# Identification and Characterization of a Shared TNFR-Related Receptor for Subgroup B, D, and E Avian Leukosis Viruses Reveal Cysteine Residues Required Specifically for Subgroup E Viral Entry

HEATHER B. ADKINS,<sup>†</sup> JÜRGEN BROJATSCH,‡ AND JOHN A. T. YOUNG<sup>\*</sup>

*Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115*

Received 22 June 1999/Accepted 18 January 2000

**Genetic and receptor interference data have indicated the presence of one or more cellular receptors for subgroup B, D, and E avian leukosis viruses (ALV) encoded by the** *s1* **allele of the chicken** *tvb* **locus. Despite the prediction that these viruses use the same receptor, they exhibit a nonreciprocal receptor interference pattern: ALV-B and ALV-D can interfere with infection by all three viral subgroups, but ALV-E only interferes with infection by subgroup E viruses. We identified a** *tvb***s1 cDNA clone which encodes a tumor necrosis factor receptor-related receptor for ALV-B, -D, and -E. The nonreciprocal receptor interference pattern was reconstituted in transfected human 293 cells by coexpressing the cloned receptor with the envelope (Env) proteins of either ALV-B or ALV-E. This pattern of interference was also observed when soluble ALV surface (SU) immunoglobulin fusion proteins were bound to this cellular receptor before viral challenge. These data demonstrate that viral Env-receptor interactions can account for the nonreciprocal interference between ALV subgroups B, D, and E. Furthermore, they indicate that a single chicken gene located at** *tvb***s1 encodes receptors for these three viral subgroups. The TVBS1 protein differs exclusively at residue 62 from the published subgroup B- and D-specific receptor, encoded by the**  $s3$  allele of  $tvb$ . Residue 62 is a cysteine in TVB<sup>S1</sup> but is **a serine in TVBS3, giving TVBS1 an even number of cysteines in the extracellular domain. We present evidence for a disulfide bond requirement in TVBS1 for ALV-E infection but not for ALV-B infection. Thus, ALV-B and ALV-E interact in fundamentally different ways with this shared receptor, a finding that may account for the observed biological differences between these two ALV subgroups.**

Avian leukosis viruses (ALV) are divided into six well-characterized subgroups, A to E and J, based on receptor usage group and host range in chickens. The subgroup specificity of these viruses has been mapped to the viral surface (SU) domain of the envelope (Env) glycoprotein which is responsible for receptor binding (reviewed in reference 10). Several lines of evidence support the hypothesis that ALV-B, -D, and -E use a shared chicken receptor, including genetic analysis which defined several alleles of an ALV susceptibility locus, designated *tvb.*  $t v b^{s3}$  permits infection by all three viruses,  $t v b^{s3}$ permits infection only by ALV-B and -D, and the *tvb*<sup>r</sup> allele does not permit infection by any of these viral subgroups (reviewed in reference 23). Previously, we identified the TVB<sup>S3</sup> receptor for ALV subgroups B and D, a member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) family (6, 21). ALV-E utilizes a turkey receptor  $(\text{TVB}^T)$  that is highly homologous to  $\text{TVB}^\text{S3}$ (1), providing additional evidence that the chicken receptors for ALV-B, -D, and -E are probably related.

Receptor interference studies performed with chicken embryo fibroblasts (CEFs) that contain  $\textit{tvb}^{\text{sl}}$  also indicated that these viruses may use related receptors. As expected for viruses that use the same receptor, preinfection of these cells with either ALV-B or ALV-D leads to a block to superinfection by viruses of each of the three subgroups. In contrast, if cells are preinfected by a subgroup E virus, there is a block to superinfection by ALV-E but not by subgroup B and D viruses (23). Because receptor interference is thought to occur as a consequence of interactions with newly synthesized Env proteins in the infected cell (23), the reason why viruses that use the same cellular receptor would exhibit nonreciprocal interference is unclear. However, several models have been proposed to explain this phenomenon, including one that suggests the existence of multiple receptors encoded by closely linked genes at *tvb* (e.g., one receptor for ALV-B, -D, and -E and another only for ALV-B and -D) (23).

In order to understand the biological characteristics of ALV-B, -D, and -E associated with their receptor usage, we have now isolated and characterized a  $t v b^{s1}$  cDNA clone. In this report, we present evidence that the protein encoded by this clone is a primary binding receptor for these viral subgroups and confers all of the properties of nonreciprocal interference when expressed in transfected human 293 cells. Thus, we conclude that a single chicken receptor gene for ALV-B, -D, and -E accounts for this interference pattern. In addition, we demonstrate that a single amino acid substitution, a cysteine in place of a serine, distinguishes the B, D, and E subgroup receptor encoded by  $tvb^{s_1}$  from the B and D subgroup receptor encoded by *tvb*s3. Further characterization of  $TVB<sup>S1</sup>$  indicates that ALV-E infection is strongly influenced by disulfide bonds involving cysteines located at the N-terminal region of the receptor, whereas ALV-B infection does not

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Present address: McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, University of Wisconsin—Madison, 1400 University Ave., Madison, WI 53706-1599. Phone: (608) 265-5151. Fax: (608) 262-2824. E-mail: young@oncology.wisc.edu.

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115.

