID: 4EY7), (PDB ID: 6QXG), protein kinase C delta (PDB ID: 1PTR), matrix metalloprotease 9 (MMP9) (PDB ID: 1GKC), MMP2 (PDB ID: 1HOV), inositol requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1) (PDB ID: 4U6R), and Bromodomain-containing protein 4 (PDB ID: 3MXF). The docking scores and binding affini-ties obtained from the docking are summarized in Table [1](#page-9-0). The results presented in the table provide insights into the putative interactions and binding strengths of the compounds with the target proteins associated with MRSA-related processes.

A lower binding energy indicates a stronger binding afnity, which can be a desirable property for a drug molecule. In this analysis, the more negative value, the stronger binding afnity. All the selected compounds showed favorable binding, demonstrating ∆G (binding free energies) values in negative kcal mol−1.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 4.** Protein protein interaction, interaction between target proteins targeted by identifed metabolites from *P. officinalis* the interaction is shown in 3 clusters.

Upon examining the data, there is signifcant variability in the binding energies of the compounds across the different targets. This implies that these compounds exhibit varying affinities and specificities towards the biological targets. For instance, compounds **11** and **12** consistently display the lowest binding energies across most targets, suggesting a high afnity for these targets. Also, it can be inferred that compounds **11** and **12** possess the potential to act as multi-target agents (Fig. [8\)](#page-9-1). Conversely, compounds **1**–**8** generally exhibit higher binding energies, indicating weaker interactions.

Furthermore, it is obvious that certain compounds demonstrate target-specifc binding patterns. For instance, compounds **19, 10**, and **13** consistently display low binding energies for target 4EY7, and 1HOV, respectively, whereas they have relatively higher binding energies for other targets.

Previous studies explained the importance of the catalytic triad residues Ser203, Glu334 and His447 in the AChE active site<sup>45</sup>. Docking studies revealed that Ser203, Glu202, and His447 amino acids stabilize the compound **16** in the active site of AchE (Fig. [9](#page-10-2)A). Moreover, compound **16** was able to bind signifcantly with protein kinase C by H-bonding with Gly253 and Leu251 (Fig. [9](#page-10-2)C). For thymidylate synthase (PDB ID: 6QXG), the co-crystallized ligand showed H-bonding interactions of ASP 218 with a C=O group and ASN 226 with N–H and a C=O group at the 3 and 4 positions. The binding pattern of compounds 14 was found to be similar to the thymidylate synthase protein. The compound forms hydrogen bond interactions, depicted as dotted lines in Fig. [9](#page-10-2)B, with Asn226 and His256. These interactions contribute to the stability and specificity of the compound's binding.

Matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) are both members of the matrix metalloproteinase family and share structural similarities. They both possess a similar overall domain organization, consisting of a prodomain, a catalytic domain, and a hemopexin-like domain. The catalytic domain is the core region responsible for the enzymatic activity of MMP2 and MMP9. It contains the zinc-binding motif and catalytic residues necessary for the cleavage of specific substrates. The catalytic domains of MMP2 and MMP9 share high sequence homology, indicating functional conservation<sup>[46](#page-11-42)</sup>. These findings may explain the ability of compound **12** to act on MMP2 and MMP9 with the lowest binding energies among other compounds and cocrystallized ligand. Sugar moiety of compound **12** chelates with zinc ion in both MMP2 and MMP9 (Fig. [9D](#page-10-2),E).

7



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Figure 5.** Complete pharmacology network; (plant–metabolite–targets–infection); green circle is the plant name, yellow triangles are the active identifed metabolites, violet circles represent genes correlated to infection, pink rectangles represent diferent types of infection.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>Figure 6. Gene enrichment analysis of target genes of *P. officinalis* active metabolites showing (A) biological processes, (**B**) cellular components, and (**C**) molecular functions.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 7.** KEGG illustrating diagram of biological pathways showing the vascular smooth muscle contraction as the top pathway and evolved genes.