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: Department of Microbiology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461.

require these cysteine residues. These data argue that ALV-B and ALV-E interact in distinct ways with the common TVB<sup>S1</sup> receptor.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cells, lines, and viruses. Line  $15B_1$  primary CEFs were a generous gift of Connie Cepko. Human 293 cells and CEFs were grown as previously described 26,  $27$ ). Subgroup B-specific (RCASH-B) and subgroup E-specific (RCASH-E) ALV-based retroviral vectors containing the hygromycin B phosphotransferase gene were described previously (6). Pseudotyped murine leukemia virus (MLV) virions with ALV Env proteins, MLV-lacZ (EnvB) or MLVlacZ (EnvE), were generated with a tripartite transfection system (14) in which 293 cells were transfected with 15  $\mu$ g of plasmid pMD.old.gag.pol, 20  $\mu$ g of plasmid pMMP-nlslacZ, and 5  $\mu$ g of either plasmid pAB7 encoding ALV-B Env or pAB9 encoding ALV-E Env (5). Plasmid pMD.old.gag.pol encoding MLV Gag and Pol proteins and plasmid pMMP-nlslacZ, an MLV vector encoding b-galactosidase, were a generous gift of Richard Mulligan at Children's Hospital, Boston, Mass. Extracellular supernatants containing virus were then collected at 48 and 60 h posttransfection and pooled.

**cDNA cloning and sequencing.** Total RNA was isolated from CEFs as described previously (6). Approximately 5  $\mu$ g of polyadenylated mRNA, isolated from  $12\overline{5}$  µg of total RNA with the RNA Isolation Kit (Stratagene), was reverse transcribed to generate cDNA with a commercially available kit (ZAP cDNA synthesis kit; Stratagene) and introduced into the  $\lambda ZAP$  Express vector (Stratagene). The cDNA library of approximately 80,000 clones was screened, as described previously, using a  $\hbar b^{s3}$  cDNA probe (1). Using a standard phagemid excision protocol (Stratagene), the plasmid pBK3-1 was then isolated, and this cDNA clone was subsequently sequenced using dideoxy terminator cycle sequencing on a Perkin-Elmer ABI 377 DNA sequencer by the core DNA sequencing facility in the Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics at Harvard Medical School.

**Mutant construction.** An altered TVB<sup>S1</sup> protein was generated by PCR mutagenesis of the pBK3-1 clone to generate plasmid pHA1. The altered TVB<sup>S1</sup> protein, TVB $^{S1}$ ( $\triangle$ DD), was truncated at amino acid 280 in the cytoplasmic tail and a FLAG epitope (DYKDDDDK) was added to the C terminus. The mutations of Cys-46, Cys-59, Cys-62, and Cys-77 to serines were introduced in pHA1 with overlapping PCR primers to create plasmids pHA12[TVB<sup>S1</sup>(ΔDD)-C46S],<br>pHA13[TVB<sup>S1</sup>(ΔDD)-C46S/C62S], pHA14[TVB<sup>S1</sup>(ΔDD)-C59S], pHA15[TVB<sup>S1</sup><br>(ΔDD)-C59S/C77S], pHA16[TVB<sup>S1</sup>(ΔDD)-C62S/C77S], pHA25[TVB<sup>S1</sup>(ΔDD)-C77S], pHA26[TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD)-C46S/C62S/C77S], and pHA27[TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD)-C46S/C59S/C62S/C77S]. All constructs were sequenced to confirm their open reading frames. PCR primer sequences used in making these constructs are available upon request.

**Transfections and infections.** Human 293 cells, plated at approximately 20% confluency on 100-mm tissue culture plates, were transfected with 10  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA. Cells were split into six-well tissue culture dishes 60 h after transfection and incubated with 2 ml of medium containing 100 ml of RCASH-B or RCASH-E viruses or 1 to 10  $\mu$ l of MLV-lacZ (EnvB) or MLV-lacZ (EnvE). In the case of RCASH virus infections, cells were placed under selection in medium containing 300 µg of hygromycin B per ml 2 days after viral challenge, and after approximately 2 weeks of selection, colonies of infected cells were then stained with a methylene blue solution (20% isopropanol, 5% acetic acid, 1% methylene blue) and counted. MLV-lacZ-challenged transfectants were fixed 2 days after infection in 1% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in phosphatebuffered saline and then stained with a 0.1% 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-b-Dgalactopyranoside (X-Gal) (Gibco)–phosphate-buffered saline solution containing 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, and  $1\%$  dimethyl formamide in order to detect the virus-encoded  $\beta$ -galactosidase protein. Infection was quantified by counting blue colonies.

**Immunoprecipitations, immunoblotting, and flow cytometry.** Plasmid pKZ452, which encodes subgroup B-specific immunoglobin (Ig) fusion protein in the SUB-rIgG immunoadhesin, and plasmid pCIE-rIgG, encoding an immunoadhesin containing a subgroup E-specific SU protein (SUE-rIgG), were described previously  $(1, 6)$ . The SUB-rIgG and SUE-rIgG proteins were produced in the extracellular supernatants of transiently transfected 293 cells as described elsewhere (6, 27).

For the immunoprecipitations, human 293 cells were transfected with 10  $\mu$ g of plasmid pBK3-1 or with no DNA, and the procedures used were as described previously (1). Briefly, transfected cells were lysed at 60 h posttransfection in NP-40 lysis buffer. Approximately 1 mg of total-cell lysate was incubated for 1 h at 4°C with protein A-Sepharose beads preloaded with SUB-rIgG or SUE-rIgG. The immunoprecipitates were then washed in lysis buffer, boiled in sodium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer, and electrophoresed on a reducing sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel. The precipitated protein was detected by immunoblotting with the IQS579 polyclonal antibody raised against a TVB peptide sequence  $(1)$ .