In case of inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), the key interaction points indicate several fundamental groups with favorable electrostatic interaction energy with the exogenous ligands, namely, Lys599, Glu643, Leu644, Cys645, Ala646, Glu651, and Asp711[47.](#page-11-43) Compound **11** showed highest binding afnity with the enzyme's active site through Asp711, Lys599, and Ser710 amino acids (Fig. [9F](#page-10-2)). The carbonyl oxygen of the co-crystallized ligand of BDR4 interacts with Asn140 and the aminopyrimidine moiety interacts with Pro8[248.](#page-11-44) Similarly, compound 12 showed the same interactions with Pro82 (Fig. [9](#page-10-2)G).

# **Conclusions**

The anti-MRSA activity of three root extracts of three medicinal plants *J. officinale*, *R. damascene* and *P. officinalis* roots was evaluated and revealed the strongest potency of *P. officinalis* roots. This is the first study of the antimicrobial evaluation of *P. officinalis* roots, therefore, untargeted metabolomics profiling of the root derived extract of *P. ofcinalis* was performed by using LC-HR-ESI-MS, in which twenty compounds of multivariate groups of secondary metabolites like terpenoids, terpenidal glycosides and phenolic compounds were dereplicated. The analysis of the molecular docking data provides valuable insights into the binding afnities and potential specifcities of the 20 compounds against the 7 biological targets. Future experiments will include isolation of the bioactive compounds and test them against MSRA as well as study the detailed mechanism of action in vitro as

	Binding energy (kcal/mol)						
Compound no.	<b>4EY7</b>	6QXG	1PTR	1GKC	1HOV	<b>4U6R</b>	3MXF
$\mathbf{1}$	$-5.18$	$-4.52$	$-4.66$	$-5.80$	$-5.44$	$-5.37$	$-5.09$
$\overline{2}$	$-5.16$	$-4.45$	$-4.61$	$-5.91$	$-5.93$	$-5.47$	$-4.60$
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	$-5.06$	$-4.43$	$-4.47$	$-5.83$	$-5.49$	$-5.16$	$-5.19$
$\overline{4}$	$-5.33$	$-4.85$	$-4.89$	$-5.49$	$-6.37$	$-5.61$	$-5.47$
5	$-5.43$	$-450$	$-4.46$	$-5.69$	$-5.75$	$-5.75$	$-4.74$
6	$-5.78$	$-4.81$	$-4.73$	$-6.03$	$-5.46$	$-5.25$	$-5.36$
$\overline{7}$	$-5.76$	$-4.94$	$-4.82$	$-6.10$	$-5.03$	$-5.49$	$-5.69$
8	$-5.57$	$-4.79$	$-5.02$	$-5.51$	$-5.94$	$-5.28$	$-3.96$
$\overline{9}$	$-7.80$	$-5.92$	$-5.54$	$-7.91$	$-7.12$	$-6.62$	$-6.63$
10	$-7.16$	$-5.48$	$-5.37$	$-7.03$	$-7.84$	$-6.29$	$-5.84$
11	$-7.67$	$-6.19$	$-5.62$	$-7.97$	$-8.29$	$-7.07$	$-6.41$
12	$-7.30$	$-6.16$	$-5.83$	$-8.50$	$-8.60$	$-6.88$	$-7.00$
13	$-7.48$	$-5.83$	$-5.02$	$-6.65$	$-8.27$	$-6.79$	$-5.53$
14	$-7.22$	$-6.28$	$-5.28$	$-7.06$	$-7.27$	$-6.73$	$-5.88$
15	$-7.19$	$-5.94$	$-5.58$	$-6.35$	$-6.64$	$-6.24$	$-5.34$
16	$-8.07$	$-5.97$	$-5.99$	$-6.41$	$-6.47$	$-6.98$	$-5.75$
17	$-7.74$	$-6.16$	$-5.59$	$-6.54$	$-7.86$	$-6.48$	$-5.45$
18	$-7.56$	$-6.24$	$-5.78$	$-7.72$	$-7.01$	$-6.58$	$-6.83$
19	$-7.88$	$-5.97$	$-5.18$	$-6.06$	$-6.70$	$-6.65$	$-5.55$
20	$-7.72$	$-6.22$	$-5.62$	$-6.74$	$-7.87$	$-6.78$	$-5.37$
Co-crystallized ligand	$-8.73$	$-6.97$	$-6.70$	$-7.92$	$-15.19$	$-11.55$	$-7.2$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 1.** Molecular docking scores of twenty compounds against several biological targets.