For flow cytometry, human 293 cells were transfected as described above with 10 mg of plasmid pHA1. Approximately 60 h after transfection, cells were prepared for flow cytometry as described previously (1). Cells were incubated with 1 ml of medium (mock) or with 1 ml of extracellular supernatants containing approximately equal amounts of either SUB-rIgG or SUE-rIgG as assessed by immunoblotting with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody as a probe (data not shown). Samples of 5,000 cells were then analyzed on a Coulter Epics XL flow cytometer in the Department of Hematologic Oncology at the Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

**Viral interference assays.** Human 293 cells were transiently transfected with 1 mg of the plasmid pBK3-1, and 48 h posttransfection cells were split into six-well plates as described above. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C in a total volume of 3.5 ml of medium containing approximately equal amounts of SUB-rIgG or SUE-rIgG, before addition of 100  $\mu$ l of either RCASH-B or RCASH-E viruses. Two days after the viral challenge, cells were placed under selection in medium containing  $300 \mu$ g of hygromycin B per ml, and then colonies of infected cells were stained and counted after approximately 2 weeks.

In order to generate  $TVB^{S1}$ -Env coexpressing cell lines (designated as either EnvB-S1 or EnvE-S1 cells), plasmids encoding TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD) (pHA1) and either subgroup B-Env (pAB7) or E-Env (pAB9) were cotransfected with 1 μg of a plasmid expressing a puromycin resistance gene (pPUR) in a ratio of 2 or 5  $\mu$ g of pHA1 to 1 mg of pAB7 or pAB9. After approximately 2 weeks of selection in medium containing  $100 \mu$ g of puromycin per ml, drug-resistant colonies were isolated and expanded. The resulting EnvB-S1 and EnvE-S1 clonal cell lines were then screened for expression of TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD) by immunoblotting: whole-cell lysates were screened for TVB<sup>S1</sup> expression by probing with SUB-rIgG and for expression of EnvB and EnvE by immunoblotting with TVB<sup>S1</sup>-rIgG and TVB<sup>T</sup>rIgG under nonreducing conditions (data not shown). The TVB-rIgG proteins are comprised of a rabbit immunoglobulin constant region (Fc) that is fused to the C terminus of the extracellular domain of TVB<sup>S1</sup> or TVB<sup>T</sup>, respectively. Cell lines which were shown to express both the receptor and the appropriate Env protein were then screened by infection with MLV-lacZ (EnvB) or MLV-lacZ (EnvE) to assay for viral interference. A control cell line (S1-5) expressing only TVB $^{S1}(\Delta DD)$  was generated in a similar manner by cotransfection of plasmid pHA1 with pPUR.

**Nucleotide sequence accession number.** The nucleotide sequence of the *tvb*s1 cDNA clone has been submitted to GenBank under accession no. AF 161713.

# **RESULTS**

**Identification of a** *tvb***s1 cDNA clone which encodes a receptor for ALV-B, -D, and -E.** In order to characterize  $tvb^{s1}$ , a cDNA library was prepared from CEFs derived from line  $15B<sub>1</sub>$ chickens which are genetically homozygous for this *tvb* allele (2). The library was screened with a  $t\nu b^{s3}$  cDNA probe as described previously (1). Individual cDNA clones which hybridized with this probe were identified, and because *tvb* is a single-copy gene in chicken cells (21), we reasoned that any cross-hybridizing clone must be derived from *tvb*s1. Clones greater than 2 kb in size were isolated in a plasmid-based mammalian expression vector and then tested for their ability to confer susceptibility to infection by ALV-B and ALV-E vectors when expressed in transfected human 293 cells.

The transfected cells were challenged with ALV vectors containing the hygromycin B phosphotransferase gene and the envelope proteins derived from either RCASH-B or RCASH-E viruses (6). Following viral infection, cells were selected in medium containing hygromycin B, and colonies of infected cells were then counted. A 2.3-kb cDNA clone, designated pBK3-1, conferred susceptibility to both RCASH-B and RCASH-E when expressed in human 293 cells (Fig. 1A), an activity expected of a cDNA encoding TVB<sup>S1</sup>.

In order to test whether the protein encoded by the pBK3-1 clone was a receptor that can bind to ALV SU proteins, binding experiments were performed using subgroups B and E SU-Ig fusion proteins, SUB-rIgG and SUE-rIgG, respectively (1, 6). Several protein species were precipitated specifically from pBK3-1-transfected cells, but not from nontransfected cells, by both SU-rIgG proteins (Fig. 1B). These proteins presumably represent differently glycosylated forms of TVB<sup>S1</sup>, similar to those described previously for TVB<sup>S3</sup> (6). In addition, a TVB<sup>S1</sup>-rIgG fusion protein, comprising the extracellular domain of  $TVB^{S1}$  fused to a rabbit Fc chain, specifically bound to transfected 293 cells expressing subgroup D Env, as assessed with a flow cytometry-based assay (data not shown). Thus, through Env-binding and viral infection experiments, we have



confirmed that the  $TVB^{S1}$  protein encoded by the pBK3-1 cDNA clone is a primary binding receptor for subgroup B, D, and E ALV.

In order to avoid potential toxicity problems associated with expression of a death receptor, several of the subsequent experiments were performed with truncated TVB proteins lacking the cytoplasmic death domain but retaining the first 63 amino acids of the cytoplamic tail. This protein,  $\overline{TVB}^{S1}(\Delta DD)$ , was expressed on the surfaces of transfected 293 cells and bound to both SUB-rIgG and SUE-rIgG, as detected by flow cytometry (Fig. 1C). In addition,  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$  permitted both subgroup B and subgroup E viral infection: the titer of each virus on these cells was approximately  $10<sup>5</sup>$  infectious units/ml (Table 1). This result confirms that this region of the cytoplasmic tail is not necessary for surface expression of the protein or for infection by subgroup B and E viruses.

**Reconstitution of nonreciprocal interference with TVBS1.** With the identification of  $\text{TVB}^{S1}$  as a cellular receptor for ALV-B, -D, and -E, we were interested in investigating whether this protein could account for the nonreciprocal interference observed between these viruses in CEFs. In order to recapitulate this interference pattern,  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$  and either subgroup B or subgroup E ALV Env proteins were coexpressed in stably transfected human 293 cell lines that were designated as EnvB-S1 and EnvE-S1 cells, respectively. These cells were characterized for expression of Env and TVB<sup>S1</sup> proteins (as described in Materials and Methods) and were challenged with  $10^3$  to  $10^4$  infectious units of MLV vectors containing the gene for  $\beta$ -galactosidase, pseudotyped with either ALV-B Env [MLV-lacZ (EnvB)] or ALV-E Env [MLVlacZ (EnvE)]. Four independent clonal lines of EnvE-S1 cells were identified as being fully susceptible to subgroup B viral infection but highly resistant to subgroup E viral infection (Fig. 2A). By contrast, six independent clones of EnvB-S1 cells were almost completely resistant to infection by both the subgroup B and subgroup E viruses: in each case, viral titers of less than 10 infectious units/ml were obtained (data not shown). It should be noted that other transfected cells that did not express ALV Env proteins or instead expressed disproportionately higher levels of TVB<sup>S1</sup> were susceptible to infection by both subgroup B and E viruses (data not shown), as would be expected if receptor interference results from Env-receptor interactions. Taken together, these data demonstrate that the nonreciprocal receptor interference seen between subgroup B and E viruses can be explained by properties of the cloned TVB<sup>S1</sup> receptor, indicating that this protein is the only receptor for these viruses in chicken cells.

Because receptor interfence can be reconstituted with coexpression of cloned receptor and Env proteins, the question arises whether interference is occurring through direct receptor-Env interactions and whether it can occur at the cell surface. Specifically, we asked what effect blocking either the subgroup B receptor sites on  $TVB^{S1}$  with SUB-rIgG or subgroup E receptor sites with SUE-rIgG would have upon subsequent ALV-B and ALV-E entry. SUB-rIgG effectively blocked infection by both subgroup B and subgroup E ALV

vectors when incubated with 293 cells expressing wild-type  $TVB<sup>S1</sup>$  (Fig. 2B) by presumably binding to, and interfering with the function of, all of the cell surface receptors for these viruses. However, SUE-rIgG blocked ALV-E infection but was unable to prevent subgroup B virus entry (Fig. 2B). Similar results were obtained after blocking endogenously expressed  $TVB^{S1}$  receptors on line  $15B_1$  CEFs with SUB-rIgG and SUErIgG (data not shown). Therefore, the binding activities of the soluble SU fusion proteins reconstitute the nonreciprocal interference originally observed in virus-infected CEFs. Thus, we can conclude that interference can occur by direct receptor-Env interactions and, furthermore, that it can occur at the cell surface.

**Residue Cys-62 of TVB<sup>S1</sup> is a critical determinant for subgroup E viral infection.** The pBK3-1 cDNA clone was sequenced and its open reading frame was found to differ by only a single nucleotide substitution (a T instead of an A at position 184) from that of the TVB $^{53}$  cDNA clone (6). Therefore, the extracellular domain of TVB<sup>S1</sup> has the amino acid cysteine at position 62 and thus has an even number of cysteine residues  $(16)$  (Fig. 3A). By contrast, this residue in TVB<sup>S3</sup> is a serine and therefore the extracellular domain of this protein has an odd number of cysteines (15) (Fig. 3A). This finding, coupled with the fact that TVB<sup>T</sup> also contains a cysteine at position 62 (1) that is important for subgroup E virus receptor function (data not shown), indicates that residue Cys-62 of TVB proteins is important for ALV-E entry. The fact that residue Cys-62 is important for the ALV-E receptor function of  $TVB^{S1}$ indicates that specific intrachain disulfide bonds might be necessary for this activity.

Based on a sequence alignment of TVB<sup>S3</sup> with other TNFRlike proteins, we initially predicted that residue Cys-59 might define the beginning of the first TNFR-related cysteine-rich domain (CRD1) in  $\text{TVB}^{\text{S3}}$  and that it would form an intrachain disulfide bond with Cys-77, leaving Cys-46 unpaired (Fig. 3A) (6). However, in light of the sequence information for  $TVB^{S1}$ we reasoned that Cys-46 could potentially pair with either Cys-59 or Cys-62 in TVB<sup>S1</sup>, and therefore if Cys-46 and Cys-59 form the favored disulfide, Cys-77 would be left unpaired in TVB<sup>S3</sup> (Fig. 3A). In order to test this hypothesis, we made a series of mutations in TVB<sup>S1</sup> which changed cysteines at positions 46, 59, 62, and 77 to serines and tested the ability of these altered proteins to function as receptors for subgroup B or E viruses.