<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Figure 8.** 3D Binding mode of (**A**) Paeonin B 16 with acetylcholine esterase (PDB ID: 4EY7), (**B**) Paeoniforone 14 with thymidylate synthase (PDB ID: 6QXG), (**C**) Paeonin B **16** with protein kinase C delta (PDB ID: PKCD), (**D**) Mudanoside A 12 with MMP9 (PDB ID: 1GKC), (**E**) Mudanoside A 12 with MMP2 (PDB ID: 1HOV), (**F**) Paeonosid **11** with inositol requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1α) (PDB ID: 4U6R), and (**G**) Mudanoside A **12** with Bromodomain-containing protein 4 (PDB ID: 3MXF)**.**





<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Figure 9.** 2D diagram for binding interactions (**A**) Paeonin B **16** with acetylcholine esterase (PDB ID: 4EY7), (**B**) Paeoniforone **14** with thymidylate synthase (PDB ID: 6QXG), (**C**) Paeonin B **16** with protein kinase C delta (PDB ID: PKCD), (**D**) Mudanoside A **12** with MMP9 (PDB ID: 1GKC), (**E**) Mudanoside A **12** with MMP2 (PDB ID: 1HOV), (**F**) Paeonosid **11** with inositol requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1) (PDB ID: 4U6R), and (**G**) Mudanoside A **12** with Bromodomain-containing protein 4 (PDB ID: 3MXF).

well as in vivo. These findings can guide further investigations and contribute to the rational design and development of novel therapeutics or lead compounds in drug discovery research.

# **Data availability**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information fles.

Received: 1 January 2024; Accepted: 16 May 2024<br>Published online: 13 June 2024

### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Otto, M. MRSA virulence and spread. *Cell. Microbiol.* **14**(10), 1513–1521 (2012).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Mickymaray, S. et al. Anti-bacterial efficacy of bacteriocin produced by marine *Bacillus subtilis* against clinically important extended spectrum beta-lactamase strains and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Int. J. Med. Res. Health Sci.* **7**(2), 75–83 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>3. Nandhini, P. *et al.* Recent developments in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) treatment: A review. *Antibiotics* **11**(5), 606 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>4. Kumar, P. A review on quinoline derivatives as anti-methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) agents. *BMC Chem.* **14**(1), 1–14 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>5. Nabandith, V. *et al.* Inhibitory efects of crude alpha-mangostin, a xanthone derivative, on two diferent categories of colon preneoplastic lesions induced by 1, 2-dimethylhydrazine in the rat. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **5**(4), 433–438 (2004).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>6. Zhao, D.-D. *et al.* Chemical components and pharmacological activities of terpene natural products from the genus *Paeonia*. *Molecules* **21**(10), 1362 (2016).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>7. Yan, Z. *et al.* Phytochemical components and bioactivities of novel medicinal food—Peony roots. *Food Res. Int.* **140**, 109902 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>8. Samy, M. N. *et al.* Bioassay-guided fractionation with antimalarial and antimicrobial activities of *Paeonia ofcinalis*. *Molecules* **27**(23), 8382 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>9. Calonghi, N. *et al.* Root extracts of two cultivars of *Paeonia* species: Lipid composition and biological efects on diferent cell lines: Preliminary results. *Molecules* **26**(3), 655 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>10. Ahmad, F. & Tabassum, N. Preliminary phytochemical, acute oral toxicity and antihepatotoxic study of roots of *Paeonia ofcinalis* Linn. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **3**(1), 64–68 (2013).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>11. Ahmed, S. S. T. *et al.* Metabolomics of the secondary metabolites of *Ammi visnaga* L. roots (family Apiaceae) and evaluation of their biological potential. *South Afr. J. Bot.* **149**, 860–869 (2022).
- 12. Mahmoud, B. K. *et al.* Metabolomic profling and biological investigation of *Tabebuia aurea* (Silva Manso) leaves, family Bignoniaceae. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **35**(22), 4632–4637 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>13. Samy, M. N. *et al.* LC-MS-based identifcation of bioactive compounds and hepatoprotective and nephroprotective activities of *Bignonia binata* leaves against carbon tetrachloride-induced injury in rats. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **36**(5), 1375–1379 (2022).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>14. Kim, S. *et al.* PubChem 2019 update: Improved access to chemical data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**(D1), D1102–D1109 (2019).
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>15. Wassermann, A. M. & Bajorath, J. BindingDB and ChEMBL: Online compound databases for drug discovery. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **6**(7), 683–687 (2011).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>16. Daina, A., Michielin, O. & Zoete, V. SwissTargetPrediction: Updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**(W1), W357–W364 (2019).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>17. Piñero, J. et al. The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48(D1), D845-D855 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>18. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v11: Protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**(D1), D607–D613 (2019).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>19. Pathan, M. *et al.* FunRich: An open access standalone functional enrichment and interaction network analysis tool. *Proteomics* **15**(15), 2597–2601 (2015).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>20. Kanehisa, M. *et al.* KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**(suppl 1), D480–D484 (2007).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>21. Ge, S. X., Jung, D. & Yao, R. ShinyGO: A graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants. *Bioinformatics* **36**(8), 2628–2629 (2020).
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>22. Li, P. *et al.* Genus *Paeonia*: A comprehensive review on traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacological activities, clinical application, and toxicology. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **269**, 113708 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>23. Yiqing, L. *et al.* Determination of peonol, paeoniforin and benzoic acid in *Paeonia lactifora* by RPTLC. *Nat. Prod. Res. Dev.* **13**(6), 42–44 (2001).
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>24. Murakami, N. *et al.* New bioactive monoterpene glycosides from Paeoniae Radix. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **44**(6), 1279–1281 (1996).
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>25. Duan, W. J. *et al.* Four new compounds from *Paeonia albifora*. *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* **11**(4), 299–305 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>26. Shang, W. *et al.* Research on the relationship between phenolic acids and rooting of tree peony (*Paeonia sufruticosa*) plantlets in vitro. *Sci. Hortic.* **224**, 53–60 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>27. Yoshikawa, M. *et al.* Absolute stereostructures of paeonisothujone, a novel skeletal monoterpene ketone, and deoxypaeonisufrone, and isopaeonisufral, two new monoterpenes, from moutan cortex. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **42**(3), 736–738 (1994).