Each of these mutant receptors was expressed on the surface of transfected cells at levels that were essentially equivalent to that obtained with the wild-type  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$  protein, as judged by flow cytometry with SUB-rIgG and a fluoresceinated secondary antibody (Fig. 3B). Indeed, all of the mutant receptors tested supported subgroup B viral entry at levels similar to that seen with the wild-type receptor (Table 1). By contrast, the only mutant receptor with any appreciable subgroup E receptor activity was  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$ -C62S/C77S that has cysteine residues at positions 46 and 59 (Table 1). This mutant permitted infection of MLV-lacZ (EnvE) at a level that was 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than those obtained with the other mutant

FIG. 1. The pBK3-1 clone encodes a receptor for ALV-B and ALV-E. (A) Human 293 cells were transfected with plasmid pBK3-1 (293:pBK3-1) or no DNA (293) and were then challenged with subgroup B-specific (RCASH-B) or subgroup E-specific (RCASH-E) ALV vectors encoding hygromycin B phosphotransferase. The resultant hygromycin B-resistant colonies were counted, and the data shown represent the average numbers obtained in three independent experiments. (B) Human 293 cells transfected with plasmid pBK3-1 or with no DNA were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer and subjected to immunoprecipitation with subgroup B-specific (SUB-rIgG) or subgroup E-specific (SUE-rIgG) SU-rabbit Ig fusion proteins. The immunoprecipitated proteins were then subjected to immunoblotting using an antiserum specific<br>for TVB (1) and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence. (C SU. Transfected 293 cells expressing TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD), a truncated receptor lacking the cytoplasmic death domain, were incubated with SUB-rIgG or SUE-rIgG and with a fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated antibody specific for rabbit Igs. The cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry.

) 0

)

$\mu$ and $\mu$						
$TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$ construct	Residue				Mean (SD) colonies/ml of virus	
	46	59	62		MLV-lacZ (EnvB)	MLV-lacZ (EnvE)
WT					$2.2 \times 10^5$ (4.9 $\times 10^4$ )	$1.9 \times 10^5 (3.6 \times 10^4)$
C46S					$6.3 \times 10^5$ $(5.1 \times 10^3)$	24.3(5.1)
C46S/C62S					$5.3 \times 10^5$ (3.1 $\times 10^4$ )	4.4(2)
C59S					$4.9 \times 10^5 (2.4 \times 10^5)$	2.2(2.7)
C59S/C77S					$2.3 \times 10^5$ (1.8 $\times 10^{4}$ )	1.1 (1.9)
C62S/C77S					$4.0 \times 10^5$ (1.3 $\times 10^{5}$ )	$2.8 \times 10^3$ (8.9 $\times 10^2$ )
C77S					$1.6 \times 10^{5}$ (2.6 $\times 10^{4}$ )	33(58)
C46S/C62S/C77S					$8.7 \times 10^4$ (3.5 $\times 10^3$ )	
C46S/C59S/C62S/C77S					$1.1\times10^5$ (2.1 $\times$ $10^4$ )	

TABLE 1. The effect of cysteine mutations in  $TVB^{S1}$  upon subgroup B and E viral entry<sup>*a*</sup>

*a* Wild-type (WT) or mutant forms of TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD) were tested for their ability to mediate entry of MLV-LacZ (EnvB) and MLV-LacZ (EnvE). The averages from three independent experiments are shown with standard deviations in parentheses. C, cysteine; S, serine.

receptors but was 2 orders of magnitude lower than that seen with the wild-type receptor (Table 1).

These data suggest that Cys-46 and Cys-59 may form a disulfide bond that is needed for subgroup E receptor function. Consistent with this interpretation, mutant receptors bearing substitutions of either of these two cysteines did not support MLV-lacZ (EnvE) entry (Table 1). The only mutant receptor that had both Cys-46 and Cys-59 present but did not support subgroup E viral entry at a significant level was  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta\overline{D}D)$ -C77S (Table 1).

## **DISCUSSION**

We have identified a cDNA clone from CEFs which encodes a cellular receptor specific for subgroup B, D, and E ALV and that can account for the nonreciprocal receptor interference seen between these three viral subgroups in chicken cells. Several lines of evidence demonstrate that the cDNA clone is derived from the *s1* allele of *tvb*, including the evidence that its protein product has the activity expected of TVB<sup>S1</sup> and that it differs at only one amino acid position from the product of the *s3* allele of *tvb*, previously shown to be an ALV B- and Dspecific receptor (6). Given this high degree of similarity to *tvb*s3 and the lack of evidence for other highly related genes in chickens (21), these data demonstrate that there is a single ALV-B, -D, and -E receptor gene encoded at *tvb*s1.