- <span id="page-11-25"></span><span id="page-11-24"></span>28. Hayashi, T. *et al.* Paeonilactone-A,-B, and-C, new monoterpenoids from paeony root. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **26**(31), 3699–3702 (1985). 29. Wang, H.-B. *et al.* Monoterpene glucosides from *Paeonia lactifora*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **72**(7), 1321–1324 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-26"></span>30. Xiao, K. *et al.* A pyrrole derivative from *Paeonia sufruticosa*. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **22**(18), 1614–1619 (2008).
- <span id="page-11-27"></span>31. Shimizu, M. *et al.* Te structure of paeoniforigenone, a new monoterpene isolated from *Paeoniae radix*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **31**(2), 577–583 (1983).
- <span id="page-11-28"></span>32. Egger, K. & Keil, M. J. P. Flavonglykoside in Blüten von *Paeonia arborea* and *Paeonia sufruticosa*. *Planta* **88**(2), 154–156 (1969).
- <span id="page-11-29"></span>33. Ding, H.-Y. *et al.* Glycosides from *Paeonia sufruticosa*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **47**(5), 652–655 (1999).
- <span id="page-11-30"></span>34. Duan, W.-J. *et al.* Monoterpenes from *Paeonia albifora* and their inhibitory activity on nitric oxide production by lipopolysaccharide-activated microglia. *J. Nat. Prod.* **72**(9), 1579–1584 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-31"></span>35. Lin, H.-C. *et al.* Monoterpene glycosides from *Paeonia sufruticosa*. *Phytochemistry* **41**(1), 237–242 (1996).
- <span id="page-11-32"></span>36. Riaz, N. *et al.* Paeonins A and B, lipoxygenase inhibiting monoterpene galactosides from *Paeonia emodi*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **51**(3), 252–254 (2003).
- <span id="page-11-33"></span>37. Kim, J. S. *et al.* A new monoterpene glucoside from the roots of *Paeonia lactifora*. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **91**(1), 85–89 (2008).
- <span id="page-11-34"></span>38. Wu, S. *et al.* Chemical constituents and bioactivities of plants from the genus *Paeonia*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **7**(1), 90–104 (2010).
- <span id="page-11-35"></span>39. Hsu, F.-L., Lai, C.-W. & Cheng, J. T. Antihyperglycemic efects of paeoniforin and 8-debenzoylpaeoniforin, glucosides from the root of *Paeonia lactifora*. *Planta Med.* **63**(04), 323–325 (1997).
- <span id="page-11-36"></span>40. Demir, A. *et al.* Paeoniforigenone purifed from *Paeonia daurica* roots potently inhibits viral and bacterial DNA polymerases: Investigation by experimental validation and docking simulation. *Med. Chem. Res.* **28**(12), 2232–2245 (2019).
- <span id="page-11-37"></span>41. Wiedermann, C. J. Hypoalbuminemia as surrogate and culprit of infections. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**(9), 4496 (2021).
- <span id="page-11-38"></span>42. Fernandez-Cabezudo, M. J. *et al.* Cholinergic stimulation of the immune system protects against lethal infection by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Immunology* **130**(3), 388–398 (2010).
- <span id="page-11-39"></span>43. Vanlaere, I. & Libert, C. Matrix metalloproteinases as drug targets in infections caused by gram-negative bacteria and in septic shock. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **22**(2), 224–239 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-40"></span>44. Freeman, B. D. *et al.* Endothelin-1 and its role in the pathogenesis of infectious diseases. *Life Sci.* **118**(2), 110–119 (2014).
- <span id="page-11-41"></span>45. Acheson, S. A. & Quinn, D. M. Anatomy of acetylcholinesterase catalysis: Reaction dynamics analogy for human erythrocyte and electric eel enzymes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol.* **1040**(2), 199–205 (1990).
- <span id="page-11-42"></span>46. Eckhard, U. *et al.* Active site specifcity profling of the matrix metalloproteinase family: Proteomic identifcation of 4300 cleavage sites by nine MMPs explored with structural and synthetic peptide cleavage analyses. *Matrix Biol.* **49**, 37–60 (2016).
- <span id="page-11-43"></span>47. Carlesso, A. *et al.* Binding analysis of the inositol-requiring enzyme 1 kinase domain. *ACS Omega* **3**(10), 13313–13322 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-44"></span>48. Ember, S. W. *et al.* Acetyl-lysine binding site of bromodomain-containing protein 4 (BRD4) interacts with diverse kinase inhibitors. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **9**(5), 1160–1171 (2014).

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Deraya university.

# **Author contributions**

Conceptualization N.H.S., F.A.M., M.A.A., B.K.M., R.Y., M.N.S., A.M.I. and U.R.A.; methodology, N.H.S., F.A.M., U.R.A. and.; sofware: F.A.M., U.R.A.; A.M.I. validation, N.H.S., B.K.M., M.N.S.; formal analysis, N.H.S., F.A.M., B.K.M. and U.R.A. investigation, N.H.S., R.Y., U.R.A.; data curation, N.H.S., F.A.M., U.R.A. writing—original draft preparation; N.H.S., F.A.M., B.K.M., R.Y., N.A.S., A.M.I., N.W. writing—review and editing, N.H.S., F.A.M., B.K.M., R.Y. and U.R.A. visualization,. and supervision, project administration N.H.S., F.A.M., U.R.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# **Funding**

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62369-5) [10.1038/s41598-024-62369-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62369-5).

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to N.H.S. or U.R.A.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\Theta$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. Te images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 $© The Author(s) 2024$