TVB<sup>S1</sup> differs from the previously identified TVB<sup>S3</sup> receptor by a single amino acid substitution, a cysteine in place of a serine at position 62. Based on previous alignments of TVB<sup>S3</sup> with TNFR-I (6), we predicted that Cys-62 might form an intrachain disulfide bond with Cys-46 that is important for ALV-E infection. However, the mutational analysis of cysteine residues in this region of TVB<sup>S1</sup> suggests that a disulfide bond between Cys-46 and Cys-59 is required for ALV-E entry. In addition, mutation of any of the first four cysteines of  $TVB^{S1}$ , either singly or in multiple combinations, had no effect on ALV-B entry, including simultaneous substitution of the first four cysteines to serines. These results indicate that although ALV-B and ALV-E use a common receptor, they interact with this receptor in fundamentally different ways. ALV-E appears to be sensitive to structural constraints imposed by disulfide bonds in this region of TVB<sup>S1</sup>, whereas ALV-B is not. Based on the known crystal structure of TNFR-I (3), we assume that the putative disulfide bond between cysteines 46 and 59 of TVB<sup>S1</sup> forms an extra loop in the membrane distal region of the receptor at the beginning of CRD1, possibly bringing two or more interacting regions of the protein together to form a site needed for subgroup E viral receptor function.

Based on our mutational data, Cys-46 and Cys-59 of TVB<sup>S3</sup> may pair, leaving Cys-77 unpaired and probably buried within



FIG. 2. Reconstitution of nonreciprocal interference between ALV-B and ALV-E with the cloned  $TVB^{S1}$  protein. (A) Four independent lines of human 293 cells stably expressing  $TVB^{S1}(\Delta DD)$  and EnvE (EnvE-S1 cells) were challenged with 10  $\mu$ l (approximately 10<sup>3</sup> infectious units) of MLV-lacZ (EnvB) or with 100  $\mu$ l (approximately  $10^4$  infectious units) of MLV-lacZ (EnvE). The numbers of infected  $\beta$ -galactosidase-positive cells obtained in a representative experiment were determined, and these numbers were corrected so that they represent those that would be obtained per milliliter of each original virus stock.  $(B)$  Human 293 cells transiently expressing the full-length TV $B<sup>S1</sup>$  protein were incubated with extracellular supernatants containing SUB-rIgG or SUE-rIgG or with a control supernatant lacking any SU-rIgG protein (no block) for 1 h at 37°C prior to the addition of either RCASH-B or RCASH-E viruses. After approximately 2 weeks of selection in medium containing hygromycin B, the drugresistant colonies were counted. The data shown represent the average number of colonies obtained in three independent experiments.

TVB<sup>S1</sup> 45 KCPMGTYFANDSTOCLPCKKDEYTEYPNDFPKCLGCRTCREDOVEVSPCIPTRNTRC TVB<sup>S3</sup> 45 TVB<sup>T</sup> 45  $CRD1 \cdot$  $_{\rm TVB}^{\rm S1}$ 102 ACKNGTFCLPDHPCEMCQKCQTECPKGQVRLAPCTQHSDLLCG  $TVB<sup>S3</sup>$ 102  $_{\rm TVB}^{\rm T}$  $\ldots$ R.  $......I.A...Q...R.$ . . . . . . . . . . . . . -CRD2 · B  $30$ **WT**  $C46S$ C46S/C62S  $\theta$ 30  $C59S$ C59S/C77S C62S/C77S  $Cell$   $Coun$  $\mathbf{0}$ 30 C46S/C59S/C62S/C77S C77S C46S/C62S/C77S timoj <del>न न नगर।</del>  $\overline{\text{max}}$ finn <del>erenaj lernaj</del> m тm मामोोो  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $10<sup>4</sup>$  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $10<sup>4</sup>$  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $10<sup>4</sup>$ **Fluorescence Intensity** 

FIG. 3. Mutational analysis of the first four cysteine residues of TVB<sup>S1</sup>. (A) TVB<sup>S3</sup> and TVB<sup>S1</sup> differ by a serine-to-cysteine substitution at residue 62 (shaded). The regions of TVB<sup>S1</sup>, TVB<sup>S3</sup>, and TVB<sup>T</sup> that encompass all extracellular cysteine residues (amino acids 45 to 144) are shown aligned, and the predicted intrachain disulfide bonds in TVB<sup>S1</sup> are indicated. (B) All of the mutant receptors bearing cysteine mutations were expressed at the cell surface and bind to SUB-rIgG. Human 293 cells transfected with no DNA or with plasmids encoding wild-type  $TVB^{SI}(\Delta DD)$  (WT) or with mutant forms of this receptor were incubated with extracellular supernatant containing SUB-rIgG and with a fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated antibody specific for rabbit Igs. The cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry; the results shown were obtained with nonreceptor-expressing cells (open histograms) and receptor-expressing cells (shaded histograms).

the structure. However, it is formally possible that Cys-46 is the unpaired cysteine residue in TVB<sup>S3</sup>, as we originally hypothesized (6). Therefore, TVB<sup>S3</sup> might not serve as a receptor for ALV-E either because it lacks the putative Cys46-Cys59 disulfide bond or because a free cysteine at residue 77 might impose an inhibitory effect on subgroup E viral entry. Indeed, a similar inhibitory effect of a free cysteine at residue 62 in the mutant TVB<sup>S1</sup>( $\Delta$ DD)-C77S receptor might account for the inability of this protein to support ALV-E entry (Table 1). We are currently addressing these predictions using biochemical approaches to map the precise disulfide bonds in this region of  $TVB<sup>S1</sup>$  and  $TVB<sup>S3</sup>$ .

Given that endogenous ALV proviruses are subgroup E specific  $(23)$ , it is especially intriguing that there is only a single amino acid difference distinguishing TVB<sup>S3</sup> from TVB<sup>S1</sup> and that this single amino acid difference abrogates ALV-E entry. The only other known proteins which are structurally similar to the TVB proteins are the human TRAIL receptors, TRAIL-R1 (also known as DR4 or APO-2) and TRAIL-R2 (also known as DR5), and these receptors contain cysteines at

positions equivalent to Cys-46, Cys-59, Cys-62, and Cys-77 in TVB<sup>S1</sup> (7, 15–17, 19, 20, 22). Thus, the prototype of this class of TNFR-related receptors has cysteine residues represented at all four positions. Therefore, we would argue that selective pressure on the chicken population gave rise to the substitution of a serine for a cysteine at residue 62 in TVB<sup>S3</sup>, the direct consequence of which is the loss of binding to endogenous subgroup E viral glycoproteins. In support of this hypothesis, the turkey TVBT receptor, which is a subgroup E-specific receptor, contains a cysteine at residue  $62$  (1), and presumably turkeys are under no selective pressure to lose binding to ALV-E Env, since they lack endogenous ALV-E proviruses (23).

There are several possible explanations for the selective pressure which gave rise to this substitution in TVB<sup>S3</sup>. First, we have already shown that ALV-B SU-receptor interactions can lead to the death of cultured avian fibroblasts (6). Therefore, this mutation may have arisen in order to prevent ALV-E Env-receptor-mediated apoptosis of certain cell types in vivo. Although ALV-E infections generally do not lead to cell death in CEFs (8), this fact does not preclude the possibility that ALV-E Env-receptor interactions may cause the death of certain cell types in vivo. An alternative explanation to account for the existence of this mutation is that TVB receptors might play an important role in immune responses against microbial pathogens, as has been seen for other TNFR-related proteins such as TNFR-I, Fas, and TRAIL (9, 11–13, 18). If so, binding to endogenous retroviral glycoproteins might interfere with this natural function, thus explaining the selective basis for this mutation. In accordance with this hypothesis, ALV-E may have lost the ability to completely interfere with TVB<sup>S1</sup>, so that at least a subpopulation of receptors coexpressed in cells with subgroup E viral proteins would retain their normal function.

We can conclude from the mutagenesis evidence that ALV-B and ALV-E have distinct disulfide bond requirements, and therefore these viruses interact differently with TVB<sup>S1</sup>. This result may account for the nonreciprocal receptor interference seen between these viral subgroups and may help to explain why ALV-B infections are generally cytopathic in CEFs, whereas ALV-E infections are not (24, 25). Because our data is most consistent with a putative disulfide bond between<br>Cys-46 and Cys-59 in TVB<sup>S1</sup> being important for ALV-E receptor function, the question arises why residue Cys-62 became altered in  $TVB<sup>S3</sup>$  to prevent subgroup E Env binding. Possibly, the putative bond between Cys-46/59 is also important for ligand binding and must be preserved in the structure. The answer to this question and the biological significance of receptor interference in this system awaits molecular identification of the endogenous TVB ligand.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful to Steve Blacklow, in whose laboratory the final experiments were performed, for his generosity and critical reading of the manuscript. We thank members of the Young laboratory for many helpful discussions and in particular John Naughton, who prepared the final figures for the paper, and Adrienne Boerger and Sara Klucking for providing reagents. We also thank John Daly for assistance with the flow cytometry, the Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics core sequencing facility, at Harvard Medical School, for help with DNA sequencing, and Richard Mulligan for providing unpublished reagents.

This work was supported by NIH grant CA70810.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Adkins, H. B., J. Brojatsch, J. Naughton, M. M. Rolls, J. M. Pesola, and J. A. Young.** 1997. Identification of a cellular receptor for subgroup E avian leukosis virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **94:**11617–11622.
- 2. **Bacon, L. D., E. J. Smith, A. M. Fadly, and L. B. Crittenden.** 1996. Devel-

opment of an alloantiserum (R2) that detects susceptibility of chickens to subgroup E endogenous avian leukosis virus. Avian Pathol. **25:**551–568.

- 3. **Banner, D. W., A. D'Arcy, W. Janes, R. Gentz, H. J. Schoenfeld, C. Broger, H. Loetscher, and W. Lesslauer.** 1993. Crystal structure of the soluble human 55 kd TNF receptor-human TNF beta complex: implications for TNF receptor activation. Cell **73:**431–445.
- 4. **Bates, P., J. A. Young, and H. E. Varmus.** 1993. A receptor for subgroup A Rous sarcoma virus is related to the low density lipoprotein receptor. Cell **74:**1043–1051.
- 5. **Boerger, A. L., S. Snitkovsky, and J. A. T. Young.** 1999. Retroviral vectors preloaded with a viral receptor-ligand bridge protein are targeted to specific cell types. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96:**9867–9872.
- 6. **Brojatsch, J., J. Naughton, M. M. Rolls, K. Zingler, and J. A. Young.** 1996. CAR1, a TNFR-related protein, is a cellular receptor for cytopathic avian leukosis-sarcoma viruses and mediates apoptosis. Cell **87:**845–855.
- 7. **Chaudhary, P. M., M. Eby, A. Jasmin, A. Bookwalter, J. Murray, and L. Hood.** 1997. Death receptor 5, a new member of the TNFR family, and DR4 induce FADD-dependent apoptosis and activate the NF-kappaB pathway. Immunity **7:**821–830.
- 8. **Dorner, A. J., and J. M. Coffin.** 1986. Determinants for receptor interaction and cell killing on the avian retrovirus glycoprotein gp85. Cell **45:**365–374.
- 9. **Granville, D. J., C. M. Carthy, D. Yang, D. W. Hunt, and B. M. McManus.** 1998. Interaction of viral proteins with host cell death machinery. Cell Death Differ. **5:**653–659.
- 10. **Hunter, E.** 1997. Viral entry and receptors, p. 71–120. *In* J. M. Coffin, S. H. Hughes, and H. E. Varmus (ed.), Retroviruses. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 11. **Jeremias, I., I. Herr, T. Boehler, and K. M. Debatin.** 1998. TRAIL/Apo-2 ligand-induced apoptosis in human T cells. Eur. J. Immunol. **28:**143–152.
- 12. **Katsikis, P. D., M. E. Garcia-Ojeda, J. F. Torres-Roca, I. M. Tijoe, C. A. Smith, and L. A. Herzenberg.** 1997. Interleukin-1 beta converting enzymelike protease involvement in Fas-induced and activation-induced peripheral blood T cell apoptosis in HIV infection. TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand can mediate activation-induced T cell death in HIV infection. J. Exp. Med. **186:**1365–1372.
- 13. **Katsikis, P. D., E. S. Wunderlich, C. A. Smith, and L. A. Herzenberg.** 1995. Fas antigen stimulation induces marked apoptosis of T lymphocytes in human immunodeficiency virus-infected individuals. J. Exp. Med. **181:**2029–2036.
- 14. **Landau, N. R., and D. R. Littman.** 1992. Packaging system for rapid production of murine leukemia virus vectors with variable tropism. J. Virol. **66:**5110–5113.
- 15. **MacFarlane, M., M. Ahmad, S. M. Srinivasula, T. Fernandes-Alnemri, G. M. Cohen, and E. S. Alnemri.** 1997. Identification and molecular cloning of two novel receptors for the cytotoxic ligand TRAIL. J. Biol. Chem. **272:**25417–25420.
- 16. **Pan, G., J. Ni, Y. F. Wei, G. Yu, R. Gentz, and V. M. Dixit.** 1997. An antagonist decoy receptor and a death domain-containing receptor for TRAIL. Science **277:**815–818.
- 17. **Pan, G., K. O'Rourke, A. M. Chinnaiyan, R. Gentz, R. Ebner, J. Ni, and V. M. Dixit.** 1997. The receptor for the cytotoxic ligand TRAIL. Science **276:**111–113.
- 18. **Pfeffer, K., T. Matsuyama, T. M. Kundig, A. Wakeham, K. Kishihara, A. Shahinian, K. Wiegmann, P. S. Ohashi, M. Kronke, and T. W. Mak.** 1993. Mice deficient for the 55 kd tumor necrosis factor receptor are resistant to endotoxic shock, yet succumb to *L. monocytogenes* infection. Cell **73:**457– 467.
- 19. **Schneider, P., J. L. Bodmer, M. Thome, K. Hofmann, N. Holler, and J. Tschopp.** 1997. Characterization of two receptors for TRAIL. FEBS Lett. **416:**329–334.
- 20. **Sheridan, J. P., S. A. Marsters, R. M. Pitti, A. Gurney, M. Skubatch, D. Baldwin, L. Ramakrishnan, C. L. Gray, K. Baker, W. I. Wood, A. D. Goddard, P. Godowski, and A. Ashkenazi.** 1997. Control of TRAIL-induced apoptosis by a family of signaling and decoy receptors. Science **277:**818–821.
- 21. **Smith, E. J., J. Brojatsch, J. Naughton, and J. A. T. Young.** 1998. The CAR1 gene encoding a cellular receptor specific for subgroup B and D avian leukosis viruses maps to the chicken *tvb* locus. J. Virol. **72:**3501–3503.
- 22. **Walczak, H., M. A. Degli-Esposti, R. S. Johnson, P. J. Smolak, J. Y. Waugh, N. Boiani, M. S. Timour, M. J. Gerhart, K. A. Schooley, C. A. Smith, R. G. Goodwin, and C. T. Rauch.** 1997. TRAIL-R2: a novel apoptosis-mediating receptor for TRAIL. EMBO J. **16:**5386–5397.
- 23. **Weiss, R. A.** 1993. The *Retroviridae*. Plenum, New York, N.Y.
- 24. **Weller, S. K., A. E. Joy, and H. M. Temin.** 1980. Correlation between cell killing and massive second-round superinfection by members of some subgroups of avian leukosis virus. J. Virol. **33:**494–506.
- 25. **Weller, S. K., and H. M. Temin.** 1981. Cell killing by avian leukosis viruses. J. Virol. **39:**713–721.
- 26. **Young, J. A., P. Bates, and H. E. Varmus.** 1993. Isolation of a chicken gene that confers susceptibility to infection by subgroup A avian leukosis and sarcoma viruses. J. Virol. **67:**1811–1816.
- 27. **Zingler, K., and J. A. Young.** 1996. Residue Trp-48 of Tva is critical for viral entry but not for high-affinity binding to the SU glycoprotein of subgroup A avian leukosis and sarcoma viruses. J. Virol. **70:**7510–7516